## Supplementary Information

Supplementary Table 1. Cryo-EM data collection, refinement and validation statistics.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Piericidin-K2 } \\ \text { EMD-11424 } \\ \text { PDB 6ZTQ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Piericidin-FIII } \\ \text { EMD-11425 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Active } \\ \text { EMD-11377 } \\ \text { PDB 6ZR2 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data collection and processing |  |  |  |
| Magnification | 47,600 | 130,000 | 47,600 |
| Voltage (kV) | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Electron exposure (e-/ $\AA^{2}$ ) | 50 | 46 | 50 |
| Defocus range ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | -2.2 to -3.4 | -2.2 to -3.8 | -1.5 to -3.1 |
| Pixel size ( $\AA$ ) | 1.050 | 1.063 | 1.054 |
| Symmetry imposed | None | None | None |
| Initial particle images (no.) | 60,107 | 76,802 | 60,851 |
| Final particle images (no.) | 27,193 | 36,759 | 20,370 |
| Map resolution ( $\AA$ ) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| FSC threshold | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.143 |
| Map resolution range ( $\AA$ ) | 2.8 to 472 | 2.8 to 478 | 2.8 to 474 |
| Refinement |  |  |  |
| Initial model used | PDB 6G2J |  | PDB 6G2J |
| Model resolution ( $\AA$ ) | 3.1 |  | 3.2 |
| FSC threshold | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |
| Map sharpening $B$ factor $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | -28 | -68 | -29 |
| Model composition |  |  |  |
| Nonhydrogen atoms | 67,069 |  | 67,069 |
| Protein residues | 8,181 |  | 8,181 |
| Ligands | 36 |  | 35 |
| $B$ factors mean $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| Protein | 33.6 |  | 37.21 |
| Ligand | 31.1 |  | 35.14 |
| R.m.s. deviations |  |  |  |
| Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.008 |  | 0.005 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 0.869 |  | 0.801 |
| Validation |  |  |  |
| MolProbity score | 1.77 |  | 1.70 |
| Clashscore | 5.33 |  | 4.95 |
| Poor rotamers (\%) | 0.07 |  | 0.07 |
| Ramachandran plot |  |  |  |
| Favored (\%) | 92.06 |  | 93.08 |
| Allowed (\%) | 7.90 |  | 6.87 |
| Disallowed (\%) | 0.04 |  | 0.05 |

Supplementary Table 2. Summary of the models for the subunits of mouse complex I.

| Subunit | Alternative names | Chain | Total residues | Modeled residues | \% <br> Modeled | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NDUFV1 | 51 kDa , Nqo1, NuoF | F | 444 | 9-436 | 96.4 | FMN, 4Fe4S |
| NDUFV2 | 24 kDa , Nqo2, NuoE | E | 217 | 5-216 | 97.7 | 2 Fe 2 S |
| NDUFS1 | 75 kDa , Nqo3, NuoG | G | 704 | 6-693 | 97.7 | 2Fe2S, $2 \times 4 \mathrm{Fe} 4 \mathrm{~S}$ |
| NDUFS2 | 49 kDa , Nqo4, NuoCD | D | 430 | 1-430 | 100 | Dimethyl-Arg85 |
| NDUFS3 | 30 kDa , Nqo5, NuoCD | C | 228 | 7-213 | 90.8 |  |
| NDUFS7 | PSST, Nqo6, NuoB | B | 189 | 34-189 | 82.5 | 4Fe4S |
| NDUFS8 | TYKY, Nqo9, Nuol | I | 178 | 1-178 | 100 | $2 \times 4 \mathrm{Fe} 4 \mathrm{~S}$ |
| ND1 | Nqo8, NuoH | H | 318 | 1-318 | 100 | N -formyl |
| ND2 | Nqo14, NuoN | N | 345 | 1-344 | 99.7 | N -formyl |
| ND3 | Nqo7, NuoA | A | 115 | 1-115 | 100 | N -formyl |
| ND4 | Nqo13, NuoM | M | 459 | 1-459 | 100 | N -formyl |
| ND4L | Nqo11, NuoK | K | 98 | 1-98 | 100 | N -formyl |
| ND5 | Nqo12, NuoL | L | 607 | 1-606 | 99.8 | N -formyl |
| ND6 | Nqo10, NuoJ | J | 172 | 1-171 | 99.4 | N -formyl |
| NDUFV3 | 10 kDa | s | 69 | 28-68 | 59.4 |  |
| NDUFS4 | 18 kDa | Q | 133 | 9-133 | 94.0 |  |
| NDUFS5 | 15 kDa | e | 105 | 1-105 | 100 | $2 \times$ Cys-Cys |
| NDUFS6 | 13 kDa | R | 96 | 1-94 | 97.9 | $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ |
| NDUFA1 | MWFE | a | 70 | 1-68 | 97.1 |  |
| NDUFA2 | B8 | S | 98 | 13-95 | 84.7 |  |
| NDUFA3 | B9 | b | 83 | 4-83 | 96.3 |  |
| NDUFA5 | B13 | v | 115 | 2-115 | 99.1 |  |
| NDUFA6 | B14 | W | 130 | 17-130 | 87.7 |  |
| NDUFA7 | B14.5a | r | 112 | 1-77, 90-112 | 89.2 | N -acetyl |
| NDUFA8 | PGIV | X | 171 | 1-171 | 100 | $4 \times$ Cys-Cys |
| NDUFA9 | 39 kDa | P | 342 | 1-342 | 100 | NADPH |
| NDUFA10 | 42 kDa | O | 320 | 1-320 | 100 | ATP |
| NDUFA11 | B14.7 | Y | 142 | 3-142 | 98.6 | Cys-Cys (2x in active) |
| NDUFA12 | B17.2 | q | 145 | 1-144 | 99.3 | N -acetyl |
| NDUFA13 | B16.6 | Z | 143 | 3-143 | 98.6 |  |
| NDUFAB1 $\alpha$ | SDAP $\alpha$ | T | 88 | 7-82 | 86.3 | 4'-phosphopantethine + |
| NDUFAB1 $\beta$ | SDAP $\beta$ | U | 88 | 3-88 | 97.7 | 3-hydroxyundecanoate |
| NDUFB1 | MNLL | f | 56 | 4-56 | 94.6 |  |
| NDUFB2 | AGGG | j | 72 | 7-68 | 86.1 |  |
| NDUFB3 | B12 | k | 103 | 19-93 | 72.8 |  |
| NDUFB4 | B15 | m | 128 | 3-128 | 98.4 |  |
| NDUFB5 | SGDH | h | 143 | 6-143 | 96.5 |  |
| NDUFB6 | B17 | i | 127 | 1-36, 66-123 | 74.0 | N -acetyl |
| NDUFB7 | B18 | o | 136 | 2-112 | 81.6 | Cys-Cys |
| NDUFB8 | ASHI | 1 | 157 | 3-156 | 98.1 |  |
| NDUFB9 | B22 | n | 178 | 1-177 | 99.4 |  |
| NDUFB10 | PDSW | p | 175 | 4-172 | 96.6 | $2 \times$ Cys-Cys |
| NDUFB11 | ESSS | g | 122 | 21-121 | 82.7 |  |
| NDUFC1 | KFYI | c | 49 | 1-48 | 98.0 |  |
| NDUFC2 | B14.5b | d | 120 | 1-120 | 100 |  |

Supplementary Table 3. Parameters from the mechanistic models used to test the kinetic data.

| Model |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\mathrm{M}}(\mu \mathrm{M}) \\ \left(k_{-1}+k_{2}\right) / k_{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{\mathrm{cat}}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ k_{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{, 1 \\ k_{-3} / k_{3}}}{K_{\mathrm{I}, 1}(\mu \mathrm{M}}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{1,2} \\ k_{-5} / k_{5} \end{gathered}$ | Additional parameter | SSR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-site competitive ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean <br> Median <br> 95\% CI | $\begin{gathered} 979 \\ 978 \\ 889-1081 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 338 \\ 338 \\ 330-346 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 538 \\ 500 \\ 379-1074 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.1 \mathrm{nM} \\ 36.6 \mathrm{nM} \\ 19-49 \mathrm{nM} \end{gathered}$ |  | 46.67 |
| 2-site uncompetitive ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mean <br> Median <br> $95 \%$ CI | $\begin{gathered} 1122 \\ 1122 \\ 1007-1238 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 347 \\ 347 \\ 339-357 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 296 \\ 169 \\ 48-1123 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1401 \mathrm{nM} \\ 954 \mathrm{nM} \\ 139-4937 \mathrm{nM} \end{gathered}$ |  | 49.21 |
| Mixed | Mean Median 95\% CI | $\begin{gathered} 1058 \\ 1057 \\ 873-1267 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 364 \\ 364 \\ 351-380 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88.3 \\ 33.5 \\ 10-973 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.9 \mu \mathrm{M} \\ 15.7 \mu \mathrm{M} \\ 13-20 \mu \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ |  | 121.87 |
| Uncompetitive ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean Median $95 \% C I$ | $\begin{gathered} 1118 \\ 1116 \\ 943-1301 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 369 \\ 368 \\ 355-384 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.1 \\ 14.1 \\ 12-16 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 128.33 |
| Product-state competitive | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fit } \\ 95 \% \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 472 \mathrm{mM} \\ 334-610 \mathrm{mM} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 372 \\ 353-391 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.09 \\ 11-15 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline k_{-6} / k_{6}= \\ & 108.24 \\ & 0-906 \end{aligned}$ | 128.44 |
| Competitive ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Mean <br> Median <br> 95\% C | $\begin{gathered} 902 \\ 892 \\ 712-1116 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 351 \\ 351 \\ 334-371 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.61 \\ 1.59 \\ 1.23-2.02 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 200.98 |
| Competitive <br> $(+ \text { in-facing })^{e}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fit } \\ 95 \% \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 932 \\ 675-1095 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 354 \\ 332-369 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.67 \\ 1.01-2.19 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\text {in }}= \\ 155 \mathrm{mM} \\ 0-835 \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | 201.08 |

Models deemed worthwhile were bootstrapped (see Methods) to obtain mean, median and 95\% confidence intervals for parameter values. Those not bootstrapped are reported as fitting values with a $95 \%$ confidence interval derived from the fitting algorithm.
a - $K_{\mathrm{I}, 1}$ and $K_{\mathrm{I}, 2}$ were allowed to take any value. Scheme shown in Fig. 5.
$\mathbf{b}$ - The inhibitor can bind twice (as for 2 -site competitive) but only to the enzyme-substrate complex.
$\mathbf{c}$ - The inhibitor can bind only to the enzyme-substrate complex.
d - Scheme shown in Fig. 5.
$\mathbf{e}$ - The inhibitor was also allowed to bind to enzyme that is catalytically inactive because its NADH binding site faces the proteoliposome lumen.

Supplementary Table 4. List of molecular simulations. Sites are defined by the centre-of-mass distances between the piericidin and Tyr108 rings: site $12-5 \AA$; site $\mathbf{1}^{\prime} 10-15 \AA$; site $220-25 \AA$, and site $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} 25-30 \AA$. MD, classical atomistic molecular dynamics simulations (total 240 ns ); QM/MM, hybrid quantum/classical molecular dynamics simulations (total 1.5 ps ); CG, coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations (total $100 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ).

| Simulation type | Molecule | Site occupancy | Simulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD | 2 P | 1+2 | A1 (60 ns), A2 (40 ns) |
| MD | P | 1 | A3 (40 ns) |
| MD | 2 P | 1+2 | A4 (40 ns) |
| MD | P | 2 | A5 (40 ns) |
| MD | 2P, His59-N $8 \mathrm{H}^{+}$ | 1+2 | A6 (20 ns) |
| QM/MM | P | 1 | B1 (1.5 ps) |
| CG | P | 1 | C1 (10 $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| CG | P | 1 | C2 (10 $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| CG | P | 1 , | C3 (10 $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| CG | P | 1 , | C4 (10 $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| CG | P | 2 | C5 (10 $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| CG | P | 2 | C6 (10 $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| CG | P | 2 ' | C7 (10 $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| CG | P | 2 ' | C8 ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ) |
| CG | P | 2'/out | C9 (10 $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| CG | P | 2'/out | C10 (10 $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ) |



Supplementary Fig. 1 | Classification and refinement of the mouse complex I piericidin-K2 and piericidinFIII cryo-EM density maps.


Supplementary Fig. 2 | Example micrographs and 2D classes from the cryo-EM datasets. a) piericidin-K2, b) piericidin-F3 and c) active enzyme. The micrograph images have been Motion and CTF corrected and lowpass filtered to $20 \AA$ resolution. A total of 1200,1454 and 1235 micrographs were recorded, respectively. Example views were selected following 2D classification of the final 3D refined particles to show classes of particles in different orientations.


Supplementary Fig. 3 | Resolution estimates of the maps for the active and piericidin-bound states of complex I, particle orientation distributions, and cross-validation of Phenix real space refinement parameters. Data are for the a) piericidin-K2 dataset, b) piericidin-FIII dataset c) active enzyme. The estimated resolutions, defined where the red FSC curve $=0.143$, are 3.0, 3.0 and $3.1 \AA$, respectively. For calculation of the orientation distributions, each dimension was split into 30 bins and the angles of rotation and tilt are taken from the '_rlnAngleRot' and '_rlnAngleTilt' values for each particle after refinement in RELION. The models agree well with their respective maps, as shown by the map vs. model FSC curves (blue). Local resolutions were estimated using the Local Resolution function in RELION with default parameters and plotted with UCSF Chimera using contour levels of $0.032,0.062$ and 0.032 in $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c respectively. d) One of the two half maps was used for refinement, then FSC curves were calculated for each half map using the same model.


Supplementary Fig. $4 \mid$ Distances between piericidin in site 1 and surrounding residues obtained from classical MD, QM/MM MD, and coarse-grained MD simulations. See Fig. 3a main text for structural information. Classical MD simulations are shown in columns 1-4 (simulation A1-A4, Supplementary Table 4), QM/MM MD in column 5 (simulation B1), and coarse-grained (CGMD) simulations in column 6 (simulations C1-C10). a) Piericidin 4' carbonyl oxygen to Tyr108 hydroxyl hydrogen. b) Piericidin 2' methoxy oxygen to His59 (centre-of-mass of $N \delta / \mathrm{C} \varepsilon / \mathrm{N} \varepsilon$ ). c) His59 (centre-of-mass of $\mathrm{N} \delta / \mathrm{C} \varepsilon / \mathrm{N} \varepsilon$ ) to Asp160 (centre-of-mass of carboxylic group). d) Piericidin 2' methoxy oxygen to Thr 156 hydroxyl oxygen.


Supplementary Fig. 5. | Interaction energies between the bound piericidin molecules and surrounding protein residues and water clustering analysis during classical MD simulations. a) The non-bonded interaction energies are from simulations A3 (piericidin site 1) and A1 (piericidin site 2). Red, NDUFS7; green, NDUFS2; blue, ND1. The boxes extend from the 25th to the 75th percentile, the middle line represents the median. The whiskers show the range of the data from the 10th to 90 th percentile ( $\mathrm{n}=1500$, snapshots calculated every 40 ps ). The head group (top row) and tail (lower row) are separated between the 6 ' -1 carbons. Note that the reported values represent interactions energies, as estimation of binding free energies are outside the scope of the present work. b) Water molecules predicted to be present in the cavity between Lys371, Asp422, Asp107, and Thr156 using the WATCLUST algorithm (last 40 ns of simulation A1, see Methods). The clusters (spheres) are coloured according to the water occupancy from 0 (red) to 1 (blue). The structure and water molecules shown are a representative snapshot of water occupation during MD simulation A1 (see Supplementary Table 4).

