

Supplementary Information for

Impact of increasing vegetarian availability on meal selection and sales in cafeterias

Emma E. Garnett^{a,1} Andrew Balmford^a Chris Sandbrook^b Mark A. Pilling^c Theresa M. Marteau^c ORCID 0000-0002-1664-9029 ORCID 0000-0002-0144-3589 ORCID 0000-0002-9938-4934 ORCID 0000-0002-7446-6597 ORCID 0000-0003-3025-1129

^a Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, CB2 3EJ, UK; ^b Department of Geography, University of Cambridge, CB2 1QB, UK; ^c Behaviour and Health Research Unit, University of Cambridge, Institute of Public Health, CB2 0SR, UK

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed: Emma E. Garnett Email: <u>eg334@cam.ac.uk</u> ; <u>ee.garnett@gmail.com</u>

This PDF file includes:

Fig. S1 Tables S1 to S21



Fig. S1. Photo of College C cafeteria with four options served.

Study 1: Example menus

Table S1. College A, example of a menu listed online. (v)=vegetarian, (ve)=vegan. Although the menus present 3 options, the number of meals served at the cafeteria often varied.

Lunch				
Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
Creamy Chicken & Bacon Pasta with Basil	Beef, Mushroom, & Guinness Flaky Pastry Pie	Shepherd's Pie	Teriyaki Marinated Pork Steak with Toasted Cashews	Chicken Tikka
Vegetable Samosa with Coriander Lentil Dahl (ve)	Glamorgan Sausage & Red Onion Gravy (Veggie of Course) (v)	Tofu & Cashew Nut Stir Fry, with Hoi Sin & Spring Onion (ve)	Sweet Potato & Leek Gratin with a Crispy Oregano Topping (v)	Butternut Squash & Field Mushroom Moussaka (v)
Oriental Loin of Cod With Asian Vegetables	Chestnut Mushroom & Spinach Pasta Bake (v)	Grilled Fillet of Hake, Tomato & Chorizo Sauce	Quorn Fajita, with peppers, tortillas, salsa and sour cream (v)	Chip Shop Style Fried Fish With Homemade Tartare Sauce
Dinner				
Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
Beef & Broccoli Stir Fry with Ginger.	Honey Glazed Gammon Steak with Char Grilled Pineapple	Lemon, Thyme, & Garlic Butterflied Chicken Fillet	Lamb Hotpot	Beef Cobbler
Kadala Curry, with Chick Peas & Spinach (ve)	Baked Potato Skins filled with Vegetable Chilli & topped with Sour Cream & Chives (v)	Mushroom Stroganoff (v)	Red Pepper & Aubergine Lasagne (v)	Moroccan Spiced Vegetable Tagine with Apricots (ve)
Smoked Haddock & Spring Onion Fishcakes, Pea & Mint Sauce	Beef Lasagne	Moqueca	Chicken & Mushroom Pie	Fresh Fish of The Day

Table S2: College B, example of a menu listed online. (V)=vegetarian, (ve)=vegan. Although the menus present 3 options, the number of meals served at the cafeteria often varied.

Lunch				
Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
Chicken, Mediterranean vegetable and Chorizo Paella	Maple glazed bacon chop with an apple and sage fritter	Roast leg of English lamb with sautéed tarragon and pears	Mediterranean vegetable and galbani mozzarella en croute with a Provençale sauce (v)	Barbecue Quorn, roasted pepper and plum tomato pizza with mozzarella (v)
Spaghetti Bolognese with parmesan	Moroccan chicken on garlic flatbread with tomato and coriander salsa and Monterey jack cheese	Roast loin of pork with mustard crackling and apple sauce	Cauliflower florets in a spicy batter with a curried tikka masala sauce (v)	Puy lentil and Mexican vegetable fajitas with guacamole (ve)
Mushroom, spinach, and sweet potato wellington with camembert cheese, tomato sauce (v)	Chick pea, local fenland vegetable and basil tagine, red onion cous-cous (ve)	Leek, mushroom and goats cheese filo pastry strudel with a grain mustard sauce (v)	Griddled rump of beef with tomato, onion rings and a peppercorn sauce	Piri-Piri fillet of chicken with a coriander and tomato guacamole
Dinner				
Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
Roasted tofu, broccoli and courgette pad Thai with sesame and cilantro (ve)	Deep fried scampi with lemon and lime wedges	Jamaican jerk pork curry with a coconut, mango and pea rice	Minced beef and spinach lasagne	Beer battered fillet of cod with lemon
Winter vegetable and cannellini bean stew with crispy herb dumplings (v)	Braised topside of beef steak in local ale, grelots and wild mushrooms	Creamy garlic and basil baked fillet of chicken with a warm Caesar salad	Panko breaded butterfly chicken breast with a Katsu sauce and rice	Lamb and minted winter vegetable casserole with redcurrants and crusty bread
Lamb jalfrezi with a mushroom and coriander rice pilau, poppadum's	Broccoli, cashew nut and halloumi curry, herb pilaff rice (v)	Roasted asparagus, sun blushed tomato and chestnut mushroom carbonara (v)	Sri Lankan dahl and Vegetable curry with wholemeal rice (ve)	Wild mushroom, roasted butternut squash and sun blushed tomato risotto with parmesan (v)

Study 1: Effect of removing meals with no vegetarian options

Table S3: Comparing GLMs with vegetarian availability as the only predictor when meals with no vegetarian options are included and excluded. Including mealtimes with no vegetarian options increases the level of variation explained by vegetarian availability (McFadden's pseudo R^2) but this risks overestimating its effect on vegetarian sales. Mealtimes with no vegetarian options were excluded from the main analyses.

	Colleg	ge A	College B				
	Mealtimes with	Mealtimes	Mealtimes with	Mealtimes			
	no veg options	with no veg	no veg options	with no veg			
	excluded	options	excluded	options			
		included		included			
Number of meals	269	277	266	269			
McFadden's R ²	0.209	0.267	0.319	0.332			
(univariate							
GLM)							

Study 1: Frequency of vegetarian and total options

Table S4: Frequency of vegetarian options by total options in College A and B across all meals assessed.

		Total	option	s avail	able			
		2	3	4	5	6	7	8
College	Vegetarian options available							
Α	0	0	1	5	1	1	0	0
	1	3	41	89	51	20	0	0
	2	0	2	13	21	13	3	1
	3	0	0	1	3	5	2	1
В	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	99	89	13	1	0	1
	2	0	20	28	11	1	3	0

Study 1: Best models for vegetarian sales - aggregate data

Table S5: Best model for vegetarian sales at College A. VegSales ~ VegAvailPercent + TotalMealsSold + TotalOptionsAvailable + Term + Meal + MeanTemp +VegNonVegPriceDifferential + Day + Week. AIC = 3082.8, log-likelihood =-1518.4, McFadden's pseudo $R^2 = 0.261$. Conditions used to generate predictions:VegAvailPercent=25, TotalMealsSold=180, TotalOptionsAvailable=4, Term=Summer, Meal=Lunch, MeanTemp=10, VegNonVegPriceDifferential=0.2, Day=Wed, Week=5.Effect size calculated by taking the exponential of the model estimate.

Variable	Effect	Effect size	p-value	Narrative	Example	Predicted	Example	Predicted
	size	95% CIs			value	veg sales (%)	value	veg sales (%)
Veg Availability	1.028	1.026, 1.030	< 0.001	Meals with higher vegetarian availability had higher	25	24.1	50	39.0
(%)				vegetarian sales.				
Total meals sold	1.001	1.001, 1.002	< 0.001	Mealtimes with more meals sold had higher	100	22.1	200	24.6
				vegetarian sales.				
Total options	0.971	0.950, 0.992	< 0.01	Mealtimes with more total options had lower	3	24.6	5	23.7
available				vegetarian sales.				
Summer term	0.844	0.784, 0.909	< 0.001	Summer term has lower vegetarian sales than spring.	Spring	27.3	Summer	24.1
Autumn term	0.830	0.784, 0.878	< 0.001	Autumn term has lower vegetarian sales than spring.	Spring	27.3	Autumn	23.8
Meal	1.087	1.037, 1.139	< 0.001	Dinner has higher vegetarian sales than lunch.	Lunch	24.1	Dinner	25.7
Mean	1.011	1.005, 1.016	< 0.001	Warmer temperatures had higher vegetarian sales.	5°C	23.2	15°C	25.1
temperature								
Veg NonVeg	1.475	1.224, 1.777	< 0.001	Meals with relatively cheaper vegetarian options had	£0.05	23.1	£0.50	26.3
price differential				higher vegetarian sales.				
Tuesday	1.130	1.060, 1.205	< 0.001	Tuesdays and Thursdays had higher vegetarian sales	Mon	23.1	Tue	25.4
Wednesday	1.056	0.995, 1.121	0.073	than Monday. Wednesdays' and Fridays' vegetarian	-	-	Wed	24.1
Thursday	1.196	1.124, 1.272	< 0.001	sales do not differ significantly from Mondays'.	-	-	Thu	26.4
Friday	0.953	0.892, 1.018	0.153		-	-	Fri	22.3
Week 2	1.210	1.111, 1.318	< 0.001	Weeks 2, 4, 5 and 8 had higher vegetarian sales than	Week 1	21.8	Week 2	25.2
Week 3	1.058	0.971, 1.153	0.198	Week 1. Weeks 3, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 11 week do not had	-	-	Week 3	22.8
Week 4	1.097	1.008, 1.194	0.032	significantly different vegetarian sales than Week 1.	-	-	Week 4	23.4
Week 5	1.140	1.045, 1.244	0.003		-	-	Week 5	24.1
Week 6	1.009	0.923, 1.103	0.846		-	-	Week 6	21.9
Week 7	1.034	0.950, 1.125	0.440		-	-	Week 7	22.4
Week 8	1.185	1.076, 1.304	< 0.001		-	-	Week 8	24.8
Week 9 (Spring	1.046	0.940, 1.162	0.408		-	-	Week 9	22.6
and Autumn								
term)								
May Week	1.149	0.942, 1.310	0.172		-	-	Week 10	24.2
(Summer term								
only)								
Grad Week	1.111	0.940, 1.400	0.210		-	-	Week 11	23.6
(Summer term								
only)								

Table S6: Best model for vegetarian sales at College B. VegSales ~ VegAvailPercent + TotalOptionsAvailable + Term + Meal + MeanTemp + VegNonVegPriceDifferential + Day + Week. AIC=2146.7, log-likelihood=-1052.3, McFadden's pseudo R2 = 0.393. Conditions used to generate predictions: VegAvailPercent=25, TotalOptionsAvailable=4, Term=Summer, Meal=Lunch, MeanTemp=10, VegNonVegPriceDifferential=0.2, Day=Wed, Week=5. Effect size calculated by taking the exponential of the model estimate.

Variable	Effect	Effect size	p-value	Narrative	Example	Predicted	Example	Predicted
	size	95% CIs	_		value	veg sales (%)	value	veg sales (%)
Veg Availability (%)	1.032	1.029, 1.034	< 0.001	Meals with higher vegetarian availability had higher vegetarian sales.	25	18.4	50	32.9
Total meals sold	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model.	100	NA	200	NA
Total options available	1.099	1.060, 1.139	< 0.001	Mealtimes with more total options had higher vegetarian sales.	3	17.0	5	19.9
Summer term	1.163	1.064, 1.272	< 0.001	Summer term has higher vegetarian sales than spring.	Spring	16.2	Summer	18.4
Autumn term	1.402	1.306, 1.504	< 0.001	Autumn term has higher vegetarian sales than spring.	Spring	16.2	Autumn	21.4
Meal	1.209	1.148, 1.273	< 0.001	Dinner has higher vegetarian sales than lunch.	Lunch	18.4	Dinner	21.4
Mean temp	0.992	0.985, 0.999	0.0254	Warmer temperatures had lower vegetarian sales.	5°C	19.0	15°C	17.8
Veg NonVeg price differential	0.327	0.207, 0.517	< 0.001	Meals with relatively cheaper vegetarian options had lower vegetarian sales.	£0.05	21.1	£0.50	13.9
Tuesday	0.986	0.909, 1.069	0.726	Tuesdays did not have significantly different	Mon	16.1	Tue	15.9
Wednesday	1.173	1.083, 1.271	< 0.001	vegetarian sales to Mondays; Wednesdays and	-	-	Wed	18.4
Thursday	0.880	0.812, 0.954	< 0.01	Fridays had higher vegetarian sales, and	-	-	Thu	14.5
Friday	1.098	1.010, 1.192	0.027	Thursdays lower, than Mondays.	-	-	Fri	17.4
Week 2	1.078	0.965, 1.204	0.181	Weeks 2 and 10 did not have significantly	Week 1	15.0	Week 2	16.0
Week 3	1.153	1.033, 1.286	0.011	different vegetarian sales from Week 1, Weeks 3,	-	-	Week 3	16.9
Week 4	1.148	1.029, 1.282	0.0138	4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 had higher vegetarian sales than	-	-	Week 4	16.9
Week 5	1.275	1.141, 1.425	< 0.001	Week 1.	-	-	Week 5	18.4
Week 6	1.216	1.085, 1.364	< 0.001		-	-	Week 6	17.7
Week 7	1.163	1.043, 1.296	< 0.01		-	-	Week 7	17.1
Week 8	1.261	1.123, 1.417	< 0.001		-	-	Week 8	18.2
Week 9 (Spring	1.209	1.069, 1.366	< 0.01		-	-	Week 9	17.6
and Autumn term)								
May Week (Summer term only)	1.171	0.921, 1.482	0.192		-	-	Week 10	17.2

Study 1: Percentage of vegetarian meals bought by diners

Table S7: Levels of vegetarian meal consumption during the study period (2017) and the previous term (autumn 2016) used to calculate prior levels of vegetarian meal consumption.

		College	e A	College	В
		Autumn term	2017 terms	Autumn term	2017 terms
		2016		2016	
All diners	Number of diners	940	1394	495	746
Diners who bought 10	Number of diners	605	1013	227	565
or more meals					
	Omnivores, vegetarians and carnivores				
	Number of obligate vegetarians, (vegetarian =100%)	12	6	7	14
	Number of omnivores	533	970	144	496
	Number of obligate carnivores, (vegetarian =0%)	60	37	76	55
	Percentage of vegetarian meals bought by individual diners				
	Lower quartile	7.7%	10.8%	0%	6.3%
	Median	18.9%	21.4%	7.1%	16.4%
	Mean	26.9%	28.3%	17.0%	24.9%
	Upper quartile	36.4%	37.9%	22.7%	32.6%

Study 1: Data included in individual-level analyses

Table S8: Number of cafeteria visits, meals bought and diners in the individual-level data included in analyses. We used a binomial ("VegModel") variable, representing each cafeteria visit made by identifiable diners, to analyse the data: if one or more vegetarian meals were bought at one mealtime this was coded as 1, and 0 for one or more meat meals. If a diner bought a vegetarian meal(s) and a meat meal(s) at one meal time this was coded as NA and excluded from the analysis.

		C	College A		College B		
Data type	Data	Cafeteria	Meals	Diners	Cafeteria	Meals	Diners
		visits	bought		visits	bought	
Aggregate data	Data from both guests and identifiable diners	NA	51,251	NA	NA	35,681	NA
Individual-level	All data	43,751	46,109	1,394	31,956	34,191	746
data	Data with a prior-level of vegetarian meals consumption	33,180	34,804	597	19,950	21,514	222
	value						
	Data with a VegModel variable	43,052	44,568	1,386	31,488	33,147	741
	Data included in analysis (values for prior-level of	32,687	33,729	597	19,663	20,856	222
	vegetarian meal consumption and VegModel variable)						

Study 1: Best models for likelihood of choosing a vegetarian meal - individual-level data

Table S9: College A, best model for likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal. VegModelVariable ~ (VegAvailPercent*PriorVegConsumptionQuartile) + TotalMealsSold + TotalOptionsAvailable + Term + Meal + MeanTemp + Day + Week + (1|CardUser). AIC= 29499.7, log-likelihood= -14719.8. Conditions used to generate predictions: VegAvailPercent=25, TotalMeals=180; TotalOptionsAvailable=4; Term=Easter; Meal=Lunch; Mean temp=10; VegNonVegPriceDiff=£0.20; Day=Wed; Week=5; Vegetarian consumption quartiles weighted equally. Effect size calculated by taking the exponential of the model estimate.

Variable	Effect	Effect size 95%	p-value	Narrative	Example	Likelihood of	Example	Likelihood
	size	CIs			value	selecting a veg	value	of selecting
						meal		a veg meal
Veg Availability (%)	1.037	1.031, 1.042	< 0.001	Likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal increased as	25	0.605	50	0.791
Quartile-MoreVeg	0.174	0.128, 0.237	< 0.001	vegetarian availability increased. The likelihood of the	25	0.221	50	0.426
Quartile-LessVeg	0.095	0.069, 0.131	< 0.001	Most Vegetarian quartile selecting a vegetarian meal >	25	0.137	50	0.299
Quartile-LeastVeg	0.032	0.023, 0.045	< 0.001	MoreVeg > LessVeg > LeastVeg.	25	0.062	50	0.181
VegAvail:MoreVeg	1.002	0.995, 1.010	0.522	Only the Least Vegetarian quartile has a stronger response	NA	NA	NA	NA
VegAvail:LessVeg	1.003	0.996, 1.011	0.382	to increasing vegetarian availability than the MostVeg.	NA	NA	NA	NA
VegAvail:LeastVeg	1.012	1.004, 1.020	0.004		NA	NA	NA	NA
Total meals sold	1.002	1.001, 1.003	< 0.001	Likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal increased as more meals were sold.	100	0.181	250	0.231
Total options available	0.952	0.922, 0.983	0.002	Lower likelihood of selecting a vegetarian when there were	3	0.215	5	0.199
_				more total options.				
Summer term	0.821	0.735, 0.918	< 0.001	Higher likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal in Spring	Spring	0.241	Summer	0.207
Autumn term	0.779	0.710, 0.854	< 0.001	term than Summer and Autumn.	-		Autumn	0.198
Meal	1.155	0.797, 0.943	< 0.001	Higher likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal at lunch than dinner.	Lunch	0.207	Dinner	0.184
Moon tomp	1.010	1.001, 1.019	0.030	Higher likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal at higher	5°C	0.198	15°C	0.215
Mean temp	1.010	1.001, 1.019	0.050	ambient temperatures.	50	0.198	15 C	0.215
Veg NonVeg price	1.779	1.359, 2.343	< 0.001	Higher likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal when they	£0.05	0.193	£0.50	0.237
differential				are relatively cheaper compared to meat meals				
Tuesday	1.270	1.156, 1.394	< 0.001	Tuesdays and Thursdays had higher likelihoods of selecting	Mon	0.201	Tue	0.242
Wednesday	1.035	0.947, 1.130	0.449	a vegetarian meal than Mons. No significant difference in	-	-	Wed	0.207
Thursday	1.336	1.218, 1.464	< 0.001	likelihood between Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays.	-	-	Thu	0.252
Friday	0.896	0.810, 0.987	0.030		-	-	Fri	0.184
Week 2	1.237	1.092, 1.401	< 0.001	Weeks 3, 4, 6, 7, 9 and 10 did not have significantly	Week 1	0.183	Week 2	0.217
Week 3	1.082	0.953, 1.230	0.228	different likelihoods of selecting a vegetarian meal than	-	-	Week 3	0.195
Week 4	1.019	0.900, 1.155	0.770	Week 1; Weeks 2, 5, 8 and 11 had higher vegetarian sales	-	-	Week 4	0.186
Week 5	1.162	1.018, 1.328	0.027	than Week 1.	-	-	Week 5	0.207
Week 6	1.009	0.882, 1.158	0.894		-	-	Week 6	0.185
Week 7	0.976	0.860, 1.109	0.703		-	-	Week 7	0.180
Week 8	1.232	1.062, 1.431	0.006		-	-	Week 8	0.216
Week 9	1.105	0.935, 1.304	0.242		-	-	Week 9	0.198
May Week (Summer	1.223	0.939, 1.600	0.138		-	-	Week 10	0.215
term only)	1 252	1.002 1.922	0.040				West 11	0.222
Grad Week (Summer term only	1.353	1.002, 1.832	0.049		-	-	Week 11	0.233

Table S10: College B, best model for likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal. VegModelVariable ~ (VegAvailPercent*PriorVegConsumptionQuartile) + TotalOptionsAvailable + Term + Meal + MeanTemp + VegNonVegPriceDifferential + Day + Week + (1|CardUser). AIC=12906.6, log-likelihood= -6426.3. Conditions used to generate predictions: VegAvailPercent=25, TotalOptionAvailables=4; Term=Easter; Meal=Lunch; VegNonVegPriceDiff=£0.20; Day=Wed; Week=5; Vegetarian consumption quartiles weighted equally. Effect size calculated by taking the exponential of the model estimate.

Variable	Effect	Effect size 95%	p-value	Narrative	Example	Likelihood	Example	Likelihood
	size	CIs			value	of selecting a veg meal	value	of selecting a veg meal
Veg Availability (%)	1.030	1.023, 1.037	< 0.001	Likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal increased as	25	0.517	50	0.692
Quartile-MoreVeg	0.059	0.030, 0.116	<0.001	vegetarian availability increased. The likelihood of the	25	0.086	50	0.227
Quartile-LessVeg	0.031	0.015, 0.067	< 0.001	Most Vegetarian quartile selecting a vegetarian meal >	25	0.052	50	0.159
Quartile-LeastVeg	0.012	0.006, 0.024	< 0.001	MoreVeg > LessVeg > LeastVeg.	25	0.023	50	0.082
VegAvail:MoreVeg	1.016	1.007, 1.025	< 0.001	All other quartiles had a stronger response to	NA	NA	NA	NA
VegAvail:LessVeg	1.020	1.010, 1.030	< 0.001	increasing vegetarian availability than the MostVeg	NA	NA	NA	NA
VegAvail:LeastVeg	1.024	1.014, 1.034	< 0.001	quartile.	NA	NA	NA	NA
Total meals sold	NA	0.997, 1.141	NA	Not included in best model	100	NA	250	NA
Total options available	1.067		0.061	Higher likelihood of selecting a vegetarian when there	3	0.091	5	0.102
		0.103, 0.545		were more total options.				
Summer term	1.106	0.983, 1.245	0.094	Higher likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal in	Spring	0.088	Summer	0.097
Autumn term	1.397		< 0.001	Autumn term than Spring term, no significant	-	-	Autumn	0.119
		1.229, 1.587		difference between Spring and Summer terms.				
Meal	1.114	1.007, 1.233	0.036	Higher likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal at	Lunch	0.097	Dinner	0.107
				dinner than lunch.	0		0	
Mean temp	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model	5°C	-	15°C	-
Veg NonVeg price	0.237	0.103, 0.545	< 0.001	Lower likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal when	£0.05	0.117	£0.50	0.065
differential				they were relatively cheaper compared to meat meals				0.000
Tuesday	1.145	0.991, 1.323	0.067	No difference for likelihood of selecting a vegetarian	Mon	0.071	Tue	0.080
Wednesday	1.408	1.222, 1.623	< 0.001	meal on Tuesdays and Fridays, higher likelihood on	-	-	Wed	0.097
Thursday	0.846	0.731, 0.980	0.026	Wednesdays and lower likelihood on Thursdays,	-	-	Thu	0.060
Friday	1.136	0.980, 1.317	0.091	compared to Mondays.	-	-	Fri	0.079
Week 2	1.273	1.053, 1.539	0.013	Higher likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal	Week 1	0.077	Week 2	0.096
Week 3	1.281	1.064, 1.542	0.009	during Weeks 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 8 compared to Week 1.	-	-	Week 3	0.096
Week 4	1.147	0.948, 1.386	0.157	No difference in likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal in Weeks 4, 9 and May Week compared to Week	-	-	Week 4	0.087
Week 5	1.284	1.067, 1.545	0.008	1.	-	-	Week 5	0.097
Week 6	1.392	1.151, 1.683	< 0.001	1.	-	-	Week 6	0.104
Week 7	1.275	1.054, 1.544	0.013		-	-	Week 7	0.096
Week 8	1.459	1.199, 1.776	< 0.001		-	-	Week 8	0.108
Week 9 (Spring and	1.177	0.020 1.475	0.158		-	-	Week 9	0.089
Autumn term)	1.05	0.939, 1.475	0.801				Week 10	0.000
May Week (Summer term only)	1.05	0.720, 1.530	0.801		-	-	week 10	0.080
term omy)		0.720, 1.530						

Study 1: Best models for total sales

Table S11: College A, best model for total sales. TotalMealsSold ~ VegAvailPercent + TotalOptionsAvailable + Term + Meal + Day + Week.AIC=2788.1, log-likelihood= -1373.0, Adjusted R^2 =0.425. Conditions used to generate predictions: VegAvailPercent=25; TotalOptionsAvailable=4, Term=Easter,
Meal=Lunch, Day=Wed, Week=5. Effect size calculated by adding the model estimate to the intercept (162) and dividing by the intercept.

Variable	Effect	Effect size CIs	p-value	Narrative	Example	Predicted	Example	Predicted
	size				value	total sales	value	total sales
Veg Availability (%)	1.001	0.997, 1.003	0.707	Vegetarian availability had no significant effect on total sales.	25	216.8	50	219.2
Total options available	1.064	1.041, 1.078	<0.001	Higher total sales when there were more total options available, an average of 10.3 additional meals sold for every additional meal option.	3	206.5	5	216.8
Summer term	1.157	1.097, 1.195	< 0.001	Higher total sales in Summer term than Spring term.	Spring	191.4	Summer	216.8
Autumn term	1.011	0.916, 1.072	0.783	No difference in total sales between Autumn term and Spring term.	-	-	Autumn	193.1
Meal	1.140	1.100, 1.166	< 0.001	On average 22.7 more meals sold at dinner than lunch.	Lunch	216.8	Dinner	239.5
Mean temperature	NA	0.698, 0.965	NA	Not included in best model	5°C	NA	15°C	NA
Veg NonVeg price differential	NA	0.876, 1.077	NA	Not included in best model	£0.05	NA	£0.50	NA
Tuesday	0.861	0.765, 1.008	0.005	Tuesday and Friday had lower total sales than	Mon	217.0	Tue	194.4
Wednesday	0.999	0.648, 0.932	0.979	Monday; Wednesday and Thursday did not	-		Wed	216.8
Thursday	0.913	0.676, 1.014	0.080	have significantly different total sales from	-		Thu	202.9
Friday	0.821	0.741, 1.055	< 0.001	Monday.	-		Fri	188.0
Week 2	0.882	0.679, 1.013	0.087	Weeks 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 did not have	Week 1	231.2	Week 2	212.0
Week 3	0.933	0.717, 1.036	0.325	significantly different total sales from Week 1;	-	-	Week 3	220.2
Week 4	0.882	0.609, 0.966	0.084	Weeks 6, 8, 9, May Week and Grad Week had	-	-	Week 4	212.1
Week 5	0.911	0.722, 1.041	0.190	significantly lower total sales than Week 1.	-	-	Week 5	216.8
Week 6	0.827	0.450, 0.869	0.011		-	-	Week 6	203.0
Week 7	0.916	0.439, 0.885	0.217		-	-	Week 7	217.6
Week 8	0.706	-0.061, 0.641	< 0.001		-	-	Week 8	183.4
Week 9 (Spring and	0.711	-0.403, 0.434	< 0.001		-	-	Week 9	184.3
Autumn term)								
May Week 10	0.366	0.674, 1.308	< 0.001		-	-	Week 10	128.3
(Summer term)								
Week 11 (Summer term)	0.107	1.041, 1.078	< 0.001		-	-	Week 11	86.3

Table S12: College B, best model for total sales. TotalMealsSold ~ VegAvailPercent + Day + Week

AIC=2378.3, log-likelihood= -1173.1, Adjusted R^2 =0.421. Conditions used to generate predictions: VegAvailPercent=25, Day=Wed, Week=5. Effect size calculated by adding the model estimate to the intercept (166) and dividing by the intercept.

Variable	Effect	Effect size 95%	p-value	Narrative	Example	Predicted	Example	Predicted
	size	CIs	-		value	total sales	value	total sales
Veg Availability (%)	0.998	0.997, 0.999	< 0.001	Significantly fewer main meals were sold as	25	137.6	50	127.8
				vegetarian availability increased.				
Total options available	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model	3	NA	5	NA
Summer term	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model	Spring	NA	Summer	NA
Autumn term	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model	Spring	NA	Autumn	NA
Meal	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model	Lunch	NA	Dinner	NA
Mean temperature	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model	5°C	NA	15°C	NA
Veg NonVeg price	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model	£0.05	NA	£0.50	NA
differential								
Tuesday	0.927	0.872, 0.976	0.003	Thursday did not have significantly different	Mon	157.7	Tue	145.6
Wednesday	0.879	0.820, 0.931	< 0.001	sales from Mondays. Tuesdays, Wednesdays	-		Wed	137.6
Thursday	0.963	0.910, 1.009	0.120	and Fridays had significantly lower total sales	-		Thu	151.5
Friday	0.863	0.802, 0.917	< 0.001	than Mondays.	-		Fri	135.0
Week 2	0.976	0.906, 1.036	0.449	Weeks 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 did not have	Week 1	136.4	Week 2	132.3
Week 3	1.004	0.937, 1.062	0.910	significantly different sales compared to Week	-	-	Week 3	137.0
Week 4	0.990	0.922, 1.049	0.747	1. Weeks 8, 9, May Week and Grad Week had	-	-	Week 4	134.7
Week 5	1.007	0.941, 1.066	0.816	lower total sales than Week 1.	-	-	Week 5	137.6
Week 6	0.983	0.914, 1.044	0.603		-	-	Week 6	133.6
Week 7	0.982	0.913, 1.042	0.565		-	-	Week 7	133.3
Week 8	0.895	0.820, 0.961	0.001		-	-	Week 8	118.9
Week 9 (Spring and		0.844, 0.995			-	-	Week 9	123.8
Autumn term)	0.924		0.035					
May Week (Summer	0.532	0.398, 0.648	< 0.001		-	-	May	58.5
term)							Week	

Study 2: Example menus

Table S13: College C, control menu with no change to the number of vegetarian options on offer (usually one). (v)=vegetarian, (ve)=vegan. Although the menus present 4 options, the number of meals served at the cafeteria often varied.

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
Brocolli and brie quiche	Welsh Glamorgan vegetarian	Sundried tomato gnocchi	Beef tomatoes stuffed	Vegetable jambalaya (ve)
(V)	sausages with onion gravy (v)	with rocket (v)	with coconut vegetables (ve)	
Herby seafood crumble	Roast trout with spinach, sage and prosciutto	Hake with braised artichokes, peas and	Catfish with chipotle and ancho chilli recado	Deep fried fish with tartar
	sage and proscrutto	bacon		sauce
Breaded chicken with garlic and parsley butter	Denham farm state game and red wine pie	Sweet potato and chicken curry	Lamb and root vegetable cobbler	Chicken, mushroom and tarragon pie with shortcrust pastry
Vegetable chimichangers (ve)	Today's pasta with choice of two sauces	Spicy chicken pasty with sticky pickle	Today's pasta with choice of two sauces	Pork fajita

Table S14: College C, experimental menu with two designated vegetarian options. (v)=vegetarian, (ve)=vegan. Although the menus present 4 options, the number of meals served at the cafeteria often varied.

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
Agadeshi with buckwheat	Mediterranean stuffed	Roasted pepper and	Porcini mushroom	Lentil and barley burger
noodles (ve)	peppers (ve)	applewood smoked	bolognaise with	with spicy fruit salsa (ve)
		cheese quiche (v)	wholemeal spaghetti (v)	
Fish pie with a cheese and	Smoked haddock fish cakes	Pan roasted salmon with	Fish and prawn pasties	Deep fried fish with tartar
pretzel crust	with creamed leeks	three tomatoes		sauce
Chilli con carne finished	Chicken, smoked pancetta	Spicy beef South African	Crispy fennel pork belly	Harissa and lime yoghurt
with 70% dark chocolate	and bean stew with crispy	curry	with herb salsa	lamb steak
	sage			
Gluten free pasta with	Korean noodles with garlic	Gluten free pasta with	Blackened aubergine	Gluten free pasta with
roasted red pepper and	and ginger stir-fried	wild mushroom and	veggie chilli (ve)	roasted butternut (ve)
tomoato sauce (ve)	vegetables and noodles (v)	mascarpone sauce (v)		

Study 2: Frequency of vegetarian and total options

Table S15: Frequency of vegetarian options by the total options available and by experimental allocation, observations made at 44 lunchtimes.

	Total Options Available				Experimental allocation of number of vegetarian options			
Vegetarian options	4	5	6		1	2		
available					(Control)	(Experimental)		
1	5	2	1		8	0		
1.5	4	8	0		9	3		
2	13	11	0		4	20		

Study 2: Best model for vegetarian sales - aggregate data

Table S16: Best model for vegetarian sales at College C. VegSales~VegAvailPercent+TotalMealsSold+MeanTemp+VegNonVegPriceDifferential+Day+Week.AIC = 464.6, log-likelihood = -212.3, McFadden's pseudo $R^2 = 0.318$. Conditions used to generate predictions: VegAvailPercent=25, Total meals sold=150, Total options
available=4, MeanTemp=10, VegNonVegPriceDifferential=0.2, Day=Wed, Week=5. Effect size calculated by taking the exponential of the model estimate.

Variable	Effect	Effect size 95%	p-value	Narrative	Example	Predicted veg	Example	Predicted
	size	CIs	-		value	sales (%)	value	veg sales (%)
Veg Availability	1.018	1.007, 1.028	< 0.001	Meals with higher vegetarian availability had	25	19.1	50	26.9
(%)				higher vegetarian sales.				
Total meals sold	1.010	1.005, 1.015	< 0.001	Mealtimes with more meals sold had higher	100	12.5	200	28.0
				vegetarian sales.				
Total options	1.101	0.949, 1.277	0.205	Mealtimes with more total options had lower	3	17.7	5	20.6
available				vegetarian sales.				
Mean temperature	0.938	0.912, 0.966	< 0.001	Days with colder temperatures had higher	5°C	24.5	15°C	14.7
				vegetarian sales.				
Veg NonVeg price	0.374	0.182, 0.766	0.007	Mealtimes with relatively cheaper vegetarian	£0.05	21.5	£0.50	15.0
differential				options had lower vegetarian sales.				
Day: Tue	1.693	1.380, 2.078	< 0.001	Tuesdays and Wednesdays had higher	Mon	12.5	Tue	19.5
Day: Wed	1.650	1.343, 2.029	< 0.001	vegetarian sales than Mondays. Thursdays'	-		Wed	19.1
Day: Thu	1.167	0.960, 1.420	0.123	and Fridays' vegetarian sales do not differ	-		Thu	14.3
Day: Fri	1.048	0.843, 1.303	0.675	significantly from Mondays'.	-		Fri	13.1
Week 2	0.955	0.537, 1.712	0.876	Week 9 had lower vegetarian sales than	Week 1	15.7	Week 2	15.1
Week 3	0.924	0.498, 1.740	0.804	Week 1. All other weeks did not have			Week 3	14.7
Week 4	1.409	0.853, 2.382	0.189	significantly different vegetarian sales than			Week 4	20.8
Week 5	1.266	0.803, 2.052	0.323	Week 1.			Week 5	19.1
Week 6	1.127	0.685, 1.894	0.644				Week 6	17.4
Week 7	0.855	0.512, 1.458	0.556				Week 7	13.8
Week 8	1.130	0.690, 1.894	0.635				Week 8	17.4
Week 9	0.585	0.352, 0.994	0.043				Week 9	9.8
Week 10	1.186	0.715, 2.007	0.516				Week 10	18.1
(Christmas								
holidays)								
Week 11	1.229	0.715, 2.157	0.463				Week 11	18.7
(Christmas								
holidays)								

Study 2: Percentage of vegetarian meals bought by diners

Table S17: College C, levels of vegetarian meal consumption during the study period (lunches autumn term 2017) and the term (lunches and dinners summer term 2017) used to calculate prior levels of vegetarian meal consumption.

		Summer term	Autumn term
		2017	2017
All diners	Number of diners	481	491
Diners who	Number of diners	224	314
bought 10 or			
more meals	Omnivores, vegetarians and carnivores		
	Number of obligate vegetarians, (vegetarian =100%)	0	1
	Number of omnivores	194	283
	Number of obligate carnivores, (vegetarian =0%)	30	30
	Percentage of vegetarian meals bought by individual diners		
	Lower quartile	5.9%	6.3%
	Median	12.5%	14.7%
	Mean	19.8%	19.9%
	Upper quartile	27.0%	26.9%

Study 2: Data included in individual-level analyses

Table S18: College C, number of cafeteria visits, meals bought and diners in the individual-level data included in analyses. We used a binomial ("VegModel") variable, representing each cafeteria visit made by identifiable diners, to analyse the data: if one or more vegetarian meals were bought at one mealtime this was coded as 1, and 0 for one or more meat meals. If a diner bought a vegetarian meal(s) and a meat meal(s) at one meal time this was coded as NA and excluded from the analysis.

Data type	Data	Cafeteria	Meals	Diners
		visits	bought	
Aggregate data	Data from both guests and identifiable	NA	7712	NA
	diners			
Individual-level data	All data	4565	5153	491
	Data with a prior-level of vegetarian	1661	1977	121
	meals consumption value			
	Data with a VegModel variable	4358	4716	482
	Data included in analysis (values for	1585	1718	121
	prior-level of vegetarian meal			
	consumption and VegModel variable)			

Study 2: Best models for individual-level analyses

Table S19: College C, best model for likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal. VegModelVariable~ (VegAvail *PriorVegConsumptionQuartile) +ObservedTotalOptionsAvailable+ TotalMealsSold+MeanTemp+Day+Week+(1|CardUser). AIC=1341.5, log-likelihood=-644.8. Conditions used to generate predictions: VegAvail=25, TotalMealsSold=150, TotalOptionsAvailable=4, MeanTemp=10, Day=Wed, Week=5, Vegetarian consumption quartiles weighted equally. Effect size calculated by taking the exponential of the model estimate.

Variable	Effect	Effect size 95%	p-value	Narrative	Example	Likelihood	Example	Likelihood
	size	CIs	-		value	of selecting	value	of selecting
						a veg meal		a veg meal
Veg Availability (%)	1.000	0.967, 1.034	0.983	Likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal increased	25	0.350	50	0.348
Quartile-MoreVeg	0.110	0.025, 0.493	0.004	as vegetarian availability increased. The likelihood	25	0.101	50	0.173
Quartile-LessVeg	0.038	0.006, 0.236	< 0.001	of the Most Vegetarian quartile selecting a	25	0.039	50	0.072
Quartile-LeastVeg	0.011	0.001, 0.086	< 0.001	vegetarian meal > MoreVeg > LessVeg > LeastVeg.	25	0.021	50	0.070
VegAvail:MoreVeg	1.026	0.989, 1.063	0.168	Only the Least Vegetarian quartile had a stronger	NA		NA	
VegAvail:LessVeg	1.027	0.983, 1.074	0.234	response to increasing vegetarian availability than	NA		NA	
VegAvail:LeastVeg	1.053	1.002, 1.106	0.041	the MostVeg.	NA		NA	
Total meals sold	1.016	1.002, 1.030	< 0.001	Likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal increased as more meals are sold.	100	0.036	200	0.159
Observed total options	1.219	0.850, 1.749	0.273	Higher likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal	3	0.065	5	0.093
available				when there are more total options.	-0.5			
Mean temp	0.880	0.812, 0.955	0.002	Lower likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal at higher ambient temperatures.	5°C	0.138	15°C	0.043
Veg NonVeg price differential	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model.	£0.05	NA	£0.50	NA
Tuesday	2.109	1.252, 3.550	0.005	Tuesdays and Wednesdays had higher likelihood of	Mon	0.042	Tue	0.084
Wednesday	1.933	1.179, 3.171	0.010	selecting a vegetarian meal than Mondays. No	-		Wed	0.078
Thursday	1.101	0.665, 1.822	0.710	significant difference in likelihood between	-		Thu	0.046
Friday	0.743	0.423, 1.304	0.292	Mondays, Thursdays and Fridays.	-		Fri	0.031
Week 2	1.165	0.290, 4.684	0.830	Lower likelihood of selecting a vegetarian meal in	Week 1	0.107	Week 2	0.122
Week 3	0.445	0.087, 2.267	0.229	Week 9 than Week 1, no significant difference	-		Week 3	0.051
Week 4	1.061	0.299, 3.766	0.920	between Week 1 and other weeks.	-		Week 4	0.113
Week 5	0.706	0.224, 2.230	0.541		-		Week 5	0.078
Week 6	0.567	0.161, 1.996	0.320		-		Week 6	0.064
Week 7	0.467	0.129, 1.689	0.200		-		Week 7	0.053
Week 8	0.811	0.240, 2.738	0.713		-		Week 8	0.088
Week 9	0.181	0.049, 0.673	0.008		-		Week 9	0.021
Week 10 (Christmas holidays)	0.868	0.246, 3.054	0.825		-		Week 10	0.094
Week 11 (Christmas holidays)	0.793	0.198, 3.178	0.736		-		Week 11	0.087

Study 2: Best models for total sales

Table S20: College C, best model for total sales. TotalMealsSold ~ VegAvailPercent + Week. AIC= 384.3, log-likelihood =-179.2, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.679$. Conditions used to generate predictions: VegAvail=25; Week=4. Effect size calculated by adding the model estimate to the intercept (160) and dividing by the intercept.

Variable	Effect size	Effect size 95% CIs	p-value	Narrative	Example value	Predicted total sales	Example value	Predicted total sales
Veg Availability (%)	1.000	0.993, 1.004	0.942	Vegetarian availability had no effect on	25	188.0	50	188.8
				total meals sold.				
Total options available	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model.	NA		NA	
Mean temperature	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model.	NA		NA	
Veg NonVeg price differential	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model.	NA		NA	
Tuesday	NA	NA	NA	Not included in best model.	Mon		Tue	
Wednesday	NA	NA	NA		-		Wed	
Thursday	NA	NA	NA		-		Thu	
Friday	NA	NA	NA		-		Fri	
Week 2	1.022	0.679, 1.223	0.865	Weeks 3 had significantly higher, and	Week 1	160.9	Week 2	164.4
Week 3	1.325	1.082, 1.468	0.018	Week 11 significantly lower, total sales			Week 3	212.9
Week 4	1.170	0.901, 1.327	0.164	than Week 1. Weeks 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9			Week 4	188.0
Week 5	1.069	0.779, 1.239	0.549	and 10 did not have significantly			Week 5	171.9
Week 6	1.231	0.999, 1.367	0.051	different total sales from Week 1.			Week 6	197.9
Week 7	1.165	0.891, 1.325	0.181				Week 7	187.2
Week 8	1.155	0.882, 1.315	0.202				Week 8	185.7
Week 9	1.106	0.828, 1.268	0.363				Week 9	177.8
Week 10 (Christmas holidays)	0.884	0.512, 1.102	0.340				Week 10	142.2
Week 11 (Christmas holidays)	0.743	0.324, 0.988	0.038				Week 11	119.7

Study 2: Best model for vegetarian sales at dinner

Table S21: College C, Best model for vegetarian sales at dinner, only including meals bought by diners who attended 1 or more lunchtimes during the autumn term. VegSales ~ ExperimentalCondition + MenuVegAvail + TotalMealsSold + MeanTemp + VegNonVegPriceDifferential+Day. AIC=424.4, log-likelihood=-202.2, McFadden's pseudo $R^2 = 0.246$. Conditions used to generate predictions: Experimental Condition=Control, VegAvail=25, TotalMealsSold=100, MeanTemp=10, VegNonVegPriceDifferential=0.2, Day=Wed. The total number of options served was not observed at dinnertimes, and therefore relative vegetarian availability was calculated from the listed menu options, however the actual options served may have differed. Effect size calculated by taking the exponential of the model estimate.

Variable	Effect	Effect size	p-value	Narrative	Example	Predicted	Example	Predicted
	size	95% CIs			value	veg sales (%)	value	veg sales (%)
Condition:	0.953	0.795, 1.141	0.601	Vegetarian sales at dinners in	Control	8.0	Experimental	7.6
Experimental week				experimental and control weeks were not significantly different.				
Veg Availability (%) listed on menu	1.000	1.000, 1.000	<0.001	Vegetarian sales increased with the vegetarian availability listed on the menu.	25	8.0	50	15.7
Total meals sold	1.007	1.002, 1.011	0.005	Dinners with higher sales sold relatively more vegetarian options.	80	7.0	120	9.0
Total options available	NA	NA	NA	The menu always listed 4 options (although in reality sometimes 5 or 6 options were sometimes served).	NA		NA	
Mean temperature	1.048	1.026, 1.070	<0.001	Days with higher temperatures had higher vegetarian sales.	5°C	6.4	15°C	9.8
Veg NonVeg price differential	5.247	1.067, 26.072	0.042	Mealtimes with relatively cheaper vegetarian options had higher vegetarian sales.	£0.05	6.3	£0.50	12.4
Tuesday	1.248	0.978, 1.594	0.076	Fridays and Wednesdays had	Mon	11.3	Tue	13.7
Wednesday	0.682	0.493, 0.938	0.019	lower vegetarian sales than	-		Wed	8.0
Thursday	1.364	1.037, 1.792	0.026	Mondays. Thursdays' vegetarian	-		Thu	14.7
Friday	0.602	0.376, 0.958	0.033	sales were higher than Mondays' and Tuesdays' were not significantly different	-		Fri	7.1