1	Title: Dengue viruses cluster antigenically but not as discrete serotypes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Authors: Leah C. Katzelnick^{1,2,3,4}, Judith M. Fonville^{1,2,5}, Gregory D. Gromowski³, Jose Bustos Arriaga³, Angela Green⁴, Sarah L. James^{1,2}, Louis Lau⁴, Magelda Montoya⁴, Chunling Wang⁴, Laura A. VanBlargan³, Colin A. Russell⁶, Hlaing Myat Thu⁷, Theodore C. Pierson³, Philippe Buchy⁸, John G. Aaskov^{9,10}, Jorge L. Muñoz-Jordán¹¹, Nikos Vasilakis^{12,13,14}, Robert V. Gibbons¹⁵, Robert B. Tesh^{12,13,14}, Albert D.M.E. Osterhaus⁵, Ron A.M. Fouchier⁵, Anna Durbin¹⁶, Cameron P. Simmons^{17,18,19}, Edward C. Holmes²⁰, Eva Harris⁴, Stephen S. Whitehead³, Derek J. Smith^{1,2,5*}
10	Affiliations:
11 12	¹ Center for Pathogen Evolution, Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK.
13 14	² World Health Organization (WHO) Collaborating Centre for Modeling, Evolution, and Control of Emerging Infectious Diseases, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK.
15 16	³ National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA.
17 18	⁴ Division of Infectious Diseases and Vaccinology, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720-3370, USA.
19	⁵ Department of Viroscience, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam 3015GE, Netherlands.
20	⁶ Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB3 0ES, UK.
21	⁷ Department of Medical Research, Ziwaka Road, Yangon, Myanmar.
22 23	⁸ Institut Pasteur in Cambodia, Réseau International des Instituts Pasteur, Phnom Penh <i>12201</i> , Cambodia.
24 25	⁹ Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane 4001, Australia.
26	¹⁰ Australian Army Malaria Institute, Brisbane 4051, Australia.
27 28	¹¹ Dengue Branch, Division of Vector-Borne Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, San Juan 00971, Puerto Rico.
29 30	¹² Department of Pathology and Center for Biodefense and Emerging Infectious Diseases, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX 77555, USA.
31 32	¹³ Center for Tropical Diseases, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX 77555, USA.
33 34	¹⁴ Institute for Human Infections and Immunity, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX 77555, USA.

- ¹⁵ Department of Virology, Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangkok
 10400, Thailand.
- ¹⁶Center for Immunization Research, Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins
- 38 Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA.
- 39
- ¹⁷ Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Wellcome Trust Major Overseas Programme, Ho
- 41 Chi Minh City, Vietnam.
- ¹⁸ Centre for Tropical Medicine, Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Oxford
 OX3 7LJ, UK.
- ¹⁹ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Melbourne, Parkville 3010,
 Australia.
- 46 ²⁰ Marie Bashir Institute of Infectious Diseases and Biosecurity, Charles Perkins Centre, School
- 47 of Biological Sciences and Sydney Medical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney 2006,
- 48 Australia.
- 49 * Corresponding author. E-mail: Derek Smith (djs200@cam.ac.uk).

50 Abstract: The four genetically divergent dengue virus (DENV) types are traditionally classified 51 as serotypes. Antigenic and genetic differences among the DENV types influence disease 52 outcome, vaccine-induced protection, epidemic magnitude, and viral evolution. We 53 characterized antigenic diversity in the DENV types by antigenic maps constructed from 54 neutralizing antibody titers obtained from African green monkeys and after human vaccination 55 and natural infections. Genetically, geographically, and temporally, diverse DENV isolates 56 clustered loosely by type, but we found many are as similar antigenically to a virus of a different 57 type as to some viruses of the same type. Primary infection antisera did not neutralize all viruses 58 of the same DENV type any better than other types did up to two years after infection and did 59 not show improved neutralization to homologous type isolates. That the canonical DENV types 60 are not antigenically homogenous has implications for vaccination and research on the dynamics 61 of immunity, disease, and the evolution of DENV.

Main text: Dengue virus (DENV) infects up to 390 million people each year, and of the 96 million individuals who develop an acute systemic illness, approximately 500,000 experience potentially life-threatening complications, including hemorrhage and shock (*1*, *2*). The four genetic DENV types have long been thought to exist as four serotypes, and the antigenic differences between the types are believed to have a key role in the severity of disease, epidemic magnitude, viral evolution, and design of vaccines (*3*–*5*).

68 The description of DENV types as serotypes originated with the observation that the 69 human immune response following primary DENV infection fully protected against challenge 70 with viruses of the homologous type but only partially, and transiently, protected against 71 challenge by viruses of a heterologous type (6). This finding was supported by *in vitro* 72 neutralization experiments in which each DENV type was on average better neutralized by 73 homologous than heterologous DENV infection antisera (7). The immune response immediately 74 after a primary DENV infection varied from individual to individual, but generally was 75 characterized by high levels of neutralizing antibody titers to multiple DENV types. The 76 neutralizing response was observed to become more DENV type-specific over time (8). It was 77 later shown that antibodies to a heterologous DENV type could enhance infection *in vivo* and 78 were associated with increased risk of severe disease in nature (9, 10). Although antigenic 79 variability was observed within DENV types from the earliest studies, this variation is generally 80 considered to be substantially less than the differences between types, and not thought to modify type-specific protection (11, 12). Together, the DENV types clearly form an antigenic subgroup 81 82 within the *Flaviviridae* (13, 14). Analyses of envelope (E) proteins, and later full genomes, 83 showed that the four types are as genetically divergent among themselves as sequences assigned 84 to different viruses within the genus Flavivirus (15). These deep evolutionary divergences

between DENV types were evident in the phylogenetic tree of the genetically diverse E-gene
sequences of the viruses we investigated here (Fig. 1A; fig. S1; and table S1) (*16*). Similarly, a
map of amino acid differences between the E proteins revealed four compact, segregated types
(Fig. 1B and fig. S2), as the number of amino acid substitutions between heterologous types far
exceeded the maximum difference within a type.

90 However, investigations that rely on the classification of DENV into serotypes do not 91 fully explain clinical and epidemiological phenomena. Despite this, antigenic properties are still 92 thought to play a critical role in the biology of DENV infections. One hypothesis is that 93 antigenic differences are critical, but that categorization by serotype alone is too coarse a 94 measure. For example, differences in epidemic magnitude might be determined not only by the 95 serotype but also by the antigenic differences between the particular infecting viruses that 96 populations experience during sequential epidemics. Antigenic variation within and among the 97 DENV types has also been hypothesized, in addition to intrinsic viral fitness and other factors, to 98 explain phenomena including extinction and replacement of previously successful lineages and 99 variation in disease outcome caused by genetically similar viruses (17-19). Here, we empirically 100 test the antigenic relationships among a panel of diverse DENV isolates and re-examine the 101 serotype concept.

102 Antigenic differences among viruses are caused by amino acid differences that lead to 103 structural changes on viral proteins that modify antibody binding. The structural effect of such 104 amino acid substitutions is difficult to predict from genetic sequences alone. In some instances 105 substitutions have no antigenic effect, sometimes single substitutions cause substantial antigenic 106 change, and other times it takes multiple substitutions (20, 21). Thus today, antigenic differences 107 must be determined by phenotype, including by an antibody neutralization assay (13). Most

108 often, viruses are measured against multiple sera to form a table of neutralization data from 109 which antigenic relationships are inferred (22). However, such inferences are notoriously 110 difficult to make, and this has hindered the reliable systematic antigenic characterization of 111 DENV. The difficulties are caused by random error, the use of diverse methods among 112 laboratories, and the intrinsic variability among immune sera due to differences in hosts and 113 infection histories (23, 24). Moreover, neutralization data often contain apparent contradictions 114 that are difficult to interpret, such as higher-than-homologous titers and sera that similarly 115 neutralize multiple DENV types.

116 Previous antigenic analyses of DENV have addressed such challenges by using 117 monoclonal antibodies, averaging responses of many individuals, or excluding sera with unusual 118 patterns of reactivity. Despite careful work, these approaches have not produced a unified 119 framework for understanding patterns across large neutralization data sets. Antigenic 120 cartography is a method that positions viruses and antisera as points in a map, such that the 121 distance between each virus and antiserum is derived from the corresponding neutralization titer 122 in the tabular data. This method exploits variation in host responses to better triangulate the map, 123 reduces the effect of some measurement errors because each virus is measured against multiple 124 antisera (and vice versa), and has been shown to accurately interpret apparent contradictions in 125 the data (25).

We formed the Dengue Antigenic Cartography Consortium, an open collaboration of international research laboratories, to establish empirically how DENV types relate to one another antigenically. Thirty-six African green monkeys (*Chlorocebus sabaeus*, hereafter NHP) were experimentally inoculated with diverse DENV isolates, and their sera were tested for neutralizing antibody potency against the genetically (all known genotypes), temporally (1944-

2012), and geographically (20 countries) diverse panel of DENV isolates shown in Fig. 1 (table
S1). Serum samples were taken three months post-inoculation, and titrations were conducted
using an immunofocus reduction neutralization test on mosquito cells (C6/36, *Aedes albopictus*)
(tables S2-S7 and fig. S3) (*16*, *26*). A conventional interpretation of the raw antibody
neutralization titers was consistent with previous observations, both for DENV and for other
flaviviruses: antisera were generally able to neutralize viruses of the infecting type better than
heterologous types.

The cartographic analyses fit these data with low error and were internally consistent (figs. S4, S6, and S7). Only 1% of map distances differed by more than four-fold from the measured titer (table S8). The positions of viruses and antisera were robust to different methods of calculating neutralization titers and to the exclusion of outliers (figs. S5, S8-S12 and table S10). Maps made with random subsets of the data set could predict excluded titers within twofold error (r=0.90 for the relation between all measured and predicted titers) (table S9).

Our analyses showed that the DENV isolates in our panel did group according to current serotype classification (Fig. 2), and the majority of viruses neighboring any given virus are of the same DENV type. However, many of the viruses were positioned as close to a virus of another DENV type as to some viruses of their own type, and the distance within and between types was comparable. Similarly, while neutralizing antisera responses clustered closely to viruses of the homologous type, almost all were at least as close to a heterologous-type isolate (table S11, table S12).

151 To examine these findings in detail, we evaluated whether the observed antigenic 152 diversity of the virus types was also observed with human antisera and over time, and whether 153 the neutralizing responses of individual antisera became increasingly type-specific over time.

154	We titrated human antisera derived from vaccination with a live-attenuated chimeric
155	DENV vaccine against the genetically diverse DENV panel. Individuals lacking detectable
156	neutralizing antibodies against DENV or other flaviviruses were each inoculated with one
157	monovalent component of the National Institutes of Health DENV vaccine (n=40 in total, 10 per
158	DENV type). Antisera drawn 42 days post-injection were titrated against the DENV panel
159	(n=36) using the neutralization test on mosquito cells. The resulting antigenic map is consistent
160	with the NHP map in that the distance between DENV types was equivalent to the spread within
161	type, and the overall orientation of DENV1-4 was the same (Fig. 3A).
162	We measured the antigenic relationships among the DENV panel as recognized by
163	antisera drawn from naturally-infected individuals, who had neutralizing responses
164	representative of the cohort study from which they were selected. Serum samples drawn from 20
165	Nicaraguan children in the year following their first DENV infection were titrated, using the
166	neutralization test on mosquito cells, against 14 viruses that captured the breadth of variation
167	seen in the DENV panel in Fig. 2. Again, the antigenic distances among the DENV types were
168	similar to those observed with NHP and human vaccine antisera, although the DENV4 cluster
169	was positioned adjacent to DENV1 and DENV2 (Fig. 3B).
170	We also analyzed neutralization data from other studies that had used antisera from

we also analyzed neutralization data from other studies that had used antisera from
monovalent vaccine recipients and naturally infected human travelers, as well as different
neutralization assays (22, 27, 28). Again, the antisera from these studies also recognized the
antigenic relationships among the DENV isolates similarly to the three-month NHP antisera
(figs. S23-S25).

175 The early antibody response is assumed to broadly neutralize all DENV types, but over 176 time cross-type neutralization is thought to be lost so that the antibody response remaining in the

177 months to years after infection only potently neutralizes isolates of the infecting type (8, 29, 30). 178 We compared how antisera taken at various time points after infection recognize antigenic 179 relationships among the DENV panel. The human antisera used to make the antigenic maps 180 described above were taken at various times following infection, ranging from 42 days for the 181 monovalent vaccine antisera to more than one year for the natural infection antisera. We also 182 made an antigenic map of a published neutralization data set of 44 DENV isolates titrated with 183 one-year post-inoculation monkey antisera and found a similar range of antigenic variants among 184 the four DENV types (fig. S26) (12). Thus, in maps made with early (one month) as well as late 185 convalescent (three months to one year) antisera, the antigenic relationships among diverse 186 DENV isolates were similar to those observed with three-month NHP antisera.

187 We tested if the patterns of antigenic recognition of the antisera from serially sampled 188 individuals changed with time. We titrated antisera from the experimentally inoculated NHPs 189 one month (n=36) and five months (n=16) post-infection against the DENV panel. As expected, 190 the magnitude of the neutralizing titers generally dropped between one, three, and five months 191 (table S14). However, viruses on the one and five-month antigenic maps showed the same 192 orientation of types as the three-month antisera. At one month after infection, 55%, and at five 193 months after infection, 41% of the viruses, respectively, clustered as closely to a virus in a 194 heterologous type as to some viruses of the same type (Fig. 4A and B; table S11; table S13; and 195 table S15). The antigenic relationships among isolates were conserved across time-points (fig. 196 S13). We thus found that the antigenic relationships among the isolates in the DENV panel 197 were recognized similarly by early and late convalescent antisera from the same individuals. 198 We measured changes in neutralizing type-specificity for each NHP by comparing the

antiserum positions in the one, three, and five-month antigenic maps. The antiserum positions

200 shifted (on average, greater than four-fold) between one month and three months, consistent with 201 the period of somatic hypermutation and selection for affinity matured B cells (Fig. 4A and fig. 202 S14). However, few antisera showed improved neutralization of the infecting DENV type 203 relative to heterologous types between one and three months. The antiserum positions changed 204 minimally between three months and five months, despite a significant decline in the magnitude 205 of titers over that period, in some cases below the assay limit of detection (Fig. 4B and table 206 S14). Thus, we did not observe a systematic shift toward increasing neutralizing specificity to 207 viruses of the infecting type nor decreasing specificity toward heterotypic viruses (fig. S15 and 208 fig. S21).

209 Published studies of neutralizing responses in the first year after experimental inoculation 210 also reported stability of neutralization specificity. In one study, the ratio between homologous 211 and heterologous neutralizing titers for 16 Rhesus monkeys between 4-13 months after 212 experimental inoculation was remarkably consistent. NHPs that were initially type-specific 213 remained so, while those that exhibited early cross-type titers maintained titers to those types to 214 the end of the study period (fig. S28) (31). A second study following the neutralizing responses 215 of *Aotus nancymae* monkeys for 1-4 months to DENV1 and DENV2 isolates showed similarly 216 stable neutralization specificity to the infecting type and heterologous types (fig. S29) (32).

We further analyzed the neutralizing responses in the natural human infection data set to look at the type-specificity of antisera obtained during the first two years after infection. The antisera in the map in Fig. 3B ranged in neutralizing type-specificity, with 55% of antisera responses clustering as closely to a heterologous isolate as some homologous isolates. For each individual, the serum position in Fig. 3B, made with titrations conducted on mosquito cells, closely corresponded to the serum position in the map made with titrations using human cells

expressing the DENV attachment factor, DC-SIGN (Fig. 3B and fig. S16). The position of the
DENV4 cluster was between DENV1 and DENV2 on both maps (Fig. 3B and fig. S16). We
compared the antibody titrations after one and two years for each individual, and found that all
maintained the pattern of neutralization, including cross-neutralization, observed in the first year
after infection (fig. S17 and S18). Thus, neutralizing antibody responses in natural human
DENV infections did not show a trend toward increasing type-specificity even two years after
infection.

230 Type-specific and cross-reactive neutralizing antibodies are thought to target distinct viral 231 structures, and thus potentially may produce different antigenic maps (33). We therefore tested 232 whether cross-reactive neutralizing antisera recognized different antigenic relationships among 233 the DENV panel than type-specific neutralizing responses, using the serum positions of the 234 monovalent vaccine map (Fig. 3A). Despite the fact that all ten individuals for each DENV type 235 were inoculated with the same vaccine component, the antisera responses to the isolates varied. 236 Collectively, the antisera provided a coherent description of antigenic patterns among the isolates 237 (fig. S19). The relationships among the DENV panel changed minimally between maps made 238 with only the most central, cross-reactive 20 antisera or only the most peripheral, type-specific 239 20 antisera (fig. S20 and fig. S22). Thus, the DENV type-specific and cross-reactive neutralizing 240 responses recognized the same antigenic relationships among the DENV panel.

The antigenic characterization of any pathogen relies on the biological relevance of the assay used to generate the data. Both recent and historical studies have found significant associations between pre-infection neutralization titers and DENV viremia or infection outcome (34-37); however, other studies have been inconclusive (38, 39). Thus, the identification of immune correlates of protection including, but not exclusively, potently neutralizing antibodies,

is an active area of research for DENV (40–42). Notably, the antigenic patterns in our data are
similar to those in antigenic maps we made of DENV antibody neutralization data from other
published studies using different cell lines, virus preparations, methods for detecting infected
cells, and plaque or immunofocus reduction end-points (figs. S23-S27) (12, 19, 22, 27, 28). We
also found that the human antisera from natural infections titrated on mosquito cells showed
similar neutralization profiles to those titrated on human cells (fig. S16 and S18). The antigenic
variation we observed is thus not limited to the assay or samples that we used.

253 While overall, prior immunity to a heterologous DENV type still remains the strongest 254 risk factor for disease, there is evidence that neutralizing responses to the particular DENV 255 lineages circulating in a population modifies the magnitude and severity of epidemics caused by 256 subsequent infecting lineages (17, 18). In one study, cross-type neutralization provided by prior 257 DENV1 immunity correlated with a mild epidemic caused by one lineage of DENV2, but 258 showed no neutralization of other DENV2 lineages that in immunologically similar populations 259 caused severe epidemics (fig. S27) (19). These, and our, studies highlight the importance of 260 studying the specific relationship between antigenic distances as measured with neutralizing 261 antibody titers and protection. The approach described here, in combination with global 262 surveillance of the genetic, antigenic, and clinical features of DENVs as well as further detailed 263 studies of natural infection and vaccination-derived protection, has the potential to inform 264 whether vaccination protects against circulating isolates as well as recognize gaps in vaccine-265 induced protection should they emerge over time.

The antigenic analyses shown here using one, three, and five-month NHP antisera, human monovalent vaccine antisera, late-convalescent human natural infection antisera, and published neutralization data show that the DENV types do not fall into order as distinct

269 serotypes. We have found that while DENV isolates are usually located closer to other viruses 270 of the same type, some viruses, both modern and historical, have greater antigenic resemblance 271 to viruses of a different type than to some viruses of the same type. We find that primary 272 infection neutralizing antibody titers, although they drop in magnitude, do not systematically 273 become more type-specific in the year after primary infection. As expected, individuals infected 274 with the same or different antigens have variable patterns of neutralization, but cross-neutralizing 275 responses consistently recognize the same antigenic relationships within the DENV panel as do 276 the neutralizing responses that are most type-specific. These findings shift our understanding of 277 the antigenic properties of DENV, enable more detailed study of the antigenic determinants of 278 clinical severity, epidemic magnitude, and DENV evolution, and provide additional methods for 279 the selection of future vaccine strains and global surveillance of the antigenic dynamics of 280 dengue viruses.

281 Figure legends

282 Fig. 1. Genetic analyses of the DENV panel (n=47). (A) Phylogenetic tree showing the 283 evolutionary relationships of DENV E gene sequences. Sequences were aligned with MAFFT, 284 and a maximum likelihood tree (ML) was estimated using a general time reversible model, 285 accounting for both among site rate variation and invariant sites ($GTR+G_4+I$). Bootstrap support 286 values of at least 75% are shown. (B) Amino acid map of dengue E protein sequences (493-495 287 amino acids in length). The total amino acid differences between pairs of E sequences 288 correspond to distances between points on the geometric display. 289 Fig. 2. Antigenic map of the DENV panel (n=46) titrated against three-month post-infection 290 African green monkey antisera (n=36). Each unit of antigenic distance (length of one grid-291 square side, measured in any direction) is equivalent to a two-fold dilution in the neutralization 292 assay. Each antiserum (open shape) and virus (closed shape) is colored according to the 293 infecting genetic type (16). The size and shape of each point is the confidence area of its 294 position.

Fig. 3. Human primary infection antigenic maps. (A) Antisera from individuals inoculated with each monovalent component of the NIH live vaccine (10 per group) were drawn 42 days postinfection and titrated against 36 viruses in the DENV panel. (B) Antisera from 20 Nicaraguan children drawn in the year after their first DENV infections were titrated against an antigenically diverse subset of the DENV panel (n=14).

300 Fig. 4. Antigenic maps of the DENV panel made with antisera drawn from NHPs one and five

301 months post-infection. (A) An antigenic map of 47 DENV isolates titrated against 36 NHP

302 antisera drawn one month post-infection. Colored arrows (DENV1=yellow, DENV2=blue,

- 303 DENV3=green, DENV4=red) show the change in antiserum positions between one and three
- 304 months. The black arrows show the average shift in serum position for each DENV type. The
- 305 star denotes the antigenic center for each DENV type. **(B)** An antigenic map of 37 DENV
- 306 isolates titrated against 16 NHP antisera drawn five months post-infection. Arrows point from
- 307 positions of antisera at three months to the corresponding five-month positions.

308 References and Notes

309	1.	S. Bhatt et al., The global distribution and burden of dengue. Nature. 496, 504–7 (2013).
310 311	2.	WHO/TDR, "Dengue: Guidelines for Diagnosis, Treatment, Prevention and Control" (Geneva, Switzerland, 2009).
312 313 314	3.	T. N. B. Chau <i>et al.</i> , Dengue in Vietnamese infantsresults of infection-enhancement assays correlate with age-related disease epidemiology, and cellular immune responses correlate with disease severity. <i>J. Infect. Dis.</i> 198 , 516–524 (2008).
315 316	4.	R. S. Lanciotti, D. J. Gubler, D. W. Trent, Molecular evolution and phylogeny of dengue- 4 viruses, 2279–2286 (1997).
317 318	5.	C. Zhang <i>et al.</i> , Clade Replacements in Dengue Virus Serotypes 1 and 3 Are Associated with Changing Serotype Prevalence †. 79 , 15123–15130 (2005).
319 320	6.	A. B. Sabin, Research on dengue during World War II. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 1, 30–50 (1952).
321 322	7.	W. M. Hammon, A. Rudnick, G. E. Sather, Viruses associated with epidemic hemorrhagic fevers of the Philippines and Thailand. <i>Science</i> . 131 , 1102–1103 (1960).
323 324	8.	M. G. Guzman <i>et al.</i> , Neutralizing antibodies after infection with dengue 1 virus. <i>Emerg. Infect. Dis.</i> 13 , 282–6 (2007).
325 326	9.	S. B. Halstead, In vivo enhancement of dengue virus infection in rhesus monkeys by passively transferred antibody. <i>J. Infect. Dis.</i> 140 , 527–533 (1979).
327 328 329	10.	N. Sangkawibha <i>et al.</i> , Risk factors in dengue shock syndrome: a prospective epidemiologic study in Rayong, Thailand. I. The 1980 outbreak. <i>Am. J. Epidemiol.</i> 120 , 653–669 (1984).
330 331 332	11.	M. K. Gentry, E. A. Henchal, J. M. McCown, W. E. Brandt, J. M. Dalrymple, Identification of distinct antigenic determinants on dengue-2 virus using monoclonal antibodies. <i>Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.</i> 31 , 548–555 (1982).
333 334	12.	P. K. Russell, A. Nisalak, Dengue virus identification by the plaque reduction neutralization test. <i>J. Immunol.</i> (1967).
335 336	13.	C. H. Calisher <i>et al.</i> , Antigenic relationships between flaviviruses as determined by cross- neutralization tests with polyclonal antisera. <i>J. Gen. Virol.</i> 70 (Pt 1) , 37–43 (1989).
337 338	14.	K. L. Mansfield <i>et al.</i> , Flavivirus-induced antibody cross-reactivity. J. Gen. Virol. 92 , 2821–2829 (2011).

- E. C. Holmes, S. S. Twiddy, The origin, emergence and evolutionary genetics of dengue virus. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 3, 19–28 (2003).
- 341 16. "Supplementary Materials."
- M. OhAinle *et al.*, Dynamics of dengue disease severity determined by the interplay
 between viral genetics and serotype-specific immunity. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 3, 114ra128
 (2011).
- B. Adams *et al.*, Cross-protective immunity can account for the alternating epidemic pattern of dengue virus serotypes circulating in Bangkok. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 103, 14234–14239 (2006).
- T. J. Kochel *et al.*, Effect of dengue-1 antibodies on American dengue-2 viral infection
 and dengue haemorrhagic fever. *Lancet.* 360, 310–2 (2002).
- B. F. Koel *et al.*, Substitutions near the receptor binding site determine major antigenic
 change during influenza virus evolution. *Science*. 342, 976–9 (2013).
- L. A. VanBlargan *et al.*, The type-specific neutralizing antibody response elicited by a
 dengue vaccine candidate is focused on two amino acids of the envelope protein. *PLoS Pathog.* 9, e1003761 (2013).
- 355 22. N. Vasilakis *et al.*, Short Report : Antigenic Relationships between Sylvatic and Endemic
 356 Dengue Viruses. **79**, 128–132 (2008).
- W. G. van Panhuis *et al.*, Inferring the serotype associated with dengue virus infections on
 the basis of pre- and postinfection neutralizing antibody titers. *J. Infect. Dis.* 202, 1002–10
 (2010).
- S. J. Thomas *et al.*, Dengue Plaque Reduction Neutralization Test (PRNT) in Primary and
 Secondary Dengue Virus Infections: How Alterations in Assay Conditions Impact
 Performance. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 81, 825–833 (2009).
- 363 25. D. J. Smith *et al.*, Mapping the antigenic and genetic evolution of influenza virus. *Science*.
 364 305, 371–6 (2004).
- 365 26. A. P. Durbin *et al.*, Attenuation and immunogenicity in humans of a live dengue virus
 366 type-4 vaccine candidate with a 30 nucleotide deletion in its 3'-untranslated region. *Am. J.*367 *Trop. Med. Hyg.* 65, 405–13 (2001).
- A. P. Durbin *et al.*, Emergence potential of sylvatic dengue virus type 4 in the urban
 transmission cycle is restrained by vaccination and homotypic immunity. *Virology*. 439,
 34–41 (2013).

- W. B. Messer *et al.*, Development and characterization of a reverse genetic system for
 studying dengue virus serotype 3 strain variation and neutralization. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*6, e1486 (2012).
- R. V. Gibbons *et al.*, Analysis of repeat hospital admissions for dengue to estimate the
 frequency of third or fourth dengue infections resulting in admissions and dengue
 hemorrhagic fever, and serotype sequences. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **77**, 910–913 (2007).
- 377 30. S. B. Halstead, G. Papaevangelou, Transmission of dengue 1 and 2 viruses in Greece in
 378 1928. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 29, 635–637 (1980).
- 379 31. A. C. Hickey *et al.*, Serotype-specific host responses in rhesus macaques after primary
 380 dengue challenge. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **89**, 1043–57 (2013).
- 381 32. T. J. Kochel *et al.*, Cross-serotype neutralization of dengue virus in Aotus nancymae
 382 monkeys. *J. Infect. Dis.* 191, 1000–4 (2005).
- 383 33. W. Dejnirattisai *et al.*, A new class of highly potent, broadly neutralizing antibodies
 384 isolated from viremic patients infected with dengue virus. *Nat. Immunol.* 16, 785 (2015).
- 385 34. D. Buddhari *et al.*, Dengue virus neutralizing antibody levels associated with protection
 386 from infection in thai cluster studies. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **8**, e3230 (2014).
- 387 35. C. A. Sariol, L. J. White, Utility, limitations, and future of non-human primates for dengue
 388 research and vaccine development. *Front. Immunol.* 5, 452 (2014).
- 389 36. S. C. Kliks, S. Nimmanitya, a. Nisalak, D. S. Burke, Evidence that maternal dengue
 antibodies are important in the development of dengue hemorrhagic fever in infants. *Am.*391 *J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 38, 411–419 (1988).
- 392 37. D. H. Libraty *et al.*, A prospective nested case-control study of Dengue in infants:
 393 rethinking and refining the antibody-dependent enhancement dengue hemorrhagic fever
 394 model. *PLoS Med.* 6, e1000171 (2009).
- 38. T. P. Endy *et al.*, Relationship of preexisting dengue virus (DV) neutralizing antibody
 levels to viremia and severity of disease in a prospective cohort study of DV infection in
 Thailand. J. Infect. Dis. 189, 990–1000 (2004).
- 398 39. A. Sabchareon *et al.*, Protective efficacy of the recombinant, live-attenuated, CYD
 399 tetravalent dengue vaccine in Thai schoolchildren: a randomised, controlled phase 2b trial.
 400 Lancet. 380, 1559–67 (2012).
- 401 40. S. A. Plotkin, Complex correlates of protection after vaccination. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 56, 1458–1465 (2013).

403 41. S. Mukherjee *et al.*, Mechanism and Significance of Cell Type-Dependent Neutralization of Flaviviruses. J. Virol. 88, 7210-7220 (2014). 404 405 42. G. N. Malavige, G. S. Ogg, T cell responses in dengue viral infections. J. Clin. Virol. 58, 406 605–611 (2013). 407 R. Rico-Hesse et al., Origins of dengue type 2 viruses associated with increased 43. 408 pathogenicity in the Americas. Virology. 230, 244-51 (1997). 409 44. D. Darriba, G. L. Taboada, R. Doallo, D. Posada, jModelTest 2: more models, new 410 heuristics and parallel computing. Nat. Methods. 9, 772–772 (2012). 411 45. S. Guindon *et al.*, New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood 412 phylogenies: Assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst. Biol. 59, 307-321 (2010). 413 W. Hordijk, O. Gascuel, Improving the efficiency of SPR moves in phylogenetic tree 46. 414 search methods based on maximum likelihood. Bioinformatics. 21, 4338-4347 (2005). 415 R. Fraczkiewicz, W. Braun, Exact and efficient analytical calculation of the accessible 47. 416 surface areas and their gradients for macromolecules. J. Comput. Chem. 19, 319–333 (1998). 417 418 B. L. Innis et al., An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay to characterize dengue 48. 419 infections where dengue and Japanese encephalitis co-circulate. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hvg. 420 **40**, 418–27 (1989). 421 49. K. B. Anderson et al., A shorter time interval between first and second dengue infections 422 is associated with protection from clinical illness in a school-based cohort in Thailand. J. Infect. Dis. 209, 360-8 (2014). 423 424 50. M. Montoya et al., Symptomatic versus inapparent outcome in repeat dengue virus 425 infections is influenced by the time interval between infections and study year. PLoS Negl. 426 Trop. Dis. 7, e2357 (2013). 427 N. G. Reich *et al.*, Interactions between serotypes of dengue highlight epidemiological 51. impact of cross-immunity. J. R. Soc. Interface. 10, 20130414 (2013). 428 429 P. Bhoomiboonchoo et al., Sequential dengue virus infections detected in active and 52. 430 passive surveillance programs in Thailand, 1994–2010. BMC Public Health. 15, 1–10 431 (2015). 432 53. J. E. Blaney, J. M. Matro, B. R. Murphy, S. S. Whitehead, Recombinant, Live-Attenuated 433 Tetravalent Dengue Virus Vaccine Formulations Induce a Balanced, Broad, and Protective 434 Neutralizing Antibody Response against Each of the Four Serotypes in Rhesus Monkeys. 79, 5516–5528 (2005). 435

- 436 54. WHO, "Guidelines on the quality, safety and efficacy of dengue tetravalent vaccines (live, attenuated)" (Geneva, Switzerland, 2011).
- 438 55. G. Kuan *et al.*, The nicaraguan pediatric dengue cohort study: Study design, methods, use
 439 of information technology, and extension to other infectious diseases. *Am. J. Epidemiol.*440 **170**, 120–129 (2009).
- 441 56. A. Igarashi, Isolation of a Singh's Aedes albopictus cell clone sensitive to dengue and
 442 Chikungunya viruses. J. Gen. Virol. 40, 531–544 (1978).
- 443 57. R. B. Tesh, A method for the isolation and identification of dengue viruses, using 444 mosquito cell cultures. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **28**, 1053–1059 (1979).
- 58. N. Vasilakis *et al.*, Mosquitoes put the brake on arbovirus evolution: Experimental
 evolution reveals slower mutation accumulation in mosquito than vertebrate cells. *PLoS Pathog.* 5 (2009), doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000467.
- 448 59. E. A. Henchal, M. K. Gentry, J. M. McCown, W. E. Brandt, Dengue virus-specific and
 449 flavivirus group determinants identified with monoclonal antibodies by indirect
 450 immunofluorescence. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **31**, 830–6 (1982).
- 451 60. S. Sukupolvi-Petty *et al.*, Structure and function analysis of therapeutic monoclonal antibodies against dengue virus type 2. *J. Virol.* 84, 9227–39 (2010).
- 453 61. W. M. P. B. Wahala *et al.*, Natural strain variation and antibody neutralization of dengue 454 serotype 3 viruses. *PLoS Pathog.* **6**, e1000821 (2010).
- 455 62. G. D. Gromowski, N. D. Barrett, A. D. T. Barrett, Characterization of dengue virus
 456 complex-specific neutralizing epitopes on envelope protein domain III of dengue 2 virus.
 457 J. Virol. 82, 8828–37 (2008).
- 458 63. R. de Alwis *et al.*, Identification of human neutralizing antibodies that bind to complex
 459 epitopes on dengue virions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 109, 7439–7444 (2012).
- 460 64. P. Koraka, S. Benton, G. van Amerongen, K. J. Stittelaar, A. D. M. E. Osterhaus,
 461 Characterization of humoral and cellular immune responses in cynomolgus macaques
 462 upon primary and subsequent heterologous infections with dengue viruses. *Microbes*463 *Infect.* 9, 940–6 (2007).
- 464 65. Y. X. Toh *et al.*, Dengue serotype cross-reactive, anti-E protein antibodies confound 465 specific immune memory for one year after infection. *Immunol. Mem.* **5**, 1–12 (2014).
- 466 66. J. M. Fonville *et al.*, Antibody landscapes after influenza virus infection or vaccination.
 467 Science. 346, 996–1000 (2014).

469 Acknowledgments: We wish to express our gratitude to the members of the Dengue 470 Antigenic Cartography Consortium, named in the supplementary materials, for their advice and 471 contributions to the Consortium to date. We thank D. Burke, N. Lewis, E. Selkov, E. Skepner, 472 A. Mosterín, R. Mögling, S. Wilks, T. Kotarba, and V. Duong for their technical expertise. M. 473 Melendrez, J. Hang, R. Jarman, S.M. Cave, S.G. Widen, T.G. Wood, and V. Duong assisted with 474 virus sequencing. C. Firestone and M. Galvez assisted with neutralization assay titrations. This 475 research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the US NIH, National 476 Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, European Union (EU) FP7 programs EMPERIE 477 (223498) and ANTIGONE (278976), Human Frontier Science Program (HFSP) program grant 478 P0050/2008, the NIH Director's Pioneer Award DP1-OD000490-01, the FIRST program from 479 the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Instituto Carlos Slim de la Salud (E.H.). The 480 antigenic cartography toolkit was in part supported by NIAID-NIH Centers of Excellence for 481 Influenza Research and Surveillance contracts HHSN266200700010C and 482 HHSN272201400008C for use on influenza virus. L.C.K. was supported by the Gates 483 Cambridge Scholarship and the NIH Oxford Cambridge Scholars Program. J.M.F. was supported 484 by an MRC Fellowship (MR/K021885/1) and a Junior Research Fellowship from Homerton 485 College Cambridge. E.C.H. was supported by an NHMRC Australia Fellowship. N.V. and 486 R.B.T were supported by NIH contract HHSN272201000040I/HHSN27200004/D04. The 487 viruses and sera used in this study are covered by standard material transfer agreements at the 488 home institutions of S.S.W., C.P.S., E.H., P.B., J.G.A., J.L.M.J., N.V., and R.B.T. A.D.M.E.O. 489 is Professor/Director Artemis One Health Utrecht, The Netherlands; CSO Viroclinics 490 Biosciences BV, The Netherlands Board Member Protein Sciences USA. P.B. performed this 491 work while at the Institut Pasteur in Cambodia, but since June 2015, is with GlaxoSmithKline 492 vaccines in Singapore, and has stock options with GSK. C.P.S. is a paid consultant to GSK 493 Pharma, GSK Vaccines, and Merck, and has received a grant and consulting payments to his 494 institution from Sanofi Pasteur. The sequences used in this study are available from GenBank 495 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) and are listed in Table S1. Files used for genetic 496 analyses are available as supplementary data files. The NIH monovalent DENV vaccines trials 497 (ClinicalTrials.gov identifiers: NCT00473135 NCT00920517, NCT00831012, NCT00831012) 498 were performed under an investigational new drug application reviewed by the US Food and 499 Drug Administration and approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Vermont and Johns Hopkins University. Informed consent was obtained in accordance federal 500 501 and international regulations (21CFR50, ICHE6). The Pediatric Dengue Cohort Study in 502 Managua, Nicaragua was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Nicaraguan 503 Ministry of Health and the University of California, Berkeley. Parents or legal guardians of all 504 subjects provided written informed consent, and subjects 6 years of age and older provided 505 assent.

Supplementary Materials:

- Materials and Methods Figs. S1-S29 Tables S1-S15 Data files S1-9

- References (43-66)







