# BMJ Nutrition, Prevention & Health

# **Cost-effectiveness of vitamin D**<sub>3</sub> **supplementation in older adults with vitamin D deficiency in Ireland**

Laurence Francis Lacey <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>1</sup> David J Armstrong,<sup>2,3</sup> Emily Royle,<sup>2</sup> Pamela Magee,<sup>2</sup> L Kirsty Pourshahidi,<sup>2</sup> Sumantra Ray,<sup>2,4,5</sup> J J Strain,<sup>2</sup> Emeir McSorley<sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**To cite:** Lacey LF, Armstrong DJ, Royle E, *et al.* Cost-effectiveness of vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation in older adults with vitamin D deficiency in Ireland. *BMJ Nutrition, Prevention & Health* 2022;**0**:e000382. doi:10.1136/ bmjnph-2021-000382

<sup>1</sup>Lacey Solutions Limited, Skerries, County Dublin, Ireland <sup>2</sup>Nutrition Innovation Centre for Food and Health (NICHE), Ulster University, Coleraine, UK <sup>3</sup>Department of Rheumatology, Altnagelvin Hospital, Western Health and Social Care Trust, Londonderry, UK <sup>4</sup>NNEdPro Global Centre for Nutrition and Health St John's Innovation Centre, Cambridge, UK

<sup>5</sup>Fitzwilliam College, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

#### Correspondence to

Dr Laurence Francis Lacey, Lacey Solutions Limited, Skerries, County Dublin, Ireland; Iarry@laceysolutions.ie

Received 30 September 2021 Accepted 18 April 2022

# Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ. **Background** This study investigated the cost-effectiveness of vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation in older adults in Ireland, with year-round vitamin D deficiency (serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration <30 nmol/L) (13% of Irish adults), from the perspective of the Health Service Executive.

**Methods** Three age groups were investigated: (1)  $\geq$ 50 years, (2)  $\geq$ 60 years and (3)  $\geq$ 70 years. Based on the clinical literature, vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation may: (1) decrease all-cause mortality by 7% and (2) reduce hip fractures by 16% and non-hip fractures by 20%. A discount rate of 4% was applied to life years and quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) gained, and healthcare costs. The annual healthcare costs per patient used in the model are based on the average annual health resource use over the 5-year time horizon of the model.

**Results** The cost/QALY estimates in all three age groups are below the usually acceptable cost-effectiveness threshold of €20 000/QALY. The most cost-effective and least costly intervention was in adults ≥70 years. For this age group, the average annual costs and outcomes would be approximately €5.6 million, 1044 QALYs gained, with a cost/QALY of approximately €5400. The results are most sensitive to the mortality risk reduction following vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation. **Conclusion** The cost-effectiveness of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation is most robust in adults ≥70 years. Clinical uncertainty in the magnitude of the benefits of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation could be further addressed by means of: (1) performing a clinical research study or (2) conducting a pilot/regional study, prior to reaching a decision to invest in a nationwide programme.

# INTRODUCTION

Clinical vitamin D deficiency (serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) concentration below <30 nmol/L) increases the risk of excess mortality and disease.<sup>1</sup> Vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation is likely to be clinically beneficial in deficiency<sup>1</sup> but have little or no benefit for those who are replete in the vitamin. Vitamin D deficiency, as measured by serum 25(OH)D, is particularly high among older Irish adults.<sup>2</sup>

There is a growing interest in the costeffectiveness of vitamin D to prevent disease. While several previous studies were mainly

# WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ There is a growing interest in the cost-effectiveness of vitamin D to prevent disease. This study investigated the cost-effectiveness of vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation in older adults in Ireland, where previous research has demonstrated year-round vitamin D deficiency (25(0H)D concentration <30 nmol/L) in 13% of the adult population.

# WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ The cost-effectiveness of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation is most robust in adults ≥70 years.

# HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE AND/OR POLICY

⇒ The results of this study warrant further investigation, for example, within a GP (general medical practitioner)-monitored, vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation programme for elderly adults. Also, the results should be pertinent to the relevant decision makers, including the Irish Department of Health.

conducted in the elderly for fall and/or fractures (eg, refs 3 4), more recently, a study estimated the costs and savings for preventing cancer deaths by vitamin D supplementation of the population aged  $\geq$ 50 years in Germany.<sup>5</sup> The results of this study supported the use of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation among older adults as a potential cost saving approach to reduce cancer mortality.<sup>5</sup>

The objective of this study is to investigate the cost-effectiveness of vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation in older adults in Ireland, with year-round vitamin D deficiency (25(OH)D concentration <30 nmol/L) (13% of adults<sup>2</sup>), from the perspective of the Health Service Executive (HSE).

### **METHODS**

#### **Deaths and fractures avoided**

The methodology used builds on that used by Niedermaier *et al.*<sup>5</sup> The methodology applied to Ireland uses Central Statistics Office Irish

Life Table No. 17, for males and females, for the period 2015–2017.<sup>6</sup> The data for male and females from the Life Table were combined using Irish population statistics for  $2016^7$  and applying the method of weighted averaging. The annual mortality probability and the expected life expectancy were determined for all ages. A 4% annual discount rate<sup>8</sup> was applied in order to calculate the discounted life expectancy. The 'at risk' population of interest (i.e., those with year-round vitamin D deficiency) is estimated to be 13% of the Irish population.<sup>2</sup> This population cohort was estimated by applying 13% to the Irish population statistics for 2016 for all ages. The population data were summarised into 5-year age groups, beginning at age 50 years. The final age group was for those  $\geq 85$  years (with a mean age assumed to be 90 years, when required). For any given 5-year age group, the expected annual number of deaths is equal to the number of people in the age group multiplied by the annual mortality probability for the midpoint age of the group.

Based on the clinical literature, vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation may: (1) decrease all-cause mortality by 7%,<sup>9</sup> of which 4.2% (of the 7%) is a reduction in cancer mortality and (2) reduce hip fractures by 16% (and related excess mortality)<sup>10</sup> and non-hip/less severe fractures by approximately 20%.<sup>11 12</sup> In the base-case analysis, the reduction in all-cause mortality was implemented in the model by applying a 7% reduction to the expected number of deaths occurring in each 5-year age group. This pointestimate reduction in all-cause mortality was varied over the range 1%–10% in the univariate sensitivity analysis.

The number of hip fractures in 2019 and their distribution by age were obtained from the Hip Fracture database.<sup>13</sup> The number of non-hip fractures in 2014 was estimated from the total number of fractures and the number of hip fractures reported in the literature.<sup>14</sup> The number of non-hip fractures was assumed to have the same relative age distribution, as was found for hip fractures. It was conservatively assumed that only 13% of people who have a fracture would have year-round vitamin D deficiency and be included in the 'at risk' population of interest.<sup>2</sup> The reductions in hip fractures (16%, and the associated estimated 1-year mortality of 22% following a hip fracture<sup>15</sup>) and non-hip fractures (approximately 20%) were included in the base-case analysis and varied in the univariate sensitivity analysis.

The results obtained from the model were investigated for three age cohorts: (1)  $\geq$ 50 years, (2)  $\geq$ 60 years, and (3)  $\geq$ 70 years.

# Discounted life years and discounted quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) gained

The number of discounted life years gained for any given age group is equal to the number of deaths avoided multiplied by the associated discounted life expectancy. The number of discounted QALYs gained for any given age group is equal to number of discounted life years gained multiplied by the age-related utility value for the midpoint of the age group. EQ-5D (a standardised measure of health-related quality of life) index population norms for the UK<sup>16</sup> were used for age-related utility values. The following age-related utility equation was used for ages  $\geq$ 50 years (which had a coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) of approximately 99%).

Utility 
$$(age) = (0.00002 \ x \ age^2) - (0.0058 \ x \ age) + 1.0846$$

The disutility estimate used for hip fracture was 0.20 and for non-hip fracture was 0.09.<sup>4</sup> These disutility estimates were applied for 1 year to estimate fracture-related QALYs gained, that is, number of fractures avoided per annum multiplied by the relevant fracture disutility.

# **Comparator and time horizon**

The comparator is the current standard of care, which is being compared against a systematic public health programme: (1) to identify year-round vitamin D deficient adults ( $\geq$ 50 years), by means of serum 25(OH)D measurement, and (2) to treat such adults with vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation, under GP supervision, to render the patients vitamin D replete and to maintain their vitamin D adequacy over time.

A 5-year time horizon was employed in the sense that it was assumed that it would take 5-years for the public health programme to have identified the eligible vitamin D deficient patients and for them to have been treated for their vitamin D deficiency and to be maintained in a vitamin D replete state.

# Healthcare resource use and costs

For each age group, it was assumed that the average annual healthcare cost would be the cost of treating all the eligible patients plus the cost of patient identification (ie, a serum 25(OH)D measurement in order to identify the 'at risk' population), with the latter 'set-up' cost averaged over 5 years. Thus, only those patients who are GP assessed to be year-round vitamin D deficient are subsequently treated with vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation and monitored. Vitamin D deficiency was assumed to be treated with vitamin  $D_3$  4000 IU daily for up to 10 weeks, followed by 800 IU daily thereafter. Healthcare costs included in the analysis are: serum 25(OH)D measurement, the average cost of a GP visit and drug acquisition costs of vitamin  $D_3$  supplements. Over the 5-year period, costs were discounted at 4% per annum after the first year.

The cost off-sets included in the analysis were end-oflife invasive cancer costs avoided and the costs of fractures (hip and non-hip/less severe fractures) avoided. The reduction in all-cause mortality was implemented in the model by applying a 7% reduction to the expected number of deaths occurring in each 5-year age group. This 7% reduction in all-cause mortality could be 'partitioned' into a 4.2% reduction in cancer mortality and a 2.8% reduction in non-cancer mortality.<sup>9</sup> Thus, approximately 61% of the reduction in mortality was due to the reduction in cancer mortality. Invasive cancers constitute approximately 55% of all cancers.<sup>17</sup> Thus, approx. 33% of the reduction in mortality was due to the reduction

Resource use item	No. of items	Unit cost* (€2020)	Reference/comment
Screening			
Serum 25(OH)D measurement	1	€21.50	Chosen to be greater than the PPP equivalent cost of UK £17 per test. <sup>23</sup>
At-risk population†			
GP visit	1 (per annum)‡	€35.19	Estimated from ref 24.
Serum 25(OH)D measurement	1 (per annum)	€21.50	Chosen to be greater than the PPP equivalent cost of UK £17 per test. <sup>23</sup>
Vitamin D <sub>3</sub> 4000 IU	1 (pack)	€25.72	Reimbursed price from PCRS (Primary Care Reimbursement Service) database; total cost to HSE including rebate and dispensing fees. <sup>25</sup>
Vitamin D <sub>3</sub> 800 IU	Continuous maintenance treatment following correction of vitamin D deficiency in the first year	€15.52 (90-day supply)	Reimbursed price from PCRS database; total cost to HSE including rebate and dispensing fees. <sup>25</sup>
Cost off-sets			
Invasive cancer end-of- life care	n/a	€6487	Based on average UK end-of-life cancer (prostate, ovarian, colon) costs.§ <sup>18</sup>
Hip fracture	n/a	€13717	14
Non-hip fracture	n/a	€7140	14

12020 FFF, £1=€1.14.

‡Average cost per patient per annum=€129.44.

§Two GP visits in the first year of patient recruitment, averaged over 5 years.

HSE, Health Service Executive; n/a, not applicable; PPP, purchasing power parity.

in invasive cancer mortality. Conservatively, for deaths avoided, end-of-life costs of invasive cancers avoided were the only cost off-sets included in the analysis.

Average UK end-of-life cancer  $\cos^{18}$  were applied to Irish invasive cancer deaths, using the method of purchasing power parity (PPP), with inflation of healthcare costs to  $\notin$ (2020) values. Additional healthcare cost off-sets included in the analysis were due to the healthcare costs of hip and non-hip fractures avoided. The unit costs of all healthcare resource use items included in the model are summarised in table 1.

### Univariate and multivariate sensitivity analyses

The univariate sensitivity analyses and multivariate sensitivity analysis performed are summarised in table 2.

All results of the data analysis given below were obtained using Microsoft Excel 2019, 32-bit version.

## RESULTS

## **Base-case results**

The base-case cost-effectiveness results are summarised in table 3. The cost/QALY estimates in all three age groups are below the usually acceptable cost-effectiveness threshold of  $\notin$ 20 000/QALY in Ireland. The most costeffective and least costly intervention was in adults  $\geq$ 70 years of age. While a public health primary prevention programme in adults  $\geq$ 70 years of age would produce fewer QALYs, it would be sufficiently less costly to result in the lowest (best) cost/QALY.

All additional base-case results will be focused on those obtained in adults  $\geq$ 70 years of age.

The annual healthcare cost offsets reduce the average annual costs from a total of approximately &8.4 million to approximately &5.6 million per annum for treating older adults  $\geq$ 70 years, who are year-round vitamin D deficient, with vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation (figure 1).

Vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation drug acquisition costs are the biggest cost component, being approximately 40% of the total €8.4 million annual healthcare costs (excluding cost offsets) (figure 2). Approximately 84% of the annual healthcare cost off-sets are the healthcare costs of fractures avoided, with the remaining approximate 16% of the annual healthcare cost off-sets due to end-of-life care costs avoided from a reduction in invasive cancer deaths.

#### **Results of the sensitivity analyses**

In a univariate analysis, the impact on the cost/QALY of varying the % reduction in all-cause mortality from treating older adults, who are year-round vitamin D deficient, with vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation is given in

Parameter for univariate sensitivity analyses	Base-case estimate*	Assumption and/or (reference)	Range or measure of variation evaluated	
% Reduction in all-cause mortality	7%	A meta-analysis of RCTs; RR: 0.93; 95% Cl 0.88 to 0.98 <sup>9</sup>	1%–10%	
The risk reduction of fractures (hip and non-hip)	16% (hip) <sup>10 12</sup> 20% (non-hip, less severe fractures) <sup>12</sup>	A meta-analysis of RCTs; for vitamin D plus calcium, RR, 0.84 (95% CI 0.72 to 0.97) for hip fracture. <sup>10</sup> A meta-analysis of RCTs; for vitamin D dose >400 IU/day, RR was 0.82 (95% CI 0.69 to 0.97) for hip fractures, and RR: 0.80 (95% CI 0.72 to 0.89) for non-vertebral fractures. <sup>12</sup>	1%-150% (of the base- case estimates)	
Discount rate for costs and benefits	4%	8	0%–6%	
Serum 25(OH)D measurement cost	€21.50	Assumed to be greater than the PPP equivalent of £17 per test <sup>23</sup>	50% increase in the base case estimate	
Invasive cancer end-of-life care cost	€6487	18	50% increase in the base case estimate	
Average annual discounted cost of serum 25(OH)D measurement, GP visit, plus vitamin D acquisition cost†	€120.59 (non-discounted, €129.44)	Based on the average cost over a 5-year period (with/without discounting)	50% increase in the base-case cost of serum 25(OH)D measurement, and the cost of GP visit, respectively	
% Reduction in all-cause mortality	7%	9	4.9% (30% reduction from base case)	
The risk reduction of fractures (hip and non-hip)	16% (hip) 20% (non-hip)	10 12	11% (hip) 14% (non-hip) (30% reduction from base case)	
Serum 25(OH)D measurement cost	€21.50	Assumed to be greater than the PPP equivalent of £17 per test <sup>23</sup>	30% increase in the base case estimate	
Invasive cancer end-of-life care cost	€6487	18	30% increase in the base case estimate	
Average annual discounted cost of serum 25(OH)D measurement, #GP visit, plus vitamin D acquisition cost	€120.59 (non-discounted, €129.44)	Based on the average cost over a 5-year period (with/without discounting)	30% increase in the base-case cost of serum 25(OH)D measurement, and the cost of GP visit, respectively	

\*Cost of a GP visit derived from data from Primary Care Reimbursement Service (PCRS) Statistical Analysis of Claims and Payments (2016).<sup>24</sup> †All costs are 2020 costs, with inflation of costs to  $\in$  (2020) values<sup>26</sup> where required.

PPP, purchasing power parity; RCTs, randomised controlled trials.

figure 3. As can be seen, for elderly adults  $\geq$ 70 years of age, even if the reduction in all-cause mortality due to vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation was reduced to 2% (7% in the basecase), the cost/QALY in this age group would still be less than €20000 per QALY gained. A similar threshold analysis can be performed for the other age groups by visible inspection of figure 3.

The impact on the cost/QALY of varying the risk reduction of fractures (hip and non-hip/less severe fractures) from treating older adults, who are year-round vitamin D deficient, with vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation was investigated in another univariate analysis. In all cases explored, the cost/QALY remain less than €20 000 per QALY gained for each of the three age groups (figure 4). The impact on the cost/QALY of varying the discount rate per annum (4% in the basecase) was investigated in another univariate analysis. In all cases explored, the cost/QALY remain less than  $\notin$ 20 000 per QALY gained for all age groups (figure 5).

In terms of healthcare costs, the most uncertain are in relation to: (1) serum 25(OH)D measurement and (2) average end-of-life invasive cancer cost. By means of univariate sensitivity analyses:

1. The serum 25(OH)D measurement non-discounted cost was increased by 50% (compared with the basecase, from €21.50 to €32.25), which will tend to increase the cost per QALY results (table 3). While the cost per QALY results increased modestly for each age cohort **Table 3** Base-case cost-effectiveness of vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation in older adults with vitamin D deficiency plus results of univariate and multivariate sensitivity analyses

Description	Age group	Net average cost of vitamin D deficiency treatment programme per annum	Discounted QALYs gained	Cost- effectiveness (cost/QALY)
Base-case	≥50 years	€25207905	1691	€14911
	≥60 years	€14039487	1447	€9702
	≥70 years	€5607144	1044	€5371
Increasing the serum 25(OH)D measurement cost	≥50 years	€29959397	1691	€17722
by 50%	≥60 years	€16918458	1447	€11692
	≥70 years	€7007603	1044	€6712
Increasing the average end-of-life invasive cancer	≥50 years	€24925167	1691	€14744
cost by 50%	≥60 years	€13775381	1447	€9520
	≥70 years	€5382234	1044	€5155
Increasing the average annual cost of serum	≥50 years	€39425029	1691	€23321
25(OH)D measurement, GP visit, plus vitamin $D_3$ supplementation acquisition cost by 50%	≥60 years	€22653769	1447	€15655
supplementation acquisition cost by 50%	≥70 years	€9797513	1044	€9385
'Pessimistic' multivariate sensitivity analysis	≥50 years	€38954948	1184	€32910
	≥60 years	€22701074	1013	€22405
	≥70 years	€10150658	729	€13917

compared with the basecase results, they remain below the  $\notin 20\ 000/QALY$  threshold (table 3).

2. The average end-of-life invasive cancer cost was increased by 50% (compared with the basecase, from 66487 to 69731), as it was based on a 2005 reference.<sup>18</sup> This cost off-set will tend to decrease the cost per QALY results (table 3).

The cost per QALY results were not very sensitive to large changes (50% increase) in either of these uncertain unit costs.

Potentially, some vitamin D deficient elderly adults may require additional serum 25(OH)D measurement, GP visit(s) per annum and/or additional course(s) of vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation to correct their vitamin D deficiency. To investigate this, a sensitivity analysis was




Figure 1 Waterfall plot of base-case average annual total, cost off-set and net healthcare costs in older adults  $\geq$ 70 years.

conducted in which the average annual discounted cost of serum 25(OH)D measurement, GP visit, plus vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation acquisition cost (€120.59 in the






Figure 2 Composition of the base-case average annual total annual costs (excluding cost off-sets) in older adults  $\geq$ 70 years.

## **BMJ Nutrition, Prevention & Health**







basecase) was increased by 50% (to €180.88) (table 3). In this case, the cost per QALY results increased for each age cohort compared with the basecase results; however, for both the age groups:  $\geq 60$  years and  $\geq 70$  years, the cost per QALY were well below €20 000/QALY (table 3).


## Results of a 'pessimistic' scenario

The parameterisation for a multivariate sensitivity analysis performed is summarised in table 2. In this case, the potential clinical benefits of vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation to vitamin D deficient elderly adults are decreased by 30% compared with the basecase, whereas the relevant healthcare costs are increased by 30%. This is regarded as a 'pessimistic' scenario.

In this 'pessimistic' scenario, the cost per QALY for the age group:  $\geq$ 70 years remained well below €20 000/QALY,








**Figure 5** Univariate sensitivity analysis: impact on the cost/ QALY of varying the discount rate for treating older adults, who are year-round vitamin D deficient, with vitamin  $D_3$ supplementation (base-case=4% discount rate per annum). QALY, quality-adjusted life year.

whereas this was no longer the case for the age group:  $\geq 60$  years, with the cost per QALY considerably above  $\notin 20$  000/QALY for the age group:  $\geq 50$  years (table 3).

### Results of a willingness to pay (WTP) threshold analysis

A WTP threshold analysis was performed to determine the minimum reduction in all-cause mortality required for vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation to be cost-effective (figure 6). At a WTP threshold of  $\in 15~000/QALY$ , a 7% reduction in all-cause mortality would be required for vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation to be cost-effective for the age group:  $\geq 50$  years, whereas the corresponding WTP threshold decreases to approximately  $\in 5000/QALY$  for the age group:  $\geq 70$  years. Also, should the reduction in all-cause mortality obtained with vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation be only 1.6% (as opposed to 7%), the cost/ QALY would be  $\notin 20000$  for the age group:  $\geq 70$  years. In comparison, the meta-analysis provided a lower 95% CI of approximately 2% (table 2<sup>9</sup>) for the reduction in all-cause mortality obtained with vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation.



**Figure 6** Minimum % reduction in all-cause annual mortality, following vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation, required to meet the willingness to pay (WTP) threshold for each age group.

## DISCUSSION

Vitamin D<sub>a</sub> supplementation is likely to be clinically beneficial only in deficiency<sup>1</sup> or those who are not fully replete. Those most likely to gain maximum benefit from vitamin D<sub>a</sub> supplementation are those with year-round vitamin D deficiency (25(OH)D concentration <30 nmol/L), which is estimated to be 13% of Irish adults.<sup>2</sup> It is important to note that limited research has focused solely on the effects of vitamin D supplementation in those with vitamin D insufficiency at baseline; notwithstanding, a Cochrane meta-analysis has demonstrated that vitamin D supplementation, in patients with 25(OH)D concentrations <50 nmol/L, significantly reduced all-cause mortality.<sup>19</sup> Furthermore, in a recent large (n=20 025) retrospective observational, nested case-control study in veterans with low 25(OH)D concentrations (<50 nmol/L), those who received vitamin D treatment, had a significantly lower risk of all-cause mortality.<sup>20</sup>

What has been evaluated in this paper is the healthcare costs and benefits of: (1) identifying year-round vitamin deficient adults ( $\geq$ 50 years), by means of serum 25(OH)D measurement, and (2) treating such adults with vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation, under GP supervision, to render the patients vitamin D replete and to maintain their vitamin D adequacy over time. It is envisaged that GP supervision could be performed through routine GP/patient interaction. However, it was also assumed that those patients identified as having year-round vitamin D deficiency would require, on average, one additional GP visit per annum, with a further additional GP visit in the year that the qualifying patient would enter the potential public health programme. It is further assumed that serum 25(OH)D measurement during the summer months is sufficient to identify those older adults with year-round vitamin D deficiency.

Although it is acknowledged that vitamin D plays a key role in calcium metabolism and homeostasis, no calcium supplements were included in the cost-effectiveness analysis, as it was assumed that adequate calcium intake by patients could be achieved through dietary advice provided as part of the GP visit. Comparing adults worldwide, Ireland ranks among the highest calcium intakes in the world via higher intake of dairy products.<sup>21</sup> Therefore, a combined vitamin D and calcium supplement would not be expected to be required for the majority of the population.

Meta-analyses of studies investigating the relationship between vitamin D and bone fracture have limitations: including studies with short periods of patient follow-up, some measured in months, whereas only the most powerful pharmaceutical drugs have been shown to reduce hip fracture in such a short time-frame. Also, the distinction between supplementation with vitamin D and calcium in combination compared with vitamin D supplementation 'alone' is an artificial one in the context of this paper, as it is being proposed that patients would receive dietary advice from their GP to encourage adequate calcium intake by patients. The cost-effectiveness modelling assumed that patient identification and treatment with vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation would take some years to be achieved. Also, the benefits of treating vitamin D deficiency would take time to be manifested in terms of clinical benefits. Therefore, it was assumed that a 'steady-state' would be achieved by the end of 5 years from initiation of the public health programme. For each age group, it was assumed that the average annual healthcare cost would be the cost of treating all the eligible patients plus the cost of patient identification, with the latter 'set-up' costs averaged over 5 years. It is unknown, however, whether this time frame would be sufficient to capture the potential benefits of vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation on reducing all-cause mortality or reducing the risk of fractures.

The cost/QALY estimates in all three age groups are below the usually acceptable cost-effectiveness threshold of €20 000/QALY in Ireland. The most cost-effective and least costly intervention was in adults  $\geq$ 70 years of age. While a public health primary prevention programme in adults  $\geq$ 70 years of age would produce fewer QALYs, it would be sufficiently less costly to result in the lowest (best) cost/QALY. Therefore, it is proposed that a GP-monitored, vitamin D<sub>3</sub> supplementation public health programme be considered in adults  $\geq$ 70 years of age in the first instance.

The cost-effectiveness results could potentially be improved if additional clinical benefits of vitamin  $D_3$ supplementation had been included in the model, for example, decreasing the incidence of respiratory tract infections and the adverse clinical consequences than can arise from such infections.<sup>22</sup> In addition, a conservative approach was taken where applicable. For example, the cost off-sets due to cancer end-of-life care were limited to invasive cancer care costs only, with invasive cancers constituting approximately 55% of all cancers.<sup>17</sup>

The results of the cost-effectiveness analysis are most sensitive to the mortality risk reduction following vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation. For elderly adults  $\geq 70$  years of age, it was found that even if the reduction in all-cause mortality due to vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation was reduced to 2% (7% in the basecase), the cost/QALY in this age group would still be less than  $\notin 20\,000$  per QALY gained. However, potentially the reduction in all-cause mortality due to vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation could be less than this.

Typically, the uncertainty in cost-effectiveness analysis can be assessed through probabilistic sensitivity analysis (PSA). A PSA was not performed in this study. However, the nature of the clinical evidence base for vitamin  $D_3$ supplementation may not be very suitable for clinical uncertainty to be assessed in such a manner. The clinical uncertainty might be better addressed by means of: (1) performing a clinical research study prior to reaching a decision to invest in a GP-monitored, vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation public health programme or (2) conducting a pilot/regional study prior to reaching a decision to invest in a nationwide programme.

# CONCLUSION

The cost/QALY estimates of a GP-monitored, vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation public health programme in the three age groups: (1)  $\geq$ 50 years, (2)  $\geq$ 60 years and (3)  $\geq$ 70 years are below the usually acceptable cost-effectiveness threshold of  $\in$ 20 000/QALY in Ireland. The cost-effectiveness of vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation is most robust in adults  $\geq$ 70 years. The results of the cost-effectiveness analysis are most sensitive to the mortality risk reduction following vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation. It is proposed that a GP-monitored, vitamin  $D_3$  supplementation programme be considered in adults  $\geq$ 70 years of age in the first instance.

Twitter David J Armstrong @osteoporosisNI, Emily Royle @emily\_royle81, Pamela Magee @PamelaMagee13, L Kirsty Pourshahidi @DrKirstyP, Sumantra Ray @ProfSumantraRay, Emeir McSorley @emeirmc, NICHE @NICHE\_UIster and NNEdPro @nnedpro

**Contributors** All the authors contributed to the design, analysis and development of the submitted manuscript. LF Lacey is the author responsible for the overall content of the data analysis and the derived results presented in the the submitted manuscript

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available in a public, open access repository. All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information. All relevant data are provided in the manuscript or the manuscript references; otherwise, 'not applicable'.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### **ORCID iD**

Laurence Francis Lacey http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1393-8773

### REFERENCES

- Amrein K, Scherkl M, Hoffmann M, et al. Vitamin D deficiency 2.0: an update on the current status worldwide. Eur J Clin Nutr 2020;74:1498–513.
- 2 McCartney DM, Byrne DG. Optimisation of vitamin D status for enhanced Immuno-protection against Covid-19. *Ir Med J* 2020;113:P58.
- 3 Poole CD, Smith J, Davies JS. Cost-Effectiveness and budget impact of empirical vitamin D therapy on unintentional falls in older adults in the UK. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e007910.
- 4 Hiligsmann M, Ben Sedrine W, Bruyère O, et al. Cost-Effectiveness of vitamin D and calcium supplementation in the treatment of elderly women and men with osteoporosis. *Eur J Public Health* 2015;25:20–5.
- 5 Niedermaier T, Gredner T, Kuznia S, et al. Vitamin D supplementation to the older adult population in Germany has the cost-saving

potential of preventing almost 30 000 cancer deaths per year. *Mol Oncol* 2021;15:1986–94.

- 6 Central Statistics Office. Irish life tables No. 17 2015-2017. Available: https://www.cso.ie/en/releasesandpublications/er/ilt/irishlifetablesn o172015-2017/
- 7 Central Statistics Office. Irish population statistics for 2016 by age/ gender. Available: https://data.cso.ie/ [Accessed 09 Feb 2021].
- 8 Health Information and Quality Authority. Guidelines for the economic evaluation of health technologies in Ireland, 2020. Available: https://www.hiqa.ie/sites/default/files/2020-09/HTA-Economic-Guidelines-2020.pdf
- 9 Keum N, Lee DH, Greenwood DC, *et al.* Vitamin D supplementation and total cancer incidence and mortality: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ann Oncol* 2019;30:733–43.
- 10 Yao P, Bennett D, Mafham M, et al. Vitamin D and calcium for the prevention of fracture: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open 2019;2:e1917789.
- 11 Kahwati LC, Weber RP, Pan H, et al. Vitamin D, calcium, or combined supplementation for the primary prevention of fractures in community-dwelling adults: evidence report and systematic review for the US preventive services Task force. *JAMA* 2018;319:1600–12.
- 12 Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Willett WC, Wong JB, *et al.* Prevention of nonvertebral fractures with oral vitamin D and dose dependency: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Intern Med* 2009;169:551–61.
- 13 National Office of Clinical Audit. IRISH HIP FRACTURE DATABASE NATIONAL REPORT 2019 - Stay safe and active at home. Available: http://s3-eu-west-1.amazonaws.com/noca-uploads/general/lrish\_ Hip\_Fracture\_Database\_National\_Report\_2019\_10.11.2020.pdf
- 14 Kelly MA, McGowan B, McKenna MJ, et al. Emerging trends in hospitalisation for fragility fractures in Ireland. Ir J Med Sci 2018;187:601–8.
- 15 Imai N, Endo N, Hoshino T, et al. Mortality after hip fracture with vertebral compression fracture is poor. J Bone Miner Metab 2016;34:51–4.
- 16 Szende A, Janssen B, Cabases J, eds. Self-Reported Population Health: An International Perspective based on EQ-5D. Springer Open, 2014. https://eq-5dpublications.euroqol.org/download?id=0\_ 54006&fileId=54415
- 17 CANCER IN IRELAND. 1994-2018 with estimates for 2018-2020: annual report of the National cancer registry. 2020 annual report. Available: https://www.ncri.ie/sites/ncri/files/pubs/NCRI\_Annual% 20Report\_2020\_01122020.pdf
- 18 Guest JF, Ruiz FJ, Greener MJ, et al. Palliative care treatment patterns and associated costs of healthcare resource use for specific advanced cancer patients in the UK. Eur J Cancer Care 2006;15:65–73.
- 19 Bjelakovic G, Gluud LL, Nikolova D, et al. Vitamin D supplementation for prevention of mortality in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014:CD007470.
- 20 Acharya P, Dalia T, Ranka S, et al. The effects of vitamin D supplementation and 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels on the risk of myocardial infarction and mortality. *Journal of the Endocrine Society* 2021;5:1–11.
- 21 Balk EM, Adam GP, Langberg VN, et al. Global dietary calcium intake among adults: a systematic review. Osteoporos Int 2017;28:3315–24.
- 22 Martineau AR, Jolliffe DA, Hooper RL, et al. Vitamin D supplementation to prevent acute respiratory tract infections: systematic review and meta-analysis of individual participant data. BMJ 2017;356:i6583.
- 23 National Institute of Clinical Care Excellence (NICE). Costing statement: vitamin D: increasing supplement use among at-risk groups (PH56), 2014. Available: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ ph56/resources/costing-statement-pdf-69288013
- 24 Primary care reimbursement service (PCRs) annual report, 2016. Available: http://www.hse.ie/eng/staff/PCRS/PCRS\_Publications/ PCRS-Annual-Report-20161.pdf
- 25 Primary care reimbursement service (PCRs) database. Available: https://www.sspcrs.ie/druglist/pub
- 26 CSO Irish health inflator from the iris central statistics office (CSO). Available: https://data.cso.ie/
- 27 OECD. Purchasing power Parities for GDP and related indicators. from stats.OECD.org. Available: https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx? DataSetCode=PPPGDP [Accessed 11 Feb 2021].