Incorporation of reactive magnesia and quicklime in sustainable binders for soil stabilisation

Kai Gu^{1,2,*}, Fei Jin³, Abir Al-Tabbaa³, Bin Shi¹, Chun Liu¹, Lei Gao²

¹School of Earth Sciences and Engineering, Nanjing University, 163 Xianlin Avenue, Nanjing, China, 210023

²Key Laboratory of Ministry of Education for Geomechanics and Embankment Engineering, Hohai University, 1 Xikang Road, Nanjing 210098, China

³Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, Trumpington Road, UK, CB2 1PZ

*Corresponding author. Email: gukai@nju.edu.cn, gukainju@gmail.com; Tel: +86-13851856337

Abstract: The utilisation of reactive magnesia or quicklime as novel activators for slag offers a range of technical and environmental benefits over conventional caustic alkali activators and showed great potential in soil stabilisation. This paper investigates the mechanical and microstructural properties of two model soils, i.e. a clayey soil and a slightly silty clayey sand, stabilised by ground granulated blastfurnace slag (GGBS) using various techniques including unconfined compressive strength (UCS) test, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). A number of MgO and CaO mixtures with different MgO/CaO ratios were adopted for slag activation. The activator to GGBS ratio was 1:3 and the dosage of the binder (including MgO, CaO and GGBS) was 12% by weight of the dry soil. The result demonstrated that the increasing MgO/CaO ratio in the binder led to an increase in the UCS of the stabilised clayey soil up to 90 days, due to the increased homogeneity of C-S-H gel structure, the decreased Ca/Si ratio of C-S-H gel and the increased amount of voluminous hydrotalcite-like phases. On the other hand, slag activated with MgO-CaO mixtures showed poorer mechanical performance than slag activated with either MgO or CaO alone for sand stabilisation. In addition, strength enhancement was observed for the stabilised clayey soil upon different soaking conditions up to 7 days. After 28 days, although binders with higher MgO/CaO ratios showed slight strength degradation upon soaking, they still exhibited higher strength than those with lower MgO/CaO

ratios.

Key words: Soil stabilisation, Reactive magnesia, Quicklime, GGBS

1. Introduction

Among many ground improvement methods, soil stabilisation with Portland cement (PC) or lime is most widely used in road, rail and airport construction, foundation construction, embankments and deep cement mixing. The introduction of such binder, slurry or powder into soil significantly improved the geotechnical properties of soils including strength, volume stability, durability and permeability. The primary mechanism of soil stabilisation with PC is through the hydration of PC which leads to the formation of cementitious calcium silicate hydrates (C-S-H), calcium aluminate hydrates (C-A-H) and calcium aluminium silicate hydrates (C-A-S-H). In case of the stabilisation with lime, the addition of lime into soils immediately results in the cation exchange between the metallic ions associated with the surface of the clay particles and the calcium ions of the hydrated lime, which leads to the flocculation process. In addition, pozzolanic reaction occurs between the silica and some alumina of the lattices of the clay minerals in the high alkaline environment produced by lime, forming secondary cementitious products as mentioned above (Bell, 1996; Lemaire et al., 2013).

Due to the calcination of limestone and the consumption of fossil fuels, the production of PC contributes approximately 5-8% of global man-made CO₂ emissions (Provis and van Deventer, 2014). Consequently, the search for more sustainable and environmental binders has led to the development of alkali-activated cements (AACs), which utilise a large portion of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) such as blastfurnace slag, fly ash, metakaolin and silica fume with the use of alkali activators (Demirboğa and Gül, 2006; Memon et al., 2007; Provis, 2013; Shi et al., 2011, 2006; Singhal et al., 2008). Among those SCMs, ground granulated blastfurnace slag (GGBS) has been demonstrated to be a promising option to partially replace PC or lime in soil stabilisation (Nidzam and Kinuthia, 2010; Obuzor et al., 2012, 2011a, 2011b; Sargent et al., 2013; Tasong et al., 1999; Veith, 2000; Wild et al., 1999, 1998; Yi et al., 2014a), where PC or lime is used as an alkali activator for the slag to accelerate the hydration of slag. The benefits of using GGBS in soil stabilisation are not only in terms of low energy costs and positive environmental impact, but also

1 in terms of enhanced mechanical properties and durability. The combination of GGBS and PC or
2 lime is also very effective in reducing the expansion of the stabilised soil in the presence of
3 sulfates or sulfides (Celik and Nalbantoglu, 2013; Tasong et al., 1999; Wild et al., 1999).
4
5

6 The benefits of incorporating reactive magnesia (MgO) in cementitious components for a
7 number of applications have been investigated over the last 15 years or so and many promising
8 applications have emerged (Al-Tabbaa, 2013). Reactive MgO is mainly produced from the
9 processing of magnesite, magnesium chloride-rich brine or sea water at a much lower temperature
10 (~700-1000 °C) than dead burned magnesia in PC (~1400 °C). Under those low temperatures, the
11 MgO has high surface area, high reactivity, and low crystallinity (Shand, 2006). The use of
12 reactive MgO with GGBS for soil stabilisation is a recent development offering a range of
13 mechanical and durable advantages over PC or lime-slag blends (Jegandan et al., 2010; Yi et al.,
14 2014b, 2014c, 2012). Yi et al. (2014c) found that MgO-GGBS blend in the ratio of 1:9 by weight
15 induced a higher unconfined compressive strength of stabilised sand and clayey silt than
16 lime-GGBS blend at the same ratio at 7 days, although they obtained a similar strength range at 90
17 days. Additionally, the soil treated by GGBS-MgO mixture with proper ratio may achieve a
18 strength ~1.3-4 times higher than the corresponding PC treated soil. Jegandan et al. (2010) and Yi.
19 et al. (2014b) found that the combination of MgO and GGBS in stabilisation produced higher
20 resistance to sulfate and acid attack than PC stabilised soil, since no expansive phase ettringite
21 formed in MgO-GGBS stabilised soil. In addition, the more effective ability of reactive MgO to
22 immobilise heavy metals than PC has promoted the application of reactive MgO in land
23 remediation technology (Al-Tabbaa et al., 2011).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 An important obstacle in the widely application of MgO-GGBS in soil stabilisation should be
44 related to the economic issue. Given the global production of MgO around 20 million tonnes per
45 year, the price of MgO with potential in slag activation varies from US\$180 to US\$350 per ton in
46 China (Beijing HL Consulting Company, 2009), higher than that of CaO (i.e. US\$30 to US\$80 per
47 ton in China). In this context, the combination of MgO-CaO, as a way to cut down the cost of
48 using MgO, is of worth being investigated, but with limited literature. Lu et al. (1957) investigated
49 the use of calcitic lime [CaO, Ca(OH)₂] and dolomitic lime [MgO-CaO, MgO-Ca(OH)₂,
50 Mg(OH)₂-Ca(OH)₂] in soil-lime stabilisation, but without using cementitious binders. The results
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 indicated that the strengths of stabilised soils tended to be higher with dolomitic lime. Gu et al.
2 (2014) studied the mechanical properties of GGBS paste activated with MgO-CaO mixtures and
3 the result demonstrated that the use of CaO in MgO-GGBS blends can significantly accelerate the
4 hydration rate in the early age whilst better long term mechanical performance was observed when
5 the ratio of CaO to MgO was smaller than 1/19. So far, however, the utilisation of reactive MgO
6 and CaO mixtures blended with slag in soil stabilisation has not been investigated yet.

7
8 This paper presents the experimental study on the stabilisation of two model soils, a clayey
9 soil and a slightly clayey silty sand, using reactive MgO, CaO and GGBS blends, with CaO/MgO
10 ratio at 3/0, 1.5/1.5, 0.2/2.8 and 0/3. The mechanical properties and microstructure characteristics
11 of the stabilised soils were explored by a range of tests including unconfined compressive strength
12 (UCS), water content measurement, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and thermogravimetric
13 analysis (TGA). In addition, the durability of stabilised clayey soil subjected to different soaking
14 conditions was also studied. .

2. Materials

2.1 Model soils

15 Two model soils: a clayey soil and a slightly clayey silty sand, were used. The clayey soil
16 consists of 40 wt% kaolin clay, 35 wt% silica flour and 25 wt% sand. The sand consists of 5 wt%
17 kaolin clay, 5 wt% silica flour and 90 wt% sand. The kaolin clay, with liquid limit of 51% and
18 plastic limit of 30%, was obtained from Richard Baker Harrison, UK. The silica flour, 87%
19 particle of which passes 75 μm sieve, was obtained from David Ball Group, UK. Its specific
20 gravity was between 2.64 and 2.66. The sharp sand, with D_{50} of 0.8 mm and coefficient of
21 uniformity of 4.3, was obtained from Ridgeons, UK. The chemical compositions of kaolin clay
22 and silica flour are included in Table 1. The compaction test indicated that the optimal water
23 content of the clayey soil and the maximum dry density is 24% and 1.54 g/cm^3 , respectively.

24 The kaolin clay, silica flour and sand were first oven dried at 105 °C for 24 h, then left to cool
25 to room temperature in sealed plastic bags. The model soils were prepared by homogeneously
26 mixing these materials in a mixer for 5 min.

2.2 Binders

Reactive MgO (from Richard Baker Harrision) or quicklime (CaO, from Tarmac and Buxton Lime and Cement, UK) blended with GGBS (from Hanson, UK) are used as binders for soil stabilisation. Their chemical compositions and physical properties are also shown in Table 1. The reactivity of the reactive MgO is ~100 s determined by the acetic acid test according to Shand (2006) and is categorised as a medium reactive MgO (Jin and Al-Tabbaa, 2013). This type of reactive MgO is selected for its proper reactivity and cost, and it has been reported to be able to effectively activate the slag, with the long term compressive strength outperforming CaO activated slag (Gu et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2015).

Table 1. Chemical compositions and physical properties of raw materials (from suppliers' datasheets)

	Kaolin	Silica flour	MgO	CaO	GGBS
Chemical composition					
SiO ₂	45-55	99.2	0.9	0.9	37.0
Al ₂ O ₃	30-39	0.3	0.22	0.13	13.0
CaO	0-0.3	0.01	0.9	94.0	40.0
MgO	0-0.6	<0.02	>93.2	0.5	8.0
K ₂ O	0-5	0.04	-	-	0.6
Na ₂ O	0-0.3	<0.03	-	-	0.3
SO ₃	-	-	-	0.06	1.0
Fe ₂ O ₃	0-2	-	0.5	0.08	-
CaCO ₃	-	-	-	3.7	-
Physical properties					
Specific surface area(m ² /kg)	-	-	9000	-	493
Bulk density (kg/m ³)	-	-	-	1020	1050

3. Methodology

3.1 Sample preparation

The total content of the binders was fixed at 12% by weight of the stabilised soil, and the activator (MgO, CaO or their combinations) to GGBS ratio was set as 1:3. Four binder compositions were used in this study by varying the ratio of MgO to CaO (Table 2).

Table 2 Compositions of binders for soil stabilisation

Binder nomenclature	Binder composition	Ratio
C3S9	CaO: GGBS	3:9
M1.5C1.5S9	MgO: CaO: GGBS	1.5:1.5:9
M2.8C0.2S9	MgO: CaO: GGBS	2.8:0.2:9
M3S9	MgO: GGBS	3:9

The binders were mixed homogeneously with the model soils in dry powder form in a mixer for 5 min, and then predetermined amount of distilled water was added. For the clayey soil, the initial water content was 26%, slightly higher than the optimal water content (i.e. 24%) since the hydration heat of binders may cause the evaporation of water during mixing, especially in the presence of CaO. For the sand, the initial water content was 10%. After homogeneously mixed with water, the wet stabilised soils were cast into cylindrical plastic moulds and statically compacted to $\Phi 50 \times 100$ mm in two layers. The dry density of stabilised clayey soil was controlled at 1.54 g/cm^3 and that of stabilised sand was 1.70 g/cm^3 . Without demoulding, the samples were then wrapped with cling film to eliminate the water loss and cured at $20 \pm 2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and $99 \pm 1\%$ humidity in sealed plastic boxes until ready for testing.

3.2 Testing procedure

The unconfined compressive strength (UCS) was tested in triplicate using a CONTROLS Uniframe test machine with the vertical load applied at a constant displacement rate of 1.14 mm/min according to ASTM standard D1633-00 (ASTM, 2007) after 7, 28, 56 and 90 days of curing. The water contents of the crushed samples were determined after the test. The elastic stiffness (E_{50}) and the failure strain of samples were calculated from the stress-strain curves obtained from the strength test. A portion of the crushed soil sample was immersed in excess acetone for 3 days to arrest the hydration of binder, and then the samples were vacuum dried for at least 3 days and oven dried for 1 day at $35 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. To determine the amount of cementitious products in stabilised clayey soil, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on selected samples

using a Perkin Elmer STA 6000 by heating samples from 40 °C to 1000 °C in air with the rate of 10 °C/min. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) imaging analysis using JEOL 820 machine was also employed on selected samples to investigate the microstructure of the stabilised soil.

The durability of stabilised soil was evaluated by soaking unwrapped, demolded samples in tap water for 4 days at 20±2 °C in sealed plastic boxes (the samples were prepared and cured in the same way as the ordinary ones before soaking). This method was similar to the assessment of durability of stabilised soil in flood events by Obuzor et al. (2012). Two soaking regimes were applied: (1) partially soaked (i.e. half of the sample soaked in water) and (2) completely soaked. It should be noted that, the samples were subjected to soaking at the last 4 days of each curing period rather than an extended 4 days period used by Obuzor et al. (2012). Because in this case, the effect of longer hydration time on the strength of the soaked samples can be eliminated. At the lapse of each curing periods, the UCS of the samples was determined in duplicate by the same method on the unsoaked samples.

4. Results

4.1 Mechanical performance

4.1.1 Unconfined compressive strength

Fig.1 shows the UCS results of the stabilised soils using different binders. A clear trend of continuous strength development of stabilised soils with time was observed. Fig.1a demonstrates that the replacement of CaO by MgO induced an increase in strength of the treated clayey soil at each time. After 7 days, C3S9 stabilised soil showed strength of 0.30 MPa. With the increasing content of MgO in the binder, the strength linearly increased to 0.91 MPa of M3S9 treated soil. There is a significant strength development by 28 days for all samples and the strengths meet the strength requirement for general soil mixing applications, typically 0.1-5.0 MPa (Bruce, 2001). Thereafter, M3S9 treated soil still showed significant strength development by 56 days and 90 days, while binders with CaO (C3S9, M1.5C1.5S9 and M2.8C0.2S9) stabilised soil showed relatively smaller increases. Additionally, the latter three binders treated soil still had a more or less linear relationship in strength.

Different strength development pattern was observed in the stabilisation of sand (Fig.1b). After 7 days, M3S9 treated sand still exhibited the highest strength, followed by the C3S9 treated sand. The utilisation of MgO-CaO mixtures in the binder resulted in lower strength than both of binders using CaO or MgO alone. By 28 days, all samples showed a significant strength development, with slower development in the later ages, meanwhile the strength development patterns with binder composition remain the same as 7 days. After 90 days, C3S9 treated sand showed the highest strength of 5.24 MPa, followed by M3S9 treated sand with 4.84 MPa.

Fig.1 Unconfined compressive strength of stabilised soils. (a) clayey soil, (b) sand

4.1.2 Elastic stiffness

The modulus of elasticity (E_{50}) is an important parameter for deformation analysis and design. Fig.2 shows that the E_{50} values of treated soils increased with time. Additionally, E_{50} of clayey soil samples generally increases with increasing content of MgO in the binder (Fig.2a) while no significant trend can be observed on the sand samples (Fig.2b). The elasticity modulus of stabilised sand is mainly in the range of 100-400 MPa.

Fig.2 Relationship between E_{50} and binder composition. (a) clayey soil, (b) sand

The correlation of the elastic stiffness with the unconfined compressive strength is shown in Fig.3. For the clayey soil, a range of $40\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 125\text{UCS}$ was calculated whilst the stabilised sand had a slightly narrower range of $50\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 120\text{UCS}$. In general, the correlation of E_{50} and UCS varies significantly with the type of soils (whose physico-chemical properties differ with the geological processes and stress history during the origin), the type and the amount of the binder used, according to the investigations on the relationship between E_{50} and UCS for different soils using different binders as summarised in Table 3. The ranges were usually wider than that observed in this study, which may be attributed to the fact that only one binder content (i.e. 12%) was used in this study. Additionally, Table 3 reveals that soils stabilised with GGBS based binders tend to have relatively lower and narrower ranges than soils treated with cement based binders.

Fig.3 E_{50} plotted against UCS for different binders. (a) clayey soil, (b) sand

Table 3 Relationships between modulus and UCS

Soil type	Binder	Binder content (%)	Relationship	Reference
Model clayey soil	CaO:GGBS, MgO:CaO:GGBS, MgO:GGBS	12	$40\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 125\text{UCS}$	this study
Model sand	CaO:GGBS, MgO:CaO:GGBS, MgO:GGBS	12	$50\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 120\text{UCS}$	this study
Model gravelly sand	PC:GGBS, PC:PFA, PC:CKD	2.5-10	$55\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 160\text{UCS}$ (UCS up to 2.5 MPa), $220\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 360\text{UCS}$	(Jegandan et al., 2010)
Model clayey silt	PC:GGBS, PC:PFA, PC:CKD	2.5-10	$15\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 130\text{UCS}$ (UCS up to 0.25 MPa), $121\text{UCS}-23 < E_{50} < 132\text{UCS}$	(Jegandan et al., 2010)
Bangkok clay	Type I PC	5-20	$115\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 150\text{UCS}$	(Lorenzo and Bergado, 2006)
Lianyungang marine clay in NaCl solution	Type I PC	10-20	$150\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 275\text{UCS}$	(Zhang et al., 2013)
Dried-pulverized Singapore marine clay	PC	20-70	$45\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 130\text{UCS}$	(Lee et al., 2005)
Slurry Singapore marine clay	PC	20-70	$80\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 200\text{UCS}$	(Lee et al., 2005)
Silty clay, Silt and Laterite	PC	0-13	$100\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 326\text{UCS}$	(Rashid et al., 2014)
Shinagawa clay	PC	5-15	$350\text{UCS} < E_{50} < 1000\text{UCS}$	(Saitoh et al., 1980)

4.1.3 Failure strain

Fig.4 shows the failure strain (ϵ_f) for the stabilised clayey soil and sand. The trend that the failure strain of the stabilised clayey soil decreased with increasing strength, agrees well with the results reported by others (Åhnberg et al., 2003). At 7 days, when the strength of samples is lower than 1.0 MPa, the failure strain decreased with the increasing content of MgO used in the binder, thereafter no significant relationship of the failure strain and the binder composition was observed. A scatter in measured failure strain can be seen, especially when the compressive strength is lower than 2.5 MPa. In addition, the plots of C3S9 and M1.5C1.5S9 are more scattered while the plots of M2.8C0.2S9 and M3S9 tend to be along with the fitting line. The scatter can be explained by the lower homogeneity of the C3S9 and M1.5C1.5S9 treated samples, in which the presence of CaO can cause high hydration heat release and induced the evaporation of water in some areas. In general, the relationship between UCS and failure strain of treated clayey soil can be represented by a power function expressed by:

$$\epsilon_f = 1.81562 \times UCS^{-0.23781}, R^2 = 0.30 \quad (1)$$

The relatively small correlation coefficient (R), however, suggests that more data is required to build a fair relationship.

For the stabilised sand, no significant dependence of the relationship between failure strain and strength on the binder was obtained. In addition, small variation of the failure strain with increasing strength was observed.

As can be seen, the failure strain of stabilised clayey soil was in the range of 0.8%-3.1%. The cohesion of the clayey soil allowed relatively more ductile behaviour of stabilised soil when the strength was lower than 2.5 MPa. At higher UCS, the failure strain tended to remain in the range of 1.0%-1.8%, agreed well by the increase in stiffness (Fig. 2a). On the other hand, the observed failure strain of sand was in a narrower range of 0.7%-1.5%. The failure strain of sand was largely dependent on the hydrated binder, which results in increased brittle behaviour of the treated sand (Hamidi and Hooresfand, 2013).

Fig.4 Failure strain plotted against UCS for different binders. (a) clayey soil, (b) sand

4.2 Water content

Since soil samples were cured in cling film sealed moulds, the decrease in water content of stabilised soils was mainly caused by the hydration process of binders. Fig.5 illustrates the variation of water content of the samples. Due to the evaporation of water, which was caused by the exothermic hydration of the binders, the measured initial water contents of sample (at 0 days) were all smaller than the predetermined water content (i.e. 26% for the clayey soil and 10% for the sand). Additionally, the measured initial water content increased with the amount of MgO used in the binders, due to the slower hydration rate of MgO than CaO and lower hydration heat of MgO, -37.11 kJ/mol (Shand, 2006) than CaO, -65.27 kJ/mol (Obuzor et al., 2012). For the clayey soil, the water content decreased most significantly in the first 7 days, while smaller decreases were observed thereafter. Due to the latent hydration of MgO activated slag (Gu et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2015), the measured water content, in general, increased with the increasing content of MgO in the

binder, by which the binder may hydrate more sufficiently. After 56 days and 90 days, it should be noted, the water content of M3S9 stabilised soil dropped rapidly, due to the accelerated hydration degree of slag and hence accelerated demand of water, according to the previous work (Gu et al., 2014). As for the sand, the decrease in water content due to the hydration of the binders with time was also observed, with a similar pattern of water content development to the clayey soil. The reason should be the same as mentioned in the clayey soil.

Fig.5 Variation of measured water contents over time. (a) clayey soil, (b) sand

The stable water content of the stabilised soil could be estimated by the following equation (Åhnberg et al., 2003):

$$w_{stab} = \frac{\rho_{soil} \left(\frac{w_i}{w_i + 1} \right) - nx}{\rho_{soil} \left(\frac{w_i}{w_i + 1} \right) + (1 + n)x} \quad (2)$$

In which ρ_{soil} is the bulk density of the unstabilised soil (g/cm^3); w_i is the initial water content of the untreated soil; x is the amount of dry binder added to the soil (g/cm^3); and n is the content of non-evaporable water of the hydration product with respect to dry binder weight. Here, the parameter n was selected to be 0.2 for the hydrated slag (Åhnberg et al., 2003), which is a smaller n than used in hydrated cement (i.e. 0.23) (Taylor, 1997).

As can be seen, the measured water contents of clayey soil are slightly higher than the estimated water contents (Fig.6), implying the presence of inaccessible free water in the soil. The migration of water in clayey soil could be affected by the kaolin clay particles, which can easily absorb water and produce small pores; therefore some water was difficult to react with the binder. On the other hand, the estimated water contents of sand had better correlations with the measured values. The water in the sand sample participated in the hydration process of the binders in a higher proportion than in the clayey soil.

Fig.6 Estimated water content of stabilised soils against measured plots after 90 days

4.3 Microstructure

The model soils used in this study were prepared by mixing different materials, and thus the structural strength between the particles was relatively weak. The hydrated binder combined the soil particles together, with physical (i.e. binding, bridging) and/or chemical (i.e. pozzolanic reaction) processes. Fig.7 illustrates the change of the microstructure of M1.5C1.5S9 stabilised clayey soil with time. As can be seen, the structure of the soil was relatively loose with many pores developed after 28 days (Fig.7a). The binding of the plate-like clay particles by hydration products (mainly cementitious C-S-H gel) was observed in a magnified scale (Fig.7b). After 90 days, with the hydration of the binder and probably the pozzolanic reaction, significantly more cementitious C-S-H gel made contribution to the strength development by forming a much denser

matrix in Fig.7c and d than observed in Fig.7a and b. The soil particles exhibited close interconnection and the pores were well filled by the hydration products, explaining the high strength and small failure strain of the soil.

The hydrated binder connected the sand particles mainly by physical processes such as bridging, binding and pore filling effect. The microstructure analysis on the same stabilised model sand carried out by Yi et al. (2014c) indicated the good interconnection between soil particles by hydrated binders. But the relatively coarse surface morphology made it difficult to identify the microstructural change over time.

The effect of binder composition on the microstructure was difficult to be determined due to (1) the presence of the soil particles, especially soft kaolin clay particles, which induced a complex microstructure with specific mineral difficult to be identified; (2) the amorphous nature of the gels formed, which intermixed together and have no specific morphology (Jin et al., 2015, 2013).

Fig.7 SEM images of M1.5C1.5S9 stabilised clayey soil. (a) and (b) 28 days, (c) and (d) 90 days

4.4 Thermogravimetric analysis

TGA was performed to identify the main phases in the stabilised soils. Fig.8 shows the TG/DTG curves of M1.5C1.5S9 and M3S9 treated clayey soil after 28 days. For both samples, two main weight losses can be seen. The weight loss from 40 °C to 200 °C was mainly due to the dehydration of C-S-H gel (Ben Haha et al., 2011; Michel et al., 2012) and the weight loss between 400 °C and 700 °C represented the decomposition of kaolin (Ptáček et al., 2014).

Fig.8 TG/DTG curves of selected stabilised clayey soil samples

The binding capacity of the hydrated binder is mainly dependent on the amount of cementitious C-S-H gel, whose amount in different samples can be estimated by the weight loss from 40 °C to 200 °C (Ben Haha et al., 2011). Fig.9 illustrates the amount of C-S-H gel of M1.5C1.5S9, M2.8C0.2S9 and M3S9 treated clayey soil after 28 days and 90 days according to the TGA results. It is clear that the amount of C-S-H gel in stabilised soil increased with the hydration time and, it should be noted, the amount of C-S-H gel decreased with the increasing content of MgO in the binder. According to the compressive strength results (Fig.1), however, higher MgO content in the binder induced higher strength at each time. The explanation will be given in the Discussion Section.

Fig.9 Calculated amount of C-S-H gel formed in stabilised samples from TGA results

4.5 Durability in different soaking conditions

Fig.10 illustrates the effect of soaking on the strength of the stabilised clayey soil using the four binders. The gray plots represent the percentages of strength variation upon soaking conditions to the strength of unsoaked samples. In the early age (7 days), both partially soaked and completely soaking conditions resulted in an increase in strength of stabilised soil with all four binders. The soaked samples had 16%-43% higher strength than the unsoaked samples. At later ages, relatively smaller variations in strength upon soaking conditions were seen, which can be explained by the decreased hydration rate with time. Apparently, after being subjected to different soaking conditions, continuous strength increase with increasing MgO/CaO ratio of the binder was still observed. That is, clayey soil stabilised with binders of higher MgO/CaO ratio always exhibited higher strength. The effect of MgO and CaO on the soil behaviour upon soaking conditions is different. On the one hand, MgO alone activated slag (M3S9) treated soil appears to have poor durability upon different soaking conditions after 28 days and thereafter, in spite of its highest strengths upon ordinary curing condition. On the other hand, the presence of CaO in the binder to some extent improves the durability of stabilised soil in waterish conditions through additional pozzolanic reaction and slag hydration until 56 days. Nevertheless, the soil samples treated with MgO containing binders still showed higher strengths than CaO alone activated slag (i.e. C3S9) stabilised soil, demonstrating the better mechanical performance of MgO or MgO-CaO mixtures activated slag stabilised soils than quicklime (lime) activated slag stabilised soils in

waterish conditions such as intense fall, flood, rising of groundwater level, etc.

Fig.10 Variation of strength upon partially and completely soaked conditions

5. Discussions

CaO can produce a relatively high pH environment (i.e. 12.5) of pore solution than MgO (i.e. 10.5), hence the hydration of the binder usually proceeded more rapidly in the presence of CaO than using MgO alone in the activation. The faster reaction of the slag leads to a fast precipitation of relatively dense C-S-H layer, in which case further hydration of slag almost developed entirely in the place of the original slag particles, with very little hydrates formed between slag particles (Ben Haha et al., 2011). Consequently, C-S-H gel was less homogeneously distributed in the matrix and presented lower strength. The increase in MgO content reduced the hydration rate of

the binders and the amount of C-S-H gel (Gu et al., 2014), but enhanced the uniformity of distribution of hydrates. Additionally, a decrease in Ca/Si ratio of the C-S-H in MgO-CaO mixtures activated GGBS paste with increased MgO/CaO ratio was observed on the same raw materials by Gu et al. (2014). According to Provis and van Deventer (2014), the cohesion and strength of C-S-H gel tend to decrease with its Ca/Si ratio, and thus the relatively lower Ca/Si ratio of the C-S-H formed in MgO-GGBS may give higher strength of the stabilised soil. . On the other hand, the activation of slag with MgO can induce the formation of hydrotalcite-like phases, which are more voluminous than C-S-H gel that had formed in lime activated slag (Jin et al., 2015), and its pore filling effect will compact the structure therefore strengthen the soil (Yi et al., 2014c). Consequently, the compressive strength of clayey soil increased with the increasing content of MgO in the binder (Fig.1a), but with decreasing amount of formed C-S-H gel (Fig.9). In case of the sand stabilisation, the high void ratio and the coarse sand particles may lead to a loose structure of the treated sand, which to some extent eliminated the influence of C-S-H homogeneity, C-S-H cohesion and voluminous hydrotalcite-like phases on the strength. Therefore similar strength was achieved by C3S9 and M3S9 treated sand. The combination use of MgO and CaO seems to have poor potential, in terms of mechanical performance, in sand stabilisation since smaller strength was observed than soil treated by CaO or MgO alone activated slag at each time.

Another issue on the application of MgO in soil stabilisation, either alone or blended with other powder, should be related to MgO itself. Dead burned MgO in PC, which is manufactured at temperatures ~1450 °C, is recondensed as a problematic impurity which leads to expansion and cracking of cement and concrete through the delayed hydration. Reactive MgOs have much higher reactivity than dead burned MgO and hence faster hydration rate. On the other hand, given the different origins and production process, reactive MgOs show a great variety in reactivity, specific surface area and crystallinity (Shand, 2006) and present significantly different performance in slag activation (Jin et al., 2013). Generally, increasing the reactivity of MgO and/or the amount of MgO can induce higher early age strength of slag paste and stabilised soil. The MgO used in this work was of lower reactivity than that used in the study reported by Yi et al. (2014c) on a same model sand. The strength of M3S9 treated sand was 1.8 MPa at 7 days (Fig.1b) and was much smaller than 5.0 MPa reported by the authors, even though the authors used a slightly smaller

MgO to slag ratio (i.e. 1/4) with the total binder content of 10%. In addition, despite the superior performance of stabilised soils with more MgO in the binder, arbitrarily increasing the amount of MgO should not be encouraged, not only due to the economic issue, but also due to the fact that the hydration of residue MgO may cause cracks in the stabilised soil and reduce the long term strength, which has been reported by Yi et al. (2014c). The hydration of MgO forming brucite $[Mg(OH)_2]$ can cause 118% volume growth, which is the reason of MgO with proper reactivity used as expansive agent in expansive concrete (Xu and Deng, 2005). Additionally, excess MgO may also lead to excess hydrotalcite-like phases, which may also cause cracks in the matrix and be detrimental to the strength (Jin et al., 2013). These two mentioned potential detrimental effects of excess MgO on the stabilised soil may explain the decrease in strength of stabilised clayey soil when subjected to soaking conditions.

6. Conclusions

The utilisation of MgO-CaO-GGBS blends in stabilising two model soils, a clayey soil and a clayey silty sand, was investigated in this paper. The binder content was 12wt% with 9wt% slag and 3wt% MgO, CaO or their mixtures. Four MgO/CaO ratios [i.e. 0/3 (CaO alone), 1.5/1.5, 2.8/0.2 and 3/0 (MgO alone)] were used.

The unconfined compressive strength of stabilised clayey soil increased with the increasing MgO/CaO ratio up to 90 days. MgO alone activated slag stabilised soil had significantly higher strength after 56 days and longer than the other three binders. However, the amount of cementitious C-S-H gel, which provides the binding capacity of stabilised soil, decreased with the increasing MgO/CaO ratio of the binder due to the decreased reaction rate. This can be attributed to (1) the slower hydration rate led to more homogeneous formation of the C-S-H gel, (2) lower Ca/Si ratio of the C-S-H gel formed, which could give higher strength and (3) the amount of hydrotalcite-like phases, which compacted the structure of C-S-H gel, increased with the increasing MgO/CaO ratio. Additionally, the increase in elasticity modulus (E_{50}) of stabilised clayey soil with increasing MgO/CaO ratio at all ages and the decrease in failure strain of clayey soil with increasing MgO/CaO ratio in the early age was observed.

The combination of MgO and CaO in slag activation for sand stabilisation, however, led to

poorer mechanical performance than MgO or CaO alone activated slag stabilised sand. No significant dependence of E_{50} and failure strain on the MgO/CaO ratio was observed in this study. The relatively loose structure of sand to some extent eliminated the influence of MgO/CaO ratio on the hydrated binder therefore on the mechanical performance.

The result on the durability of stabilised clayey soil demonstrated the enhanced mechanical performance of soil with the increasing MgO/CaO ratio in the binder under different soaking conditions, therefore better resistance of stabilised soil to extreme or particular conditions such as intense fall, flood, rising of groundwater, etc. But cares should be taken on the reactivity and amount of reactive MgO used, which may reduce the long term strength of soil by the formation of expansive brucite and hydrotalcite-like phases through the delayed hydration with additional water. In this context, further investigation on the optimisation of the content of MgOs with varied reactivity and the MgO/CaO ratio should be performed.

7. Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (41230636, 41302216), the National Basic Research Program of China (2011CB710605) and the Key Laboratory of Ministry of Education for Geomechanics and Embankment Engineering, Hohai University (GH201406). The first author would like to thank the China Scholarship Council for the financial support for the visit to University of Cambridge. The second author wants to thank the Cambridge Trust and the China Scholarship Council for the financial support for the PhD studentship.

8. References

- Åhnberg, H., Johansson, S., Pihl, H., Carlsson, T., 2003. Stabilising effects of different binders in some Swedish soils. *Proc. ICE- Gr. Improv.* 9–23.
- Al-Tabbaa, A., 2013. Book chapter: Reactive magnesia cement, in: Pacheco-Torgal, F., Jalali, S., Labrincha, J., John, V.M. (Eds.), *Eco-Efficient Concrete*. Woodhead, pp. 523–543.
- Al-Tabbaa, A., Evans, C.W., Barker, P., 2011. Soil mix technology for land remediation : recent innovations. *Proc. ICE- Gr. Improv.* 164, 127–137. doi:10.1680/grim.2011.164.3.127

- ASTM, 2007. D1633-00:Standard Test Methods for Compressive Strength of Molded Soil-Cement
Cylinders. West Conshohocken, PA.
- Beijing HL Consulting Company, 2009. Market research report on magnesia(MgO)industry in China
2009.
- Bell, F.G., 1996. Lime stabilization of clay minerals and soils. *Eng. Geol.* 42, 223–237.
doi:10.1016/0013-7952(96)00028-2
- Ben Haha, M., Le Saout, G., Winnefeld, F., Lothenbach, B., 2011. Influence of activator type on
hydration kinetics, hydrate assemblage and microstructural development of alkali activated
blast-furnace slags. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 41, 301–310. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2010.11.016
- Bruce, D., 2001. Practitioner’s guide to the deep mixing method. *Proc. Ice-gr. Improv.* 5, 95–100.
- Celik, E., Nalbantoglu, Z., 2013. Effects of ground granulated blastfurnace slag (GGBS) on the
swelling properties of lime-stabilized sulfate-bearing soils. *Eng. Geol.* 163, 20–25.
doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2013.05.016
- Demirboğa, R., Gül, R., 2006. Production of high strength concrete by use of industrial by-products.
Build. Environ. 41, 1124–1127. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2005.04.023
- Gu, K., Jin, F., Al-Tabbaa, A., Shi, B., Liu, J., 2014. Mechanical and hydration properties of ground
granulated blastfurnace slag pastes activated with MgO–CaO mixtures. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 69,
101–108. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.07.032
- Hamidi, A., Hooresfand, M., 2013. Effect of fiber reinforcement on triaxial shear behavior of cement
treated sand. *Geotext. Geomembranes* 36, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.geotextmem.2012.10.005
- Jegandan, S., Liska, M., Osman, A.A.-M., Al-Tabbaa, A., 2010. Sustainable binders for soil
stabilisation. *Proc. ICE - Gr. Improv.* 163, 53–61. doi:10.1680/grim.2010.163.1.53

- Jin, F., Al-Tabbaa, A., 2013. Characterisation of different commercial reactive magnesia. *Adv. Cem. Res.* 26, 101–113.
- Jin, F., Gu, K., Abdollahzadeh, A., Al-tabbaa, A., 2013. Effects of Different Reactive MgOs on the Hydration of MgO-Activated GGBS Paste. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.* in press.
doi:10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0001009.
- Jin, F., Gu, K., Al-Tabbaa, A., 2015. Strength and hydration properties of reactive MgO-activated ground granulated blastfurnace slag paste. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 57, 8–16.
doi:10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2014.10.007
- Lee, F., Lee, Y., Chew, S., Yong, K., 2005. Strength and Modulus of Marine Clay-Cement Mixes. *J. Geotech. Geoenvironmental Eng.* 131, 178–186.
- Lemaire, K., Deneele, D., Bonnet, S., Legret, M., 2013. Effects of lime and cement treatment on the physicochemical, microstructural and mechanical characteristics of a plastic silt. *Eng. Geol.* 166, 255–261. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2013.09.012
- Lorenzo, G.A., Bergado, D.T., 2006. Fundamental Characteristics of Cement-Admixed Clay in Deep Mixing. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.* 18, 161–174.
- Lu, L.W., Davidson, D.T., Handy, R.L., Laguros, J.G., 1957. The Calcium-Magnesium Ratio Soil-Lime Stabilization. *Proc. Highw. Res. Board* 36, 794–805.
- Memon, N.A., Sumadi, S.R., Ramli, M., 2007. Performance of high workability slag-cement mortar for ferrocement. *Build. Environ.* 42, 2710–2717. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2006.07.015
- Michel, M., Georgin, J.-F., Ambroise, J., 2012. Improving the mechanical performance of high-grade slag cement by the addition of Portland cement and sulfoaluminate cement. *Constr. Build. Mater.* 37, 291–300. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.04.041
- Nidzam, R.M., Kinuthia, J.M., 2010. Sustainable soil stabilisation with blastfurnace slag – a review. *Proc. ICE - Constr. Mater.* 163, 157–165. doi:10.1680/coma.2010.163.3.157

- Obuzor, G.N., Kinuthia, J.M., Robinson, R.B., 2011a. Utilisation of lime activated GGBS to reduce the deleterious effect of flooding on stabilised road structural materials: A laboratory simulation. *Eng. Geol.* 122, 334–338. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2011.06.010
- Obuzor, G.N., Kinuthia, J.M., Robinson, R.B., 2011b. Enhancing the durability of flooded low-capacity soils by utilizing lime-activated ground granulated blastfurnace slag (GGBS). *Eng. Geol.* 123, 179–186. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2011.07.009
- Obuzor, G.N., Kinuthia, J.M., Robinson, R.B., 2012. Soil stabilisation with lime-activated-GGBS—A mitigation to flooding effects on road structural layers/embankments constructed on floodplains. *Eng. Geol.* 151, 112–119. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2012.09.010
- Provis, J.L., 2013. Geopolymers and other alkali activated materials: why, how, and what? *Mater. Struct.* 47, 11–25. doi:10.1617/s11527-013-0211-5
- Provis, J.L., van Deventer, J.S.J., 2014. Alkali Activated Materials: State-of-the-Art Report, RILEM TC 224-AAM, RILEM State-of-the-Art Reports. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht. doi:10.1007/978-94-007-7672-2
- Ptáček, P., Frajkorová, F., Šoukal, F., Opravil, T., 2014. Kinetics and mechanism of three stages of thermal transformation of kaolinite to metakaolinite. *Powder Technol.* 264, 439–445. doi:10.1016/j.powtec.2014.05.047
- Rashid, A.S. a., Kalatehjari, R., Noor, N.M., Yaacob, H., Moayed, H., Sing, L.K., 2014. Relationship between liquidity index and stabilized strength of local subgrade materials in a tropical area. *Measurement* 55, 231–237. doi:10.1016/j.measurement.2014.05.018
- Saitoh, S., Kawasaki, T., Niina, S., Babaski, R., Miyata, T., 1980. Research on DMM using cementitious agents-part 10-Engineering properties of treated soils, in: *Proceedings of the 15th National Conference of the JSSMFE, Tokyo*. pp. 717–720.

- 1 Sargent, P., Hughes, P.N., Rouainia, M., White, M.L., 2013. The use of alkali activated waste binders
2 in enhancing the mechanical properties and durability of soft alluvial soils. *Eng. Geol.* 152,
3 96–108. doi:10.1016/j.enggeo.2012.10.013
4
5
6
7
8 Shand, M.A., 2006. The chemistry and technology of magnesia. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, New
9 Jersey.
10
11
12
13 Shi, C., Jiménez, A.F., Palomo, A., 2011. New cements for the 21st century: The pursuit of an
14 alternative to Portland cement. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 41, 750–763.
15 doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2011.03.016
16
17
18
19
20 Shi, C., Krivenko, P., Roy, D., 2006. Alkali-activated cements and concretes. Taylor & Francis,
21 Abingdon, UK.
22
23
24
25
26 Singhal, A., Tewari, V.K., Prakash, S., 2008. Utilization of treated spent liquor sludge with fly ash in
27 cement and concrete. *Build. Environ.* 43, 991–998. doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2007.02.002
28
29
30
31 Tasong, W., Wild, S., Tilley, R., 1999. Mechanisms by which ground granulated blastfurnace slag
32 prevents sulphate attack of lime-stabilised kaolinite. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 29, 975–982.
33
34
35
36
37 Taylor, H., 1997. Cement chemistry, 2nd ed. Thomas Telford Publishing, London, UK.
38
39
40 Veith, G., 2000. Essay competition: Green, ground and great: soil stabilization with slag. *Build. Res.*
41 *Inf.* 28, 70–72. doi:10.1080/096132100369127
42
43
44
45 Wild, S., Kinuthia, J., Jones, G., Higgins, D., 1998. Effects of partial substitution of lime with ground
46 granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) on the strength properties of lime-stabilised
47 sulphate-bearing clay soils. *Eng. Geol.* 51, 37–53.
48
49
50
51
52
53 Wild, S., Kinuthia, J.M., Jones, G.I., Higgins, D.D., 1999. Suppression of swelling associated with
54 ettringite formation in lime stabilized sulphate bearing clay soils by partial substitution of lime
55 with ground granulated blastfurnace slag (GGBS). *Eng. Geol.* 51, 257–277.
56
57
58
59 doi:10.1016/S0013-7952(98)00069-6
60

- Xu, L., Deng, M., 2005. Dolomite used as raw material to produce MgO-based expansive agent. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 35, 1480–1485. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.09.026
- Yi, Y., Li, C., Liu, S., 2014a. Alkali-Activated Ground-Granulated Blast Furnace Slag for Stabilization of Marine Soft Clay. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.* doi:10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0001100.
- Yi, Y., Li, C., Liu, S.Y., Al-Tabbaa, A., 2014b. Resistance of MgO – GGBS and CS – GGBS stabilised marine soft clays to sodium sulfate attack. *Geotechnique*. doi:10.1680/geot.14.T.012
- Yi, Y., Liska, M., Al-Tabbaa, A., 2012. Initial investigation into the use of GGBS-MgO in soil stabilisation, in: *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Grouting and Deep Mixing*. New Orleans, Louisiana, United States, pp. 444–453.
- Yi, Y., Liska, M., Al-Tabbaa, A., 2014c. Properties of Two Model Soils Stabilized with Different Blends and Contents of GGBS, MgO, Lime, and PC. *J. Mater. Civ. Eng.* 267–274. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0000806.
- Zhang, D., Fan, L., Liu, S., Deng, Y., 2013. Experimental Investigation of Unconfined Compression Strength and Stiffness of Cement Treated Salt-Rich Clay. *Mar. Georesources Geotechnol.* 31, 360–374. doi:10.1080/1064119X.2012.690826