1	Ureolytic activities of a urease-producing bacterium and purified urease enzyme in
2	the anoxic condition: Implication for subseafloor sand production control by
3	microbially induced carbonate precipitation (MICP)
4	
5	Ning-Jun Jiang *
6	PhD candidate, Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Trumpington
7	Street, Cambridge, CB2 1PZ, UK. (*Corresponding author). Email:
8	jiangningjun@gmail.com; nj263@cam.ac.uk. PH: +44-1223-766683.
9	
10	Hideyoshi Yoshioka, Ph.D
11	Senior Researcher, Institute for Geo-Resource and Environment, National Institute of
12	Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Japan. Email: hi-
13	yoshioka@aist.go.jp
14	
15	Koji Yamamoto, Ph.D
16	Project Director, Methane Hydrate Research & Development Division, Japan Oil, Gas
17	and Metals National Corporation (JOGMEC), Japan. Email: yamamoto-
18	koji@jogmec.go.jp
19	
20	Kenichi Soga, Ph.D
21	Professor, Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, UK. Email:
22	ks207@cam.ac.uk
23	
24	

25 Abstract: Microbially induced carbonate precipitation (MICP) involves the hydrolysis of urea by indigenous or introduced urease-producing bacteria, which induces carbonate 26 27 precipitation. By allowing this process to occur in the pores of unconsolidated sand, sand particles bond together, creating a sandstone like material. Although MICP has 28 been explored recently for possible applications in civil and construction engineering, 29 30 this study examines its application to sand production control during hydrate gas exploitation from subseafloor sediments. The major uncertainty is the ureolytic 31 32 activities of bacteria and associated enzyme under the subseafloor condition. The main aim of this study was to quantify the ureolytic efficiency of a urease-producing 33 34 bacterium and purified urease enzyme in the oxic and anoxic conditions. The purified 35 urease enzyme and *B. megaterium* were subject to bench shaking ureolyic activity tests in both conditions. Biochemical parameters including urea concentration, electric 36 conductivity (EC), pH, and optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of the solution at different 37 time intervals were measured. As a quality control procedure, dissolved oxygen 38 concentration (DO) of the final solutions was also measured. Results show that the 39 40 effect of oxygen availability on ureolytic efficiency of purified urease enzyme is 41 marginal. However, anoxic ureolytic performance of *B. megaterium* is better than its 42 oxic counterpart. It is also found that higher concentration of urease and multi-43 amendment of bacteria help raise ureolytic efficiency. In order to sustain ureolytic efficiency and facilitate its up-scaled field application, several practice measures can be 44 implemented including growing bacteria aerobically to exponential stage before 45 46 implemented into the subseafloor sites, injecting larger bacteria cell number, and repeatedly supplying fresh bacteria cells. 47

48

49 Key words: microbially induced carbonate precipitation; sand production; *B*.
50 *megaterium*; urease enzyme.

52 **1. Introduction**

Sand production has been a major obstacle for the successful exploitation of weakly 53 54 consolidated /unconsolidated oil and gas reservoirs worldwide. It is reported that 70% of the global hydrocarbon reservoirs are susceptible to sand production (Fattahpour et al. 55 2012). Typically, sand production is defined as sand particles in weakly consolidated 56 subsea hydrocarbon-bearing sediments moving into the exploitation well along with the 57 hydrocarbon and water flows, due to drilling and completion activities. The detachment 58 59 of particles are usually induced by the combination of high pore fluid velocity and material degradation behaviour (Rahmati et al. 2013). If it were to occur, sand 60 production could result in troubles such as plugging of the perforations or production 61 62 liner, wellbore instability, failure of sand control completions, and pipelines and surface facilities erosion (Rahmati et al. 2013). Several sand production control approaches 63 have been developed by the petroleum industry and academia. These include the 64 construction of sand screen, injection of chemical inhibitors, and setting up solid-fluid 65 separation system. However, there is always a demand for more efficient, economic and 66 67 durable solution for sand production control.

Recently microbially induced carbonate precipitation (MICP), a bacteria-generated bio-mineralization process, has been investigated extensively in geotechnical and environmental applications (Cuthbert et al. 2013; Jiang et al. 2014; Montoya et al. 2013; Al Qabany and Soga 2013; Soon et al. 2014). The hydrolysis of urea by indigenous or introduced urease-producing bacteria (e.g., *Sporosarcina pasteurii (S. pasteurii)*, *Sporosarcina aquimarina (S. aquimarina)* and *Bacillus megaterium (B. megaterium)*) is one of the most popular pathways used to induce carbonate precipitation (Hata et al. 75 2013; Soon et al. 2013). By allowing this process to occur in the pores of76 unconsolidated sand, sand particles bond together, creating a sandstone like material.

The carbonate precipitation via ureolysis involves several stages: synthesis of urease enzyme through bacteria metabolic activities (Krajewska 2009); formation of ammonia (NH₃) and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) after urea catalysed by urease enzyme (eq. 1); increase in alkalinity at the proximity of bacteria cells (eq. 2 and 3); formation of carbonate precipitation on bacteria cell surfaces in the presence of available calcium source (eq. 4) (Ferris et al. 2004).

83
$$(NH_2)_2CO + H_2O \rightarrow 2NH_3 + CO_2$$
 (1)

84
$$2NH_3 + 2H_2O \leftrightarrow 2NH_4^+ + 2OH^-$$
 (2)

85
$$\operatorname{CO}_2 + 2\operatorname{OH}^2 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{HCO}_3^2 + \operatorname{OH}^2 \leftrightarrow \operatorname{CO}_3^{2^2} + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O}$$
 (3)

86
$$\operatorname{Ca}^{2+} + \operatorname{CO}_3^{2-} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{CaCO}_3(s)$$
 (4)

The distribution of produced carbonate precipitation has a preference around 87 particle-particle contacts, which is primarily attributed to the microbe's preference to 88 remain away from exposed particle surfaces and stay near smaller surface features 89 90 (DeJong et al. 2010). Therefore, the particle-particle contacts contribute to stronger cementation within soils. Past studies show that MICP technique has the following 91 highlighted features: (1) Enhancing soil strength and stiffness (Montoya et al. 2013; Al 92 93 Qabany and Soga 2013); (2) Retaining soil permeability (Martinez et al. 2013; Whiffin et al. 2007); (3) Creating expanded treatment zone (Martinez et al. 2013); (4) Fast bio-94 95 geochemical reaction rate (Martin et al. 2012).

The cementation tends to occur at particles and hence the pore spaces are kept open (DeJong et al. 2010). Therefore, MICP-treated sand provides resistance to erosion, but keeps the flow characteristics (i.e. permeability) similar to the original state for oil/gas 99 production. This unique characteristic of MICP technique can benefit for the100 subseafloor sand production control, provided necessary technical issues are addressed.

101 The main issue for the application of MICP in the deep sea conditions is the degree 102 of ureolysis activity of bacteria and pure enzyme at low temperature, high pressure and 103 limited oxygen supply conditions. Hence, the primary objective of this study was to 104 investigate the ureolytic activities of urease-producing bacteria and urease enzyme in 105 oxic and anoxic conditions. The commercially purified urease enzyme and B. 106 megaterium were subject to bench shaking ureolytic activity tests in both oxic and 107 anoxic conditions. Biochemical parameters including urea concentration, electric 108 conductivity (EC), pH, and optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of the solution at different 109 time intervals were measured. As a quality control procedure, dissolved oxygen 110 concentration (DO) of the final solutions was also measured. By employing these 111 variables, the ureolysis capacities of both purified urease enzyme and *B. megaterium* in the anoxic condition were assessed against in the oxic condition. It should be noted that, 112 in this study, no cementation reagents were amended into the bacteria solution 113 114 afterwards. This is to eliminate interference from precipitating calcium, as only ureolysis efficiency was under investigation. The process of calcite precipitation in deep 115 sea conditions will be presented in future publication. 116

117

118 2. Materials and Methods

119 2.1 Bacteria, Enzyme and Culture Media

120 The investigation involves two series of tests. The first test series involve 121 examination of activities of purified urease enzyme in oxic and anoxic conditions, whereas the second test results examination of ureolytic activities of urease-producingbacteria in oxic and anoxic conditions.

124 Urease enzyme is often found naturally in algae, fungi, bacteria, plants, and 125 invertebrates (Krajewska 2009). Commercially, urease has been commonly manufactured through beans purified from the jack bean meal. In this study, purified 126 127 urease enzyme was supplied by Kishida Chemical, Osaka, Japan, which has an enzyme activity of 2950 U/g (Neupane et al. 2013). The investigation of purified urease enzyme 128 129 in this work is based on the following two considerations: (1) the fundamental mechanism of MICP is ureolysis by urease enzyme regardless of originating from 130 131 bacteria or industrial production; (2) the use of purified urease enzyme (instead of 132 urease-producing bacteria) could be an alternative and straightway pathway to trigger 133 carbonate precipitation.

In this study, B. megaterium (ATCC 14581) is used as the urease-producing 134 microbe species. It is a Gram positive, rod-shaped soil bacterium with size ranging from 135 2 to 5 µm (Lian et al. 2006). Although past research has shown that B. megaterium has a 136 137 relatively lower ureolysis rate than S. pasteurii (Bachmeier et al. 2002; Whiffin 2004), the selection of *B. megaterium* is more relevant to this study, as it is used under the 138 139 deep-sea conditions. This is due to the reason that *B. megaterium* can form endospores 140 that are highly resistant to extreme environmental conditions. More specifically: a) B. megaterium can grow at temperatures from 3 °C to 45 °C (Vos et al. 2009). It means 141 142 that it can be potentially used at low temperature under deep sea condition while also 143 adaptive to the heating environment during hydrate dissociation; b) B. megaterium has the ability to grow on many carbon sources even including some waste (Vary 1994); c) 144 B. megaterium is found to be able to survive toxic environments and may have potential 145

as a detoxifying agent (Vary 1994). d) The large and elongated rod-shaped *B*. *megaterium* cell may provide the advantage of avoiding being flushed out during
depressurization process during hydrate dissociation. Considering the adaptability of *B*. *megaterium* in the severe environment, it is a more reliable decision to use *B*. *megaterium* as a potential candidate for MICP application under deep sea conditions.

The culture media used in this study for the harvest of *B. megaterium* is ATCC-151 Medium 3. In the initial stage, freeze-dried culture was rehydrated in the nutrient broth 152 153 solution, which consisted of 8.0 g nutrient broth in 1 L distilled water and had been autoclaved at 121°C. Then, the rehydrated bacteria cells were grown on a plate which 154 also contained nutrient agar (23g in 1 L distilled water, sterilized at 121 °C) at 20 °C 155 156 overnight. Afterwards, a single colony was transferred to the liquid media solution, which contained 8 g/L nutrient broth and 5 g/L NaCl. The bacteria solution was then 157 158 harvested in a constant-temperature incubator until a final OD_{600} of 0.1 was achieved.

159

160 2.2 Shaking Ureolytic Activity Test

161 The ureolytic activities of both purified urease enzyme and B. megaterium were investigated via the bench shaking test at constant ambient temperature of 20 °C. The 162 163 schematic of the test procedures are shown in **Fig. 1**. For the oxic case, either purified 164 urease enzyme in powder or bacteria solution were added into sterile Erlenmeyer flasks, which had been filled with 100 mL urea solution (for urease) or liquid media solution 165 with urea (for bacteria solution). The flasks were then stoppered with foam plugs. For 166 167 the anoxic case, either purified urease enzyme in powder or bacteria solution were added into sterile crimp vials, which had been filled with 100 mL oxygen-free urea 168 solution (for urease) or oxygen-free liquid media solution with urea (for bacteria 169

170 solution). The crimp vials were then quickly crimp-sealed with sterile butyl septa and subject to a further deoxygenation using oxygen-free N₂ and CO₂. After the mixture of 171 172 purified urease enzyme or bacteria solution with urea, the Erlenmeyer flasks and sealed crimp vials were subject to bi-directionally horizontal shaking at 140 rpm. In some 173 bacteria cases, bacteria solution was amended into solutions with additional 174 deoxygenation again at 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, and 24 hours after the start of shaking. This multi-175 amendment mode is regarded as a possible enhancement method based on current 176 177 engineering practice. Actually, there were already a few field trials of MICP technique 178 (van Paassen et al. 2010; Gomez et al. 2015). In particular, Gomez et al. (2015) applied the bacteria solution every four days for five identical cycles in the field implementation 179 180 of MICP for improving loose sand. This bacteria implementation method is very similar to the "multi-amendment" mode adopted in this study. 181

182 It should be noted that 0.1 mL of resazurin solution (0.1 g/L) was also added into 183 test vessels as an oxidation-reduction indicator. When oxygen content is poor or 184 expelled, the colour of solution changes from the blue to the pink.

185 During the shaking, solution samples were taken for various biochemical measurements at 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, and 24 hours (36 hours for bacteria case) after the start of 186 187 shaking. The entire test terminated after 24 hours of shaking for the purified urease 188 enzyme case and 36 hours for the bacteria case. As a quality control procedure, DO of the final solutions was also measured. The detailed experimental conditions are 189 190 summarized in Table 1, showing the various amounts of urease, bacteria and urea in the 191 solutions, oxygen availability, and amendment mode used in this study. In particular, the purified urease concentrations reported in this paper has also been used by other 192 research in MICP applications (Fidaleo and Lavecchia 2003; Yasuhara et al. 2012; 193

Neupane et al. 2013), indicating that these concentrations are feasible for normal MICP
implementations. To ensure the repeatability of the test results, all experimental cases
were conducted in triplicate.



Sample	Oxygen availability	Amount of urease (g/L)	Amount of bacteria solution (mL)	Initial urea concentration (mM)	Amendment mode
E-AT-2	Oxic	2	N.A. ^c	500	S ^a
E-AT-4	Oxic	4	N.A.	500	S
E-AT-8	Oxic	8	N.A.	500	S
E-AN-2	Anoxic	2	N.A.	500	S
E-AN-4	Anoxic	4	N.A.	500	S
E-AN-8	Anoxic	8	N.A.	500	S
B-AT-S	Oxic	N.A.	4	500	S
B-AT-M	Oxic	N.A.	4	500	M ^b
B-AN-S	Anoxic	N.A.	4	500	S
B-AN-M	Anoxic	N.A.	4	500	Μ

Table 1 Experimental conditions of bench shaking ureolytic activity tests

^a Single-amendment at the start of test

^b Multi-amendment at the start and then 1, 2, 3, 6, 12, and 24 hours after the start of test

^c Not applicable

207

208 2.3 Urease enzyme degradation test

209 Urease enzyme degradation test is conducted to investigate the effect of urease 210 enzyme degradation on the EC and pH profiles of the aqueous solutions. The purified urease enzyme concentration used for this test is 8 g/L. Firstly, the purified urease 211 enzyme was dissolved in 100 mL distilled water under both oxic and anoxic conditions 212 at three different ambient temperatures (i.e., 4, 20, 35 °C). Triplicate samples were 213 prepared to demonstrate the repeatability of the test results. Then, 1 mL of the solution 214 was sampled at 1h, 3h (not for 4 °C), 6h (not for 4 °C), 1d, 2d, 3d (not for 4 °C), 4d, 5d 215 216 (not for 4 °C), 6d, 7d (not for 4 and 35 °C), 8d (not for 35 °C), and 9 d (not for 4 and 35 °C). The EC and pH values of the aqueous samples were then measured. The end of 217 enzyme degradation is determined based on the termination of increase of EC or 218 219 decrease of pH.

220

221 2.4 Monitoring methods

222 The biochemical variables measured in this study include pH, EC, DO, OD₆₀₀, and 223 urea concentration. pH of solution was measured using a HORIBA LAQUAtwin 224 Compact pH Meter, which has a precision of 0.1. EC is a good indicator of the ionic 225 content in solution. It was measured using a HORIBA Compact Conductivity Meter, 226 which has a minimum range of 1 μ S/cm. DO is a sensitive variable indicating oxygen 227 availability within the final solution. It was measured via HACH HQ40d portable Optical Dissolved Oxygen meter (LD0101 probe). OD_{600} is an indicator of bacteria 228 concentration in solution and was measured at the wavelength of 600nm using a 229 SmartSpecTM Plus Spectrophotometer. Urea concentration was measured using the 230 colorimetric urea analysis method (Knorst et al. 1997). A solution (0.5 mL) containing 231 232 4% (w/v) of p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde and 4% (v/v) sulphuric acid in absolute ethanol was added to 2mL of sample solutions in a cuvette. After 10 min, the 233 absorbance of the solution was measured at 422nm against a reagent blank using a 234 SmartSpecTM Plus Spectrophotometer. A calibration had been made between 235 absorbance and standard urea solution before the test. 236

237

238 **3. Results**

Fig. 2 shows that magnitude of remaining urea concentration within the solution, which is the most straightforward indicator for the urea hydrolysis rate. In general, it can be observed that the pure enzyme (Fig. 2(a)) could trigger a much higher urea hydrolysis rate than the bacteria solution (Fig. 2(b)) regardless of oxygen availability, enzyme concentration and bacteria amendment modes.



urease enzyme; (b). bacteria solution)

For the purified enzyme case (Fig. 2(a)), it is found that higher concentration of enzyme yielded faster urea degradation rate, (i.e., a higher ureolytic rate) (Yasuhara et al. 2012). This positive correlation between ureolytic rate and urease enzyme concentration is also confirmed by Fidaleo and Lavecchia (2003). On the other hand, the oxygen availability only had a marginal effect on the urea degradation rate, if the error bar is considered.

For the bacteria solution case (**Fig. 2(b**)), it is apparent that anoxic condition contributed to a lower remaining urea concentration in the solution, especially at the initial 12 hours. This indicates that ureolytic rate by bacteria solution in anoxic condition is faster than that in oxic one, regardless of amendment modes. Furthermore, in both oxic and anoxic conditions, urea degraded faster when multi-amendment of bacteria had been applied.

The time-dependent behaviours of solution EC for the urease enzyme case and the bacteria solution case are shown in **Fig. 3(a)** and **3(b)**, respectively. As the magnitude of EC reflects the amount of electrolytic ions in the solution, its evolution could demonstrate the magnitude and rate of ureolytic reactions as can be derived from **Equations (1), (2) and (3)**.

In both purified urease enzyme and bacteria cases, the values of EC in anoxic 266 267 conditions were higher than those in oxic conditions at any time during the shaking tests. This phenomenon is possibly attributed to the following three factors: (a) the ureolytic 268 rate by the purified urease enzyme was faster in anoxic conditions than in oxic 269 270 conditions; (b) extra electrolytic substances other than ureolytic products were produced during the bacteria cell growth in the anoxic conditions; and (c) the carbonate (CO_3^{2-}) 271 and bicarbonate (HCO_3) ions were made in the oxygen-free medium through bubbling 272 273 with N₂ and CO₂.

Considering the results of the remaining urea concentration within the solution, it can be at least confirmed that, in the bacteria solution case, the higher ureolytic reaction rate in anoxic conditions contributes to the high EC magnitude. However, it is difficult to define whether the last two factors also contribute. Further confirmation can only be made when the pH results are also considered.



280

279

281 Time (h)
282 Fig. 3 Variations of solution EC with time ((a). urease enzyme; (b). bacteria solution)

283

For the purified urease enzyme case, since the ureolytic rate was found to be almost equivalent in both conditions and there cannot be extra cell-related substance synthesized by the purified enzyme, the high EC values in anoxic conditions could only be attributed to the CO_3^{2-} and HCO_3^{-} ions. As shown in **Fig. 3**, compared with the cases of low enzyme concentration and single-amendment of bacteria solutions, respectively, the high enzyme concentration case and multi-amendment of bacteria solution case resulted in high values of EC at the same shaking time before the final equilibrium was reached. This is consistent with the results of remaining urea concentration with time, which is triggered by the high ureolytic rate as well.

293



294

297

Fig. 4 Degradation behavior of purified urease enzyme (8 g/L) in distilled water at 4 °C,
20 °C and 35 °C

It should be noted that the urease enzyme itself may degrade with time, which could potentially contribute to the increase in EC values. Therefore, the degradation behavior (life-time) of the purified urease enzyme (8 g/L) in distilled water at 4 °C, 20 °C and 35 °C were characterized, as shown in **Fig. 4**. The end of enzyme degradation is determined based on the termination of increase of EC (**Fig. 4 (a), (b), (c)**) or decrease of pH (**Fig. 4 (d), (e), (f)**). It is found that at 4 °C, no distinguishable enzyme degradation occurs at least during the entire experimental period (8 days). At 20 °C and 305 35 °C, the life-time of the urease enzyme is found to be 7 and 3 days, respectively. 306 Therefore, within the testing time (1d) in this study at 20 °C, the enzyme degradation 307 should be insignificant. Moreover, it is found that the oxygen availability has no 308 distinguishable influence on the degradation behavior of urease enzyme in distilled 309 water. The measured life-time of urease enzyme in this study is consistent with those 310 reported in the previous studies. For example at 25 °C, the reported life-time of urease can be 5 to 8 days (Krajewska et al. 1990; Petti et al. 1976). At 4 °C, its half-time can be 311 312 18 to 24 days (Krajewska et al. 1990; Petti et al. 1976).

In the urease enzyme degradation test, the EC is found to increase with enzyme degradation. However, the maximum observed EC increase is only around 0.2 ms/cm, which is significantly smaller than the variations of solution EC during the ureolytic activity test (**Fig. 3**). Therefore, it can be confirmed that the contribution of enzyme degradation on EC increase can be negligible.

The variations of solution pH are shown for the purified enzyme case and the 318 bacteria case in Figs. 5 (a) and 5 (b), respectively. Regardless of oxic or anoxic 319 320 conditions, solution pH increases sharply within the initial 1 hour. This is attributed to the immediate hydrolysis of urea (see Equation (1), (2) and (3)). Solution pH then 321 322 increases only marginally or just keep constant, indicating that the aqueous system has 323 reached equilibrium. The only exception is the B-AN case (Bacteria solution, anoxic), 324 which experiences slightly reduction. This is attributed to the continuous production of acidic substances in the solution. 325

326





Fig. 5 Variations of solution pH with time ((a). urease enzyme; (b). bacteria solution)

331

329

In the purified urease enzyme case, the solution pH in anoxic conditions is only slightly lower than that in oxic ones. This is possibly caused by the CO_2 gas used for deoxygenation and is consistent with the EC results. In the bacteria case, however, the solution pH in anoxic conditions stabilizes around 8.2-8.5, which is significantly lower than that of 9.4 in oxic conditions. This suggests that not only the CO_3^{2-} and HCO_3^{-} ions but also extra acidic electrolytic substances synthesized by the bacteria (other than ureolysis-related ones) in anoxic conditions contribute to the low pH and high EC values. Both Ferris et al. (2004) and Clancy and Burne (1997) found that bacteria cell
growth during ureolysis process produced extra acidic substances. Results also show
that a higher initial urease enzyme concentration yields faster increase in solution pH in
the initial 2 hours, indicating a high ureolytic rate. This is consistent with the results of
remaining urea concentration and EC values.

344



Time (h) **Fig. 6** Variations of the optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) in bacteria solution with time

347

In the bacteria case, the OD₆₀₀, an indicator of the bacteria cell number in the 348 349 solution, was also monitored as shown in **Fig. 6**. In both oxic and anoxic conditions, the 350 bacteria cell number increases steadily in the initial 24 hours, indicating that the growth of bacteria is active throughout this period. In the next 12 hours, however, the OD_{600} 351 352 begins to decline, suggesting that the bacteria are in the death phase. In addition, anoxic conditions can stimulate a better growth of bacteria than oxic conditions. Moreover, 353 354 although it is not so significant at the initial a few hours, multi-amendment is found to contribute to a higher bacteria cell number than single-amendment. The above two 355

findings are consistent with the observations of remaining urea concentration with time under different oxygen availability conditions. Therefore, it can be inferred that higher bacteria cell number in the aqueous system could help maintain a higher ureolysis rate.

It should be noted that although the OD_{600} values measured in this study is low 359 compared to some previous studies, the low magnitudes of OD_{600} with accompanying 360 significant ureolytic activity for ureolytic bacteria were also reported by Martin et al. 361 (2012) for the other ureolytic bacterium (S. pasteurii), proving that the bacteria still 362 363 undergo growth at the low OD_{600} . Actually, Lauchnor et al. (2015) reported a linear relationship between OD₆₀₀ and ureolytic rate for *S. pasteurii*. A significant ureolytic 364 activity can be still observed under low OD_{600} (the specific activity is around 30 mM 365 OD^{-1} min⁻¹). In this study, the specific ureolytic activity for the *B. megaterium* was 366 around 3.3 mM OD^{-1} min⁻¹ in the initial 5 hours, which is similar to that reported by 367 Jiang and Soga (2014) and is deemed as sufficient to induce calcite precipitation 368 (Whiffin et al. 2004). 369

After the termination of all tests, the DO of the final solutions was measured as a 370 371 quality control procedure to examine whether the relevant oxygen availability conditions were well maintained. The corresponding results are shown in Table 2. The 372 magnitudes of DO range from 7.8 and 8.0 mg/L in oxic conditions while they were less 373 374 than 1.0 mg/L in anoxic conditions. Typically, the DO values in open-air shallow water range between 9.07-7.54 mg/L at the temperature between 20 and 30 °C. On the other 375 hand, the DO values in the seawater in the ocean around the world can be even lower 376 377 than 1.6 mg/L in the Oxygen Minimum Zone (OMZ) (Garcia et al. 2010). Also the DO values in marine sediments have a similar magnitude with those in the seawater (Fischer 378 et al. 2009). Therefore, this confirms that the DO values measured in this study match 379

well to those reported elsewhere and the relevant oxygen availability conditions are well
preserved. Thus, all analysis based on different oxygen availability conditions are
considered to be valid in this study.

383

384	Table 2 Dissolved	oxygen content	(DO) in final solution
-----	-------------------	----------------	------------------------

Sampla	DO (mg/L)			
Sample	Mean	SD ^a		
E-AT-2	8.05	0.03		
E-AT-4	7.99	0.023		
E-AT-8	7.84	0.05		
E-AN-2	0.93	0.09		
E-AN-4	0.85	0.07		
E-AN-8	0.73	0.01		
B-AT-S	7.81	0.28		
B-AT-M	7.86	0.04		
B-AN-S	0.69	0.08		
B-AN-M	0.49	0.02		

385 ^a Standard deviation
386

387 **4. Discussion**

388 *4.1 Effect of oxygen availability on ureolytic efficiency of purified urease enzyme*

Results show that the ureolytic efficiency of purified urease enzyme is marginally influenced by the oxygen availability within sealed crimp vials. This confirms that the purified urease enzyme can work in anoxic conditions as efficient as in oxic conditions. Although the EC values are greater in anoxic conditions than in oxic conditions, it is believed that this is attributed to CO_3^{2-} and HCO_3^{-} ions, which introduce additional electrolytic ions into the solution.

The satisfactory performance of purified urease enzyme in anoxic conditions is fundamentally determined by its catalyzing reaction mechanism as well as its adaptative nature for various oxidation-reduction environments. A widely-accepted catalytic mechanism of urease enzyme was proposed in the 1980's, and this involves the binding and activation by two nickels within the urease structure (Benini et al. 1999; Karplus et 400 al. 1997). As summarised by Karplus et al. (1997), one nickel ion is used to bind and 401 activate urea while the second one is used to activate water molecule and bind 402 hydroxide. The protein itself provides a nearby carboxylate to stabilize a urea resonance 403 form. Then, the second nickel with binded hydroxide is activated for attack on the urea 404 carbon by a protein residue. This results in the collapse of the tetrahedral intermediate, 405 thus eliminating ammonia with the help of an active site thiol. Through the whole 406 ureolytic reaction process, oxygen does not play a role on the catalytic function of 407 urease enzyme. Furthermore, several studies in the first half of the 20th century investigated the effects of various oxidization-reduction potentials on the ureolytic 408 409 activity of urease enzyme. Fischgold (1934) found that the activity of purified urease 410 enzyme was independent of several reversible oxidation-reduction systems in different 411 potential scales. Sizer and Tytell (1941) further confirmed that the activity of crude 412 urease from jack bean was unaffected by the oxidizing and reducing regents. This is likely to be attributed to the impurities present in the crude enzyme, which protects 413 urease from reacting with oxidizing-reducing agents. 414

In addition, urease enzyme is one type of hydrolases. It has been well reported that oxidase is strongly influenced by oxygen availability but hydrolases are not affected by it (Freeman et al. 2001). This can also explain why urease lacks the response to the oxic and anoxic conditions.

Based on the experimental data as well as the previous studies, it is concluded that oxygen availability has no significant effect on the ureolytic efficiency of purified urease enzyme. That is, urease activity is independent of oxidization-reduction environment. The efficiency is determined by the fundamental molecule functions of urease enzyme in ureolysis process.

425 *4.2 Effect of oxygen availability on ureolytic efficiency of B. megaterium*

426 Based on the results shown in Figs. 2 and 6, B. megaterium displays a better ureolytic performance in anoxic conditions than in oxic conditions. In fact, the bacterial 427 ureases are homologous to plant ureases (e.g., from jack beans) in terms of amino acid 428 429 sequence, which results in similar protein structures and enzymatic catalytic mechanisms (Balasubramanian and Ponnuraj 2010). It is also found that the urease 430 431 enzyme degradation is not affected by the oxygen availability at least at the temperature range between 4 °C and 35 °C (Fig. 4). Therefore, the effect of oxygen availability on 432 433 ureolytic activity of bacterial urease enzyme should be marginal. If this is the case, the 434 high ureolytic activity of *B. megaterium* in anoxic conditions is possibly attributed to: (1) high urease synthesis capacity and (2) the effect of aqueous solution pH on ureolytic 435 activity. 436

437 There is no report with regards to the urease synthesis capacity of *B. megaterium*. However, there are some published data on the urease synthesis capacity of S. pasteurii, 438 439 which is a commonly used urease-producing bacterium. Tobler et al. (2011) show that, 440 if S. pasteurii is grown in large numbers aerobically in nutrient-rich conditions and then injected into anoxic oligotrophic groundwater, its ureolytic efficiency has no difference 441 442 compared with that in oxic conditions at least for the initial 1.2 days. Mortensen et al. (2011) report that the ureolytic rate of S. pasteurii pellet incubated anaerobically had a 443 roughly 1.5-fold of that in aerobic conditions. This is possibly due to the lysis of 444 445 bacteria cells in anoxic conditions and direct release of enzyme from inside cytoplasm. On the other hand, Martin et al. (2012) state that the de novo synthesis of urease 446 enzyme is impossible for S. pasteurii under anoxic conditions. The ureolytic activity in 447

the oxic condition is only due to the urease enzyme which has already been produced in the aerobically grown inoculum. Soon, metabolism inhibition, enzyme degradation and cell death could lead to the irreversible decline of urease enzyme activity in the oxic condition.

452 Both B. megaterium and S. pasteurii are urease-producing aerobes and hence their 453 responses to anoxic conditions are considered to be similar. In this study, B. megaterium was cultivated aerobically to the exponential stage before being transferred to anoxic 454 455 environment. Thus, a substantial amount of active urease enzyme was already within the bacteria solution. If other possibilities (e.g., lysis of cells in anoxic conditions) are 456 457 accounted for, it is possible that more active urease enzyme are available in the solution, 458 contributing to greater ureolytic activity in anoxic conditions than in oxic conditions. A study that provides a direct phenomenological evidence (such as microscopic 459 observations) is needed to confirm the aforementioned mechanism. 460

Aqueous solution pH can influence ureolytic activity. As shown in Fig. 5(b), the pH 461 of the bacteria solution in anoxic conditions is less than 8.8, whereas that in oxic 462 463 conditions is higher than 9.3. Hence, the effect of lower alkalinity in anoxic conditions 464 on a higher ureolytic activity needs to be examined. Howell and Sumner (1934) verified 465 that the ureolytic activity of plant urease peaked around pH of 6.5~7.5 and dropped fast 466 with further alkalinity. Achal (2010) reports that the bacterial urease enzyme produced by *B megaterium* has a similar urease activity between pH = 8 and 9. Paladino (2009) 467 suggests that the optimal growth pH for growth of B megaterium is around 6.0. 468 469 Krulwich et al. (2011) state that *B megaterium* is not alkaliphilic bacteria, which suggests that its optimal growth pH is between 5 and 9. In this study, bacteria cell 470 number was greater under lower pH condition (i.e. anoxic case), as shown in Fig. 6. The 471

472 lower pH in anoxic conditions could promote more synthesis of urease enzyme by *B*473 *megaterium*, resulting in more active urease enzyme.

In summary, the ureolytic efficiency of *B. megaterium* in anoxic conditions is greater than that in oxic conditions. This is attributed to the presence of more available active urease enzyme and higher ureolytic activity. The fundamental mechanism of this could be large amount of existing urease from initial aerobic growth, lysis of cells to release enzyme direct from inside cytoplasm, and the effect of aqueous solution pH.

479

480 *4.3 Ureolytic efficiency of purified urease enzyme and B. megaterium*

481 Results in Figs. 2, 3, and 5 indicate that the purified urease enzyme can trigger 482 higher ureolytic efficiency than B. megaterium. However, it is difficult to conclude that this difference is attributed to the different types of urease involved. Bacterial and plant 483 ureases have high amino acid sequence similarity and hence similar catalytic 484 mechanisms. If the reaction environment and the urease concentration are the same, 485 similar ureolytic rates are expected. It is also difficult to conclude that the difference 486 487 results from the discrepancy between viable cells and free enzyme outside cells. 488 Mortensen et al. (2011) show that the urease activity of free enzymes and the viable 489 cells are equivalent. In this study, it is hypothesized that this difference between the 490 purified urease enzyme and B. megaterium is attributed to the difference in the 491 concentration of available active urease enzyme in the aqueous system. Actually, if the concentration of purified urease enzyme is reduced, it is possible that the bacteria 492 493 solution could be superior to the purified urease enzyme in terms of ureolytic activity. Further study is needed to quantify the time-dependent synthesized enzyme 494 concentration in *B. megaterium* during testing. 495

496

497 **5.** Conclusions

In this study, the ureolytic activities of purified urease enzyme and *B. megaterium* were investigated in both anoxic and oxic conditions for their potential application in subseafloor sand production control. The following conclusions are drawn:

(1) The test results confirm that the effect of oxygen availability on ureolytic
efficiency of purified urease enzyme is marginal. This is attributed to the
fundamental molecule functions of urease enzyme in ureolysis process and the
independence of urease activity on diverse oxidization-reduction environment.

(2) Based on the data of remaining urea concentration, EC, pH, and OD₆₀₀, the
ureolytic activity of *B. megaterium* in anoxic conditions is greater than that in
oxic conditions. This is attributed to the presence of more available active urease
enzyme and higher ureolytic activity of urease enzyme. The fundamental
mechanisms could be large amount of existing urease from initial aerobic
growth, lysis of cells, and the effect of aqueous solution pH.

(3) The ureolytic efficiency of purified urease enzyme was greater than that of *B*. *megaterium*. This is likely due to difference in the concentration of available
reactive urease enzyme in the aqueous system.

The test results obtained from this study indicate that it is feasible to use *B*. *megaterium* in anoxic conditions to induce controlled carbonate precipitation for subseafloor sand production control. In order to sustain ureolytic efficiency and facilitate its up-scaled field application, potential practice measures can be implemented including growing bacteria aerobically to exponential stage before implemented into the

subseafloor sites, injecting larger bacteria cell number, and repeatedly supplying freshbacteria cells.

521 Further work is currently conducted to facilitate this engineering application as 522 separate study. This includes: (i) the effect of anoxic environment on the precipitation 523 efficiency, (ii) the performance of the bacteria under low-temperature and high-pressure 524 conditions, and (iii) the interaction between bacteria and carbohydrate fuel.

525

526 Acknowledgements

527 This study was a part of the program of the Research Consortium for Methane 528 Hydrate Resources in Japan (MH21 Research Consortium) and was supported by a 529 grant from the Japan Oil, Gas and Metals National Corporation (JOGMEC). Special thanks are extended to Institute for Geo-Resource and Environment, National Institute 530 of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Japan for providing all 531 experimental facilities. The first author also extends thanks to China Scholarship 532 Council (CSC) and Cambridge Commonwealth, European and International Trust for 533 534 their financial support in the PhD studentship.

535

536 **Reference**

- 537 Achal, V. (2010). "Microbial remediation of defects in building materials and
 538 structures." Thapar University, India.
- Al Qabany, A., and Soga, K. (2013). "Effect of chemical treatment used in MICP on
 engineering properties of cemented soils." *Géotechnique*, 63(4), 331–339.

541	Bachmeier, K	. L.,	Williams, A. E., Warmington	i, J. R., ai	nd Bang, S. S. (2	002). "Ure	ase
542	activity	in	microbiologically-induced	calcite	precipitation."	Journal	of
543	biotechnology, 93(2), 171-81.						

- Balasubramanian, A., and Ponnuraj, K. (2010). "Crystal structure of the first plant
 urease from jack bean: 83 years of journey from its first crystal to molecular
 structure." *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 400(3), 274–83.
- Benini, S., Rypniewski, W. R., Wilson, K. S., Miletti, S., Ciurli, S., and Mangani, S.
 (1999). "A new proposal for urease mechanism based on the crystal structures of
 the native and inhibited enzyme from Bacillus pasteurii: why urea hydrolysis
 costs two nickels." *Structure*, 7(2), 205–216.
- Clancy, A., and Burne, R. A. (1997). "Construction and characterization of a
 recombinant ureolytic Streptococcus mutans and its use to demonstrate the
 relationship of urease activity to pH modulating capacity." *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 151, 205–211.
- Cuthbert, M. O., McMillan, L. a, Handley-Sidhu, S., Riley, M. S., Tobler, D. J., and
 Phoenix, V. R. (2013). "A field and modeling study of fractured rock permeability
 reduction using microbially induced calcite precipitation." *Environmental Science & Technology*, 47(23), 13637–13643.
- DeJong, J. T., Mortensen, B. M., Martinez, B. C., and Nelson, D. C. (2010). "Biomediated soil improvement." *Ecological Engineering*, 36(2), 197–210.
- Fattahpour, V., Moosavi, M., and Mehranpour, M. (2012). "An experimental
 investigation on the effect of grain size on oil-well sand production." *Petroleum Science*, 9(3), 343–353.

- Ferris, F., Phoenix, V., Fujita, Y., and Smith, R. (2004). "Kinetics of calcite
 precipitation induced by ureolytic bacteria at 10 to 20 C in artificial groundwater." *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 67(8), 1701–1710.
- Fidaleo, M., and Lavecchia, R. (2003). "Kinetic study of enzymatic urea hydrolysis in
 the pH range 4-9." *Chemical and Biochemical Engineering Quarterly*, 17(4),
 311–318.
- Fischer, J. P., Ferdelman, T. G., D'Hondt, S., Røy, H., and Wenzhöfer, F. (2009).
 "Oxygen penetration deep into the sediment of the South Pacific gyre." *Biogeosciences*, 6:1467–1478.
- Fischgold, H. (1934). "The relation between the activity of urease and the oxidationreduction potential." *Biochemical Journal*, 28(2), 406–410.
- Freeman, C., Ostle, N. and Kang, H. (2001). "An enzymic 'latch' on a global carbon
 store." *Nature*, 409, 149.
- 577 Garcia, H. E., Locarnini, R. A., Boyer, T. P., Antonov, J. I., Baranova, O. K., Zweng, M.
- M., and Johnson, D. R. (2010). "World Ocean Atlas 2009. Volume 3: Dissolved
 Oxygen, Apparent Oxygen Utilization, and Oxygen Saturation." In Levitus, S.
 (Ed.) NOAA Atlas NESDIS 69, U.S. Gov. Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Gomez, M. G., Hunt, C. E., Martinez, B. C., DeJong, J. T., Major, D. W., and
 Dworatzek, S. M. (2015). "Field-scale bio-cementation tests to improve sands." *Proceedings of the ICE Ground Improvement*, 168(3), 206-216.
- Hata, T., Yokoyama, T., and Abe, H. (2013). "Investigation of a soil improvement
 technique for coastal regions on the basis of calcium carbonate precipitation by
 using the urea hydrolysis rate." *Japanese Geotechnical Journal*, 8(4), 505–515.
 (in Japanese)

- Howell, F., and Sumner, B. (1934). "The specific effects of buffers upon urease
 activity." *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 104(3), 619–626.
- Jiang, N.J., and Soga, K. (2014). "Seepage-induced erosion control by microbially
 induced calcite precipitation: An experimental investigation." Proceedings of 7th
 International Congress on Environmental Geotechnics, Bouazza, et al. (Eds.),
 Engineers Australia, Melbourne, 1113–1120.
- Jiang, N. J., Soga, K., and Dawoud, O. (2014). "Experimental study of mitigation of soil
 internal erosion by microbially induced calcite precipitation." GeoCongress 2014:
- Geo-Characterization and Modelling for Sustainability, M. Abu-Farsakh, X. Yu,
 and L. R. Hoyos, eds., American Society of Civil Engineers, Atlanta, 1586–1595.

Karplus, P. A., Pearson, M. a., and Hausinger, R. P. (1997). "70 Years of Crystalline

598

- 599 Urease: What Have We Learned?" *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 30(8), 330–
 600 337.
- Knorst, M. T., Neubert, R., and Wohlrab, W. (1997). "Analytical methods for
 measuring urea in pharmaceutical formulations." *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 15(11), 1627–1632.
- Krajewska, B. (2009). "Ureases I. Functional, catalytic and kinetic properties: A
 review." *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic*, 59(1-3), 9–21.
- Krajewska, B., Leszko, M. and Zaborska, W. (1990). "Urease immobilized on chitosan
 membrane: Preparation and properties." *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 48(3), 337-350.
- Krulwich, T. a, Sachs, G., and Padan, E. (2011). "Molecular aspects of bacterial pH
 sensing and homeostasis." *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 9(5), 330–343.

- Lauchnor, E. G., Topp, D. M., Parker, A. E., and Gerlach, R. (2015). "Whole cell
 kinetics of ureolysis by *Sporosarcina pasteurii*." *Journal of Applied Microbiology*,
 118(6), 1321-1332.
- Lian, B., Hu, Q., Chen, J., Ji, J., and Teng, H. H. (2006). "Carbonate biomineralization
 induced by soil bacterium Bacillus megaterium." *Geochimica et Cosmochimica*

616 *Acta*, 70(22), 5522–5535.

- Martin, D., Dodds, K., Ngwenya, B. T., Butler, I. B., and Elphick, S. C. (2012).
 "Inhibition of Sporosarcina pasteurii under anoxic conditions: implications for
 subsurface carbonate precipitation and remediation via ureolysis." *Environmental Science & Technology*, 46(15), 8351–8355.
- Martinez, B., DeJong, J., Ginn, T., Montoya, B., Barkouki, T., Hunt, C., Tanyu, B., and
 Major, D. (2013). "Experimental optimization of microbial-induced carbonate
 precipitation for soil improvement." *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 139(4), 587–598.
- Montoya, B., DeJong, J., and Boulanger, R. (2013). "Dynamic response of liquefiable
 sand improved by microbial-induced calcite precipitation." *Géotechnique*, 63(4),
 302–312.
- Mortensen, B. M., Haber, M. J., DeJong, J. T., Caslake, L. F., and Nelson, D. C. (2011).
 "Effects of environmental factors on microbial induced calcium carbonate
 precipitation." *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 111(2), 338–349.
- Neupane, D., Yasuhara, H., Kinoshita, N., and Unno, T. (2013). "Applicability of
 enzymatic calcium carbonate precipitation as a soil-strengthening technique."
 Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, 139(12), 2201–2211.

- Paladino, L. P.-A. (2009). "Screening, optimization and extraction of
 polyhydroxyalkanoates and peptidoglycan from Bacillus megaterium." Michigan
 Technological University, USA.
- Pettit, N. M., Smith, A. R. J., Freedman, R. B., and Burns, R. G. (1976). "Soil urease:
 Activity, stability and kinetic properties." *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 8(6),
 479-484.
- Rahmati, H., Jafarpour, M., Azadbakht, S., Nouri, A., Vaziri, H., Chan, D., and Xiao, Y.
 (2013). "Review of sand production prediction models." *Journal of Petroleum Engineering*, 2013, 1–16.
- 643 Sizer, I., and Tytell, A. (1941). "The activity of crystalline urease as a function of
 644 oxidation-reduction potential." *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 138, 631–642.
- Soon, N. W., Lee, L. M., Khun, T. C., and Ling, H. S. (2013). "Improvements in
 engineering properties of soils through microbial-induced calcite precipitation." *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 17(4), 718–728.
- Soon, N. W., Lee, L. M., Khun, T. C., and Ling, H. S. (2014). "Factors Affecting
 Improvement in Engineering Properties of Residual Soil through MicrobialInduced Calcite Precipitation." *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 140(5), 04014006.
- Tobler, D. J., Cuthbert, M. O., Greswell, R. B., Riley, M. S., Renshaw, J. C., HandleySidhu, S., and Phoenix, V. R. (2011). "Comparison of rates of ureolysis between
 Sporosarcina pasteurii and an indigenous groundwater community under
 conditions required to precipitate large volumes of calcite." *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 75(11), 3290–3301.

van Paassen, L. A., Ghose, R., van der Linden, T. J. M., van der Star, W. R. L., and van
Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2010). "Quantifying biomediated ground improvement by
ureolysis: Large-scale biogrout experiment." *Journal of Geotechnical and*

Geoenvironmental Engineering, 136(12), 1721-1728.

- 661 Vary, P. S. (1994). "Prime time for Bacillus megaterium." *Microbiology*, 140(5), 1001662 1013.
- Vos, P., Garrity, G., Jones, D., Krieg, N. R., Ludwig, W., Rainey, F. A., Schleifer, K.H., and Whitman, W. (2009). "Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology --Volume 3: The Firmicutes." Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 666 Whiffin, V. S. (2004). "Microbial CaCO₃ precipitation for the production of
 667 biocement." Murdoch University, Perth, Australia.
- Whiffin, V. S., van Paassen, L. a., and Harkes, M. P. (2007). "Microbial carbonate
 precipitation as a soil improvement technique." *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 24(5),
 417–423.
- Yasuhara, H., Neupane, D., Hayashi, K., and Okamura, M. (2012). "Experiments and
 predictions of physical properties of sand cemented by enzymatically-induced
 carbonate precipitation." *Soils and Foundations*, 52(3), 539–549.