

Particle-Vortex Duality from 3D Bosonization

Andreas Karch^{1,*} and David Tong^{2,3,†}

¹*Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA*

²*Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, United Kingdom*

³*Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics Via Pueblo, Stanford, California 94305, USA*

(Received 20 June 2016; published 19 September 2016)

We show how particle-vortex duality in $d = 2 + 1$ dimensions arises as part of an intricate web of relationships between different field theories. The starting point is “bosonization,” a conjectured duality that uses flux attachment to transmute the statistics of relativistic particles. From this seed, we derive many old and new dualities. These include particle-vortex duality for bosons as well as the recently discovered counterpart for fermions.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevX.6.031043](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.031043)

Subject Areas: Condensed Matter Physics,
String Theory,
Strongly Correlated Materials

I. INTRODUCTION: A COLLECTION OF DUALITIES

Many quantum field theories in $d = 2 + 1$ dimensions enjoy a beautiful property known as particle-vortex duality. This relates two different theories, with the fundamental fields of one theory mapped to vortices—or, more precisely, monopole operators—of the other. The duality has proven to be a powerful tool in a number of different settings, ranging from condensed matter physics to string theory.

Particle-vortex duality for bosonic systems was established long ago [1,2]. In the simplest version, the duality relates the theory of a complex scalar field (the XY model),

$$S = \int d^3x |(\partial_\mu - iA_\mu)\phi|^2 - V(\phi), \quad (1.1)$$

to the Abelian-Higgs model

$$S = \int d^3x |(\partial_\mu - ia_\mu)\Phi|^2 - \tilde{V}(\Phi) + \frac{1}{4g^2} f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu a_\rho. \quad (1.2)$$

The potentials $V(\phi)$ and $\tilde{V}(\Phi)$ are initially chosen so that the theories lie at the Wilson-Fisher fixed point. In the infrared limit ($g^2 \rightarrow \infty$), these two theories describe the

same physics. In the actions above, A_μ is a background gauge field. Its coupling to the currents in the two theories reveals that the particle density of ϕ in Eq. (1.1) is equated to the flux density $f/2\pi = da/2\pi$ in Eq. (1.2). This is the essence of particle-vortex duality.

More recently, an analogous duality was proposed for fermions. The free Dirac fermion with action

$$S = \int d^3x i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - iA_\mu)\psi \quad (1.3)$$

is conjectured to be dual to QED₃ with a single species of fermion,

$$S = \int d^3x i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - ia_\mu)\Psi + \frac{1}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu a_\rho. \quad (1.4)$$

This is more subtle, not least because a single Dirac fermion in $d = 2 + 1$ dimensions flirts with the parity anomaly. This is avoided in the above theories by changing the flux quantization conditions of the gauge field; ultimately, this seems to be sensible only when the theories are viewed as living on the boundary of a $d = 3 + 1$ -dimensional system.

The proposed fermionic particle-vortex duality lies at the heart of a number of inter-related topics in condensed matter physics. The duality first arose in Son’s suggestion that the correct description of the half-filled Landau level involves an emergent (“composite”) Dirac fermion [3]. Shortly afterwards, it was realized that the duality plays an important role in describing the surface states of interacting topological insulators [4,5]. These ideas have subsequently been extended in a number of different directions [6–10], including a derivation of the duality starting from an array of $d = 1 + 1$ -dimensional wires [11].

*akarch@uw.edu

†d.tong@damtp.cam.ac.uk

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the *Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License*. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article’s title, journal citation, and DOI.

A. Flux attachment

There is a second, seemingly unrelated, operation that one can perform in $d = 2 + 1$ dimensions. This is statistical transmutation of particles through flux attachment [12]. Attaching a single quantum of flux to a particle turns a boson into a fermion and vice versa, while adding two quanta of flux leaves the statistics unchanged. This process underlies the original concept of composite fermions as emergent particles in the lowest Landau level [13].

The idea of flux attachment was first applied to non-relativistic particles. However, there also exist versions of flux attachment for relativistic particles. These take the form of dualities between bosonic and fermionic field theories, where one side is coupled to a Chern-Simons interaction to implement the statistical transmutation. See, for example, Refs. [14–19]. These dualities are sometimes referred to as 3D bosonization and will be the starting point of the present paper.

As an aside, we note that there has recently been a revival of this idea in the context of non-Abelian $U(N)$ gauge theories [20–23], where the $1/N$ expansion allows a number of very impressive checks of the duality [24–27]. This too leads to a compelling story for finite N and, ultimately, for the $U(1)$ gauge theories of interest in this paper. Combining studies of supersymmetric theories [28–31], RG flows [32,33], operator maps [34], and level-rank dualities [35] results in a consistent picture. These different threads were tied together in a careful analysis by Aharony [23].

1. Synthesis

In this paper, we need only the Abelian version of the 3D bosonization duality. This is the simplest relativistic generalization of the standard flux attachment story: A scalar coupled to a $U(1)$ Chern-Simons term at level 1 is equivalent to a fermion. We will describe this in more detail in Sec. II.

From this conjectured bosonization duality, all else follows. This is the topic of Sec. III. By manipulating the path integral, we derive a web of further dualities. These include both the bosonic and fermionic versions of particle-vortex dualities presented above, as well as many more. All these derivations hold at the level of the partition function, viewed here as a function of background gauge fields (i.e., A_μ in the examples above). This means that all correlation functions of currents agree on both sides.

2. Supersymmetry

There is one further ingredient that we would like to add to the mix. This is the supersymmetric version of particle-vortex duality, known also as mirror symmetry. First discovered in Ref. [36], there are now many examples that differ in their gauge symmetry, matter content, and amount of supersymmetry; see Refs. [37–41] for a small sample. Mirror symmetry was applied to the problem of the half-filled Landau level in

Ref. [42], although the existence of a pair of Dirac fermions means that the resulting physics is rather different from the fermionic duality of Eqs. (1.3) and (1.4).

The power of supersymmetry provides greater control over the strong coupling regions of these theories. This means that one can be much more precise about the operator map between theories and, in certain cases, one can effectively prove the duality by showing that the monopole operator is indeed a free field [43]. Particularly pertinent for the present paper are the path integral manipulations developed in Ref. [44] for supersymmetric theories. We will borrow heavily from these ideas.

Since the supersymmetric mirror pairs involve both bosons and fermions, one might imagine that they can be constructed by combining the bosonic and fermionic dualities described in Eqs. (1.1)–(1.4). However, the way the parity anomaly plays out in the supersymmetric theories is rather different from the way it works in the purely fermionic duality of Refs. [3–5]. Instead, following the large N analysis of Refs. [32,33], we suggest that one should think of mirror symmetry more as a bosonization, with bosonic currents in one theory mapped to fermionic currents in the other. We discuss this in Sec. IV.

II. BOSONIZATION IN $d = 2 + 1$

We start in this section by describing the relativistic generalizations of flux attachment that we will need [14,17–19,23].

A. Building blocks

Throughout this paper, we work with three types of fields: complex scalars ϕ , two-component Dirac spinors ψ , and Abelian gauge fields. The latter fall into two categories: background gauge fields, which we initially denote as A_μ , and dynamical gauge fields a_μ . In the condensed matter context, A_μ is usually thought of as electromagnetism, while a_μ is an emergent gauge field.

All gauge fields, whether background or dynamical, are compact in the sense that the fluxes are quantized. It will be somewhat easier to discuss this flux quantization if we take our spatial slices to be \mathbf{S}^2 rather than \mathbf{R}^2 . The precise choice of the quantization condition will be an important part of the story, and we will specify it afresh for each theory. For now, we recall the standard story of Dirac quantization: If fundamental fields have unit charge, then the flux is quantized as

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \frac{F}{2\pi} \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $F = dA$.

We insist that our partition functions are gauge invariant. Of course, this has to be the case for the dynamical gauge fields a ; however, we also insist that our partition functions

are gauge invariant for the background gauge field A . This is particularly relevant in the presence of Chern-Simons terms¹

$$S_{\text{CS}}[A] = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho. \quad (2.2)$$

This term appears in the path integral as $e^{ikS_{\text{CS}}[A]}$, where the coefficient k is referred to as the level. If A obeys the standard quantization condition (2.1), then gauge invariance requires

$$k \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Since this argument is important, let us remind ourselves of the key elements. We work on Euclidean spacetime $\mathbf{S}^1 \times \mathbf{S}^2$. This allows us to introduce a new ingredient: large gauge transformations of the form $g = e^{i\theta}$, where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ is the coordinate of the \mathbf{S}^1 . When evaluated on a flux background, the Chern-Simons action shifts under such a large gauge transformation: $\Delta S_{\text{CS}}[A] = 2\pi \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} F/2\pi$. With the usual Dirac quantization condition (2.1), we learn that $e^{ikS_{\text{CS}}[A]}$ is gauge invariant only when $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, as advertised.

We also need a coupling between different Abelian gauge fields. This is achieved by a mixed Chern-Simons term, also known as a ‘‘BF coupling,’’

$$S_{\text{BF}}[a; A] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} a_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho. \quad (2.3)$$

The coefficient is chosen so that a flux $\int F = 2\pi$ has unit charge under a . The same arguments given above show that, if both $f = da$ and $F = dA$ have canonical normalization (2.1), then the BF coupling must also come with an integer-valued coefficient. Note that, up to a boundary term, $S_{\text{BF}}[a; A] = S_{\text{BF}}[A; a]$.

The action for the scalar fields takes the usual form

$$S_{\text{scalar}}[\phi; A] = \int d^3x |(\partial_\mu - iA_\mu)\phi|^2 + \dots, \quad (2.4)$$

where ... denote possible potential terms. We focus our attention on critical (gapless) theories, which leaves two choices: We could work with a free scalar, or we could work with a Wilson-Fisher scalar, viewed as adding a ϕ^4 deformation and flowing to the infrared while tuning the mass to zero. Both of these possibilities will arise below.

¹For all gauge fields, we only write the Chern-Simons terms explicitly. For dynamical gauge fields, there is also an implicit Maxwell term $(1/g^2)f_{\mu\nu}f^{\mu\nu}$. We neglect this as we are ultimately interested in the infrared limit $g^2 \rightarrow \infty$. Nonetheless, we should remember that, in the presence of an ultraviolet cutoff Λ_{UV} , we keep $g^2 \ll \Lambda_{\text{UV}}$ as this limit is taken.

The fermion is governed by the Dirac action

$$S_{\text{fermion}}[\psi; A] = \int d^3x i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu - iA_\mu)\psi + \dots \quad (2.5)$$

We are interested in gapless fermions which, again, leaves two choices. One of these is a free fermion. The other is best thought of as introducing an auxiliary field σ and adding the term $\sigma\bar{\psi}\psi$ to the action, tuning the mass to zero. (One can use the same method to reach the Wilson-Fisher fixed point for the boson.)

If A is taken to obey the standard quantization condition (2.1), then the partition function involving the action (2.5) for a single Dirac fermion is not gauge invariant. This is the parity anomaly [45,46]. One way to see this is to give the fermion a mass $m\bar{\psi}\psi$. Integrating them out then results in the Chern-Simons term

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{sign}(m) S_{\text{CS}}[A].$$

But, as described above, Chern-Simons terms are only gauge invariant with integer coefficients.

Alternatively, we can see the lack of gauge invariance directly when $m = 0$. Consider the background in which we insert a single unit of flux (2.1) through a spatial \mathbf{S}^2 . The Atiyah-Singer index theorem ensures that the Dirac fermion has a single, complex zero mode. We denote the creation operator for this zero-energy state as χ . This means that the monopole has two ground states,

$$|0\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \chi^\dagger|0\rangle. \quad (2.6)$$

Because ψ has charge 1, the charge of these two states must differ by $+1$. But, by CT symmetry, the magnitude of the charge should be the same for the two states. The net result is that we have a simple example of charge fractionalization, and the states have charge $Q = \pm\frac{1}{2}$. This means that, in the presence of an odd number of background fluxes, the gauge charge is not integer valued. This result is in contradiction with our original Dirac quantization condition, which assumed unit fundamental charge. Something has to break. That something is gauge invariance.

The upshot of these arguments is that we must amend the action (2.5) in some way in order to preserve gauge invariance. There are (at least) two remedies. The first is to retain the quantization condition (2.1) but include a compensating half-integer Chern-Simons action $S_{\text{CS}}[A]$; this is the usual story. The second possibility is to change the quantization condition (2.1); this was invoked only recently in relation to the proposed fermionic particle-vortex duality [3–5]. This change of quantization can be accomplished by the addition of an auxiliary gauge [47]. Both remedies will appear in different places below.

B. Attaching flux to scalars

With these building blocks in place, we can now describe the simple dual from which all else follows. We consider a scalar coupled to a dynamical gauge field a with unit Chern-Simons coefficient. This, in turn, is coupled to a background field A . The full partition function takes the form

$$Z_{\text{scalar+flux}}[A] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}a \exp(iS_{\text{scalar}}[\phi; a] + iS_{\text{CS}}[a] + iS_{\text{BF}}[a; A]). \quad (2.7)$$

Here, the path integral over gauge fields implicitly includes the relevant gauge-fixing terms. Both $f = da$ and $F = dA$ are taken to have canonical normalization (2.1).

If we turn off the background source, so $F = 0$, then the equation of motion for a_0 reads

$$\rho_{\text{scalar}} + \frac{f}{2\pi} = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

where ρ_{scalar} is the charge density of ϕ . Clearly, this attaches one unit of flux to each ϕ particle. If the particles were massive, the usual nonrelativistic story [12] would mean that these excitations are fermions. To make sure that this remains the case here, we need to look at the monopole operator [48]. (Once again, this is simplest if we work on S^2 rather than \mathbf{R}^2 .) A single monopole operator has $\int f = 2\pi$. The constraint (2.8) means that we must excite a single mode of the scalar in this background. However, the scalar monopole harmonics carry half-integer angular momentum [49], ensuring that the monopole operator does indeed carry half-integer spin. The monopole is a fermion.

With this in mind, we define the fermionic path integral

$$Z_{\text{fermion}}[A] = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \exp(iS_{\text{fermion}}[A]).$$

As we explained previously, this is not gauge invariant. To restore gauge invariance, we dress this partition function by a Chern-Simons term for the background gauge field with a half-integer coefficient, e.g., $e^{-(i/2)S_{\text{CS}}[A]}$. Such a term results in contact interactions between currents [50].

The proposed duality of Refs. [14,17,23] is simply to identify the theory (2.7) describing scalar + flux with the fermionic theory. Their partition functions are conjectured to be related as

$$Z_{\text{fermion}}[A] e^{-(i/2)S_{\text{CS}}[A]} = Z_{\text{scalar+flux}}[A]. \quad (2.9)$$

This is the simplest example of 3D bosonization. We note that it is also an example of a particle-vortex duality: As we saw above, the free fermion operator maps to the monopole operator in the interacting theory.

In fact, the formula (2.9) actually describes two different dualities. The difference between them is hidden in the

“...” in Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5). As we saw above, there are two choices for the critical scalar and fermion. The results of Refs. [20,21] strongly suggest that if we take the free fermion as the left-hand side of Eq. (2.9), then we should take the Wilson-Fisher scalar on the right-hand side. Analogously, the critical fermion with $\sigma\bar{\psi}\psi$ coupling corresponds to the free scalar.

The level $-\frac{1}{2}$ of $S_{\text{CS}}[A]$ on the left-hand side of Eq. (2.9) is fixed by the Hall conductivity [22]. To see this, let us first gap the fermion. After integrating it out, we find a Hall conductivity that is either 0 or -1 depending on the sign of the mass. On the scalar side, two different things happen depending on the sign of this fermionic mass. For one sign, the scalar is gapped, and integrating out the dynamical gauge field a results in a Hall conductivity of -1 ; for the other sign, the scalar condenses, and the gauge field a is Higgsed. In this phase, the Hall conductivity vanishes. In both cases, we find agreement with the fermionic behavior.

In what follows, we assume the duality (2.9) and use it to derive many further dualities. We do this using the kind of techniques first introduced in Ref. [44] and further explored in Ref. [51]. First, we breathe life into the background gauge field A , promoting it to a dynamical gauge field. This, in turn, gives rise to a new topological current $*F/2\pi$, which is subsequently coupled to a replacement background gauge field through a BF term. Below, we use this simple but powerful trick many times. We find that minor variations on the theme allow us to derive a vast array of different dualities, including the particle-vortex dualities described in the Introduction.

C. Attaching flux to fermions

We start with a simple example. As described above, we promote the background gauge field A in Eq. (2.9) to a dynamical field and couple it to a new background gauge field, which we denote as C . The left-hand side of Eq. (2.9) becomes

$$Z_{\text{fermion+flux}}[C] = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}A \exp\left(iS_{\text{fermion}}[\psi; A] - \frac{i}{2}S_{\text{CS}}[A] - iS_{\text{BF}}[A; C]\right). \quad (2.10)$$

This describes a fermion coupled to a background flux. To get a feel for the resulting physics, we can again look at Gauss's law, arising as the equation of motion for A_0 . Setting $dC = 0$, this reads

$$\rho_{\text{fermion}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{F}{2\pi} = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

In the background of a single monopole, $\int F = 2\pi$, we must have $Q_{\text{fermion}} = \frac{1}{2}$. We have already seen that this is the charge of the state $\chi^\dagger|0\rangle$ arising from quantizing the zero

mode (2.6). The other state $|0\rangle$ does not satisfy Gauss's law (2.11) and is not part of the physical Hilbert space. Moreover, the zero mode χ is known to be a singlet under rotation symmetry [43]. This means that the monopole operator is a boson, and we might expect Eq. (2.10) to be dual to a scalar theory.

Let us now note what becomes of the right-hand side of Eq. (2.9) under this operation. The partition function is

$$\int \mathcal{D}A Z_{\text{scalar+flux}}[A] \exp(-iS_{\text{BF}}[A; C]).$$

The newly promoted gauge field A appears linearly in the action and can be integrated out. Its equation of motion is simply $da = dC$. In the absence of any holonomy, we set $a = C$ to get

$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp(iS_{\text{scalar}}[\phi; C] + iS_{\text{CS}}[C]) = Z_{\text{scalar}}[C] e^{iS_{\text{CS}}[C]}.$$

This, of course, must be equal to the left-hand side of Eq. (2.10). The end result is that, starting from Eq. (2.9), we can derive a new duality in which attaching fluxes to fermions gives rise to a bosonic theory

$$Z_{\text{fermion+flux}}[C] = Z_{\text{scalar}}[C] e^{iS_{\text{CS}}[C]}. \quad (2.12)$$

A duality of this kind first appeared in Ref. [18] (see also Ref. [19]) and has arisen more recently as a special case of non-Abelian dualities in Ref. [23].

One can check that repeating this procedure by gauging C in Eq. (2.12) and adding a new background gauge field through a BF coupling takes us back to the duality (2.9).

D. Time reversal

Before we proceed, it will be useful to highlight the role that time reversal plays in the dualities (2.9) and (2.12). In each case, time reversal is manifest on one side of the duality but not on the other. For the dualities to hold, it must be that time reversal appears as a hidden, quantum symmetry on the other side.

This fact allows us to immediately write down two new dualities, which arise from the action of time reversal on Eqs. (2.9) and (2.12). This flips the sign of all Chern-Simons and BF couplings, leaving other terms in the action invariant. (Parity would also play the same role.) Applying time reversal to the duality (2.9) gives

$$Z_{\text{fermion}}[A] e^{+\frac{i}{2}S_{\text{CS}}[A]} = \bar{Z}_{\text{scalar+flux}}[A], \quad (2.13)$$

where, on the left-hand side, only the background fields are affected, while on the right-hand side, we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Z}_{\text{scalar+flux}}[A] = & \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}a \exp(iS_{\text{scalar}}[\phi; a] \\ & - iS_{\text{CS}}[a] - iS_{\text{BF}}[a; A]). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

We see that the lack of manifest time-reversal invariance allows us to derive two different dualities, Eqs. (2.9) and (2.13), for a free fermion.

Similarly, applying time reversal to the duality (2.12), we can derive a second duality for the ungauged scalar, namely,

$$\bar{Z}_{\text{fermion+flux}}[C] = Z_{\text{scalar}}[C] e^{-iS_{\text{CS}}[C]}, \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Z}_{\text{fermion+flux}}[C] = & \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}A \exp\left(iS_{\text{fermion}}[\psi; A] \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{i}{2}S_{\text{CS}}[A] + iS_{\text{BF}}[A; C]\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

We will have use for these versions of the duality shortly.

III. PARTICLE-VORTEX DUALITY

We can now use similar methods to derive dualities that map bosons to bosons and fermions to fermions. As we will see, these include the familiar particle-vortex dualities.

A. Bosons

We start with the duality (2.12). However, before we proceed, we first divide by the contact interaction so that the duality reads

$$Z_{\text{fermion+flux}}[C] e^{-iS_{\text{CS}}[C]} = Z_{\text{scalar}}[C]. \quad (3.1)$$

We now gauge the background field C . For notational reasons, it will prove useful to recycle some of our old names for gauge fields. We therefore relabel $C \rightarrow a$. We couple this to a new background gauge field, which we call A . After gauging, the right-hand side becomes the partition function for scalar QED,

$$Z_{\text{scalar-QED}}[A] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}a \exp(iS_{\text{scalar}}[\phi; a] + iS_{\text{BF}}[a; A]).$$

Now, we look at the left-hand side of the duality. After these operations, the partition function is $\int \mathcal{D}a Z_{\text{fermion+flux}}[a] e^{-iS_{\text{CS}}[a] + iS_{\text{BF}}[a; A]}$. Written out in full using Eq. (2.10) (and changing the names of integration variables), this reads

$$\int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\tilde{a} \mathcal{D}a \exp\left(iS_{\text{fermion}}[\psi; \tilde{a}] - \frac{i}{2}S_{\text{CS}}[\tilde{a}] - iS_{\text{BF}}[\tilde{a}; a] - iS_{\text{CS}}[a] + iS_{\text{BF}}[a; A] \right).$$

The next step is to integrate out the gauge field a . Its equation of motion requires (in the absence of holonomy) $a = A - \tilde{a}$. Substituting back in, and collecting various terms, we find the resulting partition function²

$$\int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\tilde{a} \exp\left(iS_{\text{fermion}}[\psi; \tilde{a}] + \frac{i}{2}S_{\text{CS}}[\tilde{a}] - iS_{\text{BF}}[\tilde{a}; A] + iS_{\text{CS}}[A] \right). \quad (3.2)$$

Something interesting has happened: We recognize the first three terms as the time-reversed partition function $\bar{Z}_{\text{fermion}+\text{flux}}[-A]$ defined in Eq. (2.16). We can replace this by using the time-reversed duality (2.15). The resulting contact interaction cancels the final term in Eq. (3.2). We are simply left with the scalar partition function $Z_{\text{scalar}}[-A]$.

We learn that applying the duality twice, once in its original form (2.12) and once in its time-reversed avatar (2.15), we relate two scalar partition functions,

$$Z_{\text{scalar-QED}}[A] = Z_{\text{scalar}}[-A].$$

This, of course, is the original particle-vortex duality [1,2], relating the XY model (1.1) (on the right) to the Abelian Higgs model (1.2) (on the left). (The flip of sign in the background field A can be undone by a charge conjugation.) Following the fate of the “...” in the original scalar action, we see that the scalar should either be free on both sides or tuned to the Wilson-Fisher fixed point on both sides. Of course, there have long been derivations of this duality from constructing such theories on the lattice [1,2]. Nonetheless, it is interesting to see that it follows from bosonization.

We highlight that the derivation assumes the absence of holonomies in the gauge field when integrating out a . This means that the duality may be modified on $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$, or indeed in flat space in the presence of Wilson lines.

B. Fermions

We can repeat the above derivation for the fermions. This time, we start with the duality (2.9), but only after dividing by the contact interaction on both sides,

$$Z_{\text{fermion}}[C] = Z_{\text{scalar}+\text{flux}}[C] e^{+\frac{i}{2}S_{\text{CS}}[C]}. \quad (3.3)$$

²This action also appears in a recent proposal for a particle-vortex symmetric description of the superconductor-insulator transition [52].

Now we have a problem. As we explained previously, if the background gauge field C obeys the canonical quantization condition (2.1), then neither side of this equation is gauge invariant.

A fix for this was suggested in Refs. [3–5]: We simply require the more stringent quantization condition that fluxes must be even,

$$\int \frac{dC}{2\pi} \in 2\mathbf{Z}. \quad (3.4)$$

Restricted to such backgrounds, there is no anomaly.

The restriction (3.4) is certainly allowed for background gauge fields that are under our control. However, the next step is to promote C to a dynamical field, and here the condition (3.4) is far from innocuous. A more systematic treatment of this can be found in Refs. [47,53].

Let us look at what becomes of the two sides of the duality (3.3). The left-hand side is simply QED₃, with a single flavor of fermion. Changing the name of integration variables, the partition function is

$$Z_{\text{QED}}[A] = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}a \exp\left(iS_{\text{fermion}}[\psi; a] + \frac{i}{2}S_{\text{BF}}[a; A] \right), \quad (3.5)$$

where the final term is the coupling to a background field A . The partition function is gauge invariant only if dA also obeys the quantization condition (3.4).

Meanwhile, the calculation on the right-hand side closely follows the derivation of bosonic particle-vortex duality above. Only factors of 2 are different, but since these factors are important, let us list the steps here. Using the definition (2.7) of $Z_{\text{scalar}+\text{flux}}$, the partition function on the right-hand side reads

$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\tilde{a} \mathcal{D}a \exp\left(iS_{\text{scalar}}[\phi; \tilde{a}] + iS_{\text{CS}}[\tilde{a}] + iS_{\text{BF}}[\tilde{a}; a] + \frac{i}{2}S_{\text{CS}}[a] + \frac{i}{2}S_{\text{BF}}[a; A] \right).$$

Integrating out a results in the equation of motion $da = -(dA + 2d\tilde{a})$. Substituting this back into the action and collecting terms, we find that

$$\int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\tilde{a} \exp\left(iS_{\text{scalar}}[\phi; \tilde{a}] - iS_{\text{CS}}[\tilde{a}] - iS_{\text{BF}}[\tilde{a}; A] - \frac{i}{2}S_{\text{CS}}[A] \right).$$

As before, we recognize the first three terms as the time-reversed partition function $\bar{Z}_{\text{scalar}+\text{flux}}[A]$ defined in Eq. (2.14). We replace this using the time-reversed duality (2.13). The upshot of this argument is that Eq. (3.3) implies

the relationship between single-flavor QED₃, defined in Eq. (3.5), and a free fermion

$$Z_{\text{QED}}[A] = Z_{\text{fermion}}[A]. \quad (3.6)$$

This is precisely the particle-vortex duality for fermions proposed in Refs. [3–5], equating the partition functions for Eqs. (1.3) and (1.4).

It is instructive to look at the quantum numbers of monopole operators in QED₃ on \mathbf{S}^2 to see how they are consistent with the duality (3.6). (See, for example, Refs. [43,54] for the necessary facts about monopole operators.) In the background of a monopole with flux $\int da = 2\pi n$, the Dirac equation has $2|n|$ zero modes, transforming in the spin $(|n| - 1)/2$ representation of the $SU(2)_{\text{rot}}$ rotational symmetry. For the $n = 2$ monopole, the resulting states are $|0\rangle$, $\chi_1^\dagger|0\rangle$, and $\chi_2^\dagger\chi_1^\dagger|0\rangle$; these have charge $Q = -1, 0, +1$ and spin $0, \frac{1}{2}, 0$, respectively. The constraint of Gauss's law projects us onto the $Q = 0$ states. We learn that the monopole has spin $\frac{1}{2}$, as it should.

The equality of partition functions (3.6), and the corresponding equality of current correlators, provides strong evidence that QED₃ is indeed equivalent to a free Dirac cone. We stress that, on dynamical grounds, this is surprising. With an even number N_f of fermionic flavors, there is no parity anomaly, and QED₃ can be quantized with the usual flux condition (2.1). Here, the theory is expected to flow to a critical point when $N_f > N_*$, some critical number of flavors thought to be $N_* \approx 4$. In contrast, for $N_f < N_*$, the theory is confined, and it generates a gap which, for an even number of flavors, spontaneously breaks the flavor symmetry $SU(N_f) \rightarrow SU(N_f/2)$. Based on this evidence, one might have thought that when $N_f = 1$, the theory again is confined and generates a gap. This time, however, the mass for a single fermion would break time-reversal invariance. The result (3.6)—and, indeed, the arguments of Refs. [4,5]—suggests that the theory is not confined. It was recently argued that this is plausible because the channel for time-reversal breaking is weaker than that of flavor symmetry breaking [55].

C. Self-dual theories

It is straightforward to derive many further dualities by taking variations on this theme. Here, we describe the self-dual theories.

A familiar story from the study of supersymmetric mirror symmetry is that when we couple two flavors of matter to a single $U(1)$ gauge field, the resulting theory is self-dual. This is known to hold for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ [36] and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ [40,41] supersymmetric theories, which correspond to sigma models with target space $T^*\mathbf{CP}^1$ and \mathbf{CP}^1 , respectively. In this section, we describe the nonsupersymmetric analogs of these self-dual theories.

1. Self-dual fermions

A proposal for a self-dual fermionic theory was offered recently in Ref. [56] by realizing the theory on the surface of a topological insulator. Our derivation begins by putting together our original dual theory (2.9) with its time-reversed partner (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{fermion}}[A_1]Z_{\text{fermion}}[A_2] \\ = Z_{\text{scalar-flux}}[A_1]\bar{Z}_{\text{scalar-flux}}[A_2]e^{+\frac{i}{2}S_{\text{CS}}[A_1]-\frac{i}{2}S_{\text{CS}}[A_2]}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

We write the background gauge fields as

$$A_1 = a + C \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = a - C.$$

We then promote a to a dynamical gauge field, introducing a new background field A in the process. The left-hand side of the duality becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{QED}[N_f=2]}[A; C] \\ = \int \mathcal{D}a Z_{\text{fermion}}[a + C]Z_{\text{fermion}}[a - C]e^{+iS_{\text{BF}}[a; A]}. \end{aligned}$$

The claim of Ref. [56] is that this theory is actually self-dual in the sense that the physics is invariant under exchanging the two background fields A and C . This is not obvious from the expression above. Indeed, C is the background field for the Cartan element of an $SU(2)$ flavor symmetry, rotating the two fermions. There is no obvious matching $SU(2)$ symmetry associated with A .

We can use the duality (3.7) to help us. The right-hand side of Eq. (3.7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{D}a\mathcal{D}\phi_1\mathcal{D}\tilde{a}_1\mathcal{D}\phi_2\mathcal{D}\tilde{a}_2 \exp(iS_{\text{scalar}}[\phi_1; \tilde{a}_1] \\ + iS_{\text{scalar}}[\phi_2; \tilde{a}_2] + iS_{\text{CS}}[\tilde{a}_1] - iS_{\text{CS}}[\tilde{a}_2] \\ + iS_{\text{BF}}[\tilde{a}_1 - \tilde{a}_2; a] + iS_{\text{BF}}[\tilde{a}_1 + \tilde{a}_2; C] \\ + iS_{\text{BF}}[a; A + C]). \end{aligned}$$

Once again, this does not look symmetric under an interchange of A and C . However, now we can integrate out a . The equation of motion tells us that $d\tilde{a}_1 - d\tilde{a}_2 + da + dC = 0$. We redefine $c_\pm = \tilde{a}_1 \pm \tilde{a}_2$ so that the constraint reads $dc_- = -(da + dC)$, which we subsequently use to eliminate c_- . The kinetic terms for ϕ depend only on the symmetric combination $A + C$. Meanwhile, something interesting happens to the remaining Chern-Simons and BF terms; they rearrange themselves so that they depend only on the combination $A - C$. We are left with

$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi_1 \mathcal{D}\phi_2 \mathcal{D}c_+ \exp \times \left(iS[\phi_1, \phi_2, c_+; A + C] - \frac{i}{2} S_{\text{BF}}[c_+; A - C] \right).$$

We see that the first term is invariant under the exchange $A \leftrightarrow C$, while the second term picks up a minus sign. This, however, is easily dealt with if we simultaneously apply a time-reversal transformation.

Since this scalar theory is dual to QED with two flavors, we learn that this too must be self-dual under the interchange of $A \leftrightarrow C$, together with time reversal,

$$Z_{\text{QED}[N_f=2]}[A; C] = \bar{Z}_{\text{QED}[N_f=2]}[C; A]$$

in agreement with the proposal of Ref. [56].

2. Self-dual bosons

It is a simple matter to repeat the steps above to derive the self-duality of the $U(1)$ gauge field coupled to two scalars. Starting from the duality (3.1), we find

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{QED}[N_s=2]}[A; C] &= \int \mathcal{D}a Z_{\text{scalar}}[a + C] Z_{\text{scalar}}[a - C] e^{iS_{\text{BF}}[a; A]} \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}a Z_{\text{fermion+flux}}[a + C] \bar{Z}_{\text{fermion+flux}}[a - C] e^{iS_{\text{BF}}[a; A - 2C]} \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\psi_1 \mathcal{D}\psi_2 \mathcal{D}c_+ \exp \left(i\tilde{S}[\psi_1, \psi_2, c_+; A - 2C] - \frac{i}{4} S_{\text{BF}}[c_+; A + 2C] \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{S}[\psi_1, \psi_2, c_+; A - 2C]$ is what becomes of the kinetic terms after we integrate out a and impose the resulting constraint $c_- = A - 2C$. Importantly, this term is invariant under parity or time reversal. We see that, once again, the partition function admits a symmetry under the exchange $A \leftrightarrow -2C$ together with time reversal. We have the self-duality

$$Z_{\text{QED}[N_s=2]}[A; C] = \bar{Z}_{\text{QED}[N_s=2]} \left[-2C; -\frac{1}{2}A \right].$$

This duality was previously studied in Ref. [57]. Moreover, we learn something new: Comparing the equations in this section, we see that QED with two fermions is actually the same theory as QED coupled to two scalars. This is reminiscent of the relationship between QED with $N_f = 2$ and the $O(4)$ sigma model previously proposed in Refs. [58–60].

D. Vortex-vortex duality

To finish, we describe one final duality in which monopole operators are mapped to monopole operators. This duality was previously described in Ref. [23] for $U(N)$ theories; here, we derive the $U(1)$ version.

We again start with Eq. (2.12), but this time change the Chern-Simons level for the background field on both sides to

$$Z_{\text{scalar}}[C] e^{2iS_{\text{CS}}[C]} = Z_{\text{fermion+flux}}[C] e^{iS_{\text{sc}}[C]}.$$

After promoting C to a dynamical gauge field (which we rename as a), the left-hand side becomes

$$\int \mathcal{D}a Z_{\text{scalar}}[a] \exp(2iS_{\text{CS}}[a] + iS_{\text{BF}}[a; A]).$$

Meanwhile, the right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \mathcal{D}a \mathcal{D}C Z_{\text{fermi}}[a] \exp \left(-\frac{i}{2} S_{\text{CS}}[a] - iS_{\text{BF}}[a; C] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + iS_{\text{CS}}[C] + iS_{\text{BF}}[C; A] \right) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}a Z_{\text{fermi}}[a] \exp \left(-\frac{3i}{2} S_{\text{CS}}[a] + iS_{\text{BF}}[a; A] - S_{\text{CS}}[A] \right), \end{aligned}$$

where, to get to the second line, we integrate out C and substitute in its equation of motion $C = a - A$. The end result is that a scalar coupled to a Chern-Simons gauge field at level 2 is equivalent to a fermion coupled to a Chern-Simons gauge field at level $-\frac{3}{2}$. This duality was previously reported in Ref. [23].

Let us check that the quantum numbers of operators agree on both sides. On the scalar side, Gauss's law requires $\rho_{\text{scalar}} = -f/\pi$. In the background of a single monopole, we must turn on two scalar modes. As we saw above, the lowest excited state of the scalar has spin $\frac{1}{2}$. Since these are bosons, we pick out the symmetric part, so turning on two such modes endows the monopole with spin 1.

Meanwhile, on the fermionic side, Gauss's law tells us that $\rho_{\text{fermi}} = 3f/4\pi$. The single monopole must have charge $3/2$. The zero mode (2.6) can account for charge $\frac{1}{2}$ and leaves the monopole with spin 0. But, in addition, we must also turn on an excited mode. The first excited mode has spin 1. Thus, once again, the monopole operator has spin 1.

IV. DISCUSSION

Above, we have focused exclusively on nonsupersymmetric dualities. One may wonder if we can combine these to derive mirror symmetry [36] or Seiberg-like dualities [28] of supersymmetric theories. Unfortunately, we do not, at present, have enough handle on the operator map needed to include features like Yukawa couplings on both sides.

Instead, we could ask the reverse question: Starting from a supersymmetric mirror pair, how does it decompose under RG flow if a relevant, supersymmetry-breaking operator is added? For the supersymmetric bosonization duality, this question was answered in the large N limit in Refs. [32,33]. Here, our interest is in Abelian theories, and we do not have control of the RG flow. Nonetheless, we will make some suggestions.

For theories with $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry, the simplest mirror pair is [36]

free hypermultiplet = $U(1)$ + charged hypermultiplet.

Here, a single hypermultiplet contains two complex scalars and two Dirac fermions. The $U(1)$ gauge field is part of a vector multiplet which also contains three real scalars and two Dirac fermions. It can be shown that, starting from this seed mirror pair, one can generate all further $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Abelian mirrors using path-integral manipulations of the kind employed above [44].

One can break the supersymmetry down to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ by giving masses to half of the fields in the hypermultiplet and integrating them out. Flowing from the simple $\mathcal{N} = 4$ duality above, one finds the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ duality involving a half-integer Chern-Simons coupling [40,41]

free chiral multiplet = $U(1)_{1/2}$ + charged chiral multiplet.

Now the relevant $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets are a chiral multiplet, which consists of a single complex scalar and a single Dirac fermion, and a vector multiplet containing the $U(1)$ gauge field, a single real scalar, and a single Dirac fermion.

Now, we deform the theory further by adding mass to either the free boson or the free fermion. The resulting RG flow breaks all supersymmetry and is, correspondingly, difficult to study. One might imagine that the end point of integrating out the fermions on both sides is the standard bosonic particle-vortex duality (1.1) and (1.2). However, the end point of integrating out scalars on both sides cannot be the fermionic counterpart (1.3) and (1.4) because the anomaly structure is different. Something else must happen.

Flows of a very similar kind were studied in a very impressive analysis of large N theories in Refs. [32,33]. There, one finds that the bosonic currents on one side of the duality are related to the fermionic currents on the other side. Further, gapping out the boson on one side ultimately results in a gap for the fermion on the other side. We suggest that this structure survives in the Abelian theories considered here. The end points of RG flows from the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ mirror are not the particle-vortex dualities described in the Introduction; instead, they are the two bosonization dualities (2.9) and (2.12).

The results of Sec. III, as well as our speculations above, fit a general pattern, which suggests that a good

slogan for the content of this paper might be “particle-vortex duality = bosonization²”.

Our techniques open the door for the construction of many more Abelian dual pairs. In the supersymmetric context, one can use N copies of the basic pair and gauge r of the background fields to derive mirror pairs in which $U(1)^r$ with N matter fields is dual to $U(1)^{N-r}$ with N matter fields [36,41,44]. The same steps can easily be repeated in the nonsupersymmetric context to generate generalizations of our story with multiple Abelian gauge groups, with the self-dual theories of Sec. III C the first in the sequence.

More challenging is the extension of our techniques to non-Abelian theories. The 3D bosonization dualities have a simple non-Abelian generalization. Indeed, in the large N limit, the evidence for this duality is overwhelming. It would be very interesting to see if one can manipulate the partition functions for these non-Abelian duals in a similar way to their Abelian cousins.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are supported by the US Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-SC0011637, by STFC, and by the European Research Council under the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013), ERC Grant Agreement No. STG 279943, “Strongly Coupled Systems.” D. T. is grateful to the Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics and to the University of Washington for their kind hospitality while this work was undertaken. We thank Ofer Aharony, Ethan Dyer, Andrew Essin, Yin-Chen He, Guy Gur-Ari, Shamit Kachru, Max Metlitski, Shiraz Minwalla, Mike Mulligan, Sri Raghu, Eva Silverstein, Dam Son, Senthil Todadri, Christoph Uhlemann, and Ashvin Vishwanath for many illuminating comments and conversations. We also thank Nati Seiberg, Senthil Todadri, Chong Wang, and Edward Witten for communicating their results prior to publication.

Note added.—Recently, we became aware of Ref. [47], which contains overlap with this paper. Related work is also contained in Ref. [61].

-
- [1] M. E. Peskin, *Mandelstam ’t Hooft Duality in Abelian Lattice Models*, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **113**, 122 (1978).
 - [2] C. Dasgupta and B. I. Halperin, *Phase Transition in a Lattice Model of Superconductivity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **47**, 1556 (1981).
 - [3] D. T. Son, *Is the Composite Fermion a Dirac Particle?*, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 031027 (2015).
 - [4] C. Wang and T. Senthil, *Dual Dirac Liquid on the Surface of the Electron Topological Insulator*, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 041031 (2015).
 - [5] M. A. Metlitski and A. Vishwanath, *Particle-Vortex Duality of 2D Dirac Fermion from Electric-Magnetic Duality of 3D Topological Insulators*, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 245151 (2016).

- [6] C. Wang and T. Senthil, *Half-Filled Landau Level, Topological Insulator Surfaces, and Three-Dimensional Quantum Spin Liquids*, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 085110 (2016).
- [7] S. D. Geraedts, M. P. Zaletel, R. S. Mong, M. A. Metlitski, A. Vishwanath, and O. I. Motrunich, *The Half-Filled Landau Level: The Case for Dirac Composite Fermions*, *Science* **352**, 197 (2016).
- [8] C. Wang and T. Senthil, *Composite Fermi Liquids in the Lowest Landau Level*, [arXiv:1604.06807](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.06807).
- [9] M. Mulligan, S. Raghu, and M. P. A. Fisher, *Emergent Particle-Hole Symmetry in the Half-Filled Landau Level*, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 075101 (2016).
- [10] M. A. Metlitski, *S-Duality of $u(1)$ Gauge Theory with $\theta = \pi$ on Non-orientable Manifolds: Applications to Topological Insulators and Superconductors*, [arXiv:1510.05663](https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.05663).
- [11] D. F. Mross, J. Alicea, and O. I. Motrunich, *Explicit Derivation of Duality Between a Free Dirac Cone and Quantum Electrodynamics in $(2+1)$ Dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 016802 (2016).
- [12] F. Wilczek, *Magnetic Flux, Angular Momentum, and Statistics*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1144 (1982).
- [13] J. K. Jain, *Composite Fermion Approach for the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 199 (1989).
- [14] A. M. Polyakov, *Fermi-Bose Transmutations Induced by Gauge Fields*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **03**, 325 (1988).
- [15] N. Shaji, R. Shankar, and M. Sivakumar, *On Bose-Fermi Equivalence in a $U(1)$ Gauge Theory with Chern-Simons Action*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **05**, 593 (1990).
- [16] S. K. Paul, R. Shankar, and M. Sivakumar, *Fermionization of Self-Interacting Charged Scalar Fields Coupled to Abelian Chern-Simons Gauge Fields in $(2+1)$ -Dimensions*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **06**, 553 (1991).
- [17] E. H. Fradkin and F. A. Schaposnik, *The Fermion-Boson Mapping in Three-Dimensional Quantum Field Theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **338**, 253 (1994).
- [18] W. Chen, M. P. A. Fisher, and Y.-S. Wu, *Mott Transition in an Anyon Gas*, *Phys. Rev. B* **48** 13749 (1993).
- [19] M. Barkeshli and J. McGreevy, *A Continuous Transition Between Fractional Quantum Hall and Superfluid States*, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 235116 (2014).
- [20] S. Giombi, S. Minwalla, S. Prakash, S. P. Trivedi, S. R. Wadia, and X. Yin, *Chern-Simons Theory with Vector Fermion Matter*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72**, 2112 (2012).
- [21] O. Aharony, G. Gur-Ari, and R. Yacoby, *$d = 3$ Bosonic Vector Models Coupled to Chern-Simons Gauge Theories*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 03 (2012) 037.
- [22] O. Aharony, G. Gur-Ari, and R. Yacoby, *Correlation Functions of Large N Chern-Simons-Matter Theories and Bosonization in Three Dimensions*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 12 (2012) 028.
- [23] O. Aharony, *Baryons, Monopoles and Dualities in Chern-Simons-Matter Theories*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 02 (2016) 093.
- [24] S. Jain, M. Mandlik, S. Minwalla, T. Takimi, S. R. Wadia, and S. Yokoyama, *Unitarity, Crossing Symmetry and Duality of the S-Matrix in Large N Chern-Simons Theories with Fundamental Matter*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (2015) 129.
- [25] K. Inbasekar, S. Jain, S. Mazumdar, S. Minwalla, V. Umesh, and S. Yokoyama, *Unitarity, Crossing Symmetry and Duality in the Scattering of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY Matter Chern-Simons Theories*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 10 (2015) 176.
- [26] S. Minwalla and S. Yokoyama, *Chern Simons Bosonization along RG Flows*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 02 (2016) 103.
- [27] G. Gur-Ari, S. A. Hartnoll, and R. Mahajan, *Transport in Chern-Simons-Matter Theories*, [arXiv:1605.01122](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.01122).
- [28] A. Giveon and D. Kutasov, *Seiberg Duality in Chern-Simons Theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B812**, 1 (2009).
- [29] F. Benini, C. Closset, and S. Cremonesi, *Comments on 3D Seiberg-like Dualities*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 10 (2011) 075.
- [30] O. Aharony, S. S. Razamat, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett, *3D Dualities from 4D Dualities*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2013) 149.
- [31] J. Park and K. J. Park, *Seiberg-like Dualities for 3d $N = 2$ Theories with $SU(N)$ Gauge Group*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 10 (2013) 198.
- [32] S. Jain, S. Minwalla, and S. Yokoyama, *Chern Simons Duality with a Fundamental Boson and Fermion*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2013) 037.
- [33] G. Gur-Ari and R. Yacoby, *Three Dimensional Bosonization from Supersymmetry*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 11 (2015) 013.
- [34] D. Radićević, *Disorder Operators in Chern-Simons-Fermion Theories*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 03 (2016) 131.
- [35] S. G. Naculich, H. A. Riggs, and H. J. Schnitzer, *Group Level Duality in WZW Models and Chern-Simons Theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **246**, 417 (1990).
- [36] K. A. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, *Mirror Symmetry in Three-Dimensional Gauge Theories*, *Phys. Lett. B* **387**, 513 (1996).
- [37] J. de Boer, K. Hori, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, *Mirror Symmetry in Three-Dimensional Gauge Theories, Quivers and D-Branes*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B493**, 101 (1997).
- [38] J. de Boer, K. Hori, and Y. Oz, *Dynamics of $N = 2$ Supersymmetric Gauge Theories in Three-Dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B500**, 163 (1997).
- [39] O. Aharony, A. Hanany, K. A. Intriligator, N. Seiberg, and M. J. Strassler, *Aspects of $N = 2$ Supersymmetric Gauge Theories in Three-Dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B499**, 67 (1997).
- [40] N. Dorey and D. Tong, *Mirror Symmetry and Toric Geometry in Three-Dimensional Gauge Theories*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 05 (2000) 018.
- [41] D. Tong, *Dynamics of $N = 2$ Supersymmetric Chern-Simons Theories*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 07 (2000) 019.
- [42] S. Kachru, M. Mulligan, G. Torroba, and H. Wang, *Mirror Symmetry and the Half-Filled Landau Level*, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 235105 (2015).
- [43] V. Borokhov, A. Kapustin, and X. k. Wu, *Monopole Operators and Mirror Symmetry in Three-Dimensions*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 12 (2002) 044.
- [44] A. Kapustin and M. J. Strassler, *On Mirror Symmetry in Three-Dimensional Abelian Gauge Theories*, *J. High Energy Phys.* 04 (1999) 021.
- [45] A. N. Redlich, *Gauge Noninvariance and Parity Violation of Three-Dimensional Fermions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52**, 18 (1984).
- [46] A. J. Niemi and G. W. Semenoff, *Axial Anomaly Induced Fermion Fractionization and Effective Gauge Theory Actions in Odd Dimensional Space-Times*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 2077 (1983).

- [47] N. Seiberg, T. Senthil, C. Wang, and E. Witten, *A Duality Web in 2 + 1 Dimensions and Condensed Matter Physics*, [arXiv:1606.01989](#).
- [48] V. Borokhov, A. Kapustin, and X.k. Wu, *Topological Disorder Operators in Three-Dimensional Conformal Field Theory*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2002) 049.
- [49] T.T. Wu and C.N. Yang, *Dirac Monopole without Strings: Monopole Harmonics*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B107**, 365 (1976).
- [50] C. Closset, T. T. Dumitrescu, G. Festuccia, Z. Komargodski, and N. Seiberg, *Comments on Chern-Simons Contact Terms in Three Dimensions*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2012) 091.
- [51] E. Witten, *SL(2,Z) Action on Three-Dimensional Conformal Field Theories with Abelian Symmetry*, [arXiv:hep-th/0307041](#).
- [52] M. Mulligan, *Particle-Vortex Symmetric Liquid*, [arXiv:1605.08047](#).
- [53] M. Metlitski and R. Thorngren (unpublished).
- [54] E. Dyer, M. Mezei, and S. S. Pufu, *Monopole Taxonomy in Three-Dimensional Conformal Field Theories*, [arXiv:1309.1160](#).
- [55] D. Roscher, E. Torres, and P. Strack, *Dual QED₃ at “N_F = 1/2” Is an Interacting CFT in the Infrared*, [arXiv:1605.05347](#).
- [56] C. Xu and Y.Z. You, *Self-Dual Quantum Electrodynamics as Boundary State of the Three Dimensional Bosonic Topological Insulator*, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 220416 (2015).
- [57] O. I. Motrunich and A. Vishwanath, *Emergent Photons and New Transitions in the O(3) Sigma Model with Hedgehog Suppression*, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 075104 (2004).
- [58] J. Alicea, O. I. Motrunich, M. Hermele, and M. P. A. Fisher, *Criticality in Quantum Triangular Antiferromagnets via Fermionized Vortices*, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 064407 (2005).
- [59] T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, *Competing Orders, Non-linear Sigma Models, and Topological Terms in Quantum Magnets*, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 064405 (2006).
- [60] A. Vishwanath and T. Senthil, *Physics of Three Dimensional Bosonic Topological Insulators: Surface Deconfined Criticality and Quantized Magnetoelectric Effect*, *Phys. Rev. X* **3**, 011016 (2013).
- [61] J. Murugan and H. Nastase, *Particle-Vortex Duality in Topological Insulators and Superconductors*, [arXiv:1606.01912](#).