

1      **Lessons learned about prevalence and growth rates of abdominal aortic**  
2      **aneurysms from a 25-year ultrasound population screening programme**

3

4      \*Clare Oliver-Williams, PhD, Junior Research Fellow

5      \*Michael Sweeting, PhD, Senior Research Associate

6      \*\*Glenda Turton, RGN, Screening Programme Co-ordinator

7      \*\*Donna Parkin, RGN, Consultant Nurse

8      \*\*David Cooper, FRCS, Consultant Vascular Surgeon

9      \*\*Caroline Rodd, FRCS, Consultant Vascular Surgeon

10     \*Simon Thompson, DSc, Professor of Biostatistics

11     \*\*Jonothan J Earnshaw, FRCS, Consultant Vascular Surgeon

12     On behalf of the Gloucestershire and Swindon Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Screening  
13     Programme

14     \*Cardiovascular Epidemiology Unit, Department of Public Health & Primary Care,  
15     Strangeways Research Laboratory, Wort's Causeway, Cambridge, CB1 8RN

16     \*\* Cheltenham General Hospital, Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,  
17     Gloucestershire, UK

18

19     Correspondence to: Mr. J.J. Earnshaw

20                                         Department of Vascular Surgery, Cheltenham General Hospital

21                                         Sandford Rd, Cheltenham, Gloucestershire GL53 7AN

22                                         Tel. 08454226190 Fax 08454226788

23                                         Email: [jjeanshaw@tiscali.co.uk](mailto:jjeanshaw@tiscali.co.uk) or [jonothan.earnshaw@glos.nhs.uk](mailto:jonothan.earnshaw@glos.nhs.uk)

24

Resubmitted as an original article to BJS 2/8/17

1 **Abstract**

2

3 **Background**

4 This study aims to assess how the prevalence and growth rates of small and medium AAAs  
5 (3.0-5.4cm) have changed over time in men aged 65 years, and to evaluate long-term  
6 outcomes in those men whose aortic diameter is 2.6-2.9cm (subaneurysmal), and below the  
7 standard threshold for most surveillance programmes.

8 **Methods**

9 The Gloucestershire Aneurysm Screening Programme (GASP) started in 1990. Men aged 65  
10 years with an aortic diameter of 2.6-5.4cm, as measured by ultrasound using the inner to  
11 inner wall method, were included in surveillance. Aortic diameter growth rates were  
12 estimated separately for men who initially had a subaneurysmal aorta, or who had a small  
13 or medium AAA, using mixed-effects models.

14 **Results**

15 Since 1990, 81,150 men had ultrasound screening for AAA (uptake 80.7%), of whom 2,795  
16 had an aortic diameter of 2.6-5.4cm. The prevalence of screen-detected AAA  $\geq$  3.0cm  
17 decreased from 5.0% in 1991 to 1.3% in 2015. There was no evidence of a change in AAA  
18 growth rates during this time. Of men who initially had a subaneurysmal aorta, 58% (95% CI  
19 54, 61) were estimated to develop an AAA  $\geq$  3.0cm within 5 years of their initial scan, and  
20 28% (95% CI 24, 32) were estimated to develop a large AAA ( $\geq$  5.5cm) within 15 years.

21 **Conclusions**

22 The prevalence of screen-detected small and medium AAA has decreased over the last 25  
23 years, but growth rates have remained similar. Men with a subaneurysmal aorta at age 65  
24 have a substantial risk of developing a large AAA by the age of 80 years.

1

2

### 3 **Introduction**

4 Ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) is one of the commoner causes of death in men  
5 over the age of 65 years; the Office for National Statistics recorded almost 2000 such deaths  
6 in England in 2015<sup>1</sup>. There is a strong evidence base for ultrasound AAA screening in men  
7 aged 65-74 years<sup>2-5</sup>. Population screening programmes for AAA in men are running in the UK  
8 and Sweden, and are being considered in a number of other European countries<sup>6,7</sup>.

9 AAA screening was started in Gloucestershire, UK, in 1990 by local enthusiasts, before the  
10 evidence base was gathered<sup>8</sup>. The Gloucestershire Aneurysm Screening Programme (GASP)  
11 has maintained the same operating procedures since 1990. It is thus a valuable resource to  
12 examine changes in AAA prevalence and growth rates. Gloucestershire is a largely rural  
13 county containing two cities, Cheltenham and Gloucester, population around 540,000. The  
14 population has been generally stable with no major changes in the last 20 years.

15 The aim of the present study was to analyse changes in prevalence and growth rates of  
16 small and medium AAA over 25 years. A secondary aim was to look at outcomes in a  
17 subgroup of men with aortic diameter 2.6-2.9cm at age 65, just below the threshold for  
18 surveillance in most screening programmes, including the NHS AAA Screening Programme  
19 (NAAASP) in the UK<sup>6</sup>. There is debate about whether these men should also be offered  
20 surveillance, since a number of them will develop a large AAA in their lifetime, although  
21 potentially at an age that limits the benefits of elective repair<sup>9</sup>.

1

## 2 **Methods**

### 3 ***Gloucestershire Aneurysm Screening Programme.***

4 Details of the GASP have been described previously<sup>8</sup>. Briefly, since 1990, men in their 65<sup>th</sup>  
5 year who are registered with a general practitioner (GP) are invited for screening by letter.  
6 Two members of the screening team visit the 84 main Gloucestershire GP surgeries and 7  
7 branch surgeries every year. The same type of ultrasound machine is used for measuring  
8 aortic diameter, which is taken as the maximum anteroposterior diameter assessed by  
9 measurement from the inner wall to the inner wall of the aorta. The measurement  
10 technique has been consistent throughout the 25 years. Initially, informed consent was not  
11 taken or required for the research use of these data

12 Men with an aortic diameter of 2.5cm or less on scanning are reassured and discharged;  
13 above this level, men are offered surveillance. The 2.5cm threshold was chosen arbitrarily as  
14 two standard deviations above the mean aortic diameter in 65 year old men at the time the  
15 programme commenced<sup>9</sup>. After screening, men with an aortic diameter of 2.6cm-2.9cm  
16 (subaneurysmal aorta) and 3.0-4.4cm (small AAA) are offered annual ultrasound  
17 surveillance. Before 2009, men with an AAA over 4.4cm were referred to hospital for  
18 continued biannual surveillance, and surgical intervention was considered once the AAA  
19 reached 5.0-5.5cm, based on individual discussion with the vascular surgeon.

### 20 ***Early implementer in the NHS AAA Screening Programme***

21 This changed when GASP joined the national programme (NAAASP) in 2009, after which the  
22 men were no longer referred to hospital until the AAA reached 5.5cm, but surveillance of  
23 medium AAA (4.4-5.4cm) continued three-monthly through the national programme. Small

1 AAA were scanned annually, as before. NAAASP surveillance schedules were based on those  
2 from the Multicentre Aneurysm Screening Study (MASS), which provided the evidence base  
3 underpinning AAA screening<sup>10</sup>. All men undergoing ultrasound imaging in NAAASP  
4 consented for their data to be kept and used for research. Since 2009, men whose aortic  
5 diameter was 5.5cm on their first scan at age 65, or which grew to 5.5cm on surveillance  
6 were referred to the local vascular team for consideration of AAA repair. In 2012, the  
7 Gloucestershire programme also commenced screening men in the neighbouring district of  
8 Swindon; however, data from Swindon men were kept separate, and not used for the  
9 purpose of this analysis.

10 Data were collected on a bespoke database by the programme team (in duplicate after  
11 GASP joined the NAAASP). A minimum dataset was collected on screened men: the date of  
12 initial screening, dates of repeat scans, corresponding aortic diameter measurements (cm),  
13 date of birth, year of referral for treatment, operation details, and date and cause of death.  
14 Smoking history and other clinical details were not collected. The first data were entered in  
15 1990; the present analysis examines prevalence and surveillance data up until June 2015, at  
16 which point the database was locked and verified.

17 All men were included in the prevalence analysis; in the other analyses only men with an  
18 aortic diameter of 2.6-5.4cm at their first scan were included. They were categorised by  
19 initial diameter: 2.6-2.9cm (subaneurysmal aorta) or 3.0-5.4cm (AAA; 3.0-4.4cm small AAA;  
20 4.5-5.4cm medium AAA).

21 The study is part of ongoing service evaluation, and has been approved by the  
22 Gloucestershire Hospitals' Ethics Committee (provider), and also the monitoring Committee  
23 of the local of the Gloucestershire and Swindon AAA Screening Programme (commissioner).

## 1 ***Statistical analysis***

2 Duration of follow-up was calculated for each man as the time from initial scan to death, or  
3 to most recent scan if the individual had not died. Time to progression to a diameter  
4 threshold (e.g. 3.0cm or 5.5cm) was defined as the time between the initial scan and the  
5 first measurement that was greater or equal to the threshold. Individuals who had not  
6 reached the threshold were censored at the date of death or most recent scan.

7 Baseline characteristics were summarised by initial aortic diameter: 2.6-2.9cm or 3.0-5.4cm.  
8 Continuous variables were presented as median and interquartile range (IQR) and compared  
9 by Mann-Whitney U-tests. Categorical variables were presented as number and percentage  
10 and compared using  $\chi^2$  tests.

11 To estimate growth rates as a function of time since initial scan, random effects quadratic  
12 growth models were fitted separately men who had an initial aortic diameter of 2.6-2.9cm or  
13 3.0-5.4cm using all available ultrasound measurements, including any men with AAA >5.5cm  
14 not offered surgical repair.. Intercept, slope and curvature terms were assumed to follow a  
15 multivariable normal distribution. Quadratic growth models were found to provide a better  
16 fit to the data than linear growth models ( $p < 0.001$ ).

17 Average predicted diameters were plotted against time along with the interquartile range of  
18 the individual predicted trajectories.

19 Secular trends in growth rates were evaluated by fitting separate quadratic random effects  
20 models for each two-year period of recruitment (starting at 1990-1991). For each model,  
21 the mean growth rate was estimated every 5-years from initial scan up till the maximum  
22 follow-up for the period of recruitment being considered. A meta-regression was then

1 conducted to assess whether the estimated growth rates have changed over calendar time;  
2 this was done separately for every 5-year interval after initial scan.

3 Non-parametric cumulative incidence curves were calculated for the time to 3.0cm in the  
4 subaneurysmal group and for the time to 5.5cm in the two aortic diameter categories  
5 separately; 95% confidence intervals (CI) were based on the log-log transformation of the  
6 cumulative incidence. Death was treated as a competing event in these analyses. A  
7 sensitivity analysis was conducted, by truncating follow-up to 2.5 years after a man's final  
8 scan since some individuals may have refused regular ultrasound scans at some point before  
9 their recorded date of death.

10 Further analyses were conducted in men whose aorta was subaneurysmal (2.6-2.9cm) at  
11 their first scan and had a repeat scan between 4 and 6 years later. These men were  
12 subdivided into two groups, those who were <3.0cm and those  $\geq$  3.0cm at their repeat  
13 scan, and the cumulative risk of reaching the 5.5cm threshold was compared.

14 All analyses were conducted using STATA release 14 (Stata Corp, College Station, Texas,  
15 USA).

16

## 1 **Results**

### 2 *Prevalence of AAA*

3 During the 25 years of the study, a total of 100,574 men were invited for screening, and  
4 81,150 had a completed scan (uptake 80.7%). There was a marked reduction in AAA  $\geq 3.0$ cm  
5 (threshold for surveillance in NAAASP) prevalence in 65 year old men during the study. In  
6 1991, the prevalence was 5.0%. This reduced over the duration of the study to 1.3% in 2015.  
7 Mean aortic diameter in 65 year old men fell from around 2.0cm in the early 1990s, to about  
8 1.7cm in 2010-15 (estimated reduction of 12% over 25 years,  $P < 0.001$ ; Figure 1).

### 9 *AAA and subaneurysmal aorta*

10 Some 2,795 men had an aortic diameter of 2.6-5.4cm and were offered surveillance: 1,562  
11 had an AAA (3.0-5.4cm) and 1,233 had a subaneurysmal aorta (2.6-2.9cm). The distribution  
12 of aortic diameters in these men at baseline is given in supplementary Figure 1. Mean  
13 follow-up until death or last scan was 5.1 years and 7.8 years in men with an initial AAA and  
14 an initial subaneurysmal aorta, respectively. Adherence to recommended surveillance  
15 intervals was very good with the majority of men returning close to the recommended  
16 intervals ( supplementary Figure 2). Of the 1181 men who had not died or been referred by  
17 the end of follow-up, 336 (28%) had not had a scan in the last 2-years; the majority of these  
18 men had an initial subaneurysmal aorta.

19 Year of initial scan, age at baseline, and proportion developing a large AAA (5.5cm or more),  
20 varied by initial aortic diameter (Table 1). For men who had a subaneurysmal aorta at  
21 baseline, 124 (10%) eventually received an elective operation of whom 106 were in men  
22 who had developed a large AAA. A total of 477 (31%) of men with an AAA at baseline went



1 on to have elective surgery, 354 of which had developed a large AAA. There was no  
2 evidence of a difference in the intervention rate for elective surgery for men with a large  
3 AAA by initial diameter size (59% in men 2.6-2.9cm at baseline vs. 62% in men 3.0-5.4cm at  
4 baseline,  $p=0.46$ ) despite elective operations in the subaneurysmal group occurring at an  
5 older age (mean 75 years) compared to the 3.0-5.4cm group at baseline (mean 70 years)  
6 ( $p=0.0001$ ).

### 7 *Growth rates*

8 The average growth trajectories are shown in Figure 2, together with the upper and lower  
9 quartiles of average growth. The measurement error of the ultrasound scans was estimated  
10 as 0.19cm (95% CI 0.19, 0.20) in the model for men with initial subaneurysmal aorta, and  
11 0.24cm (95% CI 0.23, 0.24) in the model for men with an initial AAA. For men who initially  
12 had a subaneurysmal aorta the rate of growth increased from 0.05cm/year (95% CI: 0.04,  
13 0.06) in the first 5 years after the initial scan, to 0.36cm/year (95% CI: 0.33, 0.39) between  
14 15-19 years ( supplementary Table 1). In contrast, the average growth rate for men with an  
15 initial AAA of 3.0-5.4cm was 0.26cm/year (95% CI: 0.25, 0.28) in the first 5 years of follow-  
16 up, increasing to 0.80cm/year (95% CI: 0.73, 0.86) after 15-19 years.

17 There was no evidence that mean have changed over calendar time of recruitment for men  
18 with an initial AAA of 3.0-5.4cm (Figure 3). The change in growth rate per 5-calendar years  
19 at 0, 5, 10 and 15 years after initial scan was 0.01 cm/yr per 5-years (95% CI -0.03, 0.05)  
20 ( $p=0.65$ ), -0.02 cm/yr per 5-years (95% CI -0.07, 0.03) ( $p=0.33$ ) , -0.02 cm/yr per 5-years  
21 (95% CI -0.12, 0.08) ( $p=0.67$ ), and 0.12 cm/yr per 5-years (95% CI -0.14, 0.38) ( $p=0.28$ ),  
22 respectively.

### 23 *Outcomes of subaneurysmal aorta*

1 Of men who initially had a subaneurysmal aorta (2.6-2.9cm), 58% (95% CI 54 to 61%) were  
2 estimated to reach 3.0cm or greater within 5 years of follow-up, and 0.5% (95% CI 0.2 to  
3 1.1%) were estimated to develop a large AAA (Table 2). Within 15 years of their initial scan,  
4 it was estimated that 28% (95% CI 24 to 32%) of men with a subaneurysmal aorta would  
5 reach 5.5cm in diameter. This compares with over half, 57% (95% CI 54 to 60%) of men  
6 whose initial measurement was 3.0-5.4cm (Figure 4). Restricting follow-up to a maximum of  
7 2.5 years after the last scan had little material effect on the cumulative incidence estimates  
8 of any outcome (results not shown).

9 When only considering men who initially had a subaneurysmal aorta, which progressed to  
10 3.0cm or more by 5 years of follow-up, an estimated 46% (95% CI 40 to 52%) had an AAA  
11  $\geq$ 5.5cm after 15 years from initial scan. In contrast, only 5.7% (95% CI 2.3 to 11.3%) of men  
12 whose aorta remained below the 3.0cm threshold after 5 years of follow-up were estimated  
13 to have developed a large AAA by 15 years (Figure 5). Of those men who initially had a  
14 subaneurysmal aorta and went on to have a large AAA, the vast majority (92%) had an aorta  
15 of 3.0cm or greater within 5 years of their initial scan.

16

## 17 **Discussion**

18 This study examined longitudinal results over 25 years of AAA screening in 65-year-old men  
19 in a defined geographical area. It included full surveillance details in men with an aortic  
20 diameter 2.6-2.9cm (subaneurysmal aorta), which is below the threshold for continued  
21 surveillance in the national programmes in the United Kingdom. In NAAASP, men with aortic  
22 diameter below 3.0cm are reassured and discharged. NAAASP uses the same method of

1 ultrasound measurement of the aorta (inner to inner method) as GASP, and the same  
2 referral threshold for intervention<sup>12</sup>.

3 One of the main findings was the dramatic reduction in mean aortic diameter in screened  
4 men in Gloucestershire: 12% over 25 years from about 2.0cm to 1.7cm. This reduction has  
5 been shown previously to occur across all aortic diameters, not just the large ones<sup>8</sup>.

6 However, there was no evidence that growth rates of these small and medium AAA are  
7 declining over calendar time. It is well known that the prevalence of AAA is reducing in many  
8 countries across the world, in parallel with reductions in cigarette smoking<sup>13-16</sup>. Smoking  
9 abstinence is thought to be the main reason for lower prevalence, but lifestyle factors such  
10 as improved fitness and medical cardiovascular risk protection may also contribute<sup>17</sup>. AAA is  
11 known to have a familial component, so there is likely to be an initial genetic susceptibility<sup>18</sup>.  
12 Environmental factors, particularly smoking, probably accelerate the degenerative  
13 changes<sup>19</sup>. However, this cannot be investigated further in GASP, since data on smoking  
14 habits were not collected. Medications such as statins have not been shown to reduce the  
15 growth rates of small AAA, although there is evidence that they can reduce the risk of  
16 rupture.<sup>20</sup>

17 This study provides novel and valuable longitudinal observations on men with a  
18 subaneurysmal aorta at age 65 years. It has shown that at least half will go on to get an AAA  
19  $\geq 3.0$ cm in diameter after 5 years, and that a smaller proportion will eventually get a large  
20 AAA (around 30% at 15 years), similar to previous reports<sup>21</sup>. The mean age at which these  
21 men in GASP went on to develop a large AAA was 76.4 years. The question remains whether  
22 these men benefit from continued surveillance. Without a very long-term natural history  
23 study, it will never be known whether many of these AAA eventually rupture, or whether

1 most of these men would die from other conditions with an intact AAA. The relatively old  
2 age of men whose aorta is subaneurysmal at 65, but who go on to develop a large AAA  
3 brings into question whether a major aortic procedure could be conducted safely and cost-  
4 effectively in this group<sup>22</sup>. Yet, this study has shown that a substantial number of these men  
5 do get a large AAA, and it has described a potential way to manage them: rescreen at aged  
6 70, then provide continued surveillance only in men whose aortic diameter is then 3.0cm or  
7 over. Organisations that co-ordinate population screening, such as the National Screening  
8 Committee in the UK, will need to give this issue further consideration.

9 One limitation of the data was that before 2009 men were considered for surgical  
10 intervention once their AAA reached 5.0-5.5cm. Some of these men who had surgery were  
11 therefore censored before their AAA was observed to have crossed 5.5cm, resulting in  
12 possible informative censoring. So the true percentage who reach 5.5cm could be higher  
13 than the cumulative incidence estimated in this study.

14 GASP has charted changes in the aorta, one of the body's principal arteries over 25 years.  
15 The degenerative processes that cause aneurysmal dilatation have undergone extraordinary  
16 change in just a generation. It is likely that these effects are mirrored in other arteries in the  
17 body, a suggestion supported by reductions in other degenerative vascular conditions such  
18 as stroke and particularly heart attack over the same interval<sup>23</sup>. Although reduction in  
19 smoking can take much of the credit, some of the changes remain less well explained.

20 AAA screening undoubtedly saves lives; rupture risk was reduced in Gloucestershire as a  
21 result of the introduction of GASP<sup>24</sup>. The findings of this analysis are probably generalisable  
22 across the UK, since the results of NAAASP are fairly consistent within the 41 local screening  
23 programmes, but they will not necessarily be generalizable to all countries. Even with

1 reducing prevalence, AAA screening remains cost effective down to a prevalence rate of  
2 0.35%, one third of the current rate in Gloucestershire<sup>25</sup>. Nevertheless it would be more  
3 cost effective in countries with higher smoking rates, and thus higher AAA prevalence rates.

4

## 5 **Acknowledgements**

6 The study is part of ongoing service evaluation, and has been approved by the  
7 Gloucestershire Hospitals' Ethics Committee (provider), and also the monitoring Committee  
8 of the local of the Gloucestershire and Swindon AAA Screening Programme (commissioner).  
9 The study as not registered before the analysis. Before its adoption into the national  
10 programme, GASP was funded by Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust. The  
11 authors pay tribute to the two instigators of the Gloucestershire Aneurysm Screening  
12 Programme. They also thank all the members of the local screening team over the years,  
13 screeners, administrators and nursing staff, as well as the other vascular surgeons who treat  
14 screen-detected AAA in Gloucestershire. Before its adoption into the national programme,  
15 GASP was funded by Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust. The present research  
16 was facilitated by a grant from the Gloucester Vascular Research Trust Fund. All co-authors  
17 are guarantors for the paper, and none has any known conflicts of interest.

18

## 19 **References**

- 20 1. Jacomelli J, Summers L, Stevenson A, Lees T, Earnshaw JJ. Update of the prevention  
21 of death from ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm. J Med Screening (in press)

- 1        2. Norman P, Jamrozik K, Lawrence-Brown M, Le MTQ, Spencer C, Tuohy R, parsons  
2            RW, Dickinson JA. Population based randomised controlled trial on impact of  
3            screening on mortality from abdominal aortic aneurysm. *BMJ* 2004; 329: 1259.
- 4        3. Lindholt JS, Sorensen J, Sogaard R, Henneberg EW. Long term benefit and cost  
5            effectiveness analysis of screening for abdominal aortic aneurysms from a  
6            randomized trial. *Br J Surg* 2010; 97: 826-834.
- 7        4. Thompson SG, Ashton HA, Gao L, Buxton MJ, Scott RAP on behalf of the Multicentre  
8            Aneurysm Screening study. Final follow-up of the Multicentre Aneurysm Screening  
9            Study randomized trial of abdominal aortic aneurysm screening. *Br J Surg* 2012; 99:  
10           1649-1656.
- 11       5. Takagi H, Goto S-N, Matsui M, Manabe H, Umemoto T. A further meta-analysis of  
12           population-based screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg* 2010; 52:  
13           1103-1108.
- 14       6. Davis M, Harris, M, Earnshaw JJ. Implementation of the NHS Abdominal Aortic  
15           Aneurysm Screening Programme in England. *J Vasc Surg* 2013; 57: 1440-1445.
- 16       7. Wanhainen A, Bjorck M. The Swedish experience of screening for abdominal aortic  
17           aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg* 2011; 53: 1164-1165.
- 18       8. Darwood RJ, Earnshaw JJ, Turton G, Shaw E, Whyman MR, Poskitt KR, Rodd C,  
19           Heather BP. Twenty year review of abdominal aortic aneurysm screening in men in  
20           the county of Gloucestershire, UK. *J Vasc Surg* 2012; 56: 8-13.
- 21       9. Wild J, Stather PW, Biancaru F, Choke EC, Earnshaw JJ, Grant SW, Hafez H,  
22           Holdsworth R, Juvonen T, Lindholt J, McCollum C, Parvin S, Sayers RD, Bown MJ. A  
23           multi-centre observational study on the outcomes of screening detected  
24           subaneurysmal aortic dilatation. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2013; 45: 128-134.
- 25       10. Lucarotti ME, Shaw E, Heather BP. Distribution of aortic diameter in a screened male  
26           population. *Br J Surg* 1992; 79: 641-642.
- 27       11. Thompson SG, Ashton HA, Gao L, Scott RA, MASS Study Group. Screening men for  
28           abdominal aortic aneurysm: 10 year mortality and cost effectiveness results from the  
29           randomised Multicentre Aneurysm Screening Study. *BMJ* 2009; 338: b2307.

- 1 12. Hartshorne TC, McCollum CNC, Earnshaw JJ, Morris J, Nasim A. Ultrasound  
2 measurement of aortic diameter in a national screening programme. *Eur J Vasc*  
3 *Endovasc Surg* 2011; 42: 195-9.
- 4 13. Sandiford P, Mosquera D, Bramley D. Trends in incidence and mortality from  
5 abdominal aortic aneurysm in New Zealand. *Br J Surg* 2011; **98**: 645–651.
- 6 14. Svensjo S, Bjorck M, Gurtelschmid M, Diavani Gidlund K, Helberg A, Wanhainen A.  
7 Low prevalence of abdominal aortic aneurysm among 65-year-old Swedish men  
8 indicates a change in the epidemiology of the disease. *Circulation* 2011; 124: 1118 –  
9 1123.
- 10 15. Norman PE, Spilsbury K, Semmens JB. Falling rates of hospitalization and mortality  
11 from abdominal aortic aneurysm in Australia. *J Vasc Surg* 2011; 53: 274-7.
- 12 16. Anjum A, von Allmen R, Greenhalgh R, Powell JT. Explaining the decrease in mortality  
13 from abdominal aortic aneurysm rupture. *Br J Surg* 2012; 99: 637-645.
- 14 17. Thompson A, Cooper JA, Fabricius M, Humphries SE, Ashton HA, Hafez H. An analysis  
15 of drug modulation of abdominal aortic aneurysm growth through 25 years of  
16 surveillance. *J Vasc Surg* 2010; 52: 55-61.
- 17 18. Linne A, Lindstrom D, Hultgren R. High prevalence of abdominal aortic aneurysms in  
18 brothers and sisters of patients despite a low prevalence in the population. *J Vasc*  
19 *Surg* 2012; 56: 305-310.
- 20 19. Sweeting MJ, Thompson SG, Brown LC, Powell JT. Meta-analysis of individual patient  
21 data to examine factors affecting growth and rupture of small abdominal aortic  
22 aneurysms. *Br J Surg* 2012; 99: 655-665.
- 23 20. Wemmelund H, Hogh A, Hundborg HH, Thomsen RW, Johnsen SP, Lindholt JS. Statin  
24 use and rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Br J Surg* 2014; 101: 966-75.
- 25 21. Hafez H, Druce PS, Ashton HA. Abdominal aortic aneurysm development in men  
26 following a ‘normal’ aortic ultrasound scan. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2008; 36: 553-  
27 558.
- 28 22. Hinchliffe RJ, Earnshaw JJ. Vascular interventions in the elderly. *Br J Surg* 2016; 103:  
29 e16-18.

1 23. O’Flaherty M, Huffman MD, Capewell S. Declining trends in acute myocardial  
2 infarction attack and mortality rates: celebrating progress and ensuring future  
3 success. Heart 2015; 101: 1353-4.

4 24. Crow P, Shaw E, Earnshaw J, Poskitt K, Whyman M, Heather B. A single normal  
5 ultrasonographic scan at age 65 rules out significant aneurysm disease for life in  
6 men. Br J Surg 2001; 88:941-944.

7 25. Glover MJ, Kim LG, Sweeting MJ, Thompson SG, Buxton MJ. Cost-effectiveness of the  
8 National Health Service abdominal aortic aneurysm screening programme In  
9 England. Br J Surg 2014; 101: 976-982.

10

11 Figure Legends:

12 Figure 1. Mean aortic diameter (cm) with 95% confidence intervals at initial screening in the  
13 full GASP cohort by year of screening.

14 Figure 2: Average quadratic growth rates of AAA over time by initial diameter.

15 Figure 3. Average aortic growth rate (cm/year) over time, with 95% confidence intervals,  
16 stratified by calendar year of initial scan and duration since initial scan, for men with an initial  
17 diameter of 3.0-5.4cm

18 Figure 4: Cumulative incidence of progressing to an AAA of 5.5cm or more

19 Figure 5: Cumulative incidence of progressing to an AAA of 5.5cm or more for men who had  
20 initial diameter of 2.6-2.9cm by aortic diameter after 5 years of follow-up

21

22

23