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Summary

This report provides an overview of activities carried out during Michaelmas Term, Autumn 2009, as part of a Cambridge University Library Arcadia Project Fellowship on "Rapid Innovation in the Library".

The approach taken during the project was to look for opportunities for "quick wins" in the current library setting that could be used to illustrate potential (and tangible) benefits from engaging with current and emerging technologies and changing trends in user behaviour. Where possible, the work built on work undertaken as part of the previous Arcadia projects. Regular blog postings were used to document project activities on the Arcadia Project Blog and the Arcadia Mashups Blog.

The report is structured as follows: several thematic areas that emerged during the course of the project are identified (Information Skills and the "Google Generation", The Cambridge Library System, Library Catalogue Innovations and Custom Search, The Library's Role in Teaching and Learning, Mobile Apps, Rapid Prototyping Skills and Techniques), and summaries of work carried out in those areas provided, along with links to the corresponding project blog posts. Where appropriate, I provide a list of personal recommendations about future "quick win" opportunities within each of these areas.

Disclaimer:

Whilst the author is sensitive to the different user types attracted to Cambridge Library service users, (local undergraduates, local researchers, local academics (whether for teaching or research), visiting scholars, visitors to specialist collections), as well as the Legal Deposit role of the University Library and the various relationships the libraries have with vendors of subscription content, the various user types are generally not referred to explicitly in what follow. However, in certain areas, it may be the case that certain observations or recommendations do not apply to all user types, or even to more than one user type.
## Personal Recommendations

### Information Skills and the “Google Generation”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Recommendations</th>
<th>Specific Actions</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Costs/Risks</th>
<th>Accepted (Y/N)</th>
<th>Action ?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assume that discovery happens elsewhere, and focus on fulfillment</td>
<td>Library proxy support for Camtools, Library widget, and third party tools such as Zotero, Google Scholar</td>
<td>Increased use of library resources from locations that users inhabit</td>
<td>Less control over users’ search experience; difficulties in providing support on third party systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop strategies for promoting effective search skills using the dominant web search engine(s)</td>
<td>Google &quot;tip of the day&quot; on Library public information screens; tip sheets and off-the-cuff “did you know?” observations in all training sessions</td>
<td>More effective search behaviour in dominant search tool</td>
<td>Increased reliance on using Google products through more powerful use of them</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop skills amongst the Librarian community for creating custom current awareness and alerting tools</td>
<td>Brainstorming sessions around how to use alerting tools; provision of current awareness dashboards/webtops such as Science@Cambridge</td>
<td>Evangelism of current awareness tools through their effective use</td>
<td>Increased reliance on information being pushed to a user rather than them searching for it</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Cambridge Library System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Recommendations</th>
<th>Specific Actions</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Costs/Risks</th>
<th>Accepted (Y/N)</th>
<th>Action ?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Streamline item types/lending categories across Cambridge University Libraries</td>
<td>Circulate the list of different loan types in all its glory and run a variant of a Delphi questionnaire to see if you can get libraries to rationalise them</td>
<td>Simpler maintenance across Voyager systems; more consitence user experience across libraries</td>
<td>Changes to current way of doing things may cause short term upset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use loan statistics to identify optimal loan periods, and explore the extent to which lending behaviour is predicted by reading lists</td>
<td>For undergraduates on a course with weekly reading lists, look at modal return periods for list related book loans for a selection of courses.</td>
<td>Maximise availability of borrowable books and encourage regular library use according to a schedule sympathetic to course schedules</td>
<td>Segmenting data may prove problematic unless CRSID and course affiliations are known</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organise a 'one library to the left' day in which Library staff work in another Cambridge library</td>
<td>Create an opportunity to spot and share best practice across libraries</td>
<td>Innovation from the inside</td>
<td>Total chaos and low morale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve signage in the UL</td>
<td>Identify typical journeys and only use signage for navigation; improve signage and repeat</td>
<td>Less confused patrons</td>
<td>Less interaction with staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review library regulations regarding the use of cameras in the library,</td>
<td>Review current camera based mobile phone applications relevant to education and library use</td>
<td>Maximise potential for user innovation wrt use of mobile phone applications that make use of mobile phone cameras</td>
<td>Illegal copies of rare works or images; photos of librarians in their natural habitat appearing on the web;-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Recommendations</td>
<td>Specific Actions</td>
<td>Benefits</td>
<td>Costs/Risks</td>
<td>Accepted (Y/N)</td>
<td>Action ?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide support for “bookable in advance” delivery slots for stack requests, and review opportunities for a 'local delivery and collection' service from College and Departmental libraries;</td>
<td>Document current stack request IT workflow from request to notification to the user and look for a place to delay the request according to user defined schedule; pilot local returns of UL books in a sample of College and Department libraries</td>
<td>Reduced late fees; more timely return of books. Increased use of college and departmental libraries</td>
<td>Reduced late fees (!) - unless a small charge (less than late fee) is made for a local return. Reduced engagement with UL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further develop the JSON API to the Voyager catalogue(s)</td>
<td>Carry on development</td>
<td>Facilitate the development of new user interfaces, including widget based and mobile interfaces</td>
<td>Developer time required for development, maintenance and support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open up access to the hidden catalogue</td>
<td>Just do it</td>
<td>i) Provide librarians with inspection copy opportunities; ii) Provide academics and researchers with a 'new titles' alert service and inspection copy service; iii) Support prioritisation of getting works onto the stacks via public catalogue requests.</td>
<td>Depositors of copyrighted works might take umbrage about widespread use of deposited books, especially by third parties outside the UL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore the use of Google Books</td>
<td>Explore extent to which library holdings of particular categories of books are covered by Google books and for which full text search might be useful</td>
<td>Full text search to parts of particular collections (e.g. College and Departmental libraries)</td>
<td>Kiddies using Google and not paying proper due respect to print books.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore the use of Google custom search engines</td>
<td>Trial a variant of the SCONUL infoskills CSE on the UL website</td>
<td>Targeted resource discovery services in particular contexts, such as course related search engines delivered via CamTools</td>
<td>Patrons unsatisfied with being presented with resources not from University of Cambridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore opportunities for improving note-taking 'accessibility'</td>
<td>Shortcode formulations of unique identifiers on the library catalogue</td>
<td>Makes taking down written web location details easier</td>
<td>Completely irrelevant - users never write down these URIs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore opportunities for supporting visual search</td>
<td>Annotate library catalogue pages with QR codes; modify a pre-existing barcode reading software library for Android mobile devices to work with UL barcodes</td>
<td>Maximise potential use of tools in people's pockets</td>
<td>Disenfranchise users without access to smartphones; wild goose chase - no-one's interested in using apps in the Library context</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## The Library’s Role in Teaching and Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Recommendations</th>
<th>Specific Actions</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Costs/Risks</th>
<th>Accepted (Y/N)</th>
<th>Action ?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Course aggregator</td>
<td>Produce a sample resource aggregator around a small number of courses; hand craft it if necessary</td>
<td>One-stop resource banks might show increased uptake and provide opportunities for cross promotion of resources (e.g. ebooks, online journal articles)</td>
<td>Resistance and bad will from old school academics who prefer Reading Lists to be distributed on parchment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JISCPress</td>
<td>Republish several exam papers and Reading Lists for a small number of courses and produces Science@Cambridge like demonstrators showing how content can be repurposed</td>
<td>Disaggregated content can be reaggregated and republished in new and useful ways</td>
<td>Disaggregated content could go all over the place; too hard for mortals to get their head round.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop the Keeping Up With Events app</td>
<td>Work with Caret et al to improve API/RSS support from events publishing platforms; improve look and feel of demonstrator app; create device native (offline capable) applications</td>
<td>Provide a cross platform &quot;What's on in Cambridge&quot; mobile application for University promoted public lectures and cultural events</td>
<td>Not UL core business (possible conflict with OEAC, who own most of &quot;what's on&quot; &amp; branding); waste of developer time and effort; unwarranted support requests from mobile users looking for an event they can't find</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Mobile Apps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Recommendations</th>
<th>Specific Actions</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Costs/Risks</th>
<th>Accepted (Y/N)</th>
<th>Action ?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geocode all Cambridge University locations</td>
<td>Location service API</td>
<td>Augment Talks@cam with accurate location information. Feed into resource management processes and timetabling, [indirectly] increase knowledge of space usage. Potential for powerful room booking and scheduling usage</td>
<td>Availability and quality of service must be guaranteed if it becomes a core service. Potential conflict with buildings management organisations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a &quot;Libraries in Cambridge&quot; mobile application from loosely couple components</td>
<td>Libraries in Cambridge location finder/opening times information; personal library access/record; &quot;find in this library&quot; catalogue; visual search integration;</td>
<td>Provide 'in your pocket' library services to users inside and outside the library</td>
<td>Reduced use of public access terminals/catalogues, and the &quot;main&quot; UL websites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rapid Prototyping Skills and Techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Recommendations</th>
<th>Specific Actions</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
<th>Costs/Risks</th>
<th>Accepted (Y/N)</th>
<th>Action ?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continue to make API services available to Library services</td>
<td>Layer new user interface functionality on top of an API using readable data (XML or JSON-P)</td>
<td>Eating your own dog food proves the APIs and guarantees service quality for third party developers</td>
<td>Third parties may hit your API and impact on its performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop capacity in lightweight, user-led rapid application prototyping</td>
<td>Run informal drop-in show'n'ask sessions with technology evangelists</td>
<td>User driven innovation and prototyping; hands-on IT development</td>
<td>Unsolicited support requests; applications outside the control of central IT provision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage librarian and developer participation in community events such as Mashed Libraries and Dev8D</td>
<td>Sign at least 1 developer up for next Dev8D session and 1 librarian and 1 developer for the next Mashed Libraries event</td>
<td>Community building outside the Cambridge context; personal skills development</td>
<td>Lost days in the office. May be more beneficial to get people to non-sector tech skills events to broaden mindset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major Themes

Information skills, and the "Google generation"

Over the course of the project, several reports were released relating to the behaviour of current students and young researchers in the library context. In particular, library users are as likely, if not more likely, to use Google search products for discovery than they are to use Library provided resources. Where the Library can help is in fulfillment - providing books and online, subscription based materials to students.

Reading Lists and lecturers/tutors appear to be key elements in the provision of information about resources to undergraduates. Reading Lists appear to be provided to support Parts, examination papers, individual lectures or lecture courses, and even specific tutorials. The use of Reading Lists to support collection development appears to be ad hoc, in part because there is currently no systematic way of discovering Reading Lists (this issue was raised in a previous Arcadia Report by Huw Jones). An opportunity exists to make headway on the provision of Library supported Reading List discovery in the immediate future, for example by presenting registered students with copies of their reading lists directly when they log in to CamTools or the Library Widget based on reconciling their CRSID with information about their registered courses provided from MISD; (see also "The Library's Role in Teaching and Learning").

Whilst surveys outside Cambridge suggest that students are starting to draw on resources outside formally recommended academic texts, now might be an opportunity to open up a conversation about the wider notion of Resource Lists, cf. Reading Lists, that in addition to traditionally referenced content (book chapters, journal papers) might also include references to:

- online videos and lectures,
- archival video and audio material,
- Open Educational Resources (OERs) from other HE institutions,

- informal "blog content".

If discovery of this sort of material is currently being driven by students, rather than academics/supervisors, is there a gap in terms of awareness, and discoverability, of these resources within the teaching community that could be addressed by a Library led initiative? That is, is it the role of the Library to make academics as well as students aware of recently made available teaching resources, particularly those from outside the traditional channels, that may be used to support or enhance particular courses?

The academics, rather than the Library, may also play the pivotal role in developing students' information skills. In many cases, it seems as though students prefer asking academics, rather than Librarians, for support in resource discovery. This suggests that rather than trying to train student end-users, leverage may be more effectively applied by training the academics/instructors. However, I would imagine that this is likely to meet with a certain amount of resistance, unless the academics can be sold on the benefits of using a particular tool; trained in its use; and then encouraged to evangelise its use. So for example, let us consider the case of an academic who relies on a reading list that contains references into the recent journal literature for a particular course. If the subject area is an actively researched one, such as biochemistry, keeping the Reading List current may be a significant overhead. The use of alerting services from subscription databases, or contents list subscriptions from JISC sponsored services such as TicTocs, provides one way of maintaining current awareness. But what if the Library could play a role in helping provide preconfigured current awareness services, tuned to a particular course?

The Mashlib Pipes Tutorial: Reading List Inspired Journal Watchlists, which was prepared for and delivered at the MiddleMash Mashed Library event, describes one possible use case. The tutorial describes how titles of journals identified in a reading list compiled and shared by an academic using Mendeley can be extracted from the reading list and used to automatically create a watchlist over current contents lists for those journals, and then filtered according to a particular topic. That is, by sharing the reading list in an appropriate form, the added value of a topic related current articles watchlist is generated for free. Create Your Own Google Custom News Sections was a zero day response to new feature offered by Google news that allows the creation of custom news alerts; as consumer facing information tools get ever more powerful, we need to start asking whether the information skills we pass on to our users are relevant, or even fit for purpose.

In contrast to the traditional view of information skills - discovery, maintaining current awareness, evaluating the quality of resources - and based on my own practice, I proposed a model of information needs based on four criteria:

- discovery;

- management;

- manipulation;

- presentation.

(Note that I am including fulfilment as an element of discovery.)
In the same way that libraries might expect to enculturate scholars with an understanding of the architecture of the Library in terms of its physical layout and catalogue structure, there is arguably a need to help web users "read" the architecture of a set of web resources. At a practical level, educating users in how to read and manipulate URIs (that is, "web addresses" or "web locations") can help them navigate web sites through editing URIs directly, in addition to using website search tools or browsing via web page based navigation menus and links. Developing skills in the use of the dominant web search engine will, in the short term, improve search behaviour at the basic level (and in a directly transferable way to 'real world' activities) and set the scene for more advanced searches in subscriptions search environments.

**Recommendations:**

- assume that discovery happens elsewhere, and focus on fulfillment. For example, support easy to use mechanisms in local services such as CamTools as well as public services such as Google Scholar that allow users to resolve references to subscription content via authenticating proxies that make use of CRSID credentials. For example, the Library bookmarklet I use most heavily at the OU is one that rewrites subscription content URIs so that they go via the OU subscription proxy (OU libezproxy Bookmarklet). Encourage the use of tools like Zotero that can augment pages with links to resources through the Cambridge proxy along with awareness of services like Google Scholar's Library Links programme3 (see Adding ezproxy to the url - 5 different methods for other alternatives).

- develop strategies for promoting effective search skills using the dominant web search engine(s). For example, "did you know" crib cards or prompts on Google search limits such as site:ac.uk (search over UK academic institutions), -searchterm to exclude results containing a particular searchterm, or filetype:pdf (return results that point to PDF documents, such as reports (or xls for spreadsheets, etc.).

- develop skills amongst the Librarian community for creating custom current awareness and alerting tools based on online subscription or alerting services, using aggregation and filtering services where appropriate, and promote these tools to lecturers and supervisors in the hope that they might in turn make their students aware of them.

**The Cambridge Library System**

The Cambridge Library system is a complex one, covering the University Library and its dependents, as well as the independent College and department libraries. Whilst initiatives such as INSPIRE provide scheme for opening up access to academic libraries for undergraduates of any institution, the impression I get from the Cambridge context is users are assumed to use just their own College and Department libraries, and potentially the UL in later years of study; moreover, Part I (first year undergraduate) students appear to rely on College Libraries for the delivery of much of their Reading List content (although I haven't seen the stats to either confirm or deny this claim...)

Libraries provide range of services to library users, including:
- timely availability of resources, either through online access or via physical library holdings;
- specialist knowledge, advice, training and current awareness services;
- the physical library itself.

The provision of a physical library environment is an important one in terms of attracting users. If you're a fan of Vygotsky, or a believer in how the built environment can influence human emotions, you might agree that the Library provides a situated environment for a particular activity, and as such may influence a psychological effect over the user as they enter, work within, or leave the physical Library space. At the very least, libraries typically provide shared personal study spaces, access to computers and/or printing facilities, photocopying and/or scanning services, personal
computer internet access via Wifi hotspots, refreshment areas (coffee, or chocolate;-) With the increasing availability of personal laptop computers, providing computer access is no longer a unique selling point of the library; the rise in public Wifi hotspots and the availability of eduroam and Lapwing Wifi services in social areas means that the University Centre and Starbucks can now compete as a study area. Providing public access printers that can be accessed from personal computers may be one way of providing a service that is hard to replicate outside the Library and University computer rooms.

The heterogenous nature of the Cambridge Library system and the independence of College and Department libraries also complicates relationships with vendors. Identifying the commercial (subscription) relationships between each of the Cambridge libraries and different vendors, or different subscription packages using a simple relationship list would allow a quick birds-eye view over contracts currently in place using a graph based visualisation.

An example of a graph based visualisation. Such a technique might be used to provide bird's eye view over the different subscription relationships in place across Cambridge University libraries showing which libraries subscribe to similar - or differing - packages from different vendors.

In certain respects, libraries act as resellers of vendors' subscription content. The library demonstrates return on its investment in subscription content by demonstrating that local users have made use of that content. Usage statistics provide one means by which libraries can keep track of which "stock" is generating a return in terms of accesses of that content by users, or in the case of research users, maybe even by citations. For example, are certain journals heavily cited by Cambridge researchers? How about journals that are accessed by resarchers but never cited, or journals that are never accessed at all? If journals are cited but not accessed, how are the researchers getting hold of the original papers in order to know they want to cite them? And so on... With a debate ongoing about the role of open access journals, particularly with respect to impact factor, now might be a good time to focus on what ROI metrics apply to subscription content.

The distributed nature of the Cambridge Library system means that if treated as a unified service (e.g. through the provision of reciprocal rights) a more convenient service might be provided, albeit at the risk of weakening a student's ties with their home College or Department (see for example, Universal Borrowing Across Cambridge University Libraries?). Less contentious might be a mechanism that supports a universal returns facility, whereby books can be returned to any library, (or for a pilot, just UL books returned to any Library). Encouraging shared practice and innovation across libraries might also encourage fiefdoms to consider universal services.

As well as political obstacles to providing a universal borrowing service, there also appear to be technical hurdles arising from the use of multiple Voyager catalogues on several different servers, each with potentially unique loan policies and independent user records. Reconciling loan periods would simplify support across Voyager instances, and improve user experience for users of multiple libraries (e.g. for an example of the range of loan types currently offered, see (R)esource "Item Types" and Loan Periods in Cambridge University Libraries*).
A "Short loan" is for how many days?

If a decision is made to reconcile loan periods, it may be worth paying attention to borrowing statistics in order to see whether loans segment into natural categories. For example, with loans to undergraduates working to a weekly reading list, one might expect a 10-14 day loan period to accommodate the needs of the majority of this class of borrower. By identifying natural segments, loan periods that meet the needs of the user, as well as encouraging timely returns and so maximising the availability of borrowable items.

### Using the UL

As a newcomer to the University Library, it took some time to get my bearings, a task not helped by poor signage. For visitors to events held within the Library, who may not be familiar with the Library, "just ask" is often the only way of finding a particular location. In one case, (related in *Lost*), from a starting point with the UL, I missed a meeting in the Morison Room because I was unable to find it!

Whilst trying to photograph UL signage for inclusion in this report, I was taken to charge for using a camera (that is, my *phone*) within the Library. For users of current generation smartphones, an increasing number of camera related applications are now available. From barcode scanners that capture book details and call up bibliographic information or full text search tools using Google Books, to "personal photocopying" and optical character recognition (personal text scanning), maintaining a policy that bars the use of cameras within the UL is likely to act as a brake on patron delivered library innovation (*No Cameras in the Library...*). Note also that the act of copying is not universally ruled against within the UL - a self-service scanning/photocopier service is already provided, albeit for a fee.

The provision of the photocopier service might also be reconsidered in the light of the increasing availability of digital content. For example, if a patron scanned the barcode of an item before copying it, an advisory system might be able to direct the user to a digital version of the resource (this would also help track those items that were being copied).

Towards the end of the fellowship period, I started a project within a project looking at the lifecycle of a legally submitted works from the moment they are received by the UL. Unfortunately, the Fellowship ended before I could complete this task, (in fact, I only managed to complete the first part of a work's journey - its experience in the Legal Deposit Office). I would suggest that capturing the lifecycle of a book would provide a sound basis for an Arcadia Fellowship looking at how books are managed from ingest to their appearance on the stacks, in the public catalogue or on the shelves of other libraries. For in the same way that the National Grid reaches out to every electrical or electronic device that is plugged into the mains, so too do legally deposited works reach out to other University libraries, specialist collections and managed series.

**Recommendations:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>circ_cluster_name</th>
<th>patron_group</th>
<th>item_type</th>
<th>loan_period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earth Sciences Library</td>
<td>EAS-UnderGrad</td>
<td>Short loan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian &amp; Middle Eastern Studies Library</td>
<td>ORS-Undergrad</td>
<td>Short loan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classics Library</td>
<td>CLA-Undergraduates</td>
<td>Short loan</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Faculty Library</td>
<td>ENL-Undergraduates</td>
<td>Short loan</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge Business School Library</td>
<td>JIM-Non</td>
<td>Short loan</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPSIS Library</td>
<td>SPS-UGradO</td>
<td>Short loan</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Laboratory Library</td>
<td>CCOMP-Undergraduate</td>
<td>Short loan</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Lane Library</td>
<td>LAN-Undergraduate</td>
<td>Short loan</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- streamline item types/lending categories across Cambridge University Libraries;
- use loan statistics to identify optimal loan periods, and explore the extent to which lending
  behaviour is predicted by reading lists;
- organise a 'one library to the left' day in which Library staff work in another Cambridge library;
- improve signage in the UL: identify typical journeys and only use signage for navigation;
- review library regulations regarding the use of cameras in the library, particularly with respect to
  potential for use of mobile phone applications that make use of mobile phone cameras.

**Library Catalogue Innovations and Custom Search**

Currently, Library OPACs are most powerful when being used for a known item search; (note that I
am not considering other Library catalogues/indicies here, such as subscription databases; just the
catalogue that documents local physical holdings). That is, they provide a means of determing the
availability and physical location of a work specified in a reference obtained by other means, a self-
service step in the fulfilment phase of discovery. Current trends suggest that the OPAC could on the
one hand be leveraged to play a fuller role in the discovery of unknown items, perhaps related to a
searched for item, or recommended directly via a student’s reading list, and on the other used in a
more direct way to support fulfilment, such as via a mobile device that can be taken into the stacks
directly.

The following issues were explored during the course of the project:

**Stack Request Delivery Slots**: the Cambridge University Library has many of its borrowable books
on closed stacks, which means you have to request books that are then fetched by a team of
runners for the requesting patron. To what extent might it be possible to allow bookable collection
slots so that a patron can request an item for collection at a future time and date? A simple delayed
queuing system could hold the request until it was timely, or release it to a fetcher when they were
in a nearby stack stack on another request. The use of SMS, or social messaging (Twitter) alerts
when a request has been fulfilled might be more useful to patrons than an email notification. An
extension of this approach might be to offer local collection of requested works, whereby requested
books are delivered to college or departmental library collection points at 1-2 days notice. (This in
turns suggests a reciprocal returns mechanism, where UL books can be returned to college or
department libraries: an intra-Library Loans (iLL) scheme, maybe?!)

**Visual Links – Sharing Links With QR Codes**: an earlier Arcadia project reported an anecdotal
comment relating to patrons photographing OPAC screens. What might a library catalogue look like
if a QR code (2 dimensional barcode) was added to it to allow a patron to grab a machine
decodable reference to a work directly from the catalogue screen? A demonstration of how OPAC
pages might be annotated with QR codes was constructed, along with a Cambridge Library short
link service that could provide the first step in making it easier to scribble down a book reference
rather than have to write a long reference or URI down.
Open Library Training Materials and Custom Search Engines: the UL already makes use of a Google Custom Search Engine to search over several Library related websites (e.g. Custom Search Engines On Library Websites). This approach was extended in the form of a custom search engine capable of searching over all UK HEI Library websites. This search engine can be used to provide results from trusted sources for information skills/Library training resources in order to enhance the UL’s own offerings in this area.

Unlike Google and Amazon, Library catalogues often make do without ranked search results. If the Library aims to provide a more user-focussed, personalised service, to what extent might course information, or aggregated loans data be used as ranking factors when presenting OPAC search results. OPAC Ground Truth... simply poses a question about the use of ranking algorithms in library catalogues; JISC MOSAIC Competition Entries – Imaginings Around the Use of Library Loans Data provides a brief review of the JISC MOSAIC (Library loans data) competition entries which demonstrates what sort of data might soon be made available at a sector-wide level.

With the increasing availability of networked mobile devices with web browser capabilities, as well as personal computers and home internet connections, to what extent can we view a borrowed book as an (almost) tethered device? That is, if we imagine that a book is actually connected to the network as a device with a unique identifier, what might we be able to do with it? BookServer – Like URIPlay, but for Books...? and LibraryDNS, cf. RadioDNS: Books that Can Phone Home review several ideas from the worlds of online video (content negotiation) and digital radio (linking radio play back to the web) and start to apply them to books.

Recommendations:
- provide support for "bookable in advance" delivery slots for stack requests, and review opportunities for a 'local delivery and collection' service from College and Departmental libraries;
- further develop the JSON API to the Voyager catalogue(s) in order to facilitate the development of new user interfaces, including widget based and mobile interfaces;
- open up access to the hidden catalogue: i) to other librarians, for providing them with inspection copy opportunities; ii) to academics and researchers, in the form of a "new titles" alert service and inspection copy service; iii) via the public catalogue*, to support prioritisation of getting works onto the stacks. (*Users need not necessarily be notified about the availability of the work - the system might just flag internally that the work has been searched for and would have been found *if* it had been fully catalogued.)
- explore the use of Google Books for providing full text search to parts of particular collections (e.g. College and Departmental libraries);
- explore the use of Google custom search engines to provide targeted resource discovery services in particular contexts, such as course related search engines delivered via CamTools;
- explore opportunities for improving note-taking 'accessibility', e.g. through shortcode formulations of unique identifiers on the library catalogue;
- explore opportunities for supporting visual search, eg through the use of QR codes and barcodes;
- in the UL, modify a pre-existing barcode reading software library for Android mobile devices to work with UL barcodes in order to facilitate experimentation with mobile phone+camera applications in the University Library.

The Library's Role in Teaching and Learning

The 2007-2008 Cambridge Library Annual report recommended:

"that the University Library’s role should be expanded and that it should become responsible for the provision and dissemination of electronic materials for teaching and learning across the University."

A couple of examples from The Open University provide a glimpse of how OU course codes (which broadly correspond to Cambridge exam paper codes) can be used to aggregate resources as well as individuals around a particular topic.

In the first case, a live proof of concept demonstrator shows how different resource types including ebooks, ejournals and relevant databases can be aggregated around a course code:

An example of a course related resources page on the Open University Library website.

The second example is a widely added Facebook application, Course Profiles, that allows users to
self-declare OU courses (by course code) that they have taken, are taking or intend to take. (In the Cambridge context such information could be self-declared or, with permission, obtained automatically from MISD/CAMSIS based on a CRSID cross-authenticated with a Facebook user ID.)

For each course, an activity panel is automatically generated that uses the course code to generate links to course code keyed URIs on the Open University website that specify the location of web pages containing course related information and resources. The application also identifies a user’s Facebook friends who have declared the same course code, and can introduce the user to Facebook users they have not friended but who have declared the same course code. A comments wall allows discussion to take place on the topics covered by that particular course.

Course related resources associated with a particular course code for a course identified in the Course Profiles Facebook application.
As the Open University applications suggest, the task of drawing together local resources around a course is simplified if there is a widely used coding convention for referring to courses. In the Cambridge University context, this is non-trivial, with lecture course names and Examination paper conventions providing only informal identifiers within much of the content that is currently available online; (this issue is covered in more detail in Looking Out for "Linked Course Data and Camsis Codes...", which describe a brief survey of the cam.ac.uk domain in search of unique identifiers that can be used as hooks for the automatic aggregation of course resources). The Library’s Role in Organising "Course Knowledge" further reviewed how the Library might be the natural place for all manner of content recommendation services to learners. This relates to the earlier observation that now might be a good time to start considering how the Library can support the discovery and appropriate use of a wider class of third party materials outside the traditional textbook, or journal, such as open educational resources and multimedia materials.

One technique I have been exploring in a variety of contexts is how a custom plugin for the Wordpress publishing platform can be used to disaggregate content into bite size chunks, each with its own URI and support for embedding each chunk in a third party website. In Using JISCPress/Digress.it for Reading List Publication, I show how a reading list can be published as a simple blog post, with one reference per paragraph, and then automatically disaggregated into separate references. The demonstration website also shows how exam papers published as blog posts with one paragraph per question may be disaggregated into uniquely identified questions. Once disaggregated, it is then possible to re-publish or reaggregate elements. So for example, it would be straightforward to generate a random question server using questions from particular sections of past papers, or provide support for a commenting system based around particular past paper questions (see for example Examopedia for a related idea).

Recommendation:

- develop a rapid proof-of-concept demonstrator ideally based around CAMSIS codes or any other pre-existing, consistent and ideally universal coding scheme (even if this is just the name of lecture courses) that aggregates content relating to a particular degree area. The demonstration need not generate a complete or comprehensive list of resources, though it should include different resource types - past examination papers, reading lists, electronic resources referenced on reading lists, timetable information (times of lectures, locations). The intention should be to explore the requirements of a minimal working solution, based around a simple API with user interfaces built on top of the API, and identify any attendant problems in locating and linking to information, and/or structuring and republishing information.

- explore the use of the CommentPress theme for Wordpress as used on JISCPress.org and WriteToReply.org as a tool for the automatic disaggregation and republication of reading list and exam question content. [Disclaimer: I have been involved with both those projects and am a director of Public Platforms Limited, a not-for-profit company limited by guarantee that maintains WriteToReply.org]

Mobile Apps

Mobile phone applications generally come in one of three flavours: web based applications that run across multiple platforms in the phone browser and whose performance is limited by the capabilities of the browser and typically requires a live internet connection; native applications that are downloaded to a phone and that can draw on device level services provided by the phone, as well as the possibility of operating without the need for a live internet connection; and hybrid applications in which a native application may also pull in content or from, or call on services provided by, a webserver.
Even in the short period of time since a previous Arcadia project explored the role of mobile applications in the library context, the pace of development in native mobile phone applications for latest generation phones (Android, iPhone) as well as "application like" web applications that run in the browser of many internet enabled phones is providing a wealth of pointers towards the sorts of application that might prove useful. As well as browser support, the majority of today's mobile phones come complete with cameras, sometimes with wi-fi, typically with Bluetooth, and are increasingly location aware, either through built in GPS sensors, wifi location or cell tower triangulation; it is increasingly possible to build even web based/browser rendered applications that draw on these services. (The same is true of modern browsers that run on laptop or desktop computers.)

In Keeping Up With Events, I described a proof of concept browser based mobile application that I used quite heavily during the time of my fellowship for keeping track of interesting evening lectures to go to. The application consumed feeds of events for the current day pulled in from a variety of Cambridge University services. Clicking on an event listing would show details of the event (where available via the feed) or provide a link to an event page for the event on the originating site. 

In Doodling Ideas for a Mobile Library App, I described a simple application, again as a minimally working prototype, for locating Cambridge University libraries and displaying library information such as opening times for libraries that a user was registered with, the registration information being obtained via an API call developed for the Cambridge Library Widget.

*Screenshots of a working prototype for a "Today's Events in Cambridge" mobile application.*

Whilst the application is not production stable (there were some hacks required in order to get the event feeds), it does work, and provides a powerful demonstration of why events feeds should be made available in a portable format.

In Doodling Ideas for a Mobile Library App, I described a simple application, again as a minimally working prototype, for locating Cambridge University libraries and displaying library information such as opening times for libraries that a user was registered with, the registration information being obtained via an API call developed for the Cambridge Library Widget.
Working demonstrator of a "find my libraries" app.

(Note that it would be easy enough to provide a generic application that simply serves as a locator of library buildings, along with library information, for users not registered with any library. If location information was provided for venues of events listed in the "Today's Events" application described above, it would be relatively trivial to add map based locator to that application.)

Although not strictly a mobile application, in Custom Search Engines On Library Websites, I also demonstrated how a Google Custom Search Engine could be formatted in a simple manner that is not inappropriate for display on a mobile device.
Two other posts explored additional opportunities for mobile applications. In the post A Trip into the Stacks..., I described a use case for a simple, mobile library catalogue application that would help a user locate books while in the stacks, rather than having to scribble down shelf numbers from a search on one of the public access OPAC terminals. No Cameras in the Library... focusses on another mobile use case, the potential of camera enabled mobile phones in a library context, an issue I touched on in part with respect to the use of QR-code annotations to the Library Catalogue as described elsewhere.

Several years ago, scanner pens looked as if they may become a tool of choice for scholars, providing as they did the possibility of "highlighting" a block of text and grabbing a digital copy of it, rather than marking it with a transparent fluorescent layer. (Camera phones with support for scanning documents can provide a similar capability.) For whatever reason these devices remained a niche product. At the current time, tools such as Livescribe, which capture a digital copy of handwritten notes as you write them, along with an audio recording if required, offer much in the way of promise, although as with the scanner pens, it looks as though they will not break out into the mainstream commodity market. But what if they did? Apps with everything, or application ecosystems around consumer electronic devices, are helping to drive sales of top-end mobile phones. So what a Livescribe application offer? And could it be translated to the world of mobile phones? In What If? Livescribe Book Support, I consider a couple of opportunities that might arise if Livescribe had a plugin architecture and open developer API (which has since been made available). For example, how might we write down a reference to an ISBN, so that we could then click on it and what might this mean in terms of how a user might engage with a particular work?

Recommendations:

- the UL should work with CARET to develop the Keeping Up With Events app and publish a cross platform "What's on in Cambridge" mobile application for University promoted public lectures and cultural events;
- work with CARET and UCS (and maybe even the publisher of the Lecture list) to geocode all Cambridge University locations, (along with information about which street level 'public entrance' to
use to access each location, where appropriate. Reconcile unique location identifiers between Talks@cam and any other coding scheme (e.g. UCS online map location identifiers); make the location service available as an API and as proof of concept integrate it into the "What's on in Cambridge?" app;
- develop a "Libraries in Cambridge" mobile application based around a set of independent, and potentially interoperable, components:
  -- libraries in Cambridge location finder/opening information (e.g. drawing on );
  -- personal library access/record (e.g. drawing on the current Cambridge Library Facebook widget);
  -- "find in this library" catalogue to support mobile access to the catalogue whilst in the stacks (e.g. drawing on the catalogue JSON API);
  -- visual search integration (e.g. drawing on , or exploring use cases relating to pre-existing applications such as Book Mobile, SnapTell and Google Goggles);

**Rapid Prototyping Skills and Techniques**

I had initially intended to provide a set of tutorials on the use of rapid prototyping and rapid application development tools within the Library context but work did not progress on this as comprehensively as I had hoped. However, the work that was completed does provide a sound basis for an introductory technical workshop on the topic of rapidly prototyped information tools and the exercised trialed with some sucess at the MiddleMash Mashed Library event.

Work focussed on two main tools/approaches - Yahoo Pipes, for processing RSS/Atom feed structured content; and the use of **bookmarklets** for creating simple client side applications that can use or modify particular webpages.

**Getting Started With Yahoo Pipes: Merging RSS Feeds** is a quick guide to help practitioners get started with creating their first Yahoo Pipe. This was complemented by a **Mashlib Pipes Tutorial: Reading List Inspired Journal Watchlists**, produced for the MiddleMash Mashed Library event in Birmingham, November 2009. Introductory slides providing a "Yahoo Pipes Quickstart" that were used at the event are also available on SlideShare. **Cambridge Calendar Feeds (Part I) - Screenscraping with Yahoo Pipes** introduces the idea of "screenscraping" and provides a rather more involved consideration of how to 'scrape' content from a web page and transform it in to a more useful form using Yahoo Pipes.

**An Introduction to Bookmarklets** provides a simple introduction to bookmarklets, small Javascript programmes that can be savbed as if they were bookmarks and then applied to a loaded web page; this post includes a couple of explanatory screencasts, including a demonstration of how to use a bookmarklet to annotate the Newton Library cataologue with QR codes. Further examples of how to create bookmarklets that can be applied to achieve particular aims are provided in two further blog posts ("Get Current URL Bookmarklet Pattern" and "Get Selection' Bookmarklet Pattern) both of which include novel bookmarklet generators that can be used to help create customised bookmarklets.

Towards the end of the project, I became aware of a JSON API created for the Newton catalogue that allows the catalogue to be queried from an arbitrary webpage. Although I did not have time to explore the use of this API, there should be no particular reason why it can't be quickly integrated into a mobile application to provide access to Voyager serch queries from mobile devices.

**Recommendations:**

- continue to make API services available to Library services. Where possible, when developing new user or administration services, layer the user interface on top of an API that can also expose machine readable data (XML or JSON-P);
- develop capacity in lightweight, user-led rapid application prototyping. Encourage library staff to
make suggestions about potential new services or improvements to current services; where a lightweight 'mashup' solution presents itself, demonstrate the solution to whoever suggested it; - encourage participation in community events such as Mashed Libraries (for developers and non-developers) and Dev8D, the JISC developer event (developers).

**Conclusion**

The Arcadia Fellowship provided a unique opportunity to explore the University Library space, processes, systems and web presence and get to know staff from across the university. Several tangible items were produced as part of the project - mobile "Cambridge Events" and "find My Library" applications, a demonstrator custom search engine that covers UK HEI resources, an illustration of how to use bookmarklets to augment Newton catalogue pages with QR codes in support of "I'll just try it and see" personal prototyping, a review of loan periods across University Libraries (that turned up more than a few inconsistencies) - as well as a wealth of intangible benefits arising from a wide range of stimulating conversations and informal brainstorming sessions. I just hope that others found these conversations at least a fraction as stimulating and useful as I did. As to the activities and observations that didn't make it into the report - I'll keep on blogging on the Arcadia Project blog.
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1 The Arcadia Project blog posts Do Libraries Cater for Today’s Researchers and Research Students?, Do Libraries Cater for Today’s Undergraduate Students? and Seeking Information in the Digital Age review some recent surveys on the use of academic libraries and the online search behaviour of today’s students and young researchers.

2 Presentation/brown bag lunch: Time For A Library Shift

3 Students do use Google Scholar - so help them get the most out of it, rather than trying to force them to use another system that they can only locate via the Library website. It is only as users develop their information skills that they start to appreciate the utility of more powerful services.

4 Brown bag lunches provide one way of sharing ideas, but a more radical solution might be to encourage librarians to work in a different library for at least one day a year (Children in Need or Red Nose Day are two possible candidates, where some inconvenience may be tolerated if it is claimed in the name of charity!). At the very least, this would provide an opportunity for librarians to see how other libraries worked, experience for themselves how the delivery of similar services might differ across libraries, and potentially share or take away ideas for improvements.

5 Unfortunately, UL barcodes are not recognised by the standard barcode reading library used by any of the Android phone applications I tried. This meant I was unable to prototype or demonstrate any mobile applications that made use of UL barcodes directly.