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Abstract

**Background:** Increased blood glucose and impaired pressure reactivity (PRx) after traumatic brain injury (TBI) are both known to correlate with unfavourable patient outcome. However the relationship between these two variables is unknown.

**Methods:** To test the hypothesis that increased blood glucose leads to increased PRx, we retrospectively analysed data from 86 traumatic brain injured patients admitted to the Neurocritical Care Unit. Data analysed included arterial glucose concentration, intracranial pressure (ICP), cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) and end-tidal CO₂. PRx was calculated as the moving average between arterial blood pressure and ICP. One arterial glucose concentration and one time-aligned PRx value were obtained for each patient, during each day until the 5th day after ictus.

**Results:** Mean arterial glucose concentrations during the first 5 days since ictus were positively correlated with mean PRx (Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.25, P = 0.02). The correlation was strongest on the first day after injury (Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.47, P = 0.008).

**Conclusion:** Our preliminary findings indicate that increased blood glucose may impair cerebrovascular reactivity, potentially providing a mechanistic link between increased blood glucose and poorer outcome after TBI.
Introduction

Trauma to the brain causes a cascade of metabolic, haemodynamic, and cerebrovascular events including impaired brain autoregulation, brain edema, cerebral hypoperfusion, hyperaemia, and catecholamine release. The catecholamine release not only supports the cardiovascular system, but also causes an adrenergic increase in blood glucose concentration.

The increase in blood glucose has been shown to correlate with poorer outcomes following head injury leading to a focus on tight glycaemic control protocols. Thus, elevated glucose has been seen as a potentially modifiable ‘secondary insult,’ much like hypotension, hypoxia, and intracranial hypertension. This is an attractive therapeutic target as blood glucose levels can be relatively easily controlled by means of an insulin infusion.

However, mechanisms linking elevated blood glucose to poorer outcomes remain obscure. It has been postulated that increased glucose leads to a hyperglycolytic state, which, if combined with cerebral ischaemia, leads to an increase in cerebral anaerobic metabolism. Whilst another study has indicated that increased blood glucose may in fact improve cerebral metabolism. An alternative, and as yet unexplored mechanism, is that increased blood glucose impairs cerebral autoregulation, therefore predisposing to further ischaemic and hyperaemic insults and poor outcome.

Cerebral autoregulation acts to maintain cerebral blood flow across a range of perfusion pressures thus protecting the brain from both hyperaemic and ischaemic insults. A correlate of autoregulation is pressure reactivity (PRx) measured as the
correlation between arterial blood pressure (ABP) and intracranial pressure (ICP) \(^\text{10}\).

A negative correlation implies active pressure reactivity whilst a positive correlation implies a ‘pressure passive’, impaired pressure reactivity. Like glucose, PRx has been shown to independently predict outcome after traumatic brain injury \(^\text{10}\). The relationship between blood glucose and pressure reactivity has not been investigated.

The aim of this study was to determine if increased blood glucose after traumatic brain injury has any relationship on pressure reactivity. We hypothesized that hyperglycemia would be associated with impaired pressure reactivity.
Methods

Patients and methods

The data in this study was gathered during a retrospective analysis of data collected prospectively from 86 head-injured patients admitted to the Addenbrooke’s Hospital Neurocritical Care Unit between January 2010 and December 2012. Consecutive traumatic brain injured patients with a clinical need for intracranial pressure monitoring were included for analysis. Informed consent was obtained from all patients (or their next of kin) for the use of collected data for research purposes. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee (29 REC 97/291).

Inclusion criteria were: traumatic brain injury as diagnosis on admission; invasive monitoring of intracranial pressure and arterial blood pressure; at least 12 hours of monitoring with a coincident blood test. Cerebrovascular data in the 2 hours before and 2 hours after arterial blood sampling were used.

Patients were managed according to current traumatic brain injury guidelines 2. Patients were sedated, intubated, ventilated and paralysed. Interventions were aimed at keeping ICP < 20 mm Hg using a stepwise approach of positioning, sedation, muscle paralysis, moderate hyperventilation, ventriculostomy, osmotic agents, and induced hypothermia. CPP was maintained > 60 - 70 mm Hg using intravenous fluids, vasopressors and inotropes. Glucose management was as per insulin sliding insulin infusion scale, with target blood glucose levels of between 6 and 8 mmol/L. Glasgow outcome scale was assessed at 6 months (1 - death, 2 -persistent vegetative state, 3 - severe disability, 4 – moderate disability, 5 – severe disability).
Data acquisition and analyses

ICP was monitored with an intraparenchymal microsensor inserted into the right frontal cortex (Codman ICP MicroSensor, Codman & Shurtleff, Raynham, MA) with a zero calibration at the level of the foramen of Munro and arterial blood pressure was monitored in the radial or femoral artery with a zero calibration at the level of the right atrium (Baxter Healthcare CA, USA; Sidcup, UK). End-tidal CO$_2$ data were collected from the ventilator.

Data were sampled at 100 Hz with proprietary data acquisition software (ICM +, Cambridge Enterprise, Cambridge, UK) and stored for subsequent analysis. Data were collected for each day that simultaneous ICP monitoring and arterial blood sampling data were available. PRx was calculated as the Pearson correlation of 30 consecutive 10-second average values of ABP and ICP. A 10-second average was used to reduce the influence of respiratory and pulse waveforms. A 300-second moving window was used to generate continuous PRx values. For each day, 4 hours of time-averaged cerebrovascular data (CPP, ICP, PRx, end–tidal CO$_2$) were assessed along with one time-aligned arterial blood glucose test taken from the radial or femoral arterial line. Data were collected only for the first 5 days since ictus.

Statistical Analyses

Ordinal data are presented as medians with their interquartile range (IQR) and continuous data as means and associated standard deviation. Bivariate correlational analyses (Pearson coefficient) between glucose, CPP, ICP, end-tidal CO$_2$ and PRx were calculated. Correlations are zero-order and unadjusted for multiple comparisons.
All data analyses were performed on SPSS version 21.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).
Results

Summary details for the 86 patients are shown in table 1. The median age of the cohort was 41 with and the median initial GCS was 8. 27% of the patients were female. Mortality at 6 months was 22%. Mean physiologic monitoring values during the first five days since ictus are shown in table 2. Mean blood glucose was 6.6 mM, PRx was 0.02, ICP was 13.1 mm Hg, CPP was 76.4 mm Hg, and $P_{ETCO_2}$ was 4.2 kPa. The sample size increased from 31 one day since ictus to 60 on three days since ictus, before falling to 50 on the fifth day since ictus. The varying number of patients at different time points represents the varying time taken between ictus and initiation of intracranial monitoring, and the varying duration of intracranial monitoring.

Mean arterial glucose concentration for each patient during the first five days from ictus was significantly correlated with mean PRx (Pearson correlation 0.25, p = 0.02; Table 2 and figure 1). When just those mean arterial glucose concentration values less than 8 mmol/L were considered; the correlation between glucose concentration and PRx was even stronger (Pearson correlation 0.42, p=0.0001). Similarly, when paired glucose concentration and PRx values on the first day from ictus were considered, this relationship was stronger (Pearson correlation 0.47, p = 0.008, figure 2). Pearson correlations between arterial glucose concentration on the second and fifth day since ictus were also statistically significant.

Correlations of potential confounding variables (ICP, end-tidal $CO_2$, CPP) did not approach statistical significance (table 2).
Discussion

The major finding of this study is a weak but significant positive relationship between arterial glucose concentration and cerebral pressure reactivity in TBI patients. This relationship was strongest when glucose concentration was below 8 mmol/L and when the first day since ictus was considered. We propose that hyperglycaemia may lead to poor outcome after TBI, at least in part, due to its hitherto undescribed effect on cerebral pressure reactivity.

Two topics warrant further discussion 1) The relation between hyperglycemia and impaired vascular function, and 2) impaired vascular function as a potential causal link between hyperglycaemia and poor outcome after TBI

Effect of glucose on vascular function

In the current study we found a significant correlation between arterial concentration and PRx in TBI patients (table 2; figure 1), indicating that arterial glucose may impair cerebrovascular function.

Limited data of the effect of glucose on vascular function are available, particularly in the cerebral circulation. Some experimental data however indicate a glucose-induced impairment of cerebrovascular function. Experimental data from both rats and humans have demonstrated that after administration of glucose, regional cerebral blood flow is decreased\textsuperscript{11,12}, while experiments in an isolated pial artery preparation observed that elevated glucose concentrations lead to impaired cerebral endothelial function\textsuperscript{13}.

In addition to impairing the cerebral circulation, elevated glucose concentration has been shown to impair vascular function systemically. In non-diabetics, oral glucose loading impairs endothelial function as measured with flow mediated dilation in the
upper limb \(^{14}\) and in healthy humans, oral glucose loading impairs brachial artery myogenic vasoconstriction. \(^{15}\).

Taken together, these experimental data indicate that elevated blood glucose concentration impairs endothelial, and smooth muscle cell responses both in the cerebral and peripheral vasculature. Clearly, further investigation on the link between glucose and pressure reactivity in the TBI population is warranted.

*Impaired vascular function as a mediator between hyperglycaemia and outcome after TBI*

Although not assessed in the current study due to sample size and frequency, the association between elevated glucose and poor outcome after traumatic brain injury is well established \(^{4,16,17}\). However, the physiological significance of this association has been debated. Because hyperglycemia is a common finding in many acute conditions and is related to the initial GCS \(^{4}\), it is possible that hyperglycaemia is epiphenomenal of a systemic stress response and is a reflection of injury severity. Furthermore, recent evidence purports that mild hyperglycaemia may be in fact be beneficial to cerebral metabolism \(^{18}\).

Other evidence, however, indicates that after TBI, hyperglycaemia *per se* is harmful. Hyperglycaemia leads to an increased anaerobic metabolism and acidosis in the brain \(^{6,19}\) which in turn can contribute to neuronal dysfunction and brain oedema. Even mild increases in arterial blood glucose are associated with cerebral anaerobic metabolism as assessed by jugular oximetry\(^{20}\). In addition, elevated brain glucose concentrations (greater than 5 mM) have been associated with increased concentration of the excitotoxic brain glutamate, a substance known for its excitotoxic properties \(^{21}\).
Our preliminary findings indicate that, in addition to these detrimental metabolic effects, hyperglycaemia may impair vascular function. Some support for such a link in disease models can be found in the stroke biology literature. In a rat model, Kawai and colleagues demonstrated that a higher blood glucose leads to a greater size of infarction \(^ {22,23}\) whilst in humans, diffusion-weighted MRI in post-stroke patients revealed that increased blood glucose concentration early in the clinical course is associated with an increase in infarction volume \(^ {24}\). Therefore, evidence indicates that hyperglycaemia is associated with a greater ischaemic burden, which, combined with our data, leads us to speculate that the adverse effects of hyperglycaemia on outcome in TBI could be mediated, in part, by impaired cerebrovascular function.

**Limitations**

The current study has several important limitations. First, it is possible that the observed relationship could be due to the effect of a confounding physiologic variable (such as ICP, PRx or end-tidal CO\(_2\)). However, zero-order correlations of these potential confounding factors did not yield any correlations that approached statistical significance and justified inclusion in a multivariate model (table 2). The influence of other confounders however is still possible. Second, glucose concentration data were collected only daily. Because of this low density of data points, we limited our analysis to the relationship between glucose concentration and PRx between individuals, rather than within individuals. In addition, we have only investigated the relationship between glucose concentration and pressure reactivity early in the disease course (first five days since ictus).
Third, glucose concentration was assessed systemically (arterial blood) rather than locally (cerebral microdialysis). Brain tissue glucose concentration could yield additional insight into the relationship between glucose and cerebral autoregulation, however the focus of this study was to assess the relationship between the prevailing glucose concentration presented to the whole brain, rather than the localised microdialysis glucose concentration in peri-lesional brain tissue.

Finally, although we speculate that a glucose-mediated impairment of cerebral autoregulation may explain in part, worse outcomes in patients with hyperglycaemia, we have not assessed the effect of glucose on outcome in the current study. Such an analysis would likely need a high density of glucose measurements, or glucose concentrations very early since ictus.

**Conclusion**

We have demonstrated that mild elevation of arterial glucose concentration impairs intracranial pressure reactivity, and propose that this effect may be a mechanistic link between elevated glucose concentration and poor outcome in TBI.
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Figure legends

Figure 1  Correlation between mean arterial glucose and PRx in TBI patients during the first 5 days since ictus

A significant positive relationship between blood glucose and PRx (Pearson correlation = 0.25; p = 0.02. Each data point represents the mean of the available arterial glucose concentration and PRx measurements during the first 5 days since ictus. If only those subjects with mean arterial glucose concentrations below 8 mmol/L (n=78) are correlated; the relationship is even stronger (Pearson correlation 0.42; p = 0.0001).

Figure 2  Correlation between arterial glucose concentration and PRx 1 day since ictus

Arterial glucose concentration was positively correlated with PRx (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.47; p= 0.008)
Figure 1
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### Table 1: Patient Characteristics (n=86)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>41 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS</td>
<td>8 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month GOS</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month mortality (%)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GCS - Glasgow coma scale; GOS – Glasgow outcome scale (where 1 - death, 2 - persistent vegetative state, 3 - severe disability, 4 – moderate disability, 5 – severe disability)
Table 2: Mean values (SD) and correlations (unadjusted for multiple comparisons) of physiologic variables during intracranial monitoring during the first 5 days since ictus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Day 1 (n=31)</th>
<th>Day 2 (n=53)</th>
<th>Day 3 (n=61)</th>
<th>Day 4 (n=59)</th>
<th>Day 5 (n=50)</th>
<th>Day 1-5 (n=86)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arterial glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>6.6 (1.6)</td>
<td>6.5 (1.3)</td>
<td>6.9 (1.6)</td>
<td>6.5 (1.7)</td>
<td>6.8 (1.6)</td>
<td>6.6 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRx (a.u)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.26)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.28)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.24)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>13.9 (6.2)</td>
<td>13.6 (6.5)</td>
<td>13.3 (9.9)</td>
<td>13.1 (6.7)</td>
<td>15 (10.9)</td>
<td>13.1 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>71.7 (8.5)</td>
<td>75.7 (8.9)</td>
<td>75.9 (15.4)</td>
<td>79.7 (14)</td>
<td>78.9 (15.6)</td>
<td>76.4 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{ET}CO_2 (kPa)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.59)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.7)</td>
<td>4.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>4.4 (0.65)</td>
<td>4.3 (0.85)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>Glucose vs. PRx</th>
<th>Pearson coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.47**</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.27*</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.36**</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>ICP vs. PRx</th>
<th>Pearson coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>CPP vs. PRx</th>
<th>Pearson coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>P_{ET}CO_2 vs. PRx</th>
<th>Pearson coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GCS - Glasgow coma scale; GOS – Glasgow outcome scale; ICP – Intracranial pressure; CPP – Cerebral perfusion pressure; PRx - Pressure reactivity; P_{ET}CO_2 – Pressure of end-tidal CO_2 * P-value less than 0.05, ** P-value less than 0.01.