A High Power-Density, Mediator-Free, Microfluidic Biophotovoltaic Device for Cyanobacterial Cells

Paolo Bombelli, Thomas Müller, Therese W. Herling, Christopher J. Howe,* and Tuomas P. J. Knowles*

Biophotovoltaics has emerged as a promising technology for generating renewable energy because it relies on living organisms as inexpensive, self-repairing, and readily available catalysts to produce electricity from an abundant resource: sunlight. The efficiency of biophotovoltaic cells, however, has remained significantly lower than that achievable through synthetic materials. Here, a platform is devised to harness the large power densities afforded by miniaturized geometries. To this effect, a soft-lithography approach is developed for the fabrication of microfluidic biophotovoltaic devices that do not require membranes or mediators. Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 cells are injected and allowed to settle on the anode, permitting the physical proximity between cells and electrode required for mediator-free operation. Power densities of above 100 mW m$^{-2}$ are demonstrated for a chlorophyll concentration of 100 µM under white light, which is a high value for biophotovoltaic devices without extrinsic supply of additional energy.

1. Introduction

Fuelling the ever-growing need for energy by fossil combustibles is expected to have dramatic, global consequences on climate and ecosystems. These environmental effects, in combination with the depletion of fossil fuel reserves, have led to a pressing need for developing technologies for harnessing renewable energy.

In this scenario, bi-electrochemical systems, such as microbial fuel cells (MFCs) and biological photovoltaic cells (BPVs), may help to alleviate the present concerns by utilizing living organisms as inexpensive, readily available catalysts to generate electricity. A particularly advantageous feature of BPVs is that they consist of living photosynthetic material that allows for continuous repair of photodamage to key proteins.

Whereas MFCs use heterotrophic bacteria to convert the chemical energy stored in organic matter, BPVs use photosynthetic organisms capable of harnessing solar energy. In MFCs operating with Geobacter sulfurreducens, the oxidation of acetate can proceed with a Coulombic efficiency of nearly 100%.[13] Nevertheless, the availability of acetate and other organic substrates is not endless, which imposes a limiting factor to this approach. By contrast, in BPV-type systems, the conversion efficiencies of light into charges remain low (<0.1%),[14] but the primary fuel (i.e., solar light) is virtually unlimited. Consequently, a significant research effort is required towards understanding which processes limit the performance of biophotovoltaic cells, both in terms of biophysics and engineering.

In this context, miniaturization of BPVs provides highly attractive possibilities for high-throughput studies of small cell cultures, down to individual cells, in order to learn about differences in genetically identical organisms as well as to direct the evolution of efficient cell lines in bulk[15–17] and in microfluidics.[18] Furthermore, the distances that the charge carriers have to migrate within the devices can be shortened dramatically, reducing resistive losses in the electrolyte.[4] The readily achievable conditions for laminar flow and sessile state of the anodophilic photosynthetic cells also permit operation without the use of a proton-exchange membrane.[19–22]

To date, efforts have focussed on miniaturized microbial fuel cells.[7,22–30] In order to exploit the high power densities available through the decrease of the length scales of the charge transport and the decrease of the electrolyte volume, we have developed a simple fabrication method for microfluidic biophotovoltaic (µBPV) devices[23] that do not require an electron mediator or a proton-exchange membrane. Besides increasing efficiency and simplicity of the device, relinquishing mediator and membrane also reduces the cost of potential large-scale applications.[14,11–13]

We use soft lithography[14] to form microscopic channels which we equip using microslicids[35] with a self-aligned electrode from a low-melting point alloy[36–38] (InSnBi) and a platinum electrode sealed inside microfluidic tubing. A scheme of such a device is shown in Figure 1a–c, and the specific design including the external measurement circuit is presented in Figure 1d. True-color microscopy photographs of a device filled with Coomassie blue, with freshly injected Synechocystis cell, as well as with cells that...
have settled on the anode during 24 h are shown in Figure 1 e–g, respectively. The possibility of omitting the mediator arises from the physical proximity of the settled cells and the anode which forms the bottom of the device, as well as the choice of electrode materials. The latter ensures that H⁺ is preferably reduced at the cathode since platinum catalyses this reaction.

The inherently small size (below 400 nL) of our microfluidic approach permits studies of minute amounts of biological material. Moreover, our µBPV works without any additional energy supply, such as inert gas purging to keep the anodic chamber anoxic and/or oxygen gas purging in the cathodic chamber to facilitate the reformation of water,[8,39,40] or a bias potential applied to polarize the electrodes and improve the electron flux between anode and cathode.[33]

The use of soft lithography allows for fast in-house prototyping and for the utilization of the range of techniques developed for integrated circuits. Despite the small volumes contained in microfluidic devices, such approaches can be scaled up by parallelization,[30,41] and the surface-to-volume ratio can be designed to outperform macroscopic approaches significantly.[29]

2. Results

The microfluidic BPV device described here operates as a microbial fuel cell with submicroliter volume, generating electrical power by harnessing the photosynthetic and metabolic activity of biological material. Its anodic half-cell consists of sessile *Synechocystis* cells, performing water photolysis (2H₂O → 4H⁺ + 4e⁻ + O₂) and subsequent “dark” metabolism, as well as an anode made from an InBiSn alloy and a light source.

2.1. Current and Power Analyses

A µBPV was loaded with wild type *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 cells (subsequently referred to as *Synechocystis*) suspended in BG11 medium supplemented with NaCl at a final chlorophyll concentration of 100 nmol Chl mL⁻¹. The exo-electrogenic activity of three biological replicates of sessile cells was characterized under controlled temperature conditions sequentially in the same device.

The µBPV was rested for 24 h, permitting the formation of cellular films on the anodic surface and stabilizing the open circuit potential. Polarization and power curves were then recorded by connecting different resistance loads to the external circuit in the dark or under illumination with a white light-emitting diode (LED) (see Experimental Section) and are shown in Figure 2.

In the dark, significant power output was observed relative to the control sample containing no cells. This observation is
consistent with the breakdown of stored carbon intermediates accumulated during the light period.\cite{footnote11} The peak power output of 275 ± 20 mW m\(^{-2}\) was established at a current density of 2840 ± 110 mA m\(^{-2}\). Under illumination the microfluidic BPV loaded with \textit{Synechocystis} showed an increase in both current and power output. The peak power density was \(P/A = 294 ± 17\) mW m\(^{-2}\) established at a current of 2940 ± 85 mA m\(^{-2}\). Crucially, both the dark and the light electrical outputs were significantly higher than the abiotic peak power output in this device of 189 ± 32 mW m\(^{-2}\) established at a current of 1430 ± 120 mA m\(^{-2}\), demonstrating that the power output from our devices originates from the biological activity of the cyanobacteria.

From the linear slope at the high current side of the polarization curve as well as the from the external resistance for which maximal power transfer occurs we can estimate the internal resistance of the device to be around 2.2 M\(\Omega\) for the biotically loaded device and 1.4 M\(\Omega\) for the abiotic control (for further details see Supporting Information).

The electrical output recorded from the abiotic control - possibly due to medium salinity\cite{footnote5,footnote42} and anodic oxidation - is taken into account when the power densities of biotic experiments are quoted. Specifically, subtracting the abiotic background yields a biotic output power density of 105 mW m\(^{-2}\) for the abiotic control (for further details see Supporting Information).

Figure 2. a) Comparison of the voltage output from the same microfluidic device loaded with salt medium only (BG11) or \textit{Synechocystis} cells in medium in the dark and with light. The x-axis has been converted to a current density through division of the measured current by the surface of the InSnBi anode, and the error bars show the standard deviations for three consecutive, independent repeats on the same device. Inset: Response of a biophotovoltaic device as well as of an abiotic control under sequential illumination. b) Power density generated by the microfluidic devices filled with salt or cells in dark/illuminated environment.

2.2. Light Response

To demonstrate the photoactivity of the \textit{Synechocystis} cells, the variation of the anode-cathode voltage as a response to repeated light stimulation was recorded over time (see inset of Figure 2a). The external resistor was fixed at 100 M\(\Omega\), and the voltage was sampled once per minute. Illumination by white LED light at 200 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) resulted in a reproducible voltage increase at a rate of 21.7 ± 4.7 mV h\(^{-1}\) with \(\Delta V_{\text{light-dark}} = 5.2 ± 0.6\) mV. The time until the electrical outputs were stabilized was around 1 h. We find that the baseline voltage levels change after illumination, most certainly due to a buildup and breakdown of intracellular metabolites.

From the measured spectrum of the light source (see Supporting Information) we can determine the average wave number which corresponds to a wavelength of 570 nm. Thus the photon flux can be converted to an incident light intensity of 42 W m\(^{-2}\). Using these values we can extract a rough estimate for the efficiency of our BPV (energy output versus energy input) of around 0.25%, which compares favorably to previously achieved values.\cite{footnote14,footnote23,footnote43} Note that light scattering on the glass surface and losses from the non-perpendicular illumination angle would increase this number and hence it can be understood as a lower bound.

With such an illumination cycle, the light-driven electrical response of a device can be directly compared to dark conditions, proving the functionality of our \(\mu\)BPV. In addition, the abiotic control shows no variations in anode-cathode potential under similar illumination.

The difference between the power outputs under dark and illuminated conditions is consistent with previous studies of \textit{Synechocystis} sp. PCC 6803.\cite{footnote14} Nevertheless, a direct comparison of the power output reported by McCormick et al. of around 0.12 mW m\(^{-2}\) with the peak value in excess of 100 mW m\(^{-2}\) demonstrated here emphasizes the great potential of microfluidic approaches compared to macroscopic devices.
Published at current densities of 1.5 and 3.5 A m\(^{-2}\), respectively. The recent literature, including an instance with an additional enzymatic cathode. \([50]\) While there are many aspects influencing the performance of a BPV, such as surface-to-volume ratio, photosynthetic organism, and electrode material, one can observe a trend that generally the mediator-free approaches surpass their counterparts that rely on electron mediators diffusing over large distances. It should be mentioned that many of the studies listed in Table 1 were not intended to improve on output power. We also note that higher power densities have been observed\([8]\) when extrinsic energy was supplied.

### 2.3. Variability of the Abiotic Characterization

In order to characterize the variability of the electrical behavior of our \(\mu\)BPV, two further, lithographically identical devices were studied with abiotic loading (i.e., without photosynthetic cells). These devices were injected with BG11 media (with 0.25 M NaCl), and the current and power outputs were characterized under controlled temperature conditions.

Following 24 h of stabilization of the \(\mu\)BPV at open circuit potential, polarization and power curves (see Figure 3) were generated by applying different resistance loads to the external circuit in the dark. In different devices, the abiotic peak power density outputs vary from around 0.2 to 1 W m\(^{-2}\) and were established at current densities of 1.5 and 3.5 A m\(^{-2}\), respectively. The large variation in device output between different devices stems from the variable position and shape of the cathode which is not lithographically defined in our current designs. Device improvements at this level may well provide a straightforward route to further improvement of the output power. Crucially, no major changes in current and power outputs were observed upon exposure to white light (see inset of Figure 2a).

### 2.4. Comparison with Recent Literature

The exceptionally high power density in excess of 100 mW m\(^{-2}\) after subtraction of the abiotic background has been facilitated by the physical proximity of the cells to the anode allowing for operation without a proton-exchange membrane, which in turn leads to a low internal resistance in the device, as well as by the microscopic size of the anodic chamber allowing for a large ratio of active surface to volume. In macroscopic bioelectrochemical systems by contrast, parameters such as mass transport, reaction kinetics and ohmic resistance are expected to have detrimental effect on the electrical output.\([4,29]\)

For a specific comparison, Table 1 gives an overview of the power densities as well as technical specifications of intrinsic BPVs (i.e., requiring no external energy) characterized in the recent literature, including an instance with an additional enzymatic cathode.\([50]\) While there are many aspects influencing the performance of a BPV, such as surface-to-volume...
The total volume above the anode was below 400 nL, significantly reducing the consumption of biological material and chemicals of each experiment compared to macroscopic approaches.

**Electrode Area:** The accessible surfaces of these electrodes were ca. \( A = 2.5 \text{ mm}^2 \times 25 \mu \text{m} = 0.03 \text{ mm}^2 \) for the anode (only approximately one half of the total metal area was accessible due to the PDMS pillars) and of the order of 0.6 mm\(^2\) for the cathode, assuming the available length of the Pt wire to be 2 mm. Note that the majority of the cathode was inside the cavity of the insertion template. If one were to consider the entire horizontal cross-section of the device, the according area would double to 0.06 mm\(^2\), and the footprint of the device was at present around 60 mm\(^2\) including the access ports for fluid injection. This latter number can be reduced straightforwardly by more than one order of magnitude by redesigning the inlet ports.

**Cell Culture and Growth:** A wild-type strain of *Synechocystis* cells suspended in BG11 were then inoculated at a concentration of 100 µM chlorophyll. Maintaining the devices for 24 h at an orientation (supplemented with NaCl) were then injected at a concentration of 100 MΩ, where the internal resistance of the digital voltmeter of 100 MΩ has been taken into account. Voltages were recorded using an UT-70 data logger (Uni-Trend Limited, Hong Kong, China). The current delivered to the load was calculated from Ohm’s law

\[
V = R_{\text{ext}} I, \tag{1}
\]

and the power \( P \) is given by

\[
P = V^2 / R_{\text{ext}}. \tag{2}
\]

**Based on the polarization curves, power curves were obtained for each system by plotting the power per unit area or power density \( P/A \) as a function of current density. These power density curves were further used to determine the average maximum power output for the microfluidic BPV system and the negative control. For all measurements, alligator clamps and copper wire served as connections to anode and cathode, and the temperature was kept at 22 ± 2 °C.

To characterize the light response, artificial light was provided by a warm white LED bulb (Golden Gadgets, LA2124-L-A3W-MR16), maintained at a constant output of 200 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) at the location of the BPVs. A measured spectrum of the light source is shown in the

---

**Table 1.** List of biophotovoltaic devices from the recent literature including previous microfluidic approaches that do not require additional energy input. The abbreviations used are anodic active area (AAA), anodic chamber volume (ACV), Nafion film over the cathodic chamber and Au cathode (N-Au), chemical sacrificial cathode (csc), carbon-platinum cathode impregnated on one side with Nafion (N-CPt), carbon paper coated with a thin layer of platinum (Pt-C), indium tin oxide (ITO), fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO), carbon paint with polypyrrole (PPCP), carbon nanotubes on carbon paper (CNTCP), and benzoquinone (BQ). *Synechocystis TM* refers to mutant strains of the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 where the three respiratory terminal oxidase complexes had been inactivated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>( P_{\text{out}} ) ( \text{mW m}^{-2} )</th>
<th>AAA ( \text{mm}^2 )</th>
<th>ACV ( \mu \text{L} )</th>
<th>Anode/cathode</th>
<th>Mediator</th>
<th>Photosynthetic organism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chiao, 2006[23]</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Au/N-Au - csc</td>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>Anabaena sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombelli, 2011[31]</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>ITO/N-CPt</td>
<td>( K_3[Fe(CN)]_4 )</td>
<td><em>Synechocystis</em> sp. PCC 6803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCormick, 2011[49]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>12 600</td>
<td>ITO/Pt-coated glass</td>
<td>free</td>
<td><em>Synechococcus</em> sp. WH 5701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorne, 2011[46]</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>FTO/carbon cloth</td>
<td>( K_3[Fe(CN)]_4 )</td>
<td><em>Chlorella vulgaris</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombelli, 2012[45]</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>20 000</td>
<td>ITO/Pt-C</td>
<td>free</td>
<td>Oscillatoria limnetica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madiraju, 2012[46]</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>60 000</td>
<td>Carbon fiber</td>
<td>free</td>
<td><em>Synechocystis</em> sp. PCC 6803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley, 2013[47]</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>31–500</td>
<td>ITO/N-CPt</td>
<td>( K_3[Fe(CN)]_4 )</td>
<td><em>Synechocystis</em> TM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lan, 2013[48]</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4600</td>
<td>5 ( \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>Pre-treated graphite/csc</td>
<td>( K_3[Fe(CN)]_4 )</td>
<td><em>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin, 2013[46]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>( 10^4 )</td>
<td>Au mesh/graphite/csc</td>
<td>free</td>
<td><em>Spiroplana platensis</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luimstra, 2013[49]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>70 000</td>
<td>PPCP/carbon cloth with Pt</td>
<td>free</td>
<td><em>Pauschulzia pseudovolvox</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sekar, 2014[50]</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>CNTCP/laccase on CNTCP</td>
<td>free</td>
<td><em>Nostoc</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sekar, 2014[50]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>CNTCP/laccase on CNTCP</td>
<td>BQ</td>
<td><em>Nostoc</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>InSnBi alloy/Pt</td>
<td>free</td>
<td><em>Synechocystis</em> sp. PCC 6803</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supporting Information. Light levels were measured in μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ with a SKP 200 Light Meter (Skye Instruments Ltd, Llandrindod Wells, UK). The photocative cells were illuminated through the glass slide forming the bottom of the device, resulting in an almost parallel angle of incidence on the cell layer. This geometry led to a decreased light intensity on the cells, which could be compensated for by using a more powerful light source in studies of photosynthetic materials or by altering the geometric arrangement of the devices when harnessing actual sunlight.
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Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.
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