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Abstract

A simulation based assessment is made of the benefits of using advanced modulation formats including Pulse Amplitude Modulation (PAM) and Carrierless Amplitude/Phase Modulation (CAP) to ease laser control requirements of a recently proposed novel uncooled Multiple-input and Multiple-output (MIMO) Wavelength-Division Multiplexing (WDM) system. At a per channel bit rate of 25 Gb/s, the spectral efficiency improvements inherent to these schemes provide additional optical system power margin over NRZ modulation (0.4 dB for PAM-4 and 4.4 dB for CAP-16) for a link distance of 20 km. This allows the minimum channel spacing between channels to be reduced from 0.4 nm (NRZ) to 0.3 nm for PAM-4 and to 0.14 nm for CAP-16, this in turn allowing for a more stable operating region to be realised. Compared with a traditional 16 x 25 Gb/s DWDM system, a power consumption saving of 30% can be realised using NRZ while PAM-4 and CAP-16 offer 29% and 27% savings respectively.

1 Introduction

Despite the ratification of both 40 Gb/s and 100 Gb/s IEEE 802.3ba standards [1] in June 2010, the latest IEEE bandwidth assessment report [2] indicates that the ongoing exponential growth in the bandwidth demanded by today’s end users will require the development of new standards to enable this growth. Operators in the short-haul, metropolitan and access industry face increasing challenges in delivering higher bit rates and reducing power consumption as the size of their networks grow. It is predicted that by 2020, carbon emission from data centers alone will exceed that of the airline industry [3] making it a major concern for governments and industry partners alike. Currently, the majority of data centers employ links that transmit a single channel over either single-mode fiber (SMF) or multi-mode fiber (MMF). Limited by the maximum data rate at which a single channel can be transmitted over a link cost-effectively, operators are reverting adding parallel links to their system to meet demand. Such a link aggregation method has many drawbacks in terms of scalability and management [2] and therefore may not be sustainable as the number of links required grows.
Currently, the 100 Gigabit Ethernet (GbE) standard defines physical layer port types (100GBASE-LR4 and 100GBASE-ER4) that use Coarse Wavelength-Division Multiplexing (CWDM) as the link aggregation method by multiplexing a series of lower rate signals at either 10 Gb/s or 25 Gb/s in order to efficiently increase the capacity of communication networks. In order to maintain a competitive $/bit transported, next generation standards are likely to be based on an architecture with < 100 Gb/s per lane architecture [4, 5]. Despite allowing for the introduction of new modulation formats to improve spectral efficiency and transmission distance, future Ethernet standards are increasingly likely to require Dense Wavelength-Division Multiplexing (DWDM) [6], using a substantial number of closely spaced channels. WDM systems have the advantage that several lower bit rate channels can be multiplexed over the same fiber, improving resilience to fiber transmission degradation and ensuring good scalability. DWDM systems however require precise wavelength stability owing to the closer channel spacing used in order to accommodate the increased channel numbers compared with CWDM. As a result, components have to be designed with extremely tight wavelength tolerances, typically within ± 0.1 nm [7], resulting in higher system infrastructure and maintenance cost.

Precise wavelength control in WDM systems is typically achieved through the use of a Peltier Thermo-Electric Cooler (TEC) integrated within the module package to cool lasers and prevent temperature dependent wavelength drift. Such a cooling system combined with the associated supervisory/control can consume as much as between 2 W and 4 W per channel [7, 8]. By removing the TEC and compensating for wavelength drift through signal processing, a significant power reduction and improved power usage effectiveness (PUE) can be achieved.

We previously reported on [9] a 100 Gb/s uncooled MIMO WDM system capable of transmitting 8 channels of 12.5 Gb/s NRZ modulation over 25 km of SMF using 50% more photodiodes than transmit channels. This system allows for the omission of the TEC at the transmitter by using a cyclic arrayed waveguide grating (AWG) with a flat top pass band and overlapping channel structure with slow filter roll-offs. The overlapping channel structure is introduced to allow channels that drift to longer wavelengths with rising temperature to be detected as they transition from one AWG pass band to another with reduced insertion loss. In contrast with a traditional DWDM system, the sharp roll-offs of the AWG would result in signal failure even after a slight temperature rise. A continuing issue of the MIMO DWDM system however is that if channels drift with different rates such that several exist in one AWG channel and indeed begin to have overlapping spectra, severe crosstalk that can’t be cancelled will result in signal failure. In this
paper therefore, we describe how the use of spectrally efficient modulation formats can be used to reduce the likelihood of overlapping spectra and hence improve system operation.

2 System Operating Principles

With reference to Fig. 1, which shows an example 4 channel MIMO DWDM system, the principle of the system is that significant crosstalk between spectral channels is allowed by the large bandwidth of the AWG, but is accommodated by using signal processing of the six receiver output signals to decode and reconstruct the original channel signals. To avoid points of singularity, the system uses more receivers than transmitters.

The use of a cyclic AWG allows channels to be detected as they drift outside the overall free spectral range, so that as in this case, if the channel \( \lambda_4 \) moves beyond the sixth detection channel centered at 300 GHz, it wraps around and is detected by the first detection channel centered at -200 GHz. This allows for multiple frequency bands to be covered as channels drift. Cyclic channels are shown as dashed lines. Signal processing of detected signals using a minimum mean square error (MMSE) crosstalk cancellation algorithm shown in Fig. 2, similar to that currently employed in wireless MIMO systems is used for signal recovery.

![Fig. 1 Proposed cyclic AWG profile with overlapping channel spectra](image-url)
This early MIMO DWDM system allowed for robust operation as channels drifted under the influence of temperature by reduction of the increased crosstalk from adjacent channels using signal processing at the receiver. Different forms of signal processing were considered for different scenarios. For example, if the transmitter lasers were integrated on the same substrate, channels generally drift at a similar rate and so will have relatively constant wavelength spacings allowing for relatively simple processing to be employed to decode the channels. This scenario cannot however be assumed, particularly not in the case of discrete laser sources. Here, lasers are often physically separated such that they experience different environmental temperature variations. This can potentially lead to the situation where two or more channels drift to coincide with each other and their spectra begins to overlap. For this scenario, signal processing cannot separate the channels and instead, DC bias tuning is used to vary the emission wavelength of one or more of the lasers. This forces channel wavelengths apart, reducing crosstalk to an acceptable level and thus making channel decoding possible. An important criterion for the system design therefore is the minimum wavelength separation that must be achieved to ensure that decoding can occur. This requirement dependent on the information bandwidth of the signal as well as if advanced channel filtering such as square-root raised cosine filtering is used.

In this paper, for the first time, simulations are used to determine the improvements that advanced modulation schemes such PAM-4 and CAP-16 are expected to bring to this system in terms of an improved power budget and more importantly tuning range, owing to the improved spectral efficiencies of these modulation formats.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we review the signal processing principles of the proposed uncooled MIMO WDM system. Section 4 describes the advantages that CAP offers in terms of link power budget.
compared with PAM-4 and NRZ. It then describes the potential power consumption savings that may be gained using the MIMO DWDM approach compared with traditional DWDM. Section 5 examines the results of a simulation-based study used to determine how using CAP-16 affects the performance of the system in terms of the minimum allowable channel separation. Section 6 offers a conclusion to the work.

3 MIMO WDM Signal Processing

The signal processing used for the uncooled MIMO WDM system is similar to that currently employed in wireless MIMO systems. For an $n$-channel system that is detected by an $m$-channel receiver, by treating the AWG output channels as a linear combination of inputs, the system transfer matrix can be written as:

$$ Y = Hx + n $$

(1)

where $Y$ is the $m$-dimensional receive vector, $H$ is the $m \times n$ channel transfer matrix, $x$ is the $n$-dimensional transmit vector and $n$ is the noise vector. In order to recover the originally transmitted symbols, a zero-forcing approach may be employed. This aims to find a matrix, $W$, such that $WH = I_n$, i.e. an $n \times n$ identity matrix. A solution to this equation is known as the Moore-Penrose pseudo inverse [10]:

$$ W = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T $$

(2)

For the example 4 channel system of Fig. 2, 6 photodiode outputs may be first captured by an Analogue to Digital converter (ADC) before being passed to a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) which applies tap weights to the photodiode outputs. The FPGA is designed to adaptively adjust tap coefficients using a least mean squares algorithm to find an estimate of $W$. Once a convergence requirement has been met, tap coefficients are updated allowing crosstalk to be cancelled as shown in Fig. 2. In practice, because the AWG is designed to have slow roll offs, as lasers drift, the average powers of the signals from each AWG output vary slowly on environmental change timescales so that weights only need to be updated on a $\sim 10 \text{ ms}$ timescale. Hence, simple electronic tap circuits can be used for decoding with similar complexity to that found in electronic equalizers currently employed in 10 GbE [11]. The least squares estimate results in additional penalties comprising both residual crosstalk that isn’t cancelled due to inaccuracies in the convergence process as well as noise enhancement as shown in Eq. 3.

$$ H^{-1}Y = x + \frac{n}{H} $$

(3)
The power penalty associated from this term may be estimated \[12\] from Eq. 4 as:

\[ P_{ne} = 10 \log_{10} \sum_{n} W^2 \]  

(4)

Fig. 3 shows eye diagrams before and after crosstalk cancellation for a 25 Gb/s NRZ signal assuming a channel spacing of just 0.5 nm and an AWG bandwidth of 100 GHz. Before crosstalk cancellation, crosstalk is observed, this resulting in the emergence of a multi-level signal. After crosstalk cancellation, an open eye is observed.

![Eye diagrams](image)

(a) (b)

Fig. 3 Eye diagrams (a) before and (b) after crosstalk cancellation for a 25 Gb/s NRZ signal

4 Carrierless Amplitude and Phase Modulation

CAP is a modulation scheme that is used extensively in Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line (ADSL) technology. It is similar to Quadrature Amplitude Modulation (QAM) in that two orthogonal signals are transmitted simultaneously. The difference between CAP and QAM is that in CAP, the orthogonal signals are generated by manipulation of filter tap coefficients to generate orthogonal pulse shapes which can then transmit signals with high spectral efficiency \[13\]. Powerful resilience to dispersion as well as ease of implementation through use of transversal filters as opposed to high speed mixers makes it an ideal candidate for optical datacommunications. \[14, 15\]

Fig. 4a shows the implementation of a CAP-16 transceiver. Two separately encoded PAM-4 signals \(a(t)\) and \(b(t)\) are generated and form the input to the in-phase (I) and quadrature (Q) channels. These channels are then fed to two transversal shaping filters whose impulse responses are comprised of a square-root raised cosine response \(g(t)\) and two orthogonal pair \(\cos 2\pi f_c t\) and \(\sin 2\pi f_c t\) shown in Fig. 4b. The carrier frequency \(f_c\) is given by \(f_c = \frac{F_s/2}{2} (1 + \alpha)\) where \(F_s\) is the symbol rate and \(\alpha\) is the roll-off of the square-root raised cosine filter. The outputs of the transversal filters are then coupled and used to modulate a laser. At the receiver, the transmitted sequences \(a(t)\) and \(b(t)\) are
recovered by filtering two copies of the received signal with a conjugated version of the transmit filter response to give a matched filter output. Individual I and Q eye diagrams as well as the combined transmitted signal corresponding to 25 Gb/s aggregate transmission are shown in Fig. 5. Because the two channels are orthogonal, they can be transmitted on the same carrier frequency and allow a spectral efficiency of 4 b/s/Hz to be achieved assuming \( a(t) \) and \( b(t) \) are PAM-4 signals. A 25 Gb/s CAP-16 signal then only occupies an RF spectrum width of 6.25 GHz.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{I} & \quad a(t) \quad g(t)\cos2\pi f_c t \quad \sum \quad g(-t)\cos2\pi f_c t \quad a(t) \\
\text{Q} & \quad b(t) \quad g(t)\sin2\pi f_c t \quad \sum \quad g(-t)\sin2\pi f_c t \quad b(t)
\end{align*}
\]

(a)

Fig. 4 (a) CAP Transceiver model (b) In-Phase and Quadrature-Phase Impulse Responses

Fig. 5 Eye diagrams for 12.5 Gb/s (a) In-Phase and (b) Quadrature Channels and (c) 25 Gb/s combined CAP-16 signal

Compared with other modulation schemes such as Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM), CAP doesn’t require the use of IFFT/FFT operations or the need for DAC/ADCs after multi-level encoding, allowing for a significant power saving to be made by the use of very simple signal processing. The transversal filters required for pulse shaping generally require <10 mW in standard CMOS [16]
4.1 Link Power Budget

In order to determine the advantage of using CAP in achieving a per lane bit rate of 25 Gb/s, a link power budget is calculated for CAP-16, PAM-4 and NRZ using the system architecture in Fig. 6.

![Simulated Transceiver models](image)

**Fig. 6 Simulated Transceiver models for (a) NRZ (b) PAM-4 and (c) CAP-16**

To generate a 25 Gb/s CAP-16 signal, two 12.5 Gb/s 2^7-1 pseudorandom binary sequences (PRBS) are used to model the short run length codes commonly used in datacommunication links. These are encoded into 4 levels using a PAM-4 encoder and filtered using orthogonal square root raised cosine filters with a roll off of 0.5 as shown in Fig. 4 before being used to drive a laser. The rise time of the laser (20-80%) is assumed to be 16.8 ps with a Gaussian shape [17]. This model follows those commonly used for link power budget measurements in the Ethernet or Fiber Channel standards [18]. Transmission over standard SMF is assumed with a dispersion parameter of 17 ps/nm/km and attenuation of 0.3 dB/km. At the receiver, an 18.75 GHz 4th order Bessel-Thomson low pass filter is used to model the finite bandwidth of the electrical receiver. Next, the signal is fed to a matched filter where the received signal is convolved with a conjugated version of the transmit pulse shaping filter from Fig. 4.

The reference receiver used is assumed to be a 10.3125 Gb/s 0.9 A/W p-i-n thermal noise dominated 10GBASE-LRM reference receiver with a 47.1 ps 20-80% rise time and receiver bandwidth of 7.5 GHz which has a sensitivity
of -18 dBm at a bit error ratio (BER) of $10^{-12}$ [14, 15, 19]. Therefore, assuming a launch power of 0 dBm, a total power budget of 18 dB can be assumed. The transmitter lasers are assumed to be single mode and so relative intensity noise (RIN) is neglected for the reference receiver as its contribution is assumed to be negligible [15]. Finally, by varying the power of the signal after the receiver, the BER at various received powers can be estimated. This is achieved from measurement of the Q-function which for NRZ is:

$$\text{BER} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc} \left( \frac{l_1 - l_0}{\sqrt{2} \sigma_{th}} \right)$$

(3)

Where $\sigma_{th}$ is the thermal noise power at the received power and $l_1$ and $l_0$ represent the 1 and 0 levels for NRZ. The difference in received power between the 10GBASE-LRM reference receiver and that of the received signal required to achieve a BER of $10^{-12}$ is used to determine the power penalty. For PAM-4, which has 4 level signals as opposed to just two for NRZ, the signal can be decomposed into 3 different eye openings. Here, the BER for each eye opening is calculated and the worst BER used to determine a penalty. The same procedure is used for CAP-16, where now both I and Q channels are first decoded and BERs calculated for both. Here again, the worst-case BER is then used to determine a penalty. Fig. 7 shows the results of this analysis at varying transmission distances between 5 km and 30 km. Here, the bars show the power penalty in terms of dispersion penalty, relative receiver sensitivity and SMF attenuation. For cases where no column appears, the required power budget exceeds 18 dB and link failure would occur. The unallocated margin shows the extent of power budget not required to meet the link penalties, hence showing overall system margin.
From the results of Fig. 7, some clear conclusions can be drawn as to which modulation format is most appropriate for certain link distances. Below 10 km with a large unallocated margin, NRZ has the best margin owing to its low relative receiver sensitivity. The disparity between NRZ and the other schemes arises because it does not suffer from the multilevel penalties that PAM-4 and CAP-16 suffer from, this being the direct consequence of the slow rise time of the laser resulting in eye closure. PAM-4 suffers significantly from this compared with CAP-16 because it requires a switching speed between levels that is twice as fast as CAP-16. Above 10 km, SMF dispersion becomes a significant limiting factor for NRZ and so PAM-4 and CAP-16 are preferred. This is because the dispersion penalty is dependent on both the bandwidth of the signal as well as the length of the link. PAM-4 occupies half the bandwidth of NRZ while CAP-16 occupies only a quarter. This resilience to dispersion makes these schemes very attractive for longer distance datacommunication applications. Though various algorithms do exist to reduce this penalty [20], these come at the expense of increased power consumption and receiver complexity.

The link power budget does not take into account other penalties such as connector/splitter losses that can be found in real systems. These can account for roughly 3 dB of penalty which would make NRZ and PAM-4 have insufficient margin at a link distances in excess of 20 km. Therefore, for systems requiring link distances in excess of 20 km, CAP-16 is likely to be the only modulation format that can be used in practice.

5 Uncooled MIMO WDM System Aspects

5.1 Minimum Channel Spacing

To demonstrate the advantage of using CAP in allowing MIMO WDM channels to drift more closely together compared with NRZ and PAM-4, a simulation based study is performed in order to determine the minimum allowable channel spacing between channels for CAP-16, PAM-4 and NRZ at a per channel bit rate of 25 Gb/s.

For each of the different modulation formats, 4 decorrelated 27-1 PRBS sequences are encoded and used to drive lasers initially operating at $\lambda_1 = 1552.0$, $\lambda_2 = 1552.8$, $\lambda_3 = 1553.6$ and $\lambda_4 = 1554.4$ nm. $\lambda_2$ is set to maintain a constant wavelength while $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_3$ are iteratively adjusted to drift towards $\lambda_2$ as shown in Fig. 8. The combined signal is detected by a 6 channel AWG modelled using a 2nd order Gaussian response with a -3dB bandwidth of 100 GHz. For
each of the 4 transmit channels, the 6 outputs of the AWG are fed to 4 crosstalk cancellation units which adaptively determine the tap weights as outlined in Section 2.

Power penalties representing residual inter-symbol interference (ISI) assuming the same reference receiver defined in Section 3 at a BER of $10^{-12}$ are calculated. For the results shown in Fig. 9, occurrences of link failure are shown in red.

Fig. 8 Simulation setup for determining minimum channel spacing
The results of Fig. 9 show that for the uncooled MIMO WDM case, NRZ requires a minimum channel spacing of ~ 0.4 nm between adjacent channels in order to maintain a penalty of less than 3 dB. In fact, without any crosstalk cancellation, this system would result in high penalties as soon as channels deviate by 0.1 nm. PAM-4 allows for this range to be improved to around 0.3 nm while CAP-16 further extends this to 0.14 nm owing to its smaller spectral occupancy. Should a situation arise where one channel drifts at a faster rate than another, it would then take a longer time for channels to experience significant crosstalk. PAM-4 and CAP-16 use square-root raised cosine filters that limit the bandwidth of the signal to just 12.5 GHz (6.25 GHz). As opposed to NRZ and PAM-4 which have side lobes that extend away from $T_s$, the reciprocal of the symbol rate, in the ideal case, the square root raised cosine filter band-limits the signal such that the non-zero portion of the spectrum, f, is $|f| > \frac{1 + \beta}{2T_s}$, where $\beta$ is the roll-off, a measure of the excess bandwidth required above the nyquist bandwidth. As a result, signals can drift closer to one another without causing significant amounts of crosstalk. This results in a less stringent requirement on minimum channel separation and a greater stable operating region before crosstalk begins to dominate and DC current tuning is needed. By using higher order modulation formats, the minimum channel spacing required can be further improved with improved spectral efficiency. This will however come at the cost of increased receiver sensitivity requirements which results in greater system cost and complexity.
5.1 Power Consumption Analysis

<p>| TABLE I |
| POWER CONSUMPTION FOR PROPOSED SYSTEM AND CONVENTIONAL SYSTEM |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulation Format</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Power Consumption (mW)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NRZ</td>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MZM</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PIN-TIA</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RX CDR</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1300</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAM-4</td>
<td>PAM-4 encoder</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAM-4 decoder</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1400</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP-16</td>
<td>TX RRC Shaping Filter (FFE) a</td>
<td>2 x 6 x 10 = 120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RX RRC Shaping Filter (FFE) a</td>
<td>2 x 6 x 10 = 120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1640</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional DWDM</td>
<td>16 x NRZ, PAM-4 or CAP-16</td>
<td>20.8 W (NRZ), 22.4 W(PAM-4) 26.2 W (CAP-16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 x TEC</td>
<td>16 x 2W = 32 W</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>52.8 W, 54.5 W, 58.2 W</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIMO DWDM</td>
<td>16 x NRZ, PAM-4 or CAP-16</td>
<td>20.8W (NRZ), 22.4W(PAM-4) 26.2W (CAP-16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 x PIN-TIA</td>
<td>23 x 200 = 4.6 W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MMSE tap calculator b</td>
<td>(300+79)/16 = 23.7 mW</td>
<td>[25, 26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crosstalk Cancellation c</td>
<td>16 x 24 x 30 mW = 11.5 W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>37.1 W (NRZ), 38.7 W(PAM-4) 42.5 W (CAP-16)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Consumption Saving</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>30%, 29%, 27%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Shaping Filter implemented using a 6 tap FFE equalizer.
* Comprises ADC + FPGA
* For worst case scenarios in average, each channel needs 24 taps

From Table 1, the advantages of using uncooled MIMO DWDM systems are evident. A power consumption saving of as high as 30% can be realized using NRZ modulation while PAM-4 only results in a 0.7% decrease in performance. For CAP-16 on the other hand, because of the need for shaping filters to generate the orthogonal I and Q signals, the addition of two 6-tap filters at the transmitter as well as the matched filters at the receiver results in an additional penalty of just 2.7% compared with NRZ. Estimates for the crosstalk cancellation unit comprise an ADC and an FPGA to allow for calculation of tap coefficients. Crosstalk cancellation can be implemented using electronic based tapped circuitry for which power consumption is calculated based on the number of taps required. A worst case scenario is assumed wherein each channel requires knowledge of all other channels for perfect cancellation. Despite this, a significant power consumption saving is predicted. In practical systems, acceptable crosstalk cancellation may be achieved using a fewer amount of photodiodes, this potentially improving power consumption even further.
6 Conclusion

We have reviewed a new uncooled MIMO WDM system that can be implemented using standard components for low cost data communication links. The system can operate uncooled without use of a TEC and uses a modified AWG profile with an overlapping channel structure to allow channels to be detected as they drift under temperature. Using an MMSE crosstalk cancellation unit, channels can still be detected at very close channel spacings. CAP-16 has been identified as being an excellent candidate for such a system owing to its ease of implementation and improved spectral efficiency compared with NRZ and PAM-4. A reduction in symbol rate and the resulting improved resilience to dispersion, allows for an improved minimum required channel spacing, with 25 Gb/s CAP-16 requiring a minimum channel spacing of just 0.14 nm compared to PAM-4 (0.3 nm) and NRZ (0.4 nm). In addition, owing to the reduced symbol rate of these schemes, laser drive currents can be reduced, allowing enhanced headroom for wavelength tuning to be realized. In terms of power consumption, an improvement of 30% can be realized using NRZ modulation with PAM-4 and CAP-16 offering a 29% and 27% improvement respectively.
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