An atypical residue in the pore of Varroa destructor GABA-activated RDL receptors affects picrotoxin block and thymol modulation
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Keywords: GABA-activated RDL receptors are the insect equivalent of mammalian GABA\(_A\) receptors, and play a vital role in neurotransmission and insecticide action. Here we clone the pore lining M2 region of the Varroa mite RDL receptor and show that it has 4 atypical residues when compared to M2 regions of most other insects, including bees, which are the major host of Varroa mites. We create mutant Drosophila RDL receptors containing these substitutions and characterise their effects on function. Using two electrode voltage clamp electrophysiology we show that one substitution (T6\(^0\)M) ablates picrotoxin inhibition and increases the potency of GABA. This mutation also alters the effect of thymol, which enhances both insect and mammalian GABA responses, and is widely used as a miticide. Thymol decreases the GABA EC\(_{50}\) of WT receptors, enhancing responses, but in T6\(^0\)-containing receptors it is inhibitory. The other 3 atypical residues have no major effects on either the GABA EC\(_{50}\), the picrotoxin potency or the effect of thymol. In conclusion we show that the RDL 6\(^\circ\) residue is important for channel block, activation and modulation, and understanding its function also has the potential to prove useful in the design of Varroa-specific insecticidal agents.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

1. Introduction

The ectoparasitic mite, Varroa destructor, is the primary pest of the honeybee Apis mellifera. It is found in large parts of the world and is spreading to others, although is still absent from some areas such as Australia (Rosenkranz et al., 2010). It is a problem because it has significant effects on bees, weakening colonies by introducing viruses such as the deformed wing virus, and is considered a major causal factor in colony collapse disorder (CCD). CCD, pathogens, and a loss of natural habitat are the most likely reasons for the significant decline in honey bees observed in the last decade (Potts et al., 2010), and, as the industry is worth billions of dollars annually, this decline is a serious economic problem. The effect of Varroa is usually minimised using synthetic control agents, such as fluvalinate and coumaphos, as well as natural compounds including the essential oil thymol, but these too may have adverse effects on bee health (Waliwitiya et al., 2010; Frost et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2014).

Additionally, Varroa resistance to commonly used miticides is becoming an increasing problem (Thompson et al., 2002). Thus the identification of an insecticidal target which could be exploited to control Varroa mites but not harm bees could have a significantly beneficial effect.

A well understood insecticidal target is the RDL receptor, a GABA-gated ion channel originally named because an amino acid substitution (A3025) in Drosophila RDL causes resistance to dieldrin (Ffrench-Constant et al., 1991). The RDL receptor is a member of the Cys-loop ligand gated ion channel superfamily, a class of ion channels that underpin fast synaptic transmission in both vertebrates and invertebrates. Cys-loop receptors are pentameric, with a large extracellular domain (ECD) containing the ligand-binding site, and a transmembrane domain (TMD) made up of four \(\alpha\)-helices from each subunit (termed M1-M4); the second \(\alpha\)-helix (M2) from each subunit lines the pore.

RDL receptors have been identified in a range of insects including honey bees Apis mellifera (Jones and Sattelle, 2006), cat fleas, Ctenocephalides felis (Bass et al., 2004), red flour beetles, Tribolium castaneum (Jones and Sattelle, 2007), and spider mites, Tetanychus urticae (Dermauw et al., 2012). They can be expressed as homomers in Xenopus oocytes or Drosophila S2 cells and have a distinct pharmacological profile when compared to vertebrate GABA-activated Cys-loop receptors (GABA\(_A\) receptors); they are not.

Abbreviations: nACh, nicotinic acetylcholine; AChBP, acetylcholine binding protein; GABA, \(\gamma\)-aminobutyric acid; ELIC, Erwinia ligand-gated ion channel; GLIC, Globocterus ligand-gated ion channel; PTX, picrotoxin; ACh, acetylcholine; 5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine; RDL, resistant to dieldrin.
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for example, blocked by the classic competitive GABA<sub>A</sub> antagonist bicuculline although are, like many Cys-loop receptors, blocked by picrotoxin (Ffrench-Constant et al., 1993). These characterizations are similar to GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors in cultured Drosophila neurons (Zhang, 1994) and in cultured honeybee antennal lobe neurons (Dupuis et al., 2010), showing that RDL homomers likely predominate in vivo. A number of insecticides, including dieldrin and fipronil, act via interactions in the RDL receptor pore regions (Buckingham et al., 2005; Raymond-Delpech et al., 2005). Here we clone the pore-lining region of a Varroa RDL receptor and examine differences in this region between Drosophila and Varroa RDL receptors using electrophysiology. The full sequence of the Varroa RDL receptor is not yet known, thus we have taken the opportunity to investigate the role of these differences in the pore lining region in isolation from the rest of the protein. We also test the impact of these changes on the action of thymol, which has been shown to act as a positive allosteric modulator in RDL and GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (Priestley et al., 2003).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

All reagents unless stated were from Sigma Aldrich and of the highest obtainable grade.

2.2. Cloning of the Varroa receptor M2 region

Genomic DNA was isolated from single mites (kindly sent by Dr Alan Bowman, University of Aberdeen) using a simple proteinase K extraction method. Mites were homogenized in 50 µl extraction buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 25 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 200 µg µl<sup>−1</sup> proteinase K) with a polypropylene pellet pestle. Samples were incubated at 37 °C for 4 h and then at 85 °C for 10 min. Cellular debris was pelleted by centrifugation and 1 µl samples of the supernatant were used for PCR. A Varroa destructor whole genome shotgun (WGS) sequence database (Corman et al., 2010) was mined using BLAST to identify possible RDL sequences, based on homology to Drosophila melanogaster, kodes scapularis and Rhipecephalus microplus RDL sequences (UniProt accession numbers P25123, R9S2B1 and V9ZAE7 respectively). The PCR primers Vde_Ex7_F (5′ GTATCATTTTGGTTGCACCGAAATGC) and Vde_Ex7_R (5′ GTAGACGTCGATA GATTGGACGTAAG) was used to amplify the Varroa M2 region, which spans exon 7 in Drosophila RDL. A second nested reaction using primer Vde_Ex7_F with Vde_Ex7_nest_R (5′ GTAGACGTCGATA GATTGGACGTAAG) was employed for further amplification.

2.3. Oocyte Maintenance

X. laevis oocyte-positive females were purchased from NASCO (Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin, USA) and maintained according to standard methods. Harvested stage V-VI Xenopus oocytes were washed in four changes of ND96 (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.5), de-folliculated in 1.5 mg ml<sup>−1</sup> collagenase Type 1A for approximately 2 h, washed again in four changes of ND96 and stored in ND96 containing 2.5 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.7 mM theophylline and 50 mM gentamicin.

2.4. Receptor expression

Drosophila RDL subunit cDNA (kindly gifted from N.Millar) was subcloned into pGEMHE for oocyte expression as previously described (McConigle and Lummis, 2010; Millar et al., 1994). Site directed mutagenesis was performed with the QuikChange mutagenesis kit (Agilent, La Jolla, CA). cRNA was transcribed in vitro from linearised pGEMHE cDNA template using the mMessage mMachine T7 Transcription kit (Ambion, Austin, Texas, USA). Stage V and VI oocytes were injected with 5 ng cRNA, incubated at 18 °C, and currents recorded 18–24 h post-injection.

2.5. Electrophysiology

Xenopus oocytes were clamped at −60 mV using an OC-725 amplifier (Warner Instruments, Connecticut, USA), Digidata 1322A and the Strathclyde Electrophysiology Software Package (Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, University of Strathclyde, UK), or using the Roboocyte (MultiChannel Systems). Currents were recorded at 5 kHz and filtered at a frequency of 1 kHz. Micro-electrodes were fabricated from borosilicate glass (GC120TF-10, Harvard Apparatus, Edenbridge, Kent, UK) using a one stage horizontal pull (P-87, Sutter Instrument Company, California, USA) and filled with 3 M KCl. Pipette resistances ranged from 1.0 to 2.0 MΩ. Oocytes were perfused with ND96 at a constant rate of 12 ml min<sup>−1</sup>. Drug application was via a simple gravity fed system calibrated to run at the same rate.

Analysis and curve fitting was performed using Prism v5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA). Concentration-response data for each oocyte was normalised to the maximum
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current for that oocyte. The mean and S.E.M. for a series of oocytes were fitted to the four parameter logistic equation in Prism.

3. Results

3.1. The Varroa M2 region

Sequencing of 140 bp PCR products amplified using primers Vde_Ex7_F and Vde_Ex7_nest_R revealed there was a high degree of amino acid sequence homology to the *Drosophila* RDL receptor, but there were 4 different residues: N292H, T305M, A319S and A320Q (Fig 1). Using the prime notation, where the conserved charged residue at the cytoplasmic end of M2 is 0, these mutations correspond to N-7'H, T6'M, A20'S and A21'Q; this notation will be used for all further discussion. These alternative residues were also identified in the *V. destructor* contig sequence VDK00020745-3167.

3.2. RDL activation by GABA

Application of GABA to Xenopus oocytes expressing WT and mutant RDL receptors produced large, reversible inward currents (Fig 2). Plotting current amplitude against a range of GABA...
concentrations yielded an EC$_{50}$ for WT receptors of 5.9 μM (pEC$_{50} = 5.26 \pm 0.11$) and Hill slope of 1.6 ± 0.5 (Table 1).

3.3. Mutant receptors

Mutant Drosophila RDL subunits containing the single substitutions N-7'H, T6'M, A20'S and A21'Q were created, as well as a mutant subunit containing all 4 substitutions (4M2). As shown in Table 1, substitution T6'M and 4M2 resulted in a decrease in GABA EC$_{50}$ compared to WT receptors. Substitutions N-7'H, A20'S and A21'Q did not change EC$_{50}$s. Hill coefficients were similar for mutant and WT receptors.

3.4. Picrotoxin inhibition

Wild type RDL was inhibited by PTX with an IC$_{50}$ of 180 nM, similar to previous findings (Table 2). T6'M and 4M2 mutants were insensitive to high PTX concentrations (up to 100 μM), while N-7'H, A20'S and A21'Q substitutions did not significantly affect IC$_{50}$s. Our data show that T6'M is the most critical of the 4 atypical residues we identified in the Varroa M2 region. The 6’ residue lines the pore and has a role in the binding of a range of pore blocking compounds in many Cys-loop receptors, including PTX in GABA$_A$ and glycine receptors (Gurley et al., 1995; Shan et al., 2001), Lindane and fipronil in glycine receptors (Islam and Lynch, 2012), alcohol in GABA receptors (Johnson et al., 2012) and PTX, ginkgolides and bilobalide in 5-HT$_3$ receptors (Das and Dillon, 2005; Thompson et al., 2011). Here we found a T6'M mutation in the Drosophila RDL receptor resulted in receptors insensitive to PTX, similar to effects we have previously observed in a T6'V mutation, where PTX and ginkgolide inhibitory effects were ablated (Thompson et al., 2012), and consistent with data from a T6'M mutation found in Rhipicephalus microplus (southern cattle tick) RDL receptors which is associated with dieldrin resistance (Hope et al., 2010). The T6'M substitution also decreased the GABA EC$_{50}$ consistent with a role in gating, as has been observed in other Cys-loop receptors; e.g. in 5-HT$_3$ receptors a T6'S substitution alters the relative efficacy of a series of agonists, changing some (e.g. quipazine) from apparent agonists to potent and efficacious agonists (Thompson and Lummis, 2013).

The T6'M mutation also had a significant effect on thymol modulation. Thymol enhances responses in RDL and GABA$_A$ receptors, although its mechanism of action is not known. Thymol is one of many modulators of vertebrate GABA$_A$ receptors but, despite many decades of study, the molecular mechanisms by which these compounds modulate GABA-induced responses are not yet fully understood (see e.g Amin, 1999; Carter et al., 2010; Sancar and Czajkowski, 2011; Serafini et al., 2000; Smith and Olsen, 1995).

### Table 1
Parameters derived from GABA concentration–response curves at wild type and mutant RDL receptors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>GABA</th>
<th>pEC$_{50}$ (M)</th>
<th>EC$_{50}$ (µM)</th>
<th>$t_H$</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>5.26 ± 0.11</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-7'H</td>
<td>5.30 ± 0.05</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6'M</td>
<td>6.00 ± 0.04*</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A20'S</td>
<td>5.36 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21'Q</td>
<td>5.33 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4M2</td>
<td>5.89 ± 0.10*</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data – mean ± SEM; * significantly different to WT; one-way ANOVA, p < 0.01.

### Table 2
Picrotoxin potency at wild type and mutant RDL receptors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>pIC$_{50}$ (M)</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (nM)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>6.75 ± 0.22</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-7'H</td>
<td>6.25 ± 0.64</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6'M</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A20'S</td>
<td>7.33 ± 0.13</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21'Q</td>
<td>6.45 ± 0.25</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4M2</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data – mean ± SEM; NI – no inhibition at 100 µM.

### Fig. 3
Concentration-inhibition curves for inhibition of GABA responses by picrotoxin. Parameters obtained from these curves are shown in Table 2.
Fig. 4. A: Example traces of WT and T6’M RDL receptors activated by 1 μM GABA (black line) in the absence and presence (grey line) of 100 μM thymol. B: GABA concentration–response curves in the absence (open circles) and presence (filled circles) of 100 μM thymol. % $I_{\text{max}}$ values are WT:94 ± 3; N7’H:82 ± 4; T6’M:35 ± 9%; 4M2:52 ± 4%; A20’S:81 ± 6; and A21’Q:89 ± 4; * – significantly different to WT, $p < 0.05$. Other parameters obtained from these curves are shown in Table 3.
Thymol modification shows some similarity to-barbital modification, as barbiturates can enhance GABA-induced function, and/or directly activate or inhibit receptors, depending on concentration. Barbital-like multiple sites of action, and our data are not inconsistent with multiple sites of action of thymol at RDL receptors: The similar change in EC50 in WT and mutant receptors indicates that the mechanism by which thymol exerts this effect is not altered in T6M receptors, yet the inhibition by thymol differs, suggesting a different mechanism. Combining this with the fact that our data (Fig 4B) suggest the inhibition is non-competitive, it may be that thymol can occlude the channel pore in T6M receptors, while still acting at a distinct site to enhance the EC50. Similarly in GABA_A receptors inhibition by short-chain alcohols is mediated by 6' residues independently of their potentiating effects, which are mediated at structurally distant positions (Johnson et al., 2012; Mihic et al., 1997). More studies are required to identify where and how thymol exerts its effects on GABA_A receptors, and on RDL receptors, especially those from Varroa when their sequence is known, but the fact that there are differences in thymol effects between wild type RDL receptors and RDL receptors with Varroa-like pores, could provide an explanation for its differential effects on bees and mites (Imdorf et al., 1995).

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control</th>
<th>+Thymol</th>
<th>Fold change in EC50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>5.36 ± 0.09</td>
<td>6.03 ± 0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A20'S</td>
<td>5.36 ± 0.10</td>
<td>6.05 ± 0.16*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A21'Q</td>
<td>5.12 ± 0.04</td>
<td>5.68 ± 0.08*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-7'H</td>
<td>5.22 ± 0.05</td>
<td>5.67 ± 0.08*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6M</td>
<td>5.76 ± 0.11</td>
<td>6.28 ± 0.22*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4M2</td>
<td>5.74 ± 0.08</td>
<td>6.20 ± 0.14*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different to control; one-way ANOVA, p < 0.05; Data – mean ± SEM, n = 3–4.

While most RDL receptors identified to date have the same M2 residues as those found in Drosophila, this is not true for the M2 region of the spider mite, Tetanychus (Dermauw et al., 2012), where 3 variants of RDL have been identified: RDL1 has atypical residues in M2 at 2' (H) and 6' (I), whilst RDL2 and RDL3 have 2'S and 6'T. All 3 variants were expressed at all developmental stages, although RDL3 had slightly higher expression levels than the others. The authors observed low sensitivity of spider mites to fipronil, which is likely due to these differences in M2 residues. These variations in M2, and those in Rhipecephalus and Varroa, may be naturally occurring, but it is also worth considering if they could be the result of selection pressure from the widespread use of insecticides. If this is so, we urgently need to instigate the design of new compounds that target harmful pests. In light of this, data from a recent study are promising: the action of the novel antiparasitic isoxazoline A14443 (fluralaner) were examined on Tetanychus RDL receptors expressed in Xenopus oocytes and in whole mites (Asahi et al., 2014), and blocked these receptors with an IC50 of approximately 10 nM, despite the fact that they were resistant to fipronil. Thus the 2' and 6' residues may not be important for the action of fluralaner.

In conclusion we have shown that the amino acid residues that constitute the M2 region in Varroa mite RDL receptors differ from those in most other insects in four locations. One of these, the 6' location, is a major pore blocker binding site, and the Varroa 6' mutation in Drosophila receptors ablated PTX inhibition and thymol enhancement. Replacement of the other amino acids in the Drosophila M2 region had no significant effect on receptor function, but change the hydrophilicity profile of the pore. Our data indicate that there may be an opportunity to design or identify Varroa-specific pore blocking compounds that act at the 6' and/or the 20'/21' regions of the RDL receptor pore.
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