Hierarchy of modes in an interacting one-dimensional system
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Studying interacting fermions in 1D at high energy, we find a hierarchy in the spectral weights of the excitations theoretically and we observe evidence for second-level excitations experimentally. Diagonalising a model of fermions (without spin), we show that levels of the hierarchy are separated by powers of $R^2/L^2$, where $R$ is a length-scale related to interactions and $L$ is the system length. The first-level (strongest) excitations form a mode with parabolic dispersion, like that of a renormalised single particle. The second-level excitations produce a singular power-law line shape to the first-level mode and multiple power-laws at the spectral edge. We measure momentum-resolved tunneling of electrons (fermions with spin) from/to a wire formed within a GaAs heterostructure, which shows parabolic dispersion of the first-level mode and well-resolved spin-charge separation at low energy with appreciable interaction strength. We find structure resembling the second-level excitations, which dies away quite rapidly at high momentum.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Pm, 03.75.Kk, 73.63.Nm, 73.90.+f

The challenge of understanding interacting electrons is a major open problem. Progress has so far relied on being able to assume a linear relation between energy and momentum which restricts our understanding to the low energy and low momentum excitations where this assumption is valid. This has led to the notion of a Fermi liquid [1] and, in one-dimension, a Luttinger Liquid [2] where those excitations are described as quasiparticles. In the case of the Luttinger liquid, the quasiparticles are quite distinct from the underlying electrons. In this Letter we have studied a model of interacting fermions where we are not constrained by linearization to low energies and find that the many-body solutions can be characterised in a hierarchical fashion by their ‘spectral weight’—a quantity determining how the solutions connect to physical observables. At the top of this hierarchy is an excitation which looks like a single underlying fermion but with a new dispersion. We then look for evidence of this hierarchy by undertaking experiments of momentum-conserved tunnelling in 1D quantum wires of electrons. We see both the first and second levels of this hierarchy indicating that this characterization is a robust feature of 1D interacting electrons. Despite its differences from Luttinger-liquid behavior, we are able to show how our hierarchy crosses over to the more familiar Luttinger liquid at low energies.

Our theoretical approach is the full microscopic diagonalisation of a model of spinless fermions with short-range interactions and the evaluation of its spectral function via Bethe ansatz methods. We find that the spectral weights of excitations have factors with different powers of a ratio of lengths, $R^2/L^2$, (which will be defined below) separating them into a hierarchy. The dispersion of the mode formed by excitations with zero power, which we call the first level, is parabolic (see Fig. 1) with a mass renormalised by the Luttinger parameter $K$ [3]. The continuous spectrum of the second-level excitations produces a power-law line-shape around the first-level mode with a singular exponent $-1$. Around the hole edge ($h0a$ in Fig. 1) the continuous spectrum reproduces the spectral edge singularity predicted by the very recently proposed mobile impurity model [4] but gives a different power-law behaviour of the spectral function around the opposite particle edge ($p0b$ in Fig. 1).

Experimentally, we measure momentum-resolved tunneling of electrons (fermions with spin) confined to a 1D geometry in the top layer of a GaAs-AlGaAs double-quantum-well structure from/to a 2D electron gas in the
bottom layer. Probing the spectral function for spinful fermions in this setup we find the same general picture that emerges from the calculation for spinless fermions. We observe a single parabola (which particle-hole asymmetry is manifested in relaxation processes [5]) at high energy, together with well-resolved spin-charge separation (a distinct Luttinger-liquid effect) at low energy with appreciable interaction strength (ratio of charge and spin velocities \(v_c/v_s \approx 1.4\)) [6, 7]. In addition, we can now resolve structure just above \(k_F\) that appears to be the edge of the second-level excitations \((p\,b)\). However, for higher \(k\) we find no sign of the higher-level excitations, implying that their amplitude must have become at least three orders of magnitude weaker than for the first parabola \((h\alpha a)\). This can only be explained by the hierarchy of modes developed in the theory part of this Letter.

**Spinless fermions.** We study theoretically the model of interacting Fermi particles without spin in 1D,

\[
H = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx \left( -\frac{1}{2m} \psi^\dagger(x) \Delta \psi(x) - U L \rho(x)^2 \right),
\]

where the field operators \(\psi(x)\) satisfy the Fermi commutation relations, \\(\{\psi(x), \psi^\dagger(x')\} = \delta(x - x')\), \(\rho(x) = \psi^\dagger(x) \psi(x)\) is the particle density operator, and \(m\) is the bare mass of a single particle. Below we consider the periodic boundary condition, \(\psi(x + L) = \psi(x)\), restrict ourselves to repulsive interaction \(U > 0\) only, and take \(\hbar = 1\).

The response of a many-body system to a single-particle excitation at momentum \(k\) and energy \(\varepsilon\) is described by a spectral function that, in terms of the eigenstates, reads as [8] \(A(k, \varepsilon) = L \sum_f \left| \langle f | \psi^\dagger(0) | 0 \rangle \right|^2 \delta(\varepsilon - E_f + E_0) \delta(k - P_f) + \langle |0 \rangle \psi(0) | f \rangle |^2 \delta(\varepsilon + E_f - E_0) \delta(k + P_f) \right|\), where \(E_0\) is the energy of the ground state \(| 0 \rangle\), and \(P_f\) are the momenta and the eigenenergies of the eigenstates \(| f \rangle\); all eigenstates are assumed normalised.

In the Bethe ansatz approach the model in Eq. (1) is diagonalised by \(N\)-particle states parameterised with sets of \(N\) quasimomenta \(k_j\) that satisfy the non-linear equations \(L k_j - \sum_{i\neq j} \ln \left[ -\left( e^{i(k_j + k_i)} + 1 - 2mU e^{i k_j} / (e^{i(k_j + k_i)} + 1 - 2mU e^{i k_j}) \right) / i = 2\pi I_j \) [9], where \(I_j\) are sets of non-equal integers. The dimensionless length of the system \(L = L/R\) is normalised by the short length-scale \(R\) which is introduced using a lattice (with next-neighbor interaction) as the lattice parameter (and interaction radius) \(R\) that provides microscopically an ultraviolet cutoff for the theory. The latter procedure at high energy is analogous to the point-splitting technique [10] at low energy. Solving the lattice equations in the continuum regime, which corresponds to the thermodynamic \((N, L \to \infty\), but \(N/L\) is finite) and the long wavelength \((N/L < 1\) with \(N/L\) finite) limits, we obtain [11]

\[
k_j = \frac{2\pi I_j}{L - mU/N} - \frac{mU}{mU + 1} \sum_{i\neq j} \frac{2\pi I_i}{(L - mU/N) / mU + 1}.
\]

The corresponding eigenenergy and total momentum (protected by the translational invariance of the system) are \(E = \sum_j k_j^2 / (2m)\) and \(P = \sum_j k_j\). Using the algebraic representation of Bethe ansatz we obtain the form factor for the spectral function in the same regime as [11, 17]

\[
| \langle f | \psi^\dagger(0) | 0 \rangle |^2 = \frac{Z^{2N}}{L} \frac{\prod_j (k_j^0 - P_j)^2}{\prod_{i < j} (k_j^0 - k_i^0)^2} \prod_{i < j} (k_j^0 - k_i^0)^2, \]

where \(Z = mU / (mU + 1) / (L - N mU) / (1 + mU)\) and \(k_j^0\) are the quasimomenta of the eigenstate \(| f \rangle\) and the ground state \(| 0 \rangle\).

This result is singular when one or more quasimomenta of an excited state coincide with that of the ground state. The divergences occur in the first term of Eq. (2) but the second (which is smaller in \(1/L\)) term provides a cut-off within the theory, canceling a power of \(Z^2 \sim L^{-2}\) per singularity; when \(N\) quasimomenta \(k_j^0\) coincide with \(k_j^0\), Eq.(3) gives \(L | \langle f | \psi^\dagger(0) | 0 \rangle |^2 = 1\). We label the many-body excitations by the remaining powers of \(L^{-2}\) [18], e.g. \(p0b\): \(p\) (\(h\)) indicates the particle (hole) sector, \(0(1)\) encodes the range of momenta \(-k_F < k < k_F\) \((k_F < k < 3k_F)\), and \(a, b, c\) reflect the terms \(L^{-2n}\) with \(n = 0, 1, 2\). All simple modes, formed by single particle- and hole-like excitations of the ground state \(k_j^0\), are presented in Fig. 1 and the spectral function along them is evaluated in Table I. Note that the thermodynamic limit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(x = 0)</th>
<th>(x = 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(pza)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(hza)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(pxb)</td>
<td>(\frac{16z^2 k_b^2}{(k^2 - (k_F + \gamma)^2)^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(pxb(l))</td>
<td>(\frac{4z^2}{k_b^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(pxb(r))</td>
<td>(\frac{4z^2 (k_F - k_b)^2}{k_b^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(hxz)</td>
<td>(\frac{4z^2}{(k_F + \gamma)^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(hxz(l))</td>
<td>(\frac{4z^2}{(k_F + \gamma)^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(hxz(r))</td>
<td>(\frac{4z^2}{(k_F + 2\gamma)^2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Spectral weights \(A(k, \varepsilon_\alpha(k))\) along the \(a\)- and the \(b\)-modes for \(-k_F < k < k_F\) \((k_F < k < 3k_F)\) labeled by \(x = 0(1)\). Here \(\alpha\) is the index of the mode, e.g. \(\alpha = h\alpha a\), and other terminology is the same as in Fig. 1; \(\gamma = 2\pi/L\) and \(Z = mU / (mU + 1) / (L - N mU) / (1 + mU)\).
involves both $\mathcal{L} \to \infty$ and the particle number $N \to \infty$ and the finite ratio $N/\mathcal{L}$ ensures that the spectral weight of sub-leading modes, e.g., the modes $p0b$, $h1b$, and $h1b(r)$, is still apparent in the infinite system.

Excitations around the strongest a-modes have an additional electron-hole pair in their quasimomenta, which introduces an extra factor of $\mathcal{L}^{-2}$,

$$\langle \langle f|\psi^\dagger(0)|0\rangle \rangle^2 = \frac{Z^2}{\mathcal{L}} \left( \frac{k_2^f-k_1^f}{k_1^f-k_0^f} \right)^2 \left( \frac{k_1^0-P_f}{k_0^0-P_f} \right)^2. \quad (4)$$

The energies of the electron-hole pairs themselves are regularly spaced around the Fermi energy with slope $v_F$. However, degeneracy of the many-body excitations due to the spectral linearity makes the level spacings non-equidistant. Using a version of the spectral function smoothed over energy, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\varepsilon) = \int^{\varepsilon_\text{t}^{1/2}}_{-\varepsilon_\text{t}^{1/2}} d\varepsilon A(\varepsilon+\varepsilon,k)/\varepsilon_0$ where $\varepsilon_0$ is a small energy scale, we obtain $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\varepsilon) = Z^2 2k_F (3k_1^2 + k_2^2) / (n\gamma K) (\varepsilon_{\text{h}0\alpha} - \varepsilon)^{-1} \theta (\varepsilon_{\text{h}0\alpha} - \varepsilon)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\varepsilon) = Z^2 (k + \text{sgn} (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\text{p}1\alpha(l)}) k_F) / (n\gamma K) (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\text{p}1\alpha(l)})^{-1}$, where $\gamma = 2\pi/\mathcal{L}$ and the dispersion of the a-modes is parabolic, $\varepsilon_{\text{h}0\alpha}(k) = \varepsilon_{\text{p}1\alpha(l)}(k) = k^2/(2mK)$, with the mass renormalised by the Luttinger parameter $K$ [3], around the h0a and p1a (l) modes. The exponent $-1$ coincides with the prediction of the mobile-impurity model [19] where the spectral edge is an a-mode, h0a.

Excitations around b-modes belong to the same level of hierarchy as the modes themselves, Eq. (4), giving a more complicated shape of the spectral function. Let us focus on one mode, p0b. It has a new power-law behaviour characterised by an exponent changing with $k$ from $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\varepsilon) \sim (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\text{p}0b})^3$ for $k = 0$ to $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(\varepsilon) \sim \text{const} - (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\text{p}0b})$ for $k \approx k_F$, where $\varepsilon_{\text{p}0b}(k) = k_F^2/(4mK)$. This is essentially different from predictions of the mobile-impurity model. Here we observe that the phenomenological model in Refs. 21 is correct only for the a-mode spectral edge but higher-order edges require a different field-theoretical description. The density of states is linear, $\nu(\varepsilon) \sim (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_{\text{p}0b})$, but level statistics varies from having a regular level spacing (for $k$ commensurate with $k_F$) to an irregular distribution (for incommensurate $k$), which is another microscopic difference between a- and b-modes.

Now we use the result in Eq. (3) to calculate another observable, the local density of states. This is independent of position for translationally invariant systems and, in term of eigenmodes, is [8, 22] $\rho(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{L} \sum_f \left[ \langle \langle f|\psi^\dagger(0)|0\rangle \rangle^2 \delta (\varepsilon - E_f + E_0) + \langle |0\rangle\langle 0| f \rangle^2 \delta (\varepsilon - E_f - E_0) \right]$. The leading contribution for $\varepsilon > 0$ comes from a-modes, $\rho(\varepsilon) = \theta(\varepsilon) \sqrt{2mK/\varepsilon}$, which gives the same $1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ functional dependence as the free-particle model—see red line in Fig. 2. Around the Fermi energy the Tomonaga-Luttinger model predicts power-law suppression of $\rho(\varepsilon) \sim |\varepsilon - \mu|^{(K+K^{-1})/2-1}$ [2] (blue region in Fig. 2) signaling that the leading-order expansion in the $\mathcal{L} \langle \langle f|\psi^\dagger(0)|0\rangle \rangle^2 = 1$ result is insufficient. We evaluate $\rho(\varepsilon)$ numerically in this region using determinant representation of the form factors for the lattice model instead of Eq. (3) (inset in Fig. 2) [11, 23]. Away from the point $\varepsilon = \mu$ the particle-hole symmetry of the Tomonaga-Luttinger model is broken by the curvature of the dispersion of the a-modes. For $\varepsilon < 0$ the leading contribution to $\rho(\varepsilon)$ comes from b-modes. Using Eq. (4) we obtain $\rho(\varepsilon) = 2\pi Z^2 k_F^2 / (\gamma K) \left( k^2 + 3/2mK \right)$, where $\gamma = 2\pi/\mathcal{L}$ and the dashed line is $\rho(\varepsilon) = \text{const} |\varepsilon - \mu|^{(K+K^{-1})/2-1}$. This is essentially different from predictions of the mobile-impurity model.

Now we use the result in Fig. 2. The local density of states for spinless fermions: red and green lines show the contribution of a- and b-excitations and the blue line indicates the Luttinger-liquid regime. Inset is a log-log plot around the Fermi energy: the blue points are numerical data for $N = 71$, $L = 700$, $mV = 6$ giving $K = 0.843$, and the dashed line is $\rho(\varepsilon) = \text{const} |\varepsilon - \mu|^{(K+K^{-1})/2-1}$.

Fig. 2. Around the Fermi energy the Tomonaga-Luttinger model predicts power-law suppression of $\rho(\varepsilon) \sim |\varepsilon - \mu|^{(K+K^{-1})/2-1}$ [2] (blue region in Fig. 2) signaling that the leading-order expansion in the $\mathcal{L} \langle \langle f|\psi^\dagger(0)|0\rangle \rangle^2 = 1$ result is insufficient. We evaluate $\rho(\varepsilon)$ numerically in this region using determinant representation of the form factors for the lattice model instead of Eq. (3) (inset in Fig. 2) [11, 23]. Away from the point $\varepsilon = \mu$ the particle-hole symmetry of the Tomonaga-Luttinger model is broken by the curvature of the dispersion of the a-modes. For $\varepsilon < 0$ the leading contribution to $\rho(\varepsilon)$ comes from b-modes. Using Eq. (4) we obtain $\rho(\varepsilon) = 2\pi Z^2 k_F^2 / (\gamma K) \left| \varepsilon \right|^{1/2} \theta(-\varepsilon)$, which contains another Van Hove singularity $\rho(\varepsilon) = 2\pi Z^2 k_F^2 / (\gamma K \varepsilon)$ at the bottom the conduction band (green line in Fig. 2).

Having established the theoretical framework for expecting a hierarchy of modes in our calculation, we now turn to experiment and the evidence from momentum-conserving tunnelling of electrons. Electrons, being spin-1/2, do not directly correspond to the model above (and neither is there a method known for calculating the necessary form factors for spinful fermions). Nevertheless, the general picture that emerges for the experiment is qualitatively the same as we have established theoretically above and it provides additional support for the existence of a hierarchy.

Fermions with spin. We study experimentally in a high-mobility GaAs-AlGaAs double-quantum-well structure with electron density around $2 \times 10^{12}$ m$^{-2}$ in each
layer. Electrons in the top layer are confined to a 1D geometry by split gates. Our devices contain an array of ~500 highly regular wires to boost the signal from 1D-2D tunneling. The small lithographic width of the wires, ~0.18 µm, provides a large energy spacing between the first and second 1D subbands, allowing a wide energy window for electronic excitations in the single-subband case—see device schematic in Fig. 3f and more details in Ref. 7.

The 2DEG in the bottom layer is separated from the wires by a \( d = 14 \) nm tunnel barrier (giving a spacing between the centres of the wavefunctions of \( d = 34 \) nm). It is used as a controllable injector or collector of electrons for the 1D system [24]. A sharp spectral feature in the density of states of the 2DEG produced by integration over momenta in the direction perpendicular to the wires can be shifted in energy by a dc-bias between the layers, in order to probe different energies. Also, an in-plane magnetic field \( B \) applied perpendicular to the wires changes the longitudinal momentum in the tunneling between layers by \( \Delta k = eBd/\hbar \), where \( e \) is the electronic charge, and so probes the momentum. Together they reveal the dispersion relation of states in each layer. In this magnetic field range the system is still within the regime of Pauli paramagnetism for the electron densities in our samples.

We have measured the tunneling conductance \( G \) between the two layers (see Fig. 3f) in detail in a wide range of voltage and magnetic field, corresponding to a large portion of the 1D spectral function from \(-k_F\) to \( 3k_F \) and from \(-2\mu\) to \( 2\mu\) (Fig. 3a). At low energy we observe spin-charge separation [7]. The slopes of the charge (C) and spin (S) branches—black dashed lines—are \( v_C \approx 2.03 \times 10^5 \) ms\(^{-1}\) and \( v_S \approx 1.44 \times 10^5 \) ms\(^{-1}\), respectively, with \( v_C/v_S \approx 1.4 \pm 0.1 \) [11]. This large ratio, together with a strong zero-bias suppression of tunneling [7], confirms that our system is in the strongly interacting regime.

Unavoidable ‘parasitic’ (‘p’) tunneling from narrow 2D regions connecting the wires to the space constriction [7], superimpose a set of parabolic dispersions, marked by magenta and blue dotted lines in Fig. 3a on top of the 1D-2D signal. Apart from them we observe a 1D parabola, marked by the solid green line in Fig. 3a, which extends from the spin-excitation branch at low energy. The position of its minimum gives the 1D chemical potential \( \mu \approx 3 \) meV and its crossings with the line \( V_{dc} = 0 \), corresponding to momenta \(-k_F\) and \( k_F \), give the 1D Fermi momentum \( k_F \approx 8 \times 10^7 \) m\(^{-1}\).

All other edges of the 1D spectral function are constructed by mirroring and translation of the hole part of the observable 1D dispersion, dashed green and blue lines in Fig. 3. We observe a distinctive feature in the region just above the higher \( V_{dc} = 0 \) crossing point (\( k_F \)): the 1D peak, instead of just continuing along the non-interacting parabola, broadens, with one boundary following the parabola (p1a(l)) and the other bending around, analogous to the replica p1b. This is observed in samples with different wire designs and lengths (10 µm (a-d), and 18 µm, (e)) and at temperatures from 100 mK up to at least 300 mK. The strength of the p1b feature decreases as the \( B \) field increases away from the crossing point analogously to that for spinless fermions in Table I [25], though it then passes a ‘p’ parabola. (b) and (e) Figure 3. Measurement of the tunneling differential conductance \( G = dI/dV \) for two samples, each consisting of a set of identical wires of length \( L = 10 \mu m \) (a-d) and \( L = 18 \mu m \) (e), sketched in inset (f). (a) Intensity plot of \( dG/dV_{dc} \) to \( 2\mu \). line is the 2D dispersion. The green solid line marks \( \alpha \)-modes, dashed green lines, \( b \)-modes and dashed blue, \( c \)-modes (as in Fig. 1); dotted magenta and blue lines are parasitic 2D dispersions. Spin (S) and charge (C) modes are indicated with black dashed lines. \( T = 300 \) mK. (b) Enlargement of the replica feature in (a) just above \( k_F \). It appears as a pale band (slowly varying \( G \)) between the two green curves, after a red region (sharp rise in \( G \)). (c) The same as in (b), but with the gate voltage over most of the parasitic (‘p’) region changed to move the ‘p’ parabolae. (d) \( G \) vs \( V_{dc} \) at various fields \( B \) from 3 to 4.8 T (from (a)); ‘+’ and ‘×’ symbols on each curve indicate, respectively, the voltages corresponding to the dashed and solid (p1b and p1a(l)) green lines in (a) and (b), showing the enhanced conductance between the two. (e) \( dG/dV_{dc} \) for a second device, at \( T < 100 \) mK. The replica feature is similar to that shown in (b) and (c) for the other sample.
show the replica feature [26] for two different positions of the ‘p’ parabolae using a gate above most of the ‘p’ region, showing that the replica feature is independent of the ‘p’ tunneling. 

$G$ is plotted in (d) on cuts along the $V_{dc}$ axis of (a) at various fields $B$ from 3 to 4.8 T; between the ‘+’ and ‘×’ symbols on each curve is the region of enhanced conductance characteristic of the replica $p_{1b}$. The amplitude of the feature dies away rapidly, and beyond the ‘p’ parabolae, we have measured up to 8T with high sensitivity, and find no measurable sign of any feature above the experimental noise threshold. This places an upper limit on the amplitude of any replica away from $k_F$ of at least three orders of magnitude less than that of the $a$-mode ($h\alpha a$).

Making an analogy with the microscopic theory for spinless fermions above, we estimate the ratio of signals around different spectral edges using the 1D Fermi wavelength, $\lambda_F \approx 80 \text{ nm}$ for our samples, as the short-range scale. The amplitude of signal from the second (third)-level excitations is predicted to be smaller by a factor of more than $\lambda_F^2 / L^2 = 6 \times 10^{-5}$ ($\lambda_F^4 / L^4 = 4 \times 10^{-9}$), where the length of a wire is $L = 10 \mu m$. These values are at least an order of magnitude smaller than the noise level of our experiment. Thus, our observations are consistent with the mode hierarchy picture for fermions.

In conclusion, we have shown that a hierarchy of modes can emerge in an interacting 1D system controlled by the system length. The dominant mode for long systems has a parabolic dispersion, like that of a renormalised spinful case. That divergence of the spectral weight of a $b$-mode toward the overlaying spin and charge lines.
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The result in Eq. (3) was verified by direct summation over spatial variables for up to $N = 3$ particles.

A similar hierarchy of excitations is observed in numerical studies of spin chains at large fillings, e.g. [20], for which Eq. (3) is not applicable.

[20] This is equivalent to the conventional definition, 
\[ \rho(x, \varepsilon) = -\text{Im} \left[ \int dt e^{-i\varepsilon t} G(x, x, t) \right] / \pi, \]
using the two point correlation function \[ G(x, x', t) = -i \left\langle T \left( e^{-i\varepsilon t} \psi(x) \psi^\dagger(x') \right) \right\rangle \] at zero temperature.
[21] The regions of validity of the Tomonaga-Luttinger model and Eq. (3) have a large overlap around $\varepsilon = \mu$ since power-law suppression of $\rho(\varepsilon)$ has a very small exponent due to only small deviations from $K = 1$ for arbitrary short-range interactions between spinless fermions.
[23] From Table. I for spinless fermions it is natural to expect that divergence of the spectral weight of a $b$-mode toward an $a$-mode is a general feature, but there is no known method for performing a microscopic calculation in the spinful case.
[24] The $h\alpha b(r)$ mode should (from Table I) be comparable to $p_{1b}$ but it would be very difficult to resolve it due to the overlaying spin and charge lines.