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Introduction

Prostate cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death in men and its incidence is expected to double by 2030 due to the aging global population [1]. The traditional diagnostic tests employed for detection of prostate cancer, namely prostate-specific antigen (PSA) and transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) guided biopsy lack sensitivity and specificity. The former is specific to the prostate gland but not to prostate cancer and the latter can miss approximately 30% of tumors and under-estimate tumor aggressiveness in around a third of cases [2, 3]. Imaging was initially employed for loco-regional staging with MRI and distant staging with CT or bone scintigraphy in patients with biopsy proven cancer. However, more recent advances in MR technology and the incorporation of functional sequences alongside anatomical imaging, termed multiparametric (mp) MRI, have improved our ability to both detect and characterize prostate tumors. MR imaging for biopsy guidance has been shown to improve accuracy of tumour detection and grading [4, 5], and MRI is now seen as an essential step prior to enrollment on active surveillance programmes [6]. As a result, some authors even suggest that MRI should replace TRUS biopsy as the initial diagnostic test for prostate cancer to enable guidance of subsequent biopsy [7].

This change in practice is reflected in the updated 2014 NICE guidelines, which recommend more widespread use of MRI in the work-up of prostate cancer [6]. A recently published report from the National Prostate Cancer Audit revealed that while 99% of trusts in England that provide prostate cancer services have access to onsite MR imaging, only 75% provide mpMRI [8]. In addition, interpretation of prostate MRI entails a steep learning curve [9, 10] and requires regular reporting and audit in order to maintain reporting standards [11].

The European Society of Urogenital Radiology (ESUR) in 2012 established clinical guidelines for the acquisition, interpretation and reporting of multi-parametric MRI of the prostate in order to facilitate a greater level of standardization and consistency [12]. These recommendations, popularly referred to as Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PI-RADS), were based on literature evidence and consensus expert opinion and were modelled on similar efforts in mammography (BI-RADS) that led to the transformation of breast cancer care. A number of studies have subsequently validated PI-RADS in certain research and clinical setting, however, experience has highlighted some limitations, in part due to technical improvements and also changes in clinical practice. A joint steering committee formed by the American College of Radiology (ACR), ESUR, and the non-profit organisation AdMeTech Foundation, have recently attempted to update and improve on the original proposals. PI-RADS Version-2 was officially launched at RSNA 2014, and is now available online [13].

Herein, we summarise the main features of PI-RADS v2, explore the background evidence that form the basis of the recommendations, and highlight the key differences with PI-RADS v1 for the benefit of those already familiar with the original.

Format and scope

The incorporation of the American College of Radiology into the consortium results in the
subtle change to US-English, from the UK-English of the original European-based ESUR guidelines. The format is also fundamentally different, with the original being a 12-page article published in a peer-reviewed journal, whilst PI-RADS-v2 is a 55-page PDF document available on-line. This is necessary to meet the intended aims of being a “living” document that has scope to evolve with the accrual of clinical experience and scientific data; indeed some sections such as report templates and sample protocols remain listed as “under construction” on the earliest iteration.

The mention of PI-RADS tends to invoke thoughts of the proposed scoring system, but in truth the original document was far more than this, with information on risk stratification in prostate cancer, enrollment criteria for active surveillance programmes, recommendations on MRI protocol parameters, and how to report findings. The format of v2 allows it to be even more comprehensive, with detailed background information including an overview of normal anatomy and benign findings, and a lexicon of terminology with relevant definitions.

PI-RADS-v2 is more explicit in its scope and aims. It is designed to promote global standardization and diminish variation in the acquisition, interpretation, and reporting of prostate mpMRI examinations. Unlike the original document which struck a compromise by suggesting both “minimal” and “optimal” requirements, v2 only proposes to establish minimal technical parameters that should result in an acceptable mpMRI examination. A further aim that differs from v1 is to facilitate the use of MRI data for targeted biopsy, a reflection of the recent evolution of clinical practice [14]. Common to both, although more explicitly stated in v2, the guidelines are not intended for MRI application in the setting of suspected post-therapy recurrent prostate cancer, nor progression during surveillance, although they could be easily adopted for the latter. Unlike the original, separate protocols for “detection”, “staging” and “node and bone” are not suggested, rather a common protocol is presented, and MRI for evaluation of the skeletal system is explicitly not covered.

A combined MRI and biopsy definition of “significant” prostate cancer is proposed based on recent clinical experience [15, 16]: Gleason score ≥7 (including 3+4 with prominent but not predominant Gleason 4 component), and/or volume ≥0.5cc, and/or extraprostatic extension (EPE). This is relevant to the stated aims of improving detection of significant cancer, whilst increasing confidence in calls of benign or insignificant disease, in order to reduce unnecessary biopsies and treatment.

MRI Acquisition

PI-RADS-v1 recommended the combination of high-resolution T2-weighted images (T2WI), and at least two functional MRI techniques, which could be diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), dynamic contrast-enhance (DCE), or MR spectroscopy imaging (MRSI). In version-2 MRSI is no longer recommended for PI-RADS assessment, with mpMRI consisting of anatomical imaging, DWI, and DCE alone. It is further noted that if functional imaging with both DWI and DCE is absent or inadequate, the MRI assessment should be limited to staging.

Pre-procedure
Minimum expected requirements are explicitly stated now for providing a relevant clinical history, namely recent PSA level and PSA history, family history, previous treatment, digital rectal examination findings, and biopsy status and result. PI-RADS-v1 recommended waiting at least 4-6 weeks between biopsy and MRI. The new version recommends waiting at least 6 weeks when the purpose is for staging, but acknowledges that there may be no need for post-biopsy imaging delay if the primary purpose of the exam is to detect and characterize clinically significant cancer within the gland, based on the superior specificity of DWI utilizing high b-values [17].

Patient preparation

It is acknowledged that at present there is a lack of consensus regarding issues of patient preparation. Antispasmodic agents are considered beneficial but not essential and use can be decided locally, based on considerations of cost, drug availability and potential for adverse drug reactions. An endorectal coil (ERC) is not routinely recommended, although with older generation 1.5T MRI systems, an ERC may be indispensable for achieving diagnostic quality imaging [18]. The patient should evacuate the rectum just prior to the MRI exam to minimise the presence of stool and rectal air which can lead to susceptibility artefact and distortion on DWI. If significant air is noted on initial imaging, consideration should be given to performing the remainder of the examination in a prone position or attempts made to decompress the rectum. Although in some centres abstention from ejaculation 72 hours prior to scanning is employed to maintain seminal vesicle distention, a benefit for this is not established and is therefore not recommended.

MR Protocols

In contradistinction to the original guidance, v2 proposes just one protocol for MR imaging of the prostate, with no separate parameters offered for 1.5T protocols. 3.0T is considered superior to 1.5T, however, both 1.5T and 3.0T can provide adequate and reliable diagnostic exams when acquisition parameters are optimized and appropriate contemporary technology is employed. 1.5T should be used for patients with implants that are MR conditional at 1.5T but not at 3.0T, or where artefact from implants such as hip replacements will compromise imaging at 3.0T.

There is also a strong recommendation that the imaging plane angle, location, and slice thickness are identical for all sequences. If image quality of any sequence is suboptimal, measures should be taken to repeat the sequence. The technical parameters recommended for T2, DWI and DCE sequences are summarized in Table 1, a brief overview of the key points for each sequence and changes is given below.

Anatomical imaging

Axial T1W images remain essential to assess for biopsy-related haemorrhage. These can be with or without fat suppression and should match the other axial sequences, although lower spatial resolution may be employed. Multiplanar T2WI should incorporate the axial, sagittal, and coronal planes; the “detection” protocol in v1 only recommended axial and sagittal imaging. 3D axial acquisitions may be used, however, in-plane resolution may be lower than the equivalent 2D acquisition and these should therefore be used as an adjunct to, rather than a replacement for 2D imaging.
Diffusion-weighted imaging

DWI should incorporate a minimum of two b-values and a mono-exponential model of signal decay, which is in contrast to the original PI-RADS where at least 3 b-values were recommended, with prescribed values of 0, 100 and 800–1000 s/mm². This is set as the minimum requirement and if only two b-values are acquired, the lowest should be 50–100 sec/mm² (not b-0) and the highest should be 800–1000 sec/mm². In addition, the acquisition of “high b-value” images is recommended, utilising a b-value of at least 1400 sec/mm², or up to 2000 sec/mm², if SNR remains adequate. The original v1 recommended using the ≥800 sec/mm² as the “high b-value” series for interpretation purposes. However, despite these changes, the recommendations for ADC maps essentially remain the same with exclusion of any b-0 data to avoid pseudo-perfusion effects [19], and utilizing a high b-value of no greater than 1000 s/mm² for calculation purposes.

Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI

PI-RADS-v2 now recommends a fat-suppressed sequence to improve lesion conspicuity; subtraction can be used as a replacement or as a post-processing adjunct in cases of biopsy-related haemorrhage. The most widely used method of assessing DCE is visual assessment of each slice by cine loop or manually scrolling, and there is currently insufficient evidence to recommend the routine use of pharmacodynamic (PD) analysis. Parametric maps can be calculated depending on local preference, but any suspicious findings should always be confirmed on the source images. An injection rate of 2-3 ml/s should be employed, with a temporal resolution of <10 seconds and preferably <7 seconds in order to depict focal early enhancement. This differs from the original guidelines of a 15 seconds temporal resolution, with a higher temporal resolution of <10 s suggested when PD analysis is to be performed.

Whilst version 1 stated the minimum length of acquisition post-injection in order to detect washout as being 5 minutes, v2 simply states a total observation time of ≥2minutes, this relates to the de-emphasis of PD analysis that requires longer acquisition periods for DCE.

MRI Interpretation

It is emphasised that the assigned PI-RADS-v2 score is based solely on mpMRI findings and does not take into account clinical factors that may be of key relevance such as PSA, clinical history, or previous biopsy results. As such reporters may choose to use a Likert scale of probability to reflect these factors (Figure 1). Typically an overall PI-RADS score of 4 or 5 means a biopsy is recommended. Subtle changes have been made to the 5-point scoring systems for T2WI findings in the PZ and TZ and for diffusion-weighted imaging findings (Table 2). DCE-MRI has changed from a 5-point scale to simply being either “positive” or “negative”. Additionally, at the end of the document, example images are provided for all PI-RADS categories for each of the three sequences in both the PZ and TZ.

The concept of a “dominant sequence” is formally introduced. DWI is the key sequence for the PZ, and T2WI predominates in the TZ. Simplified guidance tables help to emphasize this interpretation and how to assign the final PI-RADS-v2 score (Table 3), essentially the dominant sequence score is the final score for 1, 2, 4, or 5 and for scores of 3, the secondary sequence (DCE in PZ and DWI in TZ) may change the score from 3 to 4. The system proposed helps provide some clarity, as previous authors have used PI-RADS-v1 in a variety of ways:
using a Likert system based on PI-RADS descriptors [20], employing a summed PI-RADS score from all sequences with a cut-off [21-23], or using an average score [24]. Early studies have already been performed assessing the PI-RADS-v2 system [25], and it will be interesting to test inter-observer variation and outcomes compared to final pathology.

T2-weighted Scoring
Anatomical imaging was previously the mainstay of prostate MRI and axial T2WI remains the key sequence for local staging, including extracapsular extension and seminal vesicle invasion, and for the assessment of the transition zone. If the T2WI PI-RADS score in the TZ is 3, this can be upgraded to a score of 4 if DWI, the secondary sequence, shows strong restricted diffusion (category 4, 5). However, it is noted that diffusion is often reduced in BPH nodules so caution should be exercised in this setting. T2WI findings in the peripheral zone lack specificity, low signal can be due acute or chronic prostatitis, post-inflammatory scars and atrophy, haemorrhage, and previous treatment, as well as tumour [26]. As a result, DWI is the dominant sequence used in the PZ. It should be emphasized that in the normal PZ, it is unusual for diffusion to be very restricted in conditions other than cancer.

The main change in the T2WI scoring system relates to category 4 and 5. Previously lesions needed to show either a suspicion for early (capsular bulging or broad contact) or established extra-capsular extension (ECE) to reach a score of 5. In the updated system, the criteria retain the latter, but replace the former with a size cut-off of 1.5 cm (Figure 2). Of note, the use of the terms “erased charcoal” to described TZ tumours and “organised chaos” to describe the normal transition zone appearance due to BPH on T2 have been dropped from the summary scoring system. These somewhat evocative terms are however retained within the text of the article and it is sensible for the reader to keep them in mind when interpreting the T2 sequences. The description of TZ tumours having a lenticular or “tear-drop” shape [27] is retained. A formal definition of what constitutes category 3 findings is provided for PZ and TZ alongside the original article’s slightly ambiguous statement of not otherwise being in categories 1, 2, 4, or 5.

Diffusion-weighted imaging Scoring
Non-cancerous nodules of BPH can contain stroma or have a high cellular density and can therefore demonstrate restricted diffusion [28], thus DWI is the secondary sequence to T2 for TZ interpretation. DWI is however the main sequence for interpretation of the PZ, with DCE the secondary sequence, thus a DWI score of 3 in the PZ can be upgraded to category 4 if the matching DCE is positive. The scoring system for DWI in categories 2-5 has changed considerably compared to version 1 (Table 2). As with the T2WI scoring, the distinction between categories 4 and 5 is based on a size cut-off of 1.5cm or features of ECE, this offers consistency between the two dominant sequences.

It is emphasized that absolute ADC values should be used with caution as these can vary substantially depending on the value and number of b-values selected, the magnet strength, the vendor, and inter-patient variability [29-31]. PI-RADS-v2 does however suggest that an ADC threshold of 750-900 μm²/sec can be used for differentiation between benign and malignant prostate tissues in the PZ. It is also recommended that ADC maps are consistently viewed with the same contrast windowing, set to adequately portray clinically significant prostate cancers as markedly hypointense on ADC maps. Clearly these will need to be
taiored to the particular scanner and advice from radiologists who have experience with a
similar magnet may be helpful. In our experience, using a window width of 1400 μm²/sec
and window level of 1400 μm²/sec sets the grey-scale range from 700 – 2100 μm²/sec, with
potentially significant ADC values of <700 μm²/sec therefore appearing as “black”.

Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI Scoring
The interpretation of DCE and the application of its results have changed significantly from
version 1. Although the role of DCE-MRI appears downplayed, PI-RADS-v2 explicitly states
that DCE should be included in all prostate mpMRI examinations to avoid missing some
small but significant tumours [32]. Additionally, in situations when one of the other
sequences is non-diagnostic, DCE can be helpful in assessing risk.

Considerable effort was previously expended in v1 looking at “curvology” of contrast wash-
in and wash-out from the prostate and prostatic lesions. Three curve patterns are described:
Type I, progressive enhancement, Type II, plateau of enhancement, and Type III, contrast
washout. Curve typing works well in breast imaging where Type I is considered benign (only
8.9% of tumours demonstrate this pattern), Type II is intermediate probability, and a Type III
curve is considered malignant (only 5.5% of benign lesions show this pattern) [33]. PI-RADS-
v2 endorsed the visual assessment of specific curve types along with focality and asymmetry
of enhancement in order to create a 5-point DCE score. However, the enhancement
characteristics of prostate show great heterogeneity: BPH nodules are often hypervascular
(Figure 3), the absence of early enhancement usually adds little information, and diffuse
enhancement can be seen in the setting of prostatitis. Furthermore, there is little evidence
in the literature to support the qualitative use of curve types for identifying prostate cancer
[34]. Indeed Hansford, et al [35] recently showed that despite good inter-observer
agreement on curve-type assignment, curvology cannot reliably differentiate prostate
cancer from benign prostate tissue, with the majority of tumours demonstrating Type 2
enhancement curves (Figure 4).

As a result, PI-RADS-v2 does not consider the type of curve important, with DCE only classed
as “positive” in the presence of focal enhancement that corresponds to a suspicious finding
on T2 and/or DWI. DCE is considered the secondary sequence for the PZ, and lesions scoring
3 on DWI can be upgraded to category 4 overall if DCE is positive. DCE may also be helpful in
prioritization when multiple lesions are present in the same patient, or for identification of
the index lesion. Although DCE-MRI has no role to play in the transition zone in the presence
of good quality T2WI and DWI, it can be utilized as a secondary sequence if DWI evaluation
in part or all of the gland is technically compromised.

Although DCE-MRI is often the key sequence for identifying recurrent disease after previous
focal or whole-gland treatment [36-38], PI-RADS v2 is specifically aimed at assessment of
treatment naïve patients.

Staging
T2-weighted imaging is the key sequence for determining the “T-stage” of tumours.
Distinction between T1 and T2 disease and their subsets is less important than determining
organ-confined (T1-2 disease) versus extra-prostatic extension (T3a disease), seminal vesicle
involvement (T3b), or invasion of the pelvic side wall or other organs (T4 disease). Although
some work suggests DWI may help in the detection of ECE [39], this benefit may partially
relate to more confident tumour localization; clearly initial identification of the tumour
using anatomical and functional sequences is an aid to accurate staging. In version 1 extra-
prostatic involvement (T3a/b) was also scored on a five-point scale and, although a formal
scale is no longer employed, the features to look for are retained.

Imaging features suggesting extra-prostatic extension include overt ECE, capsular bulging or
irregularity, broad capsular contact (>1.0 cm), filling in of the retroprostatic angle, and
asymmetry or invasion of the neurovascular bundles. Recent work has demonstrated that
the degree of tumour contact length with the capsule is a better predictor than both
pathological tumour volume and clinical nomograms: capsular contact >2cm demonstrated
an accuracy of 82% for predicting ECE, whereas with contact <1cm the chance of ECE was
<5% [40].

The MRI features of seminal vesicle invasion (SVI) are low T2W signal, restricted diffusion,
abnormal contrast enhancement, obliteration of the angle between the seminal vesicle and
prostatic base, and demonstration of contiguous tumour extension. Three patterns of SVI
have been described: Type I, direct spread along the ejaculatory ducts, Type II, direct
invasion from tumour through the capsule into the seminal vesicles, and Type III, metastasis
from a remote non-contiguous primary in the prostate. Ohori et al in 1993 demonstrated
SVI as being Type I in 26%, Type II in 33%, combined Types I and II in 28%, and Type III in
13% of cases [41]. A more recent study showed an almost equal split between Type I and II,
but with no Type III disease [42]. Although the type of seminal vesicle invasion may not be
clinically relevant and is not routinely reported by pathologists [43], it is worth noting the
relative rarity of Type III involvement if the tumour is confidently identified in a location
remote from the base or the confluence with the ejaculatory ducts at the verumontanum.

Unlike version 1, there is no dedicated “nodes and bones” protocol, as the imaged
population will be expected to have either organ-confined or locally advanced disease. At
least one sequence should employ a field-of-view (FOV) that permits evaluation of lymph
nodes up to the level of the aortic bifurcation. MRI assessment of lymph nodes remains
limited to morphological features and size, with nodes >8mm in short axis regarded as
suspicious. DWI is not considered a reliable discriminator between benignity and metastatic
involvement; normal nodes can have an impeded diffusion due to their high cellularity, and
lymph nodes have a relatively long T2 relaxation time and will therefore appear as high
signal intensity structures on b-value DWI [44]. This latter property can be exploited by
using the high b-value sequence as a means of identifying and mapping out nodes [45],
which can then be evaluated on the anatomical sequences. The imaged bones should be
reviewed for metastases, and again the high b-value imaging may aid conspicuity (Figure 5).

**MRI Reporting**

The original PI-RADS article stated the use of a structured reporting scheme was preferred.
The benefits of proforma-type reporting have been championed [46], and this is consistent
with the RSNA radiology reporting initiative for improving reporting practices. PI-RADS v2
has provision for example template reports in Appendix I, but these remain “under
Lesions with a PI-RADS score of 3, 4, or 5 should be reported, up to a maximum of four. The index (dominant) lesion should be identified. This is defined as the lesion with the highest PIRADS score, if this is assigned to two or more lesions, the index lesion should be the largest lesion, or the one that shows EPE. The minimum requirement is to report the largest dimension of a suspicious finding on an axial image, if the largest dimension is derived from the sagittal and/or coronal images, this measurement and plane should also be reported.

Consistent with the predominant sequences previously described, PZ lesions should be measured on ADC maps and TZ lesions measured on T2W. Unless direct lesion volumetry is available, overall gland volume should be recorded using the ellipse formula (maximum AP diameter) x (maximum transverse diameter) x (maximum longitudinal diameter) x 0.52.

Lesion location should be reported according to the sector map to enable localization of findings and as a visual aid for subsequent biopsy and/or treatment planning. Either a paper copy or scanned electronic version of the map should be used for recording. PI-RADS-v1 suggested a minimum requirement of dividing the prostate into 16 regions, with an optimal requirement of 27 regions. The updated recommendations are 36 sectors for the prostate, with an additional two for the seminal vesicles and one for the external urethral sphincter.

Although there was no diagrammatic representation in the original paper, the recommendations were based on the scheme proposed by Dickinson et al [47] and developed from earlier work by Villers, et al [48] and Haffner, et al [49]. Herein, the gland is separated into left and right and medial and lateral at the level of the apex, mid, and base. There is further division into anterior and posterior by the “17-mm line”, which is based on the likely reach of a 20 mm transrectal biopsy needle. An interim update by Barentsz, et al [50] proposed the 36-sector partition, with additional division of the anterior stroma levels into left and right and further splitting the transition zone into anterior and posterior above and below the 17-mm line. For PI-RADS v2, a new diagram has been drawn based on the earlier work, which now incorporates the central zone (Figure 6), but includes only alphabetic abbreviations in contradistinction to the alphanumeric labels of the earlier schemes.

Conclusions
PI-RADS version 2 offers a comprehensive overview of the role of multiparametric MRI for the initial assessment of prostate cancer (Table 4). The diagnostic work-up and treatment of prostate cancer continues to progress rapidly, and the nature of PI-RADS v2 as a “living” online document will allow it to adapt and match this clinical evolution. It is now the task of the imaging community to test the validity of PI-RADS v2 including its sensitivity and specificity for clinically significant disease, its reproducibility among readers with different experience levels and between centres, and its role in active surveillance decisions. As a living document, there is no doubt that version 3 is already in its earliest stages.
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• **T2-weighted imaging (axial, sagittal, coronal planes)**
  - Field-of-view: 12-20 cm to encompass the entire prostate gland and seminal vesicles
  - 3 mm slice thickness, 0 mm gap
  - In-plane resolution: ≤ 0.7mm (phase) x ≤0.4mm (frequency)

• **Diffusion-weighted imaging (axial plane)**
  - Free-breathing spin echo EPI sequence combined with spectral fat saturation is recommended
  - Slice thickness to match T2WI
  - TE: ≤ 90 msec; TR : > 3000 msec
  - Field-of-view: 16-22 cm
  - In plane dimension: ≤ 2.5mm phase and frequency
  - At least 2 b-values should be acquired in three orthogonal directions. If only 2 b-values are utilised, these should be 50-100 s/mm² (low) and 800-1000 s/mm² (high)
  - “High b-value” acquisition with b-1400 – 2000 s/mm², depending on achievable quality of SNR
  - ADC map calculation: low b-value should be ≥50 sec/mm², high b-value should be >800 s/mm², up to a maximum of 1000 s/mm²

• **Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (axial plane)**
  - Fat-saturated 2D or 3D T1 gradient echo (GRE) sequence; 3D is preferred
  - Slice thickness to match T2WI
  - Injection rate: 2-3 ml/sec
  - TR / TE: <100msec / <5msec
  - In-plane dimension: ≤2mm X ≤2mm
  - Temporal resolution: ≤10 sec (<7 sec is preferred)
  - Pharmacodynamic analysis does not have to be performed

### Table 1. Acquisition protocols: Technical parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T2W for Peripheral Zone</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Uniform high signal intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Linear, wedge shaped, or geographic areas of lower SI, usually not well demarcated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Heterogeneous signal intensity or non-circumscribed, rounded, moderate hypointensity. Or other not in categories 1/2 or 4/5 [Intermediate, not 1/2 or 4/5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Circumscribed, homogenous moderate hypointense focus/mass confined to prostate and &lt;1.5 cm in greatest dimension [No size stated]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Same as 4 but ≥1.5cm in greatest dimension or definite ECE/invasive behavior [Broad &gt;1.5 cm capsule contact]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T2W for Transition Zone</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Heterogeneous intermediate SI [Used terms well-defined margins and “organised chaos”]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Circumscribed hypointense or heterogeneous encapsulated nodule(s) (BPH)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Heterogeneous signal intensity with obscured margins. Or other not in categories 1/2 or 4/5
   [Intermediate, not 1/2 or 4/5]

4 Lenticular or non-circumscribed, homogeneous, moderately hypointense, and <1.5 cm in
greatest dimension
   [No size stated. Used term “erased charcoal sign”]

5 Same as 4, but ≥1.5cm in greatest dimension or definite ECE/invasive behavior
   [Involving the anterior fibromuscular stroma or the anterior horn of the PZ]

Diffusion-weighted Imaging

1 No abnormality (i.e. normal) on ADC and high b-value DWI

2 Indistinct hypointense on ADC
   [Also diffuse hyper SI on ≥b800 image; no focal features]

3 Focal mildly/moderately hypointense on ADC and isointense/mildly hyperintense on high
   b-value DWI
   [Intermediate, not 1/2 or 4/5]

4 Focal markedly hypointense on ADC and markedly hyperintense on high b-value DWI; <1.5cm in
   greatest dimension
   [Iso-intense on high b-value. No size stated]

5 Same as 4 but ≥1.5cm in greatest dimension or definite ECE/invasive behaviour
   [Focal, hyper SI on the high b-value images with reduced ADC]

Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI

- No early enhancement OR
  Diffuse enhancement not corresponding to a focal finding on T2 and/or DWI
  OR
  Focal enhancement corresponding to a lesion demonstrating features of BPH on T2WI

+ Focal AND
  Earlier than or contemporaneously with enhancement of adjacent normal prostatic tissues
  Corresponds to suspicious finding on T2 and/or DWI

Table 2. PI-RADS v-2 scoring systems. Differences from version 1 stated in parentheses; ECE
= Extra-Capsular Extension, BPH = Benign Prostatic Hypertrophy, ADC = apparent diffusion
co-efficient, DWI = Diffusion-Weighted Imaging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peripheral Zone</th>
<th>DWI score (Dominant sequence)</th>
<th>DCE score (Secondary sequence)</th>
<th>T2WI score</th>
<th>Overall PIRADS-v2 score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>- ve</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+ ve</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition Zone</th>
<th>T2WI score (Dominant sequence)</th>
<th>DWI score (Secondary sequence)</th>
<th>DCE score</th>
<th>Overall PIRADS-v2 score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Guidance for assignment of overall PIRADS-v2 score

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>≤4</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Format and scope**
  - Intended as a “living” web-based document that will evolve with clinical practice
  - Explicitly only for initial MRI examination, not for follow-up in Active Surveillance or assessment post-treatment
  - Only proposes minimal technical parameters for an acceptable mpMRI examination, with the previous “optimal” parameters omitted

- **MRI Acquisition**
  - Spectroscopy is no longer used for PIRADS assessment
  - No delay in MRI post-biopsy if the primary purpose is to detect and characterize “clinically significant” cancer
  - Anti-peristaltic medications recommended but no longer considered essential
  - Single MRI protocol recommended, in contrast to original “detection”, “staging” and “node and bone” protocols

- **Interpretation**
  - PI-RADS-v2 score is based solely on mpMRI findings and does not take into account clinical factors
  - Typically an overall PI-RADS score of 4 or 5 means a biopsy should be considered in this region, but the score does not explicitly state recommendations for management
  - DWI is the dominant sequence for interpretation in the PZ, T2WI predominates for TZ assessment
  - The secondary sequences are DCE in the PZ and DWI in the TZ. If the primary sequence has a PI-RADS score of 3, the secondary sequence may change the category to 4.
  - For category 5 assessment in both the transition zone and peripheral zone, lesions must be more than 1.5 cm in size or demonstrate extraprostatic or invasive changes
  - ADC maps should be consistently viewed with the same contrast windowing. Absolute ADC values should be interpreted with caution.
  - For DCE-MRI interpretation, the presence of focal enhancement is important rather than the type of curve
  - T2WI remains key for the purposes of staging

- **Reporting**
  - Structured reports are recommended. Examples are yet to be provided
  - Lesions with an overall PI-RADS score ≥3 should be reported, up to a maximum of 4 lesions, with the index lesion identified
  - The maximal axial dimensions of a lesion should be reported using the ADC map as reference for PZ lesions and T2WI for TZ lesions
  - Sector Maps consist of 36 prostatic regions, compared to 16 (minimal) and 27 (optimal) in v1. The gland is again divided into anterior and posterior by the “17-mm line”, based on the likely reach of a 20 mm transrectal biopsy needle
  - The new schema incorporates the central zone and includes only alphabetic rather than alphanumeric abbreviations

Table 4. Summary of key differences proposed in PIRADS-v2
Figure legends

Figure 1. Importance of clinical context
60 year old referred for raised PSA. Axial T2WI (A) demonstrates a focal lesion in the left mid PZ (arrows), with matching restricted diffusion (B); PI-RADS score 4. Subsequent transperineal fused US-MRI targeted-biopsy is planned (C), with sagittal US image confirming needle placement in the lesion (D). Histology confirms high-grade PIN. MRI repeated at 18 months shows no change in the previously sampled lesion (E, F). Although the PI-RADS score is based solely on mpMRI findings and remains 4, when taking account of clinical context, the report conclusion would more appropriately state that clinically significant cancer is unlikely to be present.

Figure 2. Differences in T2 scoring for high probability targets
77 year-old patient with a PSA of 17 ng/ml. A: T2WI imaging shows a large (2.5 x 1.5 cm) lesion centred in the left transition zone at the level of the mid gland (arrow), with matching restricted diffusion (B, C). PI-RADS v1 scores: 4 for T2 as no features of ECE and no broad capsular contact, 5 for DWI. PI-RADS v2 overall score 5: T2 is the dominant sequence and the lesion is >1.5 cm, despite no features of ECE. Subsequent targeted biopsy confirms Gleason 4+5 disease (90% core involvement) in the left medial mid gland and Gleason 5+5 (60% involvement) in the left posterior lateral gland.
Figure 3. False-positive DCE result, correctly classed as low probability for tumour with PI-RADS v2 criteria
63 year-old man, serum PSA = 2.31 ng/ml. A: T2WI imaging shows an area of intermediate signal with geographical features in the right mid/apex PZ (arrows) with no matching restricted diffusion (B,C). The region shows focal early enhancement on DCE-MRI (arrow in D), with a Type I curve. PI-RADS v1 scores: 2 for T2, 2 for DWI, 5 for DCE, summed score = 9. PI-RADS v2 overall score 2 (DWI is the dominant PZ sequence) despite being “positive” for DCE. Targeted biopsy of this region was benign.

Figure 4. False-negative DCE, correctly evaluated as likely tumour using PI-RADS v2 criteria
60 year-old patient with a PSA of 4.48 ng/ml. A: T2WI imaging shows a focal area of intermediate/low signal the right mid/apex PZ (arrows), with marked matching restricted diffusion (B, C). The region shows diffuse, but no focal or early enhancement on DCE-MRI
(arrow in D), with a Type I curve. PI-RADS v1 scores: 3 for T2, 5 for DWI, 1 for DCE, summed score = 9. PI-RADS v2 overall score 5 (DWI is the dominant PZ sequence) despite being “negative” for DCE. Targeted biopsy demonstrated a Gleason 3+3 tumour in 10% of the cores.

Figure 5. High b-value images aid detection of bone metastases

68 year old with rising PSA, two years post prostatectomy. A: axial T2-weighted imaging shows a subtle low intensity area in the left acetabulum (arrow). B: Axial b-1400 diffusion-weighted sequence; the lesion demonstrates restricted diffusion and appears more conspicuous on these high b-value images.

Figure 6. Sector maps for division of prostatic regions
PI-RADS v2 schema incorporating the central zone and utilizing alphabetic abbreviations; PZ = peripheral zone, TZ = transition zone, a = anterior, p = posterior, pm = postero-medial, pl = postero-lateral, AS = anterior stroma. Used with Permission David Rini ©, Johns Hopkins University.