Assessing the effect of reducing agents on the selective catalytic reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} over Ag/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalysts
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The selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NO\textsubscript{x} in the presence of different reducing agents over Ag/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} prepared by wet impregnation was investigated by probing catalyst activity and using NMR relaxation time analysis to probe the strength of surface interaction of the various reducing agent species and water. The results reveal that the strength of surface interaction of the reducing agent relative to water, the latter present in engine exhausts as a fuel combustion product and, in addition, produced during the SCR reaction, plays an important role in determining catalyst performance. Reducing agents with weak strength of interaction with the catalyst surface, such as hydrocarbons, show poorer catalytic performance than reducing agents with a higher strength of interaction, such as alcohols. This is attributed to the greater ability of oxygenated species to compete with water in terms of surface interaction with the catalyst surface, hence reducing the inhibiting effect of water molecules blocking catalyst sites. The results support the observations of earlier work in that the light off-temperature and maximum NO\textsubscript{x} conversion and temperature at which that occurs are sensitive to the reducing agent present during reaction, and the proposal that improved catalyst performance is caused by increased adsorption strength of the reducing agent, relative to water, at the catalyst surface. Importantly, the NMR relaxation time analysis approach to characterising the strength of adsorption more readily describes the trends in catalytic behaviour than does a straightforward consideration of the polarity (i.e., relative permittivity) of the reducing agents studied here. In summary, this paper describes a simple approach to characterising the interaction energy of water and reducing agent so as to aid the selection of reducing agent and catalyst to be used in SCR conversions.

Introduction

The selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NO\textsubscript{x} to N\textsubscript{2} has drawn considerable attention in the past ten years\textsuperscript{1,2} due to its effectiveness in removing NO\textsubscript{x} from various exhausts in the presence of excess oxygen, a condition typically found in diesel engine exhausts.\textsuperscript{3} Metal nanoparticles supported on porous oxides are perhaps the most widely used type of catalysts;\textsuperscript{2} of these, Ag/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalysts have shown promising results due to their ability to reduce NO\textsubscript{x} in both laboratory and full-scale tests.\textsuperscript{1}

One important aspect of the SCR reaction is the choice of the reducing agents and how this affects the catalytic performances. Indeed, the nature of the reducing agent can greatly affect SCR catalyst activity. Hydrocarbons, like those typically presents in fuel mixtures, are perhaps the most common reducing agents.\textsuperscript{2,3,5} However, the use of other reducing agents such as alcohols, has also been investigated.\textsuperscript{6} Alcohols appear to be better reducing agents, showing much lower light-off temperatures (i.e., the temperature at which 50% of conversion is achieved) relative to hydrocarbons.\textsuperscript{2} This is thought to be due to the greater ability of alcohols relative to hydrocarbons to compete with water for adsorption sites on the catalyst.\textsuperscript{2}

Shimizu\textsuperscript{7} suggested that adsorption properties of the reducing agent affect the rate at which surface acetates, important intermediate species during the SCR reaction, are formed. Therein, the importance of competitive adsorption with water was also highlighted, suggesting that the use of reducing agents with a greater enthalpy of adsorption results in lesser inhibition of reducing agent adsorption by competitive water adsorption, which leads to higher water tolerance and greater reactivity.\textsuperscript{3}

It is, therefore, clear that adsorption properties of reducing agent molecules over the catalyst surface are of
significant importance for SCR reactions. However, it is our understanding that a detailed experimental study of surface interactions between different reducing agents and SCR catalysts, aiming at validating the current hypothesis, has not yet been reported. The issue of characterising competitive adsorption processes is recognised as being of importance in understanding SCR processes, as well as the wider field of heterogeneous catalysis and surface science. It is clear that the nature of the reducing agent has a strong effect on SCR catalytic activity. In the literature it has been reported that oxi-nated molecules, such as alcohols, give improved catalyst performances compared to hydrocarbons, which is thought to originate from competitive adsorption effects between reducing agent molecules and water, the latter being able to inhibit the catalyst sites. This is indeed a plausible explanation, although it has to be said that, according to our knowledge, there is little experimental evidence to support it.

NMR relaxation time analysis provides a mean of probing surface interactions between adsorbate/adsorbent by probing changes in molecular dynamics of molecules due to the proximity of a solid surface. In particular, the \( T_1/T_2 \) ratio, \( T_1 \) being the NMR spin–lattice relaxation time and \( T_2 \) the NMR transverse spin relaxation time, is an indicator of the strength of surface interaction. This ratio has been recently used to understand catalytic performances in several heterogeneous catalytic processes and was also used in our previous work to understand the effect of ball milling on the SCR reaction of \( n \)-octane over Ag/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalysts. Therein, it was shown that surface modifications due to ball milling of the catalyst may increase the catalytic performance by reducing the competitive adsorption of water relative to the hydrocarbon, which highlighted the importance of competitive adsorption with water during the SCR reaction.

In the current work, we focus on investigating the strength of surface interaction of different reducing agents and see how this reflects on catalytic performances. We have studied the SCR of NO\(_x\) in the presence of various reducing agents, namely toluene, \( n \)-octane and ethanol, over Ag/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) prepared by standard wet impregnation. NMR \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) relaxation measurements, from which \( T_1/T_2 \) ratios were also calculated, were used to quantify the strength of surface interaction of the different reducing agents and water on the catalyst in order to understand to what extent this parameter affects the overall catalyst activity. In earlier work, we have shown that \( T_1/T_2 \) gives a characterisation of the strength of a molecule–surface interaction and this value can be related, following appropriate calibration, directly to the adsorption energy as determined by temperature-programmed desorption analysis.

### Experimental

#### Materials and chemicals

Toluene (≥99.5%), ethanol (≥99.5%) and \( n \)-octane (≥99%) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and were used without any pre-treatment. Deionised water was obtained from a laboratory water purification system (ELGA DV 25). The wet impregnated 2% Ag/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst was prepared using a procedure previously reported.

#### Activity tests

The catalytic activity tests were performed as reported elsewhere. For completeness, the essential details are also given here. Typically, the catalytic activity tests over Ag/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst were carried out in a fixed-bed flow reactor system, consisting of a quartz reactor tube. The catalyst was held in place between plugs of quartz wool and a K-type thermocouple was placed in the centre of the catalyst bed. Each of the gases in the feed system was controlled individually by mass flow controllers, while the hydrocarbon and water vapour were introduced to the system by means of separate saturators with Ar as a carrier gas. The hydrocarbon used was supplied using a saturator placed in an ice/water bath. The H\(_2\)O saturator temperature was controlled using a thermostatic bath. All the lines following the water saturator were trace-heated to prevent condensation. A feed gas stream consisting of 720 ppm NO\(_x\); 542 ppm \( n \)-C\(_8\)H\(_{18}\), or 620 ppm C\(_7\)H\(_{16}\), or 2170 ppm CH\(_3\)CH\(_2\)OH; 4.3% O\(_2\), 7.2% H\(_2\)O; 7.2% CO\(_2\); and Ar balance was introduced to the reactor, which was heated from 150 to 600 °C and then back down to 150 °C stepwise at 50 °C intervals dwelling at each temperature for 40 min in order to obtain steady-state conditions. The C\(_1\) concentration of the reducing agent (i.e., concentration as total carbon) was 4340 ppm and the C\(_1\)/NO ratio was kept at 6 for all catalytic activity tests. Three reducing agents, i.e. \( n \)-octane, toluene, and ethanol were used. The total gas flow rate was 276 cm\(^3\) min\(^{-1}\) over 276 mg of catalyst, which had been sieved to obtain particle sizes in the range 250–450 μm. The space velocity for all catalytic tests was 60 000 cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) (calculated using the total gas flow rate divided by the amount of the catalyst used in the activity test). The inlet and outlet NO\(_x\) concentrations were determined by a Signal 4000VM series chemiluminescence detector. The oxidation of the hydrocarbon was measured online using a Bruker Tensor 27 IR spectrometer, fitted with a gas cell of volume 190 cm\(^3\). All the activity data was measured during the decreasing temperature ramp. Using this method, the activity remained constant once the desired temperature had been reached and the conversions were calculated from an average of outlet NO\(_x\) readings at each temperature.

#### NMR experiments

NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker DMX 300 operating at a frequency of 300.13 MHz. The powdered catalyst was pressed into 10 mm tablets before being broken into grains of typically 2–3 mm in dimension. This procedure makes the samples easier to handle and, by reducing the amount of liquid in the inter-particle space, it makes the NMR measurement more sensitive to the liquid–surface interaction (i.e., the amount of signal from bulk liquid is minimised). The catalyst grains were then dried in an oven at...
110 °C for 12 h and then immersed in the liquid of interest for at least 24 h. The wet catalyst grains were then removed from the liquid, placed onto a pre-soaked filter paper in order to remove excess external liquid and finally placed into a 5 mm NMR tube. To ensure a saturated atmosphere in the NMR tube, hence minimising errors due to evaporation of volatile liquids, a small piece of adsorbent filter paper was impregnated with the liquid under investigation. This was then placed under the cap of the NMR tube, which was finally sealed with parafilm. All the NMR measurements were performed at atmospheric pressure and room temperature.

NMR data analysis
Proton NMR relaxation times $T_1$ and $T_2$ were measured using the standard inversion recovery and CPMG (Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill) techniques, respectively, which are schematically shown in Fig. 1. Experimental data were fitted using single exponential functions. The $T_1$ relaxation time constant was obtained by fitting the experimental data to the equation:

$$S(t) = S_0 \left[1 - 2 \exp \left(-\frac{t}{T_1}\right)\right]$$

The $T_2$ relaxation time constant was obtained by fitting the experimental data to the equation:

$$S(t) = S_0 \exp \left(-\frac{t}{T_2}\right)$$

In eqn (1) and (2), $S$ represents the NMR signal intensity and $t$ the time. Note that the NMR signal intensity was calculated by integrating the whole NMR spectrum of the species confined within the catalyst. In this way, the calculated NMR relaxation times are representative of the whole molecular species adsorbed over the catalyst surface.

Results and discussion
SCR catalyst testing
The data for the catalytic activity of NO$_x$ reduction is summarised in Table 1 measured via the light-off temperature, $T_{50\%}$, at which 50% of conversion is achieved, and the maximum conversion of NO$_x$ at the temperature at which such conversion is achieved.$^{27}$ A higher light-off temperature indicates a poorer catalytic performance.

It is clear that both toluene and $n$-octane give $T_{50\%}$ values significantly higher than when ethanol is used as the reducing agent; further, toluene has a higher light-off temperature than $n$-octane. Moreover, this ranking of catalyst performance with reducing agent is furthermore supported on comparing the maximum NO$_x$ conversion and temperature at which it occurs. We also report the $T_{50\%}$ values relative to the reducing agent conversion, which are shown in Table 2. The observed reactivity trend for the reducing agent is similar to that observed for the NO$_x$, with ethanol showing a significantly higher reactivity, hence a lower $T_{50\%}$ compared to the two other hydrocarbons.

Probing strength of surface interaction
Fig. 2 and 3 show, respectively, the $T_1$ and the $T_2$ experimental data acquired for the different reducing agents and water in Ag/Al$_2$O$_3$. The quality of the data and the fittings to eqn (1) and (2) for $T_1$ and $T_2$, respectively, are excellent. The $T_1$ and $T_2$ values obtained for these data are reported in Table 3.
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arise from hydrogen bonding with the solid surface, which suggests that the interaction of the oxygenated species with the surface is likely to be stronger than that with the non-oxygenated species. This is in agreement with the current hypothesis reported in the literature.2,7 The stronger interaction with the catalyst surfaces than the non-oxygenated species may be due to a slower translational dynamics over the surface, due to a stronger interaction energy31 as we have recently demonstrated.25 It has to be noted that paramagnetic species may also affect the values or NMR relaxation times but this is not relevant to our current work for reasons previously explained in detail elsewhere.24,25

In summary, from the NMR relaxation time results it can be inferred that water shows the greatest strength of surface interaction, significantly greater than that of all the reducing agents, as it can be inferred by the large values of its T1/T2 ratio. Fig. 4 also shows that T1/T2 has similar values for the two pure bulk liquids, n-octane, and toluene, which is in agreement with the current hypothesis reported in the literature.2,7 The stronger interaction of the oxygenated species with the surface is likely to arise from hydrogen bonding with the solid surface, which acts to enhance surface interactions.29

### Table 1
Results of catalytic tests for the SCR of NOx in the presence of different reducing agents over Ag/Al2O3,27 T50% is the light-off temperature (i.e., the temperature at which 50% conversion is achieved) relative to the NOx conversion in the presence of different reducing agents, whereas T1/2 is the temperature at which maximum NOx conversion is achieved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>T50% [°C]</th>
<th>Max NOx conversion [%] (T [°C])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>69 (600)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>80 (478)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>98 (354)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
Results of catalytic tests for the SCR of NOx in the presence of different reducing agents over Ag/Al2O3,27 T50% is the light-off temperature (i.e., the temperature at which 50% conversion is achieved) relative to the reducing agent conversion, whereas T1/2 is the temperature at which maximum reducing agent conversion is achieved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>T50% [°C]</th>
<th>Max reducing agent conversion [%] (T [°C])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>99 (560)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>99 (525)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>99 (400)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Effect of reducing agent

By inspection of Table 3, it can be observed that the different species have very different relaxation properties. The reducing agents have higher values of T1 and T2 compared to water. In ethanol and particularly water, the decrease of T2 relative to T1 is much more significant compared to the two hydrocarbons, resulting in greater values of the T1/T2 ratio observed, particularly for water, the latter showing a T1/T2 = 71, significantly higher than ethanol, T1/T2 = 40, and substantially higher than n-octane, T1/T2 = 18, and toluene, T1/T2 = 16. These values can be explained in terms of molecules adsorbed onto the surface and exhibiting modified rotational and translational dynamics at the pore surface.19 In particular, a decrease of T2 values relative to T1 values can be related to a slower translational dynamics over the surface, due to stronger surface interactions.19,30 As a result, the T1/T2 ratio increases. Such a ratio can be considered to be an analogous of a surface interaction energy31 as we have recently demonstrated.25

### Table 3
Experimental values from T1 and T2 relaxation measurements of different molecular species in Ag/Al2O3. The typical relative error on T1, T2 and T1/T2 was estimated to be in the range 2–3%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>T1 [ms]</th>
<th>T2 [ms]</th>
<th>T1/T2 [-]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>1204</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a For the pure bulk liquids, T1 ~ T2 with the following values: 4240 ms for toluene, 2500 ms for n-octane, 2630 ms for ethanol, 2700 ms for water.
reducing agents, toluene shows the lowest strength of surface interaction (i.e., lowest $T_1/T_2$ value), followed closely by $n$-octane, which shows slightly higher values; ethanol shows considerably higher strength of surface interaction compared to toluene and $n$-octane and its $T_1/T_2$ values are significantly closer to water compared to those measured for the two hydrocarbons. The results clearly suggest that, compared to toluene and $n$-octane, ethanol has a greater ability to compete with water for adsorption when compared to toluene and $n$-octane. This has been speculated in the literature$^{2,18}$ and the current results give a clear experimental evidence of this effect.

The current results seem to explain well the catalytic performances reported in the literature in terms of type of reducing agent.$^{2,32}$ It is now of interest to compare the results gathered from NMR relaxation measurements to the catalytic performance of these samples, in order to see to what extent surface interactions and catalyst activity are inter-related.

**Catalyst activity and strength of surface interaction**

There is a general agreement in the literature$^{2-4,7,33-36}$ that the SCR of NO with hydrocarbons reaction begins with the oxidation of NO by O$_2$ to adsorbed NO$_2$ species and with the oxidation of redundant to form partially oxidized hydrocarbon species over the catalyst surface, which have been reported to reduce adsorbed NO$_2$ species via organo-nitro and/or organo-nitrito adsorbed species to yield gaseous N$_2$.

Comparing the SCR catalytic tests, summarised in Tables 1 and 2, and the results from NMR relaxation time measurements, summarised in Table 3 and Fig. 4, it is clear that the reactivity trend reflects well, at least qualitatively, the trend in surface interactions obtained from NMR relaxometry measurements of $T_1/T_2$. A reducing agent of higher strength of surface interaction, such as ethanol, will have greater ability to reach the surface and react, hence enhancing the catalyst performances. This is consistent with several catalytic studies previously reported on SCR reactions in the presence of oxygenated reducing agents.$^{2,6,37,38}$ The large variation in catalytic performances observed in this work by changing the reducing agent strongly suggests that its adsorption strength is important for the overall catalytic process. The use of reducing agents of higher adsorption strength can indeed have several implications that will ultimately affect the whole SCR process, as this will reduce the competitive adsorption of water, improving the surface coverage of the reducing agent molecules, as previously suggested.$^{24}$ In addition, a higher strength of surface interaction of the reducing agent, associated with its higher surface concentration, would increase its ease of partial oxidation, which is important in order to form partially oxidized organic species over the catalyst surface that reduce adsorbed NO$_2$ species via organo-nitro and/or organo-nitrito adsorbed species and ultimately yield N$_2$.

It is interesting to note that the trend in $T_1/T_2$ values for water and reducing agents within Ag/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst. Errors bars are also reported.

**Fig. 4**

In summary, the current results show that the adsorption strength of the reducing agent plays an important role in determining the catalyst activity in the SCR reaction. NMR relaxation time measurements allow us to quantify this by means of $T_1/T_2$ values, hence offering a tool to optimise and rationalise the selection of different reducing agents.

**Conclusions**

The SCR reaction of NO$_2$ in the presence of toluene, $n$-octane and ethanol over a wet impregnated Ag/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst has been investigated by assessing the catalyst activity, using reaction studies, and adsorption phenomena, using NMR relaxation time analysis. The trend in strength of surface interaction, obtained by NMR relaxation measurements, explains the reactivity trend. Reducing agents with weaker strength of surface interaction relative to water, such as hydrocarbons, show poorer activity compared to reducing agents with stronger strength of interaction, such as ethanol. This is likely to be due to the greater ability of the reducing...
agents with higher interaction strength to reduce the inhibitory effect of water molecules blocking the catalytic sites, hence improving the conditions for the surface formation of partially oxidized organic species needed for the SCR reaction to proceed further. The results serve, therefore, as an experimental validation of the hypothesis on competitive adsorption previously speculated in the literature. In summary, the adsorption strength of the reducing agent over the catalyst surface is a very important parameter to take into account when investigating SCR reaction and NMR relaxation can be used as a valid tool to probe such adsorption phenomena, hence rationalising the choice of catalysts and reducing agents.
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