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Cross-talk between circadian clocks, sleep-wake cycles, and metabolic networks: Dispelling the darkness
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Integration of knowledge concerning circadian rhythms, metabolic networks, and sleep-wake cycles is imperative for unraveling the mysteries of biological cycles and their underlying mechanisms. During the last decade, enormous progress in circadian biology research has provided a plethora of new insights into the molecular architecture of circadian clocks. However, the recent identification of autonomous redox oscillations in cells has expanded our view of the clockwork beyond conventional transcription/translation feedback loop models, which have been dominant since the first circadian period mutants were identified in fruit fly. Consequently, non-transcriptional timekeeping mechanisms have been proposed, and the antioxidant peroxiredoxin proteins have been identified as conserved markers for 24-hour rhythms. Here, we review recent advances in our understanding of interdependencies amongst circadian rhythms, sleep homeostasis, redox cycles, and other cellular metabolic networks. We speculate that systems-level investigations implementing integrated multi-omics approaches could provide novel mechanistic insights into the connectivity between daily cycles and metabolic systems.
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Introduction

Circadian (approx. 24 hour) clocks are believed to exist at almost all levels of life and play a key role in the maintenance of physiological and behavioral processes in accordance with the day/night cycle [1, 2]. Similarly, sleep is thought to be a critical process in higher organisms [3]. However, our view of sleep as being a product of the brain, or even neuronal populations, may obscure underlying principles and function of sleep. For example, in mammals, sleep is invariably measured using electroencephalograms (EEGs), which may not be the best way to characterize or quantify sleep in the molecular era. This is particularly pertinent in organisms such as the fruit fly, in which electrical recordings are correlated with behavioral activity [4], but not yet clearly to sleep-wake cycles. However, it may equally be the case in mammals, in which wide ranging changes in gene expression are seen in the livers of sleep-deprived mice [5], and in the responsiveness of adipose tissue to insulin signaling in humans under sleep restriction [6]. The circadian clockwork and sleep-wake cycles closely interact with each other, which is most obviously seen by the gating of sleep at distinct phases of the 24-hour cycle. Thus, artificially separating these cycles may obscure underlying principles that unite both phenomena.

Adequate sleep is an essential requirement for health. However, a significant proportion of the adult population suffers from trouble sleeping at night, and staying awake during the daytime, most likely due to the aberrations in the switching mechanism that controls transitions between wake and sleep [7]. Understanding the neurobiological...
mammalian clock, transcription, while the negative elements inhibit the positive circadian clocks, where the positive loops activate transcription, and the circadian pacemaker, and their regulation of diverse metabolic networks is unclear (Fig. 1). In this article, we will outline the recent advances in our understanding of interdependencies amongst the circadian rhythms, sleep homeostasis, redox cycles, and other cellular energy metabolism networks. Potential applications of systems-level investigations, applying integrated multi-omics approaches to unravel cross-talk between day/night cycles and metabolic systems will also be discussed.

**Beyond transcription/translation feedback loop (TTFL) mechanisms of the clockwork**

Since the 1980s, transcriptional/translational feedback loops (TTFLs), wherein rhythmicity in the expression patterns of specific genes are controlled by the periodic expression of “clock” gene products, were considered as the principal drivers of circadian periodicity in multiple biological systems [1, 2, 18, 19]. TTFL models indicate the presence of both positive and negative components in circadian clocks, where the positive loops activate transcription, while the negative elements inhibit the positive components in a cyclic manner. Potential applications of systems-level investigations, applying integrated multi-omics approaches to unravel cross-talk between day/night cycles and metabolic systems will also be discussed.

**Transcription-translation feedback is not required for circadian oscillations in cyanobacteria**

Nearly 10 years ago, the major shortcomings of the TTFL-based mechanism became obvious when circadian rhythms were found to be persisting in cyanobacteria even in the absence of transcription-translation feedback [31]. Identification of temperature-compensated, self-sustainable and robust oscillation in cyanobacterial KaiC phosphorylation evidently indicated transcription-translation feedback could be important, but not indispensable for circadian rhythmicity [32]. Intriguingly, subsequent studies provided valuable insights...
information regarding the dynamics of the circadian KaiABC oscillator and its modulation by redox-active cofactor, which helped to decipher the precise mechanism of this entirely non-transcriptional phosphorylation-based rhythm in cyanobacteria [37, 38]. Identification of the cyanobacterial KaiABC oscillator indicated the existence of non-transcriptional oscillators (NTOs); nevertheless, the analysis of such an NTO was only restricted to cyanobacteria, as Kai proteins are not conserved across distinct phyla (Fig. 2A).

**Peroxiredoxins serve as the conserved biomarkers of circadian clocks**

Another peculiarity about circadian systems previously was the apparent lack of molecular phylogeny of mechanisms controlling circadian rhythms. Virtually all known “clock” genes and proteins are not conserved across the various domains of life, although there are some homologous components in fruit flies and mammals. This previously suggested that the “logic” of the clockwork always involved a TTFL, but the players were different in each model system that has been studied [39]. Of late, the oxidation-reduction status of peroxiredoxin (PRX) proteins has been found to be regulated in a rhythmic fashion in anucleate human red blood cells (RBCs) without involving any transcriptional-translational mechanisms, representing the presence of autonomous oscillations in the redox status of the cell [33, 34]. Subsequently, oscillations of peroxiredoxin proteins (PRX) have been established as evolutionarily conserved markers of the clockwork, pointing to redox cycles as a likely unifying principle among disparate organisms [40], which was not observed in case of KaiABC oscillators (Fig. 2B).

PRXs are thiol-dependent peroxidases, which serve as an antioxidant in the maintenance of intracellular levels of hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), peroxinitrite, and hydroperoxides to protect organisms against diverse oxidative stresses. Interestingly, these abundant cellular antioxidant proteins, which are probably evolved from a thioredoxin-like ancestor [41], are present in almost all living organisms [42]. On the basis of the number and bonding pattern of the catalytic cysteine residues, PRXs are classified in two distinct groups. The 1-Cys family contains only the NH$_2$-terminal Cys that can become oxidised, while the 2-Cys type contains both the NH$_2$- and COOH-terminal Cys residues and can therefore form either intermolecular (typical 2-Cys Prx) or intramolecular (atypical 2-Cys Prx) disulfide bonds during oxidation. Apart from the detoxification of the various peroxide substrates, eukaryotic 2-Cys peroxiredoxins (2-Cys PRXs) play a significant role in hydrogen peroxide-mediated signal transduction pathways [43]. In addition to cyclic oxidation of peroxiredoxin proteins, it is highly likely that redox oscillations impact directly on many other susceptible proteins in cells. Specifically, so-called hyper-reactive cysteine residues represent particularly attractive targets [44].

**Do the non-transcriptional and TTFL oscillators and redox state regulate each other?**

Redox state may impact on transcriptional activity of clock components [45] and neuronal activity within the master pacemaker in mammals, the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) [46]. Interestingly, in turn circadian clocks also control the cellular redox status, since expression levels of many reactive oxygen species (ROS) responsive genes, or antioxidant enzymes,
are frequently regulated by the clock genes [47, 48]. Consequently, mechanistic interactions between redox and circadian components suggest that the redox state of a cell and clocks are influenced and regulated by each other.

The existence of NTOs such as the cyanobacterial KaiABC oscillator, and the more pervasive oxidation-reduction cycles of PRX proteins, thus challenges the paradigm of TTFL-based mechanisms. Now, the imperative question is whether the NTO and TTFL-based oscillators are interlinked, and if so, how they co-exist in cells and collectively interplay to maintain unequivocal cellular time keeping. Although direct connections between the two systems is not yet fully delineated, there is experimental evidence for their interactions, and mathematical modeling indicates that coupling between NTO and TTFLs effectively boosts overall clock performance (reviewed in [36, 49]). First of all, the Kai and PRX system still exhibit oscillation even in the absence of TTFL [32, 33]. Secondly, circadian rhythms are not obliterated in systems with either constitutive expression or deletion of clock genes (in TTFL knockout mutants) [35, 50, 51]. It is therefore reasonable to speculate that timekeeping is controlled by a biochemical oscillator. To this end, understanding of the reciprocal communication of circadian oscillators with various metabolic and redox cycles could provide valuable insights, as elaborated in the next section.

**Cross-talk between the circadian and metabolic clocks: Reciprocal regulation of the circadian cycles and energetic pathways**

Connection between circadian and metabolic systems is one of the most important and enlightening areas of current circadian biology research [52–55]. Adverse effects of circadian disruption or sleep deprivation on metabolic functions clearly indicate the impact of these processes on energy homeostasis [56]. Over a decade ago, McKnight and co-workers demonstrated regulation of two clock proteins (BMAL1 and CLOCK) by the metabolic cofactor NAD(P), and therefore, a connection between the cellular metabolism and clockwork circuitry [57]. With the passage of time, subsequent studies have illustrated several modes of bidirectional regulation of cellular metabolites and clock proteins. For example, there is modulation of CLOCK-mediated chromatin remodeling and regulation of circadian clock gene expression by the NAD+-dependent deacetylase SIRT1 [58, 59] and circadian regulation of the NAD+ salvage pathway by CLOCK-SIRT1 [60]. Similarly, circadian regulation of the enzymatic activity of acetyl-CoA Synthetase 1 (AceCS1) leads to varying intracellular levels of the central metabolite acetyl-CoA [61]. Furthermore, nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT), the rate-limiting enzyme in mammalian NAD+ biosynthesis, exhibits rhythmicity in its expression level [62]. These observations highlight how the clock’s downstream transcriptional network extends to metabolic genes. Likewise, other metabolic links have been elaborated, in particular with respect to poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP-1) [63] and the role of the NAD(+)-dependent deacetylase sirtuin 3 (SIRT3) in rhythmic mitochondrial function [64] (Fig. 3). Connecting hubs between the metabolic and clock networks are diverse, and certainly not yet fully explored. However, in the light of our current understanding of circadian biology, it is likely that NAD-dependent enzymes, nutrient-sensing...
transcriptional regulatory proteins, redox transcription factors, and protein kinases serve as the crucial candidates for mediating cross-talk between energetic pathways and circadian cycles [65]. Table 1 summarizes the circadian control of different metabolic processes in eukaryotes.

Oscillation of metabolic pathways is not a new concept. In the 1960s, rapid rhythmicity (over minutes rather than hours) in the glycolytic intermediates glucose-6-phosphate/fructose-6-phosphate and fructose-1,6-diphosphate (FDP) levels was first demonstrated in yeast extracts by Ghosh and Chance [66], and more recent studies have built on this initial work [67, 68]. On a longer timescale, hepatic gluconeogenesis, which plays an important role in maintaining glucose homeostasis in mammals during starvation, is also under circadian regulation, most probably through the control of Cryptochromes (Cry1 and Cry2) by CLOCK and BMAL1 [69]. Similarly, components of the Krebs cycle such as NADP-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase also exhibit circadian periodicity in their abundance or activity [70].

Intriguingly, several components of central metabolic pathways, such as a number of rate-limiting enzymes involved in glycolysis and the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, are redox-sensitive, indicating the potential of these metabolic pathways to be regulated by the circadian redox oscillations [71]. A key metabolite at the interface of cytosolic and mitochondrial metabolism is acetyl-CoA, which not only plays a role in metabolism itself, but also regulates protein function by participating in acetylation reactions. Such post-translational modification of proteins, which include histones, can thus regulate gene expression, in addition to modulating enzyme function. In this vein, recent work highlights that clock-driven acetylation modulates a considerable number of mitochondrial proteins involved in multiple metabolic networks [72]. Moving beyond the analysis of transcriptional processes and gene expression patterns is essential to address connectivity between the clock and metabolism, but has been challenging because of the technical challenges posed by performing such analyses, which rely heavily on mass spectrometry based metabolomics.

Recently, comprehensive metabolomics analyses of different biological fluids (saliva and blood) have provided insights regarding the circadian regulation of various human metabolic pathways [73], and consequences of sleep deprivation on the human metabolome [74]. Of note, it has been demonstrated that nearly 15% of all metabolites identified in human plasma and saliva are controlled by circadian clocks [73]. Similarly, a good number of metabolites in exhaled human breath also exhibit circadian rhythmicity [75]. Importantly, sleep deprivation in humans adversely affects the oscillatory behavior of many blood metabolites including tryptophan, serotonin, taurine, acylcarnitines, glycerophospholipids, and sphingolipids [74], indicating a connection between sleep restriction and circadian clock disruption and metabolic dysfunction. Further work is, however, needed to delineate the exact mechanism for these observations. These studies have thus been important in creating an avenue towards the recognition of new physiological/metabolic pathways which are controlled by circadian clocks or sleep-wake cycles. Moreover, such metabolomic profiling has the potential to identify novel noninvasive biomarkers of circadian disruption, sleep deprivation and associated metabolic and neurological disorders.

How might the clock and sleep be connected?

In mammalian circadian system, the brain’s suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN), which is considered as the master circadian “clock,” orchestrates synchronization of oscillators in peripheral tissues [76, 77]. The neural circuits involved in the regulation of sleep-wake states and circadian rhythms are becoming established, as are the vital roles of circadian and homeostatic processes in regulation of the sleep and arousal-promoting circuitry [7, 78]. Intriguingly, there is physiological evidence that indicate sleep centers can also regulate the circadian pacemaker [79]. In addition, sleep plays a role in the clearance of potentially neurotoxic waste products from the central nervous system, and thereby maintains metabolic homeostasis [17]. Circadian clocks regulate different aspects of sleep, suggesting that redox and metabolism may affect sleep homeostasis through their impact on the state of the circadian system. However, the exact mechanism by which sleep-wake centers communicate with the SCN and metabolic cycles has not been untangled.

Components of the central circadian pacemaker are known to regulate sleep onset and control the transition to wakefulness, while the quantity of sleep appears to be controlled by homeostatic centers [80]. At the molecular level, the interactions between clocks and sleep-wake cycles have been investigated using mutant mice and fruit fly models lacking core circadian clock genes to try to unravel the possible functions of clock genes in sleep homeostasis (reviewed in [81, 82]). For example, targeted disruption or deletion of the core clock components such as Bmal1 (Cycle in Drosophila), Clock/Npas2, Per1/Per2, and Cry1/Cry2 result in various phenotypes including increased sleep fragmentation and rebound following deprivation of sleep, in addition to an enhanced tendency to switch between non-rapid eye movement (NREM) and rapid eye movement (REM) sleep [83]. Conversely, sleep deprivation can impinge on the expression levels of core circadian transcriptional regulators and their DNA-binding capabilities [84], demonstrating the existence of a reciprocal regulation between the central clock machinery and sleep-wake cycle. Interestingly, as discussed in the previous section, binding of certain clock proteins may be regulated by intracellular redox potential, indicating the potential for cross-talk between the circadian clock machinery, energy metabolism, and sleep regulation.

There is a longstanding belief that sleep happens only at the level of the whole organism. However, recent work highlights that even within the brain, there is local and use-dependent sleep of subsets of neural circuitry, which forces a reappraisal of what sleep is and what is might be for [85, 86]. For example, electrophysiological analysis of the sleep-wake cycle indicates the concurrent existence of different sleep intensities within distinct regions of human brain [87]. Likewise, slow wave activity (SWA) in local cortical EEG
### Table 1. Possible connecting components between the circadian oscillators and metabolic processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidates</th>
<th>Plausible roles/ involvement in cross-talks</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLOCK/NPAS2</td>
<td>Circadian transcription factor CLOCK/NPAS2 controls NAD+ biosynthesis through regulation of NAMPT expression</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NAD(P)++/NAD(P)H ratio regulates the binding of CLOCK/NPAS2-BMAL1 heterodimers to their E box cognate sequence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Binding of SIRT1 to CLOCK-BMAL1 complexes promotes PER2 deacetylation and degradation</td>
<td>[59, 115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PER proteins regulate expression of the core clock gene Bmal1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PER proteins regulates lipid and glycogen metabolism through their interactions with diverse nuclear receptors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CRY proteins (along with the PER proteins) function as the negative regulators for maintenance of circadian rhythms</td>
<td>[69, 116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>They regulate circadian rhythmicity of cAMP signaling and hepatic gluconeogenesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMPK directly phosphorylates CRY proteins and reduces their half-life</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NAD+ NAD(P)+ reflect the metabolic and redox status of the cell</td>
<td>[58, 62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NAD+ serves as a metabolic oscillator and controls the core clock machinery primly through SIRT1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIRT1</td>
<td>NAD+-dependent SIRT1 controls expression the circadian clock genes (Bmal1, Per2, and Cry1) through PER2 deacetylation</td>
<td>[58–60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CLOCK-SIRT1 regulates circadian control of the NAD+ salvage pathway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It regulates circadian transcription also by the deacetylation of histone H3 tails</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SIRT3 maintains rhythms in the acetylation and activity of oxidative enzymes and respiration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Core clock components regulate its activity through control of concentrations of NAD+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAMPT</td>
<td>NAMPT is the rate-limiting enzyme in mammalian NAD+ biosynthesis</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Its expression is regulated by the core clock genes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Its inhibition leads to the oscillation of Per2 by releasing CLOCK: BMAL1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARP1</td>
<td>PARP1 modifies clock components in response to feeding-fasting cycles</td>
<td>[63, 117]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It regulates the binding of CLOCK-BMAL1 to DNA and interaction of CLOCK-BMAL1 with PER and CRY repressor proteins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRXs</td>
<td>PRX proteins exhibit self-sustained oscillation in their oxidation-reduction cycles</td>
<td>[34, 40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRX cycle provides feedback to regulate the core clock transcriptional network probably through the oscillation of ROS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perturbation of their functions causes a long-period phenotype or leads to a depression in the amplitude of circadian oscillations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AceCS1</td>
<td>Circadian control of intracellular levels of acetyl-CoA and thereby fatty acid elongation is regulated through the enzymatic activity of AceCS1</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AceCS1 activity is controlled by acetylation, and its rhythmic acetylation is regulated by SIRT1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPK</td>
<td>AMPK serves as the major sensor of the AMP/ATP ratio, activates stress-promoted transcription and regulates clock gene expression</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It regulates stability (promotes degradation) of core clock proteins (CRY and PER)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPARα and PGC-1α</td>
<td>Transcriptional coactivator PGC-1α stimulates expressions of clock genes (Bmal1 and Rev-erba)</td>
<td>[120, 121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It has association with the SirT1 histone deacetylase complex, can serve as a sensor for the metabolic state of the cell, and also induces the expression of gluconeogenic genes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPARα regulates fatty acid oxidation and apolipoprotein synthesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAS1</td>
<td>ALAS1, the rate limiting enzyme in haem biosynthesis, is a target gene for the NPAS2/BMAL1 heterodimer</td>
<td>[122]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Circadian rhythmicity in the cellular haem levels in maintained through the regulation of the expression of ALAS1 by the core clock genes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reciprocally, haem regulates activity of the BMAL1-NPAS2 transcription complex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSF1</td>
<td>HSF1 plays an important role in transporting nutrient signals to the circadian circuitry</td>
<td>[123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phosphorylation by diverse protein kinases regulates its activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>It also functions as a key regulator of temperature-dependent expression of heat shock protein/ chaperone genes associated with circadian oscillators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREB</td>
<td>cAMP signaling via CREB and other transcriptional oscillator is imperative for the molecular circadian oscillators</td>
<td>[124, 125]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CREB-dependent transcription supports steady cycling of the core clock transcriptional loop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
recordings from brain regions of awake animals demonstrates that neuronal subsets may enter “off” states during a long stretch of wakefulness, probably due to the falling in levels of arousal-promoting neuromodulators [88]. Similarly, Krueger and colleagues have demonstrated sleep as a property of local neuronal assemblies, and hypothesized that the local-network sleeps, which are perhaps controlled by the oscillation in the levels of sleep-regulatory molecules, are connected by central mechanisms and serve as the fundamental basis of whole-organism sleep [89]. Therefore, local populations of neurons might sleep at the cellular level in a use-dependent manner, which could be a means of saving energy, particularly during prolonged wakefulness. To this end, it would be interesting to decipher the possible functional consequences of such local characteristics of sleep.

Akin to circadian clocks, it is now imperative to study the self-sustained mechanism of sleep at the cellular level, which is often difficult to execute in vivo in the mammalian brain due to the presence of the entangled web of neuronal networks that are controlled by signals from both local and global sources. Of note, a recent study on primary mouse cortical cultures demonstrated sleep as a prominent characteristics of simple neuronal networks grown in vitro [90]. Interestingly, the authors observed electrophysiological, metabolic and transcriptomic similarities between the in vitro neuronal networks and those determined from sleep-deprived mice. The presence of important features of the sleep-wake cycle in an in vitro setting opens up new avenues for molecular level investigations of the local nature of sleep and its multifaceted interplay with diverse metabolic and circadian oscillators, which has not been possible to achieve previously.

The promise of systems level multi-omics approaches to unraveling the interconnectivity of circadian clockworks, metabolic oscillators, and the sleep-wake switch

Although identification of NTOs and metabolic oscillations has enhanced our understanding of circadian rhythmicity, there are still several opaque areas that entail further investigation (Fig. 1). The most contemporary questions are: (1) In what manner do non-transcriptional and transcriptional clock mechanisms interact with each other? (2) What are the mechanisms underlying the reciprocal regulation of the non-transcriptional circadian clocks and energetic pathways? (3) Do circadian redox oscillations impact on the sleep-wake switch? (4) How are dysfunctions in circadian clocks/sleep-wake cycles linked to diverse diseases, including metabolic disorders such as diabetes mellitus?

Proteome level analyses can serve as an excellent complementary platform for mRNA level observations

While transcriptomic studies have previously provided valuable insights into which gene networks and tissue-
specific programmes are controlled by the circadian clock [23] and sleep [5, 91], there has been a dearth of proteome level investigation in circadian biology [92]. As with metabolomics analyses, technological limitations have previously hampered progress in global protein profiling. Initial proteomics studies implemented gel-based approaches, and consequently, were only able to measure expression patterns of a limited number of rhythmic proteins due to the poor coverage of the entire proteomes [28, 93, 94]. Application of next-generation quantitative proteomics approaches involving ultra-sensitive mass spectrometers, which are presently at the pinnacle of promising proteomics technologies [95], could be extremely useful in decoding the mechanisms and extent of protein oscillations and the links to the sleep-wake switch (Fig. 4A). With the broadening availability of mass spectrometry, a number of quantitative proteomics datasets have emerged investigating the mechanism of synchronization of circadian rhythms by the SCN [96, 97], post-transcriptional mechanisms of circadian regulation [30], and diurnal oscillations in the mammalian hepatic proteome [29]. To date, such approaches have not been applied to characterize sleep-wake cycles, or to determine proteome level changes upon sleep deprivation, although gel-based techniques have been employed to characterize the latter in the past [98, 99].

In recent years, ribosome profiling, which provides genome-wide information on protein synthesis through deep sequencing of ribosome protected mRNA fragments, is emerging as an efficient technique to track in vivo translation. Circadian clocks play some vital roles in coordinating transcription and translation steps which are essential for ribosome biogenesis. Intriguingly, some very recent studies have demonstrated the promising applications of ribosome profiling for studying translational control in circadian gene expression and for mapping rhythmic translatome [100, 101]. Proteomic measurements and ribosome profiling collectively can provide comprehensive information regarding rhythmic proteins and can complement the technical limitations of each others.

**Redox proteomics and characterization of post-translational modifications provide novel mechanistic insights into circadian biology**

Cross-talk between the cellular redox state and the circadian clocks has been studied extensively in different organisms [45, 102, 103]. Following the identification of oxidation-reduction cycles of peroxiredoxin proteins as the conserved markers of circadian rhythms [40], there has been an emerging interest in profiling redox oscillations at a global level to investigate the consequences of metabolic cycles on circadian rhythmicity and sleep-wake switching. Recent studies indicate that the circadian rhythm of redox state controls excitability in SCN neurons [46, 104]. Consequently, it can be speculated that redox homeostasis and neuronal activity are coupled non-transcriptional circadian oscillators intertwined in neuronal physiology. Peroxiredoxin proteins may not be unique in their ability to undergo redox oscillations since many other proteins are susceptible to oxidation of their cysteine residues by peroxide [44]. Thus, redox proteomics analyses will undoubtedly provide a novel mechanistic insight into the effects of brain disruption of redox processes/signaling on sleep and circadian rhythms and vice versa [71].

A complementary set of analyses could also be used to probe specific post-translational modifications (PTMs) of proteins across the day, and also under sleep restriction paradigms. Such modifications regulate the recruitment, recognition, assembly/disassembly, translocation, and consequently the eventual fates of the majority of eukaryotic proteins [105]. In the context of the clockwork, there is substantial evidence that the control of functional activity and stability of well characterized clock proteins is driven by diverse types of PTMs, including phosphorylation [106], acetylation [58, 59], ubiquitylation [107], and SUMOylation [108]. We thus anticipate that comparative analysis of PTMs in non-transcriptional models (e.g. anucleate red blood cells) and nucleated cell culture lines (e.g. human osteosarcoma U2OS cell line) have the potential to identify dynamic modifications in proteins that might be known to be associated with the clock, but also others that are completely novel. Moreover, examining non-transcriptional and transcriptional models will establish nodes of interconnectivity between these mechanisms (Fig. 4B).

**Integration of different “omics” datasets is promising for studying functional interactions between circadian and metabolic cycles**

A recent study by Sassone-Corsi and colleagues demonstrated synergistic links between oscillations in the circadian transcriptome and metabolic pathways [109]. Furthermore, the same research group has developed the Circadiomics platform (http://circadiomics.igb.uci.edu/), which is a computational framework that could serve as a repository for metabolomics and other “omics” level high-throughput data associated with circadian clocks [110]. Nevertheless, existing tools such as this do not yet integrate proteome level information, which is critical for building a comprehensive view of biological rhythmicity. Thus far, similar integrative endeavors have not been attempted for sleep datasets, and therefore tying together common molecular pathways between sleep and the circadian clock at a systems level remains an unrealized goal.

In order to unravel the transient snapshots of dynamic circadian rhythmicity and sleep homeostasis, there is an urgent need to amalgamate the findings obtained from mRNA, protein and metabolite level investigations to get a true understanding of these multiple dynamic facets. In the future, we anticipate that an integrated multi-omics analysis (specifically proteomics and metabolomics) of sleep-deprived models (using different complementary model organisms such as the mouse and fruit fly) will collectively provide a complete representation of bidirectional molecular communication between the sleep centers and the circadian pacemaker. Eventually, this may also lead to the identification of novel conserved markers of circadian rhythms and sleep deprivation (Fig. 4A). Consequently, integrated quantitative multi-omics analyses may also highlight molecular pathways affected by disruption of circadian clocks and
Figure 4. Systems level multi-omics approaches to uncover the bi-directional communications between the sleep centers and the circadian pacemaker. A: Schematic representation of an integrated multi-omics (genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics) analysis for unraveling the interconnectivities among circadian clocks, metabolic cycles and the sleep-wake switch. Systems level analyses of sleep-deprived models (fruit flies and mice) could collectively provide an inclusive representation regarding the temporal physiological states of organisms, and alterations in molecular oscillations and networks as a consequence of sleep deficiency. B: Schematic illustration of an analysis of post-translational modifications (PTMs) in a non-transcriptional (anucleate red blood cell) model and a nucleated cell line (human osteosarcoma U2OS cell line) in vitro for studying the connectivity between the non-transcriptional and TTFL mechanisms of circadian rhythmicity. Selective enrichment of the post-translationally modified peptides and subsequent mass spectrometry-based profiling of diverse PTM patterns might provide additional mechanistic insights into the dynamic modifications of core clock proteins and their interactors.
sleep-wake cycles and their association with different adverse health consequences.

Conclusions and outlook

We now have a vast array of knowledge about the molecular underpinnings of the circadian clock, particularly at the level of transcriptional networks. The influence of the clock is pervasive, with ~40% of transcripts in the mouse genome displaying daily cycles [111]. Similarly, transcriptional changes in the brain under sleep deprivation are extensive. However, how sleep and the clock are linked at the molecular level remains a fascinating question. Novel insights into metabolic cycles and their connectivity with the circadian clockwork promise to offer potential routes to link the two processes, since sleep is also influenced by metabolism [112, 113] and it regulates metabolic processes within the body [114]. A considerable amount of “dark matter” still, however, exists in our understanding of the clockwork and sleep, which stems from a lack of tools to assess other “omes” at a global, quantitative level. In particular, we vitally need proteomic datasets that not only quantify protein abundance, but also a diversity of post-translational modifications that can modulate their function. Moreover, we must concurrently assay metabolites to get a complete picture of the end products of metabolic pathways that are known to be regulated by the circadian and sleep systems. Multi-omics approaches that are now becoming widely accessible will thus change the way that high-throughput temporal profiling can be performed, and thus offers a conduit to insights that have previously been beyond our reach.
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