Abstract: This essay argues that in the *Commedia* song becomes a key means of shaping and expressing modes of communal being. The singing of *In exitu Israel* that marks the souls’ arrival in *Purgatorio* and the performance of the *Gloria* that marks each soul’s departure create multiple communities that stretch across time and space to encompass the purgatorial souls, the angelic hosts and even the readers of the poem. I examine contemporary liturgical practices to suggest that the souls’ sung arrival into purgatory evokes a particular liturgical procession and that Dante’s treatment of word, music and movement offers the reader an opportunity to partake in the communal dynamics of *Purgatorio*.
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Souls in the *Commedia* begin their purgatorial – and therefore paradisiacal – journey in song. In his first encounter with the saved, the pilgrim listens to the souls sing Psalm 113, *In exitu Israel de Aegypto*. The importance of this psalm as an Exodus text and of Exodus as an archetype for the shades’ journeys and for the narrative of the *Commedia* itself is well known. But what about the souls’ *In exitu Israel* as song, as a lived, embodied experience of word, music and movement? What does it mean to enter a new life while singing?

In this essay I explore the singing of *In exitu Israel* that marks the souls’ arrival in *Purgatorio* and the rendition of the *Gloria in excelsis Deo* that marks each soul’s departure, arguing that these songs help shape the communal dynamics of *Purgatorio*. Establishing a sense of community is a fundamental part of the work performed in *Purgatorio* and anticipates the radically communal indwelling of the souls in *Paradiso*. The opening performance of *In exitu Israel* and the closing performance of the *Gloria* create multiple communities that stretch across time and space to encompass the souls in *Purgatorio*, the angelic hosts in *Paradiso* and even the readers of the *Commedia*. I argue that singing and song are not simply part of the poem’s narrative backdrop, but a crucial means of creating and expressing the communal relationships in and through which the souls journey towards God.

I begin by examining liturgical practices of Dante’s Florence to argue that the performance of *In exitu Israel* in *Purgatorio* II recalls a specific moment in the liturgy – the procession held at Easter Sunday Vespers. The liturgical singing of the souls offers the reader a chance to enter the communal dynamics of *Purgatorio*, to share in the shades’ words and sense of movement. Recently scholars have begun to reveal the richness and complexity of, in Matthew Treherne’s phrase, Dante’s ‘liturgical imagination’. Arguing for the need to study liturgy in the *Commedia*, Ronald Martinez reminds us that liturgy was ‘the most widely shared body of coordinated texts, symbols, objects, gestures, actions, and practices in all of medieval culture’. Treherne draws on modern liturgical theorists to demonstrate that far from being mere mechanical ritual, liturgy can be understood as a means of manifesting and incarnating the relationships between human beings and God. Liturgical performance can offer ways of organising time and space, language and movement to express and
embody the conditions in which man can know God. In as far as my essay explores Dante’s liturgies, I focus on the temporalities and communities contained in and created by liturgy and the opportunities these afford the reader.

Liturgy encompassed many models and ways of negotiating time. Liturgical performances were understood as re-enacting past events - re-creating them in the present moment - as well as anticipating events that were to come. In the chants, in the sacraments, in the bodies of those participating in the liturgy, past, present and future are made to mystically converge in, to use Margot Fassler’s phrase, a sense of ‘all time’. In a liturgical framework, time was perceived as both linear and cyclical, as rituals were repeated day by day, season by season, re-creating historical events in the moment of performance and gathering past, present and future together into the here and now of worship.

At the opening of Purgatorio II a multitude of souls speed towards purgatory, chanting a psalm in unison and filling the boat in which they travel with song:

e quei sen venne a riva
con un vasello snelletto e leggero,
tanto che l’acqua nulla ne ’nghiottiva.
Da poppa stava il celestial nocchiero,
tal che parea beato per iscripto;
e più di cento spirti entro sediero.
‘In exitu Isräel de Aegypto’
cantavan tutti insieme ad una voce
con quanto di quel salmo è poscia scripto.
Poi fece il segno lor di santa croce;
ond’ ei si gittar tutti in su la piaggia:
ed el sen gi, come venne, veloce. (Purg., II. 40-51)⁸

Dunstan Tucker demonstrated that the living out of the Exodus in the Easter liturgy was a key model for Dante’s use of the Exodus, both in Purgatorio II and in the Commedia as a whole. Albert Wingell observed that Psalm 113, In exitu Israel de Aegypto, was sung at Vespers every Sunday, including Easter Day. I would like to build on this insight into Psalm 113 as part of the liturgy of Vespers to suggest that the souls’ arrival in purgatory evokes a procession, specifically the procession performed at Vespers on Easter Sunday.

Sung at evening, the Office of Vespers began with a versicle and then a series of five psalms and continued with a short reading, a responsory, a hymn, and a dialogue or set of preces and finished with the Magnificat and a collect. At secular (non-monastic) Sunday Vespers, Psalms 109 to 113 were sung, the final one being, therefore, In exitu Israel de Aegypto. On Easter Sunday, however, there were several changes: various elements from Mass were incorporated into Vespers, only three psalms were sung at the start of the service and a procession was added near the end.

For more specific details on the performance of Vespers we can turn to ordinal books (libri ordinarii), texts which give instructions on how to perform the Mass and Office throughout the year. Two ordinals survive from the Cathedral of Santa Reparata in Florence: the more extensive of the two, known as the Ritus in ecclesia servandi, was written between 1173-1205; the second, known as the Mores et consuetudines canonice Florentine, was compiled around 1230. Marginal additions in both manuscripts suggest that they were used throughout the thirteenth century. Together they give a vivid picture of liturgical practices, including the performance of music and processions, in Dante’s Florence. An edition of the Mores was published in the eighteenth century, but the Ritus only became widely available when Franklin
Toker published the *editio princeps* in 2009. Scholars have recently demonstrated the richness of these texts as windows onto the civic, liturgical and musical life of twelfth and thirteenth-century Florence, but they have as yet been little touched in Dante studies.

In the *Ritus* we find instructions for the performance of Easter Sunday Vespers. The crucial detail for our purposes is that Psalm 113 – *In exitu Israel* - is moved to the end of the service to be sung in a procession from the Cathedral to the Baptistry:


[After the collect we form a procession, with the cross, holy candles and incense, in front of the crucifix. The antiphon *Crucifixum in carne* is begun by the cantor and while it is sung the altar and crucifix are censed. […] After this we process to the church of St John, to the font, with cross and candles only, while the antiphon *Stetit angelus* is sung. After the antiphon *Stetit angelus*, let the antiphon *Venite et videte locum* be begun for the psalms *Laudate pueri dominum* and *In exitu Israel*, and once these psalms have been sung the antiphon is repeated.]

The altar and crucifix are censed and then the procession – with cross and candles – sets out for the Baptistry, to the accompaniment of the Easter chant, *Stetit angelus*, the antiphon that precedes Psalms 112 and 113 and then the psalms themselves. The ordinal continues with instructions for the conclusion of the service: a salutation, collect and dismissal. If the *Ritus* was used throughout the thirteenth century, then every Easter Sunday in Dante’s Florence - for all participating in or witnessing the services of the Cathedral - Psalm 113 became a processional psalm, closely linked to the journey from Cathedral to Baptistry.

Similar practices are described in other contemporary ordinal books. An early thirteenth-century ordinal from the Duomo in Siena draws attention to the participation of the people in the procession: ‘Sacerdos cum Pluviali turificat Altaria, super et infra, & datur Clero, et Populo, & idem Sacerdos cum Pluviali vadit ad fontes cum alis’ [‘the priest, wearing a cope, censes the altar and the clergy and people, and the same priest, wearing a cope, goes to the font with the others’]. The Siene ordinal prescribes different antiphons and prayers to those specified in the Florentine *Ritus*, but the overall structure of Easter Sunday Vespers is the same. As regards Psalm 113 and the procession, the practice is like that of Santa Reparata: after the Magnificat and prayers before the cross, a procession (with cross and candles) goes to the Baptistry, accompanied by an antiphon, and on arrival at the font Psalms 112 and 113 are sung. In contrast to the Easter Vespers in the Florentine *Ritus*, the Siene service has a return procession to the Cathedral where the office ends.

At Easter Sunday Vespers at the cathedral in Pistoia, it is clear that *In exitu Israel* was sung during the procession itself. Once the Magnificat had been chanted the cantor would begin the antiphon for Psalm 113:

Cantor incipit antiphonam *Et respicientes* et incipitur psalmus *In exitu Israel*. Et ita processionaliter, cruce preeunte, cantando predictum psalmum, imus ad fontes. Ibique, terminato psalmo, succinitur predicta antiphona.

[The cantor begins the antiphon *Et respicientes* and then the psalm *In exitu Israel* is begun. And so, with the cross leading the way, we process to the font, singing the
aforementioned psalm. And there, once the psalm is finished, the aforementioned antiphon is sung in simple polyphony.

The service ended with a second rendition of the Magnificat, a collect and the dismissal, Benedictus Domino.20

Moving out of Tuscany, we find similar instructions for Easter Sunday Vespers. The thirteenth-century ordinal from the cathedral of Padua, for example, records that Psalm 113 was to be sung en route to the font:

Qua finita ordinata est processio cum cereis et cum cruce et turribulo, et tunc cantor incipit ANT Alleluia, et chorus descendit ad fontem cantando duos psalmos, scilicet Laudate pueri et In exitu Israel.21

[After this a procession is formed, with candles, cross and thurible, and then the cantor begins the antiphon Alleluia and then the choir processes down to the font singing the two psalms, namely, Laudate pueri and In exitu Israel.]

The cantor intones the antiphon and the choir (later described as a chorus clericorum) sing the psalms as they proceed to the Baptistery.

The greatest liturgical summa of the Middle Ages, William Durand’s Rationale divinorum officiorum (circulating from c. 1292), includes an exposition of the Office of Vespers for Easter Sunday and Easter Week. This description contains many of the elements we have found in individual ordinals. A procession is held - in which, Durand notes, the people should participate - and as it arrives at the font Psalms 112 and 113 are sung.22

These devotional practices resonate with the drama of Purgatorio II. As church processions were led by a crucifer and acolytes (‘cum cruce et cereo benedicto et incenso’, ‘cruce preente’, ‘processio cum cereis et cum cruce et turribulo’), so the souls in Purgatorio II are heralded by an angel who appears first as a shining light and then in the shape of a cross:

cotal m’apparve, s’io ancor lo veggia,
un lume per lo mar venir si ratto,

[...]

Poi d’ogne lato ad esso m’apparrio
un non sapeva che bianco, e di sotto
a poco a poco un altro a lui uscio. (Purg., II. 16-17, 22-24)

The angel bears in himself both light and cross; he is a fiery lume from which extend – one to the left, one to the right and one below - three white shapes. He is both crucifer and acolyte for the procession.

Processions moved in and around their home church and also from one church to another. The shades in Purgatorio II proceed from the Church Militant on earth to the Church Suffering in purgatory. Their boat journey ends with a liturgical blessing as the angel, now in the role of a priest, dismisses the souls by making the sign of the cross (‘fece il segno lor di santa croce’, 49). Music was a fundamental part of processions and the souls advance while singing a psalm that, every Easter Sunday, became a processional psalm – sung during or at the conclusion of a procession from Cathedral to Baptistery. The action of Purgatorio II, of course, occurs on Easter Sunday morning.

Support for reading the souls’ arrival in purgatory as a version of an Easter procession can be found in Durand’s Rationale, in which the Vespers procession is linked to the Israelites’ crossing of the Red Sea:

Sane, descendimus processionaliter ad fontes cum cereo per totam ebdomadam cantantes canticum exultationis, et populus sequitur, uidelicet uiri per se et mulieres per se, quod fit in memoriam eius quod Hebrei, Pharaone et suis in Mari Rubro
Certainly, each day in [Easter] week we process with candles to the font singing a song of exultation and the people follow, that is, the men all together and the women all together. This is done in remembrance of that which the Israelites did after Pharaoh and his men had been drowned in the Red Sea. Each day in that week the Israelites, in thanksgiving for the drowning of their enemies, went back to the sea, the men all together and the women all together, singing that song of Moses: *Cantemus Domino* etc. So our enemies are demons, and our Red Sea is the baptism in which all our enemies, namely vices and sins and demons, are drowned.

The procession is performed in commemoration - ‘in memoriam’ - of the crossing of the Red Sea. The Israelites went down to the sea ‘cantantes canticum’, as the participants in the Vespers liturgy go down to the Baptistry in song. Durand establishes a figural relationship between the Old Testament event and the liturgical ceremony: the Israelites’ enemies were drowned in the Red Sea, as our enemies - our sins – are drowned in the Red Sea of our baptism. Commemoration, therefore, does not only involve a bringing to mind, but a re-enactment of past events. In their singing and in their movement the participants re-create the historical event and past and present converge in the experience of liturgical performance. I shall return later to the layering of temporalities, noting for now that if the Easter Vespers procession re-enacts the Exodus crossing of the Red Sea, then the purgatorial souls, in their own Exodus crossing of a different sea, may in turn evoke a liturgical procession.

During their stay in *Purgatorio* the souls gradually learn what it means to become part of a community. In stark contrast to the infernal souls, each fixed in his proud isolation, the purgatorial shades learn to treat one other as brothers and sisters, conservi of God: ‘conservo sono / teco e con li altri ad una podestate’ (*Purg.*, XIX. 134-35). Numerous practices serve to train the souls and direct them into a communal existence: singing and speaking together (the perfect unison achieved by the wrathful, for example, as they repeat their chant over and over again: ‘pur Agnus Dei eran le loro essordia; / una parola in tutte era e un modo, / si che parea tra esse ogni concordia’, XVI. 19-21); praying for each other and for those on earth (the collective *Pater noster* of the proud, for instance, ending with intercession for the living: ‘quest’ ultima preghiera, segnor caro, / già non si fa per noi, che non bisogna, / ma per color che dietro a noi restaro’, XI. 22-24); physically upholding each other (as do the envious: ‘l’un sofferia l’altro con la spalla’, XIII. 59); and moving in concert one with another (the lustful, for example, pausing to share a greeting: ‘li veggio d’ogni parte farsi presta / ciasun’ ombra e basciarsi una con una / senza restar, contente a brieve festa’, XXVI. 31-33). This gradually acquired shared existence prepares the shades for the profoundly communal dynamics of *Paradiso*, where the souls are utterly open to each other as they exist in and through God. It is a state of communality, of unity in diversity, that mirrors the indwelling of the Trinity and that is evoked in a series of active neologisms: *indiarsi* (IV. 28), *inluiarsi* (IX. 73), *inmiarsi* (IX. 81), *inleiararsi* (XXII. 127).

It is in *Purgatorio* II that the souls begin their communal endeavours. The angel pilots them across the sea, effecting a collective movement for all the shades in the boat and giving them a taste of the communality they will later have to work to achieve. In their singing, however, these newcomers do have to exert themselves in
shared effort. They join together in song, their attentive coordination highlighted as they blend their many voices into one: ‘cantavan tutti insieme ad una voce’ (II. 47).  

Although only the first line is written into the text of the poem, Dante makes clear that the psalm is sung in its entirety:

> In exitu Isräel de Aegypto
> cantavan tutti insieme ad una voce
> con quanto di quel salmo è poscia scripto.
> Poi fece il segno lor di santa croce;
> ond’ ei si gittar tutti in su la piaggia:
ed el sen gi, come venne, veloce. (Purg., II. 46-51)

Line 48 – ‘con quanto di quel salmo è poscia scripto’ – is an implicit invitation to call to mind the rest of the psalm. What happens if we pause our reading of the canto to recite, sing, or open a Bible and read the whole of the psalm? What happens to our experience as readers if we respond to Dante’s invitation and insert our own singing of Psalm 113 into the canto?

The shades are blessed and set foot in purgatory as soon as they have finished the psalm. If we perform In exitu Israel and then return to the Commedia we come – in our reading - to the arrival in purgatory with the same words on our lips as do the souls. We utter the concluding words of the psalm and then read the description of the souls’ arrival (‘poi fece il segno lor di santa croce…’), in a move that is analogous to the shades singing the final verses of the psalm and then receiving the angelic dismissal.

We saw earlier how liturgy was understood as bringing together multiple levels of time, as making past, present and future converge in the cycles of liturgical performance. With regard to Easter Sunday Vespers, we saw that liturgical commentators interpreted the procession as a commemoration and re-creation of the crossing of the Red Sea. The procession re-enacts the events of the crossing, now experienced in the light of the Resurrection. A figural relationship is established between moments separated in linear time and past and present converge in the moment of liturgical performance. Participants in the procession are brought into community across time and space with those who preceded them in the faith.

These conceptions provide not a direct model, but a helpful way of exploring the possibilities offered to the reader in Purgatorio II. Dante opens a space in which we can sing In exitu Israel and, crucially, does so in such a way that the angelic benediction immediately follows our performance of the concluding verses, just as it does for the singing of the souls. Our rendition of the psalm is layered over that of the shades and the distance between the two is elided. We re-perform their words - and the timing of those words - and are thus drawn into a community that stretches beyond the boundaries of the poem.

The singing of the psalm scans the souls’ voyage across the sea and the liturgical associations of their journey recall lived processions from Cathedral to Baptistery. The reader thus not only has the chance to sing the words of In exitu Israel but, through memory of her own experiences, to relate to, enact, and experience corporeally the movement traced by the psalm.

We could also consider this corporeal participation in the souls’ journey in terms offered by cognitive literary theory. In an article that brings together neuroscientific research and literary theory, Vittorio Gallese and Hannah Wojcieowski explain the concept of ‘embodied simulation’. When we watch, read of or even imagine someone performing a particular action or gesture, the same cortical regions of our brain are activated as would be if we were actually performing the
action or gesture ourselves. Embodied simulation is a direct, pre-reflective response that offers a corporeal means of engaging with the actions, gestures and feelings of others. In these terms, the liturgical associations of the Purgatorio II drama could trigger embodied simulation in the reader. If the singing of the psalm evokes a familiar processional mode and marks out the passage from Duomo to Baptistery, then by reciting the psalm the reader may perform her own simulated journey.

The processional associations of the souls’ voyage evoke a type of movement highly familiar to Dante’s contemporary readers, a form of movement that is by definition collective. You cannot, after all, have a procession of one. Processions are by nature a shared experience and each participant must be attentive to the rhythms, pace and direction of those around her. She must adapt her movements to those of her fellow participants, allowing the hearing and motion of others to refine her own. In Purgatorio II, the souls themselves do not yet have to move in concert with one another as their collective movement is effected for them by the angel. The reader, however, may recall the attentive shared motion of past processions. At the beginning of the shades’ journey towards God, a journey that will lead them into an ever more profoundly communal mode of being, the reader has the opportunity not only to behold but also to re-experience a form of communal relationship.

Processions enact rites of passage, marking out transitions from one space or condition to another. In Edward Muir’s phrase, they are ‘state[s] of prolonged liminality’. The Easter Vespers procession in particular is strongly associated with transition, new life and new beginnings. It is a journey to the font – a place of passage, entrance and renewal – made on the day of Christ’s Resurrection. Durand and canon Oderigo (compiler of the Sienese ordinal), for example, both foreground the presence of the newly baptized in the procession.

We do not, of course, need to link the souls’ voyage with the Easter Sunday Vespers procession to appreciate the importance of Resurrection and renewal at this point in the Commedia. The fact that it is Easter Day, that the souls are beginning their new lives, that they sing a psalm of Exodus, that the pilgrim has just emerged from hell and undergone a rite of cleansing are only some of the elements that situate the souls’ passage in the context of resurrection and renewal. What I suggest is that by offering the reader the chance to sing the psalm and to situate it as part of a specific liturgical performance, Dante gives her the possibility of experiencing her own process of transition. Once she has emerged from the depths of hell and as she sets out on the new cantica, the reader is offered a moment of renewal, an opening in the text in which she can participate in the song and movement of passage and rebirth.

At the beginning of Paradiso Dante foregrounds the movement of his readers from one canticle to another. Rewriting the metaphor of the sea voyage used in Purgatorio I, Dante proclaims the radical newness of Paradiso and warns all those who approach the final cantica:

O voi che siete in piccioletta barca, desiderosi d’ascoltare, seguiti
dietro al mio legno che cantando varca,
tornate a riveder li vostri liti:
non vi mettete in pelago, ché forse,
perdendo me, rimarreste smarriti.
L’acqua ch’io prendo già mai non si corse;
Minerva spira, e conducemi Appollo,
e nove Muse mi dimostran l’Orse.
Voialtri pochi che drizzaste il collo
Dante distinguishes between types of reader: those who are able to confront the difficulties and dangers of the new canticle and those who are not. Robin Kirkpatrick argues that Dante here invites the reader to examine herself, to ask what sort of reader she is and thus to decide whether or not she should venture into Paradiso. Anna Maria Chiavacci Leonardi also emphasizes the need for the reader to make a choice, though she frames the issue differently. The reader can choose whether or not to continue through Paradiso, but if she knows she lacks the necessary understanding, she must go and deepen her knowledge before returning to the Commedia.

Embarking on Paradiso is an active undertaking and is figured as a journey in the wake of a ship. More precisely, it is a journey in the wake of a singing ship: ‘dietro al mio legno che cantando varca’. The boat that crosses the waters in Purgatorio II is not the only singing vessel in the Commedia. The ships of Purgatorio II and Paradiso II are aligned on multiple levels. They are both filled with song, whether the chanting of the souls or the singing through poetry; they both sail into view in the second canto of their respective canticles; and they are linked verbally, both being described as a legno - the ‘più lieve legno’, as Charon labels the purgatorial ship (Inf., III. 93), and the ‘legno che cantando varca’ of Paradiso II. They are, furthermore, both part of fundamental moments of transition. In Purgatorio II the souls make their passage from earth to purgatory, while in Paradiso II the reader journeys from the poetics of Purgatorio to the new poetics of Paradiso.

If the souls must experience a time of transition between earth and purgatory, a time in which they first experience an example of the communal endeavour that will characterize their whole stay in Purgatorio, and if the pilgrim must also make his passage from Inferno to Purgatorio, a passage in which he must be cleansed and then girded with a reed of humility (Purg., I. 121-36), a passage in which he seeks refreshment and consolation after the physical and moral travail of hell (Purg., II. 106-11), then should not the reader also experience a time of transition as she moves from Inferno to Purgatorio? In Paradiso II a metaphorical singing vessel leads the way; in Purgatorio II a different singing ship provides a space in which we can make the transition from one canticle to the next. The move from the poetics of Inferno to those of Purgatorio may not be as arduous as the move into Paradiso, but we must still be made ready for the new, communal dynamics of Purgatorio. We are given a space in which to perform the psalm, to arrive at the souls’ blessing with the same words on our lips, and to experience corporeally the shades’ processional movement.

Dante does not oblige us to sing the souls’ psalm. We can choose how to respond to the presence of the song’s incipit and subsequent ‘con quanto di quel salmo è poscia scripto’. The words In exitu Isrāēl de Aegypto may trigger the second half of the verse, we may perform the psalm, or we may not. As in Paradiso II, where Dante distinguishes different types of reader, so in Purgatorio II he gives different opportunities to different kinds of reader. The liturgical singing of Psalm 113 opens a space in which the reader may – to her own degree – share in the souls’ song and movement, participating in the new communal dynamics of Purgatorio and making the transition from one cantica to the next.
To reach Mount Purgatory the souls cross a vast expanse of sea, the uninhabited, uncharted ocean of the Southern hemisphere. The other person who had tried to journey across that sea was, of course, Ulysses. In his retelling of the voyage Ulysses foregrounds the unknown; lying beyond the pillars of Hercules, this ocean is a space into which man has not and should not venture. For Ulysses it is a realm to be conquered, a space in which to prove his human greatness, a space to master through his own unaided exertions (Inf., XXVI. 106-20). He desires virtute e canoscenza, yet seeks them in a world devoid of people and thus devoid of the relationships in which virtue can thrive. He fails to understand, Vittorio Montemaggi argues, that virtue and knowledge flourish in communal contexts and so cannot be found in a mondo sanza gente.  

In the opening of Purgatorio we encounter the same space that cannot be mastered by human effort: ‘Venimmo poi in sul lito diserto, / che mai non vide navicar sue acque / omo, che di tornar sia poscia esperto’ (Purg., I. 130-32, in the well-known echo of Inf., XXVI ). Yet unlike Ulysses, the purgatorial souls cross the ocean as a community, ferried by the angel and attentive to each other as they join their voices in song. The liturgical, processional associations of their voyage, moreover, bring a sense of a lived, communal space into this otherwise unknown realm. Evoked by and embedded in their crossing is a place familiar and intimate to Dante’s contemporary readers, the walk from one’s local cathedral to the baptistery. As well as drawing the shades into community with one another, their liturgical song has the power to shape communal space, to bring a known, shared dimension to the very realm through which they pass. 

The souls remain in the boat for as long as it takes to finish singing the psalm and then the end of the song brings the voyage to a close. Song regulates the moment of arrival and subsequent departure: as soon as the psalm is concluded the shades are blessed, they disembark and the angel begins his return journey. Because song scans their processional movement, the final verses of Psalm 113 are sung moments before the souls set foot on land. These verses run: 
The dead shall not praise thee, O Lord: nor any of them that go down to hell. 
But we that live bless the Lord: from this time now and forever. (Ps. 113. 25-26) 

It is not until Purgatorio V that we hear a song of penance. The souls who died violent deaths walk along the shore singing: 
E ’ntanto per la costa di traverso 
venivan genti innanzi a noi un poco, 
cantando ‘Miserere’ a verso a verso. (Purg., V. 22-24) 
They chant the penitential psalm par excellence, Psalm 50. The first sacred chant that the pilgrim hears on land is the ultimate song of penance, but the song through which the souls make their transition to purgatory is one of praise. 

The end of each soul’s time in Purgatorio is also marked by doxology. At the moment in which the soul becomes ready to ‘mutar convento’ (Purg., XXI. 62), to move from purgatory through Eden to paradise, the other shades burst out, Gloria in excelsis Deo. Song shaped the souls’ arrival in Purgatorio and song – or rather, as we shall see, a complex layering of grido and canto – shapes each soul’s departure. 

While the pilgrim is advancing round the fifth terrace, the mountain suddenly shakes and a great shout arises from every soul in purgatory: 
Poi cominciò da tutte parti un grido
Gloria in excelsis Deo
tutti

tal, che ’l maestro inverso me si feo,
dicendo: “Non dubbiar, mentr’ io ti guido”.
‘Gloria in excelsis’ tutti ‘Deo’
dicean, per quel ch’io da’ vicin compresi,
onde intender lo grido si poteo.
No’ istavamo immobili e sospesi
come i pastor che prima udir quel canto,
fin che ’l tremar cessò ed el compiési. (Purg., XX. 133-41)
The purgatorial Gloria recalls the very first singing of the Gloria, the wondrous rendition to the shepherds at the Nativity. The singing at Bethlehem and the shouting on the mountain in the year 1300 are two moments separated in time, a linear succession of events signalled by the temporal marker prima. Yet also present is a sense of cyclical time. The souls’ Gloria puts the pilgrim and Virgil in the same physical, emotional and spiritual state as the angels’ Gloria did for the shepherds at the Nativity. They are ‘immobili e sospesi’, just as Dante tells us the shepherds were when they heard those words sung in the fields at Bethlehem. Their experience is re-created in the new listeners.

Virgil and the pilgrim share in the experience of the shepherds, and the souls - as the temporal distance between the two Glorias is further collapsed - briefly share in the devotion of the angels. Dante introduced the mountainquake and the Gloria as a tremar and a grido: ‘quand’ io senti’ […] tremar lo monte […] poi cominciò da tutte parti un grido’ (XX. 127-8, 133). But he concludes the description by pairing tremar with the pronoun el:
No’ istavamo immobili e sospesi
come i pastor che prima udir quel canto,
fin che ’l tremar cessò ed el compiési. (XX. 139-41)
The phrase ‘el compiési’ refers to the completion of the souls’ Gloria, but does so by referring to the angelic song. The noun that directly precedes ‘el’, and which the pronoun replaces, is ‘quel canto’ – the hymn sung to the Bethlehem shepherds. The ending of the purgatorial Gloria is evoked as the ending of the very first singing of the Gloria. The pronoun ‘el’ encompasses the Gloria both as purgatorial grido and as angelic canto. As in medieval understandings of liturgy where past, present and future are mystically made to co-exist in the ‘all time’ of liturgical performance, so here the distance between the two events is elided as both somehow co-exist in the same moment. It is not that the souls’ Gloria is transformed into the angels’ song, but nor does it remain fully distinct from it. The shades’ Gloria affects the listeners in the same way as did the angels’ and the moment in which it finishes is described as the moment in which the angelic song ends.

The souls perform their Gloria in thanksgiving for the liberation of another shade, in this case, Statius. We learn that it is not because of any external sign that the soul realises he is cleansed and free, but because he senses it within himself:
De la mondizia sol voler fa prova,
che, tutto libero a mutar convento,
l’alma sorprende, e di voler le giova. (Purg., XXI. 61-63)
It is a deeply personal experience, as Statius’s emphatic first person verb further highlights: ‘sentii / libera volontà di miglior soglia’ (Purg., XXI. 68-69). Yet it is also one in which every soul on the mountain to some degree shares. By praising God for Statius’s newfound freedom the other shades participate in his liberation. Their liturgical shout of praise allows them to be in communion with him, even as he moves from the penitential community to a new convento, and they remain behind.
In her work on liturgy Catherine Pickstock offers an insight that is particularly helpful here. She writes that liturgy offers a means of mediating the private and the public, allowing a ‘co-dwelling’ of the individual and the community:

[T]he liturgical relativises the everyday without denying its value. Personal joys are not allowed to become over-inflated because they are placed within the context of collective enjoyment and are seen as but specific manifestations of a continuous collective celebration. Inversely, personal sorrows are shared with others and are viewed in the context of cosmic patterns which include such tragic eventualities. [...] By contrast, a modern individual may alternate between seeking refuge from public misery in private delight, or escaping personal sorrow through absorption in the impersonal world of the media. But in neither case do the public and the private mediate each other in a liturgical fashion [...] People cannot readily live with themselves and in public at the same time. But this co-dwelling is exactly what liturgy renders possible.

In Purgatorio XX there is a co-dwelling of public and private that moves beyond the everyday joys and sorrows of this world. The moment of liberation both is and is not an individual experience. Each soul arrives at his freedom in his own time and becomes aware of it in a moment of inner realization, yet every soul in Purgatorio rejoices with him and praises God for his liberation. The mountain quakes and the souls exult in the very moment in which the shade perceives his new freedom within himself:

Tremaci quando alcuna anima monda
sentesi, si che surga o che si mova
per salir sü; e tal grido seconda. (Purg., XXI. 58-60)

The personal experience of liberation becomes a chance for the whole mountainside to join with each other and with the freed soul. The experience of a single soul becomes the occasion to generate community. Through liturgy, those shades who are part way through their purgation are briefly joined in community with one who is about to ascend to heaven.

In Purgatorio XXI, the souls’ Gloria is described as a congaudere:

Omai veggio la rete
che qui vi’ mpiglia e come si scalappia,
perché ci trema e di che congaudete. (Purg., XXI. 76-78)

Congaudere - a hapax in the Commedia – is, as commentators have observed, drawn from a passage in St Paul’s first letter to the Corinthians. In the twelfth chapter of that epistle Paul describes the diversity in unity of the Christian people. The faithful make up the mystical body of Christ; they are all individual members who together form the one body. No single member can survive by himself but is in organic unity with the others in Christ:

For as the body is one, and hath many members; and all the members of the body, whereas they are many, yet are one body, so also is Christ. [...] Now you are the body of Christ. (I Corinthians, 12. 12, 27)

In the middle of this discussion we find Paul’s congaudere:

Et si quid patitur unum membrum, compatiuntur omnia membra: sive gloriatur unum membrum, congaudent omnia membra.

And if one member suffer any thing, all the members suffer with it; or if one member glory, all the members rejoice with it. (I Corinthians, 12. 26)

The co-suffering and co-rejoicing of the members are manifestations of the unity of the body of Christ. In Purgatorio XX the souls’ congaudere - their grido-canto - both manifests and creates community, as the shades rejoice in the freedom of a single soul.
and are brought to share, if only momentarily, in the devotion of the angels. The singing of *In exitu Israel* and of the *Gloria* shape multiple communities across time and space, allowing for types of communal being that encompass the souls in purgatory, the angelic hosts and even the readers of Dante’s poem.

I would like to thank Heather Webb and the anonymous readers at *Italian Studies* for their very helpful comments on earlier drafts of this article.
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