

NISSUNA UMANA INVESTIGAZIONE SI PUO DIMANDARE VERA SCIENZA
S'ESSA NON PASSA PER LE MATEMATICHE DIMOSTRAZIONI
LEONARDO DA VINCI

vol. 4

no. 3-4

2016

MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS
of
Complex Systems

GEOFFREY R. GRIMMETT

CORRELATION INEQUALITIES FOR THE POTTS MODEL





CORRELATION INEQUALITIES FOR THE POTTS MODEL

GEOFFREY R. GRIMMETT

Dedicated in friendship to Lucio Russo

Correlation inequalities are presented for ferromagnetic Potts models with external field, using the random-cluster representation of Fortuin and Kasteleyn, together with the FKG inequality. These results extend and simplify earlier inequalities of Ganikhodjaev and Razak, and also of Schonmann, and include GKS-type inequalities when the spin space is taken as the set of q -th roots of unity.

1. Introduction

Correlation inequalities are key to the classical theory of interacting systems in statistical mechanics. The Ising model, especially, has a plethora of associated inequalities that have played significant roles in the development of a coherent theory of phase transition (see, for example, the books [7; 22]). These inequalities are frequently named after their discoverers, and include inequalities of Griffiths [14; 15; 16], Griffiths, Kelly, and Sherman (GKS) [20], Griffiths, Hurst, and Sherman (GHS) [17], Ginibre [13], Simon and Lieb [21; 24], and so on.

A more probabilistic theory of Ising/Potts models has emerged since around 1970, initiated partly by the work of Fortuin and Kasteleyn [8; 9; 10] on the *random-cluster* representation of the Potts model and the *random-current method* championed by Aizenman [1] and co-authors. Probably the principle inequality in the probabilistic formulation is that of Fortuin, Kasteleyn, and Ginibre (FKG) [11].

Inequalities are rarer for the Potts model, and our purpose in this note is to derive certain correlation inequalities for a ferromagnetic Potts model with external field, akin to the GKS inequalities for the Ising model. The main technique used here is the random-cluster representation of this model and particularly the FKG inequality.

Our results generalize and simplify the work of Ganikhodjaev and Razak [12], who have shown how to formulate and prove GKS-type inequalities for the Potts

Communicated by Raffaele Esposito.

MSC2010: 82B20, 60K35.

Keywords: Griffiths inequality, GKS inequality, Ising model, Potts model, random-cluster model, angular spins.

model with a general number q of local states. Furthermore, our Theorems 3.5 and 3.7 extend the two correlation inequalities of Schonmann [23], which in turn extended inequalities of [6]. Some of the arguments given here may be known to others.

The structure of this paper is as follows. The Potts and random-cluster models are introduced in Section 2, and the results of the paper (Theorems 3.5–3.7) follow in Section 3. The proofs are given in Sections 4, 5, and 6.

2. The Potts model with external field

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph, and let $J = (J_e : e \in E)$ and $h = (h_v : v \in V)$ be vectors of nonnegative reals and $q \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$. An edge $e \in E$ joins two distinct vertices x and y , and we write $e = \langle x, y \rangle$.

We take the “local state space” for the q -state Potts model to be the set $\mathcal{Q} := \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ of “spins”. The configuration space of the model is the product space $\Sigma := \mathcal{Q}^V$, and a typical configuration is written $\sigma = (\sigma_v : v \in V) \in \Sigma$. The Potts measure on G with parameters J and h has sample space Σ and probability measure given by

$$\pi(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \left\{ \sum_{e=\langle x,y \rangle \in E} J_e \delta_e(\sigma) + \sum_{v \in V} h_v \delta_v(\sigma) \right\}, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma,$$

where $\delta_e(\sigma) = \delta_{\sigma_x, \sigma_y}$ and $\delta_v(\sigma) = \delta_{\sigma_v, 0}$ are Kronecker delta functions and Z is the appropriate normalizing constant. Thus, the J_e are *edge-coupling constants*, and the h_v are *external fields* relative to the local state 0. The Potts measure is said to be *ferromagnetic* since $J_e \geq 0$ for $e \in E$.

We shall make use of the random-cluster representation, for a recent account and bibliography of which we refer the reader to [18]. The graph G is augmented by adding a “ghost” vertex g , which is joined by edges $\langle g, v \rangle$ to each vertex $v \in V$; the ensuing graph is denoted $G^+ = (V^+, E^+)$. The relevant sample space is the product space $\Omega := \{0, 1\}^{E^+}$. For $\omega = (\omega_e : e \in E^+) \in \Omega$, an edge e is called *open* if $\omega_e = 1$ and *closed* otherwise.

An edge $e \in E$ is assigned parameter $p_e = 1 - e^{-J_e}$, and an edge of the form $\langle g, v \rangle$ is assigned parameter $p_v = 1 - e^{-h_v}$. The random-cluster probability measure ϕ on G has sample space Ω and is given by

$$\phi(\omega) = \frac{1}{Z_{\text{RC}}} \left\{ \prod_{e=\langle x,y \rangle \in E^+} p_e^{\omega_e} (1 - p_e)^{1 - \omega_e} \right\} q^{k(\omega)}, \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

where $k(\omega)$ is the number of connected components of the graph with vertex set V^+ and edge set $\eta(\omega) := \{e \in E^+ : \omega_e = 1\}$.

The relationship between the Potts model and the random-cluster model is explained in [18, §1.4], where it is shown in particular that $Z_{\text{RC}} = e^{-|E|}Z$.

The measures π and ϕ may be coupled as follows. Suppose ω is sampled from Ω according to ϕ , and let C_v be the connected component of $(V, \eta(\omega))$ containing $v \in V^+$; the C_v are called *open clusters*. Every vertex in C_g is allocated spin 0. To an open cluster of ω other than C_g , we allocate a uniformly chosen spin from \mathcal{Q} such that every vertex in the cluster receives this spin and the spins of different clusters are independent. The ensuing spin vector $\sigma = \sigma(\omega)$ has law π . See [18, Theorem 1.3] for a proof of this standard fact and for references to the original work of Fortuin and Kasteleyn.

This paper will make use of the FKG inequality and the comparison inequalities for the random-cluster model. These are presented in a number of places already and are not repeated here. The reader is referred instead to [18, Theorem 3.8] for the FKG inequality and to [18, Theorem 3.21] for the comparison inequalities.

3. The correlation inequalities

We begin with a space of functions. Let \mathcal{F}_q be the set of functions $f : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that, for all integers $m, n \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X)^m) \text{ is real and nonnegative,} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X)^{m+n}) \geq \mathbb{E}(f(X)^m)\mathbb{E}(f(X)^n), \quad (3.2)$$

where X is a uniformly distributed random variable on \mathcal{Q} . The above conditions may be written out as follows. We have that $f \in \mathcal{F}_q$ if, for $m, n \geq 0$,

$$S_m := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{Q}} f(x)^m \text{ is real and nonnegative,}$$

$$qS_{m+n} \geq S_m S_n.$$

For $I \in \mathcal{Q}$, let \mathcal{F}_q^I be the subset of \mathcal{F}_q containing all f such that

$$f(I) = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathcal{Q}\}. \quad (3.3)$$

This condition entails that $f(I)$ is real and nonnegative.

Let $f : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For $\sigma \in \Sigma$, let

$$f(\sigma)^R := \prod_{v \in R} f(\sigma_v), \quad R \subseteq V. \quad (3.4)$$

Thinking of σ as a random vector with law π , we write $\langle f(\sigma)^R \rangle$ for the mean value of $f(\sigma)^R$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_q^0$. For $R \subseteq V$, the mean $\langle f(\sigma)^R \rangle$ is real-valued and nondecreasing in the vectors J and h and satisfies $\langle f(\sigma)^R \rangle \geq 0$. For $R, S \subseteq V$, we have*

$$\langle f(\sigma)^R f(\sigma)^S \rangle \geq \langle f(\sigma)^R \rangle \langle f(\sigma)^S \rangle.$$

If there is no external field, in that $h \equiv 0$, it suffices for the above that $f \in \mathcal{F}_q$ in place of $f \in \mathcal{F}_q^0$.

Here are three classes of functions belonging to \mathcal{F}_q^0 .

Theorem 3.6. *Let $q \geq 2$. The following functions $f : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belong to \mathcal{F}_q^0 :*

- (a) $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(q - 1) - x$,
- (b) $f(x) = e^{2\pi ix/q}$, a q -th root of unity, and
- (c) $f : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, with $f(x) \leq f(0)$ for $x \in \mathcal{Q}$.

When combined with [Theorem 3.5](#), case (a) yields the inequalities of Ganikhodjaev and Razak [\[12\]](#), but with simpler proofs. When $q = 2$, the latter reduce to the GKS inequalities for the Ising model; see [\[14; 15; 16; 20\]](#). We do not know if the implications of [Theorem 3.5](#) with case (b) are either known or useful. Perhaps they are examples of the results of Ginibre [\[13\]](#). In case (c) with $f(x) = \delta_{x,0}$, [Theorem 3.5](#) yields the first correlation inequality of Schonmann [\[23\]](#).

Our second main result follows next.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $q \geq 2$ and $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}_q^0$, and let $f_1 : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy [\(3.1\)](#). If f_0 and f_1 have disjoint support in that $f_0 f_1 \equiv 0$, then for $R, S \subseteq V$,*

$$\langle f_0(\sigma)^R f_1(\sigma)^S \rangle \leq \langle f_0(\sigma)^R \rangle \langle f_1(\sigma)^S \rangle.$$

If $h \equiv 0$, it is enough to assume $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}_q$ in place of $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}_q^0$.

Two correlation inequalities were proved in [\[23\]](#): a “positive” inequality that is implied by [Theorems 3.5](#) and [3.6\(c\)](#) and a “negative” inequality that is obtained as a special case of [Theorem 3.7](#) on setting $f_0(x) = \delta_{x,0}$ and $f_1(x) = \delta_{x,1}$. Recall that Schonmann’s inequalities were themselves (partial) generalizations of correlation inequalities of [\[6\]](#).

Amongst the feasible extensions of the above theorems that come to mind, we mention the classical space-time models used to study the quantum Ising/Potts models [\[2; 3; 4; 5; 19\]](#).

4. Proof of [Theorem 3.5](#)

We use the coupling of the random-cluster and Potts model described in [Section 2](#). Let $\omega \in \Omega$, and let $A_g, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$ be the vertex sets of the open clusters of ω , where A_g is that of the open cluster C_g containing g .

Let $R \subseteq V$, and let $f \in \mathcal{F}_q^0$. By (3.4),

$$f(\sigma)^R = f(0)^{|R \cap A_g|} \prod_{r=1}^k f(X_r)^{|R \cap A_r|},$$

where X_r is the random spin assigned to A_r . This has conditional expectation $g_R : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_R(\omega) &:= \mathbb{E}(f(\sigma)^R \mid \omega) \\ &= f(0)^{|R \cap A_g|} \prod_{r=1}^k \mathbb{E}(f(X)^{|R \cap A_r|} \mid \omega). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1) and (3.3), $g_R(\omega)$ is real and nonnegative, whence so is its mean $\phi(g_R) = \langle f(\sigma)^R \rangle$. (It will be convenient to use $\phi(Y)$ to denote the expectation of a random variable $Y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.)

We show next that g_R is a nondecreasing function on the partially ordered set Ω . It suffices to consider the case when the configuration ω' is obtained from ω by adding an edge between two clusters of ω . In this case, by (3.2) and (3.3), $g_R(\omega') \geq g_R(\omega)$. That $\langle f(\sigma)^R \rangle = \phi(g_R)$ is nondecreasing in J and h follows by the appropriate comparison inequality for the random-cluster measure ϕ [18, Theorem 3.21].

Now,

$$\mathbb{E}(f(\sigma)^R f(\sigma)^S \mid \omega) = f(0)^{|R \cap A_g| + |S \cap A_g|} \prod_{r=1}^k \mathbb{E}(f(X)^{|R \cap A_r| + |S \cap A_r|} \mid \omega).$$

By (3.2),

$$\mathbb{E}(f(\sigma)^R f(\sigma)^S \mid \omega) \geq g_R(\omega) g_S(\omega).$$

By the FKG property of ϕ [18, Theorem 3.8],

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(\sigma)^R f(\sigma)^S \rangle &= \phi(\mathbb{E}(f(\sigma)^R f(\sigma)^S \mid \omega)) \\ &\geq \langle f(\sigma)^R \rangle \langle f(\sigma)^S \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

When $h \equiv 0$, the terms in $f(0)$ do not appear in the above, and it therefore suffices that $f \in \mathcal{F}_q$.

5. Proof of Theorem 3.6

We shall use the elementary fact that, if T is a nonnegative random variable,

$$\mathbb{E}(T^{m+n}) \geq \mathbb{E}(T^m) \mathbb{E}(T^n), \quad m, n \geq 0. \quad (5.1)$$

This trivial inequality may be proved in several ways, one of which is the following. Let T_1 and T_2 be independent copies of T . Clearly,

$$(T_1^m - T_2^m)(T_1^n - T_2^n) \geq 0 \quad (5.2)$$

since either $0 \leq T_1 \leq T_2$ or $0 \leq T_2 \leq T_1$. Inequality (5.1) follows by multiplying out (5.2) and averaging.

(a) Inequality (3.3) with $I = 0$ is a triviality. Since $f(X)$ is real-valued, with the same distribution as $-f(X)$, $\mathbb{E}(f(X)^m) = 0$ when m is odd and is positive when m is even. When $m + n$ is even, (3.2) follows from (5.1) with $T = f(X)^2$, and both sides of (3.2) are 0 otherwise.

(b) An easy calculation shows that

$$\mathbb{E}(f(X)^m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \text{ divides } m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and (3.1) and (3.2) follow.

(c) Inequality (3.2) follows by (5.1) with $T = f(X)$.

6. Proof of Theorem 3.7

We may as well assume that $f_0 \not\equiv 0$ so that $f_0(0) > 0$ and $f_1(0) = 0$. We use the notation of Section 4, and let $F_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by

$$F_0(\omega) = f_0(0)^{|R \cap A_g|} \prod_{r=1}^k \mathbb{E}(f_0(X)^{|R \cap A_r|} \mid \omega), \quad (6.1)$$

$$F_1(\omega) = \prod_{r=1}^k \mathbb{E}(f_1(X)^{|S \cap A_r|} \mid \omega). \quad (6.2)$$

By (3.1), F_0 and F_1 are real-valued and nonnegative. Since $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}_q^0$, F_0 is non-decreasing (as in Section 4).

Since $f_0 f_1 \equiv 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}(f_0(\sigma)^R f_1(\sigma)^S \mid \omega) = 1_Z(\omega) F_0(\omega) F_1(\omega),$$

where 1_Z is the indicator function of the event $Z = \{S \leftrightarrow R \cup \{g\}\}$. Here, as usual, we write $A \leftrightarrow B$ if there exists an open path in ω from some vertex of A to some vertex of B . Let T be the subset of V^+ containing all vertices joined to S by open paths, and write ω_T for the configuration ω restricted to T . Using conditional expectation,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_0(\sigma)^R f_1(\sigma)^S \rangle &= \phi(1_Z F_0 F_1) \\ &= \phi(1_Z F_1 \phi(F_0 \mid T, \omega_T)), \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

where we have used the fact that 1_Z and F_1 are functions of the pair T, ω_T only. On the event Z , F_0 is a nondecreasing function of the configuration restricted to $V^+ \setminus T$. Furthermore, given T , the conditional measure on $V^+ \setminus T$ is the corresponding random-cluster measure. It follows that

$$\phi(F_0 | T, \omega_T) \leq \phi(F_0) \quad \text{on the event } Z$$

by [18, Theorem 3.21]. By (6.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_0(\sigma)^R f_1(\sigma)^S \rangle &\leq \phi(1_Z F_1 \phi(F_0)) \\ &\leq \phi(F_0) \phi(F_1) \\ &= \langle f_0(\sigma)^R \rangle \langle f_1(\sigma)^S \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem is proved.

When $h \equiv 0$, $A_g = \{g\}$ in (6.1), and it suffices that $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}_q$.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council under grant EP/I03372X/1. The author is grateful to Jakob Björnberg for proposing the model with angular spins and also to Chuck Newman and Aernout van Enter for their comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] M. Aizenman, “Geometric analysis of φ^4 fields and Ising models, I–II”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **86**:1 (1982), 1–48.
- [2] M. Aizenman and B. Nachtergaele, “Geometric aspects of quantum spin states”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **164**:1 (1994), 17–63.
- [3] J. E. Björnberg, “Vanishing critical magnetization in the quantum Ising model”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **337**:2 (2015), 879–907.
- [4] J. E. Björnberg and G. R. Grimmett, “The phase transition of the quantum Ising model is sharp”, *J. Stat. Phys.* **136**:2 (2009), 231–273.
- [5] N. Crawford and D. Ioffe, “Random current representation for transverse field Ising model”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **296**:2 (2010), 447–474.
- [6] J. De Coninck, A. Messenger, S. Miracle-Sole, and J. Ruiz, “A study of perfect wetting for Potts and Blume–Capel models with correlation inequalities”, *J. Statist. Phys.* **52**:1–2 (1988), 45–60.
- [7] R. Fernández, J. Fröhlich, and A. D. Sokal, *Random walks, critical phenomena, and triviality in quantum field theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [8] C. M. Fortuin, “On the random-cluster model, II: The percolation model”, *Physica* **58**:3 (1972), 393–418.
- [9] C. M. Fortuin, “On the random-cluster model, III: The simple random-cluster model”, *Physica* **59**:4 (1972), 545–570.
- [10] C. M. Fortuin and P. W. Kasteleyn, “On the random-cluster model, I: Introduction and relation to other models”, *Physica* **57**:4 (1972), 536–564.

- [11] C. M. Fortuin, P. W. Kasteleyn, and J. Ginibre, “Correlation inequalities on some partially ordered sets”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **22**:2 (1971), 89–103.
- [12] N. Ganikhodjaev and F. A. Razak, “Griffith–Kelly–Sherman correlation inequalities for generalized Potts model”, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **13**:1 (2010), 1–18.
- [13] J. Ginibre, “General formulation of Griffiths’ inequalities”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **16**:4 (1970), 310–328.
- [14] R. B. Griffiths, “Correlations in Ising ferromagnets, I”, *J. Math. Phys.* **8**:3 (1967), 478–483.
- [15] R. B. Griffiths, “Correlations in Ising ferromagnets, II: External magnetic fields”, *J. Math. Phys.* **8**:3 (1967), 484–489.
- [16] R. B. Griffiths, “Rigorous results for Ising ferromagnets of arbitrary spin”, *J. Math. Phys.* **10**:9 (1969), 1559–1565.
- [17] R. B. Griffiths, C. A. Hurst, and S. Sherman, “Concavity of magnetization of an Ising ferromagnet in a positive external field”, *J. Math. Phys.* **11**:3 (1970), 790–795.
- [18] G. R. Grimmett, *The random-cluster model*, Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften **333**, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [19] G. R. Grimmett, “Space-time percolation”, pp. 305–320 in *In and out of equilibrium*, 2 (Rio de Janeiro, 2006), edited by V. Sidoravicius and M. E. Vares, Progr. Probab. **60**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008.
- [20] D. G. Kelly and S. Sherman, “General Griffiths’ inequalities on correlations in Ising ferromagnets”, *J. Math. Phys.* **9**:3 (1968), 466–484.
- [21] E. H. Lieb, “A refinement of Simon’s correlation inequality”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **77**:2 (1980), 127–135.
- [22] B. M. McCoy and T. T. Wu, *The two-dimensional Ising model*, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 1973.
- [23] R. H. Schonmann, “On two correlation inequalities for Potts models”, *J. Statist. Phys.* **52**:1–2 (1988), 61–67.
- [24] B. Simon, “Correlation inequalities and the decay of correlations in ferromagnets”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **77**:2 (1980), 111–126.

Received 3 Dec 2015. Accepted 28 Mar 2016.

GEOFFREY R. GRIMMETT: g.r.grimmett@statslab.cam.ac.uk

Statistical Laboratory, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB3 0WB, United Kingdom



MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS OF COMPLEX SYSTEMS

msp.org/memocs

EDITORIAL BOARD

ANTONIO CARCATERRA
ERIC A. CARLEN
FRANCESCO DELL'ISOLA
RAFFAELE ESPOSITO
ALBERT FANNJIANG
GILLES A. FRANCFORT
PIERANGELO MARCATI
JEAN-JACQUES MARIGO
PETER A. MARKOWICH
MARTIN OSTOJA-STARZEWSKI
PIERRE SEPPECHER
DAVID J. STEIGMANN
PAUL STEINMANN
PIERRE M. SUQUET

Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italia
Rutgers University, USA
(CO-CHAIR) Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italia
(TREASURER) Università dell'Aquila, Italia
University of California at Davis, USA
(CO-CHAIR) Université Paris-Nord, France
Università dell'Aquila, Italy
École Polytechnique, France
DAMTP Cambridge, UK, and University of Vienna, Austria
(CHAIR MANAGING EDITOR) Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA
Université du Sud Toulon-Var, France
University of California at Berkeley, USA
Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany
LMA CNRS Marseille, France

MANAGING EDITORS

MICOL AMAR
CORRADO LATTANZIO
ANGELA MADEO
MARTIN OSTOJA-STARZEWSKI

Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italia
Università dell'Aquila, Italy
Université de Lyon-INSA (Institut National des Sciences Appliquées), France
(CHAIR MANAGING EDITOR) Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

ADVISORY BOARD

ADNAN AKAY
HOLM ALTENBACH
MICOL AMAR
HARM ASKES
TEODOR ATANACKOVIĆ
VICTOR BERDICHEVSKY
GUY BOUCHITTÉ
ANDREA BRAIDES
ROBERTO CAMASSA
MAURO CARFORE
ERIC DARVE
FELIX DARVE
ANNA DE MASI
GIANPIETRO DEL PIERO
EMMANUELE DI BENEDETTO
BERNOLD FIEDLER
IRENE M. GAMBA
DAVID Y. GAO
SERGEY GAVRILYUK
TIMOTHY J. HEALEY
DOMINIQUE JEULIN
ROGER E. KHAYAT
CORRADO LATTANZIO
ROBERT P. LIPTON
ANGELO LUONGO
ANGELA MADEO
JUAN J. MANFREDI
CARLO MARCHIORO
GÉRARD A. MAUGIN
ROBERTO NATALINI
PATRIZIO NEFF
ANDREY PIATNITSKI
ERRICO PRESUTTI
MARIO PULVIRENTI
LUCIO RUSSO
MIGUEL A. F. SANJUAN
PATRICK SELVADURAI
ALEXANDER P. SEYRANIAN
MIROSLAV ŠILHAVÝ
GUIDO SWEERS
ANTOINETTE TORDSILLAS
LEV TRUSKINOVSKY
JUAN J. L. VELÁZQUEZ
VINCENZO VESPRI
ANGELO VULPIANI

Carnegie Mellon University, USA, and Bilkent University, Turkey
Otto-von-Guericke-Universität Magdeburg, Germany
Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italia
University of Sheffield, UK
University of Novi Sad, Serbia
Wayne State University, USA
Université du Sud Toulon-Var, France
Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Italia
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, USA
Università di Pavia, Italia
Stanford University, USA
Institut Polytechnique de Grenoble, France
Università dell'Aquila, Italia
Università di Ferrara and International Research Center MEMOCS, Italia
Vanderbilt University, USA
Freie Universität Berlin, Germany
University of Texas at Austin, USA
Federation University and Australian National University, Australia
Université Aix-Marseille, France
Cornell University, USA
École des Mines, France
University of Western Ontario, Canada
Università dell'Aquila, Italy
Louisiana State University, USA
Università dell'Aquila, Italia
Université de Lyon-INSA (Institut National des Sciences Appliquées), France
University of Pittsburgh, USA
Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italia
Université Paris VI, France
Istituto per le Applicazioni del Calcolo "M. Picone", Italy
Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany
Narvik University College, Norway, Russia
Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Italy
Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italia
Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", Italia
Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Madrid, Spain
McGill University, Canada
Moscow State Lomonosov University, Russia
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
Universität zu Köln, Germany
University of Melbourne, Australia
École Polytechnique, France
Bonn University, Germany
Università di Firenze, Italia
Università di Roma La Sapienza, Italia

MEMOCS (ISSN 2325-3444 electronic, 2326-7186 printed) is a journal of the International Research Center for the Mathematics and Mechanics of Complex Systems at the Università dell'Aquila, Italy.

Cover image: "Tangle" by © John Horigan; produced using the *Context Free* program (contextfreetart.org).

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing
<http://msp.org/>

© 2016 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Special issue in honor of
Lucio Russo

Lucio Russo: A multifaceted life Raffaele Esposito and Francesco dell'Isola	197
The work of Lucio Russo on percolation Geoffrey R. Grimmett	199
"Mathematics" and "physics" in the science of harmonics Stefano Isola	213
From quantum to classical world: emergence of trajectories in a quantum system Rodolfo Figari and Alessandro Teta	235
Propagation of chaos and effective equations in kinetic theory: a brief survey Mario Pulvirenti and Sergio Simonella	255
What decides the direction of a current? Christian Maes	275
A remark on eigenvalue perturbation theory at vanishing isolation distance Fiorella Barone and Sandro Graffi	297
Some results on the asymptotic behavior of finite connection probabilities in percolation Massimo Campanino and Michele Gianfelice	311
Correlation inequalities for the Potts model Geoffrey R. Grimmett	327
Quantum mechanics: some basic techniques for some basic models, I: The models Vincenzo Grecchi	335
Quantum mechanics: some basic techniques for some basic models, II: The techniques Vincenzo Grecchi	353
On stochastic distributions and currents Vincenzo Capasso and Franco Flandoli	373
A note on Gibbs and Markov random fields with constraints and their moments Alberto Gandolfi and Pietro Lenarda	407
Quantum mechanics: light and shadows (ontological problems and epistemic solutions) Gianfausto Dell'Antonio	423
Lucio Russo: probability theory and current interests Giovanni Gallavotti	461
An attempt to let the "two cultures" meet: relationship between science and architecture in the design of Greek temples. Claudio D'Amato	471

MEMOCS is a journal of the International Research Center for
the Mathematics and Mechanics of Complex Systems
at the Università dell'Aquila, Italy.

