Hexagonal structure of phase III of solid hydrogen
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A hexagonal structure of solid molecular hydrogen with P6322 symmetry is calculated to be more stable below about 200 GPa than the monoclinic C2/c structure identified previously as the best candidate for phase III. We find that the effects of nuclear quantum and thermal vibrations play a central role in the stabilization of P6322. The P6322 and C2/c structures are very similar and their Raman and infra-red data are in good agreement with experiment. However, our calculations show that the hexagonal P6322 structure provides better agreement with the available x-ray diffraction data than the C2/c structure at pressures below about 200 GPa. We suggest that two phase-III-like structures may be formed at high pressures, hexagonal P6322 below about 200 GPa and monoclinic C2/c at higher pressures.

I. INTRODUCTION

Experimental and theoretical studies of hydrogen at high pressures have progressed rapidly in recent years. On the experimental front, improvements in diamond anvil cell techniques have enabled the exploration of static pressures above 300 GPa in hydrogen,1–3 and even higher pressures in other materials.4 The solid molecular crystalline phases I, II, III, and IV/IV of hydrogen have been extensively studied experimentally. However, only the structure of the low temperature and pressure phase I is known with precision, and it is found to be a quantum solid consisting of molecules with angular momentum L = 0 in a mixture of ortho and para states and arranged on a hexagonal close packed lattice.1–3 At low temperatures an pressures above about 100 GPa (25 GPa for deuterium), hydrogen enters phase II in which the molecular rotations are restricted.5 The detailed structure of phase II is unknown, although infra-red (IR) and Raman vibrational data,6,7 and x-ray and neutron diffraction data8–11 impose constraints on it. At about 160 GPa, phase II transforms into the ordered molecular phase III.12 Phase III has a single strong IR active vibron peak and a much larger IR activity than phase II.13 The phases IV and IV′, which are similar to eachother, become stable at high pressures and temperatures above about 300 K.14–16 They exhibit a high-frequency vibron peak that is weakly dependent on pressure and a strong Raman vibron peak at lower frequencies which softens rapidly with applied pressure. More recently, a new phase V of hydrogen has been observed in Raman experiments around room temperature reaching pressures of 388 GPa,17 but the structure of this new phase also remains unknown.

On the theoretical front, high-pressure structures of hydrogen have been investigated extensively using ab initio molecular dynamics,18–22 path-integral molecular dynamics,23,24 quantum Monte Carlo methods,25–30 first-principles density functional theory (DFT) methods,31–35 and many-body methods.26,36 The recent widespread adoption of DFT structure searching techniques has led to the discovery of high-pressure hydrogen structures that are consistent with many of the experimental observations. Using the ab initio random structure searching (AIRSS) method, we found a hydrogen structure of P21/c symmetry that is a plausible model for phase II.37 We also discovered a monoclinic structure of C2/c symmetry and 24 atoms per primitive cell (henceforth called C2/c-24), that provides a good match to the experimental vibrational data for phase III, and is the lowest-enthalpy phase found over the pressure range in which phase III is observed, of 160 to above 300 GPa.32 Energetically competitive “mixed structures” of C2, Pbcn and Ibam symmetries were also found32 that consist of alternate layers of strongly and weakly bonded molecules, which provide simple models for phases IV/IV′. We have developed improved models for these phases, in particular the Pc structure with 48 atoms per primitive unit cell33,34 and also a slightly better structure with 96 atoms per cell (see Supplemental Material of Ref. 33). Structure searching methods have found widespread application beyond hydrogen, discovering many new structures that were subsequently synthesized. For example, AIRSS has been used to determine structures of silane,38 aluminum hydride,39 ammonia,40,41 ammonia hydrates,42 and xenon oxides43 that were subsequently verified by experiments.

Candidate structures for phases II,37 III,32 and IV/IV′43 have been determined by structure searching
using AIRSS. These searches did not use experimental input, but the resulting structures provide Raman and IR vibrational data in reasonable agreement with experiment. Despite this success, there are still discrepancies between theory and experiment. In particular, there remains an outstanding question about the structure of phase III. Although the vibrational signatures of the monoclinic C2/c-24 structure agree well with the experimental data for phase III, there is an inconsistency between the experimental x-ray diffraction data for phase III, reported in Ref. 11, and the simulated x-ray data for C2/c-24. The experimental x-ray data is consistent with a hexagonal space group, but not with the monoclinic space group of C2/c-24.44

In this work we investigate this discrepancy using DFT methods.45 We find a new hexagonal structure of high pressure hydrogen of P6122 symmetry, that is calculated to be more stable than the C2/c-24 structure below pressures of about 200 GPa, once the effects of quantum and thermal motion are incorporated. The Raman and IR spectra of P6122 are in good agreement with those observed experimentally for phase III, and the hexagonal symmetry leads to the best agreement of any known candidate structure with the x-ray diffraction data available. We propose P6122 as a candidate structure for phase III of solid hydrogen.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we describe the structure searches and in Sec. III we calculate the relative free energies of the most competitive candidate structures. We then characterize the new P6122 structure in Sec. IV, and propose it as the candidate structure of phase III of solid hydrogen. We draw our conclusions in Sec. VI.

II. STRUCTURE SEARCHES

We used AIRSS to search for low enthalpy static-lattice structures of solid hydrogen at high pressures. In contrast to previous searches, we focused on structures containing a number of atoms or molecules equal to a highly composite number. Highly composite numbers are positive integers that have more divisors than any smaller positive integer, and searches over structures containing a highly composite number of atoms or molecules explore structures containing several different numbers of formula units during each search. For each structure, a physically reasonable volume and set of atomic positions were selected at random. Although some searches were performed without symmetry constraints, for most searches we imposed common space group symmetries of molecular crystals, and in particular space groups P21/c, P212121, Pnca21, Pna21, and C2/c. We constrained the minimum initial atomic separations using data from preliminary short AIRSS runs, with different minimum separations at each pressure. This helps to space out the atoms appropriately while retaining a high degree of randomness. The structures were then relaxed until the forces on the atoms were small and the pressure took the required value. This procedure was repeated many times, and a total of 85,424 structures were generated.

The searches were performed using the CASTEP46 DFT plane-wave pseudopotential code with “on the fly” ultrasoft pseudopotentials47 and the BLYP density functional,48,49 which has been shown to provide a good description of molecular hydrogen at high pressures.50 We employed an energy cut-off of 230 eV, and k-point grids of spacings 2\(\pi\) × 0.07 Å\(^{-1}\) and 2\(\pi\) × 0.05 Å\(^{-1}\).

AIRSS found a previously unknown hydrogen structure of hexagonal P6122 symmetry which is energetically competitive with monoclinic C2/c-24. A primitive unit cell of P6122 contains 36 atoms, which is a highly composite number. It appears that this system size had not been explored previously in hydrogen.

III. FREE ENERGY CALCULATIONS

We next evaluate the relative enthalpies and free energies of the most competitive structures of high pressure hydrogen in the pressure range 100–350 GPa, as shown in Fig. 1. We include the best known candidate structures for phase II, of P21/c and P63/m, the best known candidates for phase III, the C2/c-24 structure, and a 12 atoms variant, referred to as C2/c-12, as well as the newly discovered P6122 structure.

For both static lattice and vibrational energy calculations, we used an energy cut-off of 1000 eV and k-point grids of spacing 2\(\pi\) × 0.025 Å\(^{-1}\). These parameters provide energy differences between frozen-phonon structures that are converged to better than 10\(^{-4}\) eV/atom, forces
to better than $10^{-4}$ eV/\AA{}, and stresses to better than $10^{-3}$ GPa.

The low mass of hydrogen leads to large vibrational energies and amplitudes and to significant anharmonic nuclear motion, which must be accounted for if accurate energies are to be calculated. We evaluated the free energies using the method proposed in Ref. 51. The low-energy part of the Born-Oppenheimer energy surface was mapped well beyond the harmonic region in a finite-displacement approach. We took advantage of the recently introduced nondiagonal supercells method\textsuperscript{52} to reach unprecedented levels of convergence with respect to the size of the simulation cell. As an example, the results reported here for the $P6_{1}22$ structure were obtained using nondiagonal supercells containing a maximum of 72 atoms, but these results are the same as those that would be obtained using the standard supercell approach and a supercell containing 288 atoms. Tests with larger nondiagonal supercells containing a maximum of 108 atoms (equivalent to standard supercells containing 972 atoms) show that the final vibrational energies are converged to better than 0.2 meV/proton. After construction of the anharmonic potential, the resulting Schrödinger equation was solved using a vibrational self-consistent-field approach, in which the vibrational wave function was represented in a basis of simple-harmonic-oscillator functions for each degree of freedom, and converged results were achieved by including up to 50 basis functions per mode.

The relative enthalpies and free energies reported in Fig. 1 correspond to static lattices, $T = 0$ K, and $T = 300$ K. At 300 K, $P6_{1}22$ is thermodynamically stable at pressures below about 180 GPa when the vibrational energy is included. The energy difference between $C2/c$-24 and $P6_{1}22$ is small, but it is clear that the new $P6_{1}22$ structure is energetically competitive in the pressure range where phase III is observed experimentally. The structural similarities between $P6_{1}22$ and $C2/c$-24 suggest that errors in the total free energies arising, for example, from the choice of exchange-correlation functional, should largely cancel when evaluating their relative free energies. The $C2/c$-12 structure has a higher static lattice energy than $C2/c$-24, and the inclusion of quantum and thermal vibrations destabilizes it further.

IV. PROPERTIES OF THE $P6_{1}22$ STRUCTURE

The data reported in Fig. 1 suggests that $P6_{1}22$ is a competitive candidate structure for phase III. Therefore, in this section we characterize the $P6_{1}22$ structure, and compare its spectroscopic signatures with experiment and with those of $C2/c$-24, which is the best candidate for phase III known at present.

A. Structure

We have also calculated the relative Gibbs free energy of $P6_{1}22$ with respect to $C2/c$-24 for the heavier deuterium isotope. As atomic vibrations drive the thermodynamic stability of $P6_{1}22$ compared to $C2/c$-24, the heavier deuterium compound has a narrower stability range. For example, at 300 K the transition into the $C2/c$-24 structure is predicted to occur at about 160 GPa.

FIG. 2. The $P6_{1}22$ and $C2/c$-24 layered molecular structures.
TABLE I. Static lattice structural details of $C2/c$-24 and $P6_122$ at 200 GPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>Volume per proton</th>
<th>BL1</th>
<th>BL2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C2/c$-24</td>
<td>3.025 Å</td>
<td>3.025 Å</td>
<td>5.408 Å</td>
<td>90.1°</td>
<td>90.1°</td>
<td>119.9°</td>
<td>1.787 Å³</td>
<td>0.719 Å</td>
<td>0.716 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P6_122$</td>
<td>3.022 Å</td>
<td>3.022 Å</td>
<td>8.143 Å</td>
<td>90.0°</td>
<td>90.0°</td>
<td>120.0°</td>
<td>1.789 Å³</td>
<td>0.719 Å</td>
<td>0.715 Å</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

that their primitive cells are similar, differing mainly in the length of the $c$ axis (about 50% longer in $P6_122$ as a consequence of the two extra layers), and in the slight monoclinic distortion in $C2/c$-24. Two slightly different molecular bond lengths (BL) appear in these structures, and they differ by less than 0.001 Å between the two structures. The volume per proton of $P6_122$ is only 0.1% larger than that of $C2/c$-24. We include a structure file of the $P6_122$ structure as Supplemental Material.

B. Bandstructure and phonon dispersion

In Fig. 3a we show the band structure and density of states of $P6_122$ at a pressure of 200 GPa. In Fig. 3b we show the corresponding phonon dispersion and associated density of vibrational states. The absence of imaginary frequencies in the phonon dispersion shows that $P6_122$ is a dynamically stable structure.

C. Raman and IR

The vast majority of experiments on pressurised hydrogen report Raman and/or IR spectra. In Fig. 4 we show the theoretical Raman and IR spectra of $C2/c$-24 and $P6_122$ at 200 GPa. As the $C2/c$-24 and $P6_122$ structures are almost identical, the frequencies of the active modes are indistinguishable, and agree well with those observed experimentally. The main difference between the two signals is the stronger IR vibron peak for $P6_122$, which is consistent with the observation that in phase III the IR activity is much larger than in phase II. Overall, the IR and Raman spectra of $C2/c$-24 and $P6_122$ agree well with the corresponding spectra observed for phase III, and therefore we cannot unambiguously identify the structure of phase III based purely on its vibrational response.

We note that the Raman and IR spectra were obtained using the PBE functional instead of the BLYP functional. The latter is not implemented in CASTEP within the density functional perturbation theory formalism needed to evaluate these spectra. The main difference between the spectra obtained using PBE and the one that would be obtained using a different functional is the position of the peaks, caused by the slightly different bond lengths predicted by the various functionals.

D. X-ray diffraction

Hydrogen having the lowest atomic number $Z$ is a very poor scatterer of x-rays. This, combined with the restrictive access to a diamond anvil cell, makes the structural studies of hydrogen at high pressures notoriously diffi-
V. PHASE III OF SOLID HYDROGEN

The structural and vibrational characteristics of $P6_122$, together with the energies reported in Fig. 1, suggest that it is the best candidate for phase III of solid hydrogen of all known structures. It is the first hexagonal candidate for this phase, and its x-ray spectrum exhibits the correct number of peaks at the appropriate locations. The remaining challenge is to explain the discrepancy in the intensities of these peaks between theory and experiment.

Based on the data shown in Fig. 1, we speculate that phase III might in reality be two distinct phases, with the $P6_122$ phase being stable below about 200 GPa, and $C2/c-24$ being stable at higher pressures. The almost identical Raman and IR spectra of these two phases would make it difficult to distinguish between them using spectroscopic techniques, and x-ray data, which might distinguish between the hexagonal and monoclinic symmetries, is only available up to 183 GPa, where the hexagonal structure is predicted to be stable. It would be very useful if experimental x-ray data could be collected above 200 GPa.
VI. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have discovered a new candidate structure for phase III of high pressure hydrogen with hexagonal symmetry and space group $P6_122$. Our calculations suggest that $P6_122$ is the most stable structure at pressures up to about 200 GPa, and that its structural and vibrational properties are in better agreement with experiment than any other known candidate structures. Furthermore, the $C2/c$-$24$ structure is predicted to be stable at pressures above 200 GPa, which suggests that phase III might be two distinct phases, a hexagonal phase below 200 GPa, and a monoclinic phase at higher pressures.
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