

Eliglustat Maintains Long-term Clinical Stability in Patients with Gaucher Disease Type 1 Stabilized on Enzyme Therapy

Timothy M. Cox¹, Guillermo Drelichman², Renata Cravo³, Manisha Balwani⁴, Thomas Andrew Burrow⁵, Ana Maria Martins⁶, Elena Lukina⁷, Barry Rosenbloom⁸, Ozlem Goker-Alpan⁹, Nora Watman¹⁰, Amal El-Beshlawy¹¹, Priya S. Kishnani¹², Maria Lucia Pedroso¹³, Sebastiaan J.M. Gaemers¹⁴, Regina Tayag¹⁵, M. Judith Peterschmitt¹⁴

¹ Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK; ²Hospital de Niños Ricardo Gutiérrez, Buenos Aires, Argentina; ³State Institute of Haematology 'Arthur de Siqueira Cavalcanti', Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; ⁴Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, NY, USA; ⁵Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, USA; ⁶Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil; ⁷National Research Center for Hematology, Moscow, Russia; ⁸Cedars-Sinai/Tower Hematology Oncology, Beverly Hills, CA, USA; ⁹Lysosomal Disorders Unit, O&O Alpan, LLC, Fairfax, VA, USA; ¹⁰Hospital Ramos Mejia, Buenos Aires, Argentina; ¹¹Pediatric Hematology, Cairo University, Egypt; ¹²Duke University School of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Durham, NC, USA; ¹³Gastroenterology Department, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brazil; ¹⁴Sanofi Genzyme, Cambridge, MA, USA; ¹⁵Prometrika LLC, Cambridge, MA, USA

*Dr. Burrow's affiliation has changed to Arkansas Children's Hospital, Little Rock, AR.

Corresponding Author:

Timothy M. Cox

University of Cambridge, Department of Medicine, Box 157, Level 5

Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, U.K.

Telephone: +44 (0)1223 336864 | Fax: +44 (0)1223 336846 | Email: tmc12@medschl.cam.ac.uk

Sponsored by: Sanofi Genzyme

Target journal: *Blood*

Text word count: 3882 (Max=4000)

Abstract word count: 250 (Max=250)

Number of figures and tables: 7 (Max=7)

Number of references: 27 (Max=100)

Abstract

In the phase 3 trial of eliglustat in patients with Gaucher disease type 1 already stabilized with enzyme therapy (ENCORE), at one year, eliglustat was non-inferior to imiglucerase enzyme therapy in maintaining stable platelet counts, hemoglobin concentrations, spleen and liver volumes. After this primary analysis period, patients entered a long-term extension phase in which all received eliglustat. Duration on eliglustat ranged from 2 to 5 years, depending on timing of enrollment (which spanned 2 years), treatment group to which patients were randomized, and whether they lived in the United States when commercial eliglustat became available. Here we report long-term safety and efficacy of eliglustat for 157 patients who received eliglustat in the ENCORE trial; data are available for 46 patients who received eliglustat for 4 years. Mean hemoglobin concentration, platelet count, and spleen and liver volumes remained stable for up to 4 years. Year to year, all four measures remained collectively stable (composite endpoint relative to baseline values) in $\geq 85\%$ of patients, as well as individually in $\geq 92\%$. Mean bone mineral density Z-scores (lumbar spine and femur) remained stable and were maintained in the healthy reference range throughout. Eliglustat was well-tolerated over 4 years; 4 (2.5%) patients withdrew due to adverse events that were considered related to the study drug. No new or long-term safety concerns were identified. Clinical stability assessed by composite and individual measures was maintained in adults with Gaucher disease type 1 treated with eliglustat who remained in the ENCORE trial for up to 4 years.

Key Words: Gaucher disease type 1, eliglustat, substrate reduction therapy, acid β -glucosidase deficiency, imiglucerase, enzyme replacement therapy

Introduction

Gaucher disease type 1 is an inherited lysosomal disorder characterized by deficient activity of the enzyme acid β -glucosidase with consequential accumulation of the substrate, glucosylceramide, and its unacylated derivative, glucosylsphingosine, primarily in lysosomes of tissue macrophages.¹ Pathological accumulation of these glycosphingolipids is associated with multisystemic disease manifestations, most notably hepatosplenomegaly, accompanied by anemia, thrombocytopenia, and bone disease.¹

For 25 years, enzyme replacement therapy for Gaucher disease type 1 has been the mainstay of treatment. This usually involves alternate-week infusions of recombinant mannose-terminated human acid β -glucosidase, which is targeted to the pathological macrophages; here it augments the residual enzyme activity to enhance recycling of β -glucosylceramide. Enzyme therapy can reverse the hematological and visceral complications of the disease and can prevent bone damage; it improves the quality-of-life for people with Gaucher disease.²⁻⁵ Eliglustat is an oral substrate reduction therapy approved in the United States in 2014 and European Union in 2015 for adults with Gaucher disease type 1 who are extensive, intermediate, or poor CYP2D6 metabolizers (>90% of patients). Eliglustat acts by partially inhibiting the *de novo* biosynthesis of β -glucosylceramide, thereby rebalancing the rate of formation of the primary substrate of the deficient enzyme with its impaired degradation. In clinical Phase 2 and 3 studies of previously untreated patients with Gaucher disease type 1, eliglustat induced clinically meaningful improvements in hematological parameters as well as spleen and liver volumes at 9–12 months,^{6,7} which were maintained at 18 months⁸ and after 4 years.⁹ Bone mineralization density also improved after 1 year of eliglustat therapy.^{8,10,11}

In the Phase 3 ENCORE trial (NCT00943111) of eliglustat treatment for patients with Gaucher disease type 1 already stabilized with enzyme therapy, after one year of treatment, eliglustat was found to be non-inferior to imiglucerase in maintaining stable platelet and hemoglobin parameters, as well as spleen and liver volumes.¹² Bone parameters and quality-of-life measures also remained stable.¹² After the 12-month primary analysis period, patients were offered enrollment in a long-term extension phase during which all received eliglustat. Here we report the safety and efficacy outcomes from the ENCORE trial over this period.

Methods

Study Design

The ENCORE clinical trial was a randomized, multinational, open-label, non-inferiority study comparing eliglustat (Cerdelga[®], Sanofi Genzyme, Cambridge, MA, USA) with imiglucerase (Cerezyme[®], Sanofi Genzyme, Cambridge, MA, USA) as a maintenance therapy in patients with Gaucher disease type 1 who had already achieved therapeutic goals while receiving enzyme therapy. Detailed methods and the primary outcomes from ENCORE were published previously.¹² All long-term efficacy and safety analyses were done on the intent-to-treat population. As in the primary analysis, dosing was individualized and based on achieving plasma trough levels of at least 5 ng/mL (with doses of 50, 100, or 150 mg eliglustat tartrate twice daily); since the Cerdelga product label refers to active base, this corresponds to doses of 42, 84, and 127 mg, respectively. After the 12-month primary analysis period, all patients had the option of continuing in the open-label extension phase of the trial, in which they were treated with eliglustat (i.e., patients initially randomized to imiglucerase were switched to eliglustat) and followed until the pre-determined end of the study in May, 2015. Thus, patients had the opportunity to be treated with eliglustat for 2 to 4.5 years. This was determined by when they

enrolled (enrollment spanned 2 years, from September 2009 until November 2011), the initial treatment group to which they were randomly assigned, and their country of residence. After approval of the drug by the United States Food and Drug Administration in the fall of 2014, trial participants living in the United States were discontinued from the study and transitioned to commercial eliglustat.

In the analysis of long-term treatment with eliglustat, baseline was defined as the last available assessment prior to eliglustat treatment initiation (Day 1 for patients originally randomized to eliglustat and Week 52 + 1 Day for patients originally randomized to imiglucerase). All data were analyzed with respect to time on eliglustat treatment rather than time in the trial. Patients were not distinguished on the basis of their original treatment allocation since all patients, including those randomized to receive imiglucerase, were receiving enzyme therapy before switching to eliglustat.

Here we report long-term safety and efficacy with respect to years of exposure for all 157 eliglustat-treated patients in ENCORE; in 46 of these, trial data are available for a period of 4 years.

Assessments

The following parameters were evaluated as described previously: hemoglobin concentration, platelet count, spleen volume, liver volume, bone mineral density, biomarkers reflecting the activity of Gaucher disease (plasma chitotriosidase activity [normalized, nmol/hr/mL], plasma glucosylceramide [GL-1 in $\mu\text{g/mL}$], ganglioside GM3 [$\mu\text{g/mL}$], macrophage inflammatory protein 1 β [pg/mL], ceramide [mg/L], and sphingomyelin [$\mu\text{g/mL}$]), and quality-of-life measures (mobility, bone pain, Fatigue Severity Score, SF-36, Brief Pain Inventory, and Gaucher Disease

Severity Score [DS3]).¹² For these analyses, values were examined at the baseline before eliglustat was given, and after 1, 2, 3, and 4 years of treatment with the agent. Adverse events are summarized by their incidence, seriousness, severity, and relationship to eliglustat and to underlying disease.

Analysis of Disease Stability

As described previously,¹² the composite primary efficacy endpoint of the ENCORE trial was the percentage of patients whose hematological parameters and organ volumes remained stable after 12 months. The stability criteria were defined as those established for these measures in patients with Gaucher disease type 1 receiving maintenance treatment with imiglucerase:¹³ hemoglobin concentration that did not decrease by more than 1.5 g/dL; platelet count that did not decrease by more than 25%; spleen volume (in non-splenectomized subjects expressed as multiples of normal, MN) that did not increase by more than 25%; and liver volume (MN) that did not increase by more than 20% from baseline.

We also determined whether patients met the following pre-specified therapeutic goals for hemoglobin, platelet, spleen, and liver parameters based on trial entry criteria and established therapeutic goals for patients receiving enzyme therapy¹³⁻¹⁵: hemoglobin ≥ 11.0 g/dL for women and ≥ 12.0 g/dL for men; platelet count $\geq 10^9$ /L; spleen volume ≤ 8 MN; and liver volume ≤ 1.5 MN.

Statistical Analysis

The analyses include all patients who were treated with eliglustat and were carried out with respect to the time (in years) of exposure to eliglustat and not time of engagement in the trial. For hemoglobin, platelets, spleen volume, liver volume, lumbar spine Z-score, and femur Z-score,

means and 95% confidence intervals over time were determined for the full trial population and for the subset of patients who had 4-year data; these are presented graphically for the baseline, Year 1, Year 2, Year 3 and Year 4 trial visits. A repeated measures mixed model was undertaken for hemoglobin concentration, platelet count, spleen volume, liver volume, and lumbar spine and femur Z-scores to assess linear trends during the prolonged course of this trial. Predictor variables included the corresponding baseline assessment and time on eliglustat. A logistic regression analysis was performed to ascertain if not achieving the trial composite endpoint at any point during the trial correlated with age (as a continuous variable), splenectomy status (spleen/no spleen), or obesity status (obese/non-obese).

Achievement of stability with respect to the trial endpoints (individually for hemoglobin, platelets, spleen volume, and liver volume, as well as the composite primary endpoint of all four stability parameters) is summarized by parameter and by year of visit. Stability with respect to the predefined absolute therapeutic goal thresholds for hemoglobin, platelets, spleen volume, and liver volume is also summarized by parameter and year, individually and collectively. For both measures of stability, the percentages of patients reaching the goals and binomial exact 95% confidence intervals were calculated based on the number of patients at risk (i.e., patients with a measurement for the parameter of interest) at each visit for each goal individually and for all four goals collectively.

Adverse events are set out in terms of relatedness to eliglustat treatment. In particular, events deemed related by investigators that occurred in more than 5% of patients are presented by number of patients as well as the number of events. Percentages of patients in each category were calculated from the total number treated with eliglustat in the one-year primary analysis

study or during the extension period. Compliance was determined by the number of pills that were returned at each study visit.

Results

Patient Disposition and Characteristics

Of 157 patients who entered the trial and were treated with eliglustat, 148 completed 1 year of treatment with eliglustat, 139 completed 2 years, 115 completed 3 years, and 46 completed 4 years of treatment (Figure 1). Of the 111 patients who did not complete 4 years of treatment with eliglustat, 36 switched to commercial eliglustat when it became available in the fall of 2014; 48 patients timed out of the trial for logistical reasons when it ended before they had accrued 4 years of follow-up. Overall, 12 patients withdrew from the trial as a result of an adverse event, 10 decided to withdraw for reasons unrelated to adverse events (including one withdrawal after 4 years of treatment), four because of pregnancy (one pregnancy occurred after 4 years of treatment), two on account of noncompliance, and one subject was lost to follow-up as a result of international travel. A further 15 patients moved to commercial eliglustat after receiving eliglustat for 4 years but before the trial had ended. Demographic and baseline disease characteristics for the eliglustat-treated patients who completed 4 years of treatment were similar to those for all eliglustat-treated patients who entered the trial (Table 1).

Maintenance of Stable Gaucher Disease Hematological and Visceral Parameters

Throughout the trial, mean values for hemoglobin concentration, platelet count, spleen volume, and liver volume remained stable among all eliglustat-treated patients and in the subset of patients who had 4-year data (Figure 2, A-D), with no clinically significant changes from the baseline values attained after a mean of 10 years of enzyme therapy. There were small but

statistically significant reductions in least-square mean liver (3%, $P=0.02$) and spleen volumes (13%, $P<0.001$) after 4 years of eliglustat treatment (Table 2). With respect to the primary and secondary clinical endpoints, year to year, all four measures remained collectively stable (composite primary endpoint relative to baseline values) in $\geq 85\%$ of patients and individually in $\geq 92\%$ of patients (secondary endpoints) (Figure 3A). With respect to pre-specified therapeutic goals established for patients on enzyme therapy,^{14,15} thresholds for hemoglobin, platelets, spleen, and liver were maintained collectively in $\geq 92\%$ of patients and each individual goal was maintained in $\geq 94\%$ of patients (Figure 3B).

Most patients who did not achieve the primary composite endpoint missed on a single criterion, and this group varied from year to year. Only one patient missed more than two criteria at once; this patient missed spleen, platelets, and liver at one time point. Among patients with at least 2 years on eliglustat, six patients missed the composite endpoint at all time points (five consistently missed the platelet endpoint, three patients missed the spleen endpoint one or more times, and one patient missed the liver endpoint one time). No common clinical characteristics in this group were identified. The logistic regression analysis found no correlation between age, gender, splenectomy status, or obesity status with inability to meet the composite endpoint.

Maintenance of Stable Bone Mineral Density

Active bone disease was an exclusion criterion for this trial; patients could not have experienced bone crisis or symptomatic bone disease (bone pain attributable to osteonecrosis or pathological fractures within the year before randomization).¹² Mean baseline bone mineral density scores for the lumbar spine and femur were found to be within the age-matched reference range for healthy individuals. These values were maintained throughout the 4 years of eliglustat treatment (Figure

2, E and F). Of note, after 4 years of treatment with eliglustat, lumbar spine least-square mean Z-scores increased by 0.29 ($P<0.0001$) (Table 2).

Biomarkers, Gaucher Disease Severity, and Quality-of-Life

The respective activity measures and concentrations of disease-related biomarkers are set out in Table 3. Median activities of chitotriosidase and concentrations of macrophage inflammatory protein 1 β were elevated at baseline and decreased modestly over 4 years. Plasma concentrations of GL-1 and GM3 ganglioside were in the healthy reference range at baseline but decreased by >50% during the first 3 months of exposure to eliglustat, consistent with the systemic action and diffusible nature of this agent; thereafter these biomarkers remained stable and within the healthy normal range over the period of investigation up to 4 years. Median concentrations of the bioactive sphingolipids, ceramide and sphingomyelin, were in the normal reference range at baseline; over 4 years, ceramide was unchanged and sphingomyelin increased slightly but remained well within the normal reference range for healthy subjects.

No clinically significant changes in any Gaucher disease or quality-of-life measures were observed over 4 years. The mean baseline Fatigue Severity Scale score was 3.0 (1=least severe, 7=most severe) and remained between 3.0 and 3.2 (median scores ranged from 2.7 to 2.9). The mean baselines for the SF-36 mental and physical component scores were 52 and 51, respectively (mean score for a normal comparator population=50); both remained between 50 and 51 (median scores ranged from 52 to 56). For the Brief Pain Inventory, mean average pain score at baseline was 1.4 (0=non-interference, 10=maximal interference) and remained between 1.4 and 1.6 (median score remained between 0 and 1). For the Gaucher Disease Severity Scoring

System (DS3), the mean total baseline score was 2.2 (0=best, 19=worst score) and remained between 2.0 to 2.3 (median was 2.0 at all time points). At baseline, 95% of patients reported unrestricted mobility, and this percentage remained between 95% and 97% year to year. Three (2%) patients reported a bone crisis while being treated with eliglustat, including one patient who also had a bone crisis at baseline. Of the 141 patients who responded to a treatment preference survey (oral versus intravenous enzyme treatment) after 1 year of eliglustat, 98% expressed a preference for oral therapy; the main reason cited was “convenience.” Of the three patients who did not prefer oral treatment, two were undecided and one preferred intravenous treatment because it is given in hospital.

Compliance

Overall, 90% of patients took $\geq 90\%$ of their pills, 6% took ≥ 80 to $< 90\%$ of their pills, 3% took ≥ 70 to $< 80\%$, and 2% took ≥ 40 to $< 60\%$ of their pills.

Adverse Events

The adverse event data set out in Table 4 reflect 511 patient-years of exposure to eliglustat. Seventy-four percent of adverse events were mild, 23% were moderate, and 3% were considered severe. Four (2.5%) patients withdrew for adverse events considered related to eliglustat, all of which occurred during the patients’ first 9 months of eliglustat treatment and all resolved: mild lethargy and exfoliative rash (0.1 years), severe upper abdominal pain (0.3 years), moderate palpitations (0.54 years) with no clinically relevant ECG findings, and mild vertigo (0.83 years). Two serious adverse events considered possibly related to eliglustat by the investigator occurred in two patients; neither led to withdrawal from the study: one moderate event of peripheral neuropathy and one severe event of bowel obstruction due to Meckel’s diverticulum.

Discussion

The primary analysis from the ENCORE trial clearly showed that eliglustat was non-inferior to imiglucerase in its capacity to maintain parameters indicating disease that was stable for 1 year.¹²

The analysis reported here, representing 511 patient-years of eliglustat exposure, shows long term safety and tolerability along with stable disease parameters and maintenance of therapeutic goals for up to 4 years in the cohort of patients assigned to receive eliglustat and the original imiglucerase cohort who later moved to eliglustat treatment after participation in the first year of the trial. Mean absolute values for hemoglobin concentration, platelet count, spleen volume, liver volume, and lumbar spine and femur Z-scores remained stable over 4 years. While, for the reasons set out, the number of patients available for analysis after 4 years of treatment under the constraints of a clinical trial was considerably reduced, the statistical analysis using a repeated measures mixed model to detect linear trends identified modest but statistically significant improvements in spleen volume, liver volume, and lumbar spine Z-scores. While these results should be interpreted cautiously because of the fewer patients from whom 4-year data are available, analysis of the response parameters in this subset reveals the same long-term trends as in the trial cohort.

Biomarkers reflecting Gaucher disease activity were also found to be stable or showed modest improvement over time. Quality-of-life measures, which indicated a relatively mild burden of disease at baseline, also remained stable for up to 4 years in patients receiving eliglustat, indicating that the quality-of-life that they had achieved after a mean of 10 years on enzyme therapy was maintained while they were taking eliglustat therapy in the long term.

Only 4 patients (2.5%) withdrew from this long-term trial due to adverse events considered to be treatment related; no patient withdrew from the study because of any significant clinical deterioration. Most patients who did not meet the primary composite trial endpoint of stability relative to their baseline values remained clinically stable, as evidenced by the higher proportion of patients year to year who maintained absolute values within the pre-specified thresholds for therapeutic goals (Figure 3). Because trial stability goals were defined relative to each patient's individual baseline, patients could (and often did) fail to meet the composite endpoint due to a clinical value that did not signify deterioration. For example, a patient whose baseline liver volume increased from 0.9 multiples of normal (MN) at baseline to 1.1 MN would fail to meet the composite endpoint, as this represents a >20% increase in liver volume, despite the fact that the actual value of 1.1 is well within established therapeutic goals for Gaucher patients. There were no apparent predictors of inability to meet the composite trial endpoint, including age, sex, and splenectomy status. Obesity was also evaluated, due to the possibility that drug distribution could be lower in obese individuals.

No new safety concerns were identified in this long-term trial; this is consistent with a combined analysis of adverse event data from all four phase 2 and 3 eliglustat clinical trials.¹⁶ Only seven types of adverse events considered related to treatment by the investigator were reported in 5% or more of patients, with the most common being upper abdominal pain, reported in 7% of patients. Three of the seven most common related adverse events in the trial are also known to be common in Gaucher disease: fatigue, arthralgia, and abnormal nerve conduction studies. Of note, as in all clinical trials of eliglustat hitherto, we did not see the pattern of chronic diarrhea, weight loss, or new tremor, which led to high rates of drug discontinuation in clinical trials of miglustat (Zavesca, Actelion, Allschwil, Switzerland), the first commercially available substrate

reduction therapy, approved as a second-line treatment for adults with Gaucher disease who are not candidates for enzyme therapy.^{17,18}

Despite the salutary findings of this study, we recognize that there are a few inherent limitations. During the long-term extension phase of ENCORE, there was no comparator group because all patients were taking eliglustat, thus no direct comparison can be made over this period with patients who continue to receive long-term enzyme therapy. Although it has been suggested that relapse is uncommon once patients with Gaucher disease type 1 have had their bulk disease controlled with enzyme therapy,¹⁹ the effects of the constrained supply of imiglucerase in 2009-2012 show that clinical deterioration does occur in as little as 3 months with treatment interruption.^{20,21} In our study, clinical stability was maintained with respect to hemoglobin concentration, platelet count, liver and spleen volume, bone mineral density and Gaucher biomarkers for up to 4 years, well beyond the interval that might be attributed to residual effects of prior long-term enzyme replacement therapy. Although we are encouraged by the long-term maintenance of bone health, because the population had no active bone disease at baseline, data from this trial do not address the question of whether eliglustat can reverse pre-existing bone disease.

Patients with Gaucher disease are known to be at increased risk of both Parkinson disease and hematologic malignancies.^{22,23} It will be important to evaluate the impact (if any) of eliglustat on incidence of these concomitant diseases in long-term observational studies; however, since the drug does not cross the blood-brain barrier, we would not expect a salutary effect of eliglustat on Parkinson disease.

Long-term eliglustat compliance was good, with 96% of patients taking at least 80% of their pills. Notably, this long-term analysis included all eliglustat-treated patients, unlike in the primary efficacy analysis, which, as a non-inferiority trial, had excluded two patients whose study drug compliance was less than 80%. It will be important to monitor “real world” compliance and its impact on drug efficacy, as compliance rates are likely to decrease outside of a clinical trial setting.

Like many other oral drugs, eliglustat is metabolized primarily through the cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6) pathway. Dosing in the eliglustat trials was titrated with the aim of maintaining a plasma trough level ≥ 5 ng/ml, at which half-maximal inhibition of glucosylceramide synthase is predicted to occur. However, subsequent pharmacokinetic analyses of clinical trial data established that the major determinant of plasma trough level of eliglustat was the patient’s CYP2D6-metabolizer status, and that achievement of the target 5 ng/ml level was not necessary for drug efficacy.²⁴ Therefore, dosing in the drug label is based on the predicted CYP2D6 phenotype, as determined by genetic testing. The label also makes recommendations with regard to concomitant medications that might either inhibit efficacy of eliglustat or increase plasma drug levels.^{25,26} As in the general population,²⁷ approximately 90% of patients with Gaucher disease are intermediate or extensive CYP2D6 metabolizers, with the remainder being poor metabolizers, ultra-rapid metabolizers, or unknown. Of note, the ENCORE trial included seven patients with an ultra-rapid CYP2D6 metabolizer genotype, for whom eliglustat would be contraindicated in drug labels due to insufficient information about this small patient subgroup; all seven of these patients met the primary composite endpoint throughout the trial.

We conclude that clinical stability, judged by composite and individual measures, was maintained in eliglustat-treated patients with Gaucher disease type 1 who remained in the

ENCORE trial for up to 4 years. Eliglustat was generally well-tolerated and no new or long-term safety concerns were identified.

Acknowledgments

The ENCORE trial was funded and conducted by Sanofi Genzyme. The authors thank the patients and healthcare professionals who participated in this trial; Laurie LaRusso, MS, ELS (Chestnut Medical Communications, Walpole, MA) for medical writing support funded by Sanofi Genzyme; and Yaoshi Wu (Sanofi Genzyme Biostatistics) and Lisa Underhill (Sanofi Genzyme Global Scientific Communications) for critical review of the manuscript. TMC is supported by the UK Medical Research Council (MR/K015338/1) and National Institute of Health Research, Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (Metabolic theme).

Authorship and Conflict-of-Interest Statements

Contributions: TMC, GD, RC, MB, TAB, AMM, EL, BR, OGA, NW, AEL, PSK, and MLP recruited patients and did the study research. TMC contributed substantially to the manuscript. SJMG analyzed safety data. RT performed the biostatistical analyses, and MJP designed the study and analyzed study data. All authors reviewed and approved initial and final versions of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest disclosures: TMC, GD, RC, MB, TAB, AMM, EL, BR, OGA, NW, AEL, and MLP are principal investigators and have received honoraria from Sanofi Genzyme; PSK was a principal investigator and subsequently a co-principal investigator in the eliglustat

ENCORE trial and has received honoraria from Sanofi Genzyme. TMC, MB, EL, BR, OGA, and PSK have received travel reimbursement from Sanofi Genzyme. TMC has been on the advisory board of Sanofi Genzyme. MB, TAB, BR, and PSK are members of the North American advisory board for the International Collaborative Gaucher Group Registry. TAB has received honoraria from BioMarin. TMC, EL, and PSK have received honoraria and travel reimbursement from Shire. MJP and SJMG are employees of Sanofi Genzyme and RT is a paid biostatistician consultant for Sanofi Genzyme.

References

1. Grabowski GA, Petsko GA, Kolodny EH. Gaucher disease. In: Valle D, Beaudet AL, Vogelstein B, et al., eds. OMMBID: The Online Metabolic and Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill; 2013:Available at: <http://ommbid.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?bookid=474&Sectionid=45374148>.
2. Grabowski GA. Phenotype, diagnosis, and treatment of Gaucher's disease. *Lancet* 2008;372:1263-71.
3. Mistry PK, Deegan P, Vellodi A, Cole JA, Yeh M, Weinreb NJ. Timing of initiation of enzyme replacement therapy after diagnosis of type 1 Gaucher disease: effect on incidence of avascular necrosis. *Br J Haematol* 2009;147:561-70.
4. Deegan PB, Pavlova E, Tindall J, et al. Osseous manifestations of adult Gaucher disease in the era of enzyme replacement therapy. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2011;90:52-60.
5. Kaplan P, Baris H, De Meirleir L, et al. Revised recommendations for the management of Gaucher disease in children. *Eur J Pediatr* 2013;172:447-58.
6. Mistry PK, Lukina E, Ben Turkia H, et al. Effect of oral eliglustat on splenomegaly in patients with Gaucher disease type 1: the ENGAGE randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2015;313:695-706.
7. Lukina E, Watman N, Arreguin EA, et al. A Phase 2 study of eliglustat tartrate (Genz-112638), an oral substrate reduction therapy for Gaucher disease type 1. *Blood* 2010;116:893-9.
8. Mistry PK, Amato DJ, Dasoukic M, et al. ENGAGE: A phase 3, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multi-center study to investigate the efficacy and safety of eliglustat in adults with Gaucher disease type 1: Results after 18 months [abstract]. *Mol Genet Metab* 2015;114:S81-S2.
9. Lukina E, Watman N, Dragosky M, et al. Eliglustat, an investigational oral therapy for Gaucher disease type 1: Phase 2 trial results after 4 years of treatment. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 2014;53:274-6.
10. Lukina E, Watman N, Arreguin EA, et al. Improvement in hematological, visceral, and skeletal manifestations of Gaucher disease type 1 with oral eliglustat tartrate (Genz-112638) treatment: 2-year results of a phase 2 study. *Blood* 2010;116:4095-8.
11. Kamath RS, Lukina E, Watman N, et al. Skeletal improvement in patients with Gaucher disease type 1: a phase 2 trial of oral eliglustat. *Skeletal Radiol* 2014;43:1353-60.
12. Cox TM, Drelichman G, Cravo R, et al. Eliglustat compared with imiglucerase in patients with Gaucher's disease type 1 stabilised on enzyme replacement therapy: a phase 3, randomised, open-label, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* 2015;385:2355-62.
13. Kishnani PS, DiRocco M, Kaplan P, et al. A randomized trial comparing the efficacy and safety of imiglucerase (Cerezyme) infusions every 4 weeks versus every 2 weeks in the maintenance therapy of adult patients with Gaucher disease type 1. *Mol Genet Metab* 2009;96:164-70.
14. Weinreb N, Taylor J, Cox T, Yee J, vom Dahl S. A benchmark analysis of the achievement of therapeutic goals for type 1 Gaucher disease patients treated with imiglucerase. *Am J Hematol* 2008;83:890-5.
15. Pastores GM, Weinreb NJ, Aerts H, et al. Therapeutic goals in the treatment of Gaucher disease. *Semin Hematol* 2004;41:4-14.

16. Peterschmitt MJ, Cox GF, Ibrahim J, et al. A pooled analysis of adverse events in 393 adults with Gaucher disease type 1 from four clinical trials of oral eliglustat: evaluation of frequency, timing, and duration. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 2017;in press.
17. Cox TM, Amato D, Hollak CE, et al. Evaluation of miglustat as maintenance therapy after enzyme therapy in adults with stable type 1 Gaucher disease: a prospective, open-label non-inferiority study. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2012;7:102.
18. Zavesca (miglustat) US Prescribing Information South San Francisco, CA: Actelion Pharmaceuticals US, Inc; 2014.
19. Elstein D, Abrahamov A, Hadas-Halpern I, Zimran A. Withdrawal of enzyme replacement therapy in Gaucher's disease. *BrJHaematol* 2000;110:488-92.
20. Zimran A, Altarescu G, Elstein D. Nonprecipitous changes upon withdrawal from imiglucerase for Gaucher disease because of a shortage in supply. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 2011;46:111-4.
21. Stirnemann J, Rose C, Serratrice C, et al. Impact of imiglucerase supply constraint on the therapeutic management and course of disease in French patients with Gaucher disease type 1. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2015;10:62.
22. Rosenbloom B, Balwani M, Bronstein JM, et al. The incidence of Parkinsonism in patients with type 1 Gaucher disease: data from the ICGG Gaucher Registry. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 2011;46:95-102.
23. Rosenbloom BE, Weinreb NJ, Zimran A, Kacena KA, Charrow J, Ward E. Gaucher disease and cancer incidence: a study from the Gaucher Registry. *Blood* 2005;105:4569-72.
24. Turpault S, Meng Z, Wang S, von Moltke L. CYP2D6 phenotype-based dosing of eliglustat [abstract]. *Molec Genet Metab* 2015;114:S118.
25. Balwani M, Burrow TA, Charrow J, et al. Recommendations for the use of eliglustat in the treatment of adults with Gaucher disease type 1 in the United States. *Mol Genet Metab* 2016;117:95-103.
26. Belmatoug N, Di Rocco M, Fraga C, et al. Management and monitoring recommendations for the use of eliglustat in adults with type 1 Gaucher disease in Europe. *Eur J Intern Med* 2016.
27. Hicks JK, Swen JJ, Thorn CF, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guideline for CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genotypes and dosing of tricyclic antidepressants. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2013;93:402-8.

Table 1. Demographics and baseline patient characteristics

Parameter	All Eliglustat-treated Patients (N=157)	Extension Patients with 4-Year Data (N=46)
Sex, n (%)		
Male	72 (46)	20 (44)
Female	85 (54)	26 (57)
Age at start of eliglustat* (yr) (mean±SD)	38.0±14.0	34.0±14.4
Age at first symptom (yr) (mean±SD)	13.9±12.8	10.1±8.6
Age at diagnosis (yr) (mean±SD)	18.8±13.9	14.8±11.9
Gaucher disease genotype, n (%)		
L444P/Other	2 (1.3)	0
N370S/L444P	56 (35.7)	21 (45.7)
N370S/N370S	35 (22.3)	6 (13.0)
N370S/Other	47 (29.9)	17 (37.0)
Other/Other	17 (10.8)	2 (4.3)
Splenectomy performed, n (%)		
Partial	2 (1)	2 (4)
Total	37 (24)	13 (28)
Years on ERT prior to eliglustat (mean±SD)	10.5±4.1	9.4±4.5
CYP2D6 metabolizer status, n (%)		
Poor	6 (3.8)	3 (6.5)
Intermediate	21 (13.4)	3 (6.5)

Extensive	122 (77.7)	37 (80.4)
Ultrarapid	5 (3.2)	3 (6.5)
Indeterminate	3 (1.9)	0

*Age on day 1 of first dose of eliglustat.

Table 2. Least-square mean changes from baseline in hematological, visceral, and bone parameters from repeated measures mixed model

Parameter	LS Mean (95% CI)	LS Mean Change from Baseline (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
Hemoglobin (g/dL)			
Baseline (n=157)	13.7 (13.6, 13.8)	—	—
Year 1 (n=151)	13.6 (13.4, 13.7)	-0.11 (-0.27, 0.06)	0.19
Year 2 (n=139)	13.6 (13.4, 13.7)	-0.09 (-0.27, 0.09)	0.31
Year 3 (n=110)	13.6 (13.5, 13.8)	-0.04 (-0.23, 0.15)	0.67
Year 4 (n=45)	13.9 (13.7, 14.1)	0.26 (-0.01, 0.50)	0.06
Test for Linear Trend (B,1,2,3,4)	—	—	0.05
Platelet Count (10⁹/L)			
Baseline (n=157)	200.3 (194.4, 206.1)	—	—
Year 1 (n=151)	206.7 (200.7, 212.7)	6.42 (-1.43, 14.26)	0.11
Year 2 (n=139)	202.4 (196.2, 208.6)	2.13 (-6.35, 10.60)	0.62
Year 3 (n=110)	210.7 (203.8, 217.6)	10.40 (1.35, 19.45)	0.02
Year 4 (n=45)	209.9 (199.2, 220.7)	9.63 (-2.63, 21.88)	0.12
Test for Linear Trend (B,1,2,3,4)	—	—	0.09
Spleen Volume (MN)			
Baseline (n=120)	3.1 (3.0, 3.2)	—	—
Year 1 (n=115)	2.9 (2.9, 3.0)	-0.14 (-0.22, -0.05)	0.002
Year 2 (n=105)	2.9 (2.8, 3.0)	-0.20 (-0.31, -0.09)	0.0003
Year 3 (n=80)	2.9 (2.8, 3.0)	-0.21 (-0.35, -0.10)	0.0004
Year 4 (n=33)	2.7 (2.5, 2.8)	-0.39 (-0.55, -0.22)	<0.0001
Test for Linear Trend (B,1,2,3,4)	—	—	<0.0001
Liver Volume (MN)			
Baseline (n=157)	0.94 (0.929, 0.956)	—	—

Parameter	LS Mean (95% CI)	LS Mean Change from Baseline (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
Year 1 (n=151)	0.95 (0.94, 0.97)	0.01 (-0.01, 0.03)	0.17
Year 2 (n=136)	0.95 (0.94, 0.97)	0.01 (-0.01, 0.03)	0.29
Year 3 (n=110)	0.95 (0.94, 0.97)	0.01 (-0.01, 0.03)	0.46
Year 4 (n=46)	0.91 (0.89, 0.94)	-0.03 (-0.06, -0.004)	0.03
Test for Linear Trend (B,1,2,3,4)	—	—	0.04
Total Spine Z-score			
Baseline (n=153)	-0.3 (-0.3, -0.2)	—	—
Year 1 (n=144)	-0.2 (-0.2, -0.1)	0.07 (0.03, 0.11)	0.002
Year 2 (n=132)	-0.1 (-0.2, -0.09)	0.11 (0.06, 0.17)	<0.0001
Year 3 (n=104)	-0.09 (-0.1, -0.04)	0.16 (0.10, 0.23)	<0.0001
Year 4 (n=42)	0.04 (-0.04, 0.1)	0.29 (0.20, 0.38)	<0.0001
Test for Linear Trend (B,1,2,3,4)	—	—	<0.0001
Total Femur Z-Score			
Baseline (n=151)	0.03 (-0.007, 0.060)	—	—
Year 1 (n=139)	0.07 (0.034, 0.103)	0.04 (0.013, 0.072)	0.005
Year 2 (n=129)	0.05 (0.018, 0.088)	0.03 (-0.012, 0.065)	0.17
Year 3 (n=103)	0.08 (0.044, 0.120)	0.06 (0.011, 0.101)	0.02
Year 4 (n=39)	0.07 (0.018, 0.131)	0.05 (-0.015, 0.111)	0.13
Test for Linear Trend (B,1,2,3,4)	—	—	0.14

Table 3. Median changes in plasma biomarkers from baseline to 4 years

Endpoint	Baseline Median (min, max) n	4 Years Median (min, max) n	Change from Baseline Median (min, max) n	Percent Change from Baseline Median (min, max) n
Normalized chitotriosidase activity (nmol/hr/mL)	605 (0, 10761) n=99	363 (4, 3916) n=30	-310 (-3397, 859) n=26	-63 (-98, 81) n=26
Plasma glucosylceramide (GL-1) (µg/mL)	5.10 (2.2, 16.9) n=155	2.00 (2.0, 5.3) n=42	-3.35 (-8.4, 0.4) n=42	-60.36 (-80.8, 8.2) n=42
Plasma GM3 (µg/mL)	13.0 (7, 30) n=136	6.0 (4, 15) n=43	-7.0 (-23, 0) n=29	-55.6 (-82, 0) n=29
Plasma ceramide (mg/L)	3.90 (2.2, 8.3) n=154	3.80 (2.5, 6.4) n=43	-0.60 (-2.4, 1.6) n=43	-13.33 (-40.0, 66.7) n=43
Plasma sphingomyelin (µg/mL)	318.0 (200, 596) n=154	434.0 (224, 645) n=43	133.0 (0, 362) n=43	41.8 (0, 127) n=43
Plasma macrophage inflammatory protein 1β (MIP-1β)(pg/mL)	51.65 (9.3, 433.8) n=152	55.30 (15.6, 193.7) n=38	-21.78 (-279.3, 114.4) n=38	-31.45 (-83.3, 289.3) n=38

Normalized chitotriosidase: values were doubled for patients who had heterozygous chitotriosidase genotypes for the common null (24 base-pair duplication) mutation. Patients who have a chitotriosidase genotyping category of “homozygous mutation” have no expected chitotriosidase activity and therefore, their values for below the level of quantification were set equal to missing for this analysis.

Normal ranges: Chitotriosidase = 4–120 nmol/h/mL; Plasma GL-1 = ≤2.0 to 6.6 µg/mL; GM3 = 5 to 21 µg/mL; ceramide = µg/mL; 1.8–6.5; sphingomyelin = <200–703 µg/mL; MIP-1β = 27.3 to 77.2 pg/mL

Table 4. Summary of adverse events

	Patients (N=157)
Any patient with adverse event* (n, %)	147 (94)
Severity by event*	
Mild (number of events, %)	1592 (74)
Moderate (number of events, %)	502 (23)
Severe (number of events, %)	62 (3)
Patients reporting at least 1 related adverse event (n, %)	84 (54)
Patients reporting at least 1 serious adverse event (n, %)	27 (17)
Patients with adverse event leading to withdrawal (n, %)	12 (8)
Deaths	0
Related adverse events by patient** in $\geq 5\%$ of patients (n, %)	81 (53)
Abdominal pain upper	11 (7)
Arthralgia	9 (6)
Dyspepsia	9 (6)
Fatigue	8 (5)
Gastritis	8 (5)
Diarrhea	8 (5)
Nerve conduction studies abnormal	8 (5)

*Regardless of relationship to eliglustat

**Considered by investigator to be possibly, probably, or definitely related to eliglustat. Two related AEs in two patients were considered serious and possibly related to eliglustat (neither lead to trial withdrawal): 1 peripheral neuropathy (moderate), 1 bowel obstruction due to Meckel's diverticulum (severe)

Figure 1. Patient disposition




Figure 2. Mean for hematologic, visceral, and bone parameters over four years of eliglustat treatment. Error bars denote upper and lower 95% confidence intervals.





Figure 3. Stability of hematologic and visceral parameters with respect to (A) the composite primary endpoint (relative to change from baseline) and (B) prespecified therapeutic goals based on entry criteria and goals established for patients on enzyme therapy (absolute value). Error bars denote upper and lower 95% confidence intervals.


A.



Trial Stability Parameters:

- Hemoglobin concentration does not decrease >1.5 g/dL from baseline
- Platelet count does not decrease >25% from baseline
- Spleen volume (multiples of normal [MN]) does not increase >25% from baseline
- Liver volume (MN) does not increase >20% from baseline

B.



Prespecified Stability Parameters (based on trial entry criteria and established therapeutic goals for patients on ERT):

- Hemoglobin ≥ 11.0 g/dL for women and ≥ 12.0 g/dL for men
- Platelet count $\geq 100,000/\text{mm}^3$
- Spleen volume ≤ 8 MN
- Liver volume ≤ 1.5 MN

Figure legend:

The N's for each year represent the number of overall patients in the trial at that time point. The number of patients represented for each individual assessment varied slightly and were lower for spleen measures as they excluded splenectomized patients.