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Abstract

Pantothenate (vitamin B₅), which is the invariable metabolic precursor to coenzyme A, is synthesized from L-aspartate and α-ketoisovalerate in a converging four-step process in bacteria. Here, structural studies of two enzymes of pantothenate biosynthesis in Escherichia coli, L-aspartate-α-decarboxylase and ketopantoate hydroxymethyltransferase, are described.

Ketopantoate hydroxymethyltransferase catalyzes the transfer of a hydroxymethyl group on to α-ketoisovalerate, assisted by the cofactor 5,10-methylene-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolate. In order to determine the mode of cofactor binding to the protein, ketopantoate hydroxymethyltransferase was crystallized in the presence of two 5,10-methylene-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolate analogues and α-ketoisovalerate. X-ray diffraction patterns, collected on the in-house X-ray diffraction data collection facility, extended to ~4.0 Å. Unit cell dimensions derived from these diffraction patterns indicate an asymmetric unit with one decameric enzyme.

A detailed comparative structural analysis of the fold of ketopantoate hydroxymethyltransferase was carried out. Based on this investigation it was possible to assign the enzyme to the phosphoenolpyruvate/pyruvate enzyme superfamily. Furthermore, similarities in the mode of ligand binding to the catalytic magnesium, as well as differences in the mechanisms between the enzymes within this superfamily could be delineated.
In common with a small, but widely distributed, group of mechanistically-related enzymes, L-aspartate-\(\alpha\)-decarboxylase is translated as an inactive pro-enzyme, which self-processes at a specific site. In this process of intra-molecular protein maturation a covalently bound pyruvoyl cofactor is formed. A fast purification system for eight L-aspartate-\(\alpha\)-decarboxylase mutants was established that allows production of large amounts of enzyme. In order to gain insights into the molecular mechanism of self-processing, crystallographic studies were carried out. Several of the purified mutants have been crystallized. X-ray diffraction data from glycine 24 to serine and serine 25 to threonine mutants were collected, to a maximum resolution of 1.26 Å. The respective crystal structures were solved by molecular replacement. Along with the structures of an unprocessed, native precursor form of L-aspartate-\(\alpha\)-decarboxylase and a serine 25 to alanine mutation, the structure models were refined and evaluated, and the models deposited in the Protein Data Bank.

Analysis of these four structures together with four other L-aspartate-\(\alpha\)-decarboxylase mutant structures revealed specific conformational constraints on the self-processing mechanism. Threonine 57 and a water molecule could be identified as catalytic elements, most likely essential for acid-base catalysis, and stabilization of the oxyoxazolidine intermediate in the self-processing reaction. A molecular mechanism for self-processing in L-aspartate-\(\alpha\)-decarboxylase, largely based on the threonine 57 and a water molecule, is proposed. The differences in the structures of the cleavage site of the serine 25 to alanine and serine 25 to threonine mutants, relative to the structure of the unprocessed native precursor, suggest that molecular models of the cleavage site and mechanisms, based solely on serine to alanine and serine to threonine mutants, may lead to erroneous interpretations of the mechanism. On comparison with other self-processing systems, particularly, glycosylasparaginase, remarkable parallels in the structural features of the environment of the cleavage site were identified.
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List of abbreviations

For amino acids, the standard one and three-letter abbreviation-code is used. For latin names of the organism, the first name will be abbreviated after the first mentioning in the text and in figures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-kiva</td>
<td>α-ketoisovalerate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>L-aspartate-α-decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AdometDC</td>
<td>S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP</td>
<td>adenosine monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Å</td>
<td>Ångström units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>ammonium persulphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>adenosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ArgDC</td>
<td>arginine decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A24a-S25b</td>
<td>L-aspartate-α-decarboxylase mutant with an alanine insertion before serine 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDP</td>
<td>cysteine diphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTP</td>
<td>cysteine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDGA</td>
<td>2-dehydro-3-deoxy-galactarate aldolase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>dithiothreitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>ethylenediaminetetraacidic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,10-meTHF</td>
<td>N\textsubscript{5},N\textsubscript{10}-methylene-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G24S</td>
<td>glycine 24 to alanine mutant of L-aspartate-(\alpha)-decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-bond</td>
<td>hydrogen bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H11A</td>
<td>histidine 11 to alanine mutant of L-aspartate-(\alpha)-decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepes</td>
<td>N’-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine N-2-ethanesulphonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HisDC</td>
<td>histidine decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICL</td>
<td>isocitrate lyase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPTG</td>
<td>isopropyl-(\beta)-D-thiogalactopyranoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K9Q</td>
<td>lysine 9 to glutamine mutant of L-aspartate-(\alpha)-decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPHMT</td>
<td>ketopantoate hydroxymethyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPR</td>
<td>ketopanoate reductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB medium</td>
<td>Luria-Bertani medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD\textsubscript{600}</td>
<td>optical density at 600 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADPH</td>
<td>nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ntn</td>
<td>N-terminal nucleophile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAGE</td>
<td>polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDB</td>
<td>Protein Data Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>polyethylene glycol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEP</td>
<td>phosphoenolpyruvate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEPC</td>
<td>PEP carboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEPM</td>
<td>PEP mutase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PK</td>
<td>pyruvate kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPDK</td>
<td>pyruvate phosphate dikinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPI</td>
<td>pyrophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pro-ADC</td>
<td>unprocessed L-aspartate-(\alpha)-decarboxylase enzyme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>pantothenate synthetase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R54K</td>
<td>arginine 54 to lysine mutant of L-aspartate-(\alpha)-decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.m.s.d.</td>
<td>root mean square deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.p.m.</td>
<td>rounds per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>sodium dodecyl sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S25A</td>
<td>serine 25 to alanine mutant of L-aspartate-α-decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S25a-A25b</td>
<td>L-aspartate-α-decarboxylase mutant with an alanine insertion after serine 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S25T</td>
<td>serine 25 to threonine mutant of L-aspartate-α-decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S25C</td>
<td>serine 25 to cysteine mutant of L-aspartate-α-decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>synchrotron radiation source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6S)-5-foTHF</td>
<td>(6S)-5-formyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6S)-5-meTHF</td>
<td>(6S)-5-methyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMED</td>
<td>N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>tetrahydrofolic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIM</td>
<td>triosephosphate isomerase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLS</td>
<td>translation, libration, screw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricine</td>
<td>tris-hydroxymethylmethylglycine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tris</td>
<td>tris-hydroxymethylaminomethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y58F</td>
<td>tyrosine 58 to phenylalanine mutant of L-aspartate-α-decarboxylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>ultraviolett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/v</td>
<td>volume/volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/v</td>
<td>weight/volume</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part I

Introduction
1 Small molecule metabolic pathways

1.1 Biological metabolism

All living biological organisms require a continuous input of free energy to sustain their own and their genes’ existence on this planet. In order to accomplish the variety of tasks that the biological cells of these organisms have to carry out, the free energy has to be converted and distributed. This occurs in the form of chemical molecules within and between the cells in specific ways (see Stryer, 1995, pg. 91-100, for an introduction). Both the transport and the conversion of free energy are predominantly facilitated by specific proteins, and enzymes, which are the most common biological catalysts. The accompanying chemical processes are coordinated in space and time, often in highly integrated and specific networks of (bio)chemical reactions. These biological processes are collectively known as metabolism.

Metabolism can be viewed as being schematically organized in interconnected functional units, which are called pathways (see Schilling et al., 2000; Schilling and Palsson, 1998, for a mathematical definition). The partition of the metabolism into distinct pathways is somewhat arbitrary (Ger-
rard et al., 2001), in that it is often difficult to define a beginning and an end of a pathway. As a consequence, the definition of a pathway is not straightforward and several may exist. However, a pathway can, generally, be defined as a series of coupled and consecutive biochemical reactions, the enzymes of which are expressed together (Schuster et al., 2000; Selkov et al., 1998). The degradative processes breaking down more complex molecules to simpler compounds, to release free energy, are referred to as catabolic metabolism, whereas those consuming free energy to build more complex molecules from simpler ones are defined as anabolic metabolism.

More than two thousand different chemical reactions take place in as simple an organism as Escherichia coli (Karp et al., 2002c). Whereas the complexity of metabolism may appear overwhelmingly vast, closer investigation reveals that metabolism contains many common motifs (Rison and Thornton, 2002; Teichmann et al., 2001b). Consequently, although the overall number of biochemical reactions in metabolism is large, the number of unique reactions is relatively small (Stryer, 1995, p.443). It appears that biochemical pathways require a small number of different enzyme types to accomplish the chain of chemical transformations essential for life (Tsoka and Ouzounis, 2001). Likewise, related mechanisms of regulation can be observed in many different metabolic pathways. Activation and inhibition of genes and proteins by biochemical molecules, and post-translational modifications of RNA and proteins are the main mechanisms for regulation of metabolism.

1.2 Small molecule metabolism

Metabolism can be schematically divided into primary and secondary metabolism. Primary metabolism forms the core, and includes the sum of the essential processes that keep every cell alive. By and large, primary me-
Small molecule metabolism is shared by all cells within an organism. Although it is modified by losses, substitutions and innovations during evolution (Dandekar et al., 1999; Huynen et al., 1999; Makarova et al., 1999), it has remained largely conserved in all living eukaryotic and prokaryotic organisms. In contrast, secondary metabolism is usually highly organism- as well as cell-specific. In general, it involves more complex molecules, which are not directly essential for the function of the cell but for the survival of the organism. Examples of secondary metabolites are antibiotics and toxins. An elementary part, predominantly of the primary biological metabolism, is formed by the small molecule metabolic pathways. These refer to the metabolism involving small, non-polymeric, predominantly organic, chemical compounds as substrates. Examples of small molecules are glucose or pyruvate, but the definition does not include biopolymers, such as proteins, DNA, or RNA (for an approximate partition of the small molecule metabolism see 1).

Small molecule metabolism is composed of a complex network of enzymes, substrates, and cofactors, the specific characteristics of which can differ between organisms, particularly between eukaryotes and prokaryotes. Traditionally, the metabolic pathways of the prokaryotic organism *E. coli* have been among the most thoroughly studied and consequently the most popular ones. Almost all of the enzymes in small molecule metabolism have been characterized, and their positions in a pathway verified, experimentally (Riley, 1998)2. Additionally, the entire *E. coli* genome has been sequenced (Blattner et al., 1997), and several aspects of the global properties of the organism’s metabolic map, such as the frequency of multifunctional enzymes (~16%), have been determined (Ouzounis and Karp, 2000; Simeonidis et al., 2003; Tsoka and Ouzounis, 2001).

The EcoCyc database3 (Karp et al., 2002a) provides comprehensive, and

---

1[http://www.genome.ou.edu/act/small_molecule_metabolism.html](http://www.genome.ou.edu/act/small_molecule_metabolism.html)
2[http://genprotec.mbl.edu/](http://genprotec.mbl.edu/)
presumably close to complete, information on the 106 small molecule metabolic pathways in *E. coli* and the corresponding 581 enzymes as well as their genes. Several other databases are available, such as the PATHWAY database from KEGG⁴ (Kanehisa et al., 2002) and the WIT database⁵ (Overbeek et al., 2000), which pertain not only to *E. coli*, but to several other organisms, mostly microorganisms and pathogenic bacteria. Although attempts are under way to catalogue similar information for higher, eukaryotic organisms, such as *Homo sapiens*⁶ (Karp et al., 2002b), comprehensive information for these is not available yet. However, in a recent comparison of the small molecule metabolism, using the KEGG database, it was shown that 48 pathways, or a core of 271 enzymes have been conserved between *E. coli* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Jardine et al., 2002). Consequently, more than half of all proteins involved in small molecule metabolism appear to have remained conserved, since the separation of prokaryotes and eukaryotes, and carry out common reactions. In contrast, in the part of the small molecule metabolism that varies, very different extensions to it have been made in the two organisms.

1.3 General characteristics of the small molecule metabolic pathways

A remarkable molecular plasticity (i.e. the same biochemical reactions being catalyzed by different enzymes) and functional versatility (i.e. the association of enzymes with distinct biochemical reactions and pathways) (Ouzounis and Karp, 2000) exist in some pathways, even as central as the glycolysis pathway (Huynen et al., 1999). These features can not only be observed in between organisms, but also within a single species (Dandekar et al., 1999; Tsoka and Ouzounis, 2001). Alternative routes of sub-

⁴http://gpath.ym.edu.tw:8090/kegg/kegg2.html
⁵http://wit.mcs.anl.gov/WIT/
⁶http://metacyc.org/
strate flux and multiple functions of (iso)enzymes within the same organism, as well as varying enzyme patterns in different organisms can be found more frequently than expected. These features imply that some pathways are more stable and relatively immune to failures that could result from malfunctioning of some of the enzymes.

Almost half of the *E. coli* proteins involved in small molecule metabolism are composed of a single protein domain (Teichmann *et al.*, 2001a), which, following the convention in the SCOP database⁷ and 3Dee database⁸, is the basic structural and evolutionary unit of the protein. Insights into the overall organization of the small molecule metabolic pathways were gained by studying the distribution of proteins, classified into evolutionarily related protein-(super)families, according to their amino acid sequence similarity and/or structural homology, in the small molecule metabolism (Teichmann *et al.*, 2001b). A relatively small repertoire of 213 protein-domain families constitute 90% of the enzymes in the small molecule metabolism (Teichmann *et al.*, 2001a). Interestingly, it was found that *E. coli* enzymes belonging to the same protein-family are widely distributed among different pathways. Protein homologues were also observed to be twice as likely to be found in different pathways than in the same pathway (Teichmann *et al.*, 2001b). In contrast, homology between enzymes within the same small molecule metabolic pathway was generally rare. However, if observed, homologous proteins tend to conserve their chemical reaction/catalytic mechanism and/or cofactor binding rather than similar substrate recognition (Teichmann *et al.*, 2001b). Another strong correlation appears to exist between the pathway distance, as defined by the number of steps by which two enzymes are separated in metabolism, and the gene interval (Rison *et al.*, 2002). Genes, which were found to be localized closer to each other on the *E. coli* chromosome, tend to encode enzymes catalyzing biochemical reactions that are separated by a smaller number of steps in metabolism. Several blocks of co-regulated

---

⁷http://scop.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/scop/
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Genes, similar to operons, of enzymes with a small pathway distance were observed. These enzymes also seem to have a higher likelihood of being functionally, but not necessarily evolutionarily related (Rison et al., 2002).

1.4 Evolution of the small molecule metabolic pathways

*E. coli* is a representative of the descendants of the metabolically competent last common ancestor. The universal presence of many proteins of the primary metabolism in the cell suggests that much of the basic protein repertoire was developed in organisms very much simpler than any known at present. Apart from the *ab initio* invention of a set of different domains, which most likely occurred at an early stage of the development in life, the mechanisms that generate the protein repertoire in metabolism are dominated by gene duplication, divergence, and recombination (Babbitt and Gerlt, 2000; Chothia et al., 2003). In the context of pathway evolution, the recruitment of proteins [or domains] across pathways is a very common phenomenon and explains the absence of regularity in the pattern of proteins, found in metabolic pathways (Teichmann et al., 2001b).

New enzymes are more likely to have evolved from enzymes belonging to the same enzyme family or class, than from the same pathway. This implies that an evolutionary mechanism, known as patchwork approach, predominates, where enzymes are widely recruited from different pathways with no particular order or bias in respect to their position in the metabolic network (Copley, 2000). Several examples of homology within the metabolic neighbourhood however indicate that most likely this is not the only mechanism, and that retrograde evolutionary mechanisms are also active. The patchwork mechanism proposed by Jensen (Jensen, 1976), implies chemistry-driven evolution, whereas Horowitz theory of retro-
gade evolution mechanism (Horowitz, 1945), in which enzymes within a pathway are related to each other, would seem to be substrate-driven. Overall, it appears that both pathway evolution mechanisms occur in concert (see Alves et al., 2002), although enzymes seem to be mainly selected for their mechanism and function.
2 Pantothenate and Coenzyme A biosynthesis

2.1 Pantothenate biosynthesis

Pantothenate is a central and vital metabolic compound in all organisms (reviewed by Tahiliani and Beinlich (1991)). It constitutes the invariant precursor of 4’-phosphopantetheine, the moiety of coenzyme A and the acyl carrier protein. However, pantothenate is synthesized in bacteria, fungi, and plants only; animals have lost the corresponding biosynthetic pathway. As such, pantothenate is classified as a vitamin (vitamin B$_5$). Consequently, enzymes of the pathway are considered attractive targets for the development of selectively toxic antimicrobial, fungicidal, and herbicidal drugs.

The pantothenate pathway is an anabolic, primary, small molecule metabolic pathway. It is reasonable to assume that the pantothenate pathway evolved rather early in the development of life on Earth, and experiments support the notion that pantothenate may have existed in the prebiotic soup (Keefe et al., 1995). Whereas the biochemical steps in E. coli and Salmonella typhimurium in the biosynthesis of pantothenate have es-
sentially been known for a longer time (Maas, 1952a), only more recently have detailed characterization and investigation of the enzymes been pursued.

In *E. coli* the pantothenate pathway comprises four enzymatic steps (Figure 2.1). R-pantothenate is synthesised from α-ketoisovalerate (α-kiva) and L-aspartate in a converging four-step process (Brown and Williamson, 1982). The transfer of the C₁₁ group of N₅,N₁₀-methylene tetrahydrofolate (5,10-meTHF) on to α-kiva to form ketopantoate (4-hydroxy-3,3,3-dimethyl-2-oxobutanoate), by ketopantoate hydroxymethyltransferase (EC 2.1.2.11, KPHMT), is traditionally referred to as the first committed reaction (Teller et al., 1976). α-kiva itself is predominantly supplied as an intermediate of pyruvate-dependent L-valine and L-leucine biosynthesis (Figure 2.1). However, in *E. coli* only a comparatively small fraction of ∼10% of the total flux of α-kiva through this pathway is consumed by conversion into ketopantoate (Cronan et al., 1982). Subsequent to the first step, ketopantoate reductase (EC 1.1.1.169, KPR) reduces ketopantoate to pantoate, using NADPH as a cofactor (Elischewski et al., 1999; Frodyma and Downs, 1998a,b; King et al., 1974; Wilken et al., 1975; Zheng and Blanchard, 2000a,b, 2003). In addition, another gene product has been implicated with ketopantoate processing. The primary reaction of acetohydroxy acid isomeroreductase (Biou et al., 1997) (see Figure 2.1), encoded by the *ilvC* gene, is the reduction of α-acetolactate and α-aceto-α-hydroxybutyrate to α,β-dihydroxyisovalerate and α,β-dihydroxy-β-methylvalerate, respectively in the above mentioned biosyntheses of L-valine and L-leucine. This enzyme was shown to rescue KPR auxotrophs with low, but significant, KPR activity (Primerano and Burns, 1983). Concomitant with the reduction of ketopantoate, in a separate branch of the pathway, L-aspartate-α-decarboxylase (EC 4.1.1.11, ADC) converts L-aspartate to β-alanine (Cronan, 1980). β-alanine production uses less than 2% of the total amount of cellular L-aspartate in *E. coli* (Cronan et al., 1982). In the final step of the pantothenate pathway, β-alanine is coupled to the ATP activated C₁ of L-pantoate with an amide bond by pantothenate synthetase (EC 6.3.2.1, PS)
yielding R-pantothenate (Figure 2.1) (Maas, 1952b; Miyatake et al., 1976, 1978, 1979; Zheng and Blanchard, 2001).

The cellular synthesis of β-alanine appears to be the limiting factor in pantothenate production in E. coli, implying the accumulation of free pantoate (Cronan, 1980; Dusch et al., 1999). Nevertheless, in S. typhimurium a mutation in the promoter gene sequence for panB resulted in overexpression of KPHMT and led to an increase of the pantothenate level (Rubio and Downs, 2002). However, both E. coli and Bacillus subtilis overproduce and excrete significant amounts of pantothenate (Baigori et al., 1991; Cronan et al., 1982; Jackowski and Rock, 1981). The excess, which in E. coli can even reach fifteen-fold requirement levels, is exported efficiently (Cronan et al., 1982; Jackowski and Rock, 1981).

The abundance of pantothenate in these organisms indicates that the pathway is not particularly tightly regulated or controlled. A possible explanation for this could be that the metabolic cost of regulating the pathway could exceed the cost of overproduction. In this respect, it is interesting that extracellular uptake of pantothenate also occurs. In E. coli this was shown to be facilitated by pantothenate permease, an inner membrane protein encoded by the panF gene (Jackowski and Alix, 1990; Vallari and Rock, 1985). This pantothenate carrier concentrates the vitamin by a sodium co-transport mechanism, and overexpression of the panF gene resulted in elevated intracellular levels of pantothenate. A pantothenate transporter importing extracellular levels of pantothenate was also identified in S. cerevisiae (Stolz and Sauer, 1999) and appears to exist in animals (Barbarat and Podevin, 1986; Lopaschuk et al., 1987; Wang et al., 1999). A different H⁺-coupled pantothenate transport was identified in the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum (Saliba and Kirk, 2001).
2.1 Pantothenate biosynthesis

Figure 2.1 Schematic representation of pantothenate and coenzyme A biosynthesis. The names of the enzymes and gene designation are shown in italic font; substrates, products, and cofactors and their turnover are shown in normal font. Dashed arrows in A indicate several enzymatic steps. (A) α-kiva as an intermediate of L-valine and L-leucine biosynthesis. (B) The biosynthesis of pantothenate (colour, red). (C) The conversion of pantothenate into coenzyme A.
2.1 Pantothenate biosynthesis

2.1.1 Organization, characteristics, and occurrence of the *pan* genes

As mentioned in Section 2.1, the pantothenate pathway is not present in animals, and, consequently, *H. sapiens*. However, enzymatic activities or relevant genes have been found in eubacteria as well as eukaryotes, such as fungi and plants.

The genes, and their organization, are characterized best in *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* (Jackowski, 1996) of which the nomenclature *panB*, *panC*, *panD*, and *panE*, corresponding to KPHMT, PS, ADC, and KPR, respectively has been derived. In *E. coli* the *panB*, *panD*, and *panC* genes were shown to be co-localised (Cronan, 1980), in this clockwise order (Cronan et al., 1982; Merkel and Nichols, 1996). The organization in the genome suggests a, possibly ancestral, operon, which has been shown to be located at 3 min on the genetic map. In *B. subtilis* and *Corynebacterium glutamicum* an identical *panBC* gene cluster exists (Sahm and Eggeling, 1999; Sorokin et al., 1996), and in the former *panD* is also co-localised with this cluster. The *panD* gene of *Corynebacterium glutamicum* has also been cloned, but was not observed to be localised close to the other *pan* genes (Dusch et al., 1999). Cloning of the *panD* gene from *Ralstonia eutropha* has also been reported (Hoppeensack et al., 1999). *panE* was identified, cloned and characterized rather late, compared with *panB*, *panC*, and *panD*, possibly because of the existence of *ilvC* (Section 2.1) and confusing reports about the enzymatic function of *apbA* in *S. typhimurium*. The latter gene eventually turned out to be identical to *panE* (Frodyma and Downs, 1998b). It maps at 10 min at both the *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* genome (Frodyma and Downs, 1998a). The *panE* gene product has furthermore been purified and partially characterized from *Pseudomonas maltophilia* 845 (Shimizu et al., 1988) and *Nocardia asteriodes* (Kataoka et al., 1992). In *C. glutamicum* the *ilvC* gene product alone appears to account for ketopantoate reductase activity (Merkamm et al., 2003). However, in general, the key residues of the
sequences of the *pan* genes seem to be well conserved among bacteria (see amino acid sequence alignments in Section 11.3 and Section 13.3).

Pantothenate auxotrophs of *Aspergillus nidulans* were first observed by Kaefer (1958). The corresponding mutants were then identified and genetically localized as *panB* (Rever, 1965) and *panC* (Bal et al., 1977). More recently, *panB* has been cloned and overexpressed from *A. nidulans* by Kur-tov et al. (1999). Further known fungal genes include *panB* and *panC* from *S. cerevisiae* and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* as well as *panB* from *Emericella nidulans*. In addition, the *panE* product was reported to have been purified and partially characterized from *S. cerevisiae* (King et al., 1974; King and Wilken, 1972).

Evidence for the pathway in plants was provided by Jones et al. (1994) and subsequently the *panB* and *panC* genes from *Arabidopsis thaliana* were cloned and characterized (Jones et al., 1993; Ottenhof et al., 2004). In addition, the *panC* gene has been cloned and the gene product characterized from both *Lotus japonicus* and *Oryza sativum* (Genschel et al., 1999). Indications for the existence of enzymatic activities in the pantothenate pathway are also known from *Datura innoxia* (Savage et al., 1979) and *Pisum sativum* (Jones et al., 1994). However, the identification of *panE* from plants has remained elusive so far, despite extensive database searches of fully sequenced genomes (Ottenhof et al., 2004).

Similarly, so far, no evidence for a *panD* gene in cells of eukaryotic organisms has been found. This may not that surprising, since it is known that there are two known alternative ways of supplying β-alanine (Campbell, 1957; Canellakis, 1956; Fink et al., 1953; Rendina and Coon, 1957). It is well established that in fungi and plants β-alanine can be physiologically derived in cells by the reductive degradation of pyrimidines, such as uracil (Slotnick, 1956). Although evidence remains inconclusive, current knowledge (Ottenhof et al., 2004) suggests that the *panD* gene probably is completely absent from eukaryotes.
2.2 Coenzyme A biosynthesis

Coenzyme A is invariably bio-synthesized from pantothenate, constitutes the principal and universal carrier of acyl groups (Stryer, 1995), central to anabolic (e.g. fatty acid synthesis) and catabolic (e.g. glycolysis) metabolism. It features a terminal thiol group (Figure 2.1) that readily forms labile, and consequently reactive, thioester bonds with a variety of acyl groups, such as acetyl or malonyl, thereby activating these for biological Claisen reactions. Coenzyme A is critical to cell growth and function. In particular, the 4'-phosphopantetheine group of coenzyme A is important in fatty acid biosynthesis and degradation, in the biosynthesis of polyketides as well as in nonribosomal peptide biosynthesis (Kleinkauf and Von Dohren, 1995; Kleinkauf and Von Dohren, 1996). 4'-phosphopantetheine itself constitutes an essential prosthetic group in a number of enzyme systems including acyl carrier protein components of bacterial and eukaryotic fatty acid synthases. Metabolically, coenzyme A is designated as a cofactor. In general, it is estimated that ~4% of all enzymes use coenzyme A or 4'-phosphopantetheine-containing compounds as cofactors in their reactions (Webb, 1992).

As first shown by Brown (1959) and Abiko (1975), coenzyme A is biochemically synthesized in five enzymatic steps from pantothenate (Figure 2.1). In contrast to the biosynthesis of the latter, the biosynthetic steps from pantothenate to coenzyme A do exist in higher eukaryotic organisms and animals, such as H. sapiens. Based on this fact, the whole biosynthesis of coenzyme A in bacteria can therefore schematically be divided into two phases (Figure 2.1), the synthesis of pantothentate and its subsequent conversion into coenzyme A (reviewed by Begley et al. (2001) and Jackowski (1996)). However, the coenzyme A pathway appears to be highly conserved throughout all taxons.

The continuation of the pantothenate pathway into the coenzyme A
pathway in *E. coli* begins with the phosphorylation of the hydroxyl group of pantothenate by pantothenate kinase resulting in the formation of 4’-phosphopantothenate (Figure 2.1) (Calder *et al.*, 1999; Rock *et al.*, 2000; Song and Jackowski, 1992a,b, 1994; Yun *et al.*, 2000). Subsequently, 4’-phosphopantothenate is ligated to L-cysteine by phosphopantothenate cysteine synthetase to produce 4’-phosphopantothenoylcysteine (Kupke, 2002; Strauss *et al.*, 2001), the cysteine moiety of which becomes decarboxylated by phosphopantothenoylcysteine decarboxylase (EC 4.1.1.36) to 4’-phosphopantetheine (Kupke, 2001; Kupke *et al.*, 2001; Strauss and Begley, 2001). In *E. coli*, the enzymatic activities of the latter two proteins are located in one bi-functional enzyme, the N-terminal domain carrying out the decarboxylation (Kupke *et al.*, 2000; Spitzer *et al.*, 1988) and the C-terminal domain the ligation to the cysteine. In the penultimate step of coenzyme A biosynthesis, phosphopantetheine adenylyltransferase catalyzes the reversible transfer of an adenylyl group from ATP to 4’-phosphopantetheine forming dephospho-coenzyme A (Geerlof *et al.*, 1999; Izard and Blackwell, 2000; Izard and Geerlof, 1999). Finally, the ribose 3’-hydroxyl group of dephospho-coenzyme A is phosphorylated by dephospho-coenzyme A kinase (Figure 2.1) to form coenzyme A (Mishra *et al.*, 2001; O’Toole *et al.*, 2003).

A genetic footprinting study by Gerdes *et al.* (2002) confirmed the indispensable importance of the coenzyme A biosynthesis pathway for metabolism. Genes encoding the enzymes for the five catalytic steps in the coenzyme A pathway have been identified and characterized in *E. coli* (Kupke *et al.*, 2001), *H. sapiens* (Aghajanian and Worrall, 2002; Daugherty *et al.*, 2002; Zhyvoloup *et al.*, 2002), and *A. thaliana* (Kupke, 2002). However, these and other studies observed significant differences, both in the gene sequence and in the organization of the gene products of the enzymes in the coenzyme A pathway between prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms. Cloning and analysis of the *A. nidulans* (Calder *et al.*, 1999) and two *Mus musculus* (Rock *et al.*, 2000) pantothenate kinases showed that neither enzyme bore significant resemblance in primary sequence to the prokaryotic
enzyme. Phosphopantothenate cysteine ligase and phosphopantothenoylcysteine decarboxylase are two separate enzymes in eukaryotes that have little sequence similarity to the respective enzymes in bacteria (Manoj et al., 2003). Whereas in bacteria, such as E. coli and Corynebacterium ammonia-genes (Martin and Drueckhammer, 1993), separate enzymes exist for the last two biosynthetic steps in coenzyme A synthesis, in higher eukaryotic organisms, such as mammals and flies, both phosphopantetheine adenylyltransferase and dephospho-coenzyme A kinase reactions are catalyzed by a single bi-functional enzyme. This enzyme is designated coenzyme A synthase (Aghajanian and Worrall, 2002; Daugherty et al., 2002; Hoagland and Novelli, 1954; Suzuki et al., 1967; Worrall et al., 1985; Worrall and Tubbs, 1983; Zhyvoloup et al., 2003). In S. cerevisiae, coenzyme A synthase is part of a multi-enzyme complex (Bucovaz et al., 1997). As implied above, in general, sequence similarity between the orthologous enzymes in eukaryotes and prokaryotes of the coenzyme A pathway is low.

All of the E. coli enzymes catalyzing reactions in coenzyme A biosynthesis have been functionally characterized. Correlation of these results with structural information, although not exclusively from E. coli nor from any single organism, is also possible for these enzymes. Crystal structures have been determined for E. coli pantothenate kinase (Yun et al., 2000), phosphopantothenate cysteine ligase from H. sapiens (Manoj et al., 2003), phosphopantothenoylcysteine decarboxylase from A. thaliana (Albert et al., 2000), phosphopantetheine adenylyltransferase from E. coli (Izard, 2003; Izard and Blackwell, 2000; Izard and Geerlof, 1999), and dephospho-coenzyme A kinase from both E. coli (O’Toole et al., 2003) and Haemophilus influenzae (Obmolova et al., 2001).
2.2 Coenzyme A biosynthesis

2.2.1 Regulation of coenzyme A biosynthesis

In contrast to the pantothenate pathway, which does not appear to be particularly regulated, regulation of the coenzyme A biosynthesis is more tightly controlled.

Metabolic experiments in *E. coli* (Jackowski and Rock, 1981) and of myocytes of *Rattus norvegicus* (Robishaw *et al.*, 1982; Robishaw and Neely, 1984, 1985) showed that the utilization rather than the supply of pantothenate controls the rate of coenzyme A biosynthesis. Enhanced expression of pantothenate kinase in *M. musculus* cells eliminated the intracellular pantothenate pool and triggered a thirteen-fold increase in intra-cellular coenzyme A content (Rock *et al.*, 2000). This is consistent with reports about the positive correlation of elevated tissue levels of pantothenate kinase and increased coenzyme A concentration (Fisher *et al.*, 1985; Halvorsen, 1983; Skrede and Halvorsen, 1979). Overexpression of the pantothenate kinase gene also lead to increased pantothenate uptake (Rock *et al.*, 2000). These and other experiments (Jackowski, 1996; Robishaw *et al.*, 1982; Robishaw and Neely, 1985; Rock *et al.*, 2003) have shown that the catalysis by pantothenate kinase is the most important regulatory step, controlling the flux through the coenzyme A biosynthesis pathway in both bacteria and animals. Thereby, non-esterified as well as esterified coenzyme A are acting as a feedback inhibitor of pantothenate kinase by competitive binding to the ATP binding site (Song and Jackowski, 1994; Vallari *et al.*, 1987; Yun *et al.*, 2000). However, bacteria appear far less sensitive to inhibition by acetyl-coenzyme A than to coenzyme A (Rock *et al.*, 2000). In contrast, in eukaryotic pantothenate kinases, acetyl-coenzyme A is the more potent inhibitor (Fisher *et al.*, 1985; Halvorsen and Skrede, 1982) and non-esterified coenzyme A even seems to be able to stimulate pantothenate kinase activity (Calder *et al.*, 1999), although earlier studies reported inhibition by coenzyme A (Falk and Guerra, 1993; Fisher *et al.*, 1985; Karasawa *et al.*, 1972; Kirschbaum *et al.*, 1990; Robishaw and Neely, 1985). The contradic-
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tory reports may be due to the existence of several different pantothenate kinase isoforms and different expression patterns in different tissues.

A potential second, although far less significant, regulation step, constitutes the catalysis by phosphopantetheine adenylyltransferase. This was indicated by the accumulation of 4’-phosphopantetheine (Jackowski and Rock, 1984). Regulatory control at this step may also involve the reutilization of 4’-phosphopantetheine, which can arise by the turnover of 4’-phosphopantetheine of the acyl carrier protein or the cleavage of coenzyme A (Jackowski and Rock, 1984; Vallari and Jackowski, 1988). However, Cronan et al. (1982) and Frodyma et al. (2000) showed that the level of coenzyme A could be significantly, but not proportionally, elevated in pantothenate auxotrophs of E. coli by supplementation of pantothenate in the growth medium. Supplementation with β-alanine in the former study and also by Jackowski and Rock (1981) resulted in a similar increase of the intracellular coenzyme A level, whereas supplementation with either pantoate or ketopantoate was less effective. Somewhat contrasting this, results from (Rubio and Downs, 2002) indicated that elevated levels of ketopantoate hydroxymethyltransferase lead to physiologically significant increased coenzyme A concentration in S. typhimurium. Similarly, Lopaschuk et al. (1986) showed that increasing the exogenous pantothenate supply to heart tissue elevated cellular coenzyme A content.

Older studies reported that mitochondria can transport coenzyme A into the matrix, implying that all coenzyme A biosynthetic enzymes are cytosolic (Neuburger et al., 1984; Tahiliani, 1989, 1991; Tahiliani and Beinlich, 1991; Tahiliani and Neely, 1987). However, because mitochondria and peroxisomes contain the highest concentration of coenzyme A and its thioesters in eukaryotes (Van Broekhoven et al., 1981), it has been proposed that the last two enzymatic activities in the pathway are located inside these compartments (Skrede and Halvorsen, 1983). More recently, this has been convincingly demonstrated for mitochondria. Coenzyme A synthase from H. sapiens is indeed located at the mitochondrial outer
membrane, but not on peroxisomes, and the enzyme is regulated by phospholipids (Zhyvoloup et al., 2003). 4’-phosphopantotheine arising from coenzyme A degradation may be expelled from these compartments into the cytosol, where it cannot be further metabolized. One isoform of pantothenate kinase is a cytosolic enzyme (Halvorsen and Skrede, 1982; Robishaw et al., 1982), whereas another localizes to the mitochondria (Hortnagel et al., 2003). The latter results could suggest that mitochondria do have the entire complement of coenzyme A biosynthetic enzymes. However, for the former isoform the biochemical regulators (i.e. Coenzyme A and its thioesters) are largely sequestered from pantothenate kinase, which renders the enzymes incapable of sensing a significant proportion of the total coenzyme A pool directly. In general, the compartmentalization of the coenzyme A biosynthetic pathway and its regulatory effects on the various isoforms of pantothenate kinase are not very well understood features in eukaryotes. The regulation of the coenzyme A content is complex, and likely governed by the interplay between coenzyme A biosynthesis, transport through membranes, and degradation (Ramaswamy et al., 2003).

The metabolic state of the organism or the cell (Kondrup and Grunnet, 1973; Smith et al., 1978; Smith and Savage, 1980), pathological states such as diabetes (Reibel et al., 1981b) as well as drugs (Voltti et al., 1979), can all significantly alter the cellular level of coenzyme A, and these fluctuations are reflected by concomitant changes in the level of tissue pantothenate kinase activity (Halvorsen, 1983). Cells can modulate pantothenate kinase expression to modify the coenzyme A levels in tissue in response to diet and disease. Pantothenate kinase activity in heart cells decreased in response to glucose, pyruvate, fatty acids, or insulin, and hepatic concentrations of coenzyme A increased in response to glucagon, starvation, high-fat diet, or diabetes (Kondrup and Grunnet, 1973; Reibel et al., 1981a,b; Smith and Savage, 1980; Tahiliani and Beinlich, 1991; Voltti et al., 1979). However, to date these regulatory effects are not fully understood.
2.2.2 Pathogenic syndromes of disturbed pantothenate metabolism

Recently, pantothenate kinase was linked to both the neurodegenerative Hallervorden-Spatz syndrome in \textit{H. sapiens}, an autosomal recessive disorder (Cossu \textit{et al.}, 2002; Hayflick, 2003a,b; Hayflick \textit{et al.}, 2003), and to HARP syndrome (Ching \textit{et al.}, 2002). Mutations in the gene for the \textit{H. sapiens} pantothenate kinase isoform, which is located in the mitochondria, create a metabolic imbalance leading to Hallervorden-Spatz syndrome. This is characterized by iron accumulation in the basal ganglia of the brain. Inactivation of the enzyme is the causative agent of progressive childhood Hallervorden-Spatz syndrome and thus the disease was very recently renamed pantothenate kinase-associated neurodegeneration (Zhou \textit{et al.}, 2001). Both pantothenate kinase-associated neurodegeneration and HARP disease indicate that defective expression or sequence alteration of a single pantothenate kinase isoform can selectively impact the function of specific tissues. Pantothenate kinase-associated neurodegeneration bears all the hallmarks of a mitochondrial disorder and could serve as a model for other complex neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimers and Parkinson, since all exhibit pathologic accumulation of iron in the brain (Zhou \textit{et al.}, 2001). Consequently, perturbed pantothenate metabolism could be a general feature of neurodegenerative diseases.

Additionally, mutation in the gene for pantothenate kinase was also associated with aberrant mitosis and meiosis in flies (Afshar \textit{et al.}, 2001).

2.3 Outlook

In order to manipulate, create, or destroy certain functions in metabolism, an understanding of the general organization of metabolism as well as of
the particular biochemical reactions catalyzed by the individual enzymes is necessary. Consequently, one of the most important long term goals of genome sequencing initiatives, proteomics, and structural genomics, is to gain an understanding how the cell works and how it changes and adapts. This should enable a description of molecular function in the global context of the cell and at the whole organism level.

However, whereas large scale initiatives, such as proteomics and (structural) genomics, will be essential for the growing understanding of the metabolic universe, they will never suffice to substitute detailed investigation of individual pathways and their enzymes. Both things are, and will remain complementary. Since a limited set of biochemical reaction appears to exist (see Section 1.3), the results of detailed characterizations of biochemical reactions for individual enzymes always have the potential to be applicable to functionally and/or structurally-related, but yet uncharacterized, enzymes.

With respect to the pantothenate pathway, considerable interest from industry exists in manipulating the pathway to overproduce pantothenate (Dusch et al., 1999). Processed food, animal feed, pharmaceuticals and cosmetics are often supplemented with extra vitamins, which are produced either by chemical synthesis or by fermentation. At least 4 000 000 kg of pantothenate are industrially produced annually (Vandamme, 1992). In order to improve production strains, knowledge of the complete biosynthetic pantothenate pathway is a prerequisite (see Elischewski et al., 1999). Overproduction of pantothenate by engineering overexpression of some of the enzymes in the pantothenate pathway has already been accomplished by Sahm and Eggeling (1999).

Secondly, the pantothenate pathway constitutes a viable target for antimicrobial drugs. The majority of the existing antibiotics utilize a limited number of core chemical structures and target only a few cellular functions as potential targets, such as cell wall synthesis, DNA replication, tran-
scription, and translation. A growing number of antibiotic-resistant microbial pathogens present serious challenges to modern medicine. In the last decade, the emergence of both vancomycin- and methicillin-resistant bacteria has led to an urgent search for novel classes of antibiotics and new anti-microbial targets (Zhao et al., 2003). Investigation into the potential of the enzymes of the pantothenate and coenzyme A biosynthesis pathway as antibacterial targets is now an active area of research. Results from Gerdes et al. (2002) and Zhao et al. (2003) indicate the value structural information of the enzymes involved in coenzyme A synthesis can have for the development of inhibitors.

The genes in the coenzyme A pathway appear to be significantly different between eukaryotes and prokaryotes, and thus the enzymes potentially can be exploited for the development of drugs. However, the complete absence of the pantothenate pathway in animals may make it more straightforward to identify potent inhibitors for the bacterial enzymes. In the following, I will introduce to the two E. coli enzymes of the pantothenate pathway, KPHMT and ADC, that are the focus of study of this thesis.
3 Ketopantoate hydroxymethyltransferase

3.1 Background

Kuhn and Wieland (1942) were the first to describe the synthesis of ketopantoate from α-kiva. Evidence that *E. coli* cells can synthesize ketopantoate from α-kiva, whereas certain pantoate-requiring mutants could not, suggested that α-kiva is the precursor for ketopantoate (Maas and Vogel, 1953). Shortly afterwards, McIntosh et al. (1957) reported the partial purification of an enzyme catalyzing this reaction, which required free formaldehyde as a substrate. However, since the Michaelis-Menten $K_m$ values for formaldehyde and α-kiva were rather high, the physiological relevance seemed questionable. Powers and Snell (1976) and Teller et al. (1976) re-investigated the reaction and described the purification and biochemical characterization of a different 5,10-meTHF dependent enzyme, which they designated KPHMT, from *E. coli*. The transferase activity was found to be absent in a ketopantoate auxotroph, which together with reasonable Michaelis-Menten constants of $<1$ mM for its substrates, led them to conclude that this is the enzyme involved in pantothenate biosynthesis. Subsequently, the *panB* gene was cloned and the gene product character-
3.2 The transferase reaction of KPHMT

In chemical terms, the reaction catalyzed by KPHMT is a common crossed aldol addition reaction, where a general base deprotonates the carbon adjacent to the ketogroup, followed by the nucleophilic attack of the resulting double bond on a carbonyl group and C-C bond formation. The pK$_a$ value of the $\alpha$-hydrogen atom in aldehydes and ketones is usually between 19 and 21 (Vollhardt and Schore, 1995), which is far lower than that of usual C-bonded hydrogens. The relatively acidic character of aldehydes and ketones has two reasons, one is the electron-drawing effect of the positively-polarized carbon atom in the aldehyde or ketogroup. The second, and more important, is the resonance or conjugation effect after deprotonation. During deprotonation the $\sigma$-orbital of the C-H bond assumes $\pi$-orbital character and stands perpendicular to the plane of the $sp^2$-orbital. This way it can undergo $\pi$-orbital conjugation with the $\pi$-system of the adjacent carbonyl group. In the case of $\alpha$-kiva the resulting negative charge of the deprotonation is further dispersed over the carboxylate group, which also provides two electronegative oxygen atoms (Figure 3.1).

The classical aldol addition proceeds with nucleophilic attack of the $\alpha$-carbon on the $\pi$-antibonding orbital of a carbonyl bond. This is followed by C-C bond formation and protonation of the generated negative oxygen atom, which stands in the $\beta$-position to the carbonyl of the nucleophile (Figure 3.1). The electrophilic carbonyl carbon can be replaced by other
3.2 The transferase reaction of KPHMT

Illustration of deprotonation of the ketoacid α-kiva. The π-atomic orbitals are indicated to illustrate the π-conjugated system after deprotonation. Two of the most likely resonance states are shown at the top.

Electrophiles, which in the case of KPHMT is the 5,10-methylene carbon of 5,10-meTHF. Kallen and Jencks (1966) reported that the reactive component of 5,10-meTHF is the iminium intermediate (Figure 3.2). With regard to the steric course of the KPHMT reaction, the addition of the carbon from 5,10-meTHF proceeds with retention of configuration, as indicated by isotopic labelling experiments (Aberhart and Russell, 1984).

In biological aldol reactions, increased stabilization of the arising negative charge is accomplished by dispersing it even further into a cationic electron sink at the active site of the enzyme (Figure 3.2). Based on the mechanism, two classes of aldolases may be distinguished (Morse and Horecker, 1968). In class I aldolases a Schiff base or imine is formed with an essential ε-amino group of a catalytic lysine residue in the enzyme. In contrast, class II aldolases use a divalent metal ion, such as Mg$^{2+}$, as an electron sink. The imine, formed in class I aldolases, can be reduced by borohydride, which results in irreversible inactivation of the enzyme. In KPHMT no such inactivation could be observed and, thus, it was function-
3.2 The transferase reaction of KPHMT

Figure 3.2 Illustration of the chemistry of the aldol reaction catalyzed by KPHMT. (A) The nucleophilic attack on the C_{11} atom of 5,10-meTHF. (B) The postulated iminium intermediate of 5,10-meTHF. (C) The polyglutamate tail of 5,10-meTHF.
ally assigned to the class II aldolase group (Powers and Snell, 1976). Class I aldolases are mainly found in higher order organisms whereas class II aldolases predominate in bacteria and fungi (Schurmann and Sprenger, 2001). The two classes of enzymes are considered to be evolutionarily unrelated (Flechner et al., 1999).

### 3.3 Catalytic properties of KPHMT

*E. coli* KPHMT is inhibited by pantoate and pantothenate at concentrations greater than 50 µM and 500 µM, respectively. In both cases the $V_{\text{max}}$ is decreased, the $K_M$ is increased and the cooperativity for ketopantoate was greatly increased, whereas the enhancement of cooperativity for pantoate seemed to be less pronounced. Coenzyme A also appeared to be inhibitory above 1 mM. The data indicated feedback inhibition and possible communication between the subunits during inhibition and also catalysis. Therefore, KPHMT bears characteristics of an enzyme with multiple allosteric binding sites. KPHMT requires $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ for activity, whereas $\text{Mn}^{2+}, \text{Co}^{2+}, \text{Ni}^{2+}$, and $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ were found to be progressively less activating (Powers and Snell, 1976). Similar results have also been obtained for *M. tuberculosis* KPHMT by Sugantino et al. (2003).

Powers and Snell (1976) report that $\alpha$-keto-$\beta$-methylvalerate, $\alpha$-ketobutyrate, and $\alpha$-ketovalerate can all replace $\alpha$-kiva as substrate, whereas pyruvate, isovalerate, and valine proved to be inhibitors (Figure 3.3). This implies that the substitution on the C3 is essential, but can vary. Isovalerate, 3-methyl-2-butanone, as well as D-and L-valine inhibit, and indicate the importance of the $\alpha$-keto and the carboxyl group. However, substitution at the C3 does apparently not impair the enolization reaction — i.e. abstraction of the proton — of the substrate, as demonstrated by Sugantino et al. (2003).
E. coli KPHMT requires 5,10-meTHF as a cofactor for the transferase reaction. Tetrahydrofolate (THF) itself is the principal one-carbon-group carrier in metabolism, capable of carrying one carbon units at the oxidation level of methanol, formaldehyde, and formate (Stover and Schirch, 1992). Only the S-isomer of 5,10-meTHF appears to be utilized as a cofactor by KPHMT, although the R-isomer did not inhibit the enzyme (Powers and Snell, 1976). THF derivatives in vivo differ in the number of glutamate residues that are attached to the para-aminobenzoic ring. The most common naturally occurring forms have between two and seven glutamate residues. The predominant natural conjugates found in extracts of E. coli cells were THF with five glutamate residues, followed by four, three, or six (Powers and Snell, 1976). All of them were effective, but 5,10-meTHF
3.4 Structural features of KPHMT

Based on native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and gel-filtration, Powers and Snell (1976) reported a decameric organization of E. coli KPHMT with a subunit molecular weight of between 25 700 and 27 000 Da. Analytical sedimentation equilibrium ultracentrifugation confirmed the decameric quaternary macromolecular assembly (von Delft, 2000), which eventually was helpful in solving the crystal structure. The recombinant protein used for this had 264 amino acid residues, corresponding to a subunit molecular weight of 28 237 Da. The crystal structure of the enzyme with the product ketopantoate (PDB-ID: 1M3U) was solved to a nominal resolution of 1.8 Å (von Delft et al., 2003).

Experimental phases for structure solution were derived by multiple wavelength-anomalous dispersion of the selenomethionine derivatized protein. Since two decamers were located in the asymmetric unit, this meant that ~560 kDa of protein had to be phased. 160 of the possible 180 selenomethionine-sites were located, making it the largest selenomethionine-substructure solved to date.

The protomeric tertiary structure of the subunits is a classical (βα)₈- or triosephosphate isomerase- (TIM)-like barrel fold (Figure 3.4) with some minor, but important variations. A helix, usually found between β-strands 7 and 8, is here replaced by a loop, and an additional N-terminal α-helix, α-1’, preceding the usual first β-strand in the sequence is located at the base...
Figure 3.4 Cartoon representation of the KPHMT subunit with the active site (von Delft et al., 2003). (A) View down into the \( \beta \)-barrel and the active site. The Mg\(^{2+} \) is shown in blue, coordinated by Asp45, Asp84, two water molecules, and the product ketopantoate. For this and all following figures, unless otherwise stated, the colour scheme for ball and stick representation of atoms is as follows: carbon (protein): yellow; carbon (ligand): orange; hydrogen: white; nitrogen: blue; oxygen: red; sulfur: green. (B) Illustration of a close up view of the active site of KPHMT, with important active site residues shown and numbered.

of the \( \beta \)-barrel (see Figure 3.5). The quaternary assembly of the protein is shown in Figure 3.6. Based on the analysis of both the hydrophobic and polar interactions, and the buried surface area of the subunits (von Delft et al., 2003), KPHMT is best described as a pentamer of dimers.

The subunits are arranged such that the vertical axis of the \((\beta\alpha)_8\)-barrel is perpendicular to the internal five-fold axis of the decamer (Figure 3.6). The extensive interface can explain the high temperature resistance of the protein (Powers and Snell, 1976). The active site of KPHMT could be reliably identified, because it contained the prosthetic Mg\(^{2+} \) with the product ketopantoate bound to it (von Delft et al., 2003). The active site of KPHMT is located in a large cavity at the C-termini of the \( \beta \)-strands with dimensions of 20 Å in length, 10 Å in width, and 15 Å in depth. It is inaccessible from the bottom edge of the \((\beta\alpha)_8\)-barrel.

The co-crystallized Mg\(^{2+} \) is bound in a distorted octahedral geometry,
Figure 3.5 Schematic secondary structure topology representation of the KPHMT fold. For this and the following schematic figure of secondary structures the colour scheme for secondary structural elements is the same as in Figure 3.6, with the exception of 3_{10} helices which are coloured brown, instead. Residues from the *E. coli* KPHMT amino acid sequence bordering the respective secondary structural elements are shown with numbered labels. The scheme for this figure was adapted and modified from von Delft *et al.* (2003).

where residues Asp45 and Asp84 occupy axial and equatorial positions, respectively, while Glu114 coordinates through a water molecule that occupies an equatorial position (Figure 3.4). The keto- and carboxyl-groups of ketopantoate assume axial and equatorial positions to the Mg^{2+}, respectively and the remaining equatorial positions are occupied by two water molecules (see Figure 3.4 and Section 11.5).

More recently, crystal structures for the *M. tuberculosis* (PDB-ID: 1OY0) (Chaudhuri *et al.*, 2003) and *Neisseria meningitidis* KPHMT (no reference available; PDB-ID: 1O66) have also been reported. For the latter a crystal structure in complex with substrate α-kiva has also been determined (PDB-ID: 1O68).
3.4 Structural features of KPHMT

Figure 3.6 Cartoon representation of the KPHMT decamer (von Delft et al., 2003). For this, and the following figures, the colour scheme is as follows, unless otherwise stated: α-helices: red; β-strands: blue; loops and coils: grey. (A) The KPHMT decamer viewed down the internal five-fold symmetry axis. For the subunits in the background the secondary structural elements are shown in lighter colours. (B) The KPHMT decamer rotated by ∼90° from the view in A. These and all following cartoon figures of proteins were prepared with MOLSCRIPT (Kraulis, 1991) and rendered in RASTER3D (Merritt and Bacon, 1997), unless stated otherwise.
3.5 Motives for studying KPHMT

Reasons for studying the pantothenate pathway in general have already been given in Section 2.3. KPHMT catalyzes the first committed reactions in this pathway and is absent in animals. As such it is a particularly attractive target for the development of pantothenate pathway inhibitors.

With the crystal structure available, the mechanistic issues can now be addressed, which are to identify the cofactor binding site, characterize key catalytic residues, and carry out a detailed comparative structural analysis. Relatively little is known about the binding of the cofactor 5,10-meTHF to enzyme in general, and about the mode of transfer of groups from THF from a structural point of view. High resolution crystal structures of KPHMT bound to 5,10-meTHF analogues could provide insight into the catalysis. In order to rationally design inhibitors against the enzyme a thorough understanding of the mechanism is required.

The potential of aldolases in synthetic organic chemistry is widely recognized as they are carrying out specific C-C bond condensations with exquisite control of stereochemistry. Their utilization has already proven useful in the synthesis of compounds for the pharmaceutical industry (Cooper et al., 1996), and directed evolution has successfully been employed to engineer new activities onto existing scaffolds (Wymer et al., 2001). The (βα)₈-barrel fold is particularly well-suited for this strategy, because the functional and structural features are well separated in the enzyme. The substrate specificity and the catalytic step, itself could be changed by directed evolution (Gerlt and Babbitt, 2001).

KPHMT is certainly a complex and interesting enzyme, its research reaching beyond the application-oriented aims towards an understanding of this crucial enzyme in vitamin B₅ biosynthesis from a structural and mechanistic point of view.
4 L-aspartate-α-decarboxylase

4.1 Background

Virtanen and Laine (1937) discovered that β-alanine is formed in the fermentation mash of the microorganism *Rhizobium triolii*, presumably from the L-aspartate in the culture medium. Williamson and Brown (1979) were subsequently the first to demonstrate the biosynthesis of β-alanine from L-aspartate by ADC in *E. coli*. They also described the purification and some biochemical properties of the enzyme. Independently, Cronan (1980) discovered the enzyme in extracts of *E. coli* and showed that the catalysis by L-aspartate-α-decarboxylase provides for the main route of β-alanine production in *E. coli*. β-alanine auxotrophs were deficient in ADC activity and the lesion could be mapped to the *panD* gene locus. The *panD* gene was subsequently cloned and the enzymatic properties characterized in more detail by both Smith (1988) and Ramjee *et al.* (1997). The gene has also been cloned from both *Helicobacter pylori* (Kwon *et al.*, 2002) and *M. tuberculosis* (Chopra *et al.*, 2002), and in the latter the gene product has been biochemically characterized.
4.2 Posttranslational intramolecular self-processing

4.2.1 Self-catalytic pyruvoyl formation

ADC is a particularly interesting enzyme in that it is capable of forming its own cofactor, prior to carrying out the actual catalysis. In common with a small, but widely distributed, group of mechanistically related pyruvoyl-dependent enzymes, ADC is translated as an inactive pro-enzyme, the subunit of which is also designated $\pi$-chain. This self-processes at a specific cleavage site to create a covalently bound prosthetic group, a pyruvoyl cofactor (Figure 4.1).

The latter is formed by intramolecular, non-hydrolytic serinolysis in which the side-chain oxygen of Ser25 attacks the carbonyl carbon of Gly24. This process does not require any external auxiliary effectors. The initial step of the reaction, which is also known as an N$\rightarrow$O acyl shift, results in the formation of an ester in processing (Figure 4.1), which was first demonstrated by isotope labelling experiments with O$^{18}$ by Recsei et al. (1983a). Direct evidence for the ester intermediate in the reaction has also been provided by the crystal structures of processed, active ADC (Albert et al., 1998), and human S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (AdoMetDC) His243$\rightarrow$Ala mutant (Ekstrom et al., 2001). $\beta$-elimination of the ester intermediate produces dehydroalanine, which hydrolyses to form the pyruvoyl group (Figure 4.1). In *E. coli* ADC this process yields a larger $\alpha$-chain of 11 kDa with the pyruvoyl group at the amino-terminus and a smaller $\beta$-chain of 2.8 kDa with Gly24 at the carboxyl-terminus, as shown by PAGE, electrospray mass spectrometry (Ramjee et al., 1997), and the ADC crystal structure (Albert et al., 1998). However, both subunits remain non-covalently attached to each other to form the active, functional subunit of the enzyme.
Interestingly, purified, tetrameric *E. coli* ADC was observed to be only partially processed and SDS-PAGE showed the presence of significant amounts of the \( \pi \)-chain (see Section 12.2). Mass-spectrometry experiments by Ramjee et al. (1997) indicated the presence of three processed subunits per tetramer and one subunit with an N-terminal Ser residue at the \( \alpha \)-chain. The initial crystal structure (Albert et al., 1998) partly confirmed this by finding three processed subunits and an ester intermediate in one of the subunits. In general, self-processing in *E. coli* ADC appears to be considerably slower than in other self-processing enzymes and was observed to be faster *in vivo* than *in vitro*, which could indicate the existence of an external processing factor or a specific pH-dependence. Self-processing of the last subunit was slow enough to survive purification, crystallization, and X-ray data collection (Albert et al., 1998). More recent studies on tetrameric *M. tuberculosis* ADC by Chopra et al. (2002) confirmed the finding of *E. coli* ADC that protein self-processing in ADC is very slow. The *M. tuberculosis* enzyme essentially shows biochemical properties and characteristics similar to *E. coli* ADC. The *E. coli* and *M. tuberculosis* enzymes exhibit 45% amino acid sequence identity, with the key residues being identical (see amino acid sequence alignment in Section 13.3).

The overall molecular mechanism of self-processing and the formation of the pyruvoyl group is assumed to be essentially the same as in other pyruvoyl-dependent enzymes (reviewed by van Poelje and Snell, 1990). Pyruvoyl formation is known from AdometDC, arginine decarboxylase (ArgDC), histidine decarboxylase (HisDC) of Gram-positive bacteria, phosphatidylserine decarboxylase, and from glycine-, proline-, and sarcosine reductases.

*Lactobacillus* 30a HisDC was the first enzyme extensively studied because of the formation of the pyruvoyl cofactor (Gallagher et al., 1989; Huynh et al., 1984a; Huynh and Snell, 1986a,b; Huynh et al., 1984b; Recsei et al., 1983a; Recsei and Snell, 1970, 1973, 1982; Snell and Huynh, 1986; Vanderslice et al., 1988) and served as a model for the study of the others.
The same enzyme has also been characterized from *Clostridium perfringens* and *Lactobacillus buchneri* (*Huynh and Snell, 1985a,b; Recsei et al., 1983b; Recsei and Snell, 1985*). Moreover, research has been carried out on AdometDC from *H. sapiens* (*Stanley and Pegg, 1991; Stanley et al., 1989, 1994; Xiong and Pegg, 1999; Xiong et al., 1999*), *Methanococcus jannaschii* (*Kim et al., 2000a*), *Acanthamoeba castellani* (*Hugo and Byers, 1993*), *Sulfolobus solfataricus* (*Porcelli et al., 1993*), *Catharanthus roseus* (*Schroder and Schroder, 1995*) and *S. cerevisiae* (*Kashiwagi et al., 1990*). AdometDC is a critical regulatory enzyme in the polyamine (spermidine and spermine) biosynthetic pathway. Both processing and enzyme activity in the *H. sapiens* and *S. cerevisiae* forms were shown to be enhanced by putrescine (*Pegg et al., 1998*). Pyruvoyl-dependent phosphatidylserine decarboxylase has been characterized from *E. coli* (*Li and Dowhan, 1988, 1990*) and *S. cerevisiae* (*Trotter et al., 1995; Voelker, 1997*), and glycine-proline-reductases from *Clostridium sticklandii* (*Bednarski et al., 2001; Kabisch et al., 1999; Seto, 1978*).

In addition to the crystal structure of ADC (*Albert et al., 1998*), the processed crystal structures of three pyruvoyl-dependent enzymes have been reported, namely, *Lactobacillus 30a HisDC* (*Gallagher et al., 1993*), *H. sapiens* AdometDC (*Ekstrom et al., 1999*) and *M. jannaschii* arginine decarboxylase (ArgDC) (*Tolbert et al., 2003a*). Although all these enzymes have their cleavage site located in a loop region between two β-strands, only ArgDC and HisDC are homologous to each other. The absence of similarity in sequence and structure between the pyruvoyl-dependent enzymes points towards convergent evolution for the molecular mechanism of cleavage.

### 4.2.2 Related forms of self-processing

In self-catalyzed protein processing the initial biochemical step, common to all self-processing enzymes, is the formation of an ester or thioester (Figure 4.1). In an open system, the thermodynamic equilibrium for this step
would be expected to be on the side of the amide bond, and consequently
the reaction would be unfavourable. However, chemical model systems
have shown that the equilibrium in N→O is on the side of the ester, when
the amine can be protonated (Iwai and Ando, 1967).

The ester formation may be additionally driven by relief of strain
present in the loop region preceding the self-cleavage site. In HisDC me-
chanical strain appeared to be built into the Ser81-Ser82 cleavage site,
which was indicated by the relatively large distance of 6 Å between the
cleaved N- and C-terminal ends of the cleaved chain (Gallagher et al.,
1993). Likewise, unusual peptide conformations were observed by Xu
et al. (1999) in the crystal structure of an unprocessed glycosylasparagi-
nase mutant, another self-processing enzyme. Relaxation of this strain by
the N-O acyl rearrangements was proposed to shift the amide-ester equi-
librium in favour of the ester intermediate. In general, the biochemical
rationale for the formation of the ester intermediate presumably lies in its
greater reactivity compared with amides, making them intermediates that
are more suitable for the subsequent biochemical steps in self-catalyzed
reactions. In recent years, self-processing has emerged as an important
post-translational modification for protein maturation that is observed in
a growing number of, often evolutionary and structurally unrelated, pro-
teins. The molecular mechanism is assumed to have strong parallels in all
self-processing systems. Consequently, self-processing in ADC is thought
to bear the general characteristics of intramolecular, self-catalyzed back-
bone rearrangements.

As already indicated above, whereas the initial N→O or N→S shift is
chemically the same in all self-processing systems, the subsequent reac-
tion, which invariably leads to cleavage of the rearranged peptide bond,
can proceed in different ways. Based on the fate of the ester intermedi-
ate, these enzymes can be mechanistically classified into four main sys-
tems (reviewed by Paulus, 2000): hedgehog proteins, inteins, N-terminal
nucleophilic aminohydrolases (Ntn hydrolases) and pyruvoyl-dependent
Figure 4.1 Schematic overview of the chemistry of the various forms of intramolecular protein self-processing. (A) The initial N→O or N→S acyl shift common to all forms of protein self-processing. (B) Pyruvoyl group formation, as in ADC, coloured in red. (C) Hydrolysis of the ester as in N-terminal nucleophile hydrolases. (D) Intermolecular attack of the ester by cholesterol as in the case of the hedgehog proteins. (E) Intramolecular transesterification as in inteins.
enzymes. An initial N→S or N→O acyl shift with invariably a Cys, Ser or Thr nucleophile, resulting in a thioester or ester intermediate, respectively, is common to all four groups. The following steps differ, but always result in breakdown of the activated (thio)ester (Figure 4.1).

In Ntn hydrolases the (thio)ester is simply hydrolyzed generating a prosthetic N-terminal Cys, Ser, or Thr at the cleaved C-terminal part, which then becomes crucial to the actual catalysis of the enzyme (Figure 4.1) (Artymiuk, 1995). One of the most prominent examples for an Ntn hydrolase is the β-subunit of the proteasome, the principal protease responsible for non-lysosomal protein degradation in most eukaryotic cells. Upon self-processing, the much smaller N-terminal part appears to act as molecular chaperone (Chen and Hochstrasser, 1996) whereas the resulting new N-terminal residue at the C-terminal part is central in carrying out the nucleophilic attack for peptide bond hydrolysis of the substrates (Chen and Hochstrasser, 1996; Groll et al., 1999; Seemuller et al., 1996). Other known and characterized Ntn hydrolases include glycosylasparaginase (Guan et al., 1996, 1998; Ikonen et al., 1991; Liu et al., 1998; Riikonen et al., 1995; Saarela et al., 1998, 2004; Tikkanen et al., 1996), glutamine phosphoribosylpyrophosphate amidotransferase (Li et al., 1999a), glutaryl 7-aminocephalosporanic acid acylase (Lee et al., 2000; Lee and Park, 1998), L-aminopeptidase D-Ala-esterase (Bompard-Gilles et al., 2000), penicillin acylases (Martin et al., 1991; Slade et al., 1991), and cephalosporin acylases (Li et al., 1999b).

The Ntn glycosylasparaginase is one of the most detailed studied Ntn hydrolases to date. Site-directed mutagenesis and structural studies have revealed several important aspects of self-processing. A wide spectrum of different disease-causing mutations are known in the human gene of glycosylasparaginase, several of which impair self-processing (Tikkanen et al., 1996). A resulting deficiency of enzyme activity causes the lysosomal accumulation disease aspartylglycosaminuria in *H. sapiens*, which results in mental retardation (Mononen et al., 1993).
Penicillin and cephalosporin acylases are industrially very important enzymes, used to hydrolyze penicillin or cephalosporin, respectively to give the \( \beta \)-lactam nucleus of many semi-synthetic drugs (Valle et al., 1991).

Although there is hardly any sequence similarity among most of the Ntn hydrolase enzymes, all enzymes share a common, specific fold. Consequently, they appear to be evolutionary related (Artymiuk, 1995; Brannigan et al., 1995), and have been classified into one superfamily in SCOP (Murzin et al., 1995). The fold has been the subject of a detailed comparison by Oinonen and Rouvinen (2000). The SCOP classification enabled the crystal structure of the conserved protein MTH 1020 from Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum to be assigned to this superfamily (Saridakis et al., 2002). Based on sequence comparison the proteins of the peptidase family U34, of unknown function, could also be classified into the Ntn superfamily (Pei and Grishin, 2003).

In hedgehog proteins an intermolecular attack of the 3\( \beta \) hydroxyl group of cholesterol on the thioester occurs, resulting in covalent linkage of cholesterol to the C-terminus of the smaller N-terminal signalling domain and release of the larger C-terminal domain (Beachy et al., 1997; Hammerschmidt et al., 1997) (Figure 4.1). Members of the hedgehog family of secreted signalling proteins are among the primary signals generated by certain embryonic patterning centers, and are consequently central in developmental biology of both invertebrates and vertebrates. Secreted signalling proteins encoded by the hedgehog gene family induce specific patterns of differentiation in a variety of tissues (Lee et al., 1994; Porter et al., 1996, 1995). The N-terminal domain of the cleaved hedgehog protein, accounting for local and long-range signalling activity, remains tightly cell surface-associated, whereas the C-terminal domain diffuses freely upon secretion in embryos. The cholesterol modification of the N-terminal domain was demonstrated to be crucial for its association with the cell surface-membrane, and is essential for proper signaling function (Gallet et al., 2003). Self-processing in hedgehog proteins appears to
be concentration-independent (Porter et al., 1995). Genes that are similar in sequence to the self-processing domain in hedgehog proteins have been identified in several nematode genes of unknown function (Hall et al., 1997).

Protein splicing in inteins was first described by Kane et al. (1990). Inteins are internal polypeptide sequences, between 100 and 600 amino acids in size, that are posttranslationally excised from a protein precursor (Perler et al., 1997). In inteins the (thio)ester is intramolecularly attacked by a nucleophile from a spatially different region, which splices the intein, the central part of the protein, and ligates the two flanking N- and C-terminal polypeptides, termed exteins, together to form the mature and active external protein (Figure 4.1) (reviewed by Gogarten et al., 2002; Liu, 2000; Noren et al., 2000; Paulus, 2000). Protein splicing is distantly reminiscent of self-splicing in the ribozyme, self-cleaving RNAs that are synthesized as one polynucleotide and catalyze cleavage via an internal phosphoester transfer (Doherty and Doudna, 2000). The catalytic power rests within the intein and catalysis only requires one additional Cys, Ser, or Thr residue of the extein at the C-terminal splice junction.

To date, no apparent cellular function has been established for inteins. It is assumed that inteins are parasitic genetic elements which can persist, because they do not impair the function of the enzyme as they are being spliced. Most of the inteins contain a homing endonuclease activity which allows them to insert the intein gene into other genomes. This guarantees their mobility and also enables them to spread by horizontal gene transfer. Homing endonucleases are a class of sequence-specific double-stranded endonucleases that recognize and cleave DNA at or near the intein insertion site in homologous genes lacking the intein element (Belfort and Perlman, 1995; Belfort and Roberts, 1997). Intein endonucleases cleave the intein-minus copy of the intein gene at or near the intein-encoding site and the intein-plus copy is then used as a template to repair the intein-minus gene, converting it into an intein-containing allele. More than 130 inteins
have been identified in members of all three phylogenetic kingdoms of life and are listed in the Intein database\(^1\) (Perler, 2002). They have been found inserted in a variety of archaeal, bacterial, and chloroplast proteins as well as vacuolar ATPases from *S. cerevisiae* (Noren *et al.*, 2000). The majority of these mature proteins are nucleotide triphosphate-utilizing enzymes, such as DNA and RNA polymerases, gyrases, and helicases (Liu, 2000; Pietrokovski, 1994).

Significant sequence similarity exists between the C-terminal part of hedgehog proteins and inteins and the C-terminal self-processing domain of hedgehog and inteins bear strong structural similarity. The conserved fold was termed HINT (HedgehogIntein) module (Dalgaard *et al.*, 1997; Hall *et al.*, 1997). In addition, a conserved Thr-X-X-His (where X is any amino acid) sequence motif in both hedgehog proteins and inteins, that is spacially close to the cleavage site, could be identified (Lee *et al.*, 1994). Together, these data indicate a common evolutionary origin of inteins and hedgehog proteins, with hedgehog proteins likely having evolved from inteins (Dalgaard *et al.*, 1997).

Structural information for the processed forms of self-processing enzymes is available for the hedgehog protein (Hall *et al.*, 1995, 1997), inteins (Ding *et al.*, 2003; Duan *et al.*, 1997), the proteasome (Lowe *et al.*, 1995), and for several other Ntn hydrolases, such as cephalosporin acylase (Kim *et al.*, 2000b), glycosylasparaginase (Guo *et al.*, 1998; Oinonen *et al.*, 1995), from glucosamine 6-phosphate synthase (Isupov *et al.*, 1996), glutamine phosphoribosylpyrophosphate (Smith *et al.*, 1994), glutaryl 7-aminoccephalosporanic acid acylase (Kim *et al.*, 2003), L-aminopeptidase D-Ala-esterase (Bompard-Gilles *et al.*, 2000), penicillin acylase (Duggleby *et al.*, 1995), penicillin G acylase (McDonough *et al.*, 1999), and penicillin V acylase (Suresh *et al.*, 1999).

\(^1\)http://www.neb.com/neb/inteins.html
4.3 Catalytic properties of ADC

One efficient biochemical way to decarboxylate an amino acid is to attach it to a molecule with an "electron sink" in order to facilitate bond cleavage of the α-carboxylate group. A large number of enzymes typically accomplishes this task by providing a pyridoxal 5-phosphate cofactor, invariably bound to the ε-amino group of a catalytic lysine residue (reviewed by John, 1995). The amino group of the amino acids then covalently binds to the cofactor through its aldehyde group. An alternative route, with respect to the cofactor that is used by pyruvyl-dependent enzymes, is to covalently attach the substrate to a pyruvate-like moiety of the enzyme, the pyruvyl group. A comparative computational investigation of the effect of the pyridine ring for amino acid decarboxylations and the pyruvyl group came to the conclusion that the additional stabilization effect of the pyridine ring is only minor (Toney, 2001). Consequently, pyruvyl-dependent enzymes appear to capture the major part of the catalytic power that pyridoxal 5-phosphate offers, are identical in stereospecificity, and appear to be similar in catalytic efficiency (Toney, 2001; van Poelje and Snell, 1990). HisDC is the only amino acid decarboxylase for which both types of cofactors have been observed: pyridoxal 5-phosphate dependent HisDC in Gram-negative bacteria (Tanase et al., 1985) and animals (Watanabe et al., 1979); pyruvyl-dependent HisDC in Gram-positive bacteria, which show no relatedness in amino acid sequence. The general utility of both the pyruvyl group and pyridoxal 5-phosphate stems from their ability to stabilize carbanions formed adjacent to the Schiff-base through delocalization into the extended π-bonded system. However, compared with pyridoxal 5-phosphate-dependent catalysis, pyruvyl-dependent catalysis may be a more primitive mechanism that does not require exogeneous cofactors.

ADC uses a pyruvyl-group as a cofactor to covalently bind L-aspartate. The nucleophilic attack of the amino-group of L-aspartate on to the carbonyl carbon of the pyruvyl group results in formation of a Schiff-base (Figure 4.2) and creates a π-conjugated system between the bound sub-
strate and the adjacent amide bond. The created Schiff-base can easily be trapped by reduction with cyanoborohydride (Ramjee et al., 1997), which was also shown for other pyruvoyl-dependent decarboxylases such as AdometDC (Markham et al., 1982), HisDC (Recsei and Snell, 1970), and phosphatidylserine decarboxylase (Satre and Kennedy, 1978).

Upon decarboxylation of the α-carboxyl group, which dissociates as carbon dioxide, the negative charge on the α-carbon can be dispersed over the π-conjugated system, and even further into amino acid residues that H-bond to it, which could provide a “pull-effect” for the reaction. The presence of a “pull” and “push” effect appears to be required to facilitate decarboxylation (von Delft, 2000), because of the strength of the carbon-carbon bond. The decarboxylation results in formation a planar carbanionic intermediate. A “push” effect driving the negative charge from the carboxyl into the electron sink and towards the neutral carbon dioxide species could be provided by the hydrophobic environment at the active site. Based on the crystallographic analysis of enzyme-ligand complexes (see Section 4.4) von Delft (2000) proposed a detailed four-step catalytic mechanism for the decarboxylation reaction, which was, in part, supported by deuterium labeling experiments by Saldanha et al. (2001).

β-substituted D-amino acid analogues (i.e. with the opposite stereochemistry), such as β-fluoro/chloro-D-alanine, were demonstrated to be inhibitory for E. coli ADC (Smith, 1988), probably as a result of elimination of the β-substituted group and subsequent attack of a basic group of the enzyme on the vinyl group to cause irreversible inhibition (Smith, 1988; von Delft, 2000; Williamson and Brown, 1979). Of the tested compounds, mostly D-amino acids, i.e. with the opposite configuration of substrate, showed inhibition, although L-glutamate and L-serine also inhibited the enzyme. However, significant feedback inhibition by later pathway products, such as β-alanine, acetyl coenzyme A, coenzyme A, or pantothenate could not be observed (Williamson and Brown, 1979). All known pyruvoyl-dependent enzymes can be irreversibly inactivated by
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Figure 4.2 Schematic of the chemistry of the decarboxylation reaction catalyzed by ADC. (A) The first step involves formation of a Schiff-base or imine. (B) Decarboxylation of bound substrate. Atomic orbitals are drawn to highlight the $\pi$-conjugated system. (C) $\pi$-conjugation that stabilizes the negative charge after decarboxylation. (D) In the final step, protonation and hydrolysis of the decarboxylated substrate results in formation of $\beta$-alanine and regeneration of the pyruvoyl cofactor.
a substrate mediated transamination in which they undergo an incorrect protonation during the enzymatic reaction, as was demonstrated for Ado-metDC (Diaz and Anton, 1991; Xiong et al., 1999). The protonation occurs on the pyruvate instead of the \( \alpha \)-carbon leading to conversion of the pyruvoyl group to an alanyl group. This can also explain why L-aspartate was observed to inhibit to some extent (Smith, 1988).

### 4.4 Structural features of ADC

The crystal structure of *E. coli* ADC (PDB-ID: 1AW8) was solved by multiple-isomorphous replacement (Albert et al., 1998) to 2.2 Å maximum resolution, using a recombinant 126 amino acid protein with a molecular subunit weight of 13.8 kDa. The enzyme had been shown to be homotetrameric by electro-spray mass spectrometry (Ramjee et al., 1997) and this was confirmed by the crystal structure (Figure 4.3 A, Figure 4.5). However, because of the crystallographic hexagonal symmetry, P6\(_{1}22\), only one dimer is located in the asymmetric unit and the tetramer is created by the crystallographic two-fold axis. The latter coincides with the internal pseudo-four-fold rotational symmetry of the tetramer. Apart from two small helices and one 3\(_{10}\) helix, the protein is completely \( \beta \)-stranded. Six \( \beta \)-strands form a \( \beta \)-barrel, which forms the core of the mushroom-shaped oligomer. The upper part of the protein (viewed in a side-orientation of the protein; Figure 4.5) adopts a rare tertiary structural arrangement, termed a "double-\( \psi \)" fold (Castillo et al., 1999). This fold is formed by two interlocked motifs each of which comprise a loop and a sheet, that together resemble the Greek letter \( \psi \) (Figure 4.4).

*E. coli* ADC does not share significant sequence similarity with any other, non-ADC enzyme and, consequently, comparison based on sequence alone was unrewarding. However, the double-\( \psi \) fold (Figure 4.3) of ADC has been the subject of a detailed comparative structural analysis by Castillo et al. (1999). This suggested a common homodimeric ancestor.
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**Figure 4.3** (A) Cartoon representation of one ADC subunit (Albert et al., 1998). (B) View at the active site of ADC with the product β-alanine covalently bound to the pyruvoyl group (Pvl-group) (von Delft, unpublished results). Carbon atoms from an adjacent subunit are coloured in cyan. Figure B was prepared with PYMOL (DeLano, 2002).
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**Figure 4.4** Schematic secondary structure topology representation of the ADC fold. Note the two crossovers that form the double-ψ arrangement. The location of the self-cleavage site is indicated with a yellow bar. Residues for the *E. coli* ADC amino acid sequence that border the respective secondary structural elements, are indicated with numbered labels. The scheme for this figure was adapted and modified from Castillo et al. (1999).
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to ADC, barwin (Ludvigsen and Poulsen, 1992), dimethylsulfoxide reductase (Schindelin et al., 1996), endonuclease V (Davies et al., 1993), and formate dehydrogenase B (Boyington et al., 1997). Although the enzymes do not exhibit significant sequence similarity, several common interactions including hydrophobic contacts and sidechain-mainchain H-bonds, that seem to be important for stabilizing the fold were identified. Residues at the $\psi$-turn (Ile28, Ala79) are hydrophobic and conserved among proteins of the fold, presumably for stabilising the $\beta$-barrel. Castillo et al. (1999) also identified a common functional role in these enzymes for the $\psi$-loop as a good place to position the catalytic or cofactor binding site, since in all these structures central active site residues are located in the $\psi$-loop between strands $\beta1$ and $\beta2$ ($\beta1'$ and $\beta2$ in ADC), indicating a common structural role for it (Figure 4.4).

Importantly, the ADC crystal structure revealed a fully processed cleavage site in one subunit, and a superposition of the ester intermediate and the fully processed cleavage site in the other subunit. Based on this observation the active site could be reliably identified (Figure 4.3). However, the interpretation of the electron density for the ester intermediate was not entirely straightforward but was eventually convincing because of a better data fit of the structural model with the modeled ester intermediate and more optimal sterochemistry (Albert et al., 1998). The structure provided the first direct evidence for the existence of an ester intermediate in self-processing.

The self-cleavage site is located at the interface of two subunits. It was suggested that processing occurs after tetramer assembly and that it is a cyclical, co-operative process, more rapid for the first subunits of the proenzyme, but progressively slowing down as successive subunits cleave, because of subtle conformational change. The unexpected stability of the fourth ester may be explained by the fact that the residues likely to be involved in its further processing are then too far away for ester cleavage (Albert et al., 1998).
Figure 4.5 Cartoon representation of the ADC tetramer (Albert et al., 1998). (A) View of the ADC tetramer with the internal four-fold symmetry axis in the plane of the paper. The pyruvyl group (Pvl-group) and Gly24 of one subunit are shown in ball-and-stick representation. For this and all following figures of ADC the carbon atoms of the two self-cleavage residues 24 and 25 in ADC will be coloured in grey. (B) Rotated view of A, down the internal four-fold symmetry axis.
Based on the ADC crystal structure, it was also proposed that the nucleo-
philicity of the Ser25 may be enhanced by relaying the hydroxyl proton
through a network involving a triad of conserved residues of Lys9, His11,
from an adjacent subunit, and Tyr58 from the same subunit as the cleavage
site (Figure 4.3). Increasing the nucleophilicity has been anticipated to be
necessary for the self-processing reaction. Additionally, mechanical strain
in the loop region, including residues His21, Tyr22, and Glu23 preceding
the self-cleavage site (Figure 4.3), was suggested to play a role for ester
formation (see Section 4.2).

In addition to the apo-enzyme crystal structure of ADC, several crystal
structures of ADC in complex with various ligands have been determined
by von Delft (2000). The enzyme was co-crystallized with substrate L-
aspartate, product β-alanine (Figure 4.3), reduced product, and α-methyl
aspartate as well as 3-amino-4-methyl-pentanoate. The crystallographic
analysis enabled the authors to propose a catalytic mechanism for decar-
boxylation (see Section 4.3).

Crystallization and preliminary crystallographic analysis for H. pylori
ADC have been described (Kwon et al., 2002), but, to date, no other ADC
structure has been reported.

4.5 Motives for studying ADC

Until recently, it has generally been assumed that the conversion of all in-
active protein precursors to biologically active proteins is mediated by spe-
cific processing enzymes. Within the last two decades, self-catalyzed back-
bone rearrangements have been observed, described, and characterized in
a number of physiologically highly important eukaryotic and prokaryotic
enzymes. N→O and N→S acyl rearrangements represent common meth-
ods of activation and protein maturation of self-processing in diverse bi-
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although processes. Although first considered unrelated, it emerged in recent years that the molecular basis for this initial step in the mechanism for the four types of self-processing (Figure 4.1), is indeed closely related.

Although a number of processed structures of self-processing systems have been determined, structural information about unprocessed precursors of self-processing enzymes is relatively sparse. Because processing is generally a very rapid process in almost all self-processing systems, investigation of the unprocessed precursor enzyme is usually very difficult. Most investigators chose to circumvent this problem by mutating the nucleophile to a non- or less-nucleophilic residue (e.g. Ser→Ala mutants) and pursue structure solution for these precursor mutants. The nucleophile was then computationally modeled to deduce the native cleavage site conformation. Structure solution of a native precursor has so far proved impossible and no unprocessed cleavage site, from a self-processing enzyme that has not been mutated, has been reported. Consequently, it is not known to what extent the cleavage site structures of mutants that had their nucleophilic Cys, Ser, or Thr substituted resemble that of the native, unprocessed cleavage site. Therefore, the validity of the approach to computationally model the nucleophile in place of the mutated residue remains unproven. Dissecting the underlying molecular mechanism and the exciting (bio)chemistry in more detail will clearly require more structural data of self-processing enzymes in a pre-processed state.

In E. coli ADC, in contrast to other systems, self-processing is comparatively slow, which suggests that ADC is a particularly suitable model system for structural studies of the molecular mechanism of self-processing. Critical issues for the cleavage reaction in general and for pyruvoyl formation in ADC in particular are the steric relationship of the nucleophile to the carbonyl, the orientation of the leaving group (the amino nitrogen of the scissile peptide bond), identification of assisting catalytic residues in the protein, and perhaps most importantly, the energetic driving force be-
hind the isomerization event during self-processing. Addressing these issues should assist in coming towards a more comprehensive understanding of self-processing.

Insights into the molecular basis of the mechanism can potentially aid in producing drugs to prevent protein maturation and may be helpful in developing novel engineering tools. Intein splicing can already successfully generated multiple protein engineering applications, such as the IMPACT (intein-mediated purification with an affinity chitin-binding tag) (Chong et al., 1997), EPL (expressed protein ligation) (Muir et al., 1998), and IPL (intein-mediated protein ligation) (Evans et al., 1999) techniques. Furthermore, since intein-containing precursors are inactive, chemical reagents that block splicing would have significant pharmacological value. Controllable inteins inserted into foreign contexts would provide a method for activating an enzyme on command, allowing biosynthesis of cytotoxic proteins or controllable gene knockouts. Vincent et al. (2003) substituted the N-terminal hedgehog domain with different proteins and by this means managed to target proteins to the cell membrane.

ADC presumably catalyzes the rate-limiting step in pantothenate biosynthesis and as such is a suitable target for the development of drugs. Preventing the protein maturation event in ADC, for instance by small molecule inhibitors, could render the protein inactive.
5 Scope of this thesis

Research on the four enzymes of pantothenate biosynthesis in Cambridge was initiated in the two laboratories of Prof. Chris Abell, in the Cambridge University Chemical Laboratory, and Dr Alison Smith, in the Plant Science Department, University of Cambridge. Consequently, the pan enzymes have all been cloned in their laboratories, and initial overexpression and purification of the enzymes has been developed there. In addition, much of the biochemical characterization has been carried out in their groups. The aim of the scientific investigation is to gain an in-depth understanding of the function and mechanism of the four enzymes in the pantothenate pathway.

The laboratory of Prof. Tom Blundell became involved in the research about seven years ago. Since then, crystal structures for KPHMT (von Delft et al., 2003), KPR (Matak-Vinkovic et al., 2001), ADC (Albert et al., 1998), and PS (von Delft et al., 2001), all from E. coli, have been determined in the collaboration with Prof. Chris Abell and Dr Alison Smith. With these structures at hand more detailed functional studies by crystallography of site-directed mutants, and complexes with ligands can now be pursued.

The aim of the research, described in this thesis, was to cocrystallize KPHMT with cofactor analogues, obtain crystals suitable for X-ray diffrac-
tion data collection, and solve the crystal structure. Furthermore, with the KPHMT crystal structure just solved, it was imperative to carry out a detailed comparative analysis of the structure, in order to possibly derive functional aspects from other enzymes.

For ADC, a series of site-directed mutants had been constructed to investigate the details of the self-processing mechanism. The aim was to develop a fast and reliable purification system, and to crystallize several of the ADC mutants. Furthermore, by solving their structure, and analysis of the latter, it was hoped to identify key residues involved in the self-processing reaction.

The following parts and chapters of this thesis are structured as follows:

In Part II the relevant materials, and biochemical, crystallographic, and computational methods, used in this study, will be described.

In Part III the results will be presented and discussed. This starts with Chapter 10, which will elaborate on the cocrystallization of KPHMT with two cofactor analogues; attempts to collect X-ray diffraction data; the encountered problems that were hampering successful data collection and structure solution; and a proposal for how to proceed for structure solution.

Chapter 11 discusses the detailed structural analysis of the KPHMT fold, which enabled the structure to be assigned to the phosphoenolpyruvate/pyruvate superfamily in the SCOP database; and interesting similarities in the active sites of enzymes in this superfamily.

Chapter 12 describes the establishment of a reliable purification system for ADC mutants, crystallization of several of them, and X-ray diffraction
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Data collection. Furthermore, the structure solution process (structure solution, refinement, and model building) of the first native precursor of a self-processing enzyme and three site-directed mutants of ADC, one of the latter at near atomic resolution, will be presented.

Chapter 13 encompasses the comparative analysis of seven ADC mutant structures with the native precursor ADC structure. It will be shown that conformational constraints apparently account for the loss of self-processing activity in certain mutants. A detailed possible reaction mechanism for the self-processing reaction will be suggested. Structural comparison carried out with other self-processing systems will show remarkable similarity, particularly with the enzyme glycosylasparaginase. Based on the investigation, several general features of the self-processing biochemistry appear to emerge.

In Part V the reader will find a description of the crystallization of morphine dehydrogenase in the presence of NADP+. This research is however unrelated to the main focus of this study.
Part II

Materials and methods
6 Materials

6.1 Chemical compounds

All chemical compounds that were used for the biochemical procedures were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Fluka, unless stated otherwise in parentheses in the text. Sterile, de-ionized water was supplied by the MilliQ system (Millipore) in the laboratory.

E. coli growth media composition

All bacterial growth media were autoclaved (Astell Hearson, 2000 series) for one hour, and left to cool down to room-temperature, before usage.

- 2xYT medium (Difco Laboratories) 1.6% (w/v) bactotryptone, 1% (w/v) yeast extract, 86 mM NaCl.
- LB medium (Difco Laboratories) 1% (w/v) bactotryptone,
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0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, 0.17 M NaCl.

SOC medium (Invitrogen)

0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, 2.0% (w/v) tryptone, 10 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM MgSO₄, 20 mM glucose.

LB agarose plates

20 g ultra-pure agarose (GibcoBRL), dissolved in 1 l of MilliQ H₂O, supplemented with LB medium; after autoclaving and cooling to below 60°C, 100 mg of ampicillin was added.

Components for SDS-PAGE

Buffer I

1.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.8.

Buffer II

0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8.

30% (w/v) acrylamide (Severn Biotech)

29.2% (w/v) acrylamide, 0.8% (w/v) bis-acrylamide.

Running buffer

25 mM Tris, 0.192 M glycine, 0.1% (w/v) SDS.
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2x-sample buffer 0.35 M Tris-HC,
20% (v/v) glycerol,
4% (w/v) SDS,
2% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol,
0.02% (w/v) bromphenol blue.

Coomassie blue stain solution 0.5% (w/v) Coomassie blue,
45% (v/v) methanol,
18% (v/v) acetic acid.

Destain solution 60% (v/v) MilliQ, 30% (v/v)
methanol, 10% (v/v) acetic acid.

TEMED (BioRad)
APS

Table 6.1 Gel composition for two SDS-PAGE stacking gels with 1.5 mm spacers (BioRad)
Upon mixture of these components 10 µl of TEMED was added to start the polymerization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>30% (w/v) acrylamide</th>
<th>Buffer II</th>
<th>MilliQ</th>
<th>10% (w/v) SDS</th>
<th>10% (w/v) APS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>0.5 ml</td>
<td>1.0 ml</td>
<td>2.4 ml</td>
<td>40 µl</td>
<td>40 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2 Gel composition for two 12% (w/v) acrylamide SDS-PAGE separating gel with 1.5 mm spacers (BioRad) Upon mixture of these components 10 µl of TEMED was added to start the polymerization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>30% (w/v) acrylamide</th>
<th>Buffer I</th>
<th>MilliQ</th>
<th>10% (w/v) SDS</th>
<th>10% (w/v) APS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>4.0 ml</td>
<td>2.5 ml</td>
<td>3.3 ml</td>
<td>100 µl</td>
<td>80 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Components for tricine-PAGE

Buffer I: 3 M Tris, 0.3% (w/v) SDS, pH 8.45
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5x Bottom electrode buffer 1 M Tris, pH 8.9
Top electrode buffer 0.1 M Tris, 0.1 M Tricine, 0.1% (w/v) SDS, pH 8.2
Fixative solution for gel development 25 mM Tris, 40 mM glycine, 50% (v/v) methanol, 5% (v/v) acetic acid

TEMED
APS

Table 6.3 Gel composition for one 8% (w/v) acrylamide tricine-PAGE stacking gel with 1.0 mm spacers (BioRad) Upon mixture of these components 3 µl of TEMED was added to start the polymerization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>30% (w/v) acrylamide</th>
<th>Buffer I</th>
<th>MilliQ</th>
<th>10% (w/v) APS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>0.47 ml</td>
<td>0.73 ml</td>
<td>1.75 ml</td>
<td>36 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.4 Gel composition for one 10% (w/v) acrylamide tricine-PAGE spacer gel with 1.0 mm spacers (BioRad) Upon mixture of these components 2 µl of TEMED was added to start the polymerization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>30% (w/v) acrylamide</th>
<th>Buffer I</th>
<th>MilliQ</th>
<th>10% (w/v) APS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>0.40 ml</td>
<td>0.66 ml</td>
<td>0.92 ml</td>
<td>10 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.5 Gel composition for one 16.5% (w/v) tricine-PAGE separating gel with 1.0 mm spacers (BioRad) Upon mixture of these components 2.6 µl of TEMED was added to start the polymerization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>30% (w/v) acrylamide</th>
<th>Buffer I</th>
<th>MilliQ</th>
<th>80% (w/v) Glycerol</th>
<th>10% (w/v) APS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>2.72 ml</td>
<td>2.72 ml</td>
<td>1.59 ml</td>
<td>1.06 ml</td>
<td>40 µl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tricine-PAGE is a modification of the original SDS-PAGE as developed by Laemmli (1970). The method was developed by Schagger and Von Jagow (1987) and allows separation of small molecular weight peptides, especially in the range of 2-20 kDa. Instead of a trailing glycine ion, tricine is used. Alternatively to self-prepared tricine-gels, 10-20% (w/v) acrylamide gradient tricine-gels (NovexInvitrogen) were used.
6.2 Chromatographic hardware

Fast performance liquid chromatography (FPLC) hardware (Pharmacia) was exclusively used for protein purification. For purification at room temperature and at 4\textdegree C the Äkta Explorer and the Äkta Prime system, respectively, were used.
7 Biochemical procedures

7.1 Transformation of plasmids into *E. coli* cells

Overexpression of recombinant proteins is today a routine-procedure in biochemistry and has the advantage of obtaining large quantities of the protein of interest, which are naturally often present only in relatively small quantities in the cell. Quantities of several milligrams of pure protein have, so far, been a prerequisite to successfully obtain protein crystals. For overexpression of many proteins, the protein production machinery of *E. coli* can be used. Because of the ease of use of this organism, it is often the first choice as host for overexpression. In order to insert a specific gene into bacteria, transformation of a plasmid through the cell membrane into *E. coli* cells is carried out. This procedure makes use of the fact that the cell wall and membrane of *E. coli* can be made permeable by treatment and partial destruction with chemicals, such as RbCl$_2$ and detergents, making the cells “competent” for transformation. During transformation, the sample is heated up in order to increase the mobility of the lipids in the membrane, thus allowing the plasmid to diffuse into the cell. The subsequent cold-shock procedure decreases the permeability of the membrane again, ensuring that the plasmid is kept inside the cells.

Plasmids containing the *panD* mutants, and the *panB* genes for the over-
expression of recombinant ADC mutants and for KPHMT respectively, were provided from the collaboration with the groups headed by Prof. Chris Abell and Dr Alison Smith. Competent cells were available from the respective laboratories and from members of the laboratory of Prof. Tom Blundell. In general, standard transformation protocols for inserting the plasmid into the expression host as described by Sambrook et al. (1989) were followed. The plasmids and E.coli expression strains that were used for the overexpression of ADC mutants and KPHMT are shown in Table 7.1.

Table 7.1 Plasmids and E. coli strains used for the transformation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Antibiotic resistance</th>
<th>E. coli expression strain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>panD</td>
<td>pRSETA</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>BL21 C41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPHMT</td>
<td>panB</td>
<td>pUC</td>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>BL21 C41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pUC plasmid (Vieira and Messing, 1991) contains a lac promoter upstream of both the gene of interest and the lacI gene, to which the lac repressor binds. Upon addition of IPTG (Melford Laboratories) the lac repressor is inactivated allowing the polymerase gene and the gene of interest to be expressed. The pRSETA plasmid (Invitrogen) is a small (2.9 kbases), high-copy number plasmid with a coding site for an N-terminal hexa-histidine tag and a thrombin protease cleavage site. Expression of the gene of interest is dependent on the T7 promoter (Hyvonen and Saraste, 1997), which relies on the fast and specific RNA polymerase of the T7 bacteriophage. Similarly to the pUC plasmid, overexpression of the gene of interest is induced upon addition of IPTG.

The transformation protocol was as follows:

1. Transformation-competent E. coli cells, which were pre-treated with calcium and stored at –80°C were thawed on ice.

2. 1 µl of plasmid (~20 ng/µl) was added to 50 µl of C41 BL21 E. coli cells.
3. The sample was incubated on ice for 20-30 min.

4. Subsequently, the mixture was heat-shocked for 1-2 min at 42°C on a water bath.

5. The mixture was kept on ice for another 2 min.

6. 1 ml of LB medium for ADC mutants or SOC medium for KPHMT medium, respectively was added.

7. The mixture was kept at 37°C in the incubator shaking for one hour.

8. The culture was centrifuged at 6500 r.p.m. for 5 min.

9. The cells were spread onto sterile, solid LB agarose plates, which were supplemented with ampicillin (Melford Laboratories) (final concentration: 100 µg/ml) with a sterilized, bent glass rod.

10. The plates were incubated at 37°C overnight and stored at 4°C in the coldroom or the fridge for subsequent picking of the colonies.

### 7.2 Protein overexpression in *E. coli*

Overexpression uses the cell machinery of the host to specifically produce a protein of interest in high quantities. Overexpression of the protein of interest in *E. coli* often relies on a specific RNA polymerase (predominantly the bacteriophage T7 polymerase), the gene for which has been inserted into the genome of the host cell. This RNA polymerase strongly interacts with a recognition site (T7 promoter) prior to the gene of interest on the plasmid, enabling production of large amounts of RNA transcripts and consequently overexpression of the protein. The plasmid then typically has a site for a gene that produces a repressor (such as the lacI repressor) that inhibits the transcription of the genomic RNA polymerase by binding to a specific DNA binding site (such as the lac operator site) prior to the
location of the RNA polymerase gene. Some plasmids, such as pRSETA used in this study, also have the same DNA binding site to which the lac repressor can bind located after the T7 promoter site.

Addition of a specific molecule (such as IPTG) that binds to the repressor, prevents the latter from binding to the operator site of the RNA polymerase allowing transcription of RNA polymerase. In this manner, overexpression can be controlled and selectively induced. Generally, overexpression is induced when the cells reach a late logarithmic phase, where a large amount of cells in conjunction with a high potential of them to produce the protein of interest exists. The RNA polymerase can then act on the promoter on the plasmid and transcribe the gene of interest. In order to prevent the cells from ejecting the plasmid, a gene for a protein (generally β-lactamase) is located and transcribed, independently of the gene of interest, on the plasmid. This enzyme can inactivate the antibiotic, that is added to the cell culture medium, such that cells containing the plasmid will survive.

In this study, after the plasmid was successfully transformed, overexpression of the gene to obtain sufficient amounts of protein was pursued. This was usually carried out as soon as possible after the transformation.

The overexpression protocol was as follows:

- A single colony of the transformed cells was picked from the agar plate with a sterilized pipette tip.
- The tip was then put into a sterile tube (Millipore) with 5 or 10 ml of autoclaved LB or 2xYT medium, to which ampicillin (usually stored as stock solutions at $-20^\circ$C; alternatively it was prepared freshly) was added to reach a final concentration of 100 µg/ml.
- Thus obtained miniculture was then incubated in an orbital shaker, shaking at 200 r.p.m. at $37^\circ$C overnight, for $\sim$14 h.
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- The next day the miniculture was added to 1 l in a 2 l Erlenmeyer flask with autoclaved LB medium, containing ampicillin (final concentration: 100 mg/ml).
- The flask with the culture was left shaking and the OD\textsubscript{600} was spectrometrically controlled (Pharmacia, Novaspec II) in half hours steps.
- At an OD\textsubscript{600} of 0.3-0.4, which usually took \(\sim\) 3-4 h to reach, expression of the protein was induced by addition of IPTG (final concentration: 1 mM).
- Incubation at 37\(^\circ\)C was maintained for a further 4 h (for ADC) and for up to 16 h (for KPHMT).
- The cells were centrifuged for 40 min at 4\(^\circ\)C at 4300 r.p.m. with a Beckmann 1059G centrifuge.

The use of the pRSETA plasmid in conjunction with the BL21 C41 cells proved to be successful and up to 80 mg of protein per litre of culture could be routinely produced for the ADC mutants, as judged by the approximate absorbance at 280 nm of the lysate, using the theoretical extinction coefficient of the protein (see Subsection 7.3.1)

Likewise to ADC, overexpression of KPHMT from the pUC plasmid yielded amounts of up to 100 mg protein per litre of E. coli culture. However, following a suggestion by Dr Adrian Saldanha, induction with IPTG was kept for a longer period than for the overexpression of ADC. In general, it took a longer time for these cells to reach the equivalent optical density as for the cells overexpressing ADC. Once successfully overexpressed, a small amount of cells was flash frozen in liquid N\(_2\) in an Eppendorf tube in the presence of glycerol at a final concentration of 20% (v/v). These stocks were then kept at \(-80^\circ\)C. For further usage a pipette tip of cells was plated on an agarose plate, which produced colonies that were subsequently used for further overexpression.
7.3 Protein purification

Protein purification is a necessary step to obtain a pure and homogeneous protein sample. The term pure does not only refer to the lack of other contaminating macromolecules, but often also to the existence of a single molecular species of the macromolecule of interest, ideally identical in length, conformation, and charge. Because a crystal predominantly consist of an assembly of the same molecule interacting with each other, contamination with other proteins often prevents crystal formation or crystal growth. As a rule of thumb, a high purity of the protein can be indicated by a single band on SDS-PAGE, or, more so, by a monodisperse distribution of a single molecule species in dynamic light scattering experiments.

Liquid chromatography, such as affinity, hydrophobic interaction, ion-exchange, or size exclusion chromatography, is the most widely-used separation method for macromolecules. In general, it is based on the different interactions of the different macromolecular species, in the sample, with an immobilized solid phase (the resin), resulting in differing degrees of retardation, and therefore separation.

In this study, the following chromatographic techniques were used to purify protein:

- Affinity chromatography with Ni\(^{2+}\) and histidine-tagged protein
  This technique relies on the highly-specific interactions between the histidine residues and the Ni\(^{2+}\).

- Anion-exchange chromatography
  The cationic group (CH\(_2\)-N\(^{+}\)(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)) is immobilised on a cross-linked agarose hydrophilic bead similar to the one used for the gelfiltration (pre-packed Hiprep 16/10 SP XL, MonoQ HR 5/5 and Resource Q,
Anionic groups of the proteins will interact with the cationic group of the resin, thus resulting in retardation.

- **Hydroxyapatite chromatography**
  Hydroxyapatite (Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$OH)$_2$ is a specific form of calcium phosphate that can be used for the separation of proteins (BioRad-gel hydroxyapatite, BioRad). Whereas the cationic groups of the protein can interact with the phosphate groups, the anionic groups interact with calcium sites of the resin.

- **Size exclusion or gel-filtration chromatography**
  A cross-linked matrix of agarose covalently attached to dextran (Sephadex resin) was used (pre-packed Superdex 75 10/30, Superdex 200 10/30, and Superdex 26/60 columns, Pharmacia). This matrix combines the gel filtration properties of cross-linked dextran with the high physical and chemical stability of highly cross-linked agarose. The matrix allows high resolution separation of macromolecules with relatively high flow-rates, at high pressure, and low, non-specific interaction of the matrix enables high recovery of protein.

### 7.3.1 Purification of ADC mutants

**Purification of S25T and R54K ADC mutants overexpressed from pBlue-script KS plasmid**

After I started to work on the project, attempts were made to purify non-histidine tagged R54K and S25T ADC mutants. The purification protocol for these was similar to that for the wild-type ADC by Ramjee *et al.* (1997) and the whole procedure usually required seven working days. However, the purification was not successful to produce sufficient amounts of
pure protein for crystallization and was therefore not pursued further. It will however be mentioned briefly. The protein purified by this method yielded only very small crystals, unsuitable for X-ray diffraction studies. At the same time as I attempted to purify S25T and R54K ADC mutants by this method, Dr Brent Nabbs subcloned a number of ADC mutants from the pBluescript KS plasmid into pRSETA plasmid with a coding sequence for an N-terminal hexa-histidine tag.

Clones for the ADC mutants had been previously constructed by Dr Mike Witty, who also supplied them and introduced me to the purification protocol (Dr Michael Witty, personal communication). ADC mutants S25T and R54K were overexpressed from a pBluescript KS (Stratagene) plasmid, which was transformed into E. coli SJ16 cells according to a protocol similar to the one described in Section 7.1. SJ16 cells (Jackowski and Rock, 1981) are β-alanine auxotrophs, defective in ADC activity. The mutation in the panD gene encodes for an ADC version, that is identical to wild-type ADC with the difference of an additional eleven C-terminal residues.

A purification procedure similar to the purification of wild-type ADC, as described by Ramjee et al. (1997), was followed with small modifications. Harvested cells were resuspended in 10 mM Tris/HCl, 1 mM EDTA buffer pH 8.0. After disrupting the cell membranes with two passes through a French pressure cell press (SLM Aminco, SLM Instruments), at 20-40 000 psi, a 30-79% (w/v) (NH₄)₂SO₄ precipitation was carried out. This was potentially helpful to separate the protein from DNA or RNA that could influence the efficiency of the subsequent ion-exchange steps. The cell pellet was redissolved and dialyzed against 10 mM Tris/HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0. Thereafter, the protein sample was loaded on a Q-Sepharose column (Pharmacia), after which the protein was eluted with 10 mM Tris/HCl buffer, pH 6.8, with a 0.0-1.0 M KCl gradient. The eluted protein fractions were analyzed with tricine-PAGE and those containing ADC were concentrated to ~10 ml volume using Centriprep 10 (Amicon) concentrators. Until this stage no problems were observed.
The next step involved purification with hydroxyapatite chromatography and at this stage large amounts of protein were lost. For the purification, hydroxyapatite (BioRad Laboratories; Bio-gel hydroxyapatite) packed into an Econo-column (BioRad) was used. The protein was attempted to be eluted with a 50-300 mM gradient of $K_2HPO_4/KH_2PO_4$ buffer pH 7.0, as recommended for acidic proteins (wildtype ADC has a theoretical pI of 5.75 as calculated with the Protparam online utility\(^1\)). Dr Mike Witty had reported successful elution with this purification protocol for native ADC from hydroxyapatite resin before (personal communication). However, in the attempt to purify S25T and R54K ADC mutants the protein eluted between 0.2-0.3 M $K_2HPO_4/KH_2PO_4$ and no separation from contaminating proteins was achieved. Consequently, the eluted protein proved to be rather impure and the purity could not be improved by the following gel-filtration with Superdex 200 16/60 nor by anion-exchange chromatography on MonoQ resin (Pharmacia).

After this, Dr Mike Witty made another purification attempt, with a hydroxyapatite chromatography and changed the pH of the elution buffer for the hydroxyapatite step to pH 4.0. The column was equilibrated with 10 mM succinate buffer pH 4.0 and elution was then carried out with a 50-500 mM $K_2HPO_4/KH_2PO_4$ gradient. However, this attempt did not overcome the problem encountered before and also failed to yield sufficiently pure protein. Since by that time, histidine-tagged ADC mutants had been constructed, no further attempts to manipulate the purification protocol were pursued.

### 7.3.2 Purification of histidine-tagged ADC mutants

The centrifuged cell pellet obtained as described in Section 7.2 was left shaking in the incubator at 37°C overnight. This was done to allow for the

\(^1\)http://ca.expasy.org/tools/protparam.html
fact that self-processing had previously been observed to be faster in vivo than in vitro (Dr Mike Witty, personal communication). On the following day, the purification protocol was started:

- **Cell lysis**

  Harvested cells were redissolved in ~40 ml of lysis buffer (see Table 7.2) with one or two EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets (Boehringer Mannheim) that were added immediately prior to the cell lysis.

**Table 7.2** Component composition for the lysis buffer for the purification of ADC mutants. The pH was adjusted to 8.0 with NaOH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer component</th>
<th>$\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$</th>
<th>NaCl</th>
<th>Imidazole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>300 mM</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cells were then disrupted by sonication, on ice. For this, a 50 ml beaker with the lysis solution was kept in another 500 ml plastic beaker, filled with a water/ice mixture to prevent the solution from heating. A sonication protocol of 10 minutes total processing time, at medium intensity (5-7 on a 1-10 scale), with 3-5 seconds pulse exposure and 10-15 seconds recovery time was chosen using a sonicator (Misonix). Special care was taken that the sample did not heat up too much, by manually checking the temperature of the beaker with the lysis solution. Subsequently, cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 19 000 r.p.m. (33 902 g) for 45 mins at 4°C with a Sigma centrifuge and a 19776 rotor type. Apart from one ADC mutant, K9A, overexpressed protein from all ADC mutants could be predominantly found in the soluble fractions of the lysate, and purification could proceed immediately.

- **Affinity chromatography on Ni$^{2+}$-NTA resin**

  A 25 ml Econocolumn (BioRad) was packed with Ni$^{2+}$-NTA resin (Qiagen) and equilibrated with several column volumes of equilibration buffer (see Table 7.3).
Table 7.3 Component composition for the column equilibration buffer for the purification of ADC mutants. The pH was adjusted to pH 8.0 with NaOH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer component</th>
<th>NaH₂PO₄</th>
<th>NaCl</th>
<th>Imidazole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>300 mM</td>
<td>20 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Before loading the protein sample on the column, the supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 µm syringe filter (Millipore). The filtered protein sample was loaded onto the affinity chromatography column, at ~25°C or room-temperature, and the column was washed with 5 column volumes of equilibration buffer to elute non-bound protein. Elution from the column was carried out by gravity-flow. This was followed by 5-8 column volumes of wash buffer I (see Table 7.4) and 5 column volumes of wash buffer II (see Table 7.5).

Table 7.4 Component composition for the wash buffer I for the purification of ADC mutants. The pH was adjusted to pH 8.0 with NaOH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer component</th>
<th>NaH₂PO₄</th>
<th>NaCl</th>
<th>Imidazole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>300 mM</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.5 Component composition for the wash buffer II for purification of ADC mutants. The pH was adjusted to pH 8.0 with NaOH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer component</th>
<th>NaH₂PO₄</th>
<th>NaCl</th>
<th>Imidazole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>300 mM</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The histidine-tagged protein of interest was eluted with elution buffer (Table 7.6), and collected in 4 ml fractions.

The NTA resin was generally re-used up to four times. The cleaning was carried out with 5 column volumes of 0.1 M NaOH, 5 column volumes of 0.1% (w/v) SDS, 5 column volumes of 50 mM EDTA, 10 column volumes of MilliQ H₂O and 5 column volumes of 70% ethanol. The column was then recharged with Ni²⁺ by adding
Table 7.6 Component composition for the elution buffer for the purification of ADC mutants. The pH was adjusted to pH 8.0 with NaOH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer component</th>
<th>NaH$_2$PO$_4$</th>
<th>NaCl</th>
<th>Imidazole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>300 mM</td>
<td>250 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 column volumes of 100 mM NiSO$_4$. The column was stored in 20% (v/v) ethanol at 4°C.

- **Gel-filtration on Superdex 200 resin**

Protein fractions were analyzed by tricine-PAGE and those fractions containing the ADC enzyme were combined and concentrated to 1-3 ml with a Vivaspin 20 ml concentrator (Vivascience) using a 5 kDa molecular weight cut-off membrane by centrifugation at 7500 r.p.m. at 4°C with a Sigma centrifuge and a 12150 rotor type. A Pharmacia Superdex 200-HR 30/2 (Pharmacia) size exclusion chromatography column was equilibrated with 2-4 column volumes of 25 mM HEPES (Melford Laboratories or Sigma) buffer, pH 7.4. The concentrated protein was then loaded on this column with a syringe (Vivasience), which was connected to the purification system at room temperature.

The protein was eluted with 25 mM HEPES buffer pH 7.4, collecting 2 ml fractions. All ADC mutants eluted after 12-15 ml, with a flow rate of 0.5-1.2 ml/min (see Section 12.2). During elution the optical absorbance at 230, 260, and 280 nm was monitored. Protein samples were analyzed by tricine-PAGE and the pure fractions containing the desired protein combined and concentrated in a procedure similar to that described above.

The protein was concentrated to a final concentration between 30 and 40 mg/ml as judged by its theoretical absorbance at 280 nm ($\epsilon_{280} = 15340$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ or 0.974 ml mg$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) with a Vivaspin 20 concentrator (Vivascience) with a 5 kDa molecular weight cut-off membrane. All absorption coefficients were calculated with the
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Protparam utility\(^2\) (Gill and von Hippel, 1989) from the Swissprot-Expasy internet server. The absorbance was measured using a spectrophotometer (BioSpec-1601E, Shimadzu). The protein solution was concentrated to 10-15 mg/ml and then stored at 4°C for further usage.

### 7.3.3 Purification of KPHMT

The purification protocol for KPHMT comprised the following steps:

- **Cell lysis**

  Centrifuged cells were resuspended in ∼30 ml of lysis buffer (see Table 7.7) in which 1-2 EDTA-free protease inhibitors tablets were dissolved.

| Table 7.7 Component composition for the lysis buffer for the purification of KPHMT The pH was adjusted to pH 6.8 with NaOH. 10 protease inhibitor tablets per l of buffer were added |
| Buffer component | NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) | EDTA | DTT |
| Concentration | 50 mM | 1 mM | 5 mM |

The cells were broken by passing them 2-4 times through an Emulsi-flex C-5 homogenisor (Avestin). The apparatus was cooled with cold water during the procedure, which took ∼10-15 min. Subsequently, cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 19 000 r.p.m. (33 902 g) for 45 minutes at 4°C with a Sigma centrifuge and a 19776 rotor type. The overexpressed KPHMT protein could be predominantly found in the soluble fractions of the lysate.

- **(NH\(_4\))\(_2\)SO\(_4\) precipitation**

\(^2\)http://ca.expasy.org/tools/protparam.html
Prior to the chromatographic separation techniques, a (NH₄)₂SO₄ precipitation was carried out. The supernatant was poured into a 250 ml Erlenmeyer beaker and the beaker put in a 500 ml plastic beaker filled with a water/ice mixture. While the supernatant was left stirring at 4°C, over a time-period of 20-25 min, (NH₄)₂SO₄ was slowly added to a final concentration of 15% (w/v) with a small metal spatula. The solution was kept stirring for 25 min and precipitated protein were removed by centrifugation at 19 000 r.p.m. (33 902 g) for 35 minutes at 4°C.

The step above was then repeated almost identically. An additional 25% (NH₄)₂SO₄ was added to the supernatant. The precipitated protein pellet was dissolved in ~20-30 ml extraction buffer (see Table 7.8).

**Table 7.8** Component composition for the extraction buffer for the purification of KPHMT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer component</th>
<th>NaH₂PO₄</th>
<th>EDTA</th>
<th>DTT</th>
<th>KCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to ensure smooth dissolving, the buffer was added to the pellet in the centrifuge tubes and the tubes were placed in the incubator, shaking at 200 r.p.m. and at a temperature below 10°C. Once the whole pellet had dissolved, the solution was poured into a dialysis tubing (Spectra/Por) with a molecular weight cut-off membrane of 13-18 kDa. The sample was then dialyzed overnight in 2 l of extraction buffer at 4°C.
First anion-exchange chromatography step

The dialyzed protein was loaded on an anion-exchange column (Hi-prep Q XL, Pharmacia) equilibrated with extraction buffer, at room temperature or at 4°C. The column was eluted at a flow-rate of 2.5 ml/min with extraction buffer and a KCl gradient from 0.2 to 1.0 M over 200 ml total elution volume, collecting 5 ml fractions. Two KCl gradient plateaus were kept, one at 0.4 M KCl for 40 ml and one at 0.5 M KCl for 25 ml. Protein fractions were analyzed with SDS-PAGE. KPHMT was eluted close to the 0.5 M KCl plateau (see Section 10.2). The combined fractions containing KPHMT, were poured into a dialysis tubing (Spectra/Por, Spectrum) with a molecular weight cut-off membrane of 12-14 or 15 kDa and dialyzed overnight against 2 l of purification buffer I (see Table 7.9).

Second anion-exchange chromatography step

The last purification step, described above, was repeated essentially identically, using a higher resolution column (ResourceQ 6 ml, Pharmacia), equilibrated with purification buffer I. After dialysis, fractions were concentrated to 2-5 ml with a Vivaspin 20 concentrator (Vivascience) with a 10 kDa molecular weight cut-off membrane by centrifugation at 7500 r.p.m. at 4°C with a Sigma centrifuge and a 12150 rotor type.

Gelfiltration on Superdex 200 resin

The concentrated protein sample was loaded onto a size exclusion column (Superdex 200 26/60), equilibrated with purification buffer II (see Table 7.10) at 4°C.
**Table 7.10** Component composition for the purification buffer II for the purification of KPHMT. The pH was adjusted to pH 6.8 with NaOH 10 protease inhibitor tablets per l of buffer were added.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer component</th>
<th>NaH$_2$PO$_4$</th>
<th>EDTA</th>
<th>DTT</th>
<th>KCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>5 mM</td>
<td>1000 mM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A flow-rate of 1.3 ml/min was chosen and 4 ml fractions were collected. The protein eluted after ~125 ml.

- **Dialysis**

  Protein samples containing pure KPHMT were combined and poured into the dialysis tubing with a molecular weight cut-off membrane of 12-14 kDa and dialyzed twice against 2 l of 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.0 at 4°C. After dialysis, the protein was concentrated to a final concentration of 40-45 mg/ml as calculated with its theoretical absorption coefficient at 280 nm ($\epsilon_{280} = 16050 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ or 0.568 ml mg$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) with a Vivaspin 20ml concentrator (Vivascience) with a 10 kDa molecular weight cut-off membrane. The protein was stored at this concentration at 4°C for further usage.

### 7.4 Assessment of the quality of the purified protein samples

#### 7.4.1 Gel electrophoresis

The purity of the protein samples were assessed either SDS-PAGE by tricine-PAGE. PAGE predominantly separates proteins based on their molecular mass, after they have been denatured by SDS. For ADC tricine-PAGE with three zones, containing different acrylamide
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Concentrations (6.1) was chosen and for KPHMT 12% SDS-PAGE was carried out (see 6.1). The gels were prepared by using a gel pouring system (BioRad). Protein samples were mixed with an equal amount of 2× sample buffer and heated for 10 min at 100°C to denature the protein. The samples were then loaded into the wells with a Hamilton syringe. Mark12 (Invitrogen), for ADC mutants, and Dalton 7 (Sigma), for KPHMT, were used as molecular weight markers. The gels were normally run at 75-100 mA and 100-150 V with a Powerpac 300 (BioRad) instrument. Both SDS and tricine gel were then stained with Coomassie blue stain solution for 10-15 min. The process of staining was accelerated by microwaving the solution with the gel for 1 min. Tricine-gels were put into fixative solution first and then into Coomassie blue stain. After staining, the gels were put into the destain solution (6.1) for several hours. The gels were then dried between two cellophane sheets (BioRad gel drying apparatus).

7.4.2 Mass spectrometry

Mass-spectrometry is an accurate technique to acquire information of the purity of a protein sample, particularly to determine possible minor size differences of different protein species of the protein sample. What is measured is the mass/charge ratio. Proteins, in general can accommodate several different charges, leading to a series of peaks observed for each protein charge species, from which the mass can be deduced.

After purification, ADC protein samples were given to the Protein and Nucleic Acid Chemistry facility (Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge) for matrix-assisted-laser-desorption-ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry analysis. These analytical steps were carried out by the personnel of the facility. For mass-spectrometry the protein was typically diluted to a concentration of ~10 µM in a low salt buffer, such as 5 mM Hepes. Dialysis was carried out by repeated dilution and concen-
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7.4.1 Concentration of samples

Concentration with Ultrafree (0.5 ml, Millipore) concentrators with a molecular weight membrane cut-off of 5 kDa, at 4°C.

KPHMT protein samples were analyzed by the mass spectrometry facility of the Chemical Laboratory (Cambridge University) with a nano electrospray ionization on an Abi Qstar spectrometer. The protein was diluted to a concentration of ~5-10 pmol/µl, in 10 mM NH₄CH₃CO₂, pH 6.0.

7.4.3 Amino-terminal sequencing

After purification, KPHMT samples were submitted for N-terminal sequencing to the Protein and Nucleic Acid Chemistry facility to determine the N-terminal sequence of the protein.

7.4.4 Dynamic light scattering

Dynamic light scattering (see Carter and Sweet, 1997a, p.157) relies on the scattering of monochromatic light by aggregates or particles moving in solution. Because the diffusivity of the particles is a function of their size, measurement of diffusion coefficients can be transformed into hydrodynamic radii and a distribution of the sizes of the molecular species can be calculated.

Dynamic light scattering is an excellent technique to assess how monodisperse a protein sample is. Consequently, it is a very good indicator for the crystallizability of the protein. Dynamic light scattering with a PD2000DLS instrument (Precision Detectors) was attempted several times, but failed to give reproducible results. Even though the machine was repaired, this problem was not solved, and the technique could thus not be used, reliably.
8 Protein crystallography

Crystallography today is the most wide-spread and, probably, most direct technique to obtain atomic representations of macromolecules. Aspects of protein crystallography are covered in numerous books, such as by Blow (2002), Blundell and Johnson (1976), Carter and Sweet (1997a), and Carter and Sweet (1997b). More recently, a comprehensive coverage of macromolecular crystallography and structural biology was also published by Rossmann et al. (2001). An overview of the various stages of protein crystallography is given in Figure 8.1 and the individual steps will be discussed in more detail in the next sections.

8.1 Protein crystallization

Protein crystals are an absolute prerequisite to obtain discrete X-ray diffraction patterns of protein molecules extending to a reciprocal spacing, which corresponds to real space atomic resolution. Crystals present matter in a highly ordered and condensed form. In an ideal crystal, the protein molecules assemble in a regular way in three dimensions, so that every molecule is interacting identically with the neighbouring molecule. This crystalline array, with usually $10^{15}$ molecules (Blow, 2002), is necessary to obtain a sufficiently strong signal of the scattered X-rays. However,
Figure 8.1 Schematic of the crystallographic process from protein crystallization to the final model for the crystal structure. Data information, whose acquisition is generally considered to be limiting for the process, is indicated in yellow colour.
protein crystals, in general, contain a relatively high amount of solvent (30-70% v/v). Also, the number of interactions, between the macromolecules in a crystal is small compared with the total number of atoms within each macromolecule. This often limit the possible achievable maximal resolution to which crystals of macromolecules, such as proteins, diffract.

In order to grow a protein crystal, the protein has to be brought into a supersaturated, thermodynamically unstable state, from which a crystalline phase may develop as it returns to thermodynamic equilibrium. Supersaturation can be achieved by slow evaporation of the solvent, such as by the vapour diffusion technique. Techniques, mechanisms, and practical aspects of protein crystallization are extensively covered by McPherson (1999).

In this study, protein crystallization trials were generally carried out at a constant temperature of 19°C or 4°C in temperature-controlled incubators (Sanyo). The hanging drop technique, with vapour diffusion, was used to screen for suitable conditions and also later to optimize crystal quality. For this technique, a reservoir was filled with 500 µl of an aqueous precipitant solution. The same solution was mixed with the protein solution, of a certain concentration, in different µl-proportions on a small, siliconised cover slip (Hampton Research). Normally, for screening, a 1µl:1µl ratio of precipitant solution to protein solution was chosen. After mixing, the cover slip was turned over to seal the reservoir, so that vapour diffusion could take place between the reservoir and the drop, leading to a volume variation of the drop until an equilibrium was reached between the reservoir solution and the drop. 24-well Linbro plates (Hampton Research, Molecular Dimensions) were used as reservoirs. Commercial screens with pre-prepared precipitant solutions (Hampton Research, Jena Bioscience, and Molecular Dimensions) were used for screening. Assessment of the crystallization trials and crystal growth were monitored with a microscope (Leica MZ12 and Olympus SZPT).
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8.1.1 Choice of cocrystallization compounds for KPHMT

In solution, the natural cofactor of KPHMT, 5,10-meTHF, is unstable in the presence of air. A 1 mM solution reportedly degrades by 5% within 60 min (Schircks Laboratories). Therefore cofactor analogues were used for the cocrystallisation trials. (6S)-5-methyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolic acid ((6S)-5-meTHF) and (6S)-5-formyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolic acid ((6S)-5-foTHF) were identified as the most promising cofactor analogues. Both compounds share a high similarity with the actual substrate (see Figure 8.2) and carry a substitution at the N5, so that the molecular structure could resemble the iminium form of 5,10-meTHF (see Section 3.2).

(6S)-5-meTHF calcium salt (Schircks Laboratories) is reported to be soluble in water up to a concentration of 10 mM (5g/l) at 25°C, whereas (6S)-5-foTHF calcium salt is soluble in water up to a concentration of 20 mM (10g/l) at 25°C. Both compounds are relatively stable when used within hours. However, 6(S)-5-meTHF calcium salt at 1 mM reportedly degrades by 4% within four hours (Schircks Laboratories), whereas the same concentration of (6S)-5-foTHF calcium salt degrades to that extent within fifteen days (Schircks Laboratories). Folic acid was also purchased, but proved very difficult to solubilize (reported solubility of 3 mM, or 1.6 mg in 1 l, at 25°C).

While for initial crystallization trials a racemic mixture of (6R)-5-foTHF and (6S)-5-foTHF was used, for the later trials, only the S-isomer was used which is known to be the biochemically relevant form for the enzyme (Powers and Snell, 1976). The solutions were always prepared freshly, immediately prior to the crystallization trials, either at 4°C or room temperature. After the solution had been made up, it was centrifuged at 9000 r.p.m. for 10 min to remove residual compound that did not solubilize.

Figure 8.2 Illustration of the cofactor analogues of 5,10-meTHF used for cocrystallization with KPHMT in this study.
Dihydro-4,4-dimethyl-2,3-furandione (Aldrich) was used as the chemical compound for the product. The furan ring is known to be readily attacked by water to form ketopantoate in solution. A similar procedure to form ketopantoate was also used by Zheng and Blanchard (2003). Because the same compound could be cocrystallized and was shown to result in the product bound to the protein (von Delft et al., 2003), it was also used for the crystallization trials pursued in this study. 3-methyl-2-oxobutanoic acid (α-kiva) sodium salt (ACROS Organics), was used for cocrystallization trials with the substrate. All compounds were kept at -20°C for storage.

8.2 Cryoprotection and crystal mounting

Cryocrystallography, reviewed by Garman and Schneider (1997), is used to minimize X-ray induced secondary radiation damage to the macromolecules in the crystal, by cooling down the crystal to a very low temperature. The absorbed energy of the X-rays produces heat and free radicals that damage the macromolecules in the crystal. Whereas the primary damage, caused by the incident X-rays, is independent of the temperature, the secondary damage caused by propagating radicals can be reduced by lowering the temperature. For X-ray diffraction data collection, this enables longer collection times on the same crystal. In this study, N₂ was used to flash-freeze the crystal specimens to a temperature of -173°C.

However, this can in general not be done without carrying out a procedure known as cryoprotection of the crystals, in which a low-molecular weight compound, such as glycerol or ethyleneglycol, is added to the crystal, or cocrystallized with the protein. Addition of such a compound (cryoprotectant) in sufficient concentration causes the aqueous solution surrounding the crystal to freeze as an amorphous glass, rather than in a crystalline form. Water in a crystalline form produces characteristic ice-
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rings, which can impair X-ray diffraction data indexing (Section 8.3). The vitrified water will not produce X-ray diffraction patterns that could interfere with the X-ray diffraction of the crystallized protein. In addition, the use of cryo-loops (Teng, 1990) also obviates the need to mount the crystal in capillaries. As a consequence, during data collection, background X-ray scattering from the excess mother liquor and the capillary material is avoided.

In order to determine the concentration of the cryoprotectant, necessary to avoid ice-formation, a cryo-loop was dipped into different solutions of the mother-liquor, with varying concentrations of the cryoprotectant. The loop was then mounted on the in-house X-ray data collection facility into a stream of N\textsubscript{2} and an X-ray diffraction image (see Section 8.3) was collected. The lowest concentration of the cryoprotectant in the mother liquor solution, which did not result in significant ice-rings in the X-ray diffraction pattern was subsequently chosen for cryoprotection.

Cryocrystallography was used for all crystals in this study for mounting the crystals. For cryo-protection the coverslip was inverted, and the mother liquor containing the cryoprotectant was added to the drop with the crystal. Generally, the concentration of the cryoprotectant was increased stepwise, in order to minimize concentration gradients. The crystal was soaked in the cryoprotection solution for 1-10 min, during which the coverslip was covered with an inverted well, that had been removed from a 24 Linbro plate, to prevent evaporation. After the crystals had been cryo-protected in this way, they were collected in cryo-loops of appropriate size (CrystalCap system; Hampton Research) with cryo-tongs (Hampton research) under the microscope. The crystals were then quickly plunged into liquid N\textsubscript{2} and stored in crystal vials (Hampton research). These were kept on racks in larger storage dewars, which can maintain the temperature of below −173°C for several months, until further usage. Alternatively, crystals were directly put in a flow of N\textsubscript{2} gas, produced by a cooling device (Oxford Cryosystems), on the in-house X-ray equipment for screening in the home laboratory.
For each protein a different cryoprotection protocol had to be devised and parameters, such as the time period of the crystal in cryoprotectant solutions, cryoprotectant concentration and speed of transfer in the liquid \( \text{N}_2 \) had to be optimized. Glycerol, ethyleneglycol, and sodium malonate were used as cryoprotectants in this study.

## 8.3 X-ray diffraction data collection and data processing

X-rays are electromagnetic waves (or photons) with a wavelength in the range of \( \sim 0.05 \text{-} 0.35 \text{ nm} \). This coincides with the spacing between covalently attached atoms, polar interactions, and H-bonds, as existent in proteins. In general, X-rays can be generated in two physically different ways.

Firstly, they can be generated after an electron in an atom has been elevated from its ground state atomic orbital to a higher energy atomic orbital. On its transition back from the latter to a higher energy orbital than it has been initially in, the energy difference is emitted in the form of heat and an X-ray photon of a specific wavelength. The impulse for exciting an electron from its ground state can for instance come from another electron colliding with the atom. The rotating anode is an example where this effect is taken advantage of in order to produce X-rays. It consists of a filament, which constitutes the cathode, that emits electrons. The cathode has a potential difference of several kilovolts from an anode, which is usually made of Cu. The electrons that are released from the cathode hit the anode and X-rays are emitted (p.125 in Rossmann et al., 2001). Because the heat development limits the intensity of the generated X-rays, the anode is cooled by water and rotated, to dissipate heat.

The second way to produce X-rays is to force electrons, whose speed
is close to the velocity of light, in an orbit by a magnetic field. Because the electrons are deviated from their linear path and undergo a path alteration, they are decelerated. During the deceleration they emit photons of a wider energy spectrum, some of which fall into the range of X-rays. This method is used at synchrotrons (see Lindley, 1999). Electromagnetic instruments such as bending magnets, undulators, and wigglers change the path of the electrons and produce the X-rays which can then be used at stations or beamlines around the storage ring. Synchrotron radiation has the advantage to be far more intense, of higher brilliance and collimation than radiation from a rotating anode. This often enables data collection of protein crystals to a higher resolution, better overall data quality, and in a shorter period of time.

Si, coated with materials such as Rb, is used for mirrors at both X-ray sources, rotating anodes and synchrotrons, to focus the beam. In addition, monochromators and slits are used at the synchrotron to enable a monochromatic and collimated X-ray beam.

X-rays are predominantly scattered by the electrons in an atom. When an X-ray photon hits an electron, it can be absorbed and, if so, sets the electron into a vibration at the X-ray frequency. The excited electron can then emit an X-ray photon of the original energy and wavelength, in all directions. Coherent X-ray scattering from repetitive points, as in a crystal, can be understood in terms of Bragg’s law (Equation 8.1).

$$2d \sin \theta = n \lambda$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.1)

In the Bragg equation, $d$ is the separation between two planes of atoms, $\theta$ denotes the angle of diffraction, $n$ is an integer, defining the order, and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the X-ray radiation. This formula provides an explanation why X-ray diffraction maxima will only be observed at certain angles, at which the path difference between the waves, corresponding to the spacing between the atoms, equals an integer number of the wave-
length. A geometrical way to visualize the Bragg equation is the Ewald sphere, a sphere of radius $1/\lambda$ with the crystal at the centre (Figure 8.3). This schematic representation of diffraction is also useful to understand the relation of real-space and the imaginary, reciprocal space (see Rossmann et al., 2001, p.58). The reciprocal lattice consists of points (with Miller indices hkl) that are the endpoints of reciprocal lattice vectors. The length of these vectors ($1/d$) is proportional to the inverse of the real-space spacing between two planes in the crystal, separated by distance $d$.

Figure 8.3 Schematic illustration of X-ray diffraction data collection in oscillation mode. The axis of rotation of the crystal, relative to the incident beam (yellow) is indicated. The circle, with radius $1/\lambda$, illustrates the Ewald sphere. A small set of reciprocal lattice points (small circles) are shown. Only reciprocal lattice points that are located on the surface of the Ewald sphere will give rise to a diffracted beam along the line joining the centre of the sphere to the reciprocal lattice-point on the surface of the sphere. Diffracted beams can then be recorded on the detector (black circle). Note that the relative size of the components of the illustration, in particular the Ewald sphere, the reciprocal lattice, and the detector, are not to scale.
X-rays, which are diffracted from the macromolecules in a crystal, are detected by X-ray detectors. These respond to the energy of the diffracted beam, or to the intensity, which is proportional to the number of photons per unit of time that are delivered. The X-ray diffraction detector measures the position of the diffracted X-rays (reflections), relative to the other diffracted X-rays, and its intensity. Two types of two-dimensional detectors were used in this study, image plates and charge-coupled devices.

Image plates store the diffraction image in a temporary form in which atoms are promoted to an excited state, by the X-ray photons, in which they remain trapped. The image plate can then be scanned by a laser beam that measures the intensity of the fluorescence. In general, image plates are storage phosphors made from BaFBr:Eu coated on a suitable backing. The energy of the trapped state can be released as blue fluorescence upon photostimulation by a red laser and the photostimulated emission can be recorded by a photomultiplier. After the diffraction pattern has been recorded the image plate is erased by exposing it to visible light. Many image plate instruments, such as the one used in this study, contain two plates, so that while one is being read out, another image can be collected in the meantime.

In contrast to image plates, charge-coupled devices (Phillips et al., 2002) convert X-ray photons directly into electric current. Charge-coupled devices allow faster read out time (seconds), but are limited in size and in their dynamic range.

After the crystal has been mounted on the goniostat in a more or less arbitrary orientation (the “American method” (see Rossmann et al., 2001, p.209)), X-ray diffraction data with a monochromatic X-ray source can be collected in several different ways (see Dauter, 1999; Evans, 1999, for possible data collection strategies). Among other considerations, optimal data collection strategy has to take into account the wavelength of the X-rays used for the data collection, the orientation of the crystal with respect to
the image plate and the X-ray beam, the position of the beamstop, the exposure time to the X-rays, the crystal-to-detector distance, the oscillation angle (rotation angle increment), the rotation start and the symmetry of the crystal lattice for the overall angle collected. These considerations affect later computational data calculations strongly.

During the X-ray diffraction data collection experiment, indexing of the X-ray diffraction images (see Subsection 8.3.3) is begun in order to monitor the X-ray data collection experiment. X-ray diffraction data processing of the whole dataset then becomes a short iterative process, in which the experimenter attempts to optimize the quality of the collected data, by manipulating parameters in the indexing software, such as spot radius, and/or by discarding images which are deemed of not high enough quality. The overall quality of the X-ray diffraction dataset can then be assessed by the following parameters:

- the low and high-resolution limits of the X-ray diffraction data;
- the mosaicity, a measure of long-range order of the unit cells within a crystal, which essentially defines the angular increment, by which a diffraction image can be rotated and still record the identical reflections as in the state before rotation;
- the completeness of the data as a percentage of the theoretical number of possible reflections;
- the intensities with its standard uncertainties $\langle I \rangle / \sigma (I)$;
- the $R_{\text{sym}}$ (Equation 8.2) a measure for the agreement of the data

$$R_{\text{sym}} = \frac{\sum_h \sum_i ||I_{hi}|| - |I_h||}{\sum_h \sum_i |I_{hi}|}$$

(8.2)

where, $I_{hi}$ are symmetry-related intensities and $I_h$ is the mean intensity of the reflection with unique index $h$;
the redundancy or multiplicity of the dataset, which indicates how often a reflection has been measured on average.

8.3.1 X-ray diffraction data collection facilities

The in-house facility was generally used to screen crystals for diffraction. In the laboratory, in which the research for this study was carried out, X-rays were generated with a Cu-rotating anode (Rigaku MSC), at 50 mA and 100 kV, with a wavelength of 1.541 Å of the Cu Kα radiation. The radiation was collimated using Osmic confocal mirrors. For recording the X-ray data a RAXIS IV image plate (Rigaku MSC) was used.

Synchrotron radiation at Daresbury stations 9.6, 14.1, and 14.2 was then used for X-ray diffraction data collection. The wavelength used at the three stations was 0.870, 1.488, and 0.978 Å, respectively. A Quantum 4R ADSC (ADSC) or MAR 150 mm (MAR) digital charge-coupled device were used for recording of the X-ray diffraction data. Collimation of the X-rays at Daresbury synchrotron is carried out using slits and Rh-coated Si-mirrors.

8.3.2 X-ray diffraction data collection

All X-ray diffraction data were collected using the oscillation method (Arndt and Wonacott, 1977; Otwinowski, 1991), where the crystal is rotated about an axis perpendicular to the incident X-ray beam and a static two-dimensional X-ray detector. For the X-ray diffraction data collection in this study, the plane of the X-ray detector was kept perpendicular to the incident beam. Software packages used to control oscillation angle, exposure time to X-rays, and the total data collection time, included CRYSTALCLEAR (Rigaku MSC) for the in-house facility and PXGEN++ (Mar) and ADX (ADSC) at Daresbury synchrotron.
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8.3.3 X-ray diffraction image processing

All computational calculations, described in the following, were carried out on Unix software-operated Silicon graphics Origin 2000 server, a Silicon graphics O₂ machine, or a Linux-based personal computer with Intel Celeron processor.

Diffraction data were indexed and processed with DENZO v1.97.2 (Otwinowski, 1991). The program is used to produce accurate unit cell dimensions, and to assign both Miller indices and a value for the intensity for all the recorded X-ray reflections of a dataset. The program carries out a sequence of calculations and steps, as follows:

**Peak search**  The first step constitutes an initial peak search on a single image, by searching for intensity maxima on the X-ray diffraction image. Each spot must meet certain criteria to be retained as a diffraction spot, such as the signal-to-noise ratio and the size of the reflection.

**Auto-indexing**  Auto-indexing is a required procedure if the crystals are mounted in an arbitrary orientation, as was the case in this study. In order to index and integrate the X-ray diffraction data it is necessary to know the unit cell dimensions as well as the orientation of the unit cell axes, relative to the X-ray beam, and the X-ray detector. To auto-index an image, the only information the user has to supply is the crystal-to-detector distance, the wavelength, the direct X-ray beam position and the image rotation parameter that relates the raster grid orientation to the camera axes. The auto-indexing procedure for DENZO has not been described in detail. However, the algorithm may be assumed to have significant similarities to the one used in the indexing software of DPS and MOSFLM as described by Steller et al. (1997).
In these programs, a set of observed reflection positions, determined by the peak searching procedure, is used to compute the corresponding reciprocal-lattice vectors, assuming a stationary crystal. These vectors are then projected onto a chosen orientation and the projections are subjected to a one-dimensional fast Fourier transform. The program explores all possible directions, separated by a small increment, and analyzes the frequency distribution of the projected reciprocal-lattice vectors for each of them. Exploration of such directions establishes the best potential basis vectors of the real cell. The program then determines a reduced cell from the obtained basis vectors, and calculates the best cells for all fourteen Bravais lattices, including a distortion index. The experimenter then has to choose a lattice, which in general will be the highest-symmetry lattice with the lowest distortion index. However, the cell reduction routine can not determine the lattice symmetry.

**Diffraction geometry refinement**  After the unit cell parameters for the first image have been determined, it can be used to predict the position of reflections on other oscillation images and parameters can be refined, so that predicted spots fit the measured reflection positions better. Several parameters, such as the crystal and detector orientation, the unit cell dimensions, the position of the direct beam, and the crystal to detector distance, require refinement for each processed image. For this, a least-squares method is used, which minimizes the deviation of the reflection centroids from their predicted positions. An accurate prediction of the positions of the reflections is crucial to achieve a precise integration of the intensities in the next step.

**Integration of X-ray diffraction data intensity**  Data integration refers to the process of obtaining estimates of diffracted intensities of the individual reflections and their standard deviations. In **DENZO** the intensity of each reflection is estimated using a method known as profile fitting. In
order to calculate the diffraction intensity, the detector background has to be estimated and then subtracted from the reflection profile. Profile fitting assumes that the actual spot shape or spot profile is known, and the intensity is derived by finding the scale factor that, when applied to the known profile, gives the best fit to the observed reflection profile. For this, an averaged profile is first predicted based on the shape of the other reflections within a chosen radius. The predicted profile is an average of profiles shifted by the predicted separation between the spots. If the predicted reflections are wrong, the profile will be broadened. In the second step, the information from the actual profiles is combined with the predicted one, and the intensity is given by the scale that has to applied to the profile to best fit the reflection. The background is calculated using an average detector signal in the immediate neighbourhood of a specific reflection. The experimenter can influence the integration process by changing spot radius and the size of the background.

Scaling and post-refinement Once the diffraction spots of all images have been assigned an index, the integrated and indexed data have to be placed on a common scale and multiple observations merged together. For data scaling in this study, the intensities were scaled with SCALEPACK v1.97.2 (Otwinowski, 1991). Scale factors are determined by comparison of symmetry-related reflections, which should be equal in intensity. The scale-factors are then adjusted to obtain the best internal consistency of intensities, and applied to all recorded reflections. During the process redundant measurements and symmetry-related measurements are merged and outliers, reflections which do not meet certain criteria, are rejected.

In addition to the scaling procedure, the program refines crystal parameters (unit cell and orientation) for all images. This process of refining crystal parameters, using the combined reflection intensity measurements, is known as global or post refinement. It allows for separate refinement of the orientation of each image, but with the same unit-cell value used for
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the whole dataset. The post refinement is also more precise than the processing of a single image in the determination of crystal mosaicity and the orientation of each image. At the end of the scaling and post-refinement procedure the experimenter ends up with a file containing the precise unit cell dimensions and scaled intensities. In order to convert the intensities into structure factor amplitudes and to generate the intensity distribution of the reflections (Wilson plot), the program TRUNCATE (Collaborative Computational Project Number 4, 1994) was used. In this program the intensities are converted into amplitudes and the amplitudes are put on an approximate scale using the scale factor from the Wilson plot.

8.4 Molecular replacement

Because no X-ray lenses exist to recombine the diffracted X-ray, to directly form a visual representation of the diffracting model, indirect ways have to be pursued to reconstruct a representation of it. Therefore, calculation of a three-dimensional representation of the protein structure, always requires a second piece of information, other than the Miller indices and the intensities of the reflections, and that is the phase angle associated with each reflection. Considering X-rays as waves, the phase angle refers to the relative times the waves, which create the different reflections, arrive at the detector. However, the direct phase information of the reflections is lost, because it cannot be recorded on the two-dimensional X-ray diffraction detector. Several indirect ways have been devised in order to acquire the phase information.

Two proteins that are structurally related or identical will have some similarities in the structure factors, even if they are located in different Bravais lattice types, space groups or unit cells. If a protein model is already known to be structurally similar to the protein, for which X-ray diffraction data has been collected, this model can be used to obtain phase angle
estimates for the unknown protein structure. The technique is known as molecular replacement (see Rossmann et al., 2001, p.263-278) and essentially places the related model (thereafter referred to as the probe) in the unit cell in place of the molecule, one is searching for (thereafter referred to as the target). Then the phases from the known probe are used as first estimates for the unknown protein structure.

Since the structures of both ADC and KPHMT were already available, molecular replacement could be pursued for structure solution in this study. In order to acquire information about the non-crystallographic symmetry and to calculate internal Patterson correlations, the program POLARRFN (Collaborative Computational Project Number 4, 1994), which carries out a fast rotation function search (see below) in polar coordinates, was used. The corresponding Patterson maps were displayed with XPLOR84DRIVER (Collaborative Computational Project Number 4, 1994). For molecular replacement, AMORE (Navaza, 2001) was used as the program of choice to determine the molecular replacement solutions. Two successive steps are carried out.

**Rotation function search** First, the program has to calculate a Patterson vector map (see Rossmann et al., 2001, p.61) of the target, based on the observed structure factor amplitudes, and of the probe, in a P1 cell. It then tries to determine the angular orientation of the target to the probe by rotating the probe around an origin point. The rotational position of the Patterson map of the probe is changed in increments and correlation with the Patterson map of the target is evaluated using a rotation function. Overlap between the two Patterson maps will result in maxima or peaks for the rotation function, which are then chosen by the experimenter for the subsequent translation function search.

**Translation function search** If the crystal has symmetry other than the lattice symmetry, a translational search has to be carried out, to determine
the position of the crystal origin relative to the position of the rotation axis relating symmetry-related molecules. The translation function evaluates the overlap between the Patterson maps of the probe and the target. For this the inter-molecular inter-atomic cross-vectors, of symmetry-related molecules, far from the origin of the Patterson map are important. Similarly, to the rotational search, the positional parameters of the probe in the unit cell are varied on a grid. The correct orientation and position of a search model in the crystal unit cell should lead to the maximal overlap of both the self- and cross vectors throughout the entire unit cell. In addition to the peak height of the maxima, a correlation coefficient and the crystallographic R-factor (see below) are calculated to evaluate the molecular replacement solutions. The experimenter then, in general, chooses the highest translation function peak(s) with the lowest R-factor and the highest correlation coefficient(s).

In order to assess the validity of the molecular replacement solutions, the orientation and position in the unit cell has to be examined, which was carried out with MOLPACK (Wang et al., 1991). There should be no clashes between the molecules in the unit cell. After the probe has been positioned, phases can be calculated from the probe, which, combined with the observed structure factor amplitudes of the target, produce an initial model for the target.

### 8.5 Protein structure model refinement

After an initial atomic model has been derived, the agreement between the model and the experimental data has to be maximized. This is carried out in an iterative process, alternating between structure refinement and manual protein model rebuilding (Figure 8.1, Section 8.6). In macromolecular refinement one searches for the conformation of the macromolecule that fits the observed diffraction data best, while maintaining reasonable
stereo-chemistry. Therefore, in general, the properties that are modified during the process of refinement are the three-dimensional coordinates of the atomic positions and the temperature-factor (known as the anisotropic U or the isotropic B-factor) that characterizes the displacement from the atom’s mean position. The latter value is often used as an indicator of the local disorder.

Refinement is a particularly important process in structure solution of proteins, because the number of observations is smaller than the number of variables that can be refined (underdetermined system), unless very high-resolution data are available. This does not allow refinement of the atomic positions, independently from each other, and in turn requires the input of additional, often chemical, knowledge to simplify the approach. Addition of this information, such as restriction on bond angles, bond length and peptide geometry, can then be used to restrain or even constrain certain variables. Consequently, this increases the observations-to-variables ratio, making refinement of macromolecules feasible. In addition to modelling the atomic positions of the atoms, the diffraction will not be modelled accurately without accounting for the bulk solvent, because of the large solvent volume. Bulk solvent, as represented by low-resolution reflections, is generally modelled as a continuum of electron density with a high atomic displacement parameter (see Rossmann et al., 2001, p.372 and 400).

Aspects of reciprocal space refinement and several program-approaches are described by Rossmann et al. (2001)(p.369-414), and have been reviewed by Brunger et al. (1998). As for any optimization problem, the aim in refinement is to find a global minimum of a defined target function. Both reciprocal space refinement-programs, used in this study, apply a mathematical approach known as cross-validated maximum-likelihood refinement (cross-validation will be discussed below), which has been described by Murshudov et al. (1997) and Pannu and Read (1996). The basis for the maximum-likelihood approach is that the best model will be
the one most consistent with the data. The consistency is measured statistically, by the probability that the observations would be made, given the current model, which is known as likelihood. The probability of the model given the data is then proportional to the likelihood multiplied by the probability of the model, known without the data (Bayes’ theorem). The probability of the model, known without the data, is reflected by the prior knowledge, such as the stereochemical information about bond lengths and bond angles. These parameters on bond angles and length are in general based on the ones described by Engh and Huber (1991), which were derived from small molecule crystallography. Changing the model parameters so that the values of the observations become more probable, increases the likelihood, which indicates a better model. The program attempts to find the best model parameters by effectively maximizing the likelihood (in fact it is minimizing the negative logarithmic likelihood).

Different mathematical optimization methods exist to optimize the maximum-likelihood target function. The programs used for the classical energy minimization in this study apply a combination of the steepest descent and conjugate-gradient approach (first derivative of the target function) to find a minimum (Murshudov et al., 1999). This allows prediction of which directional change will reduce the value of the target function.

An alternative approach to this method is optimization by simulated annealing, as described by Adams et al. (1997) and Brunger in Rossmann et al. (2001). Annealing is a physical process of heating up a solid phase until its particles randomly arrange themselves in a viscous liquid phase. This phase is then cooled slowly so that particles arrange themselves in the lowest energy state. By setting the target energy to be equivalent to the potential energy of the system, the process of annealing can be simulated. The objective function is then essentially sampled at a new point in parameter space. If the value of the objective function at the new point is less than that at the current point, the new point becomes the current point. If the value is greater at the new point, the Boltzmann probability
of the difference in the energy function is compared to a random number. If it is less than the random number the new point is accepted as the current point. This process continues until a sufficiently deep minimum is found that the sampling process never leaves that region of parameter space. At this point the temperature for the Boltzmann term is reduced, which lowers the probability that the current point will move out of the region. This produces a finer search of the local region. The cooling process is continued until the solution has been restricted to a sufficiently small region.

In contrast to the optimization methods mentioned above, simulated annealing allows motion against the gradient. The temperature of the system can be altered, where the temperature has not its normal physical meaning, but determines the probability of overcoming barriers or maxima of the target function. The higher the temperature, the more likely it is to cross these barriers. The optimal temperature, in general, has to be found by trial and error. The simulated-annealing procedure requires a Boltzmann distribution to be calculated at a given temperature and an annealing schedule, which consists of a sequence of temperatures at which the Boltzmann distribution is calculated. Simulated annealing procedures can differ in the way a transition or move is generated from one set of parameters to another. In the program used for this study, molecular dynamics simulation, which limits the search to physicochemically reasonable moves is applied. Molecular dynamics, essentially, calculates a solution for Newton’s second law. The initial velocities for this calculation are chosen randomly and each different starting velocity will result in slightly different models. The best model, among the different ones generated by this multi-start approach, can then be chosen by the experimenter. Simulated annealing requires that the temperature is controlled during the molecular dynamics simulation, which is achieved by coupling the equations to a heat bath through a friction term, known as temperature coupling (see Rossmann et al., 2001, p.378).
8.5 Protein structure model refinement

For the annealing schedule, in general, a linear slow-cooling protocol is chosen which ensures that once a global minimum for a model is found it will not be moved out of it. Molecular dynamics will result in small changes of the model, some of which lower the energy term of the target, which increases the kinetic energy (i.e. temperature) of the system. The temperature-coupling removes the excess of energy, and makes the reverse motion and escape from a global minimum more unlikely. The program also allows constraints on bond lengths and bond angles to be used, such that, essentially, only the torsion angles are refined as variable parameters (Rice and Brunger, 1994), in contrast to cartesian molecular dynamics (flexible bond lengths and angles).

Simulated annealing as an optimization technique is particularly well-suited to overcome the multiple minima problem, because it can cross barriers between minima. Therefore it can explore a greater volume of the parameter space to find better models and has a large radius of convergence compared with gradient minimization techniques.

The process of refinement, or the end result of each refinement cycle run, has to be monitored, in order to find out whether it improves the protein model. The main criterion used to measure the agreement is the crystallographic R-factor. This residual measures the discrepancy between the calculated and the observed data (Equation 8.3).

$$R_{\text{cryst}} = \frac{\sum_h |F_o| - |F_c|}{\sum_h |F_o|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.3)

where $|F_o|$ refers to the observed structure factor amplitudes of index $h$ and $|F_c|$ to the calculated structure factor amplitudes of index $h$.

However, it was shown that the R-factor can be made very low by increasing the number of parameters used to describe the model and that incorrect models can be refined to acceptable R-factors (Branden and Jones, 1990). In order to overcome the model bias of the R-factor, Brunger (1992)
introduced the use of a set of randomly selected reflections (generally 5-10% of all reflections) that are put aside and are not used during the refinement. When a separate R-factor is calculated for this set of data, it can provide an objective cross-validation of the R-factor. This R-factor is known as R\_free.

Because every reflection contains information about the entire structure, changes made to the model that do not improve the fit of the model to the X-ray diffraction data, should not improve the fit of the test-set data to the model. Consequently, the R\_free should remain constant or increase. In contrast to the conventional R\_cryst, the R\_free is highly correlated with the accuracy of the model (Brunger, 1993; Kleywegt and Brunger, 1996; Kleywegt and Jones, 1995). Thus, monitoring of the R\_free can avoid over-interpretation of the data. If the model is correct the test set reflections should have an R-factor close to that of the reflections used in the refinement. The process of refinement is then normally considered to have converged when the changes in R\_free are no longer significant.

In this study, the programs CNS V1.1 (Brunger et al., 1998) and REFMAC V5.1.24 (Murshudov et al., 1997) were used to refine the protein models. CNS V1.1 was used for the initial refinement, which consisted of cycles of rigid-body refinement, to improve the overall position of the model, followed by molecular dynamics simulated-annealing. This was particularly important to reduce the model bias, which is often significant in models derived by molecular replacement. The settings for the simulated-annealing procedure involved torsion-angle molecular dynamics and a slow-cooling protocol. In general, 5-10 starting velocities were chosen with a starting temperature of 1727°C. Other than that, the default parameters, from the provided script-template were used. Subsequently, REFMAC was used for the conventional minimization refinement. The diagonal weighting term, representing the weight put on the restraints, was put lower for the earlier stages of refinement to restrain the geometry. While progressing in the refinement cycles this was then increased to relax the re-
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Constraints. A 5% test set of randomly-chosen reflections, using the program UNIQUE (Collaborative Computational Project Number 4, 1994) was used for calculation of the $R_{free}$ throughout the whole refinement process.

At high resolution it is sometimes possible to model the anisotropic displacement of the atoms either in a full anisotropic refinement or by a single tensor that describes translation, libration, and screw (TLS) for the correlated motion of atoms in rigid groups. The latter may be an extremely accurate way to model the behaviour of the molecules (Rossmann et al., 2001, p.372), possibly even at medium resolution. TLS refinement has been described for small molecule crystallography (Schoemaker and Trueblood, 1998) and for macromolecular refinement (Howlin et al., 1989). TLS refinement has more recently also been implemented into REFMAC. TLS refinement (Winn et al., 2001) was applied in the last refinement cycles to improve the data fit. The TLS groups were defined according to secondary structural motifs, such as $\alpha$-helices, $\beta$-strands, and loops. The number of TLS groups was then varied, while monitoring the $R_{free}$ and $R_{cryst}$. The TLS parameters were evaluated with the program TLSANL (Howlin et al., 1993). For the TLS refinement, the initial B-factor was fixed to the mean B-factor as derived from the Wilson plot.

In addition, electron density modifications, such as solvent flattening and non-crystallographic symmetry averaging with the program DM (Cowtan and Zhang, 1999) were occasionally used in this study. Electron density modification essentially adds real-space restraints or constraints that potentially can improve the phase information and consequently the electron density maps (see Carter and Sweet, 1997b, p.55). Solvent flattening exploits the fact that the solvent region is flat at medium resolution owing to the disorder of the solvent. Non-crystallographic symmetry averaging (see Carter and Sweet, 1997b, p.18-53) essentially increases the signal-to-noise ratio by averaging equivalent parts in molecules, related by non-crystallographic symmetry.

In order to add water molecules to the model, the automatic water-
adding procedure from ARP/WARP (Perrakis et al., 1999) was used occasionally. The overall refinement was continued until convergence of the $R_{\text{free}}$ and until the model was deemed correct.

8.6 Protein structure model building

Alternating with refinement cycles, rebuilding of the model has to be carried out. The electron density maps are derived by calculating the Fourier transform of the structure factor amplitudes. During each refinement cycle, adjustments in the position of the atoms in the protein model are made, so that they fit the electron density map better. Furthermore, water molecules and other hetero-groups such as ions and ligands are added during the rebuilding process. Errors in models can generate peaks in the Fourier maps that can be interpreted as solvent peaks. Therefore, adding waters too early in the process may lead to model errors and should be carried out at an intermediary stage of rebuilding and refinement. As a rule of thumb one water molecule can be placed per amino acid residue in a structural model with structure factors to 2 Å resolution.

The adjustments are facilitated by graphic programs, that allow movement of the atoms on the screen. Changes of the atomic coordinates will result in a new model, the phases of which, after refinement, will be combined with the observed structure factor amplitudes to calculate a new electron density map, which ideally will be improved and clearer to interpret.

Practical advice for good rebuilding as well as refinement procedures has been described by Kleywegt in Carter and Sweet (1997b). In order to rebuild and interpret the models in this study, $\sigma_A$-weighted (Read, 1986) difference electron density maps of the $2mF_o - DF_c$ and $mF_o - DF_c$ type were used. $F_o - F_c$ electron density maps have “positive” and “negative” peaks,
for parts of the protein model that have not been adequately represented and parts that are not supported by the observed data, respectively. Manual rebuilding cycles were performed in the programs O (Jones et al., 1991) and XTALVIEW (McRee, 1999). The parameters for bond angles and lengths for ligand molecules and inorganic small molecule compounds, which were modelled into the electron density maps, were retrieved from the Uppsala HIC-UP server\(^2\) (Kleywegt and Jones, 1998).

### 8.7 Assessment of protein structure model quality

At the end of the refinement and rebuilding procedure, as well as at intermediate model refinement and rebuilding stages, the protein structure model should be examined for (in)correctness. In general, the accuracy of a protein structure model and the level of detail it contains is a function of the quality and quantity of the experimental data on which it is based, and the care and protocols used during data processing and refinement. The objective of validation of the data and the model is to give a realistic estimate of the true accuracy of the model as well as an indicator of the precision with which the model explains the data.

Owing to the limited observation-to-parameter ratio and poor phase information that are often encountered in macromolecular crystallography, constructing and refining the protein structure model is not an exact scientific process with a unique solution. Therefore, it is particularly important to assess the confidence level the experimenter, as well as the users of the model coordinates, should assign to the overall and individual parameters of the final model. Moreover, the evaluation process can help detecting problematic regions in a model structure, or identifying unusual atoms.

\(^2\)http://xray.bmc.uu.se/hicup/
or residues, which may have a functional role. Protein structure model assessment and its central role in crystallography has been reviewed by Dodson et al. (1998), Dodson (1998), and Kleywegt (2000).

The major global quality indicator for validating protein crystal structures is the $R_{\text{free}}$. In general, better and higher resolution X-ray data will lead to a lower $R_{\text{free}}$ and better $R_{\text{free}}/R_{\text{cryst}}$ ratio (Kleywegt and Jones, 2002; Tickle et al., 2000). Furthermore, it can be used to estimate the coordinate error (Kleywegt and Brunger, 1996), a second important indicator. Coordinate-error estimates from cross-validated $\sigma_A$ (Read, 1986) give a reliable impression of the positional error of the model.

Global stereochemical statistics such as overall bond angles, bond length and dihedral angles variability are often used as global statistical indicators. The r.m.s.d. from the parameters, retrieved from small molecule crystal structures by Engh and Huber (1991) are monitored. R.m.s.ds. for specific residues can then also be used as a local indicators of model quality. A particularly powerful structure validation tool is the distribution of the $\phi/\psi$ torsion-angles in the Ramachandran plot (Ramakrishnan and Ramachandran, 1965). In contrast to the bond lengths and bond angles which are essentially constrained during refinement by the same values with which they are compared at the evaluation stage, the $\phi/\psi$ are in general not constrained during the refinement.

The Ramachandran plot is one of the most useful stereochemical statistics. It essentially provides a map of angular dimensions, in which the $\phi/\psi$ angles of all the residues of the protein model are placed. The side-chains of the amino-acids clash at certain angles and such conformations of amino-acids are energetically unfavourable. In general, this allows only a range of combinations of $\phi/\psi$. A global indicator of a model is the percentage of the residues in the allowed, generously allowed or forbidden region of the Ramachandran plot. Most often, a protein model with a good quality will only have a small number of outliers, which are residues
in the forbidden part of the Ramachandran plot. The outliers in the Ramachandran plot can then be examined by the experimenter and as such the Ramachandran plot is also an indicator for the local quality.

The average B-factor of a protein structure model can also be a good indicator for its quality, but care should be taken when TLS refinement was used. In this case the individual B-factors may only represent a fraction of the real B-factor, unless TLSANL was applied to account for the contribution of the TLS group.

Local analysis tools for the protein structure model include H-bonding analysis, such as for the correct orientation of His, Asn, and Gln side chains, which can often not be inferred from electron density alone. Analysis of the H-bonding networks (Hooft et al., 1996b) can help here. Furthermore, evaluation of the side-chain planarity, chiral volumes, that can identify potential clashes, abnormally short interatomic distances, individual B-factors, and unusual rotamers. In general, the values for these parameters are compared to statistics derived from previously solved macromolecular and/or small molecule crystal structures.

All these assessment procedures can help to detect outliers, residues with properties, that are unusual compared with the most commonly known values. The difference of a true outlier, supported by the data, or an error, has to determined by inspection of the local electron density map by the experimenter. Outliers, such as residues with unusual mainchain $\phi/\psi$ torsion-angle combinations, that do not have unequivocally clear electron density are often errors.

After this validation has been carried out, it is good practice to submit the three-dimensional coordinates together with the observed structure factor amplitudes to the Protein Data Bank$^3$ (Berman et al., 2000), an online archive for experimentally determined protein structure models.

$^3$http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/
From this open database coordinates and observed structure factors can be easily retrieved in a standard form by everyone.

Validation was used throughout the model building and refinement process. The quality of the protein models was evaluated with RAMPAGE\(^4\) (Lovell \textit{et al.}, 2003). The program calculates $\phi/\psi$ angles and evaluates them with respect to their position in the Ramachandran plot. Furthermore, validation was pursued with WHATCHECK (Hooft \textit{et al.}, 1996b), which also assesses the correct nomenclature of the atom assignment, and PROCHECK (Laskowski \textit{et al.}, 1993). All models, together with the observed structure factors, were deposited in the PDB through the online AUTODEP tool\(^5\).

\(^4\)\url{http://www-cryst.bioc.cam.ac.uk/rampage}
\(^5\)\url{http://deposit.pdb.org/adit/}
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A range of bioinformatical methods was used in this study to analyze the protein structure models and examine similarities between them.

In order carry out comparative analysis of protein structure models, superposition of the various models is often useful. Structural superpositions of atomic coordinates and calculations of r.m.s.d.s. between similar protein models (such as different ADC mutants) were carried out with LSQMAN (Kleywegt and Jones, 1994) and LSQKAB (Kabsch, 1976). Both programs calculate a translation and rotation matrix for the superposition, which can then be applied to one of the molecules to bring it into an equivalent global position to the other. To apply the operator, XPAND (see Rossmann et al., 2001, p.353) was used. In order to calculate geometric residue parameters, such as torsion angles, DANG from the KINEMAGE package by Prof. Jane Richardson as described in Rossmann et al. (see 2001, p.729-731) was used.

The objective of amino acid sequence-to-sequence, amino acid sequence-to-structure, and structure-to-structure searches in this study was to identify homologues, which in turn could help to establish functional and structural relationships. In order to generate amino acid sequence alignments BLAST and PSI-BLAST, basic local alignment tools (Altschul et al., 1990), were used to automatically retrieve sequences.
These tools essentially calculate a matrix of similarity scores, in which identities have positive values and mismatches have negative values, for all possible pairs of residues. The similarity score for a contiguous segment is then the sum of the similarity scores for each pair of aligned residues. A maximal segment pair is defined in the algorithm, which is the highest scoring pair of identical length segments chosen from two sequences. A segment pair is locally maximal if its score cannot be improved by extending or shortening both segments. As a measure of local similarity, BLAST calculates the score of each maximal segment pair and then seeks all locally maximal segment pairs with scores above some cutoff.

The program BLAST first identifies similar segments between the query sequence and a database sequence, then evaluates the statistical significance of any matches that were found, and finally reports only those matches that satisfy a user-selectable threshold of significance. Amino acid sequences were retrieved from the Swiss-Prot protein sequence database\(^1\) (Bairoch and Boeckmann, 1991).

Subsequently, the retrieved protein sequences were aligned with CLUSTALW (Thompson et al., 1994) to generate multiple-sequence alignment, and alignments were displayed in the CLUSTALX interface (Thompson et al., 1997). CLUSTALW assigns individual weights to each sequence, and amino acid substitution matrices are varied at different alignment stages according to the divergence of the sequences to be aligned. Residue-specific gap penalties encourage new gaps in potential loop regions rather than regular secondary structure.

In order to find homologues, a more sensitive method to sequence-to-sequence alignments constitute sequence-structure alignments. Amino-acid sequence-to-structure searches and alignments were carried out by using the fold recognition server 3D-PSSM (Kelley et al., 2000) and the homology recognition server FUGUE (Shi et al., 2001). Similar to CLUSTALW, FUGUE initially generates a multiple-sequence alignment with the query

\(^1\)http://ca.expasy.org/sprot/
sequence. This sequence profile is then used to search structural profiles. The structural profiles are derived from homologous structural alignments in the HOMSTRAD database (Mizuguchi et al., 1998b), using environment-specific substitution tables and structure-dependent gap penalties.

When structural information is available for the search model, recognition of distant homology between two proteins, is more probable than with sequence-to-structure searches alone. The DALI software (Holm and Sander, 1993), which carries out automatic structure-to-structure comparisons to all protein in the PDB. The coordinates of each protein are used to calculate residue-residue $C_{\alpha}$ distance matrices. Amino acid sequence alignments taking into account structural information (structural alignments) were generated with COMPARER (Sali and Blundell, 1990), a structure-based alignment program. For visualization, the structural alignments were formatted in JOY (Mizuguchi et al., 1998a). Essentially the program annotates the one-dimensional information contained in sequence alignments with a code for several aspects of three-dimensional information, such as H-bonding, solvent accessibility, and secondary structure of residues. Structural superpositions, as generated by COMPARER, for the visual inspection of the pairwise superposed three-dimensional coordinates were produced with MNYFIT (Sutcliffe et al., 1987).

Catalytically-important residues were identified from their conservation in multiple sequence alignments and their relevance judged from visual inspection of the structures. Once homologues have been identified, their classification in the SCOP database (Murzin et al., 1995), a database that classifies homologous protein structures into families and superfamilies, was investigated. This was done to potentially determine both more distant relationships with proteins classified in the same (super)family and evolutionary aspects.

The DSSP algorithm (Kabsch and Sander, 1983), which assigns sec-
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Secondary structure based on pattern recognition of H-bonds and geometrical features, was used to assign secondary structures to produce figures in Pymol (DeLano, 2002). Molscript (Kraulis, 1991), and Raster3d (Merritt and Bacon, 1997) for rendering, were also used for illustration of the protein structure models. Schematic topology diagrams of the protein secondary structure were drawn following the representation developed by Prof. Jane Richardson (see Wyckoff et al., 1985, p.351).
Part III

Results and discussion
10 Crystallization of KPHMT with cofactor analogues

10.1 Introduction

In order to understand the catalytic mechanism of KPHMT, a structure model of the protein in complex with a cofactor analogue would certainly be helpful. Identifying the binding residues for the cofactor, will also be important for the development of THF-based enzyme inhibitors.

Several crystal structures of enzymes in complex with THF and/or THF analogues have been solved. Among them are dihydrofolate reductase in complex with folic acid (Saway and Kraut, 1997), dimethylglycine oxidase in complex with 5-fo-THF (Leys et al., 2003), nitric oxide synthase in complex with THF (Pant et al., 2002), ribonucleotide transformylase with 5-deazafoacic acid (Almassy et al., 1992), serine hydroxymethyltransferase with 5-fo-THF (Trivedi et al., 2002), formiminotransferase-cyclodeaminase with 5-fo-THF (Kohls et al., 2000), and thymidylate synthase in complex with 5-hydroxymethylene-6-hydrofolic acid (Perry et al., 1993). These crystal structures proved that such THF cofactor analogues are, in principle, amenable to cocrystallization with enzymes.
Structural models for binding of 5,10-meTHF to KPHMT based on the structure of THF-derivatives in serine hydroxymethyltransferase have been constructed (Louise Birch, personal communication). However, the reliability of such computationally-derived models is often limited and can hardly substitute experimental results. Therefore, an experimental model of the binding was clearly desirable.

10.2 Overexpression and purification of KPHMT

The purification protocol used in this study was an adaptation of the protocol described by von Delft (2000), which in turn was based on the purification protocol developed by Jones et al. (1993) and modified by Drs Michael Witty and Adrian Saldanha.

KPHMT could be overexpressed in large quantities, following the protocol described in Section 7.2, as judged by the final amount of purified protein of up to 80 mg of pure protein expressed per litre of E. coli culture. Despite the high level of overexpression, the protein was predominantly found in the soluble fraction of the crude lysate of the E. coli cells.

The \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\) precipitation (see Subsection 7.3.3) was carried out to potentially separate the protein from polynucleotides (DNA and RNA) which could have affected the efficiency of the subsequent anion-exchange steps. However, given the amount of overexpressed protein, this step presumably could be omitted and one could possibly start the purification with the first anion-exchange step. Furthermore, the first \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4\) precipitation step did apparently hardly result in significant precipitation and may consequently be a redundant separation step.

In order to minimize the risk of proteolytic cleavage of KPHMT during the purification procedure, the buffers for the two anion-exchange steps
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contained protease-inhibitors. For the same reason, the purification steps for KPHMT in this study were normally carried out at 4°C. However, depending on the availability of the purification facilities, the two anion-exchange purification steps, described in Subsection 7.3.3, were also carried out at 19°C, without apparent effects on the quality of the expressed protein sample. The previous purification procedure had involved a heat step of 80°C for 5 min (Jones et al., 1993), indicating that the protein is relatively heat-resistant and stable. Therefore, the anion-exchange steps can also be carried out at 19°C or room temperature.

In terms of separation, the first anion-exchange step proved quite efficient (see Figure 10.1). The second anion-exchange step was repeated identically to the first one but with a higher resolution column. The gel-filtration step was necessary to remove further contaminants and to ensure homogeneity and monodispersity of the sample. On one occasion, the last two purification steps were exchanged in their time-order, but it did not appear to have a major effect on the purity of the protein sample. The elution profile of the gel-filtration step indicated the possibility of two peaks that were not well separated (Figure 10.1). Therefore, fractions 32, 33, 34, and 35 and fractions 36, 37, and 38, respectively were combined. However, the two fractions did not show differences on 12% SDS-PAGE, and the purified protein migrated as a single band (Figure 10.1), indicating a pure sample. Mass-spectrometry analysis confirmed the high purity of the protein sample, and N-terminal sequencing confirmed the correctness of the first 10 N-terminal residues (data not shown).

The protein has before been observed to be stable on storage at 4°C for several months without apparently influencing crystallizability (Dr Adrian Saldanha, personal communication). Therefore, in this study the protein was also stored at 4°C, after being concentrated to ~40-45 mg/ml.
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Figure 10.1 (A) 12% SDS-PAGE of the fractions containing KPHMT from the first anion-exchange step. Molecular weight markers are shown on the left (Mw) and the molecular weight is indicated in kDa. The relative position of KPHMT is indicated with a red bar. On the right; the corresponding purification graph with the x-axis showing the elution volume in ml, whereas the y-axis shows the optical absorbance for 280 nm, blue. The absorbance is not to realistic scale, because it was calibrated with the flow-through, which, for the first step, is very high. Also monitored is the optical absorbance at 230 nm: red and at 260 nm: maroon; the KCL concentration is shown in green. Fractions that were pooled together for the next step are indicated as black numbers and shown on the SDS-PAGE. (B) 12% SDS-PAGE of the fractions containing KPHMT and graph of the second anion-exchange step. Fractions that were pooled together are shown as black numbers. (C) 12% SDS-PAGE and graph of the gel filtration step. Fractions 32, 33, 34, and 35, and, separately, fractions 36, 37, and 38 were combined respectively. The optical absorbance at 280 nm was monitored.
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10.3.1 Background

From initial crystallization trials on KPHMT, carried out by Drs Venugopal Dhanaraj and Galib Khan, three conditions could be identified, which produced crystals. However, only one of them resulted in stable crystals with reasonably strong X-ray diffraction patterns, and this condition was further optimized by Dr Frank von Delft (von Delft, 2000). The overall crystallization protocol was rather complex, with difficulties in reproducing crystals with a single precipitant condition and temperature.

The final crystallization conditions Dr Frank von Delft developed, included PEG8000, NaCl, NaCH₃CO₂ with sodium citrate, pH 6.8 as a buffer (von Delft, 2000). A series of six drops, varying in the concentration of PEG8000 (9-11% (w/w)), and NaCl (in reverse order than PEG8000; 200-100 mM) was set up within a few minutes. Crystals were grown at 4°C with an intermediate temperature equilibration step at 19°C in a polystyrol box and further crystal growth at 4°C. This was considered to be necessary in order to obtain a sufficient nucleation rate, while still gaining a reasonable size of the crystals.

The crystallization conditions resulted in two crystals forms of apparently similar morphology, clusters of rhombic crystals with dimensions of 100×100×100 μm³ or larger, plate-like rhombic crystals with dimensions of 500×300×100 μm³ (von Delft, 2000). X-ray diffraction patterns collected from these crystals showed that both crystals were monoclinic in space group P2₁, with unit cell dimensions a=86 Å, b=156 Å, c=208 Å, β=98° (subsequently referred to as “large unit cell” crystal form). These crystals would diffract to a minimum Bragg spacing of 2.8 Å at a syn-
chromon X-ray source. However, on one X-ray diffraction data collection visit to the APS synchrotron in Chicago, unusually regular tear drop-shaped crystals were found in a crystallization drop, prepared five months before crystal mounting. X-ray diffraction data of one of these crystals, could be collected up to a resolution of 1.8 Å. Subsequent data indexing showed that the crystals were in the same space group, but in contrast to the large unit cell had unit cell dimensions of a=86, b=157, c=100 Å and $\beta=98^\circ$ (subsequently referred to as ”small unit cell” crystal form). These small unit cell crystals, however, could not be reproduced by von Delft (2000). Whereas the large unit cell contained two KPHMT decamers, the small unit cells contained only one decamer. Both crystal forms apparently grew in the presence of $\alpha$-kiva and ketopantoate, although no KPHMT crystal structure with $\alpha$-kiva was eventually solved.

After Dr Frank von Delft had left, Dr Tsuyoshi Inoue took over the project temporarily. He encountered difficulties in reproducing the previous crystallization conditions and consequently started to screen for new crystallization conditions. When I took over the project I started to explore conditions where Dr Tsuyoshi Inoue had left the project. The protein used for these initial crystallization trials was purified by Dr Adrian Saldanha.

10.3.2 Crystallization trials

Initial screening for crystallization conditions was carried out with PEG4000 because with lower molecular weight PEGs the response time for crystallization is often shorter than with higher molecular weight PEGs. In order to gain a faster nucleation rate, the temperature used for these trials was 19°C. A solution of 100 mM NaCl, 100 mM NaCH$_3$CO$_2$, 10 mM Mg(CH$_3$CO$_2$)$_2$, 50 mM Bis-tris buffer pH 6.0 with 6-13% (w/v) PEG4000 was initially used as precipitant solution. The final protein concentration in the drop was varied between 23 and 38 mg/ml for different crystallization trials.
This condition was also pursued for cocrystallization with a cofactor-analogue. A saturated solution (10 mM) of (6R,S)-5-foTHF calcium salt was prepared freshly immediately before setting up the crystallization drops. This solution was then diluted with the protein solution to a final (6R,S)-5-foTHF concentration of ~4-8 mM, which was well above the protein concentration of ~1-1.34 mM. The protein—cofactor analogue solution was incubated on ice for 30 min, to allow diffusion of the cofactor analogue into the protein and for binding, prior to setting up crystallization trials. This early screening surprisingly yielded rather big crystals of up to 900 µm (Figure 10.2 A) in size in one dimension, with a precipitant composition of 100 mM NaCl, 100 mM NaCH₃CO₂, 10 mM Mg(CH₃CO₂)₂, 50 mM Bis-tris buffer pH 6.0, 7.5% (w/v) PEG4000. However, these crystals were very unstable in the X-ray beam and proved difficult to cryoprotect with either ethyleneglycol or glycerol. The X-ray diffraction pattern collected on the in-house X-ray data collection facility was very weak (data not shown) and the crystals showed strong decay within a few minutes. These crystals could not be reproduced, and the unusual growth may have resulted from temperature instability due to a malfunctioning temperature control at that time in the crystallization room.

Because of the observed instability of the crystals, subsequent crystallization trials were carried out at 4°C in temperature-controlled incubators. The initial protein concentration was maintained between 23 and 30 mg/ml, but the pH and buffer component of the precipitant solutions was varied. The tested buffers and the corresponding pH-values for the precipitant solutions were 50 mM sodium citrate pH 6.0, 50 mM Bis-tris pH 6.5, 50 mM imidazole pH 7.0, 100 mM Hepes pH 7.4, and 50 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.5 and 8.0, while keeping the other components that were mentioned above relatively constant. Crystallization screening only around the neutral pH range was pursued because all cofactor analogues are more stable at neutral pH. Crystals would usually grow relatively quickly within 2-8 days.
All these trials were carried out with product ketopantoate and, in separate drops, with $\alpha$-kiva as well. The protein was mixed with a ketopantoate or $\alpha$-kiva solution to obtain a final concentration of 30 mM of the product or substrate, and the solutions were incubated on ice for 30 min, prior to the crystallization trials. Apart from the last two conditions with Tris/HCl pH 7.5 and 8.0, all conditions with the different buffers produced crystals. Nucleation in the drop started quickly, as monitored under the microscope and, therefore, care was taken that the trays were moved to $4{}^\circ$C within 5 min after the first drop of protein was mixed with precipitant solution. This meant setting up only six wells out of a possible twenty four wells from the Linbro plates.

The precipitant conditions with 50 mM citric acid pH 6.0 and 100 mM Hepes pH 7.4 were found to be the most promising. A large amount of small (maximal dimension 100 µm) rhombic crystals could be grown, similar to the smaller of the two morphologically different crystal forms Dr Frank von Delft had obtained. However, these conditions yielded many small and often cross-linked crystals and thus required optimization to enable screening for X-ray diffraction. First, a range of additives, such as dioxane, ethanol, 2-methyl-2,4-pentanediol; and PEG400 in concentrations up to 5% (v/v) added to the precipitant solution, were tested for their effect on the crystallization. However, none of these additives improved the crystals. Almost all had a rather contrary effect, resulting in smaller crystals or none at all.

For this reason, attempts to improve the crystal quality and size, focused on refining the condition by changing protein and the PEG4000 concentration. A concentration of 6-8% (w/v) of PEG4000 with a final protein concentration of 28-30 mg/ml was found to be optimal for crystallising KPHMT in the presence of ketopantoate. Crystals with 50 mM citric acid as a buffer could be grown to a size of $\sim 100 \times 100 \times 50$ µm$^3$. The crystals were cryoprotected with PEG400 in the following way: mother liquor solutions containing PEG400 at concentrations of 5, 10, 15 and 20% (v/v) were prepared; 0.4 µl of the 5% solution was then added to the drop with
Figure 10.2 Morphology of the crystal forms obtained for KPHMT. (A) Crystals of KPHMT incubated with (6R,S)-5-foTHF. (B) Small unit cell crystals of KPHMT incubated with ketopantoate grown with the crystallization condition of Table 10.2. (C) Crystals of KPHMT incubated with α-kiva and (6R,S)-5-meTHF. (D) Crystals of KPHMT incubated with α-kiva (E) KPHMT crystals grown in the presence of α-kiva and (6S)-5-foTHF, with Bis-tris buffer pH 6.0. (F) KPHMT crystals grown in the presence of α-kiva, (6S)-5-meTHF, with Bis-tris buffer, pH 6.0. (G) KPHMT crystals grown in the presence of α-kiva, (6S)-5-meTHF with Bis-tris buffer, pH 6.5. (H) KPHMT with α-kiva, (6S)-5-meTHF, Bis-tris, pH 6.5.
the crystals on a coverslip and left for 5 min. The inverted coverslip was covered with a well from a crystallization plate to reduce evaporation. Another 0.5-1 µl of 5% solution was added to the drop and left for another 5 min. Then the crystal was successively transferred in 5 min intervals, first into the 5% solution and then stepwise to the final 20% solution. The crystals were then directly mounted at the in-house X-ray machine in a flow of N₂ and screened for X-ray diffraction.

Table 10.1 Crystallization condition A for KPHMT that was incubated with ketopantoate obtained with sodium citrate as a buffer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>PEG4000</th>
<th>NaCl</th>
<th>NaCH₃CO₂</th>
<th>Mg(CH₃CO₂)₂</th>
<th>Sodium citrate pH 6.0</th>
<th>Protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>6% (w/v)</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
<td>50 mM</td>
<td>24-30 mg/ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The pH was adjusted with NaOH

X-ray diffraction reflections were extended out to 2.8 Å resolution (see Figure 10.3 A). Indexing showed that the crystal was of monoclinic space-group with unit cell dimensions of 101×164×172 Å³ and β=96.4°. Although the c-axis was 30 Å shorter than that of the large unit cell previously obtained by Dr Frank von Delft, there was no indication that these crystals could be crystals with small unit cell type. However, it was the crystals with the small unit cell that were expected to give higher resolution.

While further optimizing the crystallization conditions, regularly-shaped crystals of KPHMT (Figure 10.2 B) incubated with ketopantoate were also obtained with Hepes (Table 10.1). These crystals were cryo-protected similar to the crystals obtained with sodium citrate. While initial diffraction indicated a high mosaicity (Figure 10.3 B), slower increase of the cryoprotection solutions resulted in X-ray diffraction patterns extending to a maximum resolution of 4.0 Å using the in-house X-ray data collection facility. However, strong lower-resolution reflections could be observed (Figure 10.3 B,C,D). Crystals were monoclinic with unit cell dimensions of 86×159×109 Å³ and β=159°.
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Table 10.2 Crystallization condition B for KPHMT that was incubated with ketopantoate yielding crystals with small unit cell dimensions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>PEG4000</th>
<th>NaCl</th>
<th>NaCH₃CO₂</th>
<th>Mg(CH₃CO₂)₂</th>
<th>Hepes pH 7.4*</th>
<th>Protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>7% (w/v)</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
<td>24-30 mg/ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The pH was adjusted with NaOH

Importantly, these unit cell dimensions were close to the dimensions of the crystals with small unit cell dimensions from Dr Frank von Delft. This was somewhat promising in that a condition (Table 10.2) was found that appeared to produce KPHMT crystals in the same space group as before with small unit cell dimensions. However, further improvement of the crystallization conditions was necessary to increase the maximum resolution (Figure 10.3).

Once reliable crystallization conditions for the small unit cell crystals had been found, they were then pursued for the potential to produce crystals with cofactor analogues. Attempts were then made to soak these crystals with (6R,S)-5-foTHF. The cofactor analogue was either added in solid form with a spatula or in mother liquor solution. However, in both cases the KPHMT crystals dissolved, and consequently soaking this cofactor analogue into the crystals was not successful. Therefore the crystallization conditions, using either Hepes pH 7.4 or sodium citrate pH 6.0 as buffers, and α-kiva, were then pursued further including KPHMT incubated with (6R,S)-5-foTHF in 4-8 molar excess. No crystals could be obtained with sodium citrate. In contrast, using the crystallization conditions from Table 10.2 to cocrystallize with the cofactor resulted in small crystals (maximum dimension 60 µm) that took 5-9 days to grow (Figure 10.2 C). Regularly, precipitate would form upon mixture of the protein-cofactor solutions and the precipitant solution and after several days, crystals would form within this precipitate. However, these crystals were too small to mount and to screen them for X-ray diffraction on the in-house X-ray diffraction facility. KPHMT incubated with cofactor analogue and ke-
topantoate did not result in crystals and the solution only contained precipitate.

Subsequently, new protein samples were purified by the author and further crystallization trials could be pursued, jointly with Dr Loïc Bertrand who temporarily joined the project. The crystallization trials with the new protein sample started with the conditions described in Table 10.2 with 6-12% (w/v) PEG3350 instead of PEG4000, at 4°C. However, instead of a racemic mixture of the cofactor analogue, the stereochemically S-pure form, known to be used by the enzyme was used (Subsection 8.1.1). In addition to (6S)-5-foTHF two other analogues, (6S)-5-meTHF calcium salt and folic acid, were used.

α-kiva was added to the protein solution in five- and ten-fold excess to the protein concentration. Crystals of KPHMT incubated with α-kiva could readily be obtained (Figure 10.2 D) with the condition described in Table 10.2. This indicated that the protein from this sample crystallized under the same conditions as before. With respect to the concentration of α-kiva, no marked effect on the crystallization of KPHMT (without cofactor analogues), could be observed between using a five- or ten-fold excess.

Crystallization trials were then pursued further with KPHMT incubated with both α-kiva and cofactor analogues. The cofactors were only added in slight excess at a concentration of 1-2 mM, while initially using a protein concentration between 28-31 mg/ml (0.9-1.1 mM) and an α-kiva concentration of 5 or 10 mM. Crystals could be obtained with and without α-kiva for all three cofactor analogues. The optimal PEG3350 concentration was in the range of 6-8% (w/v). The crystallization drops in all cases were covered by a high number of thin plate-like crystals. The maximum dimension of a single crystal was approximately 80 µm. However, crystals would frequently grow attached to each other.

Although crystals with KPHMT and folic acid, both with and without
α-kiva, were obtained, cocrystallization with this cofactor analogue was then not pursued further because the other two analogues resulted in more regularly-shaped and bigger crystals. Additionally, the solubility of folic acid was rather low (see Subsection 8.1.1) and it was difficult to solubilize it.

Because of the high number of nucleation sites, the protein concentration was lowered to 25 mg/ml for subsequent crystallization trials. Reducing the protein concentration below 20 mg/ml did not produce crystals. In addition, the pH was lowered using 25 mM Bis-tris buffer pH 6.0, 6.5, and 7.0. Crystals of KPHMT incubated with α-kiva and either (6S)-5-meTHF or (6S)-5-foTHF grew more regular and bigger with Bis-tris buffer pH 6-6.5 in the precipitant solution (see Figure 10.2 E, F, G). Similar crystals could also be produced without α-kiva and with either of the two cofactor analogues. These crystals were screened for X-ray diffraction. Cryoprotection was carried out with glycerol similar to the protocol described above for PEG400. X-ray diffraction screening and data indexing (Figure 10.4) indicated that the crystals all were monoclinic and had small unit cell dimensions (see Section 10.4).

However, quite frequently, crystals would dissolve upon moving the crystallization plate to 19°C from the 4°C incubator. This phenomenon could not be associated with a specific crystallization condition. It was assumed that a higher molecular weight PEG could circumvent that problem. Therefore, in order to increase the size of the crystals, PEG3350 was substituted for PEG6000, using it at a concentration of 6-10% (w/v).

Optimization of the crystallization conditions with PEG6000 by lowering the protein concentration to 20-23 mg/ml finally resulted in a condition that yielded regularly shaped crystals with a clear monoclinic morphology (Figure 10.2 H). The largest crystal in this drop had dimensions of approximately 150×80×100 µm³. The protein concentration used for the crystallization trials was 23 mg/ml and α-kiva was added in a two-fold
excess. The composition of the precipitant solution is shown in Table 10.3. However, X-ray diffraction data from these crystals could not be collected, because the crystals did not cope well with the cryo-protection protocol with glycerol, developed before (see above).

**Table 10.3** Crystallization condition C for KPHMT that was incubated with α-kiva and (6S)-5-meTHF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component Concentration</th>
<th>PEG6000</th>
<th>NaCl</th>
<th>NaCH$_3$CO$_2$</th>
<th>Mg(CH$_3$CO$_2$)$_2$</th>
<th>Bis-tris pH 6.0$^a$</th>
<th>Protein</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8% (w/v)</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
<td>100 mM</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
<td>25 mM</td>
<td>20-23 mg/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The pH was adjusted with HCl.

## 10.4 X-ray diffraction screening and processing

In this study, cryo-cooling was systematically applied to screen crystal specimens for X-ray diffraction. The crystals were mounted on the in-house X-ray diffraction facility, as described in Subsection 8.3.1 and Subsection 8.3.2. Screening for X-ray diffraction was carried out with a 15-30 min exposure time, with a 1°C oscillation angle. Always, two orientations of the crystals rotated by 90°C were examined.

Crystals of KPHMT, incubated with α-kiva and either (6S)-5-meTHF or (6S)-5-foTHF, diffracted only weakly on the in-house X-ray diffraction facility. Because of the weak X-ray diffraction of the crystals, manual indexing—as opposed to auto-indexing—had to be carried out. The diffraction spots were manually selected in **DENZO** and then used to calculate unit cell dimensions. All X-ray diffraction patterns that could be screened indicated a monoclinic spacegroup and very similar unit cell dimensions of between 86-87.5 Å for a, 153-158.2 Å for b, 118-119.5 Å for c, and 98-108° for $\beta$. 
10.4 X-ray diffraction screening and processing

Figure 10.3 X-ray diffraction images of crystals of KPHMT grown in the presence of ketopantoate. The pink circles in this and the following X-ray diffraction images indicate resolution limits. The corresponding real-space resolution limits are indicated. (A) Diffraction obtained from crystals of KPHMT and ketopantoate with sodium citrate as the buffer component of the precipitant solution. (B) Initial diffraction pattern with high mosaicity obtained from crystals of KPHMT and ketopantoate with the precipitant condition from Table 10.2. (C) Diffraction obtained from optimized crystals of KPHMT and ketopantoate with Hepes as the buffer component with the precipitant from Table 10.2. Indexing of this image indicated small unit cell dimensions. (D) The same diffraction pattern as in D but in close-up view.
Figure 10.4 X-ray diffraction images of crystals of KPHMT, incubated with α-kiva and cofactor analogues. The crystallization conditions for these crystals were similar to the one described in Table 10.3, but with PEG3350. (A) X-ray diffraction obtained from crystals of KPHMT grown on the presence of α-kiva and (6S)-5-meTHF. (B) X-ray diffraction obtained from crystals of KPHMT grown in the presence α-kiva with (6S)-5-foTHF. (C) Diffraction obtained from crystals of KPHMT incubated with (6S)-5-foTHF, without α-kiva.
10.5 Discussion

Obtaining crystallization conditions that result in well-diffracting crystals of KPHMT, incubated with cofactor analogues, certainly proved more difficult than could be expected from the rather positive results at the beginning. A significant area of crystallization space around crystallization conditions that were found to be optimal for either KPHMT alone or for KPHMT, incubated with product ketopantoate or substrate α-kiva, was explored. A set of crystallization conditions was found that appear to be promising. However, the problem was one to optimize crystallization conditions, not to obtain crystals.

KPHMT crystals, incubated with two cofactor analogues and/or α-kiva can now be produced. The corresponding X-ray diffraction of these crystals extended to ~4.0 Å on the in-house X-ray generator. Bringing such kind of crystals to a synchrotron source could presumably improve the diffraction limit. However, in order to collect a full dataset, crystals of larger three-dimensional proportions, such as the last crystal that were produced in this study, will be necessary. The author presumes that with the the crystallization condition of Table 10.3 large enough KPHMT crystals can be reproduced. Although all cofactor analogues are relatively unstable (see Subsection 8.1.1), once the cofactor is bound it could be assumed to be stabilized by protein—cofactor interactions. Whether the cofactor analogues actually bound to the protein will only be revealed by difference Fourier maps.

Of the three tested cofactor analogues, folic acid was not used further because of the low solubility and because binding may be rather weak in general, compared to both 5-foTHF and 5-meTHF. Enzyme inhibition experiments by Saldanha (2002) indicated that the substitution at the N₅ appears to be important for efficient binding to the enzyme. However, no crystals of KPHMT could be obtained in the presence of both ketopantoate and cofactor analogues. Presumably, the product could sterically hinder the binding of cofactor analogues.
11 Comparative analysis of the KPHMT crystal structure

11.1 Introduction

The features of the *E. coli* KPHMT crystal structure are described in the introduction (see Section 3.4) and show that the tertiary structure adopts a classical \((\beta\alpha)_8\) or TIM-barrel fold.

The \((\beta\alpha)_8\)-barrel fold motif is the most frequently occurring enzyme folding pattern found in the PDB, and it is anticipated that as many as 10% of the structures of all enzymes adopt this fold (Gerlt, 2000). Similarly, transcriptome analysis of yeast genome expression studies showed the \((\beta\alpha)_8\)-barrel to be the most common transcriptome fold (Jansen and Gerstein, 2000). Enzymes with this fold, so far, include examples from five of the six primary types of enzymes as classified by the Enzyme Commission and are performing a particularly wide range of often unrelated functions (Copley and Bork, 2000; Hegyi and Gerstein, 1999; Nagano *et al.*, 1999; Pujadas and Palau, 1999). Most of them share no significant sequence similarity, although the overall three dimensional structure of their \((\beta\alpha)_8\)-barrel domains are surprisingly similar (Branden, 1991). Approximately
one-third of the known \((\beta\alpha)_8\)-barrels have their polypeptide chains arranged in a single barrel domain and in most of them the homo-dimer seems to be the functional oligomeric unit.

Notwithstanding their functional versatility, in all \((\beta\alpha)_8\)-barrel enzymes found so far, the active site is located at the C-termini of the \(\beta\)-strands \((\text{Wierenga, 2001})\). In general, the geometry of the active site itself is predominantly shaped both by residues at the C-termini of the \(\beta\)-strands and the residues of the loops connecting the \(\beta\)-strands with the consecutive \(\alpha\)-helices. These \(\beta\alpha\)-loops are of variable length and residue composition, accounting for the different functions, and it was shown that small sequence changes in these loops can change function \((\text{Jurgens et al., 2000})\). Consequently, this fold constitutes a striking example for the separation of catalytically and structurally relevant residues.

The abundance and stability of the fold has led to much interest and speculation as to whether it evolved independently or whether it diverged from a single or more limited number of progenitors. \text{Farber and Petsko (1990)} have argued for a common ancestry of a limited number of \((\beta\alpha)_8\) barrels on the basis of similarity in the positions of the active sites as well as other structural features. Structural evidence that a \((\beta\alpha)8\)-barrel fold has evolved by gene duplication and fusion from an ancestral half-barrel has recently been brought forward for N-[5-phospho-ribosyl]formimino]-5-aminimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide isomerase (ProFAR isomerase or HisA) and imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase (ImGPS or HisF), two enzymes involved in histidine biosynthesis \((\text{Hocker et al., 2001; Lang et al., 2000})\). The sequence of both proteins shows a clear internal duplication, along with a detectable repeat related by a two-fold axis in the structure. \text{Copley and Bork (2000)} provide evidence that 12 out of the 23 TIM-barrel superfamilies listed in the SCOP database at the time of the analysis share a common origin and that the common ancestor was most reminiscent of HisA. The authors also support a model of divergent evolution for most of the \((\beta\alpha)_8\)-barrel enzyme families involved in pathways of
the central metabolism. However, it is not clear if a common evolutionary origin can be assigned to all $\beta\alpha_8$-barrel families. Common structure is often taken as an indicator of common ancestry the simplicity of the core fold. However, the absence of sequence similarity and the vast range of functions also implies convergent evolution, as might be the case with the $\beta\alpha_8$ glycosidases (Nagano et al., 2001).

As a consequence of the above mentioned, comparative analysis of structures with a $\beta\alpha_8$-fold requires careful attention to details in the structures, as the overall fold can be very similar, even though there is no significant sequence identity among the different $\beta\alpha_8$ enzymes.

One approach to gain functional insights into the structure of a protein is to compare its active site with homologous proteins and proteins known to have similarities in the catalytic chemistry. Sequence searches using PSI-BLAST with the KPHMT amino acid sequence failed to detect any enzymes similar or homologous to KPHMT. However, comparative analysis of three-dimensional structures is a powerful tool in identifying evolutionary relationships and in gaining insight into the mechanism. This approach was, therefore, used to identify homologues of KPHMT and to compare their active sites. Major parts of the analysis have also been described elsewhere (Schmitzberger et al., 2003b; von Delft et al., 2003).

11.2 Analytical procedures

KPHMT amino acid sequence and structure searches were carried out as described in Chapter 9, by using the homology recognition server FUGUE and the fold recognition server 3D-PSSM. The structure of KPHMT was compared to those of all proteins in the PDB with the DALI software. Potential homologues with high Z-scores and low r.m.s.d. were then aligned with COMPARER and the structural alignments were formatted in JOY.
Conservation of the fold and global structural features was assessed by inspection of the superposed coordinates of the aligned structures. Catalytically important residues were identified from their conservation in multiple sequence alignments and by visual inspection of their position in the structures. In addition to the enzymes identified with DALI, FUGUE and 3D-PSSM, enzymes which were classified in the same Enzyme Commission group and for which structures were available were compared to KPHMT by using the methodology described above.

The SCOP database superfamily classifications both of proteins considered homologues and of enzymes classified in the same Enzyme Commission group were investigated. All the other members of the superfamily in which homologues of KPHMT were identified were subjected to the comparative structural analysis described above. In that way, more distant homologues could potentially be identified and relationships within the superfamily could be revealed. Particular attention was then paid to the conservation of the active-site residues common to homologues and positions in the structures of those having equivalent functions.

11.3 Structural homologues

A search of protein folds with KPHMT expectedly found similarities to numerous proteins with a \((\beta\alpha)_8\)-barrel fold motif. Closest structural similarity could be observed with phosphoenolpyruvate mutase (PEPM; EC 5.4.2.9; PDB-ID: 1PYM) and isocitrate lyase (ICL; EC 5.4.2.9; PDB-ID: 1IGW). Both of these enzymes could also be detected as homologues by a KPHMT sequence-to-structure homology search using FUGUE, with PEPM scoring particularly high, as it also did in a search with the 3D-PSSM server. In spite of lack of significant overall sequence similarity between KPHMT and either of the two enzymes, structure-based sequence alignments with COMPARER revealed remarkable similarities both in the locations and properties of important active-site residues.
**Figure 11.1** Representative amino acid sequence alignment of KPHMT orthologs, including representatives of bacteria, archaea and eukaryotes. The alignment is numbered according to the full-length *E. coli* sequence, without counting the gaps. Several amino acid sequences have been truncated at the N- and C-termini to simplify the alignment. Invariant residues are indicated with a star and conserved residues with a +.
11.3 Structural homologues

PEPM from *Mytilus edulis* is a homotetrameric (dimer of dimers) enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of PEP to phosphonopyruvate in the biosynthesis of phosphonates, involving cleavage of an O-P bond and formation of an C-P bond (*Huang et al.*, 1999; *Kim and Dunaway-Mariano*, 1996) (see Figure 11.2). The overall globular architecture of the $(\beta\alpha)_8$-barrel is very similar to that in KPHMT. Both enzymes have an additional N-terminal helix of the same length, prior to the $(\beta\alpha)_8$-barrel domain that lies across the N-terminus of the barrel. The major difference in the macromolecular assembly lies in the helix swapping of the eighth C-terminal helix in PEPM, which, together with two ensuing smaller helices, protrudes from one subunit to pack against a neighboring subunit, a phenomenon that is not observed in *E. coli* KPHMT.

Conservation in the overall sequence between KPHMT (Figure 11.1) and PEPM (Figure 11.4) is most obvious in helix $\alpha 7$ and in the loop connecting helices $\alpha 7$ and $\beta 6$ (see Figure 3.5). The reason may be solely structural, because this part of the $(\beta\alpha)_8$-barrel has no direct catalytic role and is not involved in dimer formation either. Further significant sequence similarity can be observed in strand $\beta 3$, which is important for the orientation of Asp84. The insertion in the loop region between strands $\beta 4$ and $\alpha 5$ in PEPM is thought to be involved in conformational change upon substrate binding and blocks the C-terminal region of the active site in the crystal structure. However, a similar functional region in KPHMT could not be identified.

The most striking resemblance exists in the coordination sphere of the active-site Mg$^{2+}$ ion (see Figure 3.4) and the coordination of the ligands in the two enzymes. Ser46 at the end of strand $\beta 2$, Asp84 in strand $\beta 3$, and Glu114 at the C-terminus of $\beta 4$, all of which are completely conserved in KPHMT (see Figure 11.1), have their equivalents in the invariant residue Ser46 at the end of $\beta 2$, Asp85 in $\beta 3$, and Glu114 at the C-terminus of $\beta 4$ in PEPM (Figure 11.4). Superposition and inspection of the active site shows that these residues are in fact in the same absolute position and coordi-
Figure 11.2 Schematic illustration of the biochemical reactions catalyzed by the enzymes in the PEP/pyruvate superfamily. (A) Phosphoenolpyruvate mutase. (B) Isocitrate lyase. (C) 2-dehydro-3-deoxy-galactarate aldolase. (D) Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase. (E) Pyruvate kinase. (F) Pyruvate phosphate dikinase.
nate the ligand and Mg$^{2+}$ in exactly the same way (Figure 11.5). Asp85 coordinates Mg$^{2+}$ directly in an equatorial position but is also H-bonded to a water residue and Glu114 H-bonds to this water-residue which also coordinates to Mg$^{2+}$ equatorially. Asp45 in KPHMT has been replaced by a water molecule, which is held in place by H-bonding to the completely conserved residues Asp58 and Asp87 in PEPM. Asp58 also H-bonds to the Mg$^{2+}$-coordinated water in the same way as Asp45 does in KPHMT. Another closely similar feature is the H-bond of the carboxyl-group of oxalate to the invariant Ser46 in PEPM, in a related way as the carboxyl-group of ketopantoate H-bonds to the completely conserved Ser46 in KPHMT. The carboxyl groups of the oxalate residue in PEPM coordinate axial and equatorial positions of Mg$^{2+}$ as do the carboxyl and keto-group of ketopantoate. However, in general, a Mg$^{2+}$ ion that uses three water molecules as a bridge to the protein, as in PEPM, is rather unusual.

Table 11.1 Statistical data on the comparison of some indicators of similarity of the enzymes currently assigned to the PEP/pyruvate superfamily in SCOP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>PID (%)</th>
<th>DALI Z-score</th>
<th>No. of aligned residues</th>
<th>R.m.s.d. (Å)</th>
<th>FUGUE Z-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEPM</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>14.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICL</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDGA</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PK</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPDK</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEPC</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All comparisons in the table are with respect to KPHMT. Sequence identities were calculated from structure-based COMPARER (Sali and Blundell, 1990) alignments. Abbreviations: PID: percentage amino acid sequence identity. For the comparison with ICL, the E. coli structure was used, and for the multidomain proteins PEPC, PPDK, and E. coli PK, only their ($\beta\alpha)_8$ domains were used.

PEPM shows closest structural and sequence-similarity to ICL and vice versa (see Figure 11.4). E. coli ICL and PEPM superimpose with an r.m.s.d. of 1.51Å for 221 structurally-aligned residues and the sequence identity between the two enzymes is 20.5%. Furthermore, the homotetrameric ICL
is structurally the second most similar enzyme to KPHMT. ICL catalyzes the reversible conversion of isocitrate into glyoxylate and succinate, the first step in the glyoxylate bypass pathway. The crystal structure of the *E. coli* enzyme in complex with Mg\(^{2+}\) and pyruvate (Britton et al., 2000), the *A. nidulans* enzyme in complex with Mn\(^{2+}\) and glyoxylate (PDB-ID: 1DQU) (Britton et al., 2001) and the *M. tuberculosis* enzyme complex with Mg\(^{2+}\) and with two inhibitors (PDB-ID: 1F8I) (Sharma et al., 2000) have been solved. For the comparison with KPHMT in this study, the *E. coli* ICL structure was used.

The conserved amino acid sequence between ICL and KPHMT overlaps largely with the residues that are conserved between KPHMT and PEPM. In *E. coli* ICL there are three \(\alpha\)-helices before the first \(\beta\)-strand, of which \(\alpha3\) lies across the N-terminal part of the barrel. As in PEPM, the amino acids chain folds in a series of \(\alpha\)-helices at the C-terminus, and similarly, the \(\alpha12\) and \(\alpha13\) helices are involved in helix swapping. The conserved residues 194 to 199 in the region between \(\beta4\) and \(\alpha5\) in *E. coli* ICL are disordered in three out of four subunits. Comparison with PEPM shows that this region is spatially equivalent to the one expected to undergo conformational change in PEPM between strands \(\beta4\) and \(\beta5\) (see above).

The active site of ICL shows almost identical features to those in PEPM (Figure 11.6), and the key active site residues in PEPM are also invariant in ICL. Similarly, the mode of ligand binding is essentially the same. The conserved Asp157 after the \(\beta\)-strand in *E. coli* ICL is equivalent to Asp84 in KPHMT and Asp85 in PEPM, as is the conserved Glu186 after \(\beta4\), which is equivalent to Glu114 in both KPHMT and PEPM (Figure 11.4). Similarly, the conserved Ser81 has its equivalent in both KPHMT and PEPM, with Ser46.

The only difference in the coordination sphere of Mg\(^{2+}\) between PEPM and *E. coli* ICL seems to be the conservative substitution of Asp87 to its equivalent Glu159 in the *E. coli* ICL structure, although in *A. nidulans* and
M. tuberculosis ICLs, this residue is also an aspartate (Asp170 and Asp153, respectively).

11.4 Mechanistic comparison of KPHMT with ICL and PEPM

Comparison of the mechanism of both ICL and PEPM with KPHMT is not straightforward. The reaction mechanism in PEPM is not very well understood, but it has been proposed that it involves a covalent phospho-enzyme intermediate of the phosphoryl group with Asp58. Huang et al. (1999) proposed that during formation of this phosphoenzyme intermediate, Mg$^{2+}$ shifts toward the position of the water to the left of the Mg$^{2+}$ ion (Figure 11.6), interacting directly with the carboxylate groups of Asp85, Asp87, and Glu114, a process for which no equivalent in KPHMT has been found so far. What appears to be much the same in KPHMT and PEPM is the need to stabilize an enolate intermediate. This is derived from pyruvate in PEPM (Kim and Dunaway-Mariano, 1996) and from α-kiva in KPHMT.

In ICL the initial step, as in KPHMT, is a proton abstraction (Figure 11.2). As in KPHMT and PEPM, the reaction intermediate for the reverse reaction in ICL involves an enolate, which then undergoes a Claisen condensation. For E. coli ICL, it has been suggested that Cys195, which is located in the loop region between strands $\beta4$ and $\beta5$ (Figure 11.4), is the base for the proton abstraction. In M. tuberculosis ICL, a large conformational movement of this cysteine-containing loop together with the ordering of the final helix at the C-terminus could be observed. However, neither ICL nor PEPM appear to use any cofactor other than Mg$^{2+}$ in the reaction.
11.4 Mechanistic comparison of KPHMT with ICL and PEPM

Figure 11.4

Structure-annotated amino acid sequence alignment of KPHMT, in JOY format (Mizuguchi et al., 1998a), with all the enzymes currently classified in the PEP/pyruvate superfamily. The full-length sequences of KPHMT, PEPM, E. coli ICL, and DDGA are shown. From the three-domain E. coli PK, only the (βα)_8 domain comprising residues 1–70 and 171–345 was used (residues 70–171 have been removed; the position of this segment is indicated by a blue box). Likewise, only the (βα)_8 domain of PPDK, including residues 534–874, was used. In order to simplify and compress the alignment, residues 640–696 were removed and the deletion is indicated by a green box. For the initial alignments, the full-length PEPC protein was used. The following, mainly α-helical, insertions were removed: residues 1–112 at the N-terminus, 161–232 between strands β_1 and β_2, 289–375 between β_2 and β_3, 412–464 between strands β_3 and β_4, and 671–883 at the C-terminus. All deletions are indicated by a red box, below the alignment. (legend continues)
11.5 Comparison with members of the PEP/pyruvate superfamily

At the time of the analysis, the SCOP database distinguished 25 superfamilies of $\beta_8\alpha$-barrel proteins in which the members of each superfamily have a probable common evolutionary origin. Both ICL and PEPM are assigned to the PEP/pyruvate superfamily. Other members of this superfamily include *E. coli* 2-dehydro-3-deoxy-galactarate aldolase (DDGA; EC 4.1.2.20; PDB-ID: 1DXF), *E. coli* PEP carboxylase (PEPC; EC 4.1.1.31; PDB-ID: 1QB4), *E. coli* pyruvate kinase (PK; EC 5.4.2.9; PDB-ID: 1PKY) and pyruvate phosphate dikinase (PPDK; EC 2.7.9.9; PDB-ID: 1KBL) from *C. symbiosum*.

Like KPHMT, the homohexameric (trimer of dimers) DDGA is a class 2 aldolase with a single-domain $\beta_8\alpha$-fold (Izard and Blackwell, 2000).
Figure 11.5 Cartoon illustration of the three-dimensional superposition of the coordinates of KPHMT (blue), *E. coli* ICL (grey), and PEPM (red). Shown are the secondary structural elements around the first four β-strands comprising residues 1–130 in KPHMT, 43–224 in ICL (for clarity the first 42 residues are omitted), and 1–150 in PEPM. Also shown are the catalytically important residues Ser46, Asp84, and Glu114 in KPHMT, Ser46, Asp85 and Glu114 in PEPM and Ser91, Asp157, and Glu186 in *E. coli* ICL in ball-and-stick representation.

The enzyme catalyzes the reversible aldol cleavage of 2-dehydro-3-deoxygalactarate into pyruvate and tartronic semialdehyde (Figure 11.2) in the catabolic pathway for the utilization of D-glucarate/galactarate. The enzyme has a low substrate specificity, condensing a wide range of aldehydes with pyruvate. A DALI search with KPHMT listed DDGA only as the 31st hit in the list. However, PEPM is structurally the second most simi-
Figure 11.6  Schematic active site representations of KPHMT and the current members of the PEP/pyruvate superfamily. All are viewed with the vertical coordination of the ion in line with the vertical axis of the (βα)₈ barrel. (A) KPHMT; (B) PEPM; (C) ICL; (D) DDGA; (E) rabbit muscle PK (PDB-ID: 1A49); (F) PPDK; (G) PEPC. The crystal structure of E. coli PEPC has been solved in complex with Mn²⁺, but no ligand-bound structure of PEPC is available yet.
lar enzyme to DDGA within the PEP/pyruvate superfamily. The two enzymes superimpose with an r.m.s.d. of 3.1 Å for 174 structurally-aligned residues, whereas sequence identity between the two enzymes is not significant (12.1%). DDGA shows some features common with KPHMT, such as the N-terminal α-helix. Analogous to findings for ICL and PEPM, helix swapping of the eighth α-helix is observed. The active site Mg$^{2+}$ is directly complexed by Glu153 in the loop after strand β5 and by Asp179 in the α-helix after β6 (Figure 11.6). The cocrystallized pyruvate occupies two Mg$^{2+}$ binding sites and H-bonds to Ser178. Two water molecules complete the coordination sphere, one of which H-bonds to Glu49, which aligns with Asp45 of KPHMT (Figure 11.4). Consequently, the overall Mg$^{2+}$ and ligand coordination bears some resemblance to that of KPHMT, but with inverted symmetry. The absolute position of the metal ion has moved from between strands β3 and β4 to a position between strands β5 and β6. However, not only the absolute position but also the position of the aspartate and glutamate residues relative to each other are different in DDGA. The possibility of a circular permutation was investigated. Nonetheless, no evidence for such an event could be found. A circular permutation in which β-strands are joined and the barrel is opened at another place would not explain why the relative positions of Asp and Glu have been exchanged.

The first step in the reaction catalyzed by DDGA involves enolization of pyruvate (Figure 11.2) in an aldol reaction chemically very similar to the one in KPHMT. However, no base close enough for the proton abstraction could be identified in the active site of the enzyme. Instead, a phosphate, which was observed in the active site in the ligand-free structure, is thought to accept the proton of the pyruvate’s methyl group (Izard and Blackwell, 2000).

PEPC, E. coli PK, and PPDK are not very similar, structurally, to KPHMT. However, investigation of the structural similarity relationship between the first three and DDGA shows high structural similarity between them, with closest structural similarity between DDGA and PK (Figure 11.4). PK
11.5 Comparison with members of the PEP/pyruvate superfamily

Figure 11.7 Cartoon illustration of a the superposition of the three-dimensional coordinates of DDGA (steel blue), PEPC (magenta), PPDK (green) and E. coli PK (brown). Shown are the secondary structural elements around β-strands 3-6 in the range of residues 70–208 in DDGA, 391–571 in PEPC, 613–775 in PPDK, and 61–271 in PK (residues 398–412 in PEPC, and residues 618–700 in PPDK were omitted for clarity). The catalytically important residues Glu153 and Asp179 in DDGA, Glu745 and Asp769 in PPDK, Glu506 and Asp543 in PEPC, and Glu223 and Asp246 in PK are shown in ball-and-stick representation.

in turn is structurally the most similar enzyme to both PEPC and PPDK. The homotetrameric PK, a three domain protein with a (βα)$_8$-barrel core domain, catalyzes the conversion of PEP to pyruvate coupled to the synthesis of ATP (Larsen et al., 1998; Mattevi et al., 1995) (Figure 11.2). The ho-
motetrameric PEPC catalyzes the irreversible carboxylation of PEP to form oxalacetate and inorganic phosphate (Kai et al., 1999; Matsumura et al., 1999), whereas PPDK catalyzes the interconversion of ATP, phosphate, and pyruvate into AMP, pyrophosphate, and PEP, respectively (Herzberg et al., 1996, 2002) (Figure 11.2).

Structure-based sequence alignment of the \((\beta\alpha)_8\) domain and superpositions of the active site show that the Mg\(^{2+}\)-coordinating residues in PEPC, PK, and PPDK are in identical positions (Figure 11.7) and align with those in DDGA (Figure 11.4). The mode of binding of the \(\alpha\)-ketoacid moiety of the co-crystallized ligands to Mg\(^{2+}\) is essentially the same in DDGA, PK and PPDK (Figure 11.6). PEPC, PPDK, and PK, together with PEPM, also share the ligand PEP as their substrate or product. Whereas PK uses one ADP molecule and PPDK uses one ATP molecule in the course of the reaction, PPDC does not have any second substrate.

### 11.6 Comparison with class 2 aldolases and THF-binding enzymes

Apart from 2-dehydro-2-deoxy-galactarate aldolase, structures of four class 2 aldolases, all from *E. coli*, are available. These include fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (Hall et al., 1999; Plater et al., 1999) and tagatose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (Hall et al., 2002), both adopting a \((\beta\alpha)_8\)-fold. Moreover, there are L-fuculose-1-phosphate aldolase (Dreyer and Schulz, 1996) and L-ribulose-phosphate 4-epimerase (Luo et al., 2001). As seen in the SCOP database, the latter two enzymes do not adopt the \((\beta\alpha)_8\)-fold and belong to the class 2 family in the class 2 superfamily within the \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\ (\alpha/\beta)\)-fold.

The dimeric fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase and the tetrameric
tagatose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase are assigned to the class 2 aldolase family in the aldolase superfamily in SCOP. In each of these structures, the catalytic Zn$^{2+}$ metal ion has an environment different from that of the Mg$^{2+}$ coordination site found in KPHMT. However, although the catalysis of all of these class 2 aldolases involves essentially the same chemical mechanism as in KPHMT, comparison of their active sites, overall three-dimensional structures, and functions with the respective properties of KPHMT did not show any significant analogies. None of the class 2 aldolases uses a second substrate that is even remotely similar to 5,10-methenyltetrahydrofolate. As the class 2 aldolases appear to be spread out across folds and superfamilies, membership in this functional group is not a particularly helpful criterion in understanding structure and function in KPHMT.

The identity of the second substrate or electrophilic donor was also not a basis for classification, as folate binding is not a trait of any existing (βα)$_8$ superfamily.

11.7 Conclusions

Comparison of the structure of KPHMT with the structures of PEPM and ICL has shown considerable similarity in the overall folds and, more importantly, convincing similarity in their active sites. The two Mg$^{2+}$-coordinating carboxylate-containing residues, together with a serine residue that interacts with the ligand, are completely conserved throughout the three enzymes. Additionally, an enolate is an intermediate in each of the reactions catalyzed by these enzymes. On these grounds, it is proposed that KPHMT should be assigned to the PEP/pyruvate superfamily and should form a family of its own within this superfamily.

However, DDGA, PEPC, PPDK and PK, which are all in the PEP/pyruvate superfamily, show a different active site architecture, which
appears as a distorted mirror image to the one found in KPHMT. It seems difficult to imagine that a circular permutation can explain such a change, and the evolutionary step behind such an alteration could not determined. Based on the latter observation, it is conceivable that there should be a subdivision within the PEP/pyruvate superfamily into two groups; one comprising KPHMT, PEPM and ICL and the other comprising DDGA, PEPC, PPDK and PK. DDGA appears to be a link between the two groups, as it shares significant structural similarity to members of both groups.

Nonetheless, at this stage not much can be inferred from this for the mechanism in KPHMT. KPHMT being a member of the PEP/pyruvate superfamily is yet another example of the catalytic proficiency of the enzymes of this particular superfamily and of the functional plasticity of the \((\beta\alpha)\_8\)-fold in general. Although functionally distinct and mechanistically diverse, members of the PEP/pyruvate superfamily seem to exploit the same chemical strategy, namely, the use of a catalytic Mg\(^{2+}\) ion in a very specific way, namely as an electron sink, and to position and orient the substrate, which always resembles an \(\alpha\)-ketoacid moiety. However, apart from KPHMT, only PK and PPDK use a second substrate in the reaction.

The enzymes in the PEP/pyruvate superfamily appear to have evolved divergently, while conserving modes of binding to substrates and producing similar reaction intermediates but not maintaining a similarity in their mechanisms, such as proton abstraction. Also, selection for a specific cofactor does not seem to have played any role. The analysis thus appears to stand in disagreement to recent studies by Teichmann et al. (2001b) that supported the theory that chemistry and cofactor binding are more likely to be conserved during evolution than substrate binding. However, it is not possible to determine whether any enzyme may have evolved from another one within the PEP/pyruvate superfamily. There may have been a common ancestor which no longer exists. Given that pantothenate is likely to have existed in the prebiotic soup and that the pantothenate pathway is part of primary metabolism, it seems reasonable to argue that KPHMT must be one of the oldest members of this superfamily.
Added note  The analysis has now also received independent support by the recent SCOP classification of KPHMT into this superfamily and into a family of its own within this superfamily.

11.8 Implications of the analysis for the evolution of the pathway

The sequence and structure analysis of KPHMT, described above, completes this kind of analysis of the enzymes in the pantothenate pathway. Similar approaches for ADC by Castillo et al. (1999), for KPR by Matak-Vinkovic et al. (2001), and for PS by von Delft et al. (2001) have identified enzymes related to them.

Interestingly, none of the four enzymes in the pantothenate pathway have significant similarities in their active sites or tertiary structure to each other. ADC has a double-$\psi$ fold, while KPR is a two domain protein with an N-terminal NAD(P) binding Rossmann-fold domain, classified in the NAD(P) binding Rossmann-fold domain in SCOP, and an entirely helical C-terminal domain. Although the N-terminal domain of the two domain protein PS, which is classified in the nucleotidylyl transferase superfamily in SCOP, also adopts a $\beta/\alpha$ mononucleotide binding or Rossmann fold, its architecture is very distinct. Consequently, the enzymes in the pantothenate pathway can not have evolved according to the Horowitz theory of retrograde evolution, but appear to have been recruited in a manner that would be consistent with the patchwork theory (see Section 1.4). A respective analysis of the four *E. coli* enzymes of the pantothenate pathway has been described by Lobley et al. (2003).

In addition, none of the enzymes in the whole pantothenate and Coenzyme A pathway (see references in Section 2.2) adopts a $(\beta\alpha)_8$- or TIM-
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barrel fold, which supports the assumption that KPHMT may have been recruited far away from the immediate pathway neighborhood, across from an already existing pathway.

With the KPHMT structure in hand, FUGUE searches with the amino acid annotated sequences of fully sequenced *A. thaliana* \(^1\) (*The Arabidopsis genome initiative, 2000*) and *S. cerevisiae* \(^2\) (*The Yeast genome directory, 1997*) genomes were carried out, jointly with Dr Harald Ottenhof and Hyun Gweon. Essentially, the KPHMT structure was aligned with each annotated protein sequence in the database, and the proteins, whose sequences produced the best alignments, were examined further. The observation of two KPHMT sequences in *A. thaliana* and one in *S. cerevisiae* confirmed the previous observation, based on PSI-BLAST searches, of the existence of KPHMT in these two organisms. The same methodology was also applied to the ADC structure. However, no convincing alignments were found for a potential ADC sequence in these organisms. The latter results provide further support for the absence of ADC in lower eukaryotic organisms and plants. From some of these organisms, alternative ways to supply \(\beta\)-alanine are known (see Subsection 2.1.1).

\(^1\)http://arabidopsis.org/
\(^2\)http://mips.gsf.de/genre/proj/yeast/index.jsp
12 Crystal structure solution of ADC enzymes

12.1 Background

Before I started research on the project, Dr Michael Witty had purified a fraction of unprocessed ADC (pro-ADC) by adding an additional purification step with MonoQ anion-exchange chromatography to the purification scheme as described by Ramjee et al. (1997). Drs Diana Matak-Vinkovic and Mladen Vinkovic had obtained crystals from this purified sample and collected X-ray diffraction data. In addition, they crystallized an ADC mutant where Ser25 had been mutated to Ala (S25A), collected X-ray diffraction data and solved the structure. I started where they left the project, refining the pro-ADC and S25A crystal structures and crystallizing other site-directed mutants of ADC. Dr Michael Witty had constructed a set of such site-directed mutants of ADC, had cloned them into pBluescript vector, and could overexpress them in SJ16 E. coli cells.

Four of these mutants have a substitution at the cleavage site: Gly24—Ser (G24S), Ser25—Thr (S25T) and Ser25—Cys (S25C). Two are insertion mutants, one with an alanine inserted between Glu23 and Gly24.
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(A24a-G24b) and one with an alanine inserted between Ser25 and Cys26 (S25a-A25b), in order to analyze the effect of the $\psi$-loop size. One, a His11$\rightarrow$Ala (H11A) mutation, was made to investigate the possible role of this residue from an adjacent subunit in H$\alpha$ proton abstraction from the ester intermediate. Furthermore, the invariant Arg54 was mutated to a lysine (R54K), the invariant Tyr58 to a phenylalanine (Y58F), and the conserved Lys9 to glutamine (K9Q).

Dr Brent Nabbs, subsequently subcloned all of these mutants into pRSETA vector, that could be used with T7 RNA polymerase-based E. coli expression systems, such as BL21 C41 cells. After a suitable overexpression and purification protocol had been devised by Michael Webb and myself, crystallization trials with all those site-directed mutants were pursued.

The crystallization of A24a-G24b and S25C, as well as H11A and S25a-A25b was then continued by Mairi Kilkenny and Carina Lobley, respectively who solved the crystal structures of these mutants. The comparative structural investigation described further in Chapter 13, will also include the analysis of those structures. The major part of the results has also been described by Schmitzberger et al. (2003a).

12.2 Overexpression and purification of ADC mutants

Native ADC, on initial purification, comprises a mixture of pro-enzyme, or $\pi$-chain, and processed $\alpha$- and $\beta$-chains (Ramjee et al., 1997), because the enzyme self-processes over time. Further chromatography of this purified protein by Dr Michael Witty on MonoQ anion-exchange resin yielded three peaks (Figure 12.1, A), the second and third of which again com-
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Figure 12.1 (A) Chromatography graph of the three separated peaks (I, II and III), representing native ADC in different self-processing states. The optical absorbance at 280 nm was monitored (y-axis), and the x-axis shows the elution volume. (B) Corresponding SDS-PAGE of the three chromatography peaks ADC in different self-processing states. The relative positions of the α- and π-chain in this gel are indicated with a yellow and red bar, respectively. Abbreviations: Loa.: loaded native ADC sample; FT: flow-through of the MonoQ anion-exchange chromatography; Peak I: pro-ADC; Peak II: mixture of π-chain and α-chain; Peak III: mixture of π-chain and α-chain. In the last two, the β-chain is present, but cannot be detected on SDS-PAGE. Both figures are courtesy of Dr Michael Witty.

prised a mixture of π-, α-, and β-chains, as observed on SDS-PAGE (Figure 12.1, B). In contrast, the first peak (peak I) was essentially unprocessed ADC, although Western blotting revealed a trace amount of α-chain (Schmitzberger et al., 2003a). Re-chromatography of peak I on MonoQ resin again resulted in the three peaks, showing that the unprocessed enzyme from peak I, over time, converts into the processed enzyme of peaks II and III (Dr Michael Witty, personal communication). This fractionation of ADC was possible, because ADC processed significantly more slowly (Chopra et al., 2002; Ramjee et al., 1997) than any other self-processing systems, described in the literature to date. However, as observed previously for recombinant ADC, after storage at 4°C, the peak I fraction processed further, demonstrating that it was active and not merely a denatured fraction. This fraction (pro-ADC) therefore provided the opportunity to determine the structure of unprocessed ADC, which was nevertheless competent for processing.

When I started on the project, I initially attempted to purify R54K and
S25T from pBluescript vector, as described in Subsection 7.3.1. This purification protocol has been adapted from the protocol for native ADC (Ramjee et al., 1997) and modified by Dr Michael Witty. However, the purification protocol proved difficult to apply for the ADC mutants, was very time- and labour-expensive and did not yield sufficient amounts of pure protein.

As a consequence, for the site-directed histidine-tagged ADC mutants encoded on pRSETA plasmid, a reliable overexpression and purification protocol had to be developed, to allow “high-throughput” preparation of pure protein for crystallization. A standard overexpression protocol as described by Sambrook et al. (1989) was found to be sufficient (see Section 7.2), and enabled a high-level of overexpression (see Section 7.2). Jointly with Michael Webb an efficient two-step purification strategy, as described in Subsection 7.3.2, was devised. The purification approach was much faster, more efficient, and less error-prone than the previous purification of non-histidine tagged mutants (Subsection 7.3.2). This purification method allowed purification of up to 80 mg of protein per litre of E. coli culture. After analysis of the produced protein samples by mass-spectrometry (data not shown), crystallization could be pursued within four days of the start of the overexpression. Attempts to cleave off the histidine-tag with a thrombin protease resulted in proteolysis of the protein (Michael Webb, personal communication), indicating that the protease cleaved the protein at an internal cleavage site in addition to the thrombin cleavage site at the end of the histidine-tag, and thus was not suitable in our case.

The affinity purification on Ni$^{2+}$-NTA resin proved to be a very powerful purification step and on its own resulted in a protein sample of high purity (Figure 12.2). Nonetheless, to improve homogeneity and to remove contaminants, purification with gel-filtration was carried out. Superdex 75 resin gave noticeably worse results than Superdex 200, with a trailing peak. However, purification on Superdex 200 resin yielded a homogeneous protein sample (Figure 12.2, E).
Figure 12.2 (A) Representative 10-20% gradient tricine-PAGE for ADC mutants that self-process after purification on Ni-NTA resin and (B) after gel-filtration. The relative position of π-, α-, and β-chain in this gel are indicated with a red, yellow, and blue bar, respectively. Fractions, combined for crystallization, are indicated with a black bar above the gel. The faints band above the π-chain represents undissociated ADC multimers. (C) Representative 10-20% gradient tricine-PAGE for ADC mutants that do not process, after purification on Ni-NTA resin and (D) after gel-filtration. Faint bands corresponding to traces of β- and α-chains. (E) Representative gel-filtration purification graph with the x-axis showing the elution volume in ml, whereas the y-axis shows the optical absorbance for 280nm: blue; for 230nm: red; for 260nm: maroon.
The following ADC mutants were overexpressed and purified successfully: A24a-G24b, G24S, H11A, S25a-A25b, S25C, S25T, R54K, and Y58F. All overexpressed ADC mutant protein samples were predominantly found in the soluble fractions of the crude lysis. In contrast, K9Q, was largely insoluble. Whereas A24a-G24b, G24S, S25a-A25b, and S25T did not show any self-processing activity within the time span of the purification, as judged by tricine-PAGE and mass-spectrometry analysis. H11A, R54K, S25C, and Y58F were capable of forming significant amounts of α- and β-chains in solution, within the time-span of the purification, as judged by the occurrence of π-, α-, and β-chains on the tricine-PAGE and by mass-spectrometry analysis. Representative tricine-gels for the enzymes that do self-process and those that do not self-process are shown in Figure 12.2 C,D and A,B, respectively. However, very faint bands, corresponding to trace amounts of α- and β-chains were detected for all mutants in solution, except S25A-A25b and S25A, on prolonged storage and incubation (Michael Webb, personal communication). There was no apparent difference between ADC mutants that self-processed and those that did not with respect to the migration on gel-filtration (Figure 12.2 E). A small peak before the main peak was characteristic of the purification on Superdex 200 resin (see Figure 12.2). Analysis of tricine-PAGE indicated that similar to the main peak, this peak contained ADC.

Previous experimenters (Dr von Delft, personal communication) reported that the protein is very stable and can be kept at 4°C for up to a year and could then still be crystallized. Therefore a storage temperature of 4°C was chosen for the protein.

12.3 Crystallization of ADC mutants

For crystallization screening, the protein solution was diluted to protein concentration of 5-9 mg/ml. All crystallization trials were carried out at
19°C with vapour diffusion technique as described in Section 8.1 with a 1:1 ratio of precipitant to protein solution. The crystallization drops had a final volume of 1-3 µl.

Initial crystallization screening for R54K and S25T, without a histidine-tag, resulted in a large number of small crystals, with maximal dimension of 40 µm under several conditions. The most promising conditions appeared to be a precipitant solution of 8-9% (w/v) PEG2000 MME, 10 mM NaCH₃CO₂, pH 5.0. However, any attempts to increase the size of the crystals by micro-seeding or macro-seeding were unsuccessful. Seeds were also taken from older S25A crystals, but had no apparent positive effect on the crystal size. Similarly, adding compounds such as dioxane, ethanol, and dimethylsulfoxide did also not lead to any improvement. Most probably, this was a result of the problems with the purity of the protein from the initial purification protocol (Subsection 7.3.1). X-ray screening in-house was attempted, but failed to produce any significant diffraction pattern.

Therefore, after histidine-tagged mutants had been overexpressed and purified, crystallization trials were pursued with those. As soon as possible after the purification, in general within 1-3 days, the ADC mutants were crystallized. Initial screening with (NH₄)₂SO₄ as the main precipitant was successful and produced crystals for G24S, S25C, S25T, S25a-A25b, and Y58F.

S25T crystallized in two crystal forms. Initial crystallization trials produced hexagonal prismatic crystals with pyramidal ends at the top and bottom that would form both in 1.2-1.4 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.1 M MES pH 6.0 as well as in 1.2 M unbuffered (NH₄)₂SO₄ (crystal form A) (see Figure 12.3 A). A different crystal form of bi-pyramidal hexagonal morphology would grow in 2.4 M sodium malonate, NaOH, pH 4.0 (crystal form B) (see Figure 12.3 B). The latter form had been observed before for native ADC by von Delft (2000). Two crystal forms were also obtained for G24S, although
both would grow in the same condition. Crystals of a similar morphology as crystal form B of S25T would grow in 1.4-1.6 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.1 M citric acid pH 4.0. In the same condition, prisms similar to crystal form A of S25T would also grow (G24S crystal form A) (see Figure 12.3 C).

The pH of both crystallization conditions for G24S and S25T was also close to the pH 4.6 at which processed ADC was crystallized (Albert et al., 1998). Crystals would usually grow within seven days to a size of up to 0.6×0.6×1 mm³ for S25T crystal form B and to about half that size for S25T crystal form A and both crystal forms of G24S. Y58F crystals of the same morphology as crystal form B of S25T grew to dimensions of about 100×50×80 µm³ in 1.4 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.1 M citric acid pH 4.0. However, these crystals were rather unstable and never diffracted to more than 2.9 Å at a synchrotron source. A full dataset could not be collected on them.

For cryo-protaction the crystals were successively incubated for 3-5 min in mother liquor solutions with 1, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25% (v/v) glycerol and after being mounted in cryo-loops, they were stored in liquid N₂. Special care had to be taken to follow a step-wise protocol to increase the concentration of glycerol in order to keep crystal mosaicity low and to ensure diffraction to high resolution. S25T crystals of form B were cryoprotected in a similar way by gradually increasing the sodium malonate concentration to 3.2 M, since sodium malonate is a suitable cryoprotectant itself (McPherson, 2001). 3.2 M sodium malonate, NaOH, pH 4.0 was added to the drop with the crystal in small amounts and, eventually, the crystal was transferred to a drop with the final concentration (i.e. sodium malonate at 3.2 M).

Crystals for pro-ADC had previously been obtained by Drs Diana Matak-Vinkovic and Mladen Vinkovic in 1.6 M (NH₄)₂SO₄ pH 5.5, and for S25A in 1.5 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.15 M Tris/HCl pH 8.0. A24a-G24b and S25C, as well as H11A and S25a-A25b were all crystallized in 1.4-1.6 M (NH₄)₂SO₄ pH 4.0, by Mairi Kilkenny and Carina Lobley, respectively.
Apart from S25T, which was cryo-protected with sodium malonate, all mutant crystals as well as pro-ADC crystals were cryo-protected with the same compound, namely glycerol.
12.4 X-ray diffraction data collection of ADC mutant crystals

The initially obtained crystal form B of S25T diffracted up to 3.2 Å at the in-house X-ray data collection facility and 2.6 Å minimum Bragg spacing at Daresbury SRS. However, the X-ray diffraction pattern was highly mosaic and the X-ray data quality was limited by the low resolution. Scaling of the data indicated that the Bravais lattice was still hexagonal, however unit cell dimensions (see Table 12.1) indicated a different unit cell and a different space group.

Crystal form B of S25T ADC diffracted up to 1.4 Å maximum resolution at the SRS, however at the first synchrotron journey X-ray diffraction data to a resolution of 1.56 Å was collected, because of time-limitations. Subsequently, on a second data collection with more time to carefully set up data collection, X-ray diffraction data to 1.26 Å were collected.

In the latter case, X-ray diffraction data were collected with X-rays of a wavelength of 0.978 Å in two steps. To record the high-resolution reflections a data collection with a short detector-to-crystal distance (95 mm) with long X-ray exposure time (16 sec), for good signal-to-noise ratio was chosen. Additionally, the data collection setup was adjusted for a short beamstop to crystal distance, to minimize background scattering of the X-rays. Subsequently, the low resolution data were collected, starting from the same angle as before, with a long detector-to-crystal distance (180 mm) and short X-ray exposure time (5 sec) with the beam stop far away from the crystal to collect low-resolution reflections. For both data collection steps, a total of 100° with 0.5° oscillation angle increments was collected, to achieve high data redundancy.

One problem which arose from the rather large unit cell dimension in one orientation (see Section 12.5), was that reflections started to overlap
Figure 12.4 (A) An auto-indexed X-ray diffraction pattern of S25T. Rejected reflections are shown as red circles and partially recorded reflections are indicted by yellow circles. (B) Illustration of the peak separation problem at short detector-to-crystal distance. Particularly, at low resolution the peaks are poorly separated. (C) Illustration of how the background box parameter (white rectangular box) was adjusted to enable indexing of the dataset.
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Table 12.1 Properties of the different S25T crystal forms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>Unit cell dimensions (Å)</th>
<th>Molecules per asymmetric unit</th>
<th>Maximum resolution (Å)</th>
<th>Mosaicity (°)</th>
<th>Data collection facility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P6$_3$</td>
<td>102.4 180.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>In-house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6$_3$</td>
<td>102.5 180.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>SRS-14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6$_1$22</td>
<td>70.1 215.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>SRS-14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6$_1$22</td>
<td>70.5 215.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>SRS-14.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* One molecule comprises two ADC subunits, the search model for the molecular replacement (see Figure 12.4 B). This posed a serious problem for data processing, as overlapping spots cannot be distinguished and are consequently rejected by the processing software. One way to circumvent this, would have been to orient the c-axis with the incident beam, at the risk of a lower completeness of the dataset. For this study, care was taken to find a good initial orientation of the crystal, in which the longest unit cell axis, would be oriented such as to allow high resolution data collection, but not be oriented coincident with the X-ray beam. Secondly, in order to allow indexing and scaling of the data, the background box in the input script for DENZ0 had to be made narrower, as shown in Figure 12.4 C. This then even enabled indexing of the low-resolution reflections (Figure 12.4 A). However, when scaling the two datasets together, most of the low resolution reflections from the high-resolution data collection were discarded, because they were of lower quality than those from the low-resolution pass. Both datasets combined resulted in almost 100% X-ray diffraction data completeness with high data redundancy. For the X-ray diffraction dataset, used for the refinement, reflections up to a nominal resolution of 1.29 Å were included for scaling.

X-ray data collection of G24S crystals was relatively straightforward. A total of 100° of X-ray diffraction data was collected, with a 0.5° oscillation increment, 10 sec X-ray exposure time, and with X-rays of 1.488 Å wavelength. The crystal form similar in morphology to crystal form B of S25T
diffracted up to 2.0 Å whereas the other crystal form only diffracted to a maximum resolution of 2.6 Å. X-ray diffraction data were collected from both forms, but only the higher resolution data was eventually used, because of the higher data quality. Presumably because of the long wavelength the crystals diffracted to ~2.0 Å, but the X-ray diffraction data was of good quality. Complete data statistics for the X-ray diffraction data collection are shown in Table 12.3.

### 12.5 Structure solution of ADC mutants

In order to solve the structure of crystal form A of S25T, molecular replacement, as described in Section 8.4, with the previously solved ADC structure (Albert et al., 1998) was carried out. Residues 20-26, comprising the ψ-loop region, were removed in order to reduce the bias from the molecular replacement probe for the subsequent refinement. Four clear rotation and translation solutions for the dimer search probe could be unequivocally determined, locating two tetramers in the asymmetric unit (Table 12.2).

**Table 12.2** Rotation and translation function solutions for the Patterson search for S25T ADC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\alpha) (°)</th>
<th>(\beta) (°)</th>
<th>(\gamma) (°)</th>
<th>(Tx_{fract})</th>
<th>(Ty_{fract})</th>
<th>(Tz_{fract})</th>
<th>R-factor</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>17.34</td>
<td>23.98</td>
<td>0.4214</td>
<td>0.9357</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.64</td>
<td>109.91</td>
<td>122.43</td>
<td>0.6901</td>
<td>0.6704</td>
<td>0.9048</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.81</td>
<td>93.23</td>
<td>233.65</td>
<td>0.0354</td>
<td>0.4010</td>
<td>0.2398</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>46.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.36</td>
<td>70.54</td>
<td>302.20</td>
<td>0.7309</td>
<td>0.9541</td>
<td>0.7644</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>53.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The translation functions are listed in the sequence in which they were found. All solutions were clearly distinguishable from the highest background peak.

Refinement of the protein structure model was continued until an \(R_{\text{cryst}}\) of 30 and an \(R_{\text{free}}\) of 36, at 2.6 Å resolution. Placement of water molecules and further refinement proved difficult because of the low resolution. The
electron density maps of this structure were difficult to interpret, but indicated an unprocessed cleavage site. Higher resolution data was clearly necessary to obtain a clear picture. A better model could eventually be calculated with the X-ray diffraction data, collected from crystal form B at 1.56 Å and 1.29 Å resolution, respectively (Section 12.3).

The indexed, integrated, and scaled X-ray diffraction data from crystal form B as well as from the data of G24S indicated that the protein crystallized in an isomorphous form in the same space group as the previously solved ADC structure. The unit cell dimensions were within 3% of the values of the parameters of the pro-ADC crystals. Consequently, the structure could be solved by directly using the native ADC structure in the refinement with CNS, and to calculate difference Fourier maps. This confirmed that the space group is P6$_1$22 with two subunits (subunit A and B) in the asymmetric unit, which were related by the crystallographic two-fold axis to form the tetramer. Similarly to the molecular replacement approach above, residues 20-26, comprising the $\psi$-loop region, were removed prior to using the structure as a model. The structure of S25T with the 1.29 Å resolution data could not be directly solved with the processed ADC structure as a search model. This may have been due to the fact that the sum of the coordinate differences were too large for convergent refinement with simulated annealing. Therefore, the already partially-refined model derived from the 1.56 Å dataset was used as the initial model, and with this, refinement started to converge.

The crystal structure of S25A was solved in tetragonal space group I422 by Drs Diana-Matak Vinkovic and Mladen Vinkovic. In this case, the crystallographic four-fold axis coincides with the internal four-fold axis of the tetramer. Pro-ADC, as well as the other ADC mutant structures A24a-G24b, H11A, S25a-A25b, and S25C all crystallized in space group P6$_1$22.
12.6 Structure refinement and model building of ADC enzymes

Refinement and model building of the four structures, G24S, pro-ADC, S25A, and S25T was carried out as described in Section 8.5 and Section 8.6. Model building proved very difficult in the $\psi$-loop region preceding the cleavage site. Electron density for the side-chains of residue His21, Tyr22, and Glu23 only appeared at very low contour level, in general below 1.0$\sigma$. Even when contoured at 0.6$\sigma$ the electron density maps were less than clear, indicating strong disorder of these residues in the crystal.

In order to improve the electron density maps several approaches were pursued. Non-crystallographic symmetry averaging of the two subunits in the asymmetric unit in conjunction with solvent flattening (Section 8.5) was applied, but failed to make the electron density maps significantly clearer. In the last cycles of the refinement, TLS groups were defined according to secondary structure elements, as calculated by DSSP and JOY. This improved electron density maps in general but hardly in the disordered region. However, it led to a better data fit of the model, as judged by the decrease in the $R_{\text{free}}$ value. Because of the lack of electron density, an alanine instead of the correct amino acid, known from the sequence, was modeled when the electron density was particularly poor. Overall refinement was continued until convergence of the $R_{\text{free}}$.

Characteristic for the histidine-tagged mutants, in subunit A the last 3-5 residues from the histidine-tag, prior to the N-terminal residue in the ADC sequence, could be observed and modeled in the electron density maps. In the previously solved ADC structure (Albert et al., 1998), residues Arg116–Ala126 at the C-terminus of the $\alpha$-chain were not modelled. However, in subunit A of G24S the mainchain atoms, and, partly, the side-chains, of eight additional residues (Arg116, Thr117, Ala118, Lys119, Ala120, Ile121, Pro122, Val123) at the C-terminus of the protein could be modelled. The
### 12.6 Structure refinement and model building of ADC enzymes

Table 12.3 X-ray diffraction data collection-, refinement-, and stereochemistry- statistics for the final models of the refined crystals structures of pro-ADC, G24S, S25A, and S25T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Pro-ADC</th>
<th>G24S</th>
<th>S25A</th>
<th>S25T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P6(_{2}2)</td>
<td>P6(_{2}2)</td>
<td>1422</td>
<td>P6(_{2}2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell parameters (Å)</td>
<td>a=b</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>216.4</td>
<td>219.1</td>
<td>111.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>highest res. shell(^a)</td>
<td>2.01-1.95</td>
<td>2.05-2.00</td>
<td>2.02-1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of unique reflections</td>
<td>24 605</td>
<td>23 414</td>
<td>11 321</td>
<td>81 391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{sym}) (^b)</td>
<td>overall</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>highest res. shell(^a)</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (\langle I \rangle/\sigma(\langle I \rangle))</td>
<td>highest res. shell(^a)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% completeness</td>
<td>overall</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated mosaicity (°)(^c)</td>
<td>highest res. shell(^a)</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>92.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson B (Å(^2))</td>
<td>overall</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Refinement</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(R_{cryst}) (^d)</td>
<td>overall</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>highest res. shell(^a)</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>overall</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{free})</td>
<td>highest res. shell(^a)</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>working set</td>
<td>22 229</td>
<td>21 839</td>
<td>10 244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>test set</td>
<td>1183</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>protein</td>
<td>1793</td>
<td>1876</td>
<td>902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of reflections</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ligand</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model quality</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated coordinate error (Å)(^e)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.m.s.d. bonds (Å)(^f)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.m.s.d. angles (°)(^f)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>most favoured region</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>generously allowed region</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>disallowed region</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) highest resolution shell  
\(^b\) \(R_{sym} = \frac{\sum_{h} \sum_{i} |I_{hi}| - |I_{hi}|}{\sum_{h} \sum_{i} |I_{hi}|}\) (see Equation 8.2)  
\(^c\) Calculated with SCALEPACK (Otwinowski, 1991).  
\(^d\) \(R_{cryst} = \frac{\sum_{h} |F_{o}| - |F_{c}|}{\sum_{h} |F_{o}|}\) (see Equation 8.3)  
\(^e\) Estimated coordinate error (see Section 8.7) of the structure based on the \(R_{free}\), calculated with REFMAC (Murshudov et al., 1997).  
\(^f\) R.m.s.d. calculated with WHATCHECK (Hooft et al., 1996a).  
\(^g\) Distribution of the number of residues in the Ramachandran plot. \(\phi/\psi\) distributions were calculated with RAMPAGE (Lovell et al., 2003).
final protein model structures were assessed as described in Section 8.7. Crystallographic statistics and Ramachandran diagrams of the main-chain torsion angle distribution for the final models are shown in Table 12.3 and Figure 12.5, respectively. Final $2F_o-F_c$ electron density maps of the cleavage site and its immediate environment will be illustrated in Section 13.2.

The three-dimensional coordinates as well as the observed structure factors have been deposited in the PDB-databank under the ID codes 1PPY (pro-ADC), 1PQF (G24S), 1PQE (S25A), and 1PQH (S25T).
Figure 12.5 Ramachandran diagram of the $\phi/\psi$ angle distribution. (A) pro-ADC. (B) G24S. (C) S25A. (D) S25T. Both axis are in units of $^\circ$. All diagrams were calculated with RAMPAGE (Lovell et al., 2003).
13 Structural analysis of ADC enzyme crystal structures

13.1 Introduction

Protein self-processing is an important intramolecular post-translational mechanism to activate enzymes, as described in Section 4.2. General and specific features of the self-processing biochemistry have been illustrated in Figure 4.1. A more detailed scheme of the self-processing mechanism in ADC, as it is currently understood, is shown in Figure 13.1. Although no direct evidence has yet been provided for the oxyoxazolidine intermediate, chemical knowledge and experiments in model systems imply the existence of it (Iwai and Ando, 1967).

ADC seemed to be an adequate, and representative model system to study the mechanism of self-processing in more detail by high-resolution crystal structure analysis, because structural information for both the processed cleavage site and the ester intermediate of the enzyme have already been available (Albert et al., 1998). Although the analysis of these structures suggested several residues important for the self-processing catalysis, it could not determine amino acids that could serve as catalysts des-
13.1 Introduction

Figure 13.1 Schematic representation of the self-processing reaction, as it is currently understood, applied to ADC. Base 1, acid 1, and base 2 are designated as :B1, H-A 1, and :B2 respectively.

Consequently, one of the main objectives of this study was to attempt to identify such functional residues. Another purpose of this study was to investigate the roles of the evolutionarily conserved cleavage site residues, Gly24 and Ser25, in self-processing by crystallographic studies of site-directed mutants.

This study represents the first comprehensive structural investigation of a cleavage site of a self-processing enzyme. Importantly, the first native, cleavage site of a self-processing enzyme that has not been mutated will be described, along with a detailed structural comparison with the solved ADC mutant structures, mentioned in Section 12.6.
13.2 Structural features of pro-ADC and ADC mutants

Pro-ADC  The global model of the crystal structure of pro-ADC is basically identical to the processed ADC structure solved by Albert et al. (1998), apart from the significant differences at and around the cleavage site. This also applies to the structure models of all the ADC mutants discussed below (see Table 13.1). Subunits A and B of processed ADC and that of pro-ADC superpose with a main-chain r.m.s.d. of 0.22 Å and 0.19 Å, respectively, for residues 1–16, 26–71, and 77–115. Care had to be taken to generate accurate and precise superpositions to obtain realistic estimates of the global and local differences. Therefore, residues 16–26 around the ψ-loop region as well as residues 72–77 around the 3₁₀ helical region were omitted for producing superposed coordinates. This superposition procedure was also followed for comparison of the ADC mutant structures described below and in Table 13.1. Obviously, this procedure also had to take into account the 3-5 residues that were modelled at the N-terminus of the histidine-tagged mutants. Once a suitable global structural superposition had been acquired in this way, r.m.s.d.s for individual residues could be calculated and compared. The two subunits of pro-ADC in the asymmetric unit superpose with a Cα atom r.m.s.d. of 0.29 Å for superposed coordinates of residues 1–16 and 26–71 and 77–115, indicating that they are virtually identical in this region.

Pro-ADC is able to process (Section 12.2), but the model for the crystal structure showed an unprocessed cleavage site. 2F₀-Fₛ Fourier maps showed clear electron density, above 1σ contouring level, for the peptide bond between Gly24 and Ser25 (see Figure 13.2 A) from the start of the refinement. Fitting an ester at partial occupancy, as has previously been carried out with the processed ADC structure (Albert et al., 1998), in a position similar to the ester in apo-ADC was investigated. However, a better fit of the experimental data by doing so could not be obtained, and thus the
13.2 Structural features of pro-ADC and ADC mutants

Figure 13.2 Stereo-illustration of the 2F₀-Fₑ electron density maps (grey colour), of the self-cleavage site and its environment, contoured at 1.2 σ. All figures are from subunit A in a similar orientation and show Asp19–Cys26, Ser70–Asn72, Thr57, Tyr58 and Lys9, His11, Arg54 from an adjacent subunit. The mutations are shown in bold letters.

(A) Pro-ADC. (B) A24a-G24b. (C) G24S (D) H11A. See Figure 3.4, Figure 4.5, and Figure 4.3 for colour scheme. All Figures prepared with PYMOL (DeLano, 2002).
13.2 Structural features of pro-ADC and ADC mutants

Figure 13.3 Stereo-illustration of the $2F_o-F_c$ electron density maps (grey colour), of the self-cleavage site and its environment, contoured at 1.2 $\sigma$. All figures are from subunit A, except in S25C, in a similar orientation and show Asp19–Cys26, Ser70–Asn72, Thr57, Tyr58 and Lys9, His11, Arg54 from an adjacent subunit. The mutations are shown in bold letters. (A) S25a-A25b. (B) S25A. (C) S25C. (D) S25T. See Figure 3.4, Figure 4.5, and Figure 4.3 for colour scheme. All Figures prepared with PYMOL (DeLano, 2002).
peptide bond was modelled as unprocessed. The structure of the cleavage site, comprising the evolutionarily completely conserved residues Gly24 and Ser25 (Figure 13.4), is almost identical in the two subunits (residues Gly24, Ser25, and Cys26 superpose with a main-chain r.m.s.d. of 0.12 Å). Interestingly, Gly24 makes a kink with a positive $\phi$, and adopts a conformation with $\phi/\psi$ angles of 97/13° and 92/6°, respectively in the two subunits.

Residues His21, Tyr22, and Glu23 of the solvent accessible $\psi$-loop, preceding Gly24, were disordered in both subunits. The B-factors in this region of the loop are up to more than two times as high as the average (see Table 13.2). There are significant differences in the conformations for the two subunits in this region and also for the highly conserved $3_{10}$ helical region between Asn72 and Ala76 (Figure 13.5), which lies adjacent to the loop.

The $3_{10}$ helical region of subunit B of pro-ADC superposes better with both subunits of processed ADC (all atom r.m.s.d. of 0.45 Å and 0.52 Å, respectively for residues Asn 72–His 77) and so subunit B probably presents a more realistic model. Consequently, for the comparison with the other ADC mutant structures this subunit was used as a reference (Table 13.1). However, the side-chains of Asn72 appear disordered in both subunits of pro-ADC. Whereas in subunit B, Asn72 could be modelled in a similar position as in processed ADC, in subunit A it is in a position further away from the Ser25 hydroxyl (see Figure 13.2 A). Instead, the hydroxyl group of Ser25 H-bonds to the main-chain carbonyl of Tyr22. The latter residue, lies in between Asn72 and Ser25, preventing interaction.

**G24S** Electron density was well defined for the Gly24→Ser mutation at $2\sigma$ contouring level in $2F_o-F_c$ maps from the start of the refinement. Significant conformational differences of the amide bonds between both Glu23 and Ser24 as well as Ser24 and Ser25 with respect pro-ADC are observ-
### Table 13.1
Comparative data of the structural analysis of the ADC enzymes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate differences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 0.30</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main-chain r.m.s.d. for residues 1–16, 26–71, and 77–115 (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 0.29</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main-chain r.m.s.d. for residue 24 (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 0.44</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-atom r.m.s.d. for residue 24 (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 0.08</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main-chain r.m.s.d. for residue 25 (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 0.15</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-atom r.m.s.d. for residue 25 (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>φ/ψ angles of Thr57 (°)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B -151/-148</td>
<td>-154/-152</td>
<td>-156/-154</td>
<td>-146/-151</td>
<td>-153/-151</td>
<td>-151/-151</td>
<td>-154/-151</td>
<td>-154/-151</td>
<td>-154/-151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance residue 24 O to Thr57 O (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 2.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 4.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance O(^\gamma) of residue 25 to C of residue 24 (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 4.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 10.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance O/S(^\gamma) of residue 25 to Asn76 O/N(^\delta) (Å)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B 3.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Pro-ADC denotes pre-pro-ADC. A and B denote subunits A and B, respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-factor differences</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B-factor (Å(^2)) (all non-H atoms)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B-factor for His21 (Å(^2)) (all non-H atoms)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B-factor for Tyr22 (Å(^2)) (all non-H atoms)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average B-factor for Glu23 (Å(^2)) (all non-H atoms)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Average B-factor includes the contribution from the TLS group as calculated with TLSANL (Howlin et al., 1993).*
able. The Ser24 carbonyl H-bonds with the side-chain hydroxyl of Tyr58 (Figure 13.2 C). The Glu23 carbonyl points in the opposite direction as it does in the pro-structure (Figure 13.6 A) and H-bonds to Ser25 Oγ, instead (Figure 13.2 C). Ser25 is in the generously allowed region of the Ramachandran plot with a mean φ-angle of 179° and a mean ψ-angle of 173°. The distance of the Nδ of Asn72 to the Oγ of Ser25 is larger than in pro-ADC (Table 13.1) and a water molecule was found in between the two residues. The two Ramachandran outliers (see Figure 12.5 B) are Arg16 from each of the subunits, the reason for which is presumably a sulphate molecule in the active site (see Figure 13.2 C). Although the sidechains of residues 21-23 are disordered (Table 13.2), the conformations for the modelled residues of the ψ-loop are very similar in between the two subunits.

S25A Similarly to the Gly24→Ser mutation, the Ser25→Ala mutation was clearly visible at 2σ contouring level, in 2Fo-Fc electron density maps from the start of the refinement. The amino acid substitution resulted in some minor, but important, conformational changes. The carbonyl group of Gly24 H-bonds with the Nδ of Asn72 (distance 3.05 Å) (Figure 13.3 B). The conformation of Gly24 is now a more extended one, without the kink observed in the pro-ADC structure (Figure 13.6 A). In contrast, the conformation and position of the Ala25 are identical to that of Ser25 in pro-ADC (Table 13.1). Whereas residues in the 310 helix and Asn72 are in identical positions as in subunit B of pro-ADC, there are differences in the coordinates for residues 19–24. Electron density for the ψ-loop region preceding the active site is better defined than for pro-ADC, except for the side-chains of His21, Tyr22, and Glu23 (Figure 13.3 B).

S25T The near-atomic resolution 2Fo-Fc electron density map of the S25T ADC mutant showed the Ser25→Thr mutation clearly at 2σ contouring level in subunit A from the start of the refinement. However, in subunit B the side-chain is disordered, to a certain extent, and B-factors between 42
### Table 13.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Processing activity</th>
<th>Structural features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pro-ADC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Apart from the differences in residues 17–25 and 72–77, the structure is essentially identical to the processed ADC structure (Albert et al., 1998). Gly24 has $\phi/\psi$ angles of 97/13° and 92/6° in subunits A and B. Residues 21–23 in the $\psi$-loop preceding Gly24 are disordered. There are conformational differences in this region in the two subunits and also for the highly conserved $\alpha_10$ helical region between Asn72 and Ala76, which lies adjacent to the loop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A24a-G24b</td>
<td>Traces</td>
<td>The conformation of the Gly24b-Ser25 peptide bond is very similar to that in pro-ADC. However, there are slight differences in the $\psi$-loop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G24S</td>
<td>Traces</td>
<td>The conformations of Glu23-Ser24 and Ser24-Ser25 peptide bonds are different from those in pro-ADC. The Gly24 carbonyl does not H-bond to Thr57.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H11A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The protein that crystallized was essentially the $\pi$-chain. The unprocessed cleavage site is shifted towards Ala11. Apart from the difference of the Gly24 carbonyl, the structure is very similar to pro-ADC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S25A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Gly24 carbonyl is rotated by ~180° from its position in pro-ADC. Conformation and position of Ala25 are identical to that of Ser25 in pro-ADC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S25C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>The protein that crystallized was essentially the $\alpha$- and $\beta$-chains. There is a free Cys25, instead of the pyruvoyl group, at the N-terminus of the $\alpha$-chain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S25T</td>
<td>Traces</td>
<td>The Gly24-Thr25 peptide bond is in a different position and conformation from that in the cleavage site in pro-ADC. However, these are slight differences.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 13.3** Summary of self-processing activity (in solution) and structural features of the cleavage site of pro-ADC and the seven ADC mutants.
13.2 Structural features of pro-ADC and ADC mutants

and 50 Å² for the side-chain atoms indicate possible rotational flexibility around the Cβ.

The S25T mutation caused the most dramatic change in Cα coordinates of all ADC mutants. The whole loop from Leu20 until Cys26 is in a position shifted towards the 310 helix, relative to its position in pro-ADC (Figure 13.3 D). The Gly24 and Thr25 residues do not superpose with Gly24 and Ser25 in the pro-ADC structure (Figure 13.6 B). Also there are no H-bonds between Gly24 carbonyl and Thr57 Oγ nor between Thr25 Oγ and Asn72 Nδ. Cys26 appears to be forced out of its normal environment, adopting two different, alternate conformations. Also the S25T mutation causes a kink between residues Leu20 and Glu23, which are very tightly packed, so that the Asp19 Oδ H-bonds to the mainchain oxygen of Glu23. A malonic acid residue, H-bonding to Arg54, occupies the region that becomes the active site after self-processing (Figure 13.3 D).

A detailed description of the structural features of A24a-G24b (PDB-ID: 1PYQ), H11A (PDB-ID: 1PT1), S25a-A25b (PDB-ID: 1PTO), and S25C (PDB-ID: 1PYU) will be given elsewhere by Mairi Kilkenny and Carina Lobley. However, the respective electron density maps of the final models of A24a-S25b, H11A, S25C, and S25a-A25b are shown in Figure 13.2 and Figure 13.3. These will be relevant for the subsequent comparative analysis that was carried out and for the discussion of the results that follows below. A summary of the relevant structural features of the cleavage site that were analyzed in this study, together with processing activity in solution1, of all ADC structures is given in Table 13.3.

1Information for self-processing activity, on prolonged storage, was provided by Michael Webb.
Specific H-bond interactions at the cleavage site have been identified in mutated precursors of the proteasome (Ditzel et al., 1998) and glycosylasparaginase (Xu et al., 1999). These were assumed to guarantee optimal conformation of the cleavage site and assist the self-processing catalysis by stabilization of reaction intermediates.

In this study, comparative analysis of the various ADC structures shows that residues in positions 24 and 25 in the two ADC subunits in the asymmetric unit superpose very well, with the exception of those in S25a-A25b, where two conformations are seen for the Ser25a carbonyl in the two subunits. However, the coincidence in position of residues 24 and 25 in the two subunits is in contrast to residues 21-23 in the $\psi$-loop preceding the cleavage site. The following interactions appear to be important for stabilizing the conformation of the Gly24-Ser25 peptide bonds (Figure 13.2 A):

- strong H-bonds of the Gly24 carbonyl to the $\gamma$ of the invariant Thr57 (see Figure 13.4) and to a water molecule;
- an H-bond between the Ser25 carbonyl and the main-chain nitrogen of Asn72;
- an H-bond between the Glu23 main-chain carbonyl oxygen and the $\zeta$ of the conserved Lys9 (see Figure 13.4) from an adjacent subunit.

The H-bond to the Thr57 $\gamma$ appears to be important for orienting the carbonyl, and additionally may polarize the Gly24 carbonyl bond. Together with the well-ordered water molecule (Figure 13.2), Thr57 forms an oxyanion hole that is likely to stabilize the developing negative charge on the exo-cyclic oxygen (see Figure 13.1). The water molecule, whose
Figure 13.4: Representative amino acid sequence alignment of ADC orthologs. The alignment is numbered according to the full-length sequence of E. coli ADC. Several amino acid sequences have been truncated at the N- and C-terminus. Note the invariant Gly24-Ser25 amino acid sequence motif. Invariant residues are indicated with a star and conserved residues with a +.
position is stabilized by two H-bonds to the Nη1 and Nη2 of the invariant Arg54 (Figure 13.4), is at a distance of 2.60 and 2.66 Å, for subunit A and B, respectively from the Gly24 carbonyl oxygen in the pro-ADC structure. It is consequently, at an equal distance from the oxygen as the Thr57 Oγ. This water molecule is also in the exactly same place in the processed ADC structure, and the crystal structures of the A24a-G24b and S25A ADC mutants. A sulfate molecule is located in the same position as the water molecule in the H11A, S25a-A25b and S25C structures, whereas in S25T a malonic acid residue can be found in this locus.

In all ADC structures, including all ADC mutants, pro-ADC and the processed ADC structure, Thr57 is in the same, generously allowed, region of the Ramachandran plot (see Table 13.1 and Figure 12.5), as is often found in catalytically important residues. Its conformation and position is maintained unchanged before and after the self-processing, as indicated by the structures of processed ADC (Albert et al., 1998) and pro-ADC.

Asn72 is almost completely conserved (see Figure 13.4) and only in the thermophilic bacterium T. maritima is it a tyrosine. Although a closer look at the alignment shows that there is asparagine in the T. maritima sequence just two residues before the other aligned asparagine residue. Asn72 is followed by a sequence of conserved residues forming a 3<sup>10</sup> helix (see Figure 13.5), whose role appears to be mainly structural and could serve to restrict the possible conformations of the ψ-loop. Disorder in the ψ-loop region in some ADC structures appears to be correlated with disorder in the 3<sup>10</sup> helical region, which may be due to H-bond interactions.

### 13.4 Conformational constraints

Detailed knowledge of the differences in the conformations of the cleavage site in the various structures can be helpful in understanding the relevance
of the conformation for self-processing. Because all ADC structures are globally isomorphous (Table 13.1) this model system could be particularly well suited for studying such conformational differences. Apart from the crystallization of S25T, the same precipitants for crystallization and the same cryoprotection conditions were used for all mutants. Of the residues around the cleavage site, only His11 is likely to have a $pK_a$ between 4 and 8, and consequently no major pH-dependent conformational changes can be expected in this region, in the pH range in which pro-ADC and the ADC mutants were crystallized (Section 12.3). Therefore, it is likely that the differences between the structures are predominantly due to the changes in the amino acid sequences and the corresponding differences in interactions in the protein.

In pro-ADC, Gly24 of the unprocessed cleavage site has a positive $\phi$ (Table 13.3) and is in a left-handed $\alpha$-helical conformation. Any other residue in this position with the same conformation as this Gly would almost certainly introduce steric clashes. Consequently, in the structure of the inactive G24S mutant, the Ser24 carbonyl is in a different conformation (Figure 13.6 A), positioned away from Thr57.

However, introducing a residue before Gly24, as in A24a-G24b, does not

---

**Figure 13.5** Structure-annotated amino acid sequence of ADC in JOY-format (Mizuguchi et al., 1998a). See legend to Figure 11.4 for a key to the JOY-format.

---
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alter the conformation of the cleavage site significantly (Figure 13.6 B), and apparently leaves the geometry as well as the interactions of the cleavage site, observed in pro-ADC, intact (Figure 13.2 B). Nevertheless, this mutant interestingly does not process. As a consequence, in addition to the interactions and geometry of the cleavage site other factors appear to be influential for processing. Strain in the loop has been assumed to contribute to the self-processing reaction in pyruvoyl-dependent HisDC (Gallagher et al., 1993), GyrA intein splicing (Klabunde et al., 1998) and glycosylasparaginase (Xu et al., 1999). In the latter case significant deviations in the ω- (the dihedral angle around the rotation of C-N bond) and τ-angles (the angle between N-Cα and Cα-C) of the peptide bond of the cleavage site were observed. In pro-ADC no evidence for strain in the form of significant deviations in ω- and τ-angles from the mean ideal values (Table 13.4), and/or unusual peptide conformations in the peptide bonds of Glu23-Gly24 and Gly24-Ser25 could be observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue</th>
<th>ω (°)</th>
<th>τ (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glu23 A</td>
<td>177.9</td>
<td>114.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu23 B</td>
<td>182.0</td>
<td>115.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly24 A</td>
<td>181.7</td>
<td>114.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly24 B</td>
<td>184.8</td>
<td>112.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aThe values were calculated with DANG (Rossmann et al., 2001, p.729-731).

Nonetheless, in the eight structures of ADC presented here, side-chains of His21, Tyr22 and Glu23 in the ψ-loop are most often disordered (Figure 13.2 and Figure 13.3) and/or found in different conformations in the two subunits, even though the position of Gly24-Ser25 is constrained. Making the loop longer as in A24a-G24b and also in S25a-A25b lead to increased conformational heterogeneity, as indicated by the very different main-chain positions the residues in the ψ-loop adopt in the two subunits. Presumably, the added residue in A24a-G24b renders the loop incapable of stabilizing reaction intermediates of the self-processing reaction. Whereas a single defined conformation of the loop itself, does not seem to be essen-
13.4 Conformational constraints

The results suggest that a certain degree of conformational flexibility may be an important factor in allowing the processing to proceed.

The second insertion mutant S25a-A25b, like A24a-G24b, is also inactive. However, in this mutant the cleavage site is moved out of its normal environment. Ala25b is in exactly the same place as Ser25 in pro-ADC and Ala25 in S25A (Figure 13.6 A). Significantly, removal of the side-chain hydroxyl of Ser25 in pro-ADC, as in both the inactive S25A mutant and, effectively also in the S25a-A25b mutant causes a change in the conformation of the Gly24 and S25a carbonyl, respectively. In both structures the carbonyl is rotated \( \sim 180^\circ \) from its position in pro-ADC (Figure 13.6 A), H-bonding to Asn72 instead of Thr57. This conformational change results in a more extended conformation of Gly24. If the Ser25 hydroxyl was present, as in pro-ADC, steric hindrance with the Gly24 carbonyl would most likely prevent such a conformation.

The more bulky side-chain of a threonine in the position of Ser25, as in the inactive S25T mutant, appears to force Gly24, Thr25, and Cys26 out of their normal environment and further to the left from the perspective in Figure 13.3 D. There are no H-bonds between the Gly24 carbonyl and the Thr57 O\(_\gamma\). The structural differences in the mutant represent the most pronounced change in the position of the cleavage site in all mutant structures. However, it cannot be entirely excluded that the conformational change in S25T is partly caused by the malonic acid residue. This residue is located in the area that becomes the active site upon cleavage (see Figure 13.3 D).

In the structure of the active but unprocessed, H11A mutant, which is able to self-process, the conformation of the cleavage site is similar to that of pro-ADC, with the exception of the Gly24 carbonyl, which H-bonds to O\(_\xi\) of Tyr58 instead of the O\(_\gamma\) of Thr57. The removal of the imidazole group created a cavity that is occupied by Glu23, whose C\(_\alpha\) position is 1.75 Å further towards residue 11 compared with the position in pro-ADC.
Figure 13.6 Illustration of the superposition of the three-dimensional coordinates of Glu23 to Cys26 of pro-ADC with the respective residues in the ADC mutants (superposition procedure as in the legend to Table 13.1). From all structures subunit A was used, except from pro-ADC. Colour scheme, in general, as before (Figure 3.4 and Figure 4.5); additional colour scheme as follows: (A) Carbon: pro-ADC, white; G24S, dark grey; S25a-A25b, black; S25A, light-grey. Note the almost identical position of the S25a and Gly24 carbonyl in S25a-A25b and S25A, respectively. (B) Carbon: pro-ADC, white; A24a-G24b, light-grey; H11A, dark grey; S25T, black. Note the shift in the cleavage site in H11A, relative to pro-ADC.
Glu23 is occupying the space of the removed imidazole ring. However, Gly24 is H-bonding to the Tyr58 (mean distance: 2.97 Å) instead of H-bonding to Thr57. A sulfate and a water molecule are occupying the space between Gly24 and Thr57. Nevertheless, the transition state for processing of this mutant could be similar to that for pro-ADC with the carbonyl of Gly24 H-bonded to Thr57. This could explain the normal self-processing activity in this mutant. Such a conformation appears to be readily accessible from the observed ground state structure without major rearrangement.

Other experimenters (Ditzel et al., 1998; Tolbert et al., 2003a,b) had before modelled the nucleophile (Ser or Thr) in place of the substituted amino acid and had based their hypotheses about self-processing on such structures. However, this analysis clearly demonstrates that deducing the conformation and geometry of the cleavage site from the structures of the inactive S25A and S25T mutants, by computationally modelling a serine in place of alanine or threonine, would have most likely led to incorrect models for the native, unprocessed peptide bond. As a consequence, the oxyanion hole would, presumably, not have been identified. Even very modest changes in the active site, as in H11A, can result in a different observed ground state of the protein. The differences in the structures indicate that small but important changes apparently account for the loss of processing activity. Tight conformational constraints, including H-bonds and steric effects, define the optimal geometry of the cleavage site. Seemingly conservative substitutions can lead to significant conformational changes, to which self-processing in ADC is very sensitive.
13.5 Molecular mechanism of self-processing in ADC

Based on the structure of the native cleavage site and knowledge of the three dimensional coordinates for the ester as well as the pyruvoyl group (Albert et al., 2000), a mechanism for the cleavage reaction can be proposed. The N→O acyl shift is initiated by a nucleophilic attack of the Ser25 Oγ on the carbonyl carbon of Gly24. For this, the Gly24 carbonyl bond presumably is held in the conformation similar to the one observed in the pro-ADC structure in which it forms H-bonds to both the Oγ of Thr57 and the water molecule.

Molecular orbital constraints, which dictate the optimal trajectory for nucleophilic attack by the Ser25 hydroxyl, require the angle between the NSer—CGly=OGly peptide plane and the OGly24=CGly24—OγSer25 plane to be approximately orthogonal (Figure 13.7 B). In conjunction with the orthogonal angle between the Gly24-Ser25 peptide plane and the OGly24=CGly24—OγSer25 plane, the angle between the two vectors OGly24=CGly24 and CGly24=OGly24 (OγSer25—CGly24=OGly24 angle) ideally should lie between 100 and 110° (Figure 13.7 A). Bürgi et al. (1973) reported that this is the most favourable angle (Bürgi-Dunitz angle), at which the nucleophile approaches the carbonyl.

However, in pro-ADC the angle between the the NSer—CGly=OGly peptide plane and the OGly24=CGly24—OγSer25 plane is less than 10°. In addition, the Ser25 Oγ is more than 4 Å away from the Gly24 carbonyl carbon (see Table 13.1). Consequently, considerable rearrangement of the Ser25 hydroxyl towards the Gly24 mainchain carbon is necessary in order to allow attack of the nucleophile on the carbon, and for the cleavage reaction to occur. Furthermore, a certain degree of conformational flexibility, described above, of the preceding loop appears to be required.
It has been assumed that a specific residue or water molecule, base 1 of Figure 13.1, would act as a general base to enhance the nucleophilicity of the Ser25 Oγ. However, the residues in close vicinity that could directly or indirectly mediate this effect, Tyr58 and Asn72 (see Table 13.1, for distance comparison), have each been shown by mutagenesis to phenylalanine and alanine, respectively, not to be vital for self-processing (Michael Webb, personal communication). Both mutants were, apparently, capable of forming significant amounts of α- and β-chains on PAGE. Similarly, the hydroxyl group of the invariant Tyr58 (Figure 13.4) is a highly unlikely residue for the Hα proton abstraction, since the constructed Y58F mutation is able to form α- and β-chains in similar amounts as native ADC, as judged by tricine-PAGE (data not shown). Also, the Oη of Tyr58 is more than 4.4 Å away from the Ser25 Oγ in the pro-ADC structure. Likewise, although the Tyr22 side-chain is disordered in most of the ADC crystal structure, the likely position of the Oη of Tyr22 appears too far away in the structure models. Consequently, it is possible that in the hydrophilic environment of the Ser25 Oγ there is no specific residue for a general base. Instead, solvent, not observable in the electron density maps, may serve this role.
As described in Section 13.3, the Gly24 carbonyl forms H-bonds to both the O\textsubscript{\(\gamma\)} of Thr57 and the water residue (see Figure 13.8 A), which may help self-processing by polarizing the carbonyl and making it more susceptible to a nucleophilic attack. Subsequent to the initial nucleophilic attack, a transient oxoazolidine intermediate with a tetrahedral Gly24 carbon is formed. In ADC the resulting negative charge on the oxygen could be stabilized by H-bonds to the water molecule and/or the Thr57 O\textsubscript{\(\gamma\)}, which together effectively form the oxyanion hole. Assuming that the oxygen anion is in a position similar to that of the Gly24 carbonyl oxygen in pro-ADC, the oxyanion hole is ideally positioned to provide stabilization. Subsequent breakdown of the oxoazolidine intermediate necessitates protonation of the nitrogen by acid 1 (Figure 13.1), which may come from the water molecule or the Thr57 O\textsubscript{\(\gamma\)}. The resulting partial negative charge at the Thr57 O\textsubscript{\(\gamma\)} could be stabilized by either an H-bond to the main-chain amine of the invariant Tyr58 (Figure 13.4), which is at a distance of 2.79 Å to the Thr57 O\textsubscript{\(\gamma\)} in the subunit with the ester intermediate of processed ADC (Albert \textit{et al.}, 1998). Alternatively the water molecule, which in turn interacts with the N\textsubscript{\(\eta1\)} and N\textsubscript{\(\eta2\)} of Arg54, could stabilize the negative charge.

The Thr57 O\textsubscript{\(\gamma\)} may perhaps then act as the base 2 (Figure 13.1), for the subsequent H\textalpha proton abstraction of the ester intermediate (Figure 13.8 B). The Thr57 O\textsubscript{\(\gamma\)} is at a distance of 3.51 Å from the assigned hydrogen in the ester form in the apo-ADC structure (Figure 13.8). Thr57→Ala and Thr57→Val mutants were completely unable to self-process (Webb, M.E., personal communication) consistent with its central role. Another possible base could be the mainchain carbonyl oxygen of Tyr58, which is 3.18 Å away from the assigned H\textalpha hydrogen.

Apart from the main-chain H-bond between Asn72 and residue 25, none of the interactions identifiable in the cleavage site prior to processing is present any more in the structure of the ester intermediate in processed ADC (Albert \textit{et al.}, 1998). This indicates the conformational rearrangements that have taken place. Upon breakdown of the ester, Gly24 at the
Figure 13.8 Stereo-illustration of the three states of self-processing ADC. (A) The unprocessed cleavage site of pro-ADC (subunit B). (B) The ester intermediate observed at half occupancy in the processed ADC structure (Albert et al., 1998); indicated by a green dashed line is a possible line of the $\text{H}_\alpha$ proton abstraction by the Thr57 $\text{O}_\gamma$. (C) The cleavage site of processed ADC with the pyruvoyl group (Albert et al., 1998). The C$\alpha$ atom of Gly24 is now $\sim$8 Å away from its position in pro-ADC. All figures were prepared with PYMOL (DeLano, 2002).
carboxyl terminus of the $\beta$-chain, moves away (Figure 13.8 C). It has a strikingly different position in the pro-enzyme and active ADC enzyme structure with a $C_\alpha$ atom difference of 7.6 Å and 8.0 Å, in subunits A and B of processed ADC, respectively (subunit B of pro-ADC was superposed on subunits A and B of processed ADC). This difference illustrates the conformational change the loop undergoes upon self-processing. After the Gly24 residue had moved away from the pyruvoyl N-terminus of the $\alpha$-chain, the active site for the decarboxylation of L-aspartate is formed.

13.6 Explanation for processing activity in the ADC mutants

The suggested model of the molecular mechanism for self-processing can provide a rationale for why S25A, G24S, S25T, A24a-G24b, and S25a-A25b do not process and why H11A and S25C do. The S25A mutant lacks the $O_\gamma$ and so cannot process. In G24S the conformation of the Gly24 carbonyl is altered significantly, such that the H-bonds between the Gly24 carbonyl and Thr57 and the water molecule are not present. The same applies to S25T, where the Gly24 carbonyl oxygen is more than 5.8 Å away from the Thr57 $O_\gamma$. The insertion mutants A24a-G24b and S25a-A25b do not process because of the altered conformational freedom of the loop. Additionally, in S25a-A25b the Gly24 carbonyl is too far away to interact with Thr57.

The H11A structure appears to be able to access a similar transition state as in pro-ADC, in order to process. S25C does process in solution and the fraction that crystallized contained predominantly the processed $\alpha$- and $\beta$-chains. The free Cys at the N-terminus of the $\alpha$-chain of processed S25C, observed in the structure, arises, presumably, by non-productive hydrolysis of the more reactive thioester intermediate. The latter may be espe-
cially susceptible to hydrolysis under the acidic crystallization condition around pH 4. The lower $pK_a$ of the thiol-group of cysteine compared to the hydroxyl-group of serine may explain why this structurally conservative substitution affects protein self-processing in ADC strongly.

13.7 Comparison with other self-processing systems

Protein self-processing occurs as a protein maturation event in evolutionary unrelated eukaryotic and prokaryotic enzymes. The cleavage site is comprised of Glu-Ser in pyruvoyl-dependent AdometDC and Ser-Ser in both pyruvoyl-dependent ArgDC and HisDC. In pyruvoyl-dependent phosphatidylserine decarboxylase the cleavage site is formed of Gly-Ser, whereas in subunits of pyruvoyl-dependent glycine-, proline-, and sarcosine reductase, it consists of Asn/Thr-Cys (Bednarski et al., 2001; van Poelje and Snell, 1990). Ser→Cys and Ser→Thr mutants of the cleavage site Ser in HisDC, AdometDC, and phosphatidylserine decarboxylase were able to process at significantly reduced rates (Vanderslice et al., 1988; Xiong et al., 1997). Abortive chain cleavage without pyruvoyl formation, which occurred in these mutants in HisDC, was most likely the result of hydrolysis of the ester, similar to S25C ADC. Instead of the pyruvoyl group, a cysteine or threonine was retained at the N-terminus of the α-chain, indicative that the ester-intermediate was hydrolyzed. A Ser81→Ala mutation in HisDC cleaved extremely slowly and mis-cleavage occurred between residues 80 and 81 (Gelfman et al., 1991).

In the unprocessed structure of Ser53→Ala mutant of precursor ArgDC the modelled Ser53 side-chain was positioned to attack the si-face of the Ser52 carbonyl (Tolbert et al., 2003a). It was proposed that the Ser52 side-chain could function as part of an oxyanion hole. Based on the analysis
in this study, described above (Section 13.4), an alternative conformation of this carbonyl in the native cleavage site H-bonding to the Oγ of the invariant Asn47 seems plausible. In order to H-bond to Asn47 the carbonyl would have to adopt a conformation pointing in a direction almost opposite to that in the S53A mutant structure. This would provide a role for Asn47, which is one of only three invariant residues in ArgDC (Tolbert et al., 2003a), in the self-processing mechanism.

In AdometDC, the side-chain amine of a His is assumed to be base 2 for the Hα proton abstraction (Ekstrom et al., 1999), but no oxyanion hole could be identified. In the precursor Ser68→Ala mutant structure the Glu carbonyl of the Glu-Ser cleavage site is H-bonding to the Sγ of the variant Cys82 (Tolbert et al., 2003b). Based on this study on ADC and on comparison with other systems this conformation may not be considered representative of the native cleavage site and led to a different interpretation. A conformation with the carbonyl pointing in the opposite direction would provide a role for the Oγ of the invariant Ser229 as part of an oxyanion hole. In contrast to Cys82 (Xiong et al., 1999), the hydroxyl group of Ser229 was shown by mutagenesis to be essential for processing (Xiong and Pegg, 1999). A Ser229→Cys mutant processed slowly, whereas a Ser229→Thr mutant processed normally (Xiong and Pegg, 1999). However, Ser66→Ala, Glu67→Gln, Ser69→Ala, mutants around the Glu67-Ser68 cleavage site had little effect on self-processing (Stanley and Pegg, 1991; Stanley et al., 1989). Putrescine is known to stimulate the self-processing reaction in certain eukaryotic AdometDCs, although the putrescine binding site is well separated from the cleavage site (Ekstrom et al., 2001).

The Oγ of an invariant Ser (Ser129) forms part of an oxyanion hole in the β-subunit of the proteasome (Ditzel et al., 1998) and that potentially polarizes the Gly carbonyl. The Ser129→Ala mutation completely abolished processing, whereas Thr→Ser and Thr→Cys mutants were able to process at reduced rates (Seemuller et al., 1996). The Gly of the conserved
Gly-Thr cleavage site makes a kink in the Thr1→Ala precursor mutant structure, similar to that in pro-ADC. The computationally modelled Thr Oγ is positioned at a distance of 3.1 Å from the Gly mainchain carbon.

Interestingly, a conserved Thr, whose Oγ can form part of an oxyanion hole, as in ADC, was also identified in the hedgehog protein, in inteins, and in the Ntn glycosylasparaginase. The completely conserved Thr326 is located in close proximity to the cleavage site in the hedgehog protein crystal structure (Hall et al., 1997). In common with inteins, which are most likely evolutionary related to hedgehog proteins, this threonine forms part of a conserved (TxxH) amino acid sequence motif (see Subsection 4.2.2). The Oγ of the conserved Thr72 in the GyrA intein crystal structure, H-bonds to the carbonyl which is attacked by the nucleophile (Klabunde et al., 1998) and is in a similar position both in the structures of VMA29-intein Cys1→Ala mutant (Poland et al., 2000) and in the VMA1-derived intein precursor quadruple mutant (Mizutani et al., 2002). However, again extrapolating from ADC, the carbonyl in the latter two may not be in its native conformation, since it either lacks any close H-bonding donors or else points away from the side-chains of the conserved Thr or His, which could contribute to an oxyanion hole.

In the unprocessed Trp11→Phe mutant crystal structure of F. meningosepticum glycosylasparaginase (Xu et al., 1999) the Thr170 Oγ and a water residue H-bond to the carbonyl oxygen of Asp151 in the cleavage site, and appear to form an oxyanion hole. The relative position of the Thr170, the Asp151 carbonyl, and the oxyanion hole are strikingly similar to the respective arrangement in ADC (Figure 13.9). The Asp151 carbonyl oxygen forms two H-bonds, one to the water residue, which is at a distance of 2.9 Å, and another to the Thr170 Oγ, which is 2.7 Å away from the carbonyl oxygen. Similarly to ADC, a developing negative charge on the Thr170 could, in principle, be stabilized by H-bonding to a mainchain amine. The mainchain amines of Ser171 and Gly171 are both at a distance of 2.9 Å from the Thr170 Oγ.
The unprocessed T152C mutant structure of glycosylasparaginase indicated conformational strain within the peptide bond, that is normally cleaved. The peptide bond significantly deviates from planarity and has an $\omega$-angle of 160° instead of the ideal 180°. Mutagenesis studies of Thr170→Ala/Cys/Ser showed a strong decrease in self-processing activity for the Thr170→Ala and Thr170→Cys mutants and confirmed the key role of this residue for self-processing (Guan et al., 1998). Asp151 in the conserved Asp151-Thr152 cleavage site makes a kink that has similarity to that of Gly24 in ADC. Thr152→Ser and Thr152→Ser mutants were able to process at reduced rates (Guo et al., 1998; Liu et al., 1998), whereas Asp151 appears to be essential for processing. Of the site-directed mutants Asp151→Ala/Arg/Asn/Gly/Gln/Glu/His/Leu/Val/Met/Pro/Ser/Thr only the Asp151→Gly mutant was capable of self-processing (Guan et al., 1998). Nonetheless, Asp151 was suggested to be able to act as the base (base 1 in Figure 13.1) (Xu et al., 1999). However, results on *H. sapiens* glycosylasparaginase, indicate that a water molecule could act as the general base (Saarela et al., 2004).

It was found that small amino acids, such as glycine, reversibly inhibit
the reaction of the T152C and W11F precursor mutants (Guan et al., 1998; Xu et al., 1999). In contrast, no inhibition was observed for the wildtype enzyme form. The glycine H-bonds to the Nη1 and Nη2 of the conserved Arg180 via its carboxyl group. The corresponding arginine (Arg211) in the H. sapiens glycosylasparaginase crystal structure (Oinonen et al., 1995) also H-bonds to the two oxygens of the γ-carboxyl of L-aspartate (the mode of binding is presumably the same with the natural substrate asparagine). Notably, a similar interaction exists between the γ-carboxyl group and Arg54 in the structure of ADC in complex with β-alanine (see Figure 4.3).

The structures of Ser→Ala mutants of the Gly-Ser cleavage site in the Ntn cephalosporin acylase (Kim et al., 2002) and the Ntn glutaryl-7-aminocephalosporanic acid acylase (Kim et al., 2003) indicated that a water molecule could function as the base to increase the nucleophilicity of the Ser Oγ. In both enzymes the main-chain nitrogen of a histidine could stabilize a developing negative charge. Site-directed mutagenesis and activity studies showed that changing the Gly-1 prohibited the enzyme from self-processing (Li et al., 1999a). A Ser→Thr replacement did not affect the processing rate in glutaryl-7-aminocephalosporanic acid acylase, whereas a Ser→Cys mutant showed reduced processing (Lee and Park, 1998). Whereas a Ser→Thr mutant did self-process in cephalosporin acylase, a Ser→Cys mutant was not able to self-process at a significant rate (Li et al., 1999b).

Similarly as in ADC, in the trimeric ArgDC and HisDC the active site is located at the subunit interface. This is in contrast to the dimeric AdoMetDC, where the cleavage site is located far away from the interface. Although multimeric assembly does not appear to be a general requirement for self-processing, it was shown to be necessary for the proteasome (Seemuller et al., 1996) and glycosylasparaginase (Tikkanen et al., 1996).
13.8 Conclusions

Based on comparison with other self-processing systems, there does appear to be a preference for the location of the self-cleavage site in between two β-strands or at the beginning of a β-strand. Although no single structural motif is used by the self-processing enzymes for the cleavage site, glycine is the most common residue preceding the nucleophile in self-processing systems, presumably due to its conformational adaptability. In contrast to glycosylasparaginase and the GyrA intein, no evidence for the role of strain in self-processing could be found in ADC. However, the analysis described above, sounds a cautionary note about both the interpretation and the relevance of models and mechanisms, based solely on the structure of Ser→Ala or Ser→Thr mutants, in other self-processing systems. As shown in this study, the cleavage site of such models may not adopt the conformation it does in the native cleavage site, prior to self-processing.

So far, a specific molecule or residue for a general base, base 1, could be identified in cephalosporin acylase, glutaryl-7-aminocephalosporanic acid acylase, in the proteosome, and in glycosylasparaginase, but not in any of the other self-processing enzymes. In all four structures, (ordered) water appears to be able to act as the general base for the proton abstraction of the nucleophile. No such ordered water molecule could be observed in the model for the pro-ADC crystal structure. This could suggest that general base catalysis by a specific residue may not be a requirement for the initial nucleophilic attack. More likely, however, solvent water could serve this function.

At physiological or neutral pH the equilibrium between amides and esters strongly favours the amides in a general open chemical system (Elliott, 1952). Nonetheless, chemical model systems have shown that the equilibrium between amide bond and ester can be on the side of the ester, when
the amine is protonated (Iwai and Ando, 1967). Self-processing enzymes may additionally facilitate the N→O/S rearrangement by polarization of the attacked carbonyl bond and by stabilizing the oxyoxazolidine intermediate in an oxyanion hole. Comparison with other self-processing systems implies that the oxyanion hole is a crucial, general requirement for self-processing. Therefore, stabilization of the oxyoxazolidine intermediate could be the important step to drive the reaction. Interestingly, this stabilization in most of the self-processing enzymes is different from the oxyanion hole identified in serine proteases, which is formed mainly by hydrogens from main-chain nitrogens rather than oxygens. This could be a consequence of the multiple roles the stabilizing groups have in self-processing systems, to both polarize the carbonyl bond in the first step in the reaction and stabilize the resulting negative charge in the second step. In addition to the electrochemical rules the oxyanion hole serves it may also ensure the correct orientation of the carbonyl bond, and the oxyoxazolidine intermediate, so that amino acid residues are optimally positioned for the subsequent Hα proton-abstraction.

Solvent, an ordered water molecule, and the catalytic Thr57 residue could be sufficient to catalyze the self-processing reaction in the context of the protein in ADC. There appear to be overall similarities of the mechanism of self-processing mechanism in ADC with that known from serine proteases, such as the tetrahedral intermediate and general acid-base catalysis. In contrast, other features, such as a charge-relay system with a catalytic triad seem to be absent.

The reason why Ser25 is invariant, may be explained by the fact that the thioester, presumably formed in the Ser25→Cys mutant, is too reactive. However, considering the slow-processing rate, and the possible mis-processing (see Subsection 4.2.1) of ADC, the self-processing reaction in ADC may not be particularly optimized, although ADC appears to have considerable conformational specificity for the reaction.
Self-processing of ADC in *E. coli* was reported to be significantly faster *in vivo* than *in vitro* (Michael Witty, personal communication). The reason for this may be an external self-processing factor. A small molecule could bind to the pocket H-bonding to the \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) of Arg54, instead of the water molecule (see Figure 13.8), possibly providing additional stabilization of reaction intermediates, such as the oxyoxazolidine intermediate. This cavity appears to be particularly attractive for small molecule, because a sulfate residue was found in the H11A, S25a-A25b, and S25C structures. In S25T, a malonic acid residue was positioned there. A similar cavity exists in glycosylasparaginase, and a glycine molecule binds to this region in the T152C and W11F crystal structures. Although, in the later case glycine inhibits rather than stimulates the reaction.

However, this study has shown that functionality of residues for the self-processing reaction and the catalysis carried out by the enzyme overlap. This appears to be the case for Arg54, which H-bonds to the water for the self-processing reaction, and to the \( \gamma \)-carboxyl group of L-aspartate for the decarboxylation reaction.

The results of this study on *E. coli* ADC appear to be relevant to ADCs from pathogenic bacteria, such as *H. pylori* (Kwon et al., 2002) and *M. tuberculosis* (Chopra et al., 2002), which are potential drug targets, since the key residues are invariant throughout all sequences (Figure 13.4). Further structural information on the cleavage reaction is useful in understanding the respective biochemistry and can aid in producing drugs to prevent protein maturation. The molecular mechanism of ADC is likely to have significant parallels in other self-processing systems. However, high resolution crystal structures of intermediates and true precursors from other systems will be necessary to determine whether the geometrical restraints and principle mechanism that were proposed in ADC are the same in other systems.
13.9 Future work

Although this study could potentially identify interactions that are key to the self-processing reaction, a more thorough understanding of the molecular mechanism will doubtlessly require additional data. The mechanistic model of the self-processing reaction presented in Section 13.5 is mainly based on crystallographic results of the structures of pro-ADC and the site-directed mutants. It is consequently limited by what can be reliably interpreted from such kind of data. The limitation particularly applies to the static representation of the model, that does not reflect the dynamic elements of the reaction.

From this study the following issues appear to be in need to be addressed: The proposed chemical and structural role both of Thr57 and the water molecule have to be confirmed and, importantly, discerned, in detail (the Thr57 O\(\gamma\) and the water are equidistant from the oxygen of the Gly24 carbonyl group, (Section 13.3, Table 13.1)). Does the Thr57 O\(\gamma\) indeed provide the acid (acid 1 in Section 13.5) and/or the base 2; or is its role merely a structural one to orient the carbonyl-group. Is there a chemical role for the water? Does it, as suggested (Section 13.3, Section 13.5), stabilize the exocyclic oxygen in the oxyoxazolidine intermediate, and/or provide the acid 1? And/or is its role a structural one, or a combination of both?

Based on the analysis in this study, an Asn72→Ala site-directed amino acid mutation of ADC was already constructed and the respective crystal structure solved (Carina Lobley and Michael Webb, personal communication). The structure model revealed a processed cleavage site with a Ser instead of a pyruvyl-group at the N-terminus of the \(\alpha\)-chain. This does imply a role for Asn72 in the self-processing reaction. Nonetheless, a direct functional role for the O\(\delta1\) or N\(\delta2\) of Asn72 as base 1 seems improbable. The O\(\delta1\) is likely to carry a fractional negative charge and the N\(\delta2\) is usually not a strong enough general base. However, it needs to be investigated
whether the role of Asn72 is mainly structural, as this study suggests, or whether it includes chemical effects. In addition, ongoing work, presently underway, attempts to solve crystal structures of Thr57 mutants, such as Thr57→Ala, Thr57→Val, and Thr57→Ser mutants. An Arg54→Ala mutant would be interesting to study, since the water molecule could be displaced from its position without the stabilizing interactions with the Nη1 and Nη2 of the invariant Arg54.

Although conventional site-directed mutagenesis studies, such as carried out for this study, can suggest a particular role for a residue, the evidence is not comparable with the kind of evidence an atom-specific mechanistic understanding would require. However, more subtle and systematic investigation may in principle be done by incorporation of unnatural amino acids. These have successfully been used as probes for enzyme function and protein structure, before (Cook et al., 1995). Implementation of such amino acids in the protein is possible by nonsense suppression. The respective methodology for the incorporation of unnatural amino acids has been reviewed by Dougherty (2000). It is essentially based on site-directed mutagenesis of the codon, encoding the residue one intends to alter, to a stop-codon. An aminoacyl transfer-RNA, with the appropriate anticodon, is then chemically acylated with the unnatural amino acid and added to the translation system (often an in vitro system).

In the case of ADC it would be interesting to apply this technique in order to study the effect of polarity of the Thr57 sidechain on self-processing. One could exchange the Oγ of Thr57 to a less nucleophilic atom, such as a nitrogen, and carry out activity assays and crystallographic analysis of this mutant. Furthermore, a Thr57 mutant with an additional carbon on the oxygen could potentially be of interest, to test the implication of the Oγ hydrogens in acid-base catalysis. Likewise, an amino acid could be synthesized where the side-chain atoms Oδ1 or Nδ2 of Asn72 are both, or individually, changed to a carbon atom. One could possibly distinguish steric and chemical effects of such an isosteric mutant in more detail. Ad-
Additionally, the nonsense suppression technique could be applied to incorporate an ester, instead of the backbone amide, for Asn72 and Cys26, by acylating the respective α-hydroxy acids instead of the α-amino acids with aminoacyl transfer-RNAs. In this manner the importance of the backbone interactions of Asn72-Ser25 and also Cys26-Tyr58 for the conformation of Ser25, and, consequently, for self-processing could be determined.

However, the static, time-averaged representation of the state that conventional crystallography, with a monochromatic X-ray source, can provide may not be able to unequivocally answer the questions raised above. More direct, chemical evidence would be desirable. Following the events of proton donation and proton abstraction, as indicated in the chemical scheme of (Figure 13.1), directly, is difficult by any biophysical and biochemical means. Radioactive labelling experiments with deuterium do not seem to be feasible, as the deuterium would presumably quickly exchange with solvent. In contrast, obtaining a three-dimensional representation of the oxyoxazolidine intermediate (Figure 13.1) of the reaction could be amenable. Identification of the interactions of the intermediate could then provide more reliable evidence for a molecular mechanism.

For this purpose, a time-resolved crystallographic technique known as Laue diffraction (reviewed by Ren et al. (1999)) may help to collect X-ray data in a short enough time. This technique could be combined with a chemically protected Ser25 residue. Incorporation of the serine, the Oγ of which could be protected with for instance a o-nitrobenzyl group, would be carried out with nonsense suppression as described above. Photolysis by light then releases the nitrobenzyl group and reveals the previously protected functionality. This technique has indeed already been carried out with a serine residue, for elucidation of self-processing in inteins, by Cook et al. (1995). Protection of Ser25 in ADC may be necessary to initiate the reaction only after the protein has been crystallized and after the crystals have been brought to the X-ray data collection facility. The self-processing reaction would then be started at a specific time point.
13.9 Future work

Rapid reaction initiation (at the femtosecond scale), to deprotect the serine residue, can be accomplished by applying a short laser pulse. Laser systems with nanosecond and femtosecond pulses have been implemented at ID09 beamline, at the European synchrotron radiation facility, and synchronized with the X-ray pulses (see references in (Ren et al., 1999)). Reaction initiation by this method and immediate Laue diffraction data collection have successfully been applied by Stoddard et al. (1990). In this case, an active-site serine residue of chymotrypsin was protected as a cinnamic acid ester, photolyzed, and X-ray data were collected. For ADC, an identical experiment as for the native enzyme could also be carried out with a Ser25→Cys ADC mutant, which may be more reactive with respect to the initial step. The higher electron density of the sulfur, may additionally assist in interpretation of the possible intermediate. Particularly, at medium resolution it is sometimes difficult to discern oxygen atoms from carbon atoms in electron density maps.

The results of such experiments, as implied by the parallels of the self-processing reaction of ADC with other systems (Section 13.7), may indeed have a far-reaching impact on the understanding of the molecular mechanism of self-processing.
Part IV

Concluding remarks
Crystallization of KPHMT with cofactor analogues  Several crystallization conditions for KPHMT in the presence of two cofactor-analogues and/or α-kiva were obtained. However, for most of them the quality of the crystals was limited, as the X-ray diffraction extended to ~4.0 Å on the in-house X-ray generator. Eventually, crystallization conditions were found that yielded KPHMT crystals that were larger in all three dimensions. Reproducing these crystals could improve the X-ray diffraction limit, and consequently may enable successful data collection. Calculating Fourier-maps will be imperative to determine the mode of binding of the cofactor to the enzyme.

Comparison of the KPHMT structure  Based on the sequence analysis, and structure analysis of the KPHMT (βα)₈-fold, it was possible to classify KPHMT into a superfamily in the SCOP database, to draw conclusions about the evolutionary relationship of the enzymes within this superfamily, and to gain insight into both the conservation of ligand binding to the catalytic Mg²⁺, as well as similarities and differences in the molecular mechanism. It was shown that KPHMT is a member of the PEP/pyruvate superfamily, forming a family of its own within. Based on the analysis of the members of this superfamily, a subdivision into two groups in this superfamily appeared conceivable. This analysis completed the structural analysis of the four *E. coli* enzymes of pantothenate biosynthesis.

Structure solution of ADC enzymes  Jointly with Michael Webb, a fast purification protocol for eight ADC mutants has been devised. This allowed crystallization of several of these ADC mutants. In this study, a full X-ray diffraction dataset was successfully collected on two ADC mutants, G24S and S25T, for the latter at near atomic resolution. Their crystal structures were solved by molecular replacement, and/or directly by using the previously solved ADC structure as a search model. Together with the already solved pro-ADC and S25A structures, these structures were refined
IV. Concluding remarks

to comparably low $R_{\text{cryst}}$ and $R_{\text{free}}$ values, the structure models assessed, and deposited in the PDB.

**Structural analysis of ADC enzymes**  The four ADC structures together with the ADC structures of A24a-G24b, H11A, S25a-A25b, and S25C, were the subject of a detailed comparative analysis. The results of the investigation imply that specific conformational constraints govern the self-processing reaction. Geometrical data from the cleavage site of the pro-ADC structure indicate that considerable rearrangement of the Ser25 hydroxyl towards the Gly24 carbonyl carbon is necessary for the self-processing reaction to occur. The conformational freedom in the loop preceding the cleavage site appears to play a determining role in the reaction. Comparison of the structural features with other self-processing systems shows significant similarity, particularly with the enzyme glycosylasparaginase. The results of the analysis suggest that the Thr57 $O_\gamma$ and a water molecule form an oxyanion hole that could stabilize the oxyoxazolidine intermediate in the self-processing reaction. Furthermore, the Thr57 $O_\gamma$ and this water could play key roles in the mechanism, by supporting acid-base catalysis during the reaction. In order to gain further insight into the mechanism, and, particularly, to discern steric and electrochemical effects, a series of experiments has been suggested.
Part V

Appendix
A Crystallization of morphine dehydrogenase

A.1 Introduction

Apart from structural research on the two enzymes in the pantothenate pathway, studies on the crystallization of morphine dehydrogenase were carried out. This project was in close collaboration with Dr Amrik Basran and Prof. Neil Bruce, whose laboratory (Institute of Biotechnology, University of Cambridge) the research on this enzyme was initiated in.

Morphine dehydrogenase is a monomeric enzyme with a molecular mass of \(~32\) kDa (Bruce et al., 1991). It catalyzes the NADP\(^+\)-dependent oxidation of morphine and codeine to morphinone and codeinone, respectively. The enzyme was isolated from a \(P. \text{ putida}\) M10 strain, found in opiate factory waste liquor (Bruce et al., 1990). This bacterial strain apparently can grow on morphine as the sole source of carbon. The oxidation of morphine to morphinone (Figure A.1) by morphine dehydrogenase was observed to be the first step in the catabolism of morphine in this organism. The enzyme appears to have an absolute requirement for the NADP\(^+\) cofactor for activity (Bruce et al., 1991).
A.1 Introduction

The gene, encoding morphine dehydrogenase, was found to be located on a large plasmid (165 kb), from which it appears to be constitutively expressed by the bacterium (Bruce et al., 1990). The gene was cloned and sequenced (Willey et al., 1993), and, subsequently, morphine dehydrogenase was recombinantly overexpressed in E. coli (Walker et al., 2000). Amino acid sequence analysis indicated that the enzyme belongs to the aldo-ketoreductase family of alcohol dehydrogenases (Bruce et al., 1991). It was shown to be closely homologous to eighteen proteins, and highest similarity was observed with 2,5-dioxo-D-gluconic acid reductases. The protein was purified to high homogeneity in a two-step protocol with affinity chromatography on Mimetic orange 3 A6X, a specific dye for NADP⁺-binding enzymes, and gel-filtration (see Bruce et al. (1991) for a detailed purification procedure). During the whole purification procedure the protein solutions were supplemented with at least 2 mM DTT, and kept at 4°C and/or on ice. For crystallization trials in this study, the protein was purified and supplied by Dr Amrik Basran.

Morphine dehydrogenase was made the basis of a biochemical assay for the detection of opiates in urine (Holt et al., 1995). Moreover, the enzyme certainly has a high potential for future biomedical applications, such as the production of semisynthetic opiate drugs. Rational engineering of

![Figure A.1 Schematic illustration of the oxidation of morphine to morphinone catalyzed by morphine dehydrogenase.](image-url)
A.2 Experimental procedures and results

Initially, crystallization screening focused on crystallization of morphine dehydrogenase on its own. The enzyme was dialyzed into 5 mM DTT, 25 mM Hepes pH 7.4 at 4°C, with a dialysis tubing with a molecular weight membrane cut-off of 25 kDa. The addition of DTT appeared important because the enzyme was found to rapidly lose activity if thiol-protecting agents were not added. Crystallization trials were carried out as soon as possible after the end of the dialysis, usually on the same day. Samples for later usage were frozen with 20%(v/v) glycerol at −80°C. The protein concentration was assessed as described in Subsection 7.3.1, using the theoretical absorption coefficient of morphine dehydrogenase at 280 nm ($\epsilon_{280} = 37530 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ or 1.173 ml mg$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) (calculated with the Protparam utility\(^1\) (Gill and von Hippel, 1989) from the Swissprot-Expasy internet server).

Morphine dehydrogenase was reported to be unstable at 4°C with respect to its activity (Bruce et al., 1991). Therefore, all crystallization trials were carried out at 4°C as well as at 19°C, with a protein concentration of 14-38 mg/ml. However, in spite of extensive crystallization screening with a number of commercially available crystallization screens, no crystals could be obtained. Therefore, subsequent screening focused on crystallization of the protein, in the presence of NADP$^+$ and a non-hydrolysable analogue, thionicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate.

The cofactor and cofactor analogue were initially dissolved in 1 M

\(^1\)http://ca.expasy.org/tools/protparam.html
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NaCH$_3$CO$_2$ pH 5.5 (adjusted with HCl) to potentially prolong the lifetime of the cofactor. NADP$^+$ is reported to be stable for a longer time at acidic pH. Later, the cofactor was dissolved in in MilliQ water, which did not have any apparent impact on the crystallization, compared with dissolution in NaCH$_3$CO$_2$. For crystallization trials the protein was incubated with the cofactor solution on ice, in a protein:cofactor ratio of 1:2-6, for for at least 45 min, prior to setting up crystallization trials.

Several crystallization conditions of morphine dehydrogenase in the presence of NADP$^+$ could be found. Crystals usually appeared after 3-4 weeks. The crystallization trays at 17$^\circ$C were covered with foil to minimize exposure to light. Likewise, the trays at 4$^\circ$C were in an incubator impermeable to visible light.

The largest three-dimensional crystals (Figure A.2) could be obtained with a precipitant solution of 1.5 and 1.9 M sodium malonate, NaOH, pH: 5.0. The protein concentrations used were 17 and 29.8 mg/ml, with a protein: NADP$^+$ ratio of 1:3. Crystals grew, at 4$^\circ$C only, as needles with a maximum length in one dimension of 170 µm (Figure A.2 A,B). Using a protein concentration of 17 mg/ml yielded fewer, but larger crystals.

A second promising precipitant condition was 30% PEG8000, 0.1 M Na-cacodylate, 0.2 M (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, pH 6.5 (condition 14 of the Molecular Dimensions Structure screen 1). The protein concentrations were 17 and 29.8 mg/ml, with a protein:NADP$^+$ ratio of 1:4. The crystals grew at 17$^\circ$C only, as needles (Figure A.2 C). However, no significant difference in the size of the crystals could be observed by using a protein concentration of 17 or 29.8 mg/ml.

Both of the above mentioned crystallization conditions could be reproduced, however, as mentioned above, the response time from setting up crystallization trials to obtaining crystals was 3-4 weeks.
A.2 Experimental procedures and results

Figure A.2 Morphology of the morphine dehydrogenase crystals obtained in the presence of NADP⁺. (A), (B) Crystals grown with sodium malonate as a precipitant, (C) Crystals grown with 30% PEG8000, 0.1 M Na-cacodylate, 0.2 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, pH 6.5 as precipitant solution. (D) Crystals grown with ammonium formate, 20% PEG3350, pH: 6.6.

Ammonium formate, 20% PEG3350, pH: 6.6 (solution 23 of the Hampton PEG/ion screen) was another successful precipitant to give crystals for morphine dehydrogenase. A protein: NADP⁺ ratio of 1:4, with a protein concentration of 17 and 29.8 mg/ml, was used. Crystals grew as fine needles (Figure A.2 D) at 17°C only.

Several crystals, grown of morphine dehydrogenase in the presence of NADP⁺, with sodium malonate (1.5+1.9 M, pH 5.0) as precipitant, have been cryoprotected with sodium malonate, and were frozen in liquid N₂. These await screening at a synchrotron X-ray source. Further optimization of the obtained crystallization conditions is currently underway, and it is
hoped to increase the size of the crystals. One problem, so far, was the rather long response time for the crystallization experiments. Crystals appear to grow rather slowly and could only be observed 3-4 weeks after the crystallization trials were set up. Optimization of the crystallization conditions could possibly reduce the time until crystals emerge.
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