Molecular determinants of pathogenesis and clinical phenotype in myeloproliferative neoplasms
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ABSTRACT

The myeloproliferative neoplasms are a heterogeneous group of clonal disorders characterized by the overproduction of mature cells in the peripheral blood, together with an increased risk of thrombosis and progression to acute myeloid leukemia. The majority of patients with Philadelphia-chromosome negative myeloproliferative neoplasms harbor somatic mutations in Janus kinase 2, leading to constitutive activation. Acquired mutations in calreticulin or myeloproliferative leukemia virus oncogene are found in a significant number of patients with essential thrombocytopenia or myelofibrosis, and mutations in numerous epigenetic regulators and spliceosome components are also seen. Although the cellular and molecular consequences of many of these mutations remain unclear, it seems likely that they interact with germline and microenvironmental factors to influence disease pathogenesis. This review will focus on the determinants of specific myeloproliferative neoplasm phenotypes as well as on how an improved understanding of molecular mechanisms can inform our understanding of the disease entities themselves.

Introduction

The classical Philadelphia-negative myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs) are characterized by clonal expansion at a hematopoietic progenitor level with the overproduction of mature myeloid and erythroid progeny. Clinically, they share the features of bone marrow hypercellularity, increased incidence of thrombosis or hemorrhage, and an increased rate of transformation to acute myeloid leukemia, which is usually fatal. Since these are chronic conditions that normally manifest well in advance of leukemic transformation, they offer an invaluable model for studying the earliest steps of leukemogenesis, including the ways in which somatic mutations perturb stem and progenitor cell function.

Current diagnostic criteria separate Philadelphia-negative MPNs into three distinct disease entities: polycythemia vera (PV) – primarily characterized by a raised red cell mass; essential thrombocythemia (ET) – characterized by an isolated increase in platelet numbers; and idiopathic/primary myelofibrosis (MF) – in which the hematopoietic compartment is gradually replaced with collagen fibers, leading to bone marrow failure and extramedullary hematopoiesis, and which is often associated with constitutional symptoms.

Mutations affecting cytokine receptor signaling pathways

Mutations in Janus Kinase 2 (JAK2)

In 1951 William Dameshek hypothesized that rather than these being “pure” proliferations, the myeloproliferative conditions PV, ET and MF may represent differing manifestations of a single underlying process.1 This hypothesis was borne out by the discovery of a valine to phenylalanine substitution at codon 617 (V617F, due to a G>T substitution), of the JAK2 gene in over 95% of patients with PV and
JAK2 is a cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase, required for signal transduction from type I cytokine receptors which include those for thrombopoietin, erythropoietin and granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), and therefore plays a vital role in myelopoiesis.

**Molecular consequences of JAK2 mutations**

The V617F mutation occurs in the JH2 (or “pseudokinase”) domain (see Figure 1A) and results in constitutive activation of the JH1 kinase domain. The mechanism by which this occurs is increasingly becoming clear. In short, there is evidence that the mutation may reduce the auto-inhibitory function of the JH2 domain via changes in JH1-JH2 conformation and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) binding. The expression of JAK2V617F has been shown to allow for JAK2 signaling in the absence of cytokine receptor ligation, but the expression of type I cytokine receptors and a functional FERM domain (required for receptor binding) are still required for JAK2 signaling and cytokine-independent growth. Furthermore, the V617F mutation may allow for the escape from negative regulation by the suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS3). The increased JAK2 signaling recapitulates that seen in the physiological response to cytokine binding, namely the increased activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 1, 3 and 5, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) pathways. STAT5 in particular is thought to have a critical role in the pathogenesis of PV.

Mutations in exon 12, located between F533 and F547, within the linker region between the SH2 and JH2 domains (Figure 1A), are found in 1-2% of patients with PV. These mutations also induce constitutive activation, but to a greater degree than JAK2V617F, with greater JAK2 phosphorylation and MAPK pathway activation, and also result in cytokine-independent growth. The mechanism by which these mutations act is less well understood, but given their location, it is likely that they also disrupt JH1-JH2 domain interactions.

Moreover, a number of non-canonical roles have also been described for JAK2, which may be perturbed by pathogenic mutations. JAK2 has been found to localize to the nucleus, where it can phosphorylate tyrosine 41 on histone H3. This has been associated with the increased expression of LMO2, which has been implicated in leukemogenesis. JAK2V617F expression has also been associated, in vitro, with an increase in homologous recombination, the activation of DNA-repair mechanisms, aneuploidy and the acquisition of a mutator phenotype. Furthermore, there is evidence that JAK2V617F can increase the production of reactive oxygen species and reduce the apoptotic response to DNA damage by inhibiting the Bcl-xl deamidation pathway.

**Cellular consequences of JAK2 mutations**

Mutations in JAK2 have been shown to occur in cells near the top of the hematopoietic hierarchy and can be...
found in the hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) compartment. These observations are consistent with the long-term persistence of JAK2-mutated MPNs, and the fact that the mutation can be found in cells of the lymphoid as well as myeloid lineages in some cases. Several lines of evidence indicate that JAK2V617F does not confer an advantage at the HSC level. Xenografts of JAK2-mutant patient cells into immunodeficient animals suggest that JAK2 mutations do not result in a strong self-renewal advantage; a finding that is recapitulated in several knock-in mouse models. Instead, JAK2 mutant HSCs are skewed towards symmetrical differentiation with a subsequent expansion of the progenitor pool, rather than self-renewal, and do not demonstrate an advantage in competitive transplantation experiments. These observations have led to the suggestion that JAK2V617F alone is insufficient to initiate disease and that additional mutations are required. This is consistent with the detection of the JAK2V617F mutation on its own in patients without overt myeloid malignancy. An alternative explanation for these findings is that the expansion of the progenitor (rather than the stem cell) pool is sufficient to mediate disease development, an idea that is reinforced by recent studies which demonstrate that a pool of long-term multipotent progenitors are the main drivers of adult hematopoiesis.

Finally, it is likely that there is functional heterogeneity within the JAK2-mutated HSC pool and across disease subtypes. For instance, there is evidence that the ability to self-renew, and therefore stably engraft, may decrease with increasing levels of JAK2 expression, similar to the differences in stem cell behavior seen at different expression levels of STAT5 and oncogenic NRAS.

Mutations in MPL and CALR

A number of hotspot missense mutations in exon 10 of myeloproliferative leukemia (MPL), the human homologue of the murine myeloproliferative leukemia virus oncogene (v-MPL), the cell surface receptor for thrombopoietin, such as S515L and S515K (and less commonly S505N), have been reported in patients with ET and MF (Figure 2A). MPL mutations are associated with increased STAT3, STAT5, ERK and AKT signaling and cytokine autonomous growth. More recently, the S204P and Y591N mutations were described in a cohort of patients with ET or MF, otherwise found to be lacking established phenotypic driver mutations. These mutations were found to have a weak gain-of-function effect, either with a degree of thrombopoietin-independent growth or signaling, or thrombopoietin hypersensitivity.

Mutations in calreticulin (CALR) are also found in approximately 25-35% of patients with ET and 35-40% of those with MF (Figure 2A). These are exclusively insertions/deletions (most commonly a 52 base pair deletion or 5 base pair insertion) in the final exon (Figure 1B), and in all cases these result in a 1 base pair shift in the reading frame. This points strongly to a specific gain of function involving the C-terminus of the protein. The mutual exclusivity of JAK2, MPL and CALR mutations point to a similar mechanism of action, as do the similarities in clinical phenotype between CALR and MPL. Furthermore, there is evidence that CALR mutations are also associated with increased JAK-STAT signaling, although some studies have suggested that other pathways may be of more importance.

CALR is not known to have a direct role in cytokine signaling, hematopoiesis or cell fate decisions, and therefore the mechanism(s) by which CALR mutations result in megakaryocytic proliferation and an ET/MF phenotype were not initially apparent. CALR is known to be involved in the regulation of calcium uptake and release in the endoplasmic reticulum, and acts as a chaperone, together with calnexin and ERp57, to form part of the regulatory machinery involved in the folding and quality control of newly synthesized glycoproteins. Differences in cytosolic calcium mobilization have been reported with the CALR mutations, which may be sufficient to initiate disease and that additional mutations are required. This has been shown to be mediated by direct binding of MPL by the N domain of CALR, a phenomenon that uniquely occurs in the presence of the mutated C-terminus, leading to autocrine activation of MPL, JAK2 dimerization and downstream STAT5 and ERK phosphorylation.

It is therefore clear that the inappropriate activation of JAK2 signaling is common to the three main phenotypic driver mutations (i.e., those in CALR, MPL and JAK2 itself) and plays an important role in disease pathogenesis in each case, in keeping with the clinical efficacy of JAK2 inhibition irrespective of the presence of the mutations in JAK2.

Other mutations in signaling pathways – LNK, CBL and RAS

Other than the aforementioned mutations in JAK2, MPL and CALR, a number of genes are also mutated in patients with MPNs (Figure 2B). As might be expected from the role of receptor signaling pathways in the pathogenesis of MPNs, loss-of-function mutations in negative regulators of receptor tyrosine kinases are seen, as well as mutations in members of downstream pathways.

LNK (lymphocyte specific adaptor protein, or SH2B3) binds both MPL and JAK2 to act as a negative regulator of JAK-STAT signaling. LNK deficient mice display an MPN-like phenotype with megakaryocytic hyperplasia, cytokine hypersensitivity and splenomegaly. LNK exon 2 mutations are found in a small number of MPN patients. They are more often seen in advanced phase disease, but can also be found in patients with an erythroid crisis lacking a JAK2 mutation, suggesting that these mutations may be sufficient to initiate disease.

Casitas B-lineage lymphoma proto-oncogene (CBL) is an E3 ubiquitin ligase, which is specifically involved in the ubiquitination (and resultant degradation) of a number of receptor tyrosine kinases, as well as having a role in intracellular signal transduction. Its targets include PDGFR, c-KIT, FLT3 and MPL. Mutations in the RING domain, responsible for CBL’s ligase activity, have been described in MPNs. Mutations in SOCS proteins, another class of regulators of JAK2 signaling, however, are only reported in a handful of patients, but there is evidence that their expression may be suppressed in a subset of patients due to hypermethylation of SOCS pro-
Mutations affecting other cellular processes

Mutations in epigenetic regulators

Other genes commonly mutated in MPNs are not specific to these conditions and are mutated across myeloid malignancies in general, including acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and MDS, as well as in some elderly patients without an overt myeloid malignancy. These include genes involved in epigenetic regulation and messenger RNA (mRNA) splicing (summarized in Figure 2B and Figure 3), but their role in the pathogenesis of MPNs is less well understood. The most commonly mutated of these is a member of the TET family, ten-eleven translocation 2 (TET2); loss-of-function mutations which are found in approximately 10% of MPNs. TET2 converts 5-methylcytosine (5mC) to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmC), a process which is thought to be particularly important for gene regulation in stem cells and embryonic development. A reduction in 5-hmC is observed in TET2 mutated patients, and is associated with increased self-renewal capacity and myeloid bias. The HOXA cluster, which is implicated in lineage commitment, is known to be regulated by TET2, suggesting one possible mechanism by which TET2 mutations may result in a differentiation block.

Hotspot mutations in isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 (IDH1 and IDH2) are described in <5% of cases. These enzymes catalyze the conversion of isocitrate to α-ketoglutarate, but these mutations result in the production of 2-hydroxyglutarate, which inhibits Jumonji-C domain histone demethylases. This leads to histone hypermethylation and also inhibits TET2 activity, which in turn results in a differentiation block. TET2 mutations do not appear to be associated with particular MPN subtypes, but have been found, in one study, to correlate with poorer overall survival and increased progression to AML, while IDH mutations are more commonly found in MF or transformed disease.

Loss-of-function mutations (including dominant negative missense mutations at codon 882) in DNA methyltransferase 3A (DNMT3A), a protein responsible for de novo methylation of CpG dinucleotides, are found across MPN subtypes. As with TET2 mutations, their exact role in MPN pathogenesis is not yet fully understood, but it is thought that the resultant epigenetic deregulation results in the upregulation of “HSC fingerprint” genes such as GATA3 and RUNX1 and the downregulation of differentiation factors such as Ikaros, together resulting in a differentiation block and HSC expansion.

Mutations of genes involved in histone methylation are overrepresented in myelofibrosis/transformed disease. EZH2 (PcG Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2) is the catalytic component of the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) and, together with EED and SUZ12 acts to trimethylate histone H3 lysine 27 causing transcriptional repression. In contrast to EZH2 mutations seen in lymphoma, those in MPNs tend to be loss-of-function mutations that result in the derepression of a set of genes that includes a number of putative oncogenes (e.g., LMO1 and HOXA9), and are associated with increased HSC self-renewal.

Mutations of additional sex combs like 1 (ASXL1) are also relatively common in MF. ASXL1, a component of PRC1, is also known to regulate PRC2 and to have a role in the regulation of HOX genes. Accordingly, ASXL1 mutations are associated with HOXA upregulation and the reduction in H3K27 methylation, both of which have been linked to impaired recruitment of EZH2.

In summary therefore, a number of the mutations found in MPNs affect the regulation of DNA/histone methylation in the HSC compartment and are linked to increased self-renewal and a block in differentiation; features that play a role in disease progression.

Mutations in mRNA processing machinery

Mutations in components of the spliceosome, including splicing factor 5B subunit 1 (SF3B1), serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 2 (SRSF2), U2 small nuclear RNA auxiliary
factor 1 (U2AF1) and zinc finger RNA binding motif and serine/arginine rich 2 (ZRSR2), are well described in MDS and also seen in MPNs, particularly in MF or MPN/MDS overlap syndromes. The role of these mutations in disease pathogenesis is still not fully understood, but the effects of hotspot mutations of codon 95 of SRSF2, and codon 34 of U2AF1 are perhaps the best explored, as knock-in mouse models have been made for each. SRSF2 mutations appear to result specifically in skewed mRNA motif recognition (rather than loss of function), which is associated with alterations in exon usage in a number of genes. These include EZH2 (leading to reduced expression), and bc1-6 corepressor (BCOR), which is also known to be mutated in myeloid malignancies. U2AF1 mutations alter its 3’ splice acceptor preferences leading to mis-splicing of a set of genes that includes BCOR and SRSF2. EZH2 expression was found to be reduced in 63% of U2AF1- or SRSF2-mutated patient specimens, and this was associated with decreased H3K27 trimethylation. Thus, while mutations in spliceosome components result in mis-splicing of multiple genes, there is evidence that they may also act via the dysregulation of PRC2 function.

Other determinants of MPN pathogenesis and phenotype

Germline variation

There are several reports of familial clustering of MPNs (where two or more family members are affected by MPNs), and there is a tendency for family members to present with the same MPN phenotypes. In fact, the relative risk of acquiring ET has been estimated to be approximately 12 times higher in first-degree relatives of MPN patients. This strongly points to the existence of germline susceptibility factors.

Causes of familial MPNs include germline mutations of RBBP6, and a high penetrance duplication of 14q32.2, which has been associated with overexpression of ATG2B, a mediator of autophagy, and GSKIP, a regulator of the WNT/β-catenin pathway. It appears that these changes operate via independent pathways: RBBP6 mutations affect the p53 pathway and thereby influence the response to apoptotic stimuli and the risk of developing further mutations, while ATG2B and GSKIP overexpression promote megakaryopoiesis via increased thrombopoietin sensitivity.

A number of more common, but lower penetrance germline variants have been associated with MPN development, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) present in, or close to, telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) and “MDS1 and EVI1 complex locus” (MEOC).

Two JAK2 haplotypes (46 and 1) are found to be in linkage disequilibrium (with the exception of one SNP). Whilst the combined haplotype (termed 46/1, or GGCC in reference to the defining alleles) is found in 24% of the population, it is found in up to 56% of patients with MPNs, with an odds ratio of 3 to 4. Together with TERT and MEOC-associated SNPs, the 46/1 haplotype is estimated to account for 55% of the population attributable risk of developing an MPN.

Furthermore, the JAK2 V617F mutation preferentially occurs on the 46/1 allele. One possibility for this association is that the 46/1 haplotype is more prone to mutation and therefore more likely to give rise to JAK2V617F and exon 12 mutations. However, perhaps a more likely possibility is that the occurrence of mutant JAK2 in the context of the JAK2 46/1 haplotype confers an additional clonal or phenotypic advantage – the ‘fertile ground’ hypothesis. Further support for a clonal advantage for JAK2 46/1 is the fact that it is also seen more frequently in patients with MPL mutations.

One final SNP, in the intergenic region between HBS1L and MYB (rs9376092) has been found to be enriched in MPL- and CALR-mutated MPNs, and more frequently in JAK2-mutated ET patients. With the exception of the 14q32.2 duplication mentioned above, which was found to be predominantly associated with an ET phenotype, the HBS1L-MYB SNP appears to one of the few germline variants that is associated with a particular MPN phenotype.

Role of the microenvironment in MPN pathogenesis and the development of fibrosis

The importance of cell extrinsic factors, and in particular the bone marrow microenvironment, in the pathogenesis of MPNs is exemplified by the fact that the deletion of Mib1 (causing dysregulated Notch signaling) or of retinoic acid receptor γ, in non-hematopoietic cells alone was sufficient to induce a myeloproliferative phenotype in mouse models. One possible mechanism by which the microenvironment could support the development of MPNs may be through the secretion of soluble factors, such as tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα), interleukin-6 (IL-6), fibroblast growth factor (FGF) or interferon-γ-inducible protein 10 (IP-10). Such cytokines are produced by the bone marrow stroma and have been shown to promote the growth of MPN clones, while, in some cases inhibiting the growth of wild-type clones. Overexpression of NF-I, which has been described in patients with uniparental disomy (UPD) of chromosome 9, has also been suggested to result in resistance to transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), which has been demonstrated to have inhibitory effects on the hematopoiesis and on myeloid cell lines. The secretion of proteases which disrupt the stromal cell derived factor-1/chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) axis, as well as the downregulation of CXCR4 itself by tumor cells, have both been associated with greater mobilization of HSCs, and these mechanisms may contribute to extramedullary hematopoiesis and potentially mediate the loss of HSC quiescence.

Whilst there are numerous mechanisms by which the microenvironment can affect MPN clones, neoplastic cells can also subvert their niche. Clonal megakaryocytes and monocytes themselves secrete a number of cytokines, which include FGF, interleukin-8, TGF-β and vascular endothelial growth factor, that stimulate angiogenesis and drive fibroblast differentiation and recruitment, leading to bone marrow fibrosis. The secretion of other cytokines by the clone, such as TNFα, may create an autocrine/paracrine loop, promoting the growth of the tumor clone while inhibiting normal hematopoiesis. The overexpression of these pro-inflammatory cytokines is particularly well described in MF; however, there is a considerable overlap between the cytokine profile seen in MF and those seen in PV and ET, suggesting the possibility of a biological spectrum.
the development of additional mutations in the tumor clone.102 In addition, there is also evidence that, through direct cell-cell interactions and the secretion of soluble mediators, such as TPO, CC chemokine ligand 3 (CCL3) and interleukin-1β, the mutant clone can remodel the bone marrow niche to create an environment more permissive for its expansion, via the depletion of sympathetic nerve fibers and nestin-positive mesenchymal cells103 and the expansion of osteoblast lineage cells.104

Determinants of MPN phenotype – towards an integrated model?

The MPNs represent a heterogeneous group of diseases with phenotypes that include isolated thrombocytosis, the expansion of all three myeloid lineages, and pancytopenia. In the majority of cases, abnormalities in cytokine signaling pathways are a common factor, and most commonly lead to increased JAK-STAT signaling. It is increasingly clear that the traditional division into three MPN subtypes does not necessarily reflect the underlying biological complexity of these conditions, and that the resultant clinical phenotype is a function of genetic factors intrinsic to the neoplastic clone, the overall clonal architecture, host factors (including genetic background), and factors relating to crosstalk between the neoplastic clone and its microenvironment.

Cell intrinsic factors – somatic mutations, transcription profiles and gene dosage

At the cell intrinsic level, mutations in phenotypic driver mutations (JAK2, CALR and MPL) subvert physiological EpoR and TpoR signaling, leading to erythrocytosis and megakaryopoiesis, respectively. It is clear that this is a major factor in determining phenotype. Most strikingly, mutations in MPL are never found in cases with PV (Figure 2A), and this is also the case for CALR mutations, which, as discussed above, are likely to act via interaction with MPL. How the same mutation in JAK2 can occur in three different MPN phenotypes is a central question within the MPN field. One possible mechanism may relate to the level of gene ‘dosage’, as several lines of evidence suggest that increased JAK2 signaling leads to more of a polyclonal phenotype. Firstly, JAK2 exon 12 mutations are found exclusively in PV, and have been shown to result in greater STAT5 phosphorylation than V617F mutations.15 Secondly, UPD of chromosome 9, leading to JAK2V617F homozygosity, has been associated with greater erythropoietin independence in hematopoietic progenitors103,106 and is found in approximately one-third of patients with PV, but was not initially reported in ET.21 The hypothesis that increasing JAK2V617F dosage may skew towards erythrocytosis is supported by the correlation between the size of homozygous clones and hemoglobin concentrations in patients,107 as well as by knock-in mouse models, where the ratio of mutant to wild-type JAK2 correlates with the degree of erythrocytosis,24,110 and by an induced pluripotent stem cell model.111 Furthermore, even within the context of ET, greater JAK2V617F allele burdens are associated with a higher degree of erythrocytosis and leukocytosis.107

However, some PV patients do not carry a homozygous JAK2V617F subclone,111 and JAK2V617F-homozygous subclones have been detected in patients with ET107,110,112 (although these were generally small (<10%), in contrast to those in PV where they tended to represent the dominant MPN clone). Together, these findings demonstrate that JAK2V617F homozygosity is neither necessary nor sufficient for PV. It is possible that mutations in other, as yet unidentified, genes may play a similar role to homozygosity in these JAK2V617F-heterozygous PV patients.

There is also reason to believe that UPD of 9p may have effects beyond inducing loss of heterozygosity of JAK2V617F, as evidenced in patients where it occurs prior to the acquisition of a JAK2 mutation.113 This suggests that 9p UPD itself may independently carry a competitive advantage (whether due to selection of a particular JAK2 haplotype or alterations in other genes on 9p, such as NFI8β) that is unrelated to JAK2V617F dosage. Finally, JAK2V617F-heterozygous cells from patients with ET are characterized by greater STAT3114 and STAT112 phosphorylation as well as upregulation of interferon-γ regulated genes, when compared to those from patients with PV. This again demonstrates that mechanisms beyond JAK2V617F dosage alone contribute to the determination of the PV or ET phenotype.

Differences in phenotypic driver mutations may additionally account for some of the clinical heterogeneity seen within the individual MPN subtypes. As mentioned above, the presence of JAK2 homozygosity is associated with higher hemoglobin levels in JAK2-mutated ET and PV as well as with a greater incidence of aquagenic pruri tus and splenomegaly.107,110 JAK2 homozygosity was also associated with a greater risk of progression to MF and of thrombosis in patients with ET.110 CALR-mutated patients with ET tend to have higher platelet counts, but lower leukocyte counts and hemoglobin levels and lower rates of thrombosis than those with JAK2 mutations.103,105,115,116 CALR mutations are also associated with higher platelet and lower leukocyte counts, and are independent predictors for improved overall survival in patients with MF.117,118 There is some evidence that the type of CALR mutation itself may also result in differential phenotypes.44,119 TET2 and DNMT3A mutations are commonly seen across all three MPN phenotypes and are not specifically associated with MF or MDS/MPN overlap syndromes, in contrast to mutations in other epigenetic regulators. Their role in disease biology and phenotype is therefore not entirely clear. Recent evidence suggests that the context in which these mutations are acquired can influence the proliferative potential of a given clone in a cell-intrinsic manner.120 Namely, the acquisition of a JAK2 mutation on a wild-type background resulted in a proliferative advantage, but this was not the case on the background of an earlier TET2 mutation. Furthermore, TET2 and JAK2-mutated (double mutant) HSCs/progenitors from JAK2-first patients were able to generate more progenitors than those from TET2-first patients.

Some additional mutations seen in MPNs, however, do appear to be enriched in certain subtypes, as indicated in Figure 2B, and may additionally have an impact on the survival or risk of leukemic transformation. Mutations in components of the spliceosome (including SRSF2, U2AF1 and SF3B1) are strongly correlated with an MF (or MPN/MDS overlap) phenotype, as are mutations in ASXL1 and EZH2, which are prevalent in MDS and de novo AML and associated with leukemic transformation and a worse overall survival in MPNs.94,95,112 This may be related to the deregulation of PRC2 and derepression of stem cell signature genes, causing increased stem cell self renewal,
as discussed above.77,79,81 (Figure 3). Finally, mutations in NRAS and CBL are also more frequently seen in patients with MF, atypical chronic myeloid leukemia and chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, and are associated with poorer overall survival.57

Heterogeneity in clonal architecture and the significance of order of mutation acquisition

Another important source of phenotypic diversity across MPNs, even amongst those that share phenotypic driver mutations, is heterogeneity in clonal architecture.122 Given that JAK2 mutations themselves may not promote a clonal advantage, and may even result in an impairment of HSC self-renewal, as discussed previously, there may be selective pressure on the JAK2 clone leading to the selection of particular subclones (e.g., those carrying concurrent mutations in epigenetic modifier genes). This may be further enhanced by differential sensitivities to, and production of, secreted soluble mediators, such as TNFα and TGFβ. The overall disease phenotype is likely to be a function of the relative proportions of all the subclones, as they will differ in terms of their functional properties, for example in terms of differentiation or self-renewal potential and soluble mediator secretion profiles. Furthermore, subclones may be present which, while not directly contributing to the disease phenotype, exert an effect via constraints on the growth of other clones.

One factor that may play a role in determining clonal architecture is the order in which somatic mutations are acquired. The importance of the order of mutation acquisition has been demonstrated in JAK2-mutated MPNs harboring concurrent TET2 or DNMT3A mutations, where mutation order has been shown to have an impact on disease phenotype, thrombotic risk, age at presentation and response to treatment.120 Patients in whom the JAK2 mutation occurred first have larger “double mutant” subclones (harboring both JAK2 and either TET2 or DNMT3A mutations) as well as larger JAK2-mutated homozygous clones. In the case of TET2 mutations, these patients show expansion of erythroid progenitors, present at a younger age, and are at a greater risk of thrombosis.120 In contrast, TET2-first or DNMT3A-first patients are characterized by a dominant “single mutant” subclone (i.e., harboring the TET2/DNMT3A mutation only), in keeping with the greater self-renewal capacity seen with TET2/DNMT3A mutations compared to those in JAK2. These patients were more likely to have ET, which may in part be related to constraints on the expansion of the JAK2-mutated clone and on the development of homozygosity. Therefore, as well as the cell-intrinsic effect of mutation order on clonogenic potential discussed in the previous section, it is likely that the order in which mutations occur will influence the composition of the stem cell niche in which later subclones will arise and reside, potentially introducing constraints on their potential to expand.

As well as competition between clones causing constraints on relative clone sizes, it is also feasible that separate clones may act cooperatively. This has been shown in solid tumors such as glioblastoma, where cytokine secretion by a relatively minor subclone can drive the expansion of a more dominant tumor clone.123

Figure 3. Summary of epigenetic regulatory pathways affected by known mutations. In addition to the “phenotypic driver” mutations in JAK2, CALR and MPL, a number of other mutations are described, affecting epigenetic regulation and the spliceosome. These pathways are interlinked in a number of ways, and in common, appear to lead to the dysregulation in genes involved in stem cell fate decision choices. These in turn may be associated with expansion of the hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) compartment with differentiation block, dysplasia and accelerated disease. CpG: cytosine-phosphate-guanine dinucleotide.
Role of non-tumor factors

Germline variants have also been shown to influence MPN phenotype. One example previously discussed is that of rs9376092, an intronic SNP which affects the expression of MYB, and is found more frequently in JAK2-mutated ET than PV patients. This is in keeping with a knockdown mouse model where low MYB levels were sufficient to induce an ET-like phenotype. In addition, germline copy number variations on chromosome 14, which are associated predominantly with an ET phenotype, appear to result in increased sensitivity to TPO and cytokine-independent growth, even in the absence of a phenotypic driver mutation.

Other non-genetic host factors may also influence MPN phenotype. For example, iron deficiency may constrain erythropoiesis and promote thrombocytosis, skewing towards an ET phenotype. The greater incidence of ET in pre-menopausal women is consistent with such an effect, and may also suggest an additional role for estrogens in skewing towards megakaryopoiesis rather than erythropoiesis. Finally, given the role of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and other microenvironmental changes, in promoting the growth of MPN clones and the fibrotic process as discussed previously, it is conceivable that concurrent inflammatory conditions, age or sex-related changes in the bone marrow microenvironment, or even the host’s microbiome may influence the resultant MPN phenotype.

Overall, it is clear that the evolution of a MPN is a dynamic process involving complex interactions between subclones and the bone marrow microenvironment, which in turn drive changes in the tumor itself (such as the acquisition of new mutations, genetic copy number or epigenetic changes, or mobilization of cells into a separate niche) as well as changes in the bone marrow environment (such as sympathetic neuropathy, osteoclast expansion, fibroblast recruitment/differentiation and differential soluble mediator secretion).

Final remarks and questions

A number of common threads run through this discussion of MPN pathogenesis. First, it is clear that MPNs are diseases of cytokine signaling pathways. This is evident from the prevalence of mutations in cytokine signaling pathways, not only in JAK2 and MPL, but also in loss-of-function mutations of negative regulators of JAK-STAT signaling. There is increasing evidence that CALR mutations also act on these pathways. Furthermore, cytokine secretion by the bone marrow stroma and by the tumor clone itself appears to play a role in disease persistence and progression.

Secondly, additional mutations, such as those in epigenetic modifiers (specifically ASXL1 and EZH2) and spliceosomal components, are likely to modulate the disease phenotype via the derepression of genes regulating stem cell quiescence and self-renewal. This in turn may contribute to the development of accelerated phase disease, bone marrow fibrosis and leukemic transformation, and be associated with worse overall survival.

Thirdly, it is clear that not only is the presence of somatic mutations important in disease pathogenesis, but also that the genetic background upon which they occur has functional and clinical relevance. This applies to both the germline background (for example, the HBS1L-MYB SNP and 46/1 haplotype) and to that of other somatically acquired mutations, such as those affecting JAK2 and DNMT3A.

Finally, the fact that changes in clonal architecture, mutation profile and microenvironment can occur in a given patient over time with a resultant change in clinical phenotype (e.g., transformation of ET to PV, or chronic phase disease to accelerated phase or acute leukemia), further supports the idea that ET, PV and MF are not distinct biological entities but rather sit in a biological continuum where clinical phenotype is determined by three main factors: (1) the relative degrees of erythropoiesis compared to megakaryopoiesis, (2) the degree of differentiation versus stem cell/progenitor expansion, and (3) the degree of fibrosis.

Figure 4. An integrated model of determinants of MPN phenotype. Different germline, acquired or microenvironmental factors (vectors represented in 4C) can influence differentiation/self-renewal fate choices, polarization of differentiation towards erythropoiesis or megakaryopoiesis or stimulate fibrosis (the main processes determining MPN phenotype, represented in 4A). Some may operate on more than one process, for example ASXL1 mutations may promote both self-renewal and fibrosis. These then result in a continuum of different phenotypes and account for the heterogeneity seen within the MPNs, despite the small number of phenotypic driver mutations. A representation of where different myeloid disorders sit within this framework is provided in Figure 4B. MF: myelofibrosis; PV: polycythemia vera; ET: essential thrombocytosis; MPN: myeloproliferative neoplasms; MDS: myelodysplastic syndrome; AML: acute myeloid leukemia; PRC2: polycomb repressive complex 2; SNPs: single nucleotide polymorphisms; IL-8: interleukin-8; TGF-β: transforming growth factor-β.
A simplified representation of this is shown in Figure 4. Under this schema, for example, JAK2-mutated ET may be an intermediate on the spectrum between CALR/MPL-mutated ET and JAK2-mutated PV, as is reflected in clinical parameters such as hemoglobin concentration, platelet counts and the risk of venous thrombosis. This model is also consistent with the concept that “primary” MF reflects progression from a preceding (but previously undiagnosed) MPN. Similarly, it has been suggested that prefibrotic MF may represent a transitional point between ET and MF.

Our understanding of the biological complexity underpinning MPNs and how this relates to clinical parameters and outcomes continues to improve. The ongoing challenge will be to integrate our understanding of these molecular processes into everyday clinical practice in order to allow for better refinement of our diagnostic classifications as well as improved prognostication and therapeutics.
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