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Cerebral glucose metabolism and cognition in newly diagnosed Parkinson’s disease: ICICLE-PD study
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ABSTRACT

Objective To assess reductions of cerebral glucose metabolism in Parkinson’s disease (PD) with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET), and their associations with cognitive decline.

Methods FDG-PET was performed on a cohort of 79 patients with newly diagnosed PD (mean disease duration 8 months) and 20 unrelated controls. PD participants were scanned while on their usual dopaminergic medication. Cognitive testing was performed at baseline, and after 18 months using the Cognitive Drug Research (CDR) and Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB) computerised batteries, the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA). We used statistical parametric mapping (SPM V.12) software to compare groups and investigate voxelwise correlations between FDG metabolism and cognitive score at baseline. Linear regression was used to evaluate how levels of cortical FDG metabolism were predictive of subsequent cognitive decline rated with the MMSE and MoCA.

Results PD participants showed reduced glucose metabolism in the occipital and inferior parietal lobes relative to controls. Low performance on memory-based tasks was associated with reduced FDG metabolism in posterior parietal and temporal regions, while attentional performance was associated with more frontal deficits. Baseline parietal to cerebellum FDG metabolism ratios predicted MMSE (β=0.38, p=0.001) and MoCA (β=0.3, p=0.002) at 18 months controlling for baseline score.

Conclusions Reductions in cortical FDG metabolism were present in newly diagnosed PD, and correlated with performance on neuropsychological tests. A reduced baseline parietal metabolism is associated with risk of cognitive decline and may represent a potential biomarker for this state and the development of PD dementia.

INTRODUCTION

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder affecting over four million people above the age of 50, with the prevalence expected to double to 9.3 million by 2030.1 There has been a gradual shift in the definition of PD, from a primary movement disorder to a multisystem neurodegenerative condition affecting multiple cognitive domains. Over 40% of early PD cases are affected by mild cognitive impairment (PD-MCI)2 and up to 80% of patients with PD will eventually develop dementia (PDD).3

The neural substrates of cognitive impairment in PD remain poorly understood and there is a need to establish imaging biomarkers which could aid in the identification of patients at risk of cognitive decline, for early diagnosis and for therapeutic trials.

A number of studies have established associations between low cognitive ratings in prevalent PD and regional reductions in cortical glucose metabolism, mostly involving posterior regions.4–8 However, studies investigating the power of 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET) to predict later cognitive decline have been fewer, and chiefly performed in small samples with relatively advanced PD.9–8

The inferior parietal lobe is well established as a region which shows reduced glucose metabolism and perfusion in a range of dementias, including Alzheimer’s disease, dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and PDD. Reduced parietal metabolism is apparent at the early MCI stage.5 9–11 We hypothesised that reduced FDG metabolism in this region would be predictive of cognitive decline in early PD.

In the present study, our aims were to: (1) establish the pattern of glucose metabolism in early stage PD relative to healthy controls; (2) investigate the association between performance on specific cognitive tests and levels of regional glucose metabolism; (3) to evaluate whether parietal metabolism predicted cognitive decline over 18 months.

METHODS

Participants

Participants were taking part in the Incidence of Cognitive Impairment in Cohorts with Longitudinal Evaluation—Parkinson’s Disease (ICICLE-PD) study.2 They were newly diagnosed PD (n=158) from community and outpatient clinics in the North East of England or unrelated healthy controls (n=99). PD was diagnosed by a movement disorders specialist according to the UK Brain Bank criteria12 and a repeat clinical evaluation was performed at follow-up to increase diagnostic accuracy. The first 81 PD and 20 controls who consented to scanning received a FDG-PET scan. Of the 81 PD participants scanned, 2 were excluded due to change of diagnosis at follow-up. Thus, 79 PD participants and 20 healthy controls who consented to a FDG-PET scan were included in this study. The PD participants had their PET scan at baseline, while the controls were scanned...
~3 years after baseline. Full inclusion and exclusion criteria have been previously described.2

Standard protocol approvals, registrations and patient consents
The study was approved by the Newcastle and North Tyneside Research Ethics Committee and performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent.

Clinical assessment
Clinical assessments were performed by trained examiners, and included a standardised neurological examination, with rating of motor symptoms using the revised Movement Disorders Society-Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS III),13 and Hoehn and Yahr14 (H&Y) staging.

Neuropsychological assessment
Global cognitive function was assessed using the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)15 and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA).16 In accordance with MDS Task Force recommendations,17 five cognitive domains were assessed. Attention was measured using the Cognitive Drug Research computerised battery.18 Mean response times of simple reaction time, choice reaction time and digit vigilance were summed to produce a Power of Attention score. Digit vigilance accuracy was also evaluated as part of this domain. Power of Attention (PoA) and Digit Vigilance (DV) data were missing at baseline on one PD participant. Memory was assessed with Pattern Recognition Memory (PRM), Spatial Recognition Memory (SRM), and Paired Associates Learning (PAL) from the computerised Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB).19 Baseline CANTAB data were not obtained on two PD participants. Executive function was determined using the modified One Touch Stockings (OTS) version of the Tower of London task from CANTAB, phonemic fluency (words beginning with F,A and S in 1 min each) and semantic fluency (animals in 90 s). Fluency was not performed at baseline on one PD participant. The pentagon copying item of the MMSE was graded using a modified 0–2 rating scale as a measure of visuospatial function. Language domain was assessed using the naming (0–3) and sentence (0–2) subsets of the MoCA test. All participants were assessed in an ‘on’ state, taking their usual dopaminergic medication at baseline and again after 18 months. Levodopa equivalent daily dose (LEDD) value was calculated using the Tomlinson et al20 formula. Baseline MoCA was not performed on the first seven PD participants. Assessments were repeated at 18 months on all the controls, and 90% (71/79) of the PD participants. Reasons for attrition included loss to follow-up (n=4), declined follow-up (n=2) and death (n=2).

Participants were diagnosed as PD-MCI if they were impaired (1.5 SDs) below normative means (derived from controls) on two or more cognitive tests.2 Consistent with previous methodology,2 modified level 2 criteria were used, as our neuropsychological battery predated the publication of the PD-MCI criteria, with only one test (ie, pentagon copying) being specific to the visuospatial domain.

PET image acquisition
FDG-PET was acquired over 10 min at Newcastle University using a Siemens Biograph 40 Truepoint PET-CT starting 30 min after intravenous administration of 250 MBq F-18 FDG. Siemens software was used for iterative reconstruction (OSEM2D 6 iterations, 16 subsets) with model-based scatter correction and attenuation correction based on the CT scan data obtained immediately before the FDG-PET scan. Participants were asked to fast for 4 hours preinjection and blood glucose was tested to ensure it was <180 mg/dL. Participants were injected in quiet surroundings with minimal distractions and with their eyes open. The patients with PD were scanned in an ‘on’ state, after taking their usual dopaminergic medication.

PET image processing
Images of FDG metabolism were processed using SPM V12 software (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/). All images were spatially normalised to an age appropriate FDG-PET template in Montreal Neurological Institute (MINI) space,21 resampled at 2×2×2 mm, and smoothed with a 8 mm full width half maximum Gaussian kernel. Voxelwise statistics were performed with the general linear model in SPM V12 with image intensity proportionally scaled to the whole brain mean for each participant, and using a brain mask of 0.8 mean intensity. Statistics are shown thresholded either after a voxelwise family wise error (FWE) correction for multiple comparisons at p<0.05, or with an uncorrected voxelwise threshold of p<0.001 followed by p<0.05 FWE clusterwise threshold. Group differences were performed with age and sex as covariates. Correlation within the PD group between FDG and cognitive ratings had age, sex and years of education as covariates.

In our recent FDG study on Alzheimer’s and Lewy body dementias,9 we found that the ratio of FDG in angular gyrus/cerebellum was significantly reduced in these groups. We therefore investigated the power of this ratio to predict cognitive decline in PD.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS V22.0 (IBM, New York, USA). Means were compared using unpaired t-tests or analysis of variance. The χ² test was used to compare categorical variables. Change in cognitive and motor score between baseline and repeat was assessed with paired t-tests. Linear regression (continuous dependent variables) was used to investigate the relationship between FDG angular gyrus/cerebellum ratio and cognitive score (MMSE and MoCA) at 18 months with baseline score as covariate. Model fit was checked by examining the residuals.

RESULTS
Participant demographics are shown in table 1. PD and control groups were well matched for age and sex. There were no significant differences between PD with and without MCI at baseline in disease duration or use of dopaminergic medication, although the PD-MCI participants had a greater motor severity and fewer years of education.

Within the PD group, 41 participants were classified as PD-MCI at baseline while the remaining 38 PD participants were classified as having normal cognitive function (PD-NC). The PD-MCI group, as expected, performed significantly worse on all neuropsychological assessments than PD-NC and control participants (see online supplementary table e-1). There were no significant differences in cognition between the control and PD-NC group in any test, with the exception of SRM, in which the PD-NC performed marginally worse than controls.

Compared with the control participants, both the cognitively normal PD and the PD-MCI groups had reduced FDG metabolism in the occipital lobe (figure 1). The PD-MCI group, in addition, had reduced metabolism in the inferior parietal and posterior temporal regions. There were, however, no significant
differences between PD-NC and PD-MCI in any region (ie, no significant clusters after \( p < 0.001 \) uncorrected threshold). In both PD-NC and PD-MCI, there was a small bilateral region in the frontal white matter where FDG metabolism was greater than controls (figure 2).

In the PD group as a whole, we performed voxelwise correlations between FDG metabolism and cognitive scores at baseline. Performance on SRM, PAL and OTS correlated with bilateral parietal–temporal FDG metabolism (poor performance associated with reduced metabolism). Furthermore, digit vigilance accuracy correlated with reduced frontal FDG metabolism (figure 3). There were no significant correlations for PRM, pentagons or verbal fluency.

The FDG angular gyrus/cerebellum uptake ratio was significantly different between groups (\( F_{2,96} = 1.2, p = 0.31 \)) with the PD-MCI (ratio=1.05, SD 0.11) being significantly lower (Tukey post hoc \( p < 0.01 \)) than both PD-NC (1.12, SD 0.08) and controls (1.15, SD 0.08) with no significant difference between control and PD-NC. The ratio correlated with MMSE (\( r = 0.28; p = 0.011 \)) and MoCA scores (\( r = 0.27; p = 0.024 \) in the PD

### Table 1 Participant demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control (N=20)</th>
<th>PD-NC (N=38)</th>
<th>PD-MCI (N=41)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years at PET scan [range]</td>
<td>71.9 (9.7) [54–91]</td>
<td>72.3 (6.4) [57–88]</td>
<td>74.2 (4.8) [66–86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex M:F</td>
<td>12:8</td>
<td>27:11</td>
<td>30:42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years education</td>
<td>13.9 (3.8)</td>
<td>13.3 (3.6)</td>
<td>10.9 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration at PET (months) [range]</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.9 (4.9) [2–24]</td>
<td>9.0 (9.3) [1–62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline assessment to PET scan (months) [range]</td>
<td>43 (2.8) [39–49]</td>
<td>1.9 (1.2) [0.2–4.7]</td>
<td>2.1 (1.3) [0.3–5.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline—repeat assessment (months) [range]</td>
<td>20.0 (1.2) [18–24]</td>
<td>18.5 (0.68) [17–20]</td>
<td>18.6 (0.67) [17–20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEDD baseline</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>144 (115)</td>
<td>191 (140)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEDD 18 months</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>299 (154)**</td>
<td>410 (206)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPDRS III baseline</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>232 (9.0)</td>
<td>283 (12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPDRS III 18 months</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>33.5 (12.0)**</td>
<td>37.8 (10.7)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE baseline</td>
<td>29.5 (0.7)</td>
<td>29.0 (0.9)</td>
<td>27.9 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE 18 months</td>
<td>29.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>28.9 (1.1)</td>
<td>27.1 (1.8)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoCA baseline</td>
<td>27.7 (1.9)</td>
<td>26.3 (2.6)</td>
<td>22.4 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoCA 18 months</td>
<td>27.6 (2.4)</td>
<td>26.7 (2.6)</td>
<td>23.1 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparisons are either three-group ANOVA, or PD-NC versus PD-MCI unpaired t-test, or (for sex) \( \chi^2 \).

Baseline MoCA scores only available on 72 PD participants. Tukey post hoc tests \( p < 0.01 \), a=PD-NC versus controls, b=PD-MCI versus controls, c=PD-MCI versus PD-NC.

Longitudinal change paired tests: *\( p < 0.05 \) within group; **\( p < 0.01 \) within group.

ANOVA, analysis of variance; F, female; LEDD, levodopa equivalent daily dose; M, male; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; PD-MCI, Parkinson’s disease-mild cognitive impairment; PD-NC, Parkinson’s disease-normal cognitive function; PET, positron emission tomography; UPDRS III, motor subsection of Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale.

**Figure 1** Regions where FDG metabolism is greater in controls than (A) PD-NC and (B) PD-MCI. FDG uptake is normalised to whole brain. SPM t image thresholded at \( p < 0.05 \) FWE corrected for multiple comparisons. FDG, 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose; FWE, family wise error; PD-MCI, Parkinson’s disease-mild cognitive impairment; PD-NC, Parkinson’s disease-normal cognitive function; SPM, statistical parametric mapping.

participants at baseline. The FDG angular gyrus/cerebellum uptake ratio also significantly predicted both MMSE and MoCA at 18 months, controlling for baseline score, age, sex and years of education. This remained significant even after the addition of disease duration, LEDD, UPDRS III and MCI group at baseline into the model (table 2). To investigate whether FDG uptake in other regions predicted MMSE scores longitudinally, we also performed a voxelwise analysis of MMSE at 18 months with FDG uptake, controlling for baseline MMSE, age, sex and education, and found significant correlations in the lateral parieto-temporal–occipital region, but not elsewhere (see online supplementary figure S1).

**DISCUSSION**

This is the largest study to date to examine FDG-PET findings in early PD. In this group of newly diagnosed PD, we found that cortical glucose metabolism was reduced posteriorly, particularly in the occipital lobe. The PD-MCI participants demonstrated greater involvement of the parietal and posterior temporal lobes. Notably, FDG metabolism at baseline in the angular gyrus relative to cerebellum predicted MMSE and MoCA scores at 18 months.

Relatively few studies have investigated FDG in early stage PD. Pappatà et al\(^2\) studied 24 newly diagnosed, drug naïve patients with PD (half with MCI) and found no differences between cognitively normal PD versus controls, while the PD-MCI had parieto-occipital (though not primary visual cortex) and frontal reductions versus controls. The parieto-occipital reductions were not significantly different between PD-MCI and PD-NC. In PD with 3 years of symptom duration, Teune et al\(^3\) found metabolic reductions in the occipital pole, inferior parietal and prefrontal cortex, primarily contralateral to the most affected side. In more advanced PD, Garcia-Garcia et al\(^4\) demonstrated occipital pole and inferior parietal, and to a lesser extent frontal and temporal FDG reductions in PD-MCI versus controls. The PD-NC participants did not show any metabolic differences relative to controls, and only small differences relative to PD-MCI suggesting that they fell intermediate between control and PD-MCI. Bohnen et al\(^5\) found PD-NC with focal reductions of FDG uptake in the occipital lobe, while participants with PD and dementia had more widespread posterior reductions, and Baba et al\(^6\) found that PD with severe olfactory dysfunction were both more likely to develop dementia, and also had more pronounced parieto-occipitotemporal FDG reductions.

Although our parieto-occipital changes are broadly in agreement with these studies, we saw fewer frontal FDG reductions in our PD-MCI group. This may in part be due to differences in the cognitive tests used to define MCI. This varies between studies both in terms of which particular tests are chosen, but also the number per cognitive domain (the MDS guidelines\(^7\) suggest a minimum of two tests per domain, but no maximum). We had relatively limited assessment of visual and language function, and our choice of memory tasks were largely dependent on visual cues, which may have resulted in more posterior metabolic deficits being identified. Our participants were scanned and cognitively assessed while taking their usual dopaminergic medication, and this may have influenced the scores and FDG uptake.

Eidelberg and colleagues have used principal component analysis to identify network patterns in PD. They have found two consistent patterns: (1) a PD-related covariance pattern (PDRP) of relative increase in the basal ganglia, thalamus and cerebellum, and decrease in premotor and parieto-occipital cortex, and (2) a PD-related cognitive pattern of reduced FDG in prefrontal, inferior parietal/angular gyrus, pre supplementary motor area (preSMA), with increases in the cerebellum, the expression of which increases in patients with poorer cognitive function.\(^8\) 23

In our study, parietal hypometabolism predicted future cognitive function as measured by MMSE and MoCA at 18 months controlling for the baseline score. This is in keeping with smaller studies in more advanced PD,\(^6\) where FDG reductions in parieto-occipital and temporal regions have been associated with cognitive decline, or progression to dementia. The inferior parietal lobes and precuneus region are strongly affected in Alzheimer’s disease and DLB.\(^9\) 23 In AD, glucose hypometabolism is a relatively early feature, preceding cortical atrophy, and predicting cognitive decline at an early stage.\(^11\) In PD, this region shows reduced FDG and blood flow in the absence of atrophy,\(^5\) 26 suggesting that in PD also, reduced metabolism is a relatively early feature, possibly reflecting synaptic dysfunction due to local α-synuclein pathology.\(^27\) 28 which precedes atrophy and cognitive decline.
We found prominent reduction in FDG of the occipital pole in all PD participants, and an association was demonstrated between occipital FDG and performance on PAL (which requires discrimination of different shapes, and retention of their location). A number of other studies have also found occipital FDG reductions in PD, and relatively reduced occipital FDG is a component of the PDRP. Previous research has found associations of occipital reduction with visuospatial deficits. However, the occipital pole hypometabolism is similar in PD with dementia to cognitively normal PD, and does not seem related to the severity of motor features. Occipital hypoperfusion/metabolism is also a distinctive feature of DLB, in which it does not vary with severity of parkinsonism. There may be an association with cholinergic function, since Shimada et al established prominent occipital loss of AChE activity (with N-(11C)-methyl-4-piperidyl acetate) in PD at all stages, and Fong et al found (in a small group of DLB) that acute administration of a cholinesterase inhibitor was associated with increased occipitoparietal perfusion.

Reduced occipital FDG uptake has been associated with rapid eye movement (REM) sleep behaviour disorder (RBD), a clinical feature that may precede or accompany DLB. It may be that patients with more profound occipital FDG reductions are at risk of developing clinical features of DLB such as RBD or visual hallucinations.

![Figure 3](http://jnnp.bmj.com/)

**Figure 3** Regions where FDG metabolism correlates with cognitive scores. (A) Spatial Recognition Memory, (B) Paired Associates Learning; (C) One Touch Stockings; (D) Digit Vigilance. In all, higher FDG is associated with better performance. SPM t image voxelwise thresholded at p<0.001 uncorrected for multiple comparisons, significant clusters at p<0.05 FWE corrected for multiple comparisons. FDG, 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose; FWE, family wise error; SPM, statistical parametric mapping.
Unlike most studies, we acquired FDG-PET imaging with eyes open. Bohnen et al12 also acquired their data in patients with eyes open, and they found prominent primary visual deficits in PD-NC. Using MRI arterial spin labelling in PD-NC with eyes open, Fernández-Seera et al13 also observed prominent occipital deficits. Conversely, some of the FDG studies with eyes closed22 did not find occipital pole reductions in PD. Occipital glucose metabolism is generally greater with eyes open.43 It is possible that patients with PD engage less with the visual environment due to changes in the retina,42 or cholinergic loss.44 In this case, the increase in glucose metabolism on eyes opening would be less marked in PD, and hence any occipital hypometabolism made more obvious compared with control participants. Further research is required to investigate the origin and implications of this occipital pole metabolic reduction.

Strengths of our study include the large, well-characterised cohort at the earliest stages of PD. Weaknesses include the relatively limited testing of visuospatial function. Although we saw a significant correlation between parietal FDG and decline in MMSE and MoCA, the association was not strong enough to allow FDG to be used for prediction on an individual basis. This may partly be due to the limited degree of cognitive decline in an early PD group over a relatively short period of follow-up. Also, quantifying change using repeated cognitive tests in PD-MCI would be less marked in PD, and hence any occipital hypometabolism made more obvious compared with control participants. Further research is required to investigate the origin and implications of this occipital pole metabolic reduction.

In conclusion, we found occipital reductions in a large cohort of newly diagnosed patients with PD relative to age-matched controls. Reductions in the temporoparietal region were associated with poorer cognitive performance. The FDG parietal/cerebellar ratio shows some promise for predicting global cognitive decline in early PD.
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