

# Generic membrane-spanning features endow IRE1 $\alpha$ with responsiveness to membrane aberrancy

Nozomu Kono<sup>†\*</sup>, Niko Amin-Wetzel, and David Ron\*

Cambridge Institute for Medical Research, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0XY, United Kingdom

**ABSTRACT** Altered cellular lipid composition activates the endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response (UPR), and UPR signaling effects important changes in lipid metabolism. Secondary effects on protein folding homeostasis likely contribute to UPR activation, but deletion of the unfolded protein stress-sensing luminal domain of the UPR transducers PERK and IRE1 $\alpha$  does not abolish their responsiveness to lipid perturbation. This finding suggests that PERK and IRE1 $\alpha$  also directly recognize the membrane aberrancy wrought by lipid perturbation. However, beyond the need for a transmembrane domain (TMD), little is known about the features involved. Regulation of the UPR transducers entails changes in their oligomeric state and is easily corrupted by overexpression. We used CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing of the *Ern1* locus to study the role of the TMD in the ability of the endogenous IRE1 $\alpha$  protein to recognize membrane aberrancy in mammalian cells. Conducted in the background of a point mutation that isolated the response to membrane aberrancy induced by palmitate from unfolded protein stress, our analysis shows that generic membrane-spanning features of the TMD are sufficient for IRE1 $\alpha$ 's responsiveness to membrane aberrancy. Our data suggest that IRE1 $\alpha$ 's conserved TMD may have been selected for features imparting a relatively muted response to acyl-chain saturation.

## Monitoring Editor

Reid Gilmore

University of Massachusetts

Received: Mar 14, 2017

Revised: Jun 7, 2017

Accepted: Jun 9, 2017

This article was published online ahead of print in MBoC in Press (<http://www.molbiolcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1091/mbc.E17-03-0144>) on June 14, 2017.

<sup>†</sup>Present address: Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan.

\*Address correspondence to: Nozomu Kono (nozomu@mol.f.u-tokyo.ac.jp), David Ron (dr360@medsch.cam.ac.uk).

N.K. co-led the study, designed and performed the bulk of the experiments, and cowrote the manuscript. N.A.-W. contributed to experimental design and interpretation, coedited the manuscript, and pioneered the methods used to edit the *Ern1* locus in CHO cells and select informative derivative subclones based on their phenotype. D.R. co-led the study and participated in experimental design, contributed to the interpretation of data, and cowrote the manuscript.

Abbreviations used: 2DG, 2-deoxy-D-glucose; 4-PBA, 4-phenyl butyrate; AH, amphipathic helix; BSA, bovine serum albumin; CHOP, C/EBP homologous protein; CNX, calnexin; CRISPR, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; FACS, fluorescence-activated cell sorting; GFP, green fluorescent protein; HDR, homology-directed repair; HEPES, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid; InDels, insertions or deletions; IRE1, inositol requiring 1; LD, luminal domain; MFI, median fluorescence intensity; Mr, relative molecular mass; PA, palmitate; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; PERK, PKR-like ER kinase; sgRNA, single-guide RNA; SP, signal peptide; TM, transmembrane; Tm, tunicamycin; TMD, TM domain; UPR, unfolded protein response; WT, wild type; XBP1, X-box binding protein-1.

© 2017 Kono et al. This article is distributed by The American Society for Cell Biology under license from the author(s). Two months after publication it is available to the public under an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported Creative Commons License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>).

"ASCB®," "The American Society for Cell Biology®," and "Molecular Biology of the Cell®" are registered trademarks of The American Society for Cell Biology.

## INTRODUCTION

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) unfolded protein response (UPR) modulates protein synthesis and gene expression to match the protein-folding capacity of the early secretory pathway to the compartment-specific burden of unfolded proteins. However, the UPR is also powerfully activated by changes in cellular lipid composition (Cox et al., 1997; Li et al., 2004; Pineau et al., 2009; Ariyama et al., 2010), a feature conserved in eukaryotes (reviewed in Volmer and Ron, 2015). The functional significance of UPR activation by lipid perturbation is amply supported by physiological and genetic observations: *inositol requiring 1* (IRE1), the sole transducer of UPR signaling in yeast, is powerfully activated by depletion of the yeast membrane precursor inositol (Cox et al., 1997) and by diverse lipid perturbations caused by gene deletion (Jonikas et al., 2009). As suggested by its name, IRE1 signaling is essential for replenishing inositol stores. This is reflected by the auxotrophic features of deletion of either the upstream sensor-transducer IRE1 or its downstream effector, the transcription factor Hac1p/*inositol requiring 2* (Ire2p; Nikawa and Yamashita, 1992; Cox et al., 1997). Enzymes involved in lipid synthesis and metabolism are regulated by the UPR in both yeast (Travers et al., 2000) and animals (Sriburi et al., 2004; Adamson et al., 2016). Interest in lipid-driven UPR signaling is fueled both by curiosity regarding the fundamentals of the aforementioned

cross-talk and evidence that activation of the pathway might play a role in common diseases of metabolism, such as atherosclerosis (Feng et al., 2003), diabetes mellitus (Cunha et al., 2008), and fatty liver disease (Fu et al., 2011; reviewed in Han and Kaufman, 2016).

Altering membrane lipid composition has pleiotropic effects on cells (Thibault et al., 2012), including corruption of both calcium signaling (Li et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2011) and thiol redox (Cunha et al., 2008), either of which stands to influence protein-folding homeostasis in the ER indirectly. However, a pioneering experiment in yeast showed that Ire1p retains the ability to respond to inositol depletion despite a deletion in its luminal stress-sensing domain that severely compromises the response to protein misfolding (Promlek et al., 2011). Similarly, mutant derivatives of the animal-cell UPR transducers PKR-like ER kinase (PERK) and IRE1 $\alpha$  that lack their stress-sensing luminal domain and hence are unresponsive to unfolded protein stress nonetheless retain responsiveness to perturbation of cell lipid composition (Volmer et al., 2013). Responsiveness to lipids was completely dependent on membrane association of these mutant stress transducers, as cytosolic derivatives of the effector domain (lacking a membrane anchor) were inactive (Volmer et al., 2013). That a response of the UPR transducers to lipids might exist independently of unfolded protein signaling is also supported by the observation that chemical chaperones such as 4-phenylbutyric acid and taurooursodeoxycholate selectively interfere with IRE1 $\alpha$  activation by unfolded proteins but not by saturated fatty acids (Robblee et al., 2016). Together these observations support the idea that some types of membrane aberrancy are recognized by the UPR transducers, as suggested originally (Promlek et al., 2011).

Transmembrane (TM) segments have long been known to underlie cellular responsiveness to changes in membrane lipid composition, as exemplified by the multipass sterol-sensing domain of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase, the rate-limiting enzyme in sterol biosynthesis (Gil et al., 1985). Even a single TM domain (TMD) can register the effect of changes in membrane thickness (Cybulski et al., 2010; Sharpe et al., 2010), cholesterol, ganglioside, or sphingolipid content (Coskun et al., 2011; Contreras et al., 2012), or acyl-chain saturation/lipid packing (Covino et al., 2016). The sequence of the TMD may be important, as exemplified by p24 (an element of the COP I machinery), for which a TM motif links sphingolipid binding to changes in p24 oligomeric state (Contreras et al., 2012). In a different example, features of the TMD of the ER-anchored yeast transcription factor Mga2p modulate homotypic rotational interactions between the TMDs of adjacent molecules in response to changes in lipid packing (Covino et al., 2016). These findings and a large body of reductionist biophysical studies (reviewed in Lee, 2011) point to the importance of TMD sequence-dependent recognition of changes in membrane lipid composition.

The essential role of a TMD in IRE1 $\alpha$ 's ability to recognize membrane aberrancy (Volmer et al., 2013) fits well with evidence for sensitivity of UPR induction to acyl chain length, level of unsaturation, position of the double bonds, and their isomerization (Deguil et al., 2011; Surma et al., 2013). However, important methodological limitations previously precluded a critical analysis of features of IRE1 $\alpha$ 's TMD that might recognize membrane aberrancy. First, UPR activation was measured by X-box binding protein-1 (XBP1) mRNA splicing, an assay of low throughput that limited a detailed analysis of dose-response relationships. Second, mutant IRE1 $\alpha$  proteins were analyzed by ectopically expressing them from transgenes in *Ern1*-knockout cells. Although this successfully negated contribution from the wild-type allele, it was impossible to reliably achieve physiological (low) levels of IRE1 $\alpha$  expression, nor was it possible to account for overexpression and the corrupting effect it has on IRE1 $\alpha$  activity

(a highly concentration-dependent process; Korenniykh et al., 2011). Drawing on recent developments in clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9 gene editing, we addressed these limitations and report on the response to lipid aberrancy of a range of IRE1 $\alpha$  TMD mutants expressed from the endogenous *Ern1* locus of isogenic CHO-K1 cells endowed with sensitive fluorescence-based UPR reporters with a broad dynamic range.

## RESULTS


### Experimental platform to study membrane aberrancy-mediated IRE1 $\alpha$ signaling

To explore the role of IRE1 $\alpha$  in responding to membrane aberrancy, we exploited a CHO-K1-derived cell line (S21) containing both a C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP)::green fluorescent protein (GFP) transcriptional reporter of the UPR PERK-dependent branch (Novoa et al., 2001) and an mRNA-based XBP1s::Turquoise reporter responsive to IRE1 $\alpha$ 's sequence-specific RNase activity (based on Iwawaki et al., 2004). Flow cytometry analysis of cells treated with either tunicamycin or 2-deoxy-D-glucose (2DG; to perturb protein-folding homeostasis in the ER) or palmitate (a saturated fatty acid, to promote membrane aberrancy) showed that in these cells, all three agents activated both strands of the UPR in a concentration-dependent manner. In most experiments, activation of the XBP1s::Turquoise reporter did not saturate, even at the highest concentrations of palmitate that were compatible with cell viability (Figure 1). Nonetheless, the ascending limb of the concentration-response curve was deemed broad enough to enable study of the IRE1 $\alpha$  branch of the UPR.

To explore the role of IRE1 $\alpha$ 's TMD in responding to membrane aberrancy, we first used CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing to introduce frameshifting mutations into the TMD-encoding region of the two chromosomal copies of *Ern1*. As expected, the resulting inactivation of IRE1 $\alpha$  in the  $\Delta$ TM12 clone led to selective loss of the XBP1s::Turquoise signal in stressed cells and only a modest attenuation of the mostly PERK-dependent CHOP::GFP signal (Figure 2A and Supplemental Figure S2, A–C). Retargeting the mutant *Ern1* locus of  $\Delta$ TM12 clone with CRISPR/Cas9 and a repair template encoding the wild-type TMD restored stress-dependent activation of XBP1s::Turquoise on a discernible fraction of the cells (Figure 2B, left). "Rescued" cells were segregated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) of the XBP1s::Turquoise $^+$  population (their recovery as viable clonogenic cells was greatly facilitated by exploiting the reversible action of 2DG) and subsequently analyzed either as an expanded polyclonal pool or as individual rescued clones (Figure 2B, right).

### Functional consequences of sequence modifications of the endogenous IRE1 $\alpha$ 's TMD

The aforementioned experimental system was used to explore features of IRE1 $\alpha$ 's highly conserved TMD (Supplemental Figure S2D) that might be relevant to recognizing membrane aberrancy. Offering a repair template that encoded either a wild-type or a sequence-scrambled (similar to that used in Volmer et al., 2013) IRE1 $\alpha$  TMD to the retargeted  $\Delta$ TM12 cells generated isogenic cells that differed only in the sequence of the portion of the *Ern1* gene encoding IRE1 $\alpha$  TMD (Figure 3A). Levels of IRE1 $\alpha$  expression varied in retargeted, rescued,  $\Delta$ TM12 clones (Figure 3B). This variation likely reflected the combined effects of gene dosage and properties of the rescued allele. Through genotypic analysis, we confined the downstream studies to clones that had a single detectable allele (encoding either a wild-type or a scrambled TMD). However, with the tools available to us, we were unable to distinguish between cells having two rescued *Ern1* alleles and cells having one rescued allele in-trans to a large deletion that was not detected in the



**FIGURE 1:** Dual UPR pathway reporter cell line for tracking UPR activity induced by unfolded protein stress and lipid aberrancy with a broad dynamic range. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of XBP1s::Turquoise (XBP1s::Turq) and CHOP::GFP expression in a dual UPR reporter cell line (S21 cells) treated with various concentrations of tunicamycin (Tm), 2DG, or palmitate (PA) for 24 h. (B) Dose-response curve of UPR reporter expression in S21 cells treated with Tm, 2DG, or PA at concentrations as in A for 24 h. Median fluorescence intensities (MFI, in arbitrary units) for at least  $9 \times 10^3$  cells were measured by flow cytometry. Curves were fitted to a four-parameter logistic model. Half-maximal effective concentration ( $EC_{50}$ ) and coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ).


fragment-based genotypic analysis (see Materials and Methods). Thus clone TM-WT-16 is likely homozygous for wild-type *Ern1* allele (having wild-type levels of IRE1 $\alpha$  protein and a wild-type response to stress), whereas clone TM-WT-22 is likely heterozygous for wild-type and null *Ern1* alleles. Zygosity of the scrambled TMD clones (SC-4 and SC-8) cannot be guessed at; however, assuming that the subtle sequence differences between the wild-type and scrambled repair template had no effect on the relative recovery of cells with one rescued *Ern1* allele and with two rescued *Ern1* alleles, the lower levels of IRE1 $\alpha$  protein observed in the polyclonal pool of cells rescued with the scrambled allele suggests that the latter encoded a protein that accumulated to lower levels in cells (Figure 3B, left).

Despite the lower levels of expression of the scrambled IRE1 $\alpha$ , both wild-type and scrambled TMD-rescuing alleles gave rise to similar profiles of activity in cells treated with tunicamycin, 2DG, and, remarkably, palmitate. This was evident both by comparing polyclonal populations of  $\Delta$ TM12 cells rescued with the wild-type and scrambled TMD-encoding repair templates (Figure 3C and Supplemental Figure S3A) and by studying the features of individual clones (Figure 3D and Supplemental Figure S3B). These findings

speak against a stringent requirement for the arrangement of the side chains of the TMD in IRE1 $\alpha$  function.

Covino et al. (2016) described features of the TMD of the yeast ER-tethered transcription factor Mga2p that endow it with sensitivity to changes in lipid packing wrought by altering acyl-chain saturation. They drew attention to the role of bulky aromatic residues, such as tryptophan and phenylalanine, and to the presence of proline residues in favoring alternative conformations of the Mga2p TMD dimer in more loosely packed and more ordered membranes constituted of unsaturated and saturated lipids, respectively. These features of Mga2p contribute to lipid homeostasis in yeast by coupling expression of *OLE1*, the gene encoding a fatty acid desaturase, to changes in membrane lipid saturation (Covino et al., 2016). Furthermore, Russ and Engelman (2000) pointed out the importance of an interrupted diglycine motif in homodimerizing TMD.

IRE1 $\alpha$  has a conserved tryptophan, phenylalanine, tyrosine, and proline in its TMD (Supplemental Figure S2D), as well as an interrupted glycine and alanine motif whose presence was unaffected by scrambling (Figure 3A). To determine whether these residues contributed to sensing of membrane aberrancy by IRE1 $\alpha$ , we reconstituted



**FIGURE 2:** Deletion and reconstitution of IRE1 $\alpha$ 's TMD by CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of cells untreated (blue) and treated with 4 mM 2DG for 24 h (red). Left, parental S21 (wild-type) cells; right, mutant  $\Delta$ T12 cells with CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene-disrupting InDels in the TMD. A schema for the IRE1 $\alpha$ -encoding *Ern1* gene and the position of the guide RNAs that target Cas9 are shown below the plots. Gray boxes indicate exon 12, and orange boxes indicate the coding sequence of the IRE1 $\alpha$  TMD. (B) Flow cytometry analysis of  $\Delta$ T12 cells retargeted with a guide RNA directed to the mutant exon 12 and a repair template that restores the wild-type (WT) TMD.  $\Delta$ T12 cells successfully reconstituted with WT IRE1 (encircled by broken line) were distinguished from the rest of the population by 2DG treatment and collected using FACS. Top right, resultant polyclonal populations, both untreated and treated with 2DG. Bottom right, representative single clone of the rescued cells.

the endogenous locus of the nullizygous  $\Delta$ T12 clone with mutant versions of *Ern1* encoding IRE1 $\alpha$  proteins lacking these key features (Figure 4, A and B). Although the mutants varied in their ability to activate the XBP1s::Turquoise reporter, none exhibited a selective defect in response to lipid aberrancy (Figure 4, C and D, and Supplemental Figure S4). Even a mutant in which all of the predicted membrane-spanning residues had been replaced by leucines, IRE1 $\alpha$ <sup>TM>L</sup>, retained a hierarchy of response to palmitate, 2DG, and tunicamycin that resembled the wild type. For all mutants tested, endurance of the response to membrane aberrancy was noted at levels of expressions that either matched or were lower than those of the wild-type IRE1 $\alpha$ . This was especially conspicuous in the IRE1 $\alpha$ <sup>TM>L</sup>, which repro-


ducibly gave the weakest signal in the immunoprecipitation-immunoblot (Figure 4B).

The region immediately N-terminal to the IRE1 $\alpha$  TMD is predicted to form an amphipathic extension of the membrane-spanning helix, a feature conserved in the yeast Ire1p (Figure 5A and Supplemental Figure S5, A and B). It has been proposed that hydrophobic side chains of residues from one face of this predicted amphipathic helix are inserted into the lipid bilayer, constraining the TMD in a manner that favors dimerization in an ordered bilayer (Halbleib et al., 2017). To examine the role of these residues in the responsiveness of IRE1 $\alpha$  to lipid aberrancy, we reconstituted the endogenous locus with mutant versions of *Ern1* encoding IRE1 $\alpha$  proteins in which the amphipathic features of this region were disrupted (this was archived by exploiting *Ern1*<sup>ΔLD15</sup>, a signaling-defective allele of IRE1 $\alpha$  described later). Of note, both the IRE1 $\alpha$ <sup>V437R</sup> and IRE1 $\alpha$ <sup>L441R</sup> mutant proteins retained wild-type levels of inducibility by palmitate, 2DG, and tunicamycin (Figure 5, B and C, and Supplemental Figure S5C). Although we have not examined this aspect experimentally, the elevated basal activity of the XBP1s::Turquoise reporter reproducibly noted in the IRE1 $\alpha$ <sup>L441R</sup> mutant cells might be explained by the loss of a repressive contact with the Sec61 complex (Sundaram et al., 2017).


#### The IRE1 $\alpha$ <sup>Y161A</sup> mutation selectively enfeebles the response to unfolded protein stress, exposing IRE1 $\alpha$ 's ability to sense membrane aberrancy

The experimental observations presented so far speak against an important role for the side chains of specific TMD residues (and TMD-proximal residues of the predicted N-terminal amphipathic helix) in endowing IRE1 $\alpha$  with the ability to recognize membrane aberrancy imposed by culturing cells in a range of concentrations of palmitate. However, as noted in the *Introduction*, manipulation of membrane lipid composition has pleiotropic effects that might include induction of unfolded protein stress in the ER lumen. The observed indifference of IRE1 $\alpha$  to mutations in its TMD might therefore reflect dominance of the unfolded protein stress over membrane aberrancy in the forces that act on IRE1 $\alpha$  in palmitate-exposed CHO-K1 cells. Our efforts to address this possibility by selectively buffering the consequences of protein misfolding with a chemical chaperone, 4-phenyl butyrate (4-PBA), were frustrated by the fact that at the concentrations required to attenuate UPR induction by tunicamycin, 4-PBA nonspecifically suppressed unrelated stress signaling. Therefore we sought to create an IRE1 $\alpha$  allele that was selectively compromised in its ability to respond to unfolded protein stress over its response to membrane aberrancy.


Using CRISPR/Cas9, we created a CHO-K1 cell line with an in-frame deletion allele of *Ern1* encompassing the entire coding sequence of the stress-sensing luminal domain of IRE1 $\alpha$  (*in-trans* to a larger deletion that disrupts the coding sequence, *Ern1*<sup>ΔLD15/null</sup>; Figure 6, A and B, and Supplemental Figure S6, A–C). Overexpression of a transgene encoding a similar protein imparted selective responsiveness to palmitate loading upon *Ern1*-deleted mouse cells (Volmer et al., 2013). However, although detectable, the IRE1 $\alpha$ <sup>ΔLD</sup> protein encoded by the mutant *Ern1*<sup>ΔLD15</sup> locus failed to activate the XBP1s::Turquoise reporter in palmitate-treated cells (Supplemental Figure S6D); the minimal increase in XBP1s::Turquoise of palmitate-treated  $\Delta$ LD15 cells likely reflects a process unrelated to IRE1 $\alpha$ -mediated splicing, as it was also observed in the  $\Delta$ T12 cells lacking all IRE1 $\alpha$  function [Supplemental Figure S2C]. This observation is consistent with a role for luminal domain-mediated dimerization in the responsiveness of IRE1 $\alpha$  to membrane aberrancy when expressed at physiological levels, a requirement that is bypassed by



**FIGURE 3:** TMD-scrambled IRE1 $\alpha$  responds to palmitate. (A) Sequence comparison of the wild-type (TM-WT) and scrambled (TM-SC) TMDs of IRE1 $\alpha$ . Aromatic residues (red), GXXXG-like motif (green), and proline (purple). (B) Immunoblot of IRE1 $\alpha$  protein immunoprecipitated from detergent lysates of parental (S21),  $\Delta$ TM12, and polyclonal pools (left) or individual clones (right) of TMD-reconstituted cells. Cells were first permeabilized with 0.09% digitonin to lower the background from cytosolic proteins and soluble mutant IRE1 $\alpha$  lacking a TMD, solubilized in 1% Triton X-100, and analyzed by immunoprecipitation/Western blot with anti-IRE1 $\alpha$  antibody or Western blot with anti-calnexin (CNX) antibody (used as a loading control; bottom). Asterisks indicate a nonspecific band superimposed on the residual signal from mutant IRE1 $\alpha$  lacking a TMD (from skipping of the mutant exon 12). Mr, relative molecular mass. Blots are representative of at least two independent experiments. (C, D) Dose– response curve of UPR reporter activity in polyclonal pools (C) or individual clones (D) of IRE1 $\alpha$  TMD-reconstituted cells treated with Tm, 2DG, or PA at concentrations as in Figure 1A for 24 h. MFI for at least  $9 \times 10^3$  cells was measured by flow cytometry. Curves were fitted to a four-parameter logistic model. Data are representative of three independent experiments (two other experiments are shown in Supplemental Figure S3).



**FIGURE 4:** Generic features of the TMD are sufficient for sensing membrane aberrancy. (A) Sequences of the IRE1 $\alpha$  wild-type (WT) and various TMD mutants studied. Aromatic residues (red), GXXXG-like motif (green), and proline (purple). TM>L indicates an IRE1 mutant whose TMD consists of only leucine residues. In FWY>L, the aromatic residues were replaced with leucine residues. (B) Immunoblot of IRE1 $\alpha$  and CNX (a loading control) from the TMD-reconstituted cells (as in Figure 3B). Cells were permeabilized with 0.09% digitonin, solubilized, and analyzed by immunoprecipitation/Western blot with anti-IRE1 $\alpha$  antibody or Western blot with anti-CNX antibody. Asterisks indicate nonspecific bands. Mr, relative molecular mass. Blots are representative of at least two independent experiments. (C, D) Dose-response curve of UPR reporter expression in polyclonal pools (C) or individual clones (D) of IRE1 $\alpha$  TMD-reconstituted cells treated with Tm, 2DG, or PA at concentrations as in Figure 1A for 24 h. MFI for at least  $9 \times 10^3$  cells was measured by flow cytometry. Curves were fitted to a four-parameter logistic model. Data are representative of three independent experiments (two other experiments are shown in Supplemental Figure S4).




**FIGURE 5:** Amphipathic helix mutants of IRE1 $\alpha$  respond to palmitate stress. (A) Sequence of the predicted amphipathic helical (AH) extension of IRE1 $\alpha$  TMD. Hydrophobic residues mutated in the experiments below are colored cyan. (B) Immunoblot of IRE1 $\alpha$  and CNX (a loading control) from the TMD-reconstituted cells (as in Figure 3B). ΔLD15 cells express an IRE1 $\alpha$  protein with a large deletion encompassing the luminal domain (see Supplemental Figure 6A for further details); they serve as a platform for reconstituting with the other TMD mutants shown here. Blots are representative of at least two independent experiments. (C) Dose-response curve of UPR reporter expression in individual clones of IRE1 $\alpha$  AH mutant cells treated with Tm, 2DG, or PA at concentrations as in Figure 1A for 24 h. MFI for at least  $9 \times 10^3$  cells was measured by flow cytometry. Curves were fitted to a four-parameter logistic model. Data are representative of at least two independent experiments (another experiment is shown in Supplemental Figure S5).

overexpression. Retargeting the *Ern1<sup>ΔLD15</sup>* locus with CRISPR/Cas9 while offering a human cDNA ("minigene")-based repair template (encompassing exons 2–12 and encoding the wild-type luminal domain of human IRE1 $\alpha$ ) restored IRE1 $\alpha$  activity in tunicamycin-, 2DG-, and palmitate-treated cells (Figure 6C and Supplemental Figure S6C). Of importance, when a repair template encoding an IRE1 $\alpha^{Y161A}$  mutation (corresponding to yeast *Ire1p<sup>F285A</sup>*; Credle et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2006) was used in place of the wild type, activation of XBP1s::Turquoise by tunicamycin or 2DG was lost, but a measure of response to palmitate loading reproducibly remained (Figure 6, C and D, and Supplemental Figure S6, E–G). The residual responsiveness of IRE1 $\alpha^{Y161A}$  to palmitate was clearly a feature of the mutant luminal domain, as it was absent from the parental ΔLD15 cells

(Supplemental Figure S6E). These findings indicate that the Y161A mutation in IRE1 $\alpha$  drives a wedge between the responsiveness to unfolded proteins and membrane aberrancy at levels of expression that are lower than the wild type. The mechanism by which the Y161A mutation interferes with IRE1 $\alpha$ 's responsiveness to unfolded protein stress remains to be determined; nonetheless, this point mutation provides a platform for selectively testing the effect of secondary mutations on IRE1 $\alpha$ 's ability to recognize membrane aberrancy.

#### Membrane aberrancy is robustly sensed by TMD-mutant IRE1 $\alpha$

Building on this finding, we retargeted the *Ern1<sup>ΔLD15</sup>* locus with CRISPR/Cas9 while offering a human cDNA ("minigene")-based




**FIGURE 6:** IRE1 $\alpha^{Y161A}$  responds to palmitate but not to unfolded protein stress. (A) Cartoon representation of crystal structure of human IRE1 $\alpha$  luminal domain (LD; Protein Data Bank ID: 2HZ6).  $\alpha$ -Helices,  $\beta$ -strands, and loops are colored cyan, magenta, and wheat, respectively. Counterparts to residues involved in the response of yeast Ire1p to unfolded protein stress are shown as a stick model with an atomic coloring scheme (carbon in white, nitrogen in blue, oxygen in red) and labeled. Dimer interface is indicated by gray dashed line. (B) Immunoblot of IRE1 $\alpha$  and CNX (a loading control) from the parental S21 cells, the UPR-deficient IRE1 $\alpha^{ΔLD15}$  clone, or IRE1 $\alpha^{ΔLD15}$ -derived clones rescued with a single allele of either the human wild-type (WT-3 and WT-16) or mutant IRE1 $\alpha^{Y161A}$  luminal domain (Y161A-38 or Y161A-40; details as in Figure 3B). The migration of the parental hamster IRE1 $\alpha$  (in the S21 cells, denoted IRE1) differs slightly from the human–hamster chimeric protein encoded by the rescued allele (IRE1 $\alpha^{hLD}$ ). The protein encoded by the IRE1 $\alpha^{ΔLD15}$  is considerably smaller (IRE1 $\alpha^{ΔLD}$ ). Blots are representative of at least two independent experiments. (C) Dose–response curve of UPR reporter expression in individual clones of IRE1 $\alpha^{Y161A}$  mutant cells treated with Tm, 2DG, or PA at concentrations as in Figure 1A for 24 h. MFI for at least  $9 \times 10^3$  cells was measured by flow cytometry. Curves were fitted to a four-parameter logistic model. Data are representative of at least two independent experiments (another experiment is shown in Supplemental Figure S6F). (D) Same as in C, except that data are shown as fold change relative to the reporter activity at lowest concentration of each stressor (set to a value of 1). Data are from one of two independent experiments performed (the second experiment is shown in Supplemental Figure S6G).


repair template encoding the  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{Y161A}}$  mutation alongside the compound  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{V437R;L441R}}$ , an  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\Delta 403-441}$  deletion (encompassing the predicted amphipathic helix), or  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{TM>L}}$ , in which the TMD was replaced with a string of leucines (Figure 7, A and B). In the context of the  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{Y161A}}$  modification, which biases  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$  away from sensing unfolded proteins and allows the response to lipid aberrancy to dominate, all three mutants ( $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{V437R;L441R}}$ ,  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\Delta 403-441}$ , and  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{TM>L}}$ ) retained their ability to activate XBP1s::Turquoise reporter in palmitate-treated cells at levels similar to those of the reference  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{Y161A}}$  mutant (Figure 7, C and D). Replacing the TMD residues of  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$  with leucine ( $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{TM>L}}$ ) sensitized  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{Y161A}}$  to membrane aberrancy imposed by palmitate and to a lesser degree to tunicamycin (Figure 7, D and E). This feature of the compound  $\text{Ern1}^{\text{Y161A};\text{TM>L}}$  mutation, which was reproducibly observed in two independently isolated clones (Supplemental Figure S7), was all the more striking, given that the encoded compound mutant

$\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{Y161A};\text{TM>L}}$  protein is expressed at lower levels than  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{Y161A}}$ , which serves as a reference in this experiment (Figure 7A).

The experiments noted here point to an unanticipated robustness of  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$ 's ability to respond to lipid aberrancy by promoting the unconventional splicing of the XBP1s::Turquoise reporter. This was further examined by studying the response of endogenous XBP1 and its target genes in cells expressing the aforementioned  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$  derivatives as their only source of  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$  protein. The Y161A mutation selectively enfeebled the response to unfolded proteins also when assessed by  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$ -mediated XBP1 splicing, although the defect was slightly less complete than that observed by the flow cytometry-based measurement of XBP1s::Turquoise activity (compare Figure 8A with Figure 6, C and D). In the context of the  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{Y161A}}$  mutation, both  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$ -dependent splicing of endogenous XBP1 mRNA (Figure 8, A and B, and Supplemental Figure S8) and the expression of two well-validated  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$ -dependent UPR target



**FIGURE 7:** Robust induction of the UPR reporter by palmitate in cells expressing compound mutant  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$  proteins that are unresponsive to unfolded protein stress. (A) Schema of Y161A-containing compound  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$  mutants.  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{Y161A};\text{AH}^*}$  has additional  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{V437R;L441R}}$  mutations.  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{Y161A};\Delta\text{AH}}$  has an additional deletion of 39 amino acid residues,  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\Delta 403-441}$ , containing the predicted amphipathic helix (AH). The TMD of  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{Y161A};\text{TM>L}}$  consists of only leucine residues (indicated by the striping of the orange box). Signal peptide (SP), yellow; core luminal domain, green; TMD, orange; kinase domain, cyan; RNase domain, purple. (B) Immunoblot of  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$  and CNX (a loading control) from the mutant  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{Y161A}}$  luminal domain clones ( $\text{Y161A-38}$  or  $\text{Y161A-40}$ ) and the compound mutants indicated (details as in Figure 3B). The migration of the human–hamster chimeric proteins is indicated ( $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{hLD}}$ ), and an asterisk marks an irrelevant species. Note the slightly faster migration of the smaller  $\text{IRE1}\alpha^{\text{Y161A};\Delta\text{AH}}$  protein. Blots are representative of at least two independent experiments. (C, D) Dose–response curve of UPR reporter expression in individual clones of  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$  mutant cells treated with various concentrations of PA (0.025–0.63 mM) for 24 h. MFI for at least  $9 \times 10^3$  cells was measured by flow cytometry. Curves were fitted to a four-parameter logistic model. Data are representative of at least two independent experiments (another experiment is shown in Supplemental Figure S7, A and B). (E) UPR reporter expression in individual clones of  $\text{IRE1}\alpha$  mutant cells treated with various concentrations of Tm (0, 0.5, and 2  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ) for 24 h. MFI for at least  $9 \times 10^3$  cells was measured by flow cytometry. Data are representative of at least two independent experiments (another experiment is shown in Supplemental Figure S7C).



**FIGURE 8:** Robust induction of endogenous UPR markers by palmitate in cells expressing compound mutant IRE1 $\alpha$  proteins that are unresponsive to unfolded protein stress. (A) Agarose gel of stained DNA fragments derived from XBP1 cDNA produced by reverse transcriptase PCR of mRNA from S21 (parental) and IRE1 $\alpha$  mutant cells. The cells were untreated or treated with 0.5 mM PA or 2 µg/ml Tm as indicated. The unspliced (U) and spliced (S) products and heteroduplex species (HD) are indicated, and the fraction of spliced XBP1 mRNA in each sample is shown below the gel. Data are representative of three independent experiments (two other experiments are shown in Supplemental Figure S8). (B) Level of XBP1 splicing as determined by quantitation of the signals in A and Supplemental Figure S8. Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). (C) Quantitative PCR analysis of mRNA expression levels of the XBP1 target genes *Dnajb9* and *Sec61a1* in S21 (parental) and IRE1 $\alpha$  mutant cells treated as described. Values are normalized to *Ppia* (cyclophilin A) mRNA, a housekeeping gene, and represent mean  $\pm$  SEM ( $n = 3$ ). \* $p < 0.05$ ; \*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ ; \*\*\*\* $p < 0.0001$  (one-way analysis of variance, Bonferroni's post hoc test).

genes (*Dnajb9/ERdj4* and *Sec61a1*; Shoulders et al., 2013; Adamson et al., 2016) were unaffected by secondary IRE1 $\alpha^{V437R; L441R}$ , IRE1 $\alpha^{\Delta 403-441}$ , or IRE1 $\alpha^{TM>L}$  mutations in palmitate-treated compound mutant cells (Figure 8C).

## DISCUSSION

Changes in lipid membrane composition have long been known to affect UPR signaling. Similarly, a role for the TMD of the UPR transducers in recognizing the membrane aberrancy and in contributing to

changes in IRE1 and PERK activity in lipid-perturbed cells is well supported. Surprisingly, a previous study suggested that at least some of the molecular recognition events involved in IRE1 $\alpha$ 's response to membrane aberrancy proceeded despite rather drastic alterations to the sequence of the TMD (Volmer et al., 2013). Here we extended the inquiry into features of IRE1 $\alpha$  that endow it with the ability to recognize membrane aberrancy imposed by palmitate loading and rectified important limitations in previous studies. Our observations indicate that although the specialized conserved features of the IRE1 $\alpha$  TMD do influence its responsiveness to membrane aberrancy, none is categorically required for UPR activation in palmitate-loaded cells.

The enzymatically active form of IRE1 is a dimer of its effector kinase\_endonuclease (KEN) domain, and a trans-autophosphorylation regulatory step followed by nucleotide binding precedes dimer formation of the cytosolic domain (Lee et al., 2008). Both events are constrained by the plane of the ER membrane and, being bimolecular interactions, both are concentration dependent. Cooperativity between the steps associated with IRE1 activation and oligomerization of the protein in the plane of the membrane (Korennykh et al., 2009) add up to nonlinear relationship between protein concentration and effector enzymatic activity (Korennykh et al., 2011). For these reasons, analysis of IRE1 activity and the effects of mutants thereon must carefully consider the issue of protein concentration. The potentially corrupting effect of IRE1 overexpression is all the more acute, given the low abundance of IRE1 protein (Kim et al., 2014). In yeast, the problem is compounded by lack of reagents to directly measure the presence of endogenous Ire1p and thereby assess the relative level of expression of derivatives.

Previous experiments in mammalian cells compared signaling in the IRE1-dependent branch of the UPR between overexpressed derivatives, and, even in yeast, where low-copy number plasmids were used, the degree of Ire1p expression remained indeterminate, as the endogenous protein remains undetectable (Promlek et al., 2011; Volmer et al., 2013). It was therefore impossible to conclude whether residual responsiveness to lipid perturbation observed in mutants that had lost the ability to respond to unfolded protein stress reflects a misleading experimental artifact or reports on features relevant to IRE1 signaling at endogenous levels of expression.

This study benefitted from recent developments in CRISPR/Cas9 technology that enable site-directed mutagenesis of the chromosomal copy of the gene of interest—in this case, the mammalian IRE1 $\alpha$  encoding *Ern1* gene. None of the mutations in residues accounting for conserved features of IRE1 $\alpha$ 's TMD selectively desensitized the UPR transducer to palmitate loading. The activity of the IRE1 $\alpha$  branch was assessed over the ascending portion of the concentration-response curve, avoiding the distorting effects of saturation. In most instances, the immunoblot signal arising from the mutant IRE1 $\alpha$  proteins was found to be lower than that of the reference wild-type protein. These feeble signals likely reflected lower levels of expression in the cell. Altered immunogenicity of the mutant proteins was unlikely, as the immunogen used to raise the anti-IRE1 $\alpha$  serum did not encompass the TMD (Bertolotti et al., 2000). Altered extractability of the mutants into the detergent phase was rendered unlikely, as recovery was unaffected by including deoxycholate or low concentrations of SDS in the extracting buffer. Thus our findings speak against a role of the conserved features of IRE1 $\alpha$ 's TMD in sensitizing the transducer to membrane aberrancy.

The residual responsiveness to palmitate of IRE1 $\alpha^{Y161A}$ , a luminal-domain mutant that lost much of its ability to respond to unfolded protein stress, occurs at physiological levels of expression. Regardless of whether this mutation attains its effect by lowering the affinity of IRE1 $\alpha$  for activating unfolded protein ligands (Credle

et al., 2005) or by other means, its ability to neuter the response to unfolded protein stress provides an informative backdrop for the study of rationally designed second mutations to test their effect on IRE1 $\alpha$  response to palmitate loading. The results point to a surprising robustness of IRE1 $\alpha$ 's ability to recognize membrane aberrancy. Even in the background of the IRE1 $\alpha^{Y161A}$  mutation, none of the second mutations that we expected to desensitize IRE1 $\alpha$  to lipid perturbation did so. Thus we can conclude firmly that although mammalian IRE1 $\alpha$  possesses features that have been proven to promote favorable homotypic interactions between TMDs and thereby activation of Mga2p in ordered ER membranes (Covino et al., 2016), these features are dispensable to the mammalian UPR.

Given the importance of dimerization to IRE1 $\alpha$  activity, it seems reasonable to assume that recognition of membrane aberrancy has something to do with enhanced tendency of IRE1 $\alpha$ 's TMD to dimerize in lipid bilayers comprised of more saturated acyl chains. Simple biophysical principles may be at play. These include hydrophobic mismatch between TMD length and bilayer thickness, partitioning of IRE1 $\alpha$  into lipid microdomains with differential effects on dimerization, and changes in strength of peptide-lipid interactions (reviewed in Volmer and Ron, 2015). The indifference of IRE1 $\alpha$  to alterations in the composition of its TMD does not help discriminate among the various possibilities. Similarly limited is the inference one can draw from comparing the wild-type IRE1 $\alpha$  to the IRE1 $\alpha^{Y161A}$ , a mutant defective in its ability to respond to unfolded protein stress. If the mutant were purely defective in recognizing an unfolded protein stress signal (but intact in all other respects), one could infer that most of the increase in IRE1 $\alpha$  signaling in palmitate-loaded cells arises from the secondary induction of unfolded protein stress, as the IRE1 $\alpha^{Y161A}$  mutant remains markedly impaired in its response to palmitate. However, the mutant is also expressed at lower levels than the wild type and may well manifest features that impair the response to membrane aberrancy independently of the mutation's effect on recognizing the unfolded protein stress signal; for example, Y161A might lower the intrinsic affinity of IRE1 $\alpha$  luminal domain protomers for one another.

Our findings do suggest that stripping IRE1 $\alpha$  TMD of its conserved features correlated with lower levels of expression and a pattern of enhanced sensitivity to palmitate loading. However, the conclusion that conserved features found in IRE1 $\alpha$ 's TMD (and TMD-proximal segment) might have evolved to tune the protein to lower activity in lipid perturbed cells should be made tentatively. First, the statistical sample of IRE1 $\alpha$  mutants tested in this study remains small—even with the power of CRISPR/Cas9, elaborating and characterizing informative chromosomal mutations is laborious. Second, the most pronounced gain-of-function features (over the wild type) were observed in the case of the IRE1 $\alpha^{TM-L}$ . Although this mutant certainly strips IRE1 $\alpha$  TMD of all its special features, it may also have acquired special gain-of-function features, such as the tendency to form a leucine zipper (Brooks et al., 2014) and thereby favor IRE1 dimerization. Third, our analysis has been confined to one kind of lipid perturbation, namely, loading cells with the saturated fatty acid palmitate. It is possible that the features of IRE1 $\alpha$  altered here play a role in detecting membrane aberrancy caused by alteration in other membrane constituents. Furthermore, our conclusions are limited to mammalian IRE1 $\alpha$ ; the IRE1 $\beta$  isoform of mammals and the single IRE1 protein of other animal species, yeasts, and protists may obey different rules. Perhaps the greatest value of this study is therefore in highlighting shortcomings in our ability to bioinformatically predict conserved mechanisms that sense membrane aberrancy and to showcase an effective experimental method for exploring this process as it relates to one branch of the UPR.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Plasmid construction

A combination of PCR-based manipulations, restriction digests, and site-directed mutagenesis procedures was used to create DNA constructs. Supplemental Table S1 lists the plasmids used, their lab names, description, and published reference where available.

### Preparation of palmitate–bovine serum albumin complex

Palmitate–bovine serum albumin (BSA) complex was prepared by modification of a published method (Spector, 1986). Briefly, a 20 mM solution of sodium palmitate (P9767; Sigma-Aldrich, United Kingdom) in 0.01 M NaOH was incubated at 70°C for 30 min. Pre-warmed palmitate solution was added dropwise to 20% fatty acid-free BSA (A6003; Sigma-Aldrich) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at a 6.6:1 palmitate-to-BSA molar ratio. The resultant palmitate–BSA complex solution was filtered, aliquoted, and stored at –20°C.

### Cell culture and treatment

CHO-K1-based cell lines were maintained in regular medium consisting of Nutrient Mixture F-12 Ham (Sigma-Aldrich), 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; FetalClone II, Lot ABB214492; Hyclone-GE Healthcare Life Sciences, South Logan, UT), 2 mM L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1× penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented as described.

Cells were treated with drugs at the following final concentrations: 0.080–2.0 µg/ml tunicamycin (Melford, United Kingdom), 0.13–16 mM 2DG (Acros Organics, Belgium), 0.025–0.63 mM palmitate (as a BSA complex; see earlier description), and 6–8 µg/ml puromycin (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA). All drugs were first diluted in fresh, prewarmed medium and then applied to the cells by medium exchange. For palmitate treatment, 1% FCS medium was used.

### Generation of the genome edited cells by CRISPR/Cas9

**ATM12 cells.** Two single-guide RNA (sgRNA) sequences for targeting exon 12 of *Cricetulus griseus* (Chinese hamster) *Ern1* were selected from the CRISPy database (<http://staff.biosustain.dtu.dk/laeb/crispy/>; Ronda et al., 2014), and duplex DNA oligonucleotides (made of oligo DNAs 1155/1156 and 1157/1158 in Supplemental Table S2) of the sequences were inserted into the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro plasmid (plasmid UK1367) to create sgRNA/Cas9 plasmids UK1588 and UK1589, respectively by following published procedures (Ran et al., 2013; Cong and Zhang, 2015).

CHO-K1 XBP1s::Turquoise/CHOP::GFP dual reporter cells (S21 cells; Sekine et al., 2016) were plated in six-well plates (Corning, Corning, NY) at a density of  $2 \times 10^5$  per well. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were transfected with sgRNA/Cas9 plasmids UK1588 and UK1589 (1 µg each per transfection) using Lipofectamine LTX (Invitrogen, United Kingdom). Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were plated in 10-cm dishes (Corning) at  $2.5 \times 10^6$  cells. Twenty-four hours later, cells were treated with 4 mM 2DG and further incubated for 24 h. The 2DG-treated cells were washed with PBS and resuspended in PBS containing 4 mM EDTA and 0.5% (wt/vol) BSA, and an XBP1s::Turquoise<sup>dim</sup>, CHOP::GFP<sup>high</sup> population was individually sorted by FACS into 96-well plates (Corning) using a MoFlo Cell Sorter (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA).

Clones were then analyzed by a PCR-based assay to detect *Ern1* mutations, as described (Klampfl et al., 2013). Briefly, primers (1193 and 1189) were designed for the region encompassing the *Ern1* sgRNA target sites, and the forward primer was labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-FAM) on the 5' end. A PCR was set up using

0.1 µl of Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), 0.5 µl of 10 µM labeled forward and reverse primers, 2 µl of 5x buffer, 0.2 µl of 2.5 mM dNTPs, 5.7 µl of H<sub>2</sub>O, and 1 µl of proteinase K-digested cell lysate (used as a crude genomic DNA). PCR was performed as follows: 98°C for 30 s, 30x (98°C for 10 s, 65°C for 20 s, 72°C for 30 s), and 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were diluted 1:50 or 1:200 in water, fragment length was determined on a 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, United Kingdom), and the data were analyzed using the Gene Mapper software (Applied Biosystems).

Clones for which frameshift-causing insertions or deletions (InDels) were detected for both alleles (based on PCR fragment size) were sequenced to confirm the *Ern1* mutations.

**Knock-in of TMD by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated homology-directed repair.** A series of mutations in IRE1 TM domain was reconstituted in ΔTM12 cells by a CRISPR/Cas9-mediated homology-directed repair (HDR).

An sgRNA sequence for targeting the exon 12 of *Ern1* was selected from the CRISPy database, and a duplex DNA oligonucleotide (made of oligo DNAs 1193 and 1189) of the sequence was inserted into the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-mCherry plasmid (plasmid UK1610) to create the sgRNA/Cas9 plasmid UK1615.

To construct a wild-type repair template (UK1837) that contains TMD-coding exon 12, a 2969-base pair CHO genomic fragment containing exon 12 of *Ern1* was amplified by PCR using primers 1136 and 1139, digested with KpnI and SacI, and ligated into KpnI/SacI-digested pBluescript II KS(+) plasmid (pBS KS(+); UK1). The sequence targeted by sgRNA of UK1615 in the repair template was mutated by site-directed mutagenesis using primers 1604 and 1605.

Repair templates for TM>L (UK1910), TM-FWY>L (UK1911), W464L (UK1912), P472A (UK1913), and G463L/A466L (UK1914) were created by site-directed mutagenesis of UK1837 using primers 1695 and 1696 for UK1910, 1697 and 1698 for UK1911, 1701 and 1702 for UK1912, 1699 and 1700 for UK1913, and 1705 and 1706 for UK1914.

To construct a repair template for scrambling TMD (UK1838), 5' and 3' homology arms amplified by primers 1136/1137 and 1138/1139, respectively, were PCR knitted by primers 1136 and 1139. The resultant DNA fragment was digested with KpnI and SacI and ligated into KpnI/SacI-digested pBS KS(+). The sequence targeted by sgRNA of UK1615 in the repair template was mutated by site-directed mutagenesis using primers 1606 and 1607.

ΔTM12 cells were plated in six-well plates at a density of  $2 \times 10^5$  per well. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were transfected with sgRNA/Cas9 plasmid UK1615 together with a repair template (1 µg each per transfection) using Lipofectamine LTX. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were plated in 10-cm dishes at  $2.5 \times 10^6$  cells. Twenty-four hours later, cells were treated with 4 mM 2DG and further incubated for 24 h. The 2DG-treated cells were washed with PBS and resuspended in PBS containing 4 mM EDTA and 0.5% (wt/vol) BSA, and an XBP1s::Turquoise<sup>high</sup>, CHOP::GFP<sup>high</sup> population was sorted into six-well plates as a polyclonal pool and also sorted individually into 96-well plates by FACS using a MoFlo Cell Sorter.

The size of DNA fragments amplified from genomic DNA of each clone by PCR using primers 1193 and 1189 was analyzed using a 3130xl Genetic Analyzer and Gene Mapper software as described earlier. Clones that showed the same DNA fragment size as wild-type *Ern1* were regarded as cells in which both alleles were correctly edited and then sequenced to confirm the editing (Supplemental Figure S9).

**ΔLD15 cells.** An sgRNA sequence for targeting the exon 2 of *C. griseus* *Ern1* was selected from the CRISPRy database, and a duplex DNA oligonucleotide (made of oligo DNAs 1608 and 1609) of the sequence was inserted into the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro plasmid (plasmid UK1367) to create sgRNA/Cas9 plasmid UK1848.

S21 cells were plated in six-well plates at a density of  $2 \times 10^5$  per well. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were transfected with sgRNA/Cas9 plasmids UK1615 and UK1848 (1 µg each per transfection) using Lipofectamine LTX. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were plated in 10-cm dishes at  $2.5 \times 10^6$  cells. Twenty-four hours later, cells were treated with 4 mM 2DG and further incubated for 24 h. The 2DG-treated cells were washed with PBS, resuspended in PBS containing 4 mM EDTA, and 0.5% (wt/vol) BSA, and an XBP1s::Turquoise<sup>dim</sup>, CHOP::GFP<sup>high</sup> population was individually sorted by FACS into 96-well plates using a MoFlo Cell Sorter.

Clones that have an ~50-kb deletion were screened by PCR using a primer set (1643 and 1189) flanking exons 2–12 of *Ern1* (see Supplemental Figure S6A). A PCR was set up using 0.3 µl of Taq DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs), 0.5 µl of 10 µM forward and reverse primers, 2 µl of 10x buffer, 1.6 µl of 2.5 mM dNTPs, 14.5 µl of H<sub>2</sub>O, and 1 µl of crude genomic DNA. Clones for which an ~50-kb deletion was detected were further evaluated for the presence of an allele that does not have an ~50-kb deletion between exons 2 and 12 by PCR using a primer pair flanking exon 2 (1643 and 1644) or exon 12 (1188 and 1189).

Clones that apparently have an ~50-kb deletion in both alleles were selected and sequenced to confirm the *Ern1* mutations. One allele of ΔLD15 clone has an in-frame fusion exon of exons 2 and 12, and the other allele has a larger deletion predicted to be null.

**"Knock-in" of human IRE1α luminal domain-encoding cDNA-based "minigene" by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated HDR.** A series of mutations in the IRE1α luminal domain (LD) and/or amphipathic helix was reconstituted in ΔLD15 cells by a CRISPR/Cas9-mediated HDR.

An sgRNA sequence for targeting the fusion of exons 2 and 12 of *Ern1* in ΔLD15 cells was designed, and a duplex DNA oligonucleotide (1691 and 1692) of the sequence was inserted into the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-mCherry plasmid (plasmid UK1610) to create sgRNA/Cas9 plasmid UK1903.

To construct a repair template containing a cDNA of human IRE1α LD, 5' and 3' homology arms were amplified from CHO genomic DNA by PCR using the primers 1713/1683 and 1684/1139, respectively. A cDNA of human IRE1α LD (amino acids 30–446) was amplified from the hIRE1a\_V1\_pBS plasmid (UK154) by PCR using primers 1681 and 1682. Homology arms and a DNA fragment containing human IRE1α LD cDNA were PCR knitted by primers 1713 and 1139. The resultant 3447-base pair DNA fragment was cloned into pCR-BluntII-TOPO vector (Invitrogen) to create the CHO\_IRE1\_hIRE1-LD\_reptemp4\_pCR-Blunt2-TOPO (UK1968) wild-type repair template.

Repair templates for Y161A (UK1971), V437R (UK2016), and L441R (UK2017) were created by site-directed mutagenesis of UK1968 using primers 1736 and 1737 for UK1971, 1833 and 1834 for UK2016, and 1835 and 1836 for UK2017.

Repair templates for Y161A;V437R;L441R (UK2029) and Y161A;TM>L (UK2031) were created by site-directed mutagenesis of UK1971 using primers 1852 and 1853 for UK2029 and 1695 and 1696 for UK2031.

To create a repair template for Y161A;Δ403-441 (UK2030), UK1968 was linearized by PCR using primers 1830 and 1829, and then a 343-base pair synthetic DNA fragment (gBlock; IDT, Coralville, IA) was cloned into linearized UK1968 by the Gibson

assembly method (Gibson et al., 2009) to create CHO\_IRE1\_hIRE1-LD\_rVdel\_pCR (UK2018). The Y161A mutation was introduced into UK2018 by site-directed mutagenesis of UK2018 using primers 1736 and 1737 for UK2029. The gBlock sequence used was 5'-TGCTGGAAGGGCCCCAGACTGATGGCGTACCATC-GGGGACAAGGGGGAGTGTGATCACGCCAGCACGGAC-GTCAAGTTGATCCCGACTCAAAGCAAGAACAGCTAAC-TACTGAGGAATTACTGGCTTCTGATAGGACACCAT-GAAACCCCCTGTCTGCCTACCAAGATGCTGGAGAGA-TTCCCAACAATCTACCCAAACATCGGGAAAATGTGATTCCCT-GCTGATTTCAGAGAAAAAGAGCTTGAGGAAAAGGACATGGC-TACTATTATCCTGAGCACCTCCTGCTGGTTGGATGGGTG-GCCTTCATCATCACTTACCCCTGGTAA-3'.

ΔLD15 cells were plated in six-well plates at a density of  $2 \times 10^5$  per well. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were transfected with an sgRNA/Cas9 plasmid UK1903 (0.12 µg per transfection) together with a repair template (1.9 µg per transfection) using Lipofectamine LTX. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the cells were plated in 10-cm dishes at  $2.5 \times 10^6$  cells. Twenty-four hours later, cells were treated with 4 mM 2DG and further incubated for 24 h. The 2DG-treated cells were washed with PBS and resuspended in PBS containing 4 mM EDTA and 0.5% (wt/vol) BSA, and an XBP1s::Turquoise<sup>high</sup>, CHOP::GFP<sup>high</sup> population was sorted individually into 96-well plates by FACS using a MoFlo Cell Sorter. In the case of IRE1α mutations that impair 2DG-induced XBP1s::Turquoise expression, cells expressing mCherry (transfection marker of sgRNA/Cas9 plasmid) were sorted individually into 96-well plates. Clones were analyzed by PCR using primers 1643 and 1189 to detect human IRE1α LD cDNA reconstituted in the *Ern1* genomic locus. Correctly edited clones were sequenced to confirm the editing (Supplemental Figure S9).

## Flow cytometry analysis

S21 cells and their derivatives were plated at a density of  $1 \times 10^5$  cells per well on 12-well plates. On the next day, the culture medium was replaced with 1 ml of fresh medium, and cells were treated with indicated compounds for 24 h. Immediately before analysis, the cells were washed with PBS and collected in PBS containing 4 mM EDTA. Single-cell fluorescence signals (10,000 cells/sample) were measured by a dual-channel flow cytometry with an LSRII Fortessa cell analyzer (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). GFP (excitation laser 488 nm, filter 530/30) and Turquoise (modified cyan fluorescent protein; excitation laser 405 nm, filter 450/50) signals were detected. FlowJo software (FlowJo, Ashland, OR) was used to analyze the data.

## Immunoprecipitation and immunoblot

Membrane-associated proteins were prepared from digitonin-permeabilized cells as previously described (Le Gall et al., 2004), with some modifications. Three 10-cm dishes of confluent cells were washed with PBS, harvested with PBS containing 4 mM EDTA, centrifuged at  $400 \times g$  for 5 min at 4°C, and washed with HCN buffer (50 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid [HEPES], pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>). Cells were then resuspended in 1.5 ml of HCN buffer containing 0.09% (wt/vol) digitonin (300410; Calbiochem) and incubated on ice for 10 min. The digitonin-permeabilized cells were centrifuged (1000 × g for 5 min at 4°C), washed twice with HNE buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid), lysed in a lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 10 µg/ml aprotinin, 4 µg/µl pepstatin, 4 µM leupeptin, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 100 mM NaF, 17.5 mM β-glycerophosphate) on ice for 5 min. The lysates were cleared for 10 min at 21,000 × g at 4°C. Bio-Rad protein

assay reagent (BioRad, Richmond, CA) was used to determine the protein concentrations of lysates, followed by normalization. Supplemental Figure S10 presents an experimental analysis of the extensibility of wild-type and mutant IRE1 $\alpha$ .

For immunoprecipitation of IRE1 $\alpha$  protein, 20  $\mu$ l of protein A-Sepharose (Invitrogen) and 1  $\mu$ l of anti-IRE1 $\alpha$  rabbit polyclonal antibody (NY200; Bertolotti et al., 2000) were added to cell lysates and rotated overnight at 4°C. The beads were washed in 0.6 ml of lysis buffer three times. The beads were mixed with 40  $\mu$ l of 2  $\times$  SDS-sample buffer and incubated at 70°C for 10 min. After spinning down of beads, supernatant was collected and subjected to immunoblot analysis.

A 20- $\mu$ l amount of immunoprecipitated samples or 20–40  $\mu$ g of protein of lysates was subjected to 7% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto Immobilon-P polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Merck Millipore, Bedford, MA). Membranes were blocked with 5% (wt/vol) dried skimmed milk in TBS (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) and incubated with primary antibodies, followed by IRDye fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies (LiCor, Lincoln, NE). The membranes were scanned with an Odyssey near-infrared imager (LiCor). Primary antibodies and antisera against IRE1 $\alpha$  (NY200; Bertolotti et al., 2000), calnexin (ab22595; Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom), and hamster BiP (Avezov et al., 2013) were used.

### XBP1 splicing assay and quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cells by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction using RNA STAT 60 (Amsbio, Lake Forest, CA) and isopropanol precipitation. A 2- $\mu$ g amount of RNA was reverse transcribed by a reverse transcriptase RevertAid (ThermoFisher Scientific, Grand Island, NY) with oligo(dT)18 primer.

For XBP1 splicing assay, unspliced and spliced Xbp1 cDNA fragments were amplified by PCR using primers 5 and 1470 and separated by 4% agarose gel containing SYTO60 (Invitrogen). Gels were visualized and quantified using an Odyssey near-infrared imager. The percentage of XBP1 splicing was calculated using the equation

$$\text{XBP1 splicing (\%)} = \frac{I(XBP1\text{s}) + \frac{1}{2}I(XBP1^{\text{HD}})}{I(XBP1\text{u}) + I(XBP1\text{s}) + I(XBP1^{\text{HD}})} \times 100$$

where  $I(XBP1\text{u})$ ,  $I(XBP1\text{s})$ , and  $I(XBP1^{\text{HD}})$  indicate band intensities of unspliced XBP1, spliced XBP1, and a heteroduplex of unspliced and spliced XBP1, respectively.

Quantitative PCR analysis was performed using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instruction on a 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Oligo DNAs in Supplemental Table S2 were used for PCR: 1929 and 1930 for *Dnajb9*, 1931 and 1932 for *Sec61a1*, and 1487 and 1488 for *Ppia*. Relative quantities of amplified PCR products were determined using SDS 2.4.1 software (Applied Biosystems) and normalized to *Ppia* values.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank H. Sharpe (Cambridge Institute for Medical Research) for advice on construction of membrane-spanning mutants and insightful comments on the manuscript; R. Ernst and K. Halbleib (Goethe-University, Frankfurt, Germany) for discussing unpublished experimental observations and providing constructive critiques on the manuscript; R. Schulte and the Cambridge Institute for Medical Research flow cytometry team for assistance; and S. Preissler, C. Rato, and R. Saunders (Cambridge Institute for Medical Research) for advice and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported

by grants from the Wellcome Trust (Wellcome 200848/Z/16/Z and strategic award Wellcome 100140) and a Medical Research Council studentship to N.A.-W. (MR/K50127X/1). D.R. is a Wellcome Trust Principal Research Fellow.

### REFERENCES

- Adamson B, Norman TM, Jost M, Cho MY, Nunez JK, Chen Y, Villalta JE, Gilbert LA, Horlbeck MA, Hein MY, et al. (2016). A multiplexed single-cell CRISPR screening platform enables systematic dissection of the unfolded protein response. *Cell* 167, 1867–1882e21.
- Ariyama H, Kono N, Matsuda S, Inoue T, Arai H (2010). Decrease in membrane phospholipid unsaturation induces unfolded protein response. *J Biol Chem* 285, 22027–22035.
- Avezov E, Cross BC, Kaminski Schierle GS, Winters M, Harding HP, Melo EP, Kaminski CF, Ron D (2013). Lifetime imaging of a fluorescent protein sensor reveals surprising stability of ER thiol redox. *J Cell Biol* 201, 337–349.
- Bertolotti A, Zhang Y, Hendershot L, Harding H, Ron D (2000). Dynamic interaction of BiP and the ER stress transducers in the unfolded protein response. *Nat Cell Biol* 2, 326–332.
- Brooks AJ, Dai W, O'Mara ML, Abankwa D, Chhabra Y, Pelekanos RA, Gardon O, Tunney KA, Blucher KM, Morton CJ, et al. (2014). Mechanism of activation of protein kinase JAK2 by the growth hormone receptor. *Science* 344, 1249783.
- Cong L, Zhang F (2015). Genome engineering using CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Methods Mol Biol* 1239, 197–217.
- Contreras FX, Ernst AM, Haberkant P, Bjorkholm P, Lindahl E, Gonen B, Tischer C, Elofsson A, von Heijne G, Thiele C, et al. (2012). Molecular recognition of a single sphingolipid species by a protein's transmembrane domain. *Nature* 481, 525–529.
- Coskun U, Grzybek M, Drechsel D, Simons K (2011). Regulation of human EGF receptor by lipids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108, 9044–9048.
- Covino R, Ballweg S, Stordeur C, Michaelis JB, Puth K, Wernig F, Bahrami A, Ernst AM, Hummer G, Ernst R (2016). A eukaryotic sensor for membrane lipid saturation. *Mol Cell* 63, 49–59.
- Cox JS, Chapman RE, Walter P (1997). The unfolded protein response coordinates the production of endoplasmic reticulum protein and endoplasmic reticulum membrane. *Mol Biol Cell* 8, 1805–1814.
- Credle JJ, Finer-Moore JS, Papa FR, Stroud RM, Walter P (2005). On the mechanism of sensing unfolded protein in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102, 18773–18784.
- Cunha DA, Hekerman P, Ladriere L, Bazzara-Castro A, Ortis F, Wakeham MC, Moore F, Rasschaert J, Cardozo AK, Bellomo E, et al. (2008). Initiation and execution of lipotoxic ER stress in pancreatic beta-cells. *J Cell Sci* 121, 2308–2318.
- Cybulski LE, Martin M, Mansilla MC, Fernandez A, de Mendoza D (2010). Membrane thickness cue for cold sensing in a bacterium. *Curr Biol* 20, 1539–1544.
- Deguil J, Pineau L, Rowland Snyder EC, Dupont S, Beney L, Gil A, Frapper G, Ferreira T (2011). Modulation of lipid-induced ER stress by fatty acid shape. *Traffic* 12, 349–362.
- Feng B, Yao PM, Li Y, Devlin CM, Zhang D, Harding HP, Sweeney M, Rong JX, Kurikose G, Fisher EA, et al. (2003). The endoplasmic reticulum is the site of cholesterol-induced cytotoxicity in macrophages. *Nat Cell Biol* 5, 781–792.
- Fu S, Yang L, Li P, Hofmann O, Dicker L, Hide W, Lin X, Watkins SM, Ivanov AR, Hotamisligil GS (2011). Aberrant lipid metabolism disrupts calcium homeostasis causing liver endoplasmic reticulum stress in obesity. *Nature* 473, 528–531.
- Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang RY, Venter JC, Hutchison CA 3rd, Smith HO (2009). Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat Methods* 6, 343–345.
- Gil G, Faust JR, Chin DJ, Goldstein JL, Brown MS (1985). Membrane-bound domain of HMG CoA reductase is required for sterol-enhanced degradation of the enzyme. *Cell* 41, 249–258.
- Halbleib K, Pesek K, Covino R, Hofbauer HF, Wunnike D, Hänelt I, Hummer G, Ernst R (2017). Activation of the unfolded protein response by lipid bilayer stress. *Mol Cell* 67, 1–12.
- Han J, Kaufman RJ (2016). The role of ER stress in lipid metabolism and lipotoxicity. *J Lipid Res* 57, 1329–1338.
- Iwaki T, Akai R, Kohno K, Miura M (2004). A transgenic mouse model for monitoring endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Nat Med* 10, 98–102.
- Jonikas MC, Collins SR, Denic V, Oh E, Quan EM, Schmid V, Weibezahn J, Schwappach B, Walter P, Weissman JS, Schuldiner M (2009).

- Comprehensive characterization of genes required for protein folding in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Science* 323, 1693–1697.
- Kim MS, Pinto SM, Getnet D, Nirujogi RS, Manda SS, Chaerkady R, Madugundu AK, Kelkar DS, Isserlin R, Jain S, et al. (2014). A draft map of the human proteome. *Nature* 509, 575–581.
- Klampfl T, Gisslinger H, Harutyunyan AS, Nivarthi H, Rumi E, Milosevic JD, Them NC, Berg T, Gisslinger B, Pietra D, et al. (2013). Somatic mutations of calreticulin in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *N Engl J Med* 369, 2379–2390.
- Korennykh AV, Egea PF, Korostelev AA, Finer-Moore J, Stroud RM, Zhang C, Shokat KM, Walter P (2011). Cofactor-mediated conformational control in the bifunctional kinase/RNase Ire1. *BMC Biol* 9, 48.
- Korennykh AV, Egea PF, Korostelev AA, Finer-Moore J, Zhang C, Shokat KM, Stroud RM, Walter P (2009). The unfolded protein response signals through high-order assembly of Ire1. *Nature* 457, 687–693.
- Lee AG (2011). Lipid-protein interactions. *Biochem Soc Trans* 39, 761–766.
- Le Gall S, Neuhof A, Rapoport T (2004). The endoplasmic reticulum membrane is permeable to small molecules. *Mol Biol Cell* 15, 447–455.
- Lee KPK, Dey M, Neculai D, Cao C, Dever TE, Sicheri F (2008). Structure of the dual enzyme Ire1 reveals the basis for catalysis and regulation in non-conventional RNA splicing. *Cell* 132, 89–100.
- Li Y, Ge M, Ciani L, Kuriakose G, Westover EJ, Dura M, Covey DF, Freed JH, Maxfield FR, Lytton J, Tabas I (2004). Enrichment of endoplasmic reticulum with cholesterol inhibits sarcoplasmic-endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase-2b activity in parallel with increased order of membrane lipids: implications for depletion of endoplasmic reticulum calcium stores and apoptosis in cholesterol-loaded macrophages. *J Biol Chem* 279, 37030–37039.
- Nikawa J, Yamashita S (1992). IRE1 encodes a putative protein kinase containing a membrane-spanning domain and is required for inositol phototrophy in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol Microbiol* 6, 1441–1446.
- Novoa I, Zeng H, Harding H, Ron D (2001). Feedback inhibition of the unfolded protein response by GADD34-mediated dephosphorylation of eIF2α. *J Cell Biol* 153, 1011–1022.
- Pineau L, Colas J, Dupont S, Beney L, Fleurat-Lessard P, Berjeaud JM, Berges T, Ferreira T (2009). Lipid-induced ER stress: synergistic effects of sterols and saturated fatty acids. *Traffic* 10, 673–690.
- Promlek T, Ishiwata-Kimata Y, Shido M, Sakuramoto M, Kohno K, Kimata Y (2011). Membrane aberrancy and unfolded proteins activate the endoplasmic reticulum stress sensor Ire1 in different ways. *Mol Biol Cell* 22, 3520–3532.
- Ran FA, Hsu PD, Wright J, Agarwala V, Scott DA, Zhang F (2013). Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nat Protoc* 8, 2281–2308.
- Robblee MM, Kim CC, Porter Abate J, Valdearcos M, Sandlund KL, Shenoy MK, Volmer R, Iwawaki T, Koliwad SK (2016). Saturated fatty acids engage an IRE1α-dependent pathway to activate the NLRP3 inflammasome in myeloid cells. *Cell Rep* 14, 2611–2623.
- Ronda C, Pedersen LE, Hansen HG, Kallehauge TB, Betenbaugh MJ, Nielsen AT, Kildegard HF (2014). Accelerating genome editing in CHO cells using CRISPR Cas9 and CRISPy, a web-based target finding tool. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 111, 1604–1616.
- Russ WP, Engelman DM (2000). The GxxxG motif: a framework for transmembrane helix-helix association. *J Mol Biol* 296, 911–919.
- Sekine Y, Zyryanova A, Crespillo-Casado A, Amin-Wetzel N, Harding HP, Ron D (2016). Paradoxical sensitivity to an integrated stress response blocking mutation in vanishing white matter cells. *PLoS One* 11, e0166278.
- Sharpe HJ, Stevens TJ, Munro S (2010). A comprehensive comparison of transmembrane domains reveals organelle-specific properties. *Cell* 142, 158–169.
- Shoulders MD, Ryno LM, Genereux JC, Moresco JJ, Tu PG, Wu C, Yates JR 3rd, Su AI, Kelly JW, Wiseman RL (2013). Stress-independent activation of XBP1s and/or ATF6 reveals three functionally diverse ER proteostasis environments. *Cell Rep* 3, 1279–1292.
- Spector AA (1986). Structure and lipid binding properties of serum albumin. *Methods Enzymol* 128, 320–339.
- Sriburi R, Jackowski S, Mori K, Brewer JW (2004). XBP1: a link between the unfolded protein response, lipid biosynthesis and biogenesis of the endoplasmic reticulum. *J Cell Biol* 167, 35–41.
- Sundaram A, Plumb R, Appathurai S, Mariappan M (2017). The Sec61 translocon limits IRE1α signaling during the unfolded protein response. *Elife* 6, e27187.
- Surma MA, Klose C, Peng D, Shales M, Mrejen C, Stefanko A, Braberg H, Gordon DE, Vorkel D, Eising CS, et al. (2013). A lipid E-MAP identifies Ubx2 as a critical regulator of lipid saturation and lipid bilayer stress. *Mol Cell* 51, 519–530.
- Thibault G, Shui G, Kim W, McAlister GC, Ismail N, Gygi SP, Wenk MR, Ng DT (2012). The membrane stress response buffers lethal effects of lipid disequilibrium by reprogramming the protein homeostasis network. *Mol Cell* 48, 16–27.
- Travers KJ, Patil CK, Wodicka L, Lockhart DJ, Weissman JS, Walter P (2000). Functional and genomic analyses reveal an essential coordination between the unfolded protein response and ER-associated degradation. *Cell* 101, 249–258.
- Volmer R, Ron D (2015). Lipid-dependent regulation of the unfolded protein response. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 33, 67–73.
- Volmer R, van der Ploeg K, Ron D (2013). Membrane lipid saturation activates endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response transducers through their transmembrane domains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110, 4628–4633.
- Zhou J, Liu CY, Back SH, Clark RL, Peisach D, Xu Z, Kaufman RJ (2006). The crystal structure of human IRE1 luminal domain reveals a conserved dimerization interface required for activation of the unfolded protein response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103, 14343–14348.