

**Table 1 – Evaluation framework: dimensions, assumptions, and research questions**

Dimensions	Components	Assumptions	Research questions
<b>Governance</b>	<b>Organizational</b>	Organizational structure. Key actors and institutions involved and excluded. Roles and responsibilities	Who has participated and why? Who was excluded? To what extent were the residents included? How do politics and values affect the organization of the initiative?
	<b>Processual</b>	Practices and work routines: cross-sector and collaborative? Levels of participation and interaction between actors and institutions. Strategy-formulating processes and power relations (reproduction/change)	Which forms of governance were most effective? Was there an environment in which all stakeholders were encouraged to discuss needs and actions? Were the beneficiaries and local communities sufficiently involved in shaping the programme? What was done to increase participation and develop consensus? Were the programme mechanisms supportive and open to grassroots voices?
<b>Institutional Capacity</b>	<b>Knowledge resources</b>	Ways of thinking and acting (Healey et al., 2002).	What was the logic of the programme and its assumptions? Was it questioned and negotiated? In what ways did the sharing of information generate new knowledge about the people and the area? Was it important for the process of strategy-formulation and delivery?
	<b>Relational resources</b>	Social relations and interactions. Perception of the potential of the initiative to produce the share of interest and norms that generate cooperation and help to resolve problems (Cars et al., 2002).	What outputs were generated by social and institutional relations and collaborative work? Were the relations based on trust and cooperation? What was the impact of the initiative, individually and institutionally? Was the approach integrated? In what ways? What were the factors underlying its success/setbacks? What lessons were learned along the way?

	<b>Mobilization capacity</b>	Mobilization reproduction/change (Healey et al., 2002)	How successful was mobilization around the programme and the strategy? How was it encouraged? What were the results and impacts of the initiative regarding SJD neighbourhood and residents? Have some elements been more successful than others? Some examples of successful/unsuccessful projects in terms of outputs and impacts.
<b>Social Inclusion</b>	<b>Sphere of market exchange</b>	Changes wrought by the initiative in terms of opportunities in the market sphere (Murie and Musterd, 2004)	How were the lives of residents affected by the project? What new improved skills, job opportunities, and expectation were generated? What were the effects of the initiative in terms of labour market participation: training, job creation?
	<b>Sphere of redistribution</b>	Changes wrought by the initiative in terms of opportunities for state support	Did the initiative provided means (goods, services, or revenue) for the most vulnerable households? How have changes in the provision of social housing and other types of support impacted on families?
	<b>Sphere of reciprocity</b>	Type of social relations in the building/ district. Changes wrought by the initiative on the networks of relationships between individuals and communities (Murie and Musterd, 2004).	How important was the sphere of mutual support for residents? In what ways? Was this an important sphere to gain access to resources in a daily basis? How has the initiative impacted on local networks, (i.e. extended family, neighbourhood networks, or networks within ethnic minority communities)?