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The first owners of the Leningrad Codex: T-S 10J30.7

by Ben Outhwaite

In my previous Fragment of the Month on Codex Leningrad (St Petersburg, National Library of Russia, Firkovich MS. Hebrew I, B19a – or Евр. I B 19a), I showed how documentary sources from the Cairo Genizah can help to fill out the picture of its manufacture: illuminating our knowledge of its scribe and of the social and cultural background to this great codex’s production. I also showed that the book itself, notably the colophons, preserves important historical material. Indeed, much information can be derived from the plain colophon (f. 1r), which is, in its own right, a superb example of the art of the Medieval Hebrew biblical colophon, replete with linguistic playfulness, copious biblical allusion and artful obscurity. As with the copying and production of the codex, the scribe Samuel b. Jacob has excelled himself. Thanks to this colophon, we know where, when and by whom Евр. I B 19a was written (with a slight uncertainty as to the exact date of completion), as well as who owned it over several centuries.

The question of ownership is a significant one, since it speaks to the wider context of the book’s intended use and potential audience, an aspect of the research on the biblical text which is often overlooked. Within which tradition or for which Jewish confessional congregation was this Bible produced? This is the sort of information that is not usually explicitly stated in colophons, but must be gleaned from our knowledge of the people involved, again relying on the Genizah documentary manuscripts to fill out the picture. Medieval Fustat, like Egypt at large, was home to Babylonian (that is Iraqi) and Jerusalemite (that is Palestinian) rabbanite congregations, as well as a powerful Karaite community, which tended to form around family groups or clans. Was Евр. I B 19a in origin a Karaite codex, written by or for a Karaite? The place accorded to the Bible in Karaite tradition certainly suggests that they might be at least partially
responsible for the copious production of impressive codices like Codex Leningrad in the tenth and eleventh centuries, not to mention the Karaites’ potential role in the masoretic activity in Tiberias. The Karaites were a major social, economic and political force in Egypt and a considerable intellectual force in Palestine, and this role enabled, and probably required, them to commission works of this quality and value.

According to the colophon, Samuel b. Jacob copied the text of Евр. I B 19a for Mevorakh b. Joseph b. Netanel known as Ibn Yazdād ha-Kohen, сын Йосифа сын Мбарок (f. 1r lines 6–7). Samuel glorifies Mevorakh’s name in gold and micrography in several of the splendid carpet pages that adorn the book (e.g., ff. 474r, 475v and 478v, among others), so there can be no doubt that he was the original commissioner of the work, Samuel’s paymaster.

Mevorakh’s family name is of Persian origin – Yazdād means ‘God has given’, ie ‘God’s gift’ – and an Ibn Yazdād, probably Mevorakh’s father, appears in commercial correspondence from the Genizah early in the eleventh century, whence it seems he is based in Egypt and plays a role in Mediterranean trade (Goitein, 1973: 31). Mevorakh himself clearly possessed significant social status, and probably also personal wealth, as we find that he was appointed around 1019 CE to oversee the two supervisors of an inheritance, ensuring the safeguarding of a substantial sum in trade goods for the minor son of a merchant called Samuel ha-Levi b. Abraham. This case is well documented in the Genizah, through letters and legal deeds, as it eventually required litigation in both the Muslim and Jewish courts when the orphan was declared of age and sought aggressively to assert his rights (Bareket 1998:124–136; Goitein 1978: 293–295). Mevorakh seems to have been a diligent overseer of the inheritance, and we can see some of his correspondence in the matter in T-S 10J30.7, a letter that he wrote to one of the deceased merchant’s business partners.
A letter by the original owner of Codex Leningrad, Mevoraḵ b. Joseph (T-S 10J30.7)

Another piece of correspondence in the case, T-S 16.27, written by the supervisors themselves, reveals Mevoraḵ’s name in Arabic, Abū l-Ḥusayn al-Mubarak ibn Yūsuf ibn Yazdād. Goitein believes that Mevoraḵ is a Karaite, and it seems that the supervisors and overseer were deliberately chosen to encompass the range of Jewish congregations in Fustat — Rabbanites and Karaites, Babylonians and Palestinians. Bareket, who also studied the case, suggests that there is not enough evidence to be sure (Bareket 1998: 125 n. 8). However, she is not aware of T-S 16.171, a Karaite legal deed from 1004 CE, that shows that Mevoraḵ’s father, Joseph ibn Yazdād, also enjoyed a position of trust – in Karaite society. The deed names him as one of three supervisors of a Persian Karaite merchant’s worldly possessions. This is all strong circumstantial evidence that the Ibn Yazdād family were themselves Persian Karaites, and chimes with Samuel b. Jacob’s use of a distinctively Karaite system of dating, alongside all the others, in the main colophon (according to the Exile of King Jehoiachin, f. 1r line 3).
Mevoraḵ was not the only Karaite to own the codex. The last few lines of the plain colophon were added over a hundred years after its manufacture and reveal that in 1135 CE the book was sold to the leading rabbanite Mašliaḥ ha-Kohen, Ga’on of the Palestinian Yeshiva, an ownership fact asserted in attractive Medieval Hebrew legalese and witnessed by Ḥalfon ha-Levi b. Manasseh (one of the most prolific court scribes of the Genizah, widely attested in twelfth-century documents), Manasseh ha-Kohen b. Jacob and the well-named Levi ha-Levi b. Yefet ha-Levi. Mašliaḥ did not purchase Евр. I B 19a from Mevoraḵ’s family, however, but from another owner, identified as קסגכ בן הנודע יוסף... ‘the sage... Joseph known as Ibn Kujik’. Kuchek, ‘small’, is another Persian family name (کوچک in Persian), and maskil, ‘sage’ or ‘teacher’, is a Karaite title in this period. The Kuchek family is furthermore explicitly identified as Karaite in a thirteenth-century letter from Judge Elijah, when he refers to אלカー אלתקה אלשיך כושך בן ‘the faithful sheikh, the Karaite, Ibn Kushek’ (T-S 13J18.7).

So, Codex Leningrad was produced for, most likely, a Persian Karaite, and was subsequently acquired by a Persian Karaite, who sold it to Mašliaḥ ha-Kohen b. Solomon, Ga’on (head) of the Jerusalem Yeshiva. Mašliaḥ was the highest intellectual authority in Palestinian Judaism and ‘Head of the Jews’ (Raʾīs al-Yahūd) in the Fatimid Empire. That such a powerful and senior figure should acquire the Bible strongly attests to the value ascribed to it in its day (today’s critics of Samuel b. Jacob’s work should take note). In addition, evidently its Karaite provenance did not devalue it in Mašliaḥ’s eyes and deter him from purchasing it.

Of course, if you are not particularly interested in the context of this Bible’s production or the book-history of the eastern Jewish community, then perhaps you might wonder what value there is in delving into the documentary record in this way. Well, you could consider that owners often leave their mark on a book beyond the simple addition of their name to the title page or the occasional manicule, and that a medieval codex, therefore, is more than just the product of its original creator’s ambition. The form in which we have Евр. I B 19a today is a result of Mevoraḵ b. Joseph ibn Yazdād’s specifications when he commissioned it, moderated through Samuel b. Jacob’s considerable scribal ability and artistic ambition. It demonstrates his desire to have a valuable, prestigious copy of the Bible to show off his wealth, his status and his piety. Subsequent owners would have been proud to have such a beautiful and correct copy of the Bible, as Mašliaḥ’s acquisition of it shows, but it may not have perfectly suited their requirements. The Karaites, having their origins in the Jewish east, followed the Babylonian reading tradition, the annual reading cycle of the Torah. Codex Leningrad possesses the customary parasha headings denoting this cycle, decorated in a manner familiar to those used to looking at medieval biblical codices. Samuel b. Jacob has done his work well here. But when you look at the seder markers, the (usually numbered) sameks in the margins that denote the Palestinian triennial reading tradition (and which continue throughout the whole
Tanach, denoting study divisions of some sort), it is clear that they are in many cases secondary. They are often ill-planned, later additions to the primary layout of the pages, the columns and the masora, and these are probably not in Samuel’s handwriting. When they coincide with a parasha marker, they are either squished in alongside it, or relegated to the opposite side of the column. Occasionally they are even written over the top of masoretic notes.

Poor seder marker placement on folio 87r of Евр. I B 19a cannot be the work of the original scribe (image from the Loewinger facsimile edition, Wikimedia Commons)

Seder markers were not strictly required by the original Karaite owner of the Bible, and they are not always found in standard masoretic codices (e.g. British Library Or.4445), though one does find them even in Karaite copies of the Bible on occasion. I would suggest that the majority of these markers most likely entered the codex when its ownership changed from the Babylonian (Persian) Karaite community to that of the Palestinian congregation, who, even down to the thirteenth century, continued to follow their ancient custom of reading the Torah through according to the triennial cycle. Mašliaḥ was certainly happy — given his considerable social and intellectual status in society — to add his name to the original colophon of the work. It is easy, therefore, to see that he could also have requested additions to the text of the book itself, and perhaps these
extended beyond the addition of a complete set of seder markers. Is it at this point, too, that some of the considerable number of corrections were made to the text, which include correcting features we know to have been unique to Samuel b. Jacob’s copies of the Bible? #2 These are questions that should be looked at further, but I hope that I have demonstrated the value in researching the context of a manuscript, not only from the period of its creation but as it passed through different hands.

There's a further question to ask: was Samuel b. Jacob a Karaite too, given that Karaism is so intimately connected with the creation of the manuscript? I don’t think so. From other findings in the documentary record, I believe he was a Maghrebi from a Rabbanite family of some status, though he had fallen on hard times. More on this in part 3 of this extended Fragment of the Month.

Notes

1. Although Samuel spells Ibn Yazdād’s name תָּאצְדָד on most occasions, he does write it once תָּאצְדָד, f. 474r. While this might represent the general predilection for variety among medieval Jewish writers, it's unlikely, given it's spelled correctly everywhere else: it's an unfortunate error by Samuel, arising from the unusual foreign name. Hopefully nobody was crass enough to point it out to Mevoraḵ when he first proudly unveiled his book.

2. Such as Samuel b. Jacob's use of a tongue-twisting three successive shewas in his spelling of 'the Jerachmeelite' הירחמאלי in 1 Samuel 27:10 and 1 Samuel 30:29. This feature, unique to his biblical manuscripts, has been corrected in 1 Samuel 30:29, but left uncorrected in 1 Samuel 27:10. It is preserved in the other manuscripts he is known to have copied (Phillips 2017: 16).
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