Mean-field Matsubara dynamics: Analysis of path-integral curvature effects in rovibrational spectra
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It was shown recently that smooth and continuous “Matsubara” phase-space loops follow a quantum-Boltzmann-conserving classical dynamics when decoupled from non-smooth distributions, which was suggested as the reason that many dynamical observables appear to involve a mixture of classical dynamics and quantum Boltzmann statistics. Here we derive a mean-field version of this “Matsubara dynamics” which sufficiently mitigates its serious phase problem to permit numerical tests on a two-dimensional “champagne-bottle” model of a rotating OH bond. The Matsubara-dynamics rovibrational spectra are found to converge toward close agreement with the exact quantum results at all temperatures tested (200–800 K), the only significant discrepancies being a temperature-independent 22 cm$^{-1}$ blue-shift in the position of the vibrational peak and a slight broadening in its line shape. These results are compared with centroid molecular dynamics (CMD) to assess the importance of non-centroid fluctuations. Above 250 K, only the lowest-frequency non-centroid modes are needed to correct small CMD red-shifts in the vibrational peak; below 250 K, more non-centroid modes are needed to correct large CMD red-shifts and broadening. The transition between these “shallow curvature” and “deep curvature” regimes happens when imaginary-time Feynman paths become able to lower their actions by cutting through the curved potential surface, giving rise to artificial instantons in CMD. Published by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5038616

I. INTRODUCTION

Results from a wide variety of approximate calculations suggest that nuclear dynamics can often be treated classically when confined to a single Born-Oppenheimer surface, with most observable quantum effects originating in the quantum Boltzmann statistics. Well-known examples include reaction rates at low temperatures,1–10 where tunneling is dominated by “instantonic” barrier statistics7,8,11–14 and the vibrational spectrum of liquid water.6,10,15–22

However, standard semi-classical theory9,10,23 implies that such a “classical dynamics–quantum statistics” regime does not exist, except at very short times, since it predicts that classical dynamics does not conserve the quantum Boltzmann distribution, and that real-time coherence is needed to keep systems in thermal equilibrium. Practical simulation methods have been devised which get round this apparent contradiction using heuristic quantum-Boltzmann-conserving classical dynamics.24–28 Centroid molecular dynamics (CMD)24 and (thermostatted) ring-polymer molecular dynamics [(T)RPMD]25–27 have proved to be especially practical3,6,16–19 but the heuristic dynamics these methods employ works in some regimes and fails in others.12,29–31

It was found recently32 that a semi-classical theory that combines classical dynamics with quantum statistics can be derived if one assumes that the dynamics of the smooth “Matsubara” components of the imaginary-time Feynman paths becomes decoupled from the dynamics of the non-smooth components. Once such a decoupling is assumed, the dynamics of the smooth components becomes classical, without further approximation (since the effective $\hbar$ in the smooth space is zero). Also, the smoothness of the paths ensures that the plain Newtonian dynamics33 that they follow conserves the quantum Boltzmann distribution by giving the paths a continuous symmetry with respect to imaginary-time translation.

This “Matsubara” dynamics is currently a hypothesis, and it cannot be used as a practical method because of a serious phase problem.32 However, comparison with the valid limits of various heuristic methods suggests that Matsubara dynamics does account correctly for the emergence of classical dynamics at thermal equilibrium. For example, RPMD works well for short-time properties such as reaction rates1–6,11,12 and is the short-time limit of Matsubara dynamics;34 CMD works well when a mean-field description of the quantum Boltzmann distribution is suitable;29,30 and is the mean-field average of Matsubara dynamics (where the mean-field average is over all Matsubara modes except for the centroids);34 and the “planetary model” of Smith et al.35,36 works well for high-frequency stretch modes in liquid water and is a locally harmonic approximation to the Matsubara fluctuations around the centroid.37 These comparisons suggest that we should pursue Matsubara dynamics further since it may lead to better understanding and improvement of practical methods such as CMD, (T)RPMD, and the planetary model.

Here, we strengthen the evidence that Matsubara dynamics gives the correct theoretical description of classical dynamics and quantum Boltzmann statistics. In doing so, we also
obtain new insight into path-integral curvature effects in vibrational spectroscopy and why they cause problems for CMD. The CMD method works well for vibrational spectroscopy of water at ambient temperatures \(^{18,19}\) but breaks down at lower temperatures, giving red-shifts and distortions in the spectral line shapes.\(^{16,29,30}\) However, the success of CMD at high temperatures gives us a clue that the dynamical decoupling of the smooth modes from the non-smooth modes in Matsubara dynamics (the origin of which was left unspecified in Ref. 32) probably arises from mean-field averaging.

In Sec. II, we show that a mean-field formulation of Matsubara dynamics is simpler to derive than the more general formulation of Ref. 32. The phase still makes the dynamics impractical as a method, but is sufficiently tamed that Matsubara dynamics can be calculated to utilize the vibrational spectrum of a two-dimensional “champagne-bottle” model of OH, as reported in Sec. III. We find that including just the lowest of a two-dimensional “champagne-bottle” model of OH, as vibrational spectroscopy can be used to calculate the vibrational spectrum formulation of Ref. 32. The phase still makes the dynamics simpler to derive than the more general probably arises from mean-field averaging.

II. MEAN-FIELD FORMULATION OF MATSUBARA DYNAMICS

One way to obtain a mean-field formulation of Matsubara dynamics would be to mean-field average over the Matsubara Liouvillean, derived in Ref. 32. However, it is illustrative to derive mean-field Matsubara dynamics from first principles, starting from the exact quantum Kubo-transformed time-correlation function

\[
C_{AB}(t) = \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^\beta \frac{d\lambda}{\beta} \text{Tr} \left[ e^{-\lambda H} A e^{-(\beta-\lambda)H} e^{i\lambda H} B e^{-i\lambda H} \right].
\]  

(1)

where \(\beta = 1/k_B T\) and \(H\) is the system Hamiltonian. To simplify the algebra, we consider a one-dimensional system in which the operators \(A\) and \(B\) are functions of position only; these results generalise easily to many dimensions and to operators involving momenta.

Following Ref. 32 and earlier work,\(^{11,38,39}\) we can re-write \(C_{AB}(t)\) in “ring-polymer” form as

\[
C_{AB}(t) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int dq \int d\Delta \int d\mathbf{z} A_N(q) B_N(z) \times \prod_{i=1}^N \left[ q_i - \Delta_{i-1}/2 | e^{-i\Delta_i H} q_i + \Delta_i/2 \right. \\
\times \left. \left( q_i + \Delta_i/2 | e^{-i\Delta_i H} z_i | e^{i\Delta_i H} q_i - \Delta_i/2 \right) \right. \\
\times \left. (q_i + \Delta_i/2 | e^{-i\Delta_i H} | q_i + \Delta_i/2 \right),
\]  

(2)

where \(\beta_N \equiv \beta N, \int dq \equiv \int_0^\infty dq_1 \ldots \int_0^\infty dq_N\), and similarly for \(\Delta\) and \(\mathbf{z}\),

\[
A_N(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N A(q_i)
\]  

(3)

and similarly for \(B_N(q)\). Inserting complete sets of momentum states,

\[
\delta(\Delta_i - \Delta'_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi \hbar} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dp_i e^{i p_i (\Delta_i - \Delta'_i) / \hbar}.
\]  

(4)

we obtain

\[
C_{AB}(t) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi \hbar)^N} \int dq \int dp \left[ e^{-\beta \hat{H}} \right]_{N}(p, q) \times A_N(q) e^{i\Delta B_N(q)},
\]  

(5)

where the generalized Wigner transform \([e^{-\beta \hat{H}}]_{N}(p, q)\) and the quantum Liouvillian \(\hat{L}\) are given in the Appendix. We emphasise that no approximation has yet been made; Eq. (5) is just a generalization of the standard Wigner identity which allows quantum time-correlation functions to be written in terms of phase-space variables.\(^33\)

Following Ref. 32, we introduce the free-ring-polymer normal-mode coordinates\(^{40,41}\)

\[
Q_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N T_{ln} q_i, \quad n=0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm n_N
\]  

(6)

with \(n_N = (N-1)/2\) and

\[
T_{ln} = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0, \\
\sqrt{2} \sin(2\pi l n / N) & n = 1, \ldots, n_N, \\
\sqrt{2} \cos(2\pi l n / N) & n = -1, \ldots, -n_N,
\end{cases}
\]  

(7)

and the associated frequencies

\[
\omega_n = \frac{2n\pi}{\beta \hbar} \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{N} \right).
\]  

(8)

We then take the limit \(N \to \infty\) and define the set of \(M\) lowest frequency modes \((ln \leq (M - 1)/2)\) to be the “Matsubara modes” \(Q_M\), so-called because their associated frequencies simplify to

\[
\omega_n = \frac{2n\pi}{\beta \hbar}
\]  

(9)

since \(M \ll N\). The significance of the Matsubara modes is that any linear combination of them gives a smooth and continuous distribution of \(q\) as a function of imaginary time.\(^{32,42,43}\) Inclusion of the other \(ln > (M - 1)/2\) “non-Matsubara modes” gives, in general, a discontinuous non-differentiable distribution in \(q\), resembling a random walk. The Matsubara modes \(P_M, D_M\) give similar smooth distributions of \(p\) and \(\Delta\).

The only approximation we will make to the exact dynamics of Eq. (1) is to assume that the quantum Liouvillian operator \(\hat{L}\) can be replaced by its mean-field average

\[
\hat{L}_{MF}(Q_M, P_M)
\]  

(10)

where

\[
\delta_M(q, Q_M) = \prod_{n=-n_M}^{n_M} \delta \left( Q_n - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N T_{ln} q_i \right)
\]  

(11)

is a product of Dirac \(\delta\)-functions in the Matsubara modes \(Q_M\), \(\delta_M(p, P_M)\) is similarly defined for \(P_M\), and \(n_M = (M - 1)/2\).
We also need to expand $A_N(q)$ in terms of normal modes, then truncate at $n \leq n_M$, giving
\begin{equation}
A_M(Q_M) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} A(\tilde{q}_i),
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
\tilde{q}_i = \sum_{n=-n_M}^{n_M} T_n Q_n
\end{equation}
and similarly for $B_N(q)$. This last step can be justified by noting that the ring-polymer distribution will damp off functions of $Q_n$ for sufficiently large $n$, allowing $M$ in $A_M(Q_M)$ and $B_M(Q_M)$ to be treated as a convergence parameter. However, we give no justification at present for the use of Eq. (10), except for the numerical results presented in Sec. III.44

On evaluating the mean-field average in Eq. (10) (see the Appendix), we find that
\begin{equation}
\hat{L}_{MF}(Q_M, P_M) = \sum_{n=-n_M}^{n_M} P_n \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_n} - \frac{\partial \hat{F}(Q_M)}{\partial Q_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_n},
\end{equation}
where $\hat{F}(Q_M)$ is the free energy
\begin{equation}
e^{-\beta \hat{F}(Q_M')} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left( \frac{m}{2\pi \beta_N h^2} \right)^{(N-M)/2} \frac{N^{M/2}}{\left( \pi \alpha_N \right)^{1/4}},\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
\times \int dq e^{-\beta W_N(q) - S_M(Q_M) - \delta_M(q, Q_M)},
\end{equation}
in which $W_N(q)$ is the ring-polymer potential energy
\begin{equation}
W_N(q) = V_N(q) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} m (q_{i+1} - q_i)^2 / 2\beta_N h^2,
\end{equation}
where $q_{i+N} \equiv q_i$; $V_N(q)$ is defined analogously to $A_N(q)$, and
\begin{equation}
S_M(Q_M) = \frac{m}{2} \sum_{n=-n_M}^{n_M} \omega_n^2 Q_n^2
\end{equation}
is the Matsubara component of the “polymer springs.” Taking the mean-field average over the non-Matsubara modes has therefore made the dynamics classical.45 This is because the Matsubara phase-space $(P_M, Q_M)$ has an effective Planck’s constant of zero, as first noted in Ref. 32.

Having made the mean-field approximation, we can integrate out the non-Matsubara modes from the time-correlation function (see the Appendix), obtaining
\begin{equation}
ce_{AB}(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi \hbar)^M} \int dP_M \int dQ_M e^{-\beta \hat{F}(Q_M')} \hat{L}_{MF}(Q_M, P_M) e^{-\beta \hat{F}(Q_M)},
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
\theta_M(P_M, Q_M) = \sum_{n=-n_M}^{n_M} \omega_n P_n Q_{n-n}
\end{equation}
is the Matsubara phase. Following similar arguments to Ref. 32, one can prove that $\theta_M(P_M, Q_M)$ is a constant of the motion, ensuring that $\hat{L}_{MF}(Q_M, P_M)$ conserves the quantum Boltzmann distribution in Eq. (18). At $t = 0$, one may analytically continue $P_n \to P_n + i\omega_n Q_{n-}$, which removes the phase and cancels out $-S_M(Q_M)$ in Eq. (15), leaving the (standard) ring-polymer distribution.

Equations (10) and (18) give the mean-field version of Matsubara dynamics. For $M = 1$, they reduce to centroid molecular dynamics (CMD).24 For $M > 1$, they generalise the dynamics to include $M - 1$ non-centroid Matsubara modes. As mentioned above, the mean-field averaging in Eq. (10) is the only approximation made to the exact quantum dynamics; we make no attempt here to justify it, but report numerical comparisons with the exact quantum results in Sec. III.

III. MATSUBARA DYNAMICS OF A VIBRATING-ROTATING OH BOND

A. Two-dimensional “champagne-bottle” model

We applied the mean-field Matsubara equations, Eq. (18), to a two-dimensional “champagne-bottle” model of a vibrating and rotating OH bond, similar to that used in Refs. 29 and 30. The radial polar coordinate $r$ represents the OH bond length and the polar angle $\theta$ represents rotation in a plane. The potential is taken to be a Morse function
\begin{equation}
V(r) = D_0 \left[ 1 - e^{-a(r-r_0)} \right]^2
\end{equation}
with $r_0 = 1.8324$, $D_0 = 0.18748$, and $a = 1.1605$ a.u.; the reduced mass $\mu = 1741.05198$ a.u. The absorption intensity is calculated as
\begin{equation}
n(\omega) \propto \frac{1}{2\pi Z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{-i\omega t} C_{\mu\mu}(t) f(t),
\end{equation}
where $Z$ is the quantum partition function, $C_{\mu\mu}(t)$ is the Kubo-transformed dipole-derivative autocorrelation function, and $f(t)$ is the window function
\begin{equation}
f(t) = \frac{1}{1 + e[(t-t_1/2)/\tau]}\end{equation}
with parameters $t_1/2 = 400$ fs and $\tau = 25$ fs, chosen to model the decorrelation time in liquid water.20,21 A linear dipole moment surface $\bar{\mu} = \hat{q}$ is used, with the proportionality constant in Eq. (20) set to unity.

Figure 1 plots the exact quantum spectrum (calculated using a discrete variable representation) at 200–800 K. These temperatures are sufficiently low with respect to the vibrational spacing (3590 cm$^{-1}$) that the centre of the vibrational peak is temperature-independent.

B. CMD calculations

For $M = 1$, the mean-field Matsubara equations, Eq. (18), are equivalent to CMD. We used standard path-integral molecular dynamics (PIMD) methodology6,46–51 to calculate the CMD approximations to the vibrational spectrum of the champagne-bottle model. The mean-field forces were evaluated on a regular grid, using cubic spline interpolation to approximate the intermediate values. Mean-field force calculations were performed with 64, 32, and 16 beads at 200, 400, and 600–800 K, on a grid of 64 points from 0.5 to 2.0 Å at 400–800 K and 128 points at 200 K.

The results of the CMD calculations are shown in Fig. 1 and exhibit the well-known “curvature problem,”29,30 whereby the CMD vibrational peak shifts to the red as the temperature is lowered. Two aspects of this behavior are worth pointing out. First, at 800 K, the CMD peak is in very close agreement with
the exact quantum peak, except for a small blue-shift (22 cm\(^{-1}\)) and a slight overestimate in the width of the peak. Note that the classical peak at this temperature (not shown) is blue-shifted by about 105 cm\(^{-1}\) on account of zero-point energy violation, and is similarly broadened. Second, the red-shift of the CMD peak increases gradually down to about 250 K, and the line shape remains close to the exact result; but below about 250 K, the red-shift increases dramatically (to 215 cm\(^{-1}\) at 200 K), and the line shape broadens noticeably. We return to these two points below.

C. Mean-field Matsubara calculations

Mean-field Matsubara spectra for \(M > 1\) were calculated using a straightforward generalization of Eq. (18) to \(2M\) Matsubara modes \((X_M, Y_M)\), with \(A_M = B_M\) taken to be \(X_0\) and \(Y_0\). For \(M > 1\), it is only practical to evaluate \(\mathcal{F}(X_M, Y_M)\) on the fly, using an extension to \(2M\) modes of the partially-adiabatic CMD technique of Ref. 50. This entails using \(N\)-bead ring-polymer, with the mean-fielding over the \(2(N - M)\) highest modes accomplished through adiabatic decoupling, by shifting the respective frequencies to a large value \(\Omega\), and re-scaling the associated masses \(m_n = m(\omega_n/\Omega)^2\). To ensure proper sampling, a Langevin thermostat is attached to each of the mean-fielded modes, with the friction coefficient set to the optimal value of \(2\Omega\).\(^{51}\)

Converged \(M = 3\) spectra were obtained for \(N = 32\) at 200 K and \(N = 16\) at 400–600 K; the \(M = 5\) spectrum was calculated for \(N = 24\). The adiabatic frequency was taken to be \(\Omega = 1/\beta N\hbar\), with the adiabatic separation \(\Gamma = 32\) at all temperatures. The drawback of this approach is that a small time-step \(\Delta t\) is needed to cope with the rapid motion of the mean-fielded modes; we used \(\Delta t = 0.003125\) fs.

As expected, the most challenging part of the calculation was integrating over the phase \(\theta_M\), which was done by evaluating the ratio

\[
c_{AB} = \frac{\langle \cos(\theta_M)A(Q_M) e^{-\Delta t B(Q_M)} \rangle}{\langle \exp(-\beta \sum m_n Q_n^2/2) \rangle},
\]

where \(\langle \cdot \rangle\) denotes thermal averaging according to the distribution \(e^{-\beta \sum m_n Q_n^2/2 + \mathcal{F}(Q_M)}\), and the sum in the denominator is over the \(M\) non-mean-fielded modes. The sampling was done by averaging over an ensemble of partially adiabatic trajectories, each 1000 fs long. For a given number of modes \(M\), the convergence is slower at higher temperatures, as the system samples more of the phase-space, making the integrand in the numerator of Eq. (22) more oscillatory. With the computing resources available, we were unable to go beyond \(M = 1\) at 800 K, \(M = 3\) at 600 and 400 K, and \(M = 5\) at 200 K. For the \(M = 3\) calculations, \(6 \times 10^6\), \(3 \times 10^7\), and \(8 \times 10^7\) trajectories were used at 200, 400, and 600 K; for \(M = 5\), \(3 \times 10^8\) trajectories were used, the latter taking three weeks on 128 CPU cores to complete. Even within these limits, small numerical artifacts are likely to remain in the rovibrational spectra, resulting from imperfect adiabatic separation and sampling.

Figure 2 illustrates the convergence of the mean-field Matsubara results with respect to \(M\). As mentioned above, we were unable to include more than a few non-mean-fielded modes, owing to the oscillatory Matsubara phase. However, the results for \(M = 1, 3, 5\) at 200 K (Fig. 2), for which the CMD red-shift is greatest, suggest that these small values of \(M\) are sufficient to converge the position and the overall shape of the vibrational peaks. Some convergence artifacts remain, visible as “wiggles” in the spectra in Fig. 2. These artifacts are not
sampling errors: they are the result of incomplete convergence with respect to $M$ and indicate that a small component of the dynamics requires a long “tail” of Matsubara modes to be described correctly. Some of the wiggles can be made to disappear if the fluctuations around the centroid are approximated by local normal modes (these results not shown), suggesting that they are caused by vibration-rotation coupling. The convergence “tail” is thus probably the result of using Cartesian rather than polar Matsubara modes.

Even with the convergence errors discussed above, the Matsubara results in Fig. 1 are in strikingly good agreement with the exact quantum results, across the entire 200–800 K temperature range tested. Most importantly, the Matsubara vibrational peak positions are correctly independent of temperature, with the 22 cm$^{-1}$ blue-shift observed in the CMD results at 800 K remaining constant down to 200 K to within the sampling error. The slight broadening of the vibrational line shape seen in the CMD results at 800 K also continues in the Matsubara results down to 200 K (although the line shapes are likely to be somewhat distorted by the convergence errors mentioned above). If we rule out the possibility of a long convergence tail in $M$ changing the position of the vibrational peak, we can infer that the 22 cm$^{-1}$ red-shift and the slight narrowing of the quantum vibrational peak with respect to the Matsubara peak are the only significant real-time coherence effects.

Subject to these caveats, we can also infer that CMD agrees closely with Matsubara dynamics at 800 K, and gives a reasonable approximation to it down to about 250 K. In this temperature range, the CMD red-shifts are small and can be corrected by including just the $|n|=1$ Matsubara modes. However, below 250 K, the CMD red-shift increases dramatically. At 200 K, the $|n|=2$ modes are also needed to correct the red-shift, and many more modes would be required at lower temperatures.

**IV. CENTROID-CONSTRAINED INSTANTONS**

To investigate why CMD breaks down rapidly below 250 K, we plot in Fig. 3 the centroid mean-field force $-d\mathcal{F}/dR_0$, $R_0 = \sqrt{X_0^2 + Y_0^2}$, at 200–600 K, and overlay this with the CMD Boltzmann distribution as a function of $R_0$. As has been noted previously, the force flattens out for values of $R_0$ less than a certain radius, and this radius increases as the temperature decreases. Figure 3 shows immediately why CMD breaks down below about 250 K: at 400 and 600 K, the quantum Boltzmann distribution is well separated from the flat region, but at 200 K, the distribution starts to overlap it.

It is easy to identify the origin of the flattening. Figure 4 shows the centroid-constrained ring-polymer distribution at three points along a single trajectory at 400 K and at 200 K. The 200 K trajectory is one of the 6% of trajectories that make it into the flat region at this temperature. During the 400 K trajectory, the distribution moves as a relatively compact “blob,” stretching slightly at the inner turning point as it pushes against the repulsive wall; the minimum-energy ring-polymer within the distribution (i.e., the imaginary-time Feynman path with the least action) is a point at the centroid. During the 200 K trajectory, by contrast, the distribution smears out at the turning point, where the minimum-energy ring-polymer has a delocalised geometry (Fig. 4). Since this geometry is an extremal point on the ring-polymer surface, subject to the centroid constraint, the path followed by the beads corresponds to a periodic orbit on the inverted potential surface, subject to a time-averaged constraint. In other words, by constraining the centroid in the distribution, the CMD method creates artificial instantons below 250 K.

We can make analogies with instanton formation in quantum rate theory to understand what is happening at these lower temperatures. In rate theory, instantons form below a cross-over temperature; in the CMD dynamics considered here, it is more convenient to define a “cross-over radius” $R_c$. By minimising the ring-polymer energy subject to the centroid constraint, one can show (see the supplementary material) that

$$R_c \approx \frac{1}{m\omega_1^2} \left. \frac{dV}{dr} \right|_{r=R_c},$$

where $\omega_1$ is the first Matsubara frequency as defined in Eq. (9). The values of $R_c$ at 200–800 K are shown in Fig. 3 and are to coincide with the onset of the flat region of the centroid force. For $R_0 < R_c$, the potential is sufficiently curved that a centroid-constrained ring-polymer can minimize its energy by stretching and moving outwards (leaving the position of the centroid unchanged); it cannot stretch around a perfectly circular path since this would correspond to a purely rotational periodic orbit on the inverted potential, with a period greater than $\beta\hbar$; so the orbit follows a gently parabolic curve which cuts through the circular potential energy surface. The variation of $V$ along the parabolic curve is plotted in Fig. 5, which shows that the imaginary-time periodic orbit on the inverted potential resembles a conventional instanton or “bounce” in

![Diagram](image-url)
FIG. 4. Snapshots of CMD trajectories on the Morse potential of Eq. (19) (black contour lines, \( r_{eq} \) dotted), with centroid-constrained bead distributions shown in red and corresponding minimum-energy ring-polymer configurations in blue. Note the artificial instanton in the 200 K trajectory at 12.9 fs.

barrier tunneling.\textsuperscript{7,8,12,13} For \( R_0 > R_c \), the potential is not sufficiently curved for the ring-polymer to be able to lower their energy by cutting through the potential, and hence the minimum-energy ring-polymer collapses to a point at the centroid.

The two temperature regimes are thus analogous to the “shallow” and “deep” tunneling regimes in reaction rate theory,\textsuperscript{12} with 250 K being the approximate “cross-over temperature” for the OH model. Just as in rate theory, the notion of a precise cross-over temperature is somewhat artificial since it refers to the switch in the position of the ring-polymer stationary point from the notion of the instanton geometry. In rate-theory, instanton-like delocalisation starts to happen above crossover, as a result of softening of the lowest-frequency Matsubara mode. Analogous behavior is responsible for the large red-shift in the CMD vibrational peak at 200 K. Only 6% of the CMD trajectories make it into the flat region (\( R_0 < R_c \)), but a majority of trajectories get sufficiently close to \( R_0 = R_c \) for the first Matsubara mode to soften appreciably. At lower temperatures (not shown here), all the CMD trajectories enter the flat region to form intransons, giving rise to much greater red-shifts and broadening of the vibrational peak (e.g., see the 100 K red-shifts calculated for a similar OH model in Ref. 29).

It is important not to push the analogy with rate theory too far: the intransons in rate-theory are real, but the centroid-constrained intransons identified above are artificial. However, the change in the quantum statistics that takes place at about 250 K is real: below this temperature, the ring-polymers are sufficiently floppy that they can lower their energy by cutting through the curvature of the potential surface.\textsuperscript{53}

Periodic orbits and related objects can sometimes show special behavior in two dimensions (2D), and for this reason, we also examined centroid trajectories in the three-dimensional (3D) version of the model.\textsuperscript{54} We found that the extra degree of freedom permitted a different type of instanton to form, corresponding to a circular periodic orbit in a plane tangential to \( R_0 \) on the inverted potential surface. One of these circular intransons is shown in Fig. 6. Despite the different instanton geometries, the 3D centroid-constrained distributions have very similar behavior to the 2D distributions because the crossover radius \( R_c \) for the 3D circular orbits is the same as that for the 2D parabolic orbits, which also extremise the action in 3D (see the supplementary material). As a result, the 3D mean-field centroid force flattens out at the same radial displacement as the 2D force. Curvature effects are slightly bigger in 3D because the Boltzmann distributions overlap the flat region slightly more. Similar circular intransons have also been found in CMD distributions for gas-phase water,\textsuperscript{55} suggesting that the 2D picture developed here applies to vibrational spectroscopy generally.

FIG. 5. Potential energy along the beads (discrete imaginary-time steps) of the artificial centroid-constrained instanton shown in Fig. 4. The path length \( s \) is taken to be a linear function of the polar coordinate \( \theta \). The potential energy varies because the path followed by the instanton in Fig. 4 is gently parabolic.

FIG. 6. A circular-orbit artificial instanton formed during a CMD trajectory at 200 K in a three-dimensional champagne-bottle model of OH (the instanton beads are shown as white spheres for the H-atom, red for the O-atom). Plotted on the right is a side-on view of the same instanton (in white), together with a parabolic instanton (in blue) from a two-dimensional calculation at the same temperature with the same centroid constraint.
V. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that Matsubara dynamics can be derived more simply as a mean-field theory. This does not solve the phase problem, but does make the approach sufficiently practical to treat model systems. In tests on a two-dimensional model of a rotating OH bond, the Matsubara vibrational spectra were found to agree closely with the exact quantum results over the entire 200–800 K temperature range tested. This is a strong piece of evidence in support of the idea that Matsubara dynamics account for the classical part of the exact dynamics in a quantum Boltzmann distribution. Real-time quantum coherence effects were found to be minor in the OH model: a 22 cm⁻¹ red-shift in the position of the quantum vibrational peak (with respect to the Matsubara result) and a slight narrowing in its shape. It seems reasonable to expect a comparably small red-shift and narrowing in the OH-stretch band of bulk water.

We also found that quantum Boltzmann statistics responds to the curvature of the OH potential in two distinct ways, giving rise to “shallow curvature” and “deep curvature” regimes which are loosely analogous to the “shallow tunneling” and “deep tunneling” regimes in quantum rate theory.¹² The crossover temperature (250 K in the OH model) marks the point at which imaginary-time Feynman paths can lower their actions by cutting through the curved potential surface. This behavior gives rise to artificial instantons in CMD, explaining why CMD gives a reasonable approximation to Matsubara behavior gives rise to artificial instantons in CMD, explaining why CMD gives a reasonable approximation to Matsubara dynamics above the crossover temperature, but a poor one below it. Although tested on a simple model, we expect this result to generalise and for it to be possible to estimate the crossover temperature in bulk systems by searching for centroid-constrained instantons that minimise the action. It is likely that the crossover temperature for the OH-stretch band in bulk water is below freezing since CMD works well for the liquid¹⁸,¹⁹ but gives significant vibrational red-shifts for ice.¹⁶

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See supplementary material for a derivation of Eq. (23) for both the parabolic and circular instantons.
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APPENDIX: MATHEMATICAL DETAILS

The generalised Wigner transforms in Eq. (5) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ e^{-\beta H} \right]_N(p, q) &= \int d\Delta \prod_{l=1}^N \langle q_{l-1} - \Delta_{l-1}/2 | e^{-\beta H} | q_l + \Delta_l/2 \rangle e^{i\beta \Delta_l}/\hbar \\
\end{align*}
\]

(A1)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ \hat{B}(t) \right]_N(p, q) &= \int d\Delta \int dz B_N(z) \\
&\times \prod_{l=1}^N \langle q_l - \Delta_l/2 | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | z_l \rangle \\
&\times \langle z_l | e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | q_l + \Delta_l/2 \rangle e^{i\beta \Delta_l}/\hbar \\
\end{align*}
\]

(A2)

with \([\hat{B}(0)]_N(p, q) = B_N(q)\).

To obtain the quantum Liouvillian \(\hat{L}_N\) in Eq. (5), we generalise the standard derivation of the Moyal series,³⁵ differentiating \([\hat{B}(t)]_N(p, q)\) with respect to \(t\), and using integration by parts to pull the Heisenberg time-derivatives in front of the integral, giving

\[
\frac{d}{dt} [\hat{B}(t)]_N(p, q) = \hat{L}_N [\hat{B}(t)]_N(p, q),
\]

(A3)

where

\[
\hat{L}_N = \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{P_l}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_l} - V(q_l) \frac{2}{\hbar} \sin \left( \frac{\hbar}{\partial q_l} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_l} \right)
\]

(A4)

and the arrows indicate that the differential operators act to the left and right, respectively.

To evaluate the mean-field integrals in Eq. (10), we first rewrite \(\hat{L}_N\) in terms of the normal-mode coordinates \((p_N, q_N)\) as

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \hat{L}_N = \mathcal{L}_M + \lim_{N \to \infty} \hat{L}_{N,M},
\]

(A5)

where

\[
\mathcal{L}_M = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{P_n}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_n} - \frac{V_N(Q)}{\hbar} \sin \left( \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{h}{2N} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_n} \right)
\]

(A6)

involves derivatives of only the Matsubara modes \((p_M, q_M)\), and \(\hat{L}_{N,M}\) involves also derivatives of the non-Matsubara modes. We do not need to know \(\hat{L}_{N,M}\) explicitly (although it can easily be obtained using trigonometric identities³⁶,⁴¹) since its mean-field average is zero on account of the derivatives in the non-Matsubara modes. This leaves us with \(\mathcal{L}_M\), which simplifies (without approximation, because \(M \ll N\)) to

\[
\mathcal{L}_M = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^N \frac{P_n}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_n} - \frac{\partial V_N(Q)}{\partial Q_n} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_n}
\]

(A7)

with \(V_N(Q)\) defined analogously to \(A_N(Q)\) of Eq. (3).

To carry out the mean-field average in Eq. (10), we therefore need to evaluate the integrals

\[
I_{A}^{[p,M, Q,M]} = \int dp \int dq \left[ e^{-\beta H} \right]_N \hat{\delta}(M, Q_M) \\
\times \delta(M, p_M) \frac{\partial V_N(Q)}{\partial Q_n}
\]

(A8)
Integrating over \( p \) gives

\[
I_n(\mathbf{P}_M, \mathbf{Q}_M) = (2\pi \hbar)^{N-M} N^M \int d\mathbf{D}_M \int d\mathbf{q} \\
\times \langle q_{l-1} - \eta_{l-1}/2 | e^{-\beta_0 H} | q_l + \eta_l/2 \rangle \\
\times \delta_M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}_M) \frac{\partial V_N(\mathbf{Q})}{\partial \mathbf{Q}_n} \prod_{k=-n_M}^{n_M} e^{iD_kP_k N/\hbar} \tag{A9}
\]

with

\[
\eta_l = \sum_{n_M} T_{ln} D_n. \tag{A10}
\]

Writing the bra-kets as

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \langle q_{l-1} - \eta_{l-1}/2 | e^{-\beta_0 H} | q_l + \eta_l/2 \rangle = \left( \frac{m}{2 \pi \beta_0 \hbar^2} \right)^{1/2} e^{-\beta_0 [V(q_l + \eta_l/2) + V(q_{l-1} - \eta_{l-1}/2)]/2} \times e^{-\left(q_l - q_{l-1} + (\eta_l + \eta_{l-1})/2\right)^2 m/2 \beta_0 \hbar^2} \tag{A11}
\]

we obtain

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} I_n(\mathbf{P}_M, \mathbf{Q}_M) = \left( \frac{m}{2 \pi \beta_0 \hbar^2} \right)^{N/2} \times N^M \int d\mathbf{D}_M \int d\mathbf{q} \delta_M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}_M) \frac{\partial V_N(\mathbf{Q})}{\partial \mathbf{Q}_n} \\
\times \prod_{l=1}^{N} e^{-\beta_0 [V(q_l + \eta_l/2) + V(q_{l-1} - \eta_{l-1}/2)]/2} e^{-\left(q_l - q_{l-1} + (\eta_l + \eta_{l-1})/2\right)^2 m/2 \beta_0 \hbar^2} \times \prod_{k=-n_M}^{n_M} e^{-iD_k^2 N^2 / 2 \beta_0 \hbar^2} e^{iD_k Q_{k,N} \omega_n \hbar / \beta_0 \hbar} e^{iD_k P_k N/\hbar}, \tag{A12}
\]

where we have made use of the orthogonality of \( T \) and the relations

\[
T_{l+1,n} = T_{ln} + O(N^{-1}), \\
T_{l+1,n} - T_{ln} = T_{ln} \omega_n \beta_0 \hbar + O(N^{-2}) \tag{A13}
\]

(easily proved using trigonometric identities). In the limit \( N \to \infty \), the integrals over \( \mathbf{D}_M \) can be done analytically (since the \( e^{-D_k^2 N^2 / 2 \beta_0 \hbar^2} \) terms allow one to neglect the \( \eta_l \)-dependencies in \( V \)), giving

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} I_n(\mathbf{P}_M, \mathbf{Q}_M) = \lim_{N \to \infty} N^{M/2} \left( \frac{2\pi m}{\beta_N} \right)^{(N-M)/2} e^{-\beta \mathbf{P}_M^2 / 2m} \times e^{\beta \mathbf{P}_M(\mathbf{D}_M, \mathbf{Q}_M)} \int d\mathbf{q} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{q}) e^{-\beta [W_N(\mathbf{q}) - S_N(\mathbf{Q}_M)]} \times \delta_M(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}_M) \frac{\partial V_N(\mathbf{Q})}{\partial \mathbf{Q}_n}. \tag{A14}
\]

Substituting this expression into Eq. (10) and evaluating the analogous integral in the denominator gives Eq. (15). A similar integration over \( \mathbf{p} \) and \( \mathbf{d}_M \) in the time-correlation function [noting that \( A_M(\mathbf{Q}_M) \) and \( e^{i \omega_{l+1} t} B_M(\mathbf{Q}_M) \) are independent of the non-Matsubara modes] gives Eq. (18a).
The calculated blue-shifts are 22, 22, 18, and 14 cm$^{-1}$ at 800, 600, 400, and 200 K, with the result at 200 K having an error bar of the order of 10 cm$^{-1}$.

In this sense, the CMD instantons are analogous to the artificial instantons that sometimes form in quantum rate theory if an inappropriate dividing surface is used; see the discussion in Sec. III C of Ref. 11.

The difficulty of converging the Matsubara calculations did not permit us to extend the two-dimensional analysis just reported to three dimensions. Preliminary calculations show that in addition to the phases being more oscillatory, the three-dimensional system requires more non-centroid modes because the rotation-vibration “wiggles” (see Sec. III C) are more pronounced.