Centrifuge simulation of heave behaviour of deep basement slabs in over-consolidated clay
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ABSTRACT: High demand on land in major cities is driving construction of basement structures to create additional space. Long-term heave of base slabs is a pertinent problem in deep basement construction in over-consolidated clay strata, such as the London clay. Sub-structures must be designed to withstand soil pressures and displacements that evolve gradually for many years after construction is complete. This paper discusses an ongoing research project using centrifuge modelling to quantify the development of long-term heave by shortening the time-scale through dimensional similarity. The excavation process is simulated by draining of a heavy fluid (sodium polytungstate) and a model basement structure is instrumented to record the evolution of heave movements with time. This paper presents the preliminary results of a centrifuge test, which captured the magnitude of short-term differential and total heave deformation, the changes in support loads in horizontal props, and the evolution of pore pressures around the basement structure. Challenges encountered in this experimental technique and plans for further experimental work are discussed.

1 INTRODUCTION

Urbanisation is driving the demand for deep basements to be created within a dense built-environment to accommodate new public infrastructure such as underground railway stations and shopping mall cells. The construction of a deep basement inevitably causes upward ground movements due to the permanent removal of soil overburden and these movements need to be predicted and controlled. In most geological strata, these ground movements are small and they occur within the timescale of the excavation, so they are accommodated during construction. However, in over-consolidated clay strata such as London clay, the soil permeability is so low that these upward movements continue for many years after structural completion. This process is known as long-term heave and the basement structure must be designed to withstand it, leading to much conservatism in design.

Some previous work has been carried out to quantify the effect of long-term heave using site data, notably a site on Horseferry Road, London where a basement was built in London clay and its heave movement was monitored for 21 years. Figure 1 shows that the evolution of heave movement with time at this site agrees with one-dimensional consolidation theory and this finding has served as a guideline for the designs of many deep basements in London clay. Although a few sites have published their monitoring data, data availability remains scarce, and the complexity of live sites means that there is a need for experimental data to improve engineers’ understanding of the underlying mechanisms of deformation. Previous researchers have performed geotechnical centrifuge simulations of basement heave in over-consolidated clay because this method can replicate full-scale soil and structure stresses, but these studies have generally focused on the effect of specific methods of heave mitigation (Ohishi et al. (2000); McNamara & Taylor (2004)). There remains a need for an experimental study on the effect of the basement structure’s stiffness on heave pressures and de-
formations, which is the focus of the ongoing study described in this paper.

2 MODEL DESIGN AND PREPARATION

The research discussed in this paper is part of an ongoing series of experimental investigations to model the post-construction basel heave behaviour of a deep basement. Each test would involve a basement structure, complete with a base slab, underlain by a stratum of saturated, over-consolidated clay. The rest of this paper will discuss the initial centrifuge testing attempts of this problem.

2.1 Design of basement model and excavation

The experiment used a rectangular basement model made of aluminium alloy, whose dimensions were specified with the configurations of typical permanent basement structures in mind, except there was no tension embedment extending beneath formation level. Tension embedment was avoided as the main purpose of the testing to promote upward heave of the base slab. Each wall and slab plate is 5mm thick; at 100g centrifugal acceleration this corresponds to a 1m-thick prototype reinforced concrete element.

The general arrangement of the model is shown in Figures 2 & 3. The plan area of the model is 150 mm × 300 mm (prototype 15 m × 30 m) and the buried depth is 150 mm (prototype 15 m). Two props, each made from a 6 mm aluminium rod and a load cell, crossed from one long edge of the basement box to the other, at a level 25 mm above the soil surface. A 5.4 kg brass block was placed upon the short edges of the basement model to simulate the weight of an associated superstructure. The presence of a surcharge would encourage differential heave in the base slab, rather than a simple uplift of the whole basement. The joints of the basement box were bolted together with joint stiffeners.

Excavation was simulated by extracting a heavy fluid (sodium polytungstate solution) of the same density as the soil surrounding the basement. The basement box was buried in the soil and filled with heavy fluid before spin-up, so the vertical stress under the basement matches in-situ conditions before excavation, albeit the use of a liquid imposes \( K_0 = 1 \) on the soil surrounding the basement, as discussed by Lam (2010). At 100g, excavation was achieved by opening a set of valves to let the heavy fluid drain from the basement box to an external catch-tank under gravity (see Figures 2 & 5). The basement box was waterproofed with one layer of Plasti Dip rubber coating followed by two layers of Aquaseal tanking membrane. The use of a latex bag was not attempted because previous experiments had already shown that water-tightness between latex sheets and metal plumbing connections was unreliable under high fluid pressure.

2.2 Model soil

Long-term heave in over-consolidated clays is fundamentally caused by the swelling of the clay in response to the reduction in effective stress. This is characterised by the swelling index \( \kappa \). Although Speswhite kaolin is commonly used in physical models of soil-structure interaction in clay, preliminary investigations on the one-dimensional compression and swelling behaviour of clay samples showed that the swelling index of kaolin is much lower than that of London clay. Therefore, an artificial clay mix comprising 90% Speswhite kaolin and 10% calcium bentonite (K+B mix) was used to bring the swelling capacity of the clay in the centrifuge model to a level similar to that of London clay while preserving experimental repeatability. Table 1 presents the \( \kappa \) values for different clays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of clay</th>
<th>Kaolin</th>
<th>London clay</th>
<th>K+B mix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \kappa ) (Schmidt method)</td>
<td>0.0485</td>
<td>0.0753</td>
<td>0.0707</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The centrifuge model involved two layers of soil:
160 mm of K+B mix clay at the bottom, pre-consolidated to a vertical effective stress of 800 kPa; and 150 mm of dry, dense Hostun sand (density 1595 kg/m$^3$) on top. This gives the clay an over-consolidation ratio of 2.1–3.4 in centrifuge flight. The formation level of the basement model was set at 5 mm above the sand-clay boundary. This arrangement allows the water table of the centrifuge model to be drawn down to the formation level so that there would not be any significant flotation and to provide adequate drainage at the bottom of the slab, but it also ensures that the basement structure was predominantly underlain by saturated clay.

After the centrifuge test, T-bar tests and shear vane tests were undertaken to measure the undrained shear strength of the clay in the centrifuge model. The T-bar’s diameter was 8 mm, its width was 40 mm, and it was driven into the soil at a rate of 13 mm/s (all model scale). All the strength measurements were taken from outside the footprint of the basement model. Figure 4 shows the results of these undrained shear strength tests, which reported values between 20–42 kPa. There is a general trend that undrained shear strength increased with depth, but there is also significant variation of strength for different samples at the same depth, which is presumed to be caused by uneven gain of moisture and loss of strength during the disassembly of the model from the centrifuge.

When the clay had consolidated sufficiently at 400 kPa such that there was no significant reduction in volume in 24 hours, the vertical load was removed to put the clay into suction, then pore pressure transducers (PPTs) were installed through the side ports of the strong box. The consolidometer load was put back to 400 kPa for a day, then increased to the desired pre-consolidation pressure of 800 kPa and kept at the same load until there was negligible pore pressure change in a day. The load was then decreased from 800 kPa to zero in 80 kPa steps, keeping adequate water supply throughout the process, so that the PPTs would not experience absolute tension during model preparation, mitigating the chances of delamination or instrument damage. The strong box, the consolidation procedure, and the PPTs installation procedure used in this centrifuge model were the same as that described in Faustin (2017) except that this model required a larger load decrement during PPT installation. Overall, the clay slurry compressed from an initial height of 400 mm to a final height of 185 mm upon removal from the consolidometer.

The top 25 mm of the K+B clay layer was scraped off to remove any surface contamination and reduce the thickness of the clay layer to the desired level. Then, a 5 mm layer of Hostun sand was laid upon the central part of the clay surface. The basement model was placed on top of this sand layer and connected via 1/4” BSP ports on the side of the tub to the external heavy fluid receiver tank. The automatic sand-pourer described in Madabhushi et al. (2006) then poured the rest of the 150 mm sand layer into the strong box. Figure 5 shows the complete centrifuge package assembled inside the Cambridge geotechnical beam centrifuge just before testing, showing the catch-tank in front, the top layer of sand and the instrumentation gantry on top, and the basement model in the middle.

![Figure 4: Undrained shear strength measurements in the K+B clay layer (measured at 1g)](image1)

2.3 Model preparation and instrumentation

To prepare the clay layer, bentonite powder was added to water in a vacuum mixer, followed by kaolin powder, to achieve a homogeneous slurry at 125% water content. The slurry was poured into a 850 mm-diameter strong box, then put into an Enerpac consolidometer with double drainage, where the compressive load was doubled every 1–4 days until the vertical applied stress reached 400 kPa.

In addition to the aforementioned PPTs and load cells, the centrifuge model also included three linear variable differential transformers (LVDTs) to measure heave and settlement directly. The first LVDT measured displacement of the centre of the base slab;
the second measured the side of the base slab; and the third measured the clay-sand boundary 150 mm (prototype 15 m) away from the side of the basement using an extension foot which was laid down before sand-pouring. Additional pressure transducers were used to monitor the depth of heavy fluid in the basement box and the depth of water in the standpipe which set the water table. Figures 2 & 3 show the arrangement of instrumentation.

3 PRELIMINARY RESULTS

3.1 Spin-up and re-consolidation

In the main centrifuge flight, the initial plan was to allow five hours of centrifuge reconsolidation at 100\(g\), but excavation was triggered prematurely at one hour after spin-up. This is because a cross-check of instrument readings had ascertained that there was a slow leak of heavy fluid from the basement box to the surrounding soil.

Figure 6 plots the variation of instrument readings throughout the centrifuge test, with axes in prototype scale using scale factors at 100\(g\). The response of instruments during centrifuge spin-up and consolidation is plotted towards the left of Figure 6. The negative pore pressure that the clay maintained on removal from the consolidometer turned into a high positive pore pressure as the weight of the soil generated compression during spin-up, while imperfections in the installation of the props caused one of them to gain compression and the other to gain tension during spin-up. Thereafter, the excess pore pressures dissipated slowly as the clay layer settled. Both props picked up compressive load, partly due to settlement of the basement box and partly due to the reduction in pressure inside the basement box from the slow leak.

3.2 Excavation phase

It is estimated that 15% of the heavy fluid had leaked from the basement box to the soil by the time excavation was triggered, bringing the fluid level in the basement box to 23 mm (prototype 2.3 m) below the sand surface level. The remaining fluid took about 30 s (3.5 days in prototype scale) to drain from the basement box to the catch-tank. This caused undrained heave of the basement and there was an immediate response from the instrumentation. Figure 7(a) shows that both the middle and the edge of the base slab recorded significant undrained heave, whereas the far-field clay surface showed no discernible instantaneous movement in response to excavation.

Figure 8 shows that the base slab underwent both total heave and differential heave. The centre of the base slab heaved up by 21 mm (0.21 mm model scale) during the excavation and continued to heave afterwards relative to the far-field clay surface. The edge of the base slab heaved up by 11 mm (0.11 mm model scale) immediately and eventually stabilised at 13 mm heave.

Gasparre (2005) tabulated the undrained vertical stiffness \(E_u^v\) for several London clay samples in triaxial extension. Her reported values clustered around \(E_u^v = 200\) MPa. Taking this representative value of undrained stiffness, the removal of 175 kPa of overburden from the excavation in this centrifuge test should lead to an undrained swelling strain of 0.09%. If this strain was uniform over the 16 m-deep (model scale 160 mm) clay stratum, the expected magnitude of heave would be 14 mm. Allowing for differential
The compression in the prop loads decreased in the immediate response to excavation, which is perhaps counter-intuitive. This is because the slab-wall connections were deliberately made stiff, so the hogging deformation of the base slab was transferred into the walls as a prying movement. Figure 7(b) shows that the total drop in prop load was about 10 N in model scale, corresponding to a relief of 100 kN of prototype prop load between the two props.

The excavation caused pore pressures below the basement to drop sharply, as expected. PPTs beneath and near the footprint of the basement recorded short-term pressure drops of 38-49 kPa. The changes in pore pressures spread in all directions, with far-field, shallow-level PPTs also recording drops of about 10 kPa. Figure 7(c) shows that the pore pressures then recovered slightly as water was recharged into the clay layer, before continuing to decrease due to consolidation. Unfortunately, excavation was triggered before much consolidation had taken place due to the aforementioned technical difficulties, so the pore pressures remained well above hydrostatic throughout the centrifuge test.

3.3 Post-excavation phase

The short term response lasted about 8 months (2000 s in model scale) from the start of excavation. By this time, the recovery of pore pressures was complete. The centrifuge test continued for another two hours, during which the bulk of the clay layer continued to compress and excess pore pressures from spin-up continued to dissipate. This is shown in Figure 9 which plots excess pore pressures relative to hydrostatic pressure and vertical movements of the three LVDT locations against $\sqrt{t}$, where time is measured from the end of spin-up, with units in prototype scale.

During the post-excavation phase, a slow downward trend of fluid pressure in the catch-tank raised concern. However, analysis of the data showed that this was caused by a drift of centrifuge speed. There was also some evaporation of water from the heavy fluid: the fluid recovered at the end of the centrifuge test was 6% denser than at the start. There was no sign of leakage of the heavy fluid out of the centrifuge package.

However, after 4.2 hours of centrifuge flight (corresponding to 4.8 years in prototype scale), a leak developed in the drainage fittings near the top of the clay, causing the water table to drop, and the test was stopped shortly afterwards.

4 FUTURE WORK

As discussed above, leakage was a significant challenge in this centrifuge model. The valves needed to hold shut at 5 bar pressure in the centrifuge and the first centrifuge flight was stopped soon after spin-up due to valve leakage; different valves were used in subsequent centrifuge flights. The basement box developed a slow-leak at 100$g$ despite its waterproof
coating. Towards the end of the main centrifuge flight, a water supply connection providing top drainage to the clay layer also began to leak, causing the water table to drop. Fortunately, there was no leakage from the catch-tank which had fully welded joints, so there was no significant loss of mass from the centrifuge package which could upset the balance of the centrifuge. In future centrifuge tests, structural connections between metal components will be welded where watertightness is crucial.

The basement box used in this pilot test was specified with wall and slab stiffnesses commensurate to that of typical deep basement structures in London. Future tests will reduce the stiffness of the slab as the aim of this research project is to investigate the feasibility of using lighter designs of base slabs.

This pilot test only used two LVDTs to measure the heave movement of the base slab. The plan is to include further instrumentation such as strain gauges in future test to obtain more detailed measurements of the differential heave of the slab and the consequent bending in the base slabs and the basement walls.

5 CONCLUSIONS

- This paper presented early attempts in centrifuge modelling of heave deformations of deep base-
- Instrumentation in the model was able to capture differential heave movements, changes in pore pressure, and changes in prop loads caused by undrained heave in response to excavation.
- Further work will be undertaken to improve equipment reliability, so that long-term heave can be reproduced and quantified in the model.
- Future investigations will aim to vary the stiffness of the model structure and also include additional instrumentation to shed light on the differential heave behaviour of the base slab.
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