

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1 **Title page**

2

3 **Title: Global Priority List of TOP TEn resistant Microorganisms at Intensive Care (TOTEM study): A**
4 **prioritization exercise based on multi-criteria decision analysis.**

5

6 Jordi Rello* ^{1,2}, Vandana Kalwaje Eshwara³, Leo Lagunes ^{2,4}, Joana Alves⁵, Richard G. Wunderink⁶;
7 Andrew Conway-Morris⁷; Jose Nicolas Rojas⁸; Emine Alp⁹, Zhongheng Zhang¹⁰.

8

9 1- CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias, CIBERES, Barcelona, Spain.

10 2- Vall d'Hebron Institut of Research (VHIR), Barcelona, Spain.

11 3-- Department of Microbiology, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal Academy of Higher Education,
12 Manipal, India.

13 4- Intensive Care, San Luis Potosi, Mexico.

14 5- Infectious Diseases, São João Hospital Center, Porto, Portugal.

15 6- Pulmonary & Critical Care, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, USA.

16 7- Division of Anaesthesia, Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

17 8- Intensive Care Department, Clinica Santa Fe, Bogota, Colombia.

18 9- Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Microbiology, Infection Control Committee, Erciyes
19 University, Kayseri, Turkey.

20 10- Department of emergency medicine, Sir Run-Run Shaw Hospital, Zhejiang University School of
21 Medicine, Hangzhou, China.

22

23 *Corresponding author.

24

25

26

27 Word Count: Body Text: 1151 words. Abstract: 202 words.

28

29

Abstract

30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

Purpose: The World Health Organization (WHO) proposed a global priority pathogen list (PPL) of multi-drug resistant (MDR) bacteria. Our current objective was to provide global expert ranking of the most serious multi-drug resistant (MDR) bacteria present at intensive care units (ICU) that have become a threat in clinical practice.

Methods: A proposal addressing a pathogens priority list (PPL) for ICU, arising from the WHO Global PPL was developed. Based on the supporting data, the pathogens were grouped in three priority tiers: Critical, high and medium. A multi-criteria decision analyses (MCDA) was used to identify the priority tiers.

Results: After MCDA analysis, mortality, treatability and cost of therapy were of highest concern (scores of 19/20, 19/20 and 15/20, respectively) while dealing with PPL, followed by healthcare burden and resistance prevalence. Carbapenem-resistant (CR) *Acinetobacter baumannii*, Carbapenemase-expressing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (KPC) and MDR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were identified as critical organisms. High risk organisms were represented by CR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, and Extended Spectrum Beta lactamase(ESBL) *Enterobacteriaceae*. Finally, ESBL *Serratia marcescens*, Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci* and TMP-SMX resistant *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* were identified as medium priority.

Conclusions: We conclude that education, investigation, funding and development of new antimicrobials for ICU organisms should focus on Carbapenem-resistant Gram negative organisms.

55

Keywords

56 Multidrug-resistant bacteria, infection control, colonization, prevention, research, antimicrobials,

57 intensive care, sepsis.

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

Text

81 **Title: Global Priority List of TOp TEn resistant Microorganisms at Intensive Care (TOTEM study): A**
82 **prioritization exercise based on multi-criteria decision analysis.**

83

84 **Introduction**

85 Multidrug resistant (MDR) bacteria have become a health priority [1] and efforts have been made to
86 prevent colonization, infection and decrease mortality [2–7]. The World Health Organization (WHO)
87 proposed a global priority pathogen list (PPL) of MDR bacteria to guide research, discovery and
88 development of new antibiotics [3, 8]. However, critically ill patients are particularly susceptible to
89 infections arising from MDR bacteria [9, 10]. To develop a more solid understanding of the issues
90 facing critically ill patients, we established the TOp TEn resistant Microorganisms (TOTEM) in critical
91 care study group (appendix 1). The scope was to identify the most important resistant bacteria for
92 intensive care units (ICU) for which there is an urgent need for new therapies. The primary objective
93 of the TOTEM study was to describe, as assessed by expert opinion and current evidence, a global list
94 of the top ten most clinically relevant MDR bacteria affecting critically ill patients. The secondary
95 objective was to prioritize the list to focus efforts proportionately according to perceived clinical need.

96 **Methods**

97 The study consisted of score prioritization by a panel of ten experts invited to prioritize organisms
98 using MCDA. A steering committee (Appendix 2a) with experience of identification, prevention and
99 treatment of MDR bacteria in critically ill patients were invited to participate. They contributed in
100 revision of first drafts of the study protocol and selection of pathogens. Mycobacteria, rickettsia,
101 viruses and parasites were excluded. Panel experts were suggested by the TOTEM project leader (JR)
102 based on their prior experience or their expertise in clinical practice, clinical trials and publications,
103 seeking to provide global geographic coverage and membership from the range of professionals
104 whose roles are impacted by MDR bacteria. MDR bacteria was defined as reported elsewhere [6]. The
105 coordinating group represented intensivists, anesthesiologists, clinical microbiologists and infectious
106 disease (ID) consultants with experience in ICU settings (Appendix 2b). Pediatric and neonatal
107 intensive care units (ICUs) were excluded. The list was ranked using the following (WHO) prioritization
108 factors: all-cause mortality, healthcare and community burden, prevalence of resistance, 5-year trend
109 of resistance, transmissibility and preventability, treatability, current drug pipeline, with the addition
110 of estimated cost of therapy. Definitions for the variables used in the prioritization list were reported
111 elsewhere [8]. For each variable, scores were assigned from 1 (least) to 10 (most) according to
112 importance and the average value was multiplied by two providing a maximal potential score of 20.
113 The study used no patient-specific data and thus the need for ethical research committee approval or
114 informed consent was waived.

115

116 **Statistical and MCDA analysis**

117 All responses were categorical variables presented as summary statistics, reporting proportions
118 (percentages). The prioritization exercise was performed through the following steps: 1. Selection of
119 antibiotic resistant organisms to be prioritized. 2. Selection for criteria of prioritization. 3. Data
120 extraction and synthesis. 4: Scoring of the alternatives and weighting of criteria by experts, and 5.

121 Finalization of the pathogens' ranking. As a summary of sources of data on the different variables,
122 participants were referred to the evidence-based information released by the WHO final report [8].
123 Data sources were PubMed and Ovid databases and did not have time restriction, last update in
124 September 2016. **Multiple-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) methodology has been detailed in**
125 **Online Resource 1**
126

127 **Results**

128 After MCDA analysis, mortality and treatability were of highest concern (Scores of 19/20) while dealing
129 with PPL, followed by cost of treatment, healthcare burden and resistance prevalence. Carbapenem-
130 resistant (CR) *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* expressing carbapenemase (KPC), and
131 MDR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were classified as critical organisms. High risk organisms were
132 represented by CR *P. aeruginosa*, Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), and extended
133 spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) Enterobacteriaceae. Finally, ESBL *Serratia marcescens*, Vancomycin
134 resistant *Enterococci* and TMP-SMX resistant *Stenothophomonas maltophilia* were identified as
135 medium priority. Distribution of scores is detailed in Table 1. In the PPL scoring, CR *A. baumannii*, KPC
136 and MDR *P. aeruginosa* scored high for mortality, treatability and cost of treatment while MDR *P.*
137 *aeruginosa*, KPC and ESBL *K.pneumoniae* were prioritized for healthcare burden. Overall prevalence
138 of resistance was high for ESBL Enterobacteriaceae. Along with other critical and high priority
139 pathogens, *S. marcescens* too scored high among difficult to treat pathogens. Preventability was worst
140 with KPC followed by MRSA.

141

142

143

144

145 **Discussion**

146

147 CR *Acinetobacter baumannii*, CR *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and MDR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were
148 classified as critical organisms (priority 1), confirming the WHO priority pathogens list [8]. In contrast,
149 priority 2 represented by high risk organism is markedly different. However, this finding is not a
150 surprise as the risk factors for the selection of resistant organisms in hospitals vary from the
151 community. Our findings emphasize a global concern regarding Gram negative bacteria.

152 Indeed, while dealing with PPL, mortality and treatability were considered highest priority followed
153 by cost of treatment, healthcare burden and resistance prevalence in MCDA analysis. Carbapenem-
154 resistant organisms were indisputably perceived as highest threat for mortality, treatability and cost.
155 The results support the difficulty faced in managing MDR *P.aeruginosa* infections in ICUs [12].

156 Mortality by CR organisms is contributed particularly by the non-availability of effective drugs rather
157 than increased virulence [13–16]. Currently, the biggest gap exists in the investigational pipeline for
158 compounds active against CR *A. baumannii*, which is perceived as critical organism for treatability.

159 Our findings suggest that CR *A. baumannii* is of major concern, despite it is considered
160 conventionally low virulence [17]. Not surprisingly, given the focus on intensive care major concerns,
161 the prioritization list came up with a different ranking of pathogens and resistance markers than the
162 WHO PPL, which takes a more global view.

163

164 WHO reports estimate approximately 30% of ICU patients are affected by at healthcare-associated
165 infections while incidence is 3-fold higher in low and middle-income countries [18]. Several reports
166 from these countries suggest the lack of surveillance data thus having a negative influence on the
167 implementation of preventive measures [19–23]. Two EPIC studies in a span of 10 years have
168 demonstrated 20% increase in prevalence of ICU-acquired infections [24,25].

169 There are a number of limitations to this study. The survey panel have not uniformly represented the
170 regions of global hotspots of MDR infections such as Asia, whereas Europe is over-represented. The
171 study did not take into consideration the current evidence for infections in respect to the frequency
172 and burden, discrepancies in CDC vs ECDC definitions, underlying immune status, sub-classification of
173 infections based on underlying condition (medical, trauma, burns, cardiac surgery, special patient
174 population etc), paediatric patients and public health threats. Other bacterial pathogens causing
175 severe infections that are potentially drug resistant and are acquired at community were not covered.
176 The strengths include the study methodology (MCDA) incorporating expert opinion and evidence
177 based data that showed high stability of the final ranking and its future adaptability for regional
178 updates of the priority pathogen lists.

179 **Conclusions**

180 Carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*, Carbapenemase expressing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*,
181 and MDR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were classified as critical organisms (priority 1) causing ICU
182 infections. Education, investigation, funding and development of new antimicrobials for ICU
183 organisms should be focused on the identified priorities.

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203 **Acknowledgements:** We appreciate comments in the design from Nieves Larrosa, Barcelona, Spain.

204 The study was developed in part from the Observership Programme, ESCMID, Basel, Switzerland

205 (Vandana KE).

206

207 **Compliance with ethical Standards:**

208 **Funding:** The study was funded in part by Centro de Investigacion Biomedica en Red de Enfermedades

209 Respiratorias (CIBERES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain and Observership Programme

210 from ESCMID, Basel, Switzerland.

211 **Competing Interests:** Dr Rello served in the speaker's bureau or consultant for Pfizer, Anchoagen,

212 ROCHE. The remaining authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

213

214 **Ethical Approval:** Not required.

215

216 **References**

217

- 218 [1] De Waale J, Akova M, Antonelli M et al (2018) Antimicrobial Resistance and antibiotic
219 stewardship programs in the ICU: A position statement from ESICM/ESCMID/WAAAR round
220 table on multidrug resistance. *Intensive Care Med* 44:189-196
- 221 [2] National Efforts to Combat Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria Through Science | NIH: National
222 Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases n.d. [https://www.niaid.nih.gov/news-](https://www.niaid.nih.gov/news-events/national-efforts-combat-antibiotic-resistant-bacteria-through-science)
223 [events/national-efforts-combat-antibiotic-resistant-bacteria-through-science](https://www.niaid.nih.gov/news-events/national-efforts-combat-antibiotic-resistant-bacteria-through-science) (accessed
224 August 2, 2018)
- 225 [3] Tacconelli E, Carrara E, Savoldi A et al (2018) Discovery, research and development of new
226 antibiotics : The WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. *Lancet*
227 *Infect Dis* 18:318-327
- 228 [4] Lucet JC, Koulenti D, Zahar JR (2014) Persistence of colonization with MDRO following
229 discharge from the ICU. *Intensive Care Med* 40:603-5
- 230 [5] Sievert D, Ricks P, Edwards J, Schneider A, Patel J, Srinivasan A et al (2013) Antimicrobial-
231 resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: summary of data
232 reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and
233 Prevention, 2009-2010. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 34:1–14
- 234 [6] Magiorakos A-P, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG et al (2012)
235 Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an
236 international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin*
237 *Microbiol Infect* 18:268–281
- 238 [7] Otter JA, Muttters NT, Tacconelli E, Gikas A, Holmes AH (2015) Controversies in guidelines for
239 the control of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria in EU countries. *Clin Microbiol*
240 *Infect* 21:1057–1066

- 241 [8] WHO. Prioritization of pathogens to guide discovery, research and development of new
242 antibiotics for drug resistant bacterial infections, including tuberculosis. *Essent Med Heal*
243 *Prod* 2017:88. doi:WHO reference number: WHO/EMP/IAU/2017.12.
- 244 [9] Poulakou G, Matthaiou DK, Bassetti M, Erdem H, Dimopoulos G, Curcio DJ et al (2017)
245 “Salvage treatment” for infections by extensively- and pan-drug-resistant pathogens is
246 common and often sub-optimal. *Intensive Care Med* 43:1164–1166
- 247 [10] Zaragoza R, Ramirez P, Lopez-Pueyo, MJ (2014) Nosocomial Infections in intensive Care Units.
248 *Enfermedades Infec Mircobiol Clin* 32:320-327
- 249 [11] Belton V, Stewart TJ. *Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis : an Integrated Approach*. Springer
250 US; 2002
- 251 [12] Borgatta B, Gattarello S, Mazo CA, Imbiscuso AT, Larrosa MN, Lujan M et al (2017) The clinical
252 significance of pneumonia in patients with respiratory specimens harbouring multidrug-
253 resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a 5-year retrospective study following 5667 patients in
254 four general ICUs. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 36:2155–2163
- 255 [13] Grundmann H, Glasner C, Albiger B, Aanensen DM, Tomlinson CT, Andrasević AT et al (2017)
256 Occurrence of carbapenemase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* in the
257 European survey of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (EuSCAPE): a prospective,
258 multinational study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 17:153–163
- 259 [14] Kern WV (2018) Multidrug resistant Bacteria: Antibiotic Prescription and antibiotics of last
260 resort. *DDtsch Med Wochenschr* 143:643-650
- 261 [15] Micek ST, Wunderinck RG, Kollef MH et al (2015) An international multicenter retrospective
262 study of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* nosocomial pneumonia: impact of multidrug resistance.
263 *Crit Care* 19: 219.
- 264 [16] Zilberberg MD, Shorr AF, Micek ST, Vazquez-Guillamet C, Kollef MH (2014) Multi-drug
265 resistance, inappropriate initial antibiotic therapy and mortality in Gram-negative severe

266 sepsis and septic shock: a retrospective cohort study. Crit Care 18:596

267 [17] Paterson DL, Harris PNA (2015) *Editorial Commentary* : The New Acinetobacter Equation:
268 Hypervirulence Plus Antibiotic Resistance Equals Big Trouble. Clin Infect Dis 61:155–156

269 [18] Health care-associated infections FACT SHEET.
270 http://www.who.int/gpsc/country_work/gpsc_ccisc_fact_sheet_en.pdf. Accessed november
271 5th, 2018

272 [19] Talaat M, El-Shokry M, El-Kholy J, Ismail G, Kotb S, Hafez S et al (2016) National surveillance of
273 health care–associated infections in Egypt: Developing a sustainable program in a resource-
274 limited country. Am J Infect Control 44:1296–1301

275 [20] Lim C, Takahashi E, Hongsuwan M, Wuthiekanun V, Thamlikitkul V, Hinjoy S et al (2016)
276 Epidemiology and burden of multidrug-resistant bacterial infection in a developing country.
277 Elife 5. doi:10.7554/eLife.18082

278 [21] Dondorp AM, Limmathurotsakul D, Ashley EA (2018) What’s wrong in the control of
279 antimicrobial resistance in critically ill patients from low- and middle-income countries?
280 Intensive Care Med 44:79–82

281 [22] Alp E, Damani N (2015) Healthcare-associated infections in intensive care units: epidemiology
282 and infection control in low-to-middle income countries. J Infect Dev Ctries 9:1040–1045

283 [23] Iwuafor AA, Ogunsola FT, Oladele RO, Oduyebo OO, Desalu I, Egwuatu CC et al (2016)
284 Incidence, Clinical Outcome and Risk Factors of Intensive Care Unit Infections in the Lagos
285 University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Lagos, Nigeria. PLoS One11:e0165242.
286 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165242

287 [24] Vincent JL, Bihari DJ, Suter PM, Bruining HA, White J, Nicolas-Chanoin MH et al (1998) The
288 prevalence of nosocomial infection in intensive care units in Europe. Results of the European
289 Prevalence of Infection in Intensive Care (EPIC) Study. EPIC International Advisory Committee.
290 JAMA 274:639–644

291 [25] Vincent JL, Rello J, Marshall J, Silva E, Anzueto A, Martin CD et al (2009) International study of
292 the prevalence and outcomes of infection in intensive care units. JAMA 302:2323–2329.
293 doi:10.1001/jama.2009.1754
294
295
296
297
298
299
300

301 **Appendix 1. TOTEM Study Investigators**

302

303 **Argentina:** Luna CM, Reina R; **Bulgaria:** Dobrevska R, **China:** Deng H, Leiqing L, Liu L, 温, 沈延飞, LX
304 , Wang D, Yuetian Y, Zhang G, Zh Zhang, Zheng C, YW, ZRYHC; **Colombia:** Del Rio G; **Dominican**
305 **Republic:** Rojas R; **Ethiopia:** Amare D. **France:** Alfandri S, Argemi X, Dellamonica J. Kernies S, Lesprit
306 P, **Greece:** Arvanitik K, Papanikolaou M, Tsigou E, Soultati I, Platsouka E, Katsiari M, Nikolaou C,
307 Tsiodras S; **Italy:** Antonelli M, Cascio A, DiPascale G, Garofalo E, Girardis M, Leone D; **India:** Vandana
308 KE, Kaniyarakkal V, Munim F, Nath S, Patil S, Suchitra U; **Israel:** Yahav D; **Kenya:** Misango DO;
309 **Kosovo:**Gecaj-Gashi A; **Kuwait:** Rotimi V; **Mexico:** Aguilar D, Araujo-Melendez J, Franco-Zendejas R,
310 Lagunes L, Lemus J, Perales Martinez DE, Rivera Chavez M; **Netherlands:** Schouten J; **Oman:** Khamis
311 F; **Pakistan:** Nizamuddin S, **Portugal:** Santos L, Santos-Ribeiro E; **Romania:** Miftode E; **South Africa:**
312 Alekar S, Baker D, Ballot D, Black V, Bhamjee S , Brannigan L, Hunt IA, Kotze J, Lowman W, Levy B ,
313 Mer M, Morar R ,Michell W, Nana T, Pahad H, Tsai M, Schleicher GK, Shaddock E, Shoul E, Smith C,
314 Richards GA, Van der Merwe L, Welkovics N; **Spain:** Borges M, Diaz E, J Garnacho-Montero, Maseda
315 E, Mañez R, Rello J, Samsó E, Serrano R, Solvio J, Vidaur L, Zaragoza R; **Thailand:** Wongsurakiat P;
316 **Turkey:** Abravci N , Akbudak I, Akkoyunlu Y, Altındış M, Aydın DÇelebi G, Emel A , Emine A , Erdem
317 H, Gulden E, Guner R, Kızmaz Y , Yalçın A, Kepenek E, Sener A, Tekin R, Tulek N, Ulu , Unuvar G; **United**
318 **Kingdom:** Buckley J, Conway-Morris A, Dunn M, Hall A, Hobrok M, Felton T, Fletcher S, Marshall B,
319 McConnell H, McKee R, McAuley D, McFie C, Morton B, Naisbitt J, Rooney K, Szakmany T, Yates B,
320 Zochios V; **Venezuela:** Von der Osten J.

321

322

323 **Appendix 2a- Steering Committee members**

324 Jordi Rello, Spain (Chair); Joana Alves, Portugal; Leonel Lagunes, Mexico; Jeroen Schouten,
325 Netherlands; Celine Pulcini, France; Nieves Larrosa, Spain; Mervyn Mer, South Africa; Emine Alp,
326 Turkey; Zhongheng Zhang, China.

327

328

329 **Appendix 2b- Scoring Committee members**

330 Emine Alp, Turkey; Andrew Conway-Morris, UK; Leonel Lagunes, Mexico; Davide Leoni, Italy; Jose
331 Nicolas, Colombia; Jordi Rello, Spain, Vandana KE, India; Richard Wunderink, USA; Zhongheng Zhang,
332 China.

333

334

335

Table 1 Weighting of the criteria and the scores for the priority list of resistant microorganisms at intensive Care units

Pathogen list	Rank order of criteria (Mean score)										Priority level
	Mortality (19)	Treatability (19)	Cost of treatment (15)	Health care burden (13)	Prevalence of resistance (12)	Preventability (10)	Transmissibility (7)	Current pipeline (7)	Community burden (5)	Sum score	
Carbapenem-resistant <i>A. baumannii</i>	144.88	137.75	112.50	87.75	67.50	60.00	52.50	47.25	26.25	736.38	Critical
Carbapenemase expressing <i>K. pneumoniae</i> (KPC)	147.25	130.63	114.38	92.63	52.50	77.50	29.75	47.25	24.29	716.16	
Multidrug resistant <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	147.25	125.88	110.63	95.88	70.50	57.50	44.63	32.38	25.71	710.34	High
Carbapenem-resistant <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	144.88	125.88	116.25	68.25	57.00	58.75	33.25	52.50	18.75	675.50	
Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase <i>K. pneumoniae</i>	102.13	114.00	63.75	91.00	88.50	56.25	38.50	42.88	42.50	639.50	
Methicillin-resistant <i>S. aureus</i> (MRSA)	116.38	85.50	80.63	79.63	84.00	67.50	48.13	39.38	33.13	634.25	
Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase <i>E. coli</i>	76.00	90.25	71.25	81.25	97.50	58.75	42.00	42.00	48.75	607.75	

Vancomycin resistant <i>Enterococci</i> (VRE)	64.13	64.13	71.25	53.63	67.50	42.50	51.63	27.13	21.88	463.75	Medium
Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase <i>Serratia</i> spp	57.00	104.50	52.50	48.75	52.50	42.50	29.75	34.13	25.63	447.25	
TMP/SMX resistant <i>S.maltophilia</i>	45.13	73.63	41.25	16.25	24.00	28.75	14.88	20.13	12.50	276.50	