Long troughs in the Lyman-α forest below redshift 6 due to islands of neutral hydrogen
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ABSTRACT

A long (110 cMpc $h^{-1}$) and deep absorption trough in the Ly $\alpha$ forest has been observed extending down to redshift 5.5 in the spectrum of ULAS J0148+0600. Although no Ly $\alpha$ transmission is detected, Ly $\beta$ spikes are present which has led to claims that the gas along this trough must be ionized. Using high-resolution cosmological radiative transfer simulations in large volumes, we show that in a scenario where reionization ends late ($z \sim 5.2$), our simulations can reproduce troughs as long as observed. In this model, we find that the troughs are caused by islands of neutral hydrogen. Small ionized holes within the neutral islands allow for the transmission of Ly $\beta$. We have also modelled the Ly $\alpha$ emitter population around the simulated troughs, and show that there is a deficit of Ly $\alpha$ emitters close to the trough as is observed.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Lyman-α (Ly $\alpha$) forest has long been recognized as a useful probe of the tail end of the epoch of reionization. Gunn–Peterson troughs observed towards redshift 6 are interpreted as a sign of the intergalactic medium (IGM) becoming increasingly neutral towards these redshifts. However, the Ly $\alpha$ forest saturates for relatively low H I fractions meaning that other methods must be used to place constraints on reionization. One such observation is the large-scale spatial fluctuations in the opacity of the Ly $\alpha$ forest. These were first noted by Fan et al. (2006), however Lidz, Oh & Furlanetto (2006) claimed that the fluctuations could be explained by fluctuations in the density field alone. More recently, larger sets of higher quality observations that push to higher redshifts have been obtained (Becker et al. 2015; Bosman et al. 2018; Eilers, Davies & Hennawi 2018). These observations show even larger fluctuations in the opacity of the Ly $\alpha$ forest at a given redshift. Furthermore, Becker et al. (2015) found a 110 cMpc $h^{-1}$ trough in the Ly $\alpha$ forest that showed complete absorption in Ly $\alpha$, although Ly $\beta$ transmission was observed. These observations could not be explained by variations in the density field and were claimed to be a signature of patchy hydrogen reionization.

Several competing models were proposed to explain how the H I fraction could vary over such large scales. Chardin et al. (2015) and Chardin, Puchwein & Haehnelt (2017) proposed a contribution to the UV background (UVB) from rare, bright sources such as active galactic nuclei (AGNs). Davies & Furlanetto (2016) suggested that the mean free path of ionizing photons may be very short in underdense regions, allowing for large-scale fluctuations in the mean free path which in turn drive fluctuations in the amplitude of the UVB. D’Aloisio, McQuinn & Trac (2015) suggested that the temperature dependence of the recombination rate may allow for a difference in H I fractions between regions that ionized early and have had time to cool compared with regions that ionized late and are still hot.

Although each of these models can potentially explain the distribution of Ly $\alpha$ forest opacities, they each require some key assumption which has been challenged. The model requiring rare, bright sources could lead to an early He II reionization inconsistent with observations (e.g. D’Aloisio et al. 2017; Garaldi, Compostella & Porciani 2019a). The fluctuating UVB model requires a mean free path at $z > 5$ much shorter than observed at slightly lower redshift (Worseck et al. 2014). For the temperature fluctuations model, gas is required to reach very high temperatures as it is ionized which appear to be inconsistent with measurements of the IGM temperature above redshift 5 (e.g. Bolton et al. 2012; Walther et al. 2019).
There is however a way to discriminate between these models, as pointed out by Davies, Becker & Furlanetto (2018). In the case where the fluctuations are primarily in the gas temperature, the most overdense regions of the IGM will have the highest opacity as they were ionized first. In the case where the fluctuations in the amplitude of the UVB dominate, the high-opacity regions will instead be the low-density regions that are far from sources. This was tested by Becker et al. (2018), who used a narrow-band filter on Subaru Hyper-Suprime Cam (HSC) to search for Lyα emitters (LAEs) in the vicinity of the long trough of ULAS J0148+0600. They found an underdensity of LAEs around the trough, suggesting that the sightline lay in a low-density environment. This is consistent with the Davies & Furlanetto (2016) model, where a strong density dependence of the mean free path results in a rather low amplitude of the UVB in low-density regions.

Kulkarni et al. (2019a) recently showed that another way to explain the fluctuations in the opacity of the Lyα forest is if reionization ended later than previously thought (see also Lidz et al. 2007; Mesinger 2010). Using radiative transfer simulations of the reionization of the IGM, they found a reionization history that was consistent with Lyα forest constraints on the ionization state of the IGM below redshift 6 (McGreer, Mesinger & D’Odorico 2015; Bosman et al. 2018; Eilers et al. 2018) as well as constraints from the low optical depth to Thomson scattering measured with the cosmic microwave background (Planck Collaboration 2018). In this model, there were contributions from fluctuations in the UVB before reionization ends, as well as from temperature fluctuations in the IGM. However to reproduce the sightlines with the highest effective optical depths, it was crucial that residual islands of neutral hydrogen persisted down below redshift $z \lesssim 5.5$.

In this work, we focus on explaining how the long trough of ULAS J0148+0600 can be explained in this late reionization model. In Section 2, we present results from high-resolution radiative transfer simulations that were tuned to match the mean flux of the Lyα forest below redshift 6. In Section 3, we show that, as well as matching the distribution of mean fluxes measured along individual sightlines, these models can reproduce troughs as long as observed. In Section 4, we model the distribution of LAEs around one of our simulated troughs and in Section 5 we present a test to distinguish between a late reionization model and a scenario with a fluctuating UVB. Finally, in Section 6 we state our conclusions.

2 MODELLING REIONIZATION

To model the properties of the IGM at the end of reionization, we present here a carefully calibrated simulation of hydrogen reionization. We ran mono-frequency cosmological radiative transfer simulations in post-processing using ATON (Aubert & Teyssier 2008, 2010), using a set-up similar to Charlin et al. (2015). ATON is a GPU-based code that solves the radiative transfer equation using a moment-based method with M1 closure for the Eddington tensor (e.g. Levermore 1984; Gnedin & Abel 2001; Aubert & Teyssier 2008). We perform this post-processing on a set of density fields obtained from a hydrodynamic simulation run with Tree-PM SPH code P-GADGET3 (last described by Springel 2005). Our simulation has a box size 160 cMpc $h^{-1}$ and $2 \times 2048^3$ gas and dark matter particles. The initial conditions were taken from the Sherwood simulation suite (Bolton et al. 2017) and assume cosmological parameters from Planck Collaboration XVI (2014) with $\Omega_m = 0.308$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.692$, $h = 0.678$, $\Omega_b = 0.042$, $\sigma_8 = 0.829$, and $n = 0.961$. The gas particles have mass $m_{\text{gas}} = 6.4 \times 10^6 M_\odot h^{-1}$ and the dark matter particles have $m_{\text{DM}} = 3.4 \times 10^7 M_\odot h^{-1}$.

The gravitational softening length we assume is 3.1 kpc $h^{-1}$. We run these simulations using a simplified star formation scheme, whereby any gas particles with a density greater than 1000 times the mean cosmic baryon density and temperature less than $10^4$ K are immediately converted into stars (Viel, Haehnelt & Springel 2004). This allows us to lower the time required to run the simulation without significantly affecting the properties of the IGM.

As we are performing the radiative transfer in post-processing, we neglect the hydrodynamical back-reaction of the gas to the photoheating. To compensate for this by adding some pressure smoothing to our input density fields, we also include photoheating by the Haardt & Madau (2012) uniform UVB in our hydrodynamic simulation. We start the simulations at redshift 99 and run them down to redshift 4. To allow us to update our input density field in the radiative transfer simulations frequently, we take snapshots of the simulation every 40 Myr starting just below redshift 20. We search for haloes in the simulation on the fly using a friends-of-friends algorithm. As ATON requires the input density fields to be described on a Cartesian grid, we map the SPH particles on to a $2048^3$ grid (with cell size 78.125 kpc $h^{-1}$) using the SPH kernel. To assign sources in the simulation, we assume that each halo has an emissivity proportional to its mass (Iliev et al. 2006). The emissivity of each source is determined by summing the total mass of sources within our volume and dividing the assumed total emissivity within our volume among them, proportional to their masses. We take a minimum halo mass $10^7 M_\odot h^{-1}$. We find changing this minimum mass has hardly any effect on our results (once we have recalibrated the simulations to match the mean flux of the Lyα forest).

We calibrate the ionizing emissivity assumed in the simulation by running many simulations until the properties of our simulated Lyα forest (described later) agree well with the observations. As described above, the emissivity of each source is completely determined by the mass of the host halo and the volume emissivity we assume. When we calibrate the simulations, we only change the volume emissivity. This is equivalent to assuming some evolution in the properties of the sources, such as their escape fraction, but we do not explicitly treat these parameters. We make some changes with respect to the simulation presented in Kulkarni et al. (2019a), namely using a lower photon energy and a somewhat different emissivity evolution. The starting point for the emissivity evolution, which we modify as needed, is a galaxy-like component from Puchwein et al. (2019) and an increasing AGN-like contribution at lower redshifts. Although we do not model ionization by AGNs directly (we only model one class of source, with a spectrum assumed to be galaxy-like), we find that we need an increasing volume emissivity at lower redshifts to match the mean flux of the Lyα forest (described further below). We do not try to separate our volume emissivity into contributions from galaxy-like and AGN-like components, but only account for the total emissivity that we require to reproduce the Lyα forest statistics. We therefore leave a more detailed comparison of the relative contributions of galaxies and AGNs to the high-redshift UVB to future work. During reionization, we assume that all of our sources emit as 30 000 K blackbodies. This spectrum was chosen to provide reasonable agreement with measurements of the IGM temperature below redshift 6. This is equivalent to an average photon energy of 17.1 eV and a photoionization cross-section $3.9 \times 10^{-18}$ cm$^2$. We arrive at these numbers assuming the optically thick limit, assuming all photons are absorbed locally (Pawlik & Schaye 2011; Keating, Puchwein & Haehnelt 2018). This is likely a good approximation during reionization but will cause us to overestimate our photoheating after reionization has ended. For this spectrum, gas...
that is instantaneously ionized would reach a maximum temperature of approximately 13,000 K.

At lower redshifts, in a crude attempt to mimic the effects of the heating of the IGM by He II reionization (e.g. Becker et al. 2011), we increase the photon energy in our simulation. We do not explicitly treat two classes of sources (galaxies and AGNs) separately, but instead just try to capture the average effect of the evolution of the shape and amplitude of the UVB on the IGM. This change in the photon energy therefore applies to every photon in the simulation (both those emitted from sources and from recombinations). We begin increasing the energy at redshift 5.2 linearly, and by redshift 4 we use an average photon energy of 23.8 eV. For the intermediate redshifts, we interpolate between the values at $z = 5.2$ and 4 linearly with the cosmic expansion scale factor. Increasing the photon energy in this way is fully capturing the relevant physics, as any heating of the gas at these redshifts will come from recombinations, and we are not properly modelling e.g. the extra heating of the IGM by X-rays, so it is likely we will not recover an appropriate temperature–density relation as our voids will be underheated. We also change the photon energy of photons that have already been emitted from sources, which will not conserve energy. We also neglect the corresponding change in the photoionization cross-section as we focus on analysis of the Ly forest. We emphasize that the simulations were calibrated to match the heating of the IGM by He II reionization (e.g. Becker et al. 2015) long trough). However, as we also wish to look at the composition of the Lyman α forest spectra along sightlines along our output light-cone. The optical depth was computed using the approximate fit to Voigt profiles presented in Tepper-García (2006). We show the evolution of the mean flux in our simulations in Fig. 1 compared with observations from Becker et al. (2015) and Bosman et al. (2018). In this work, we only focus on analysis of the Lyman α forest down to $z \sim 5.5$ (the endpoint of the Becker et al. (2015) long trough). However, as we also wish to look at the Lyman β transmission along our simulated troughs, it is important to get the mean flux of the Lyman α forest close to the observed values at lower redshifts for adding in the contamination from the foreground Lyman α forest.

We show the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of effective optical depths in Fig. 2 compared with the distribution from Bosman et al. (2018). Here, we use the conventional definition $\tau_{\text{eff}} = -\log(F)$, where $\tau_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective optical depth and $F$ is the mean flux measured in 50 cMpc h$^{-1}$ segments of the Lyman α forest. We emphasize that the simulations were calibrated to match the observed mean flux, and once this was reproduced the agreement between the observed and simulated $\tau_{\text{eff}}$ CDFs came out naturally. Another compilation of effective optical depths is presented in Eilers (2018, however due to systematic differences between the two data sets it is not possible to find a single reionization history that fits both measurements at once. We therefore limit our study here to a comparison with the Bosman et al. (2018) compilation. To measure the $\tau_{\text{eff}}$ distributions, we create 500 realizations of the Bosman et al. (2018) sample and draw different sightlines from our sample in the same redshift distribution. We show the 15th and 85th percentiles of the different CDFs here. As in Kulkarni et al. (2019a), in this late reionization model we find good agreement with the observed sample, although we still fail to reproduce the high-opacity end of the distribution in the $z = 5.2$ redshift bin. This will be discussed later with reference to the incidence rate of long troughs in our simulations.

We present some further results from our simulation in Fig. 3. The top-left panel shows the assumed volume emissivity in our simulation down to $z \sim 4$ compared to observations from Bosman et al. (2018) at high redshift and Becker et al. (2015) at lower redshift. For comparison, we also show the mean flux of the simulation presented in Kulkarni et al. (2019a) (grey points) measured in the same way as in this work. As we construct these spectra from using sightlines along light-cones through the box, rather than by interpolating between snapshots at different redshifts, there is a small difference between the mean flux quoted here for Kulkarni et al. (2019a) and what was presented in that work.
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Figure 2. The cumulative distribution of effective optical depths in our model (blue region), compared to the observations from Bosman et al. (2018) (grey region). For the model, the shaded region denotes the 15th and 85th percentiles of 500 realizations of the CDFs. For the observations, the shaded area represents the ‘optimistic’ and ‘pessimistic’ bounds for the data.

Figure 3. Properties of our radiative transfer simulation (blue line) compared with the simulation presented in Kulkarni et al. (2019a) (grey dashed line). Top left: the evolution of the ionizing emissivity with redshift. Top middle: the filling fraction of ionized gas. Also shown are estimates from dark gap statistics of the Lyα forest (McGreer et al. 2015), quasar damping wing studies (Greig et al. 2017; Davies et al. 2018; Greig, Mesinger & Bañados 2019), and estimates from the fraction of Lyman break galaxies that show Lyα emission (Mason et al. 2018, 2019). Top right: the evolution of the H\textsc{i} photoionization rate measured in ionized regions compared with measurements from Becker & Bolton (2013), Calverley et al. (2011), and D’Aloisio et al. (2018). The black dotted line is the Haardt & Madau (2012) model. Bottom left: the evolution of the mean free path for photons at 912 Å as well as measurements from Worseck et al. (2014). Bottom middle: the mean temperature at mean density compared with estimates from Walther et al. (2019), Boera et al. (2019), Becker et al. (2011), and Bolton et al. (2012). Bottom right: the Thomson optical depth compared with the latest Planck results (Planck Collaboration 2018).

and the onset of He\textsc{ii} reionization, e.g. Haardt & Madau (2012; Kulkarni, Worseck & Hennawi 2019b; Puchwein et al. 2019). We further only measure the mean flux in spectra made along a lightcone taken in one direction through our simulation volume. If this exercise was repeated for a larger sample of sightlines, the required emissivity evolution would likely be somewhat different. Furthermore, as already mentioned, the different measurements of the mean flux in this redshift range by Bosman et al. (2018) and Eilers et al. (2018) are also not fully consistent within the quoted respective errors. We therefore caution against overinterpreting the emissivity evolution presented here.

Although a decline in the galaxy contribution to the UVB is predicted in models such as Haardt & Madau (2012) and Puchwein et al. (2019), it is difficult to find a physical interpretation for why the galaxy-like component should drop as rapidly as required here. It is perhaps also concerning that this rapid drop is required just as reionization ends in our simulations. However, the only other way to decrease the mean flux would be if the IGM was much colder,
and it would be equally difficult to explain why it should cool so quickly since it needs to be reasonably warm to achieve the observed mean flux at redshift 6. The AGN-like component that we invoke to match the mean flux at lower redshifts becomes dominant over the galaxies much earlier than in other, more physically motivated models (e.g. Puchwein et al. 2019) and is in tension with the shape of the UVB inferred from metal lines (Boksenberg, Sargent & Rauch 2003) and measurements of the ionizing emissivity of galaxies (Steidel et al. 2018) at somewhat lower redshifts. We leave a more careful investigation of the relation of the mean flux of the Lyα forest and the ionizing emissivity to future work, preferably using higher resolution simulations with more careful modelling of the gas temperature, and an improved measurement of the mean flux and the flux PDF.

The other panels of Fig. 3 compare our simulation to other properties of the IGM. In this model, the volume is 50 per cent ionized at \( z = 6.7 \) and 99.9 per cent ionized at \( z = 5.2 \). In general, the agreement between the model and the observed IGM properties is good. However, as discussed above, since we are not self-consistently modelling the QSO-like component of our emissivity, our temperatures below redshift 5 in the voids maybe be underestimated and our photoionization rate will be overestimated. We emphasize though that information from this redshift range is only included as the foregrounds to our Ly\( \beta \) forest modelling and does not affect our main conclusions. The main differences between the model presented here and Kulkarni et al. (2019a) are driven by the temperature of the gas. Our choice of a lower photon energy here brings the temperature at mean density in the simulations closer to the observations, however as discussed above this is at the expense of introducing a rather sharp drop in the evolution of the emissivity at \( z \sim 6 \). To achieve the same mean flux, the lower temperatures require a higher photoionization rate. This also seems to be closer to the observed values. We also show for comparison the photoionization rate from the Haardt & Madau (2012) model, as this was used when running the underlying hydrodynamic simulation. We caution though that the published temperature measurements rely heavily on calibration with numerical simulations that assume a homogeneous UVB, and do not account for the effects of inhomogeneous reionization and spatial fluctuations in the temperature–density relation (Furlanetto & Oh 2009; Lidz & Malloy 2014; D’Aloisio et al. 2015; Keating et al. 2018) which is likely to bias the published measurements low.

### 3 LONG TROUGHS

We next measure the longest trough along 250 000 sightlines along our extracted light-cone. We post-process the spectra to match the observations, by rebinning on to coarser pixels, convolving with an appropriate instrument profile and adding noise. We measure the length of the troughs by measuring out to a maximum redshift \( z_{\text{max}} \) of 5.879 (the high-redshift point of the Becker et al. 2015 trough). We then search for spikes in transmission below this redshift which we assume are regions of the spectrum that show transmission greater than the 1\( \sigma \) noise level for at least four consecutive pixels and that have a combined significance of more than 5\( \sigma \). We then define the regions in between these transmission spikes as the troughs, and record the longest trough measured for each sightline. As shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 4, our simulation does produce troughs in the Ly\( \alpha \) forest as long as the 110 cMpc\( h^{-1} \) trough of ULAS J0148+0600, however these are very rare in our simulation (approximately 1 in 10 000). In a recent paper, Giri et al. (2019) also presented an investigation of neutral islands at the end of reionization, and noted that they find islands long enough to reproduce the long trough of ULAS J0148+0600. Their simulation volume is much larger (714 cMpc versus 160 cMpc\( h^{-1} \) in this work) and is therefore more suited for studying the statistics of these long troughs at the end of reionization. Detailed comparisons with observations will however also require that the simulations are calibrated to match the properties of the Ly\( \alpha \) forest, such as in this work.

The long troughs we do find are as dark as measured by Becker et al. (2015), who estimated that the effective optical depth was greater than 7.2 along the absorption trough of ULAS J0148+0600 (middle panel of Fig. 4). We find that troughs with length up to \( \sim 80 \) cMpc\( h^{-1} \) have a relatively high incidence rate in the simulation, but the incidence rate falls rapidly above this point. The most natural explanation for this is that reionization is still ending too early in our simulations. As discussed above, there are 50 cMpc\( h^{-1} \) segments of the Ly\( \alpha \) forest that are observed to be totally opaque at \( z = 5.2 \) which our simulation does not reproduce. If reionization ended later, then the neutral islands would be longer and so would the associated troughs. We demonstrate this by moving the redshift at which we begin measuring the troughs at to a higher redshift (\( \Delta z = 0.1 \)). As shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 4, this has the effect of increasing the length of our simulated troughs and we now find
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Many more long than 110 cMpc$^{-1}$. However, we note that we were not successful in finding a model where reionization ended later while still matching the observed mean flux.

Another point worth making is that the size of our simulation volume is still small in the context of reionization studies (e.g. Iliev et al. 2014). We therefore do not have a large sample of neutral islands at low redshift in our simulation. This is shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 4 — all of the long troughs are clustered in one section of the box. This means that the deficit of long troughs in our simulations could be caused by an unfavourable geometry in these remaining neutral islands, i.e. they may just happen to not be very extended in the direction in which we extract our light-cone. A stronger statement about the incidence rate of long troughs for a given ionization history will therefore require larger volumes while still maintaining resolution comparable to the simulation presented here. We therefore leave a more detailed study of the incidence rate of these long troughs for future work.

An example of a long (98.2 cMpc$^{-1}$) trough is shown in Fig. 5, as well as the associated physical quantities of the gas along the trough. We find that the region spanned by the trough is coincident

---

**Figure 5.** Properties of a sightline containing a long (98.2 cMpc$^{-1}$) trough. The left-hand panel shows a 1D sightline and the right-hand panel shows 2D maps. The white dashed line on the right column corresponds to the redshift range where we measure the Ly$\alpha$ absorption trough. From top to bottom: the neutral hydrogen fraction, gas density, H$\text{i}$ photoionization rate, gas temperature, Ly$\alpha$ flux (left) and Ly$\alpha$ effective optical depth (right) and Ly$\beta$ flux (left) and Ly$\beta$ effective optical depth (right) measured over 50 cMpc$^{-1}$ segments. The grey shaded region on the left-hand panels shows the redshift range corresponding to the full width at half-maximum of the narrow-band filter used to search for LAEs.
with an island of neutral hydrogen. It is clear from this figure that the shape of the neutral islands is directly linked to the trough length, and one could imagine that a sightline taken at a small angle to the line of sight shown here would produce a longer trough. As seen in Fig. 5, the UVB is also lower in the vicinity of the neutral island, as it is only being illuminated by sources in one direction. This means that the completely neutral gas is surrounded by gas with an H\textsc{i} fraction of about $10^{-3}$, still neutral enough to saturate the Ly\textsc{\alpha} transmission. We also find Ly\textsc{\beta} transmission along the trough, as is observed. This can be explained by small pockets of lightly ionized gas within this neutral island. The photoionization rate inside these pockets is still low, however the gas is ionized enough to allow transmission of Ly\textsc{\beta} since Ly\textsc{\beta} has an oscillator strength approximately five times lower than Ly\textsc{\alpha}.

4 LYMAN-\textsc{\alpha} EMITTERS

A convincing model of the long trough in ULAS J0148+0600 must also explain the observed deficit of LAEs around that sightline. Since we construct our spectra along a planar light-cone taken at an angle wrapped through our simulation, we cannot make use of periodic boundary conditions to centre a simulated trough in the middle of the light-cone. We therefore pick the sightline we are interested in (in this case, the sightline shown in Fig. 5) and rerun the radiative transfer simulation to extract a new light-cone centred on this sightline. This means that our analysis of the LAEs around the trough is restricted to a single sightline. However, since the size of our volume is close to the field of view of HSC and also our longest troughs are co-spatial (Fig. 4) an analysis of more than one trough in the simulation would not really remove the effects of cosmic variance. We chose this trough because, although it is slightly shorter than the trough of ULAS J0148+0600, it showed Ly\textsc{\beta} emission with similar properties to the observed long trough, which shows only one Ly\textsc{\beta} transmission spike in the redshift range covered by the narrow-band filter used in Becker et al. (2018).

To model the LAEs around our simulated trough, we follow the method described in detail in Weinerberger, Kaehler & Kulkarni (2019). We note that this method is similar to the LAE modelling in Davies et al. (2018) and Becker et al. (2018), although as we will describe later we also take the effect of the local IGM opacity into account. We first assign UV luminosities to the dark matter haloes described later we also take the effect of the local IGM opacity into account. We first assign UV luminosities to the dark matter haloes based on its UV emission to each halo based on its UV magnitude to get the flux at rest-frame 1600 \AA, and assuming that $F_{\lambda} \propto \lambda^{-2}$. To model the Ly\textsc{\alpha} emission, we add a Gaussian emission line with an REW given by the prescription outlined above. As in Weinerberger et al. (2019), we also assume some velocity shift between the redshift of the host halo and the redshift of the Ly\textsc{\alpha} emission, which accounts for the complex radiative transfer of the Ly\textsc{\alpha} line out of the halo. We take this to be a constant factor times the circular velocity of the host halo, with the constant factor chosen to ensure good agreement with the rest-frame equivalent widths and luminosities of observed LAEs. We then attenuate the spectrum by multiplying by the normalized Ly\textsc{\alpha} transmission we calculate along each sightline. In this work, we found that a velocity shift of 1.4\,\text{km}\,\text{s}^{-1} was a good choice. Using these spectra, we then measure the magnitude of the LAEs in the r2 and NB816 bands and select LAEs that have NB816 $\lesssim 26.0$ and $r2 - NB816 \gtrsim 1.2$ as in Becker et al. (2018). We note that Becker et al. (2018) also use r2 band magnitudes as part of their selection process, but we cannot model that band here because our spectra do not extend down to sufficiently low redshift. We calculate the luminosity and rest-frame equivalent width for each LAE by integrating over our constructed LAE spectra. As in Weinerberger et al. (2019), the agreement between the observed and simulated LAEs is very good, i.e. our modelled LAE population agrees with the observed LAE luminosity function and rest-frame equivalent width distribution from the SILVERRUSH survey (Fig. 6), however we note that our box is too small to reproduce the brightest LAEs (seen by the rapid cut-off of our modelled luminosity function).

We next compare the spatial distribution of LAEs around our simulated trough (right-hand panel of Fig. 6). We show here the projected position of LAEs coloured by their NB816 magnitude. As in Becker et al. (2018), we find a large deficit of LAEs in the immediate vicinity of the trough. This is to be expected since the low-density regions will be the last to ionize. We therefore expect our remaining neutral islands to trace underdense regions of the IGM. Furthermore, since the Ly\textsc{\alpha} optical depth along the trough is high, we also expect the attenuation of Ly\textsc{\alpha} emission to be stronger in the region around the trough. In the left-hand panel of Fig. 7, we show the LAE surface density computed in annuli centred around the trough. The results from our simulated LAEs are shown in blue (again, the shaded regions mark the 15th/85th percentiles of our 50 different LAE realizations and the solid line is the median value). The results from Becker et al. (2018) are shown in black. Similar to the observations, we find that the surface
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The median mass of the LAEs that pass the colour cuts is about 10 per cent close to the trough (middle panel of Fig. 7). Here, the residual neutral islands. In both models, the underdense regions that produce the high-τ regions that, while still ionized, have a H I fraction high enough to saturate transmission of Ly α. We do not find that this is the case in our simulations, as once the ionized regions have percolated the mean free path becomes long and any fluctuations in the UVB are quickly washed away. Our late reionization model is still similar to the Davies & Furlanetto (2016) in that it is the underdense regions that host the high-τ sightlines. In our case however this is because reionization has not yet ended and the voids host the residual neutral islands. In both models, the underdense regions host the high-τ sightlines because they are far from the regions where the space density of ionizing sources is highest. Linking the Davies & Furlanetto (2016) model to a late reionization scenario removes the main issues with that explanation. First, that the mean free path needs to become much shorter at z > 5 than observed by Worseck et al. (2014) at redshift 5. In our model, the mean free path does decrease sharply above redshift 5 due to the residual islands of neutral hydrogen. Second, there is no obvious way to predict the redshift evolution of the mean free path in the Davies & Furlanetto model.

**5 COMPARISON WITH OTHER WORK**

As shown in Becker et al. (2018), the fluctuating UVB model presented in Davies & Furlanetto (2016) can also reproduce the deficit of LAEs in high-τ eff regions. In this case, a short mean free path leads to large fluctuations in the UVB. This produces regions that, while still ionized, have a H I fraction high enough to saturate transmission of Ly α. We do not find that this is the case in our simulations, as once the ionized regions have percolated the mean free path becomes long and any fluctuations in the UVB are quickly washed away. Our late reionization model is still similar to the Davies & Furlanetto (2016) in that it is the underdense regions that produce the high-τ eff sightlines. In our case however this is because reionization has not yet ended and the voids host the residual neutral islands. In both models, the underdense regions host the high-τ eff sightlines because they are far from the regions where the space density of ionizing sources is highest. Linking the Davies & Furlanetto (2016) model to a late reionization scenario removes the main issues with that explanation. First, that the mean free path needs to become much shorter at z > 5 than observed by Worseck et al. (2014) at redshift 5. In our model, the mean free path does decrease sharply above redshift 5 due to the residual islands of neutral hydrogen. Second, there is no obvious way to predict the redshift evolution of the mean free path in the Davies & Furlanetto model.
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Ideally one would still like to discriminate between the two models though, to answer if indeed the high-$\tau_{\text{eff}}$ regions are mildly ionized or completely neutral. One difference between the two scenarios is that the fluctuating UVB model predicts that the underdense regions will always coincide with high-$\tau_{\text{eff}}$ sightlines, and the low-$\tau_{\text{eff}}$ sightlines will lie in overdense regions (Davies et al. 2018). In a late reionization model though, the underdense regions will align with high-$\tau_{\text{eff}}$ sightlines only in the time before they have been ionized. Once the neutral islands have vanished, the voids should instead correspond to the lowest $\tau_{\text{eff}}$ regions, as most of the Ly $\alpha$ forest transmission is dominated by the voids. These recently ionized regions should also be very hot, suppressing recombinations and further enhancing transmission (D’Aloisio et al. 2015).

We present an example of two such sightlines in Fig. 8. The left-hand panel shows a map of $\tau_{\text{eff}}$ measured in 50 cMpc $h^{-1}$ segments centred on the redshift corresponding to peak transmission of the NB816 filter. The blue and red circles mark two regions of high and low $\tau_{\text{eff}}$. In the middle panel, we show the mean surface density of LAEs in that region. The surface density is taken to be inversely proportional to the square of the distance to the 10th nearest neighbour and the map has been smoothed with a Gaussian kernel. The red and blue circles correspond to the same regions as in the left-hand panel. It is clear that both of these regions show a deficit of LAEs, suggesting that both of these are underdense regions. This is shown explicitly in the right-hand panel, where we compare the surface density of LAEs as a function of radius for the two lines of sight. In the Davies & Furlanetto (2016) model, you would instead expect that the low-$\tau_{\text{eff}}$ region should show an overdensity of LAEs. This suggests that future observations searching for LAEs around sightlines that show significant Ly $\alpha$ forest transmission may be interesting for differentiating between these two models.

6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have shown here that long Gunn–Peterson troughs observed in the Ly $\alpha$ forest above redshift 5 can be explained by islands of neutral hydrogen in the late reionization scenario presented in Kulkarni et al. (2019a). We have demonstrated that this model also explains the significant deficit of LAEs detected around these high-opacity sightlines by Becker et al. (2018). While these observations can also be explained by a model in which the amplitude of the UVB is low in underdense regions, we argue that the model presented here is more consistent with the observed evolution of the mean free path for ionizing photons. We further present predictions for the distribution of LAEs around the low-opacity sightlines which should allow future observations to discriminate between these two scenarios. This however will still not be a definitive test of neutral gas in the IGM, so other tests should also be pursued (Malloy & Lidz 2015).

We note that the reionization model presented here still does not reproduce the most opaque sightlines in the range $5.1 < z < 5.3$ within the 15th/85th interval. Searching for more saturated sightlines at low redshifts would be useful in constraining whether these sightlines are rare. If they turn out not to be outliers, this may call for a reionization history that ends even later than shown here. This would also likely increase the incidence rate of long ($\sim 100$ cMpc $h^{-1}$) troughs. We note also that the temperature of the IGM is still a significant uncertainty in our models, as it can have a large effect on the mean flux (as the recombination rate and thus the effective optical depth for a given UVB amplitude depend rather strongly on temperature). More accurate models of the ionization history of the IGM would benefit from more estimates of the temperature at high redshift, perhaps also using methods that account for the pressure effects for spatial fluctuations of the temperature–density relation due to reionization (e.g. Oñorbe et al. 2019, Puchwein et al., in preparation).

As more high signal-to-noise Ly $\alpha$ forest sightlines are accumulated and measurements of the mean flux and flux PDF further improve, there will be benefit in moving from studies of integrated quantities such as the effective optical depth to more detailed studies of individual features. Future work modelling the occurrence rate and distribution of these troughs, as well as the transmission peaks between them, should provide more detailed constraints on the latter half of reionization (Chardin et al. 2018a; Garaldi, Gnedin & Madau 2019b). Tying these Ly $\alpha$ forest measurements to the galaxy population may also yield additional information such as the sources that contribute to reionization (Kakiichi et al. 2018), especially once JWST is operational. Studies utilizing also the Ly $\beta$ forest should allow to push constraints on the reionization history outside of the immediate vicinity of high-redshift quasars to $z \sim 6.5$ and beyond.
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