Diffusion Monte Carlo study of a spin-imbalanced two-dimensional Fermi gas with attractive interactions
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We probe the superconducting gap in the zero-temperature ground state of an attractively interacting spin-imbalanced two-dimensional Fermi gas with diffusion Monte Carlo simulations. A condensate fraction at nonzero pair momentum evinces a spatially nonuniform superconducting order parameter. Comparison with exact diagonalization studies confirms that the nonzero condensate fraction across a range of nonzero fermion pair momenta is consistent with nonexclusive pairing between majority and minority fermions, an extension beyond Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov theory.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the successes of condensed-matter physics is the description of the phenomenon of superconductivity by Bardeen, Cooper, and Schrieffer (BCS) [1] which described Cooper pair (an up and down-spin fermion) formation close to the Fermi surface in a many-body context. While only applicable for a certain class of systems, specifically those with an equal number of up- and down-spin fermions, BCS theory well describes many superconducting materials ancient [2,3] and modern [4,5], and so naturally numerous extensions have been proposed and considered. For example, for systems under the influence of a strong magnetic field, a partial alignment of the fermion spins leads to a population imbalance and a shift in the sizes of the Fermi surfaces. While in the BCS theory such a strong magnetic field would suppress superconductivity entirely [1], numerous proposals and extensions have arisen since then that might allow for such exotic pairing. For example, the minority-spin species could pay the kinetic energy cost to promote fermions up to the Fermi level of the majority species, breaching the so-called Chandrasekhar-Clogston limit [6,7], also referred to as the Pauli limit. Alternatively, pairing might form at the minority species Fermi level, leaving the fermions of the majority species above unpaired as in breached superconductivity [8–10]. Yet another possibility was proposed by Fulde and Ferrell (FF) [11] and Larkin and Ovchinnikov (LO) [12] where fermions remain at their respective Fermi levels and then pair from opposite sides, resulting in a Cooper pair with net momentum. The superconducting gap then oscillates at the concomitant wave vector with the FF phase having a single wave vector and the LO phase having two equal but opposite wave vectors.

Recent work extended the idea of pairing at nonzero net momentum further with the introduction of the communal pairing state [13,14] where the superconducting gap has peaks at multiple nonzero momenta. The key distinction between communal pairing theory and the Fulde-Ferrell-Larkin-Ovchinnikov (FFLO) family of pair density wave theories is that communal pairing theory by construction heavily features nonexclusive pairing between fermions at the Fermi surfaces of the minority and majority spin-species. This allows all of the fermions to participate in pairing, reducing the overall energy of the system through the contribution of correlation energy, compared with FFLO where pairing is one-to-one so not all the fermions on the majority spin-species are involved, presenting an opportunity to variationally include additional fermion states. Therefore, the optimal ratio of majority- to minority-spin fermions in the communal pairing phase is naturally predicted to be the ratio of the densities of states in momentum space at the Fermi surface, \( N_\uparrow / N_\downarrow = \nu_\uparrow / \nu_\downarrow [14] \).

While the formulation of BCS theory was preceded by the experimental observations of Onnes in 1911 [15,16], observation of spatially nonuniform pairing superconducting states in spin-imbalanced systems remains an experimental challenge despite considerable effort across a wide range of physical systems, including heavy fermion systems [17], iron-based superconductors [18–21], asymmetric d-wave superfluids [22], layered organic superconductors [23–26], layered superconductor-ferromagnet hybrid structures [27], quasicrystals [28], and ultracold atomic gases [29,30].

Organic superconductors in particular have been a key system of interest, emerging as the leading candidate for observation of spatially modulated superconductivity owing to their crystals growing relatively cleanly and thus granting the superconducting pairs a long mean free path compared with their coherence length [31], their high degree of customizability by attaching various functional groups in addition to doping, and their inherently quasi-two-dimensional structure, because low dimensionality is expected to enhance FFLO physics [32]. However, recent experimental developments in the field of ultracold atomic gases [33] promise new routes to the realization of and deep insight into the spatially nonuniform pairing state, with the technique already being used to probe Fermi gases with and without a strong spin imbalance [34,35]. The precise level of control and ability to impose spin imbalance without an applied magnetic field that may disrupt
superconductivity make ultracold atomic gases particularly attractive systems.

Another recent material that shows potential is the lanthanum superhydrides [36], conventional superconductors with high critical temperature and thus high critical field which may support superconducting states even with a spin imbalance and thereby manifest spatially nonuniform pairing. Beyond terrestrial experiments, theories of more exotic matter such as neutron superfluids in the crust of magnetars [37] and quark matter [38] also support the existence of superconducting states with spatially nonuniform pairing, and indeed the high-energy physics and quantum chromodynamics communities have long known of and been searching for such states [39].

Furthermore, the ongoing increase in computing speed and power has made it possible to simulate many-body quantum systems, affording us a fresh avenue of investigation into the pairing structure of these exotic systems. In the present study we focus exclusively on two-dimensional systems as low dimensionality is thought to enhance the stability of FFLO-like phases [40–42] and is the lowest dimension in which states different from FFLO but with a space varying gap parameter are predicted [13].

Diffusion Monte Carlo (DMC) simulations offer a fast method to study spin-imbalanced fermion gases [43–45]. It is exact except for a fixed node approximation and so includes all orders of correlators and loop diagrams. Furthermore, the temperature of the system can be kept constant, even at absolute zero, and so the fermion spins can be prevented from relaxing. Using this technique, we investigate fermion pairing at nonzero pair momenta, demonstrating a spatially nonuniform superconductor. Furthermore, the distribution of the condensate fraction in momentum space is similar to that predicted by communal pairing theory [14] and markedly different from that predicted by FFLO theory. This provides evidence that nonexclusive pairing is the ground state of the spin-imbalanced superconductor.

In the next section we introduce the Hamiltonian for the problem and summarize the numerical methods employed for this study; namely, variational Monte Carlo (VMC) and DMC. Results obtained for both the spin-balanced and spin-imbalanced cases are then presented in Sec. III, followed by a discussion of the effects of changing various simulation parameters. Conclusions are presented in Sec. IV.

II. QUANTUM MONTE CARLO

We use the CASINO code [46] to perform our quantum Monte Carlo study of the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_i \frac{\nabla_i^2}{2} + \sum_{i,j} V(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j),$$

where $i$ and $j$ index the fermions, $\mathbf{r}_i$ is the position vector of fermion $i$, and $V$ is the interaction potential. The fermions are of equal mass and we work in a combination of natural and Hartree units so $\hbar = c = e = m = 1$.

A. Pseudopotential

We use an ultratransferable pseudopotential (UTP) [47], a continuous, differentiable, piecewise defined polynomial that can be optimized to match the scattering phase shift of a known target potential over a range of incident momenta. The UTP is defined such that it is nonzero for distances less than a cutoff length $L_c$ and zero beyond. $L_c$ thus controls the extent of the potential in real space and in the Appendix is chosen to be equal to $r_s$, the average interparticle separation and the typical length scale above which a fermion could erroneously feel a potential from two other fermions simultaneously. The scattering length was chosen to be such that the superconducting coherence length was less than the simulation cell size and the effective range was fixed at zero.

B. Trial wave function

We follow after previous work [43] and employ a Slater-Jastrow trial wave function of the form $\Psi_T = e^{-J \phi(s)}$. The determinant ensures the correct fermionic spin symmetry. The pairing orbital $\phi(s)$ comprises plane-wave and polynomial expansions in the fermion separations $s$. The plane-wave part of the pairing wave function equals the exact Hartree-Fock ground-state wave function for the noninteracting fermion gas, and in the presence of attractive interactions, the polynomial component of the pairing orbital can shift the nodal surface to smoothly transform to a superconducting wave function. To capture additional fermion correlations, we include the Jastrow factor $J$ which is a function of all opposite-spin fermion separations comprising a short-range isotropic $u$ term, anisotropic $p$ terms [48], and a $\nu$ term [49] that reflects the simulation cell symmetry.

C. Monte Carlo and expectation values

VMC is used to optimize the trial wave function by finding the minimum energy with respect to its variational parameters. The trial wave function optimized by VMC was the starting point for DMC [46,50,51], which treats the Schrödinger equation as a diffusion equation in imaginary time and evolves the wave function to project out our best estimate of the ground state [52].

We probe the superconducting state by measuring the expectation values of the momentum density and the condensate fraction. The momentum density is the Fourier transform of the one-body density matrix and is defined as $n_{k,\sigma} = \langle c_{k,\sigma}^\dagger c_{k,\sigma} \rangle$. The condensate fraction is a modified form of the two-body density matrix and is defined explicitly as $f_q \equiv \sum_k \langle c_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger c_{k,\downarrow}^\dagger c_{k-q,\downarrow} c_{k-q,\uparrow} \rangle - n_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger n_{k,\downarrow}$. For the BCS wave function, it evaluates to $f_q = \delta_{q,0} (\tan^{-1} \frac{\mu}{|\Delta|} + \frac{\mu}{|\Delta|}) \rightarrow \delta_{q,0} \frac{|\Delta|}{8} \mu \gg |\Delta|$, providing an estimate of the superconducting gap $|\Delta|$.

D. Simulation setup and convergence

With the simulation methodology in place, the final step is to set up the system. The major considerations are the size and shape of the simulation cell that could lead to finite-size errors. Discussion of other simulation parameters including scattering length, pseudopotential cutoff length, DMC time step, and number of DMC walkers is deferred to the Appendix.
systems our simulations were free of finite-size effects. Therefore, in these paradigmatic studies varied from 26 to 164, which compares favorably with DMCM studies conducted on other systems \[45,55\]. Finally, by varying the number of particles simulated in our DMC studies varied from 26 to 164, which compares favorably with DMC studies conducted on other systems \[45,55\]. Finally, in the noninteracting and balanced system limits the results obtained compared favorably to known analytical results in the thermodynamic limit. Therefore, in these paradigmatic systems our simulations were free of finite-size effects.

### III. RESULTS

#### A. Spin-balanced BCS state

We start from the well-established spin-balanced BCS system to confirm the accuracy of our simulations, and later explore imbalance. To build our investigation from a solid platform, we first study a spin-balanced system with 37 spin-up and spin-down fermions. We select a scattering length \( a = 5.6r_s \) and effective range \( r_c = 0 \) to ensure that the superconducting coherence length is less than the size of the simulation cell.

The accumulated condensate fraction is shown in Fig. 1. The condensate fraction at \( q = 0 \) was eight sample standard deviations above zero while those at every other \( q \) point were within two sample standard deviations of zero. The reduction in energy of the interacting system compared with the noninteracting system meanwhile was 0.54\(E_F\), which agrees with that obtained from analytic calculation \[56,57\] and other numerical studies \[58\]. The results obtained for the spin-balanced case are therefore in line with theoretical expectations of BCS theory \[1\] and we proceed with confidence in the veracity of the simulations.

![Plot of condensate fraction in pair-momentum space for the spin-balanced case with 37 fermions of each species. The wave vectors are scaled in units of the reciprocal-lattice vector \( G \) and black lines denote the \( q \)-space grid.](image1)

**FIG. 1.** Plot of condensate fraction in pair-momentum space for the spin-balanced case with 37 fermions of each species. The wave vectors are scaled in units of the reciprocal-lattice vector \( G \) and black lines denote the \( q \)-space grid.

We employed two forms of simulation cell: a rhomboidal and square box, giving triangular and square tilings, respectively, in momentum space. Both geometries gave quantitatively similar results. A triangular lattice has the densest possible tiling of momentum points in two dimensions (2D), giving the closest to circular Fermi surfaces and thereby minimizing finite-size effects \[53,54\]. This was confirmed by varying the number of particles simulated in our DMC studies varied from 26 to 164, which compares favorably with DMC studies conducted on other systems \[45,55\]. Finally, in the noninteracting and balanced system limits the results obtained compared favorably to known analytical results in the thermodynamic limit. Therefore, in these paradigmatic systems our simulations were free of finite-size effects.

#### III. RESULTS

##### B. Spin-imbalanced superconducting state

Having confirmed the accuracy of DMC simulations in the spin-balanced case, we now turn to the simplest class of spin-imbalanced systems with a 2 : 1 ratio of states on the Fermi surfaces, and so communal pairing theory predicts a \((N_\uparrow, N_\downarrow) = (2, 1)\) communal pairing instability while FFLO theory predicts pairs with nonzero net momentum. We conduct our study on the triangular lattice with 61 spin-up and 19 spin-down fermions, and on the square lattice with 25 spin-up and 9 spin-down fermions. On both lattices we use \( a = 6.0r_s \) and \( r_c = 0 \).

1. **Momentum density**

We first examine the momentum density, with the results on the triangular lattice in Fig. 2. Both spin-species have momentum density close to unity beneath their respective Fermi momenta and close to zero above. A breached superfluid \[8–10\] would have the majority species exhibit depletion at the minority species Fermi momentum and a system crossing the Chandrasekhar-Clogston limit \[6,7\] would have finite momentum density of the minority species at the majority species Fermi momentum, so it is clear that the system has not relaxed into either of those possible states. Knowing this, we can now move on to study the emergence of superconductivity by examining the condensate fraction.

2. **Condensate fraction**

The condensate fraction for the spin-imbalanced system with 61 majority and 19 minority species fermions is shown.
In Fig. 3(a). Six major peaks in the condensate fraction are visible at the points $2G$ units away from the origin, where $G$ is the magnitude of the reciprocal-lattice vector. This is an observation in a first-principles simulation of pairing at finite momentum in two or more dimensions and so could be numerical evidence of a FFLO or other exotic spatially modulated pairing phase, but not the BCS phase. The result is qualitatively consistent with the spatially modulated pairing phase observed in experimental [30] and numerical studies of one-dimensional systems [60], and with few-particle studies [13,61]. We therefore now proceed to characterize the pairing to understand the correlations in the ground state.

The condensate fraction exhibits the sixfold rotational symmetry of the underlying momentum space lattice, in agreement with low-temperature studies of spin-imbalanced pairing [62,63] that predicts an increase in the number of pairing momenta, $q$, in the ground state. However, a key characteristic of the DMC results in Fig. 3(a) is that they show statistically significant pairing at several momenta, $q < 4G$.
The gray lines show the condensate fraction obtained when only one up- and one down-spin fermion is allowed, as in the family of FFLO theories. FF theory predicts a single peak at a particular $q$ [11], LO theory predicts two peaks at $q$ and $-q$ [12], and crystalline FFLO theory predicts multiple peaks for all $|q| = q_{\text{FFLO}}$ [62,63]. Our results confirm that having preselected for a single up- and down-spin fermion, the crystalline FFLO ground state is the most stable out of these, in line with previous results [62,63], with the condensate fraction equally shared by all symmetry-related pair-momentum vectors at this magnitude, as seen in Fig. 4. In the specific system studied here, $q_{\text{FFLO}} = 2G$, as seen in Fig. 5. To make a fair comparison between the DMC and exact diagonalization results, we have normalized the condensate fraction obtained from exact diagonalization so that the weighted squared deviation from the DMC results is minimized.

If communal pairing is considered instead, the results obtained from exact diagonalization of both $(N_{\uparrow}, N_{\downarrow}) = (2, 1)$ and $(3,1)$ are quantitatively similar to those obtained from DMC, with both sets of results exhibiting three key features. First and foremost, both have a nonzero condensate fraction at many values of $q$ including $q = 0$, an essential feature of communal pairing theory that is in contrast with the predictions of FFLO theory. This is a direct consequence of considering nonexclusive communal pairing. Second, both DMC and communal pairing have a global maximum at $q = q_{\text{FFLO}}$ because this corresponds to the paired fermions being at their respective Fermi levels and thereby minimizing their kinetic energy. Finally, both DMC and communal pairing curves exhibit a decay in the condensate fraction for $q > q_{\text{FFLO}}$ which is due to the increasing kinetic energy cost of the fermion pairs.

The quality of agreement between the communal pairing exact diagonalization results and the DMC data can be quantified by the ratio of the weighted sum of squared deviations of the DMC results from either set of exact results in Fig. 4 (azimuthal) or Fig. 5 (radial), where the weights are the sample variances of the DMC data. This test statistic shows that the DMC results obtained are 27 times better described by an underlying $(N_{\uparrow}, N_{\downarrow}) = (3, 1)$ communal state and 38 times better described by an underlying $(N_{\uparrow}, N_{\downarrow}) = (2, 1)$ communal state than by FFLO pairing. This provides strong evidence that the state observed in DMC is not only communal, but has the appropriate values of $(N_{\uparrow}, N_{\downarrow}) = (2, 1)$.

Similar results were obtained on performing exact diagonalization at the Fermi surface of the system with 25 and 9 fermions on the square lattice; FFLO theory predicts a four-fold degenerate peak at $q_{\text{FFLO}} = \sqrt{2}G$ and zero condensate fraction otherwise, while the communal exact diagonalization results for $(N_{\uparrow}, N_{\downarrow}) = (2, 1)$ and $q_{\text{FFLO}} = \sqrt{7}G$ also exhibited nonzero condensate over a range of momenta with a global maximum at $q_{\text{FFLO}}$. The test statistics obtained repeats the conclusion that the system is best described by a communal state with $(N_{\uparrow}, N_{\downarrow}) = (2, 1)$.

The mismatch between the DMC and communal exact diagonalization results, particularly at $q = 0$, may be due to a number of factors. First, exact diagonalization only accounts for a subset of the allowed momentum states without considering states above the Fermi surfaces and, second, that exact diagonalization was carried out for only two (FFLO), three, or four (communal) particles in total.

4. Changing spin imbalance

Following on from our analysis of the 61 up-spin and 19 down-spin system, we now study two examples of greater spin-imbalance on the triangular lattice shown in Fig. 6. 37 majority and 7 minority fermions were used to create a 3 : 1 ratio at the Fermi surfaces with $a = 5.6$ and $r_e = 0$. Peaks are clearly structured in a ring between $q = \sqrt{3}G$ and $q = 2G$ at 10 sample standard deviations above zero. Similarly, 61 majority and 7 minority fermions were used to create a 4 : 1 ratio at the Fermi surfaces with $a = 6.3$ and $r_e = 0$, and the condensate fraction once again forms a ring structure peaked from $q = \sqrt{7}G$ to $q = \sqrt{12}G$ at 7 sample standard deviations above zero. Pairing FFLO peaks cannot be seen, and a BCS peak is even more strongly suppressed than in the 2 : 1 imbalanced case. These systems both provide further strong evidence of a spatially modulated superconducting order parameter that is of the communal pairing rather than FFLO phase. A similar characterization exercise to that described above with comparison to exact diagonalization was conducted on both systems and the communal state indices determined. The system with a 3 : 1 ratio is most closely described by a $(N_{\uparrow}, N_{\downarrow}) = (3, 1)$ state and that with a 4 : 1 ratio by a $(N_{\uparrow}, N_{\downarrow}) = (4, 1)$ state.

5. Relationship between $N_{\uparrow}/N_{\downarrow}$ and $v_{\uparrow}/v_{\downarrow}$

We have shown the results from four exemplar systems in detail to demonstrate the emergence of spatially modulated pairing and provided evidence that the form of the spatial modulation observed is characteristic of communal pairing. The analysis was also repeated for 14 systems with other Fermi-surface ratios, for both the triangular and square lattices and at different system sizes, and the results analyzed to ascertain the communal state indices, $N_{\uparrow}$ and $N_{\downarrow}$. A summary of the 18 sets of results obtained is shown in Fig. 7.
The relationship between the ratio of communal state indices $N_\uparrow/N_\downarrow$ and Fermi-surface density of states ratios $\nu_\uparrow/\nu_\downarrow$ is well described by the line $N_\uparrow/N_\downarrow = \nu_\uparrow/\nu_\downarrow$, providing strong evidence for the communal pairing [13,14] over FFLO. The relationship is particularly strong when the ratio can be written containing small integers [13] to minimize the product $N_\uparrow N_\downarrow$, mitigating the energy penalty for states with high $N_\uparrow N_\downarrow$ [14]. The correlation coefficient between the gathered data and the line $N_\uparrow/N_\downarrow = \nu_\uparrow/\nu_\downarrow$ is $R^2 = 0.95$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have observed a spatially modulated superconducting state using DMC. Furthermore, the state is qualitatively different from a FFLO state [11,12], having condensate fraction peaks at multiple momenta, as opposed to the single peak expected for FFLO. Exact diagonalization studies provide corroborating evidence that the distribution of condensate fraction with momenta is more indicative of communal superconductivity [13,14] than traditional FFLO or crystalline FFLO superconductivity [62,63]. We have confirmed convergence of the state with respect to choices of system size, scattering length, pseudopotential cutoff length, DMC time step, and DMC walker population.

This numerical evidence that builds on previous analytical work [13,14] provides an interesting challenge for experiments to observe the communal state in physical systems. In real space the superconducting order parameter will exhibit a beat pattern due to the interference between similar $q$ vectors, which could allow the identification of the particular $q$ vectors in the superconductor. The order parameter and its spread in momentum could be determined in an ultracold atomic gas experiment through density-density correlations measured from time-of-flight experiments [64]. In contrast, FFLO and crystalline FFLO theories predict sharp peaks in the condensate fraction, as in spin-balanced BCS theory, at fixed magnitude of the pairing momenta.

Additionally, because the communal number pair $(N_\uparrow, N_\downarrow)$ is a function of the spin imbalance, multiple phase transitions through several superconducting phases should be observed as the imbalance is increased. Each transition is expected to be second order, so the communal superconducting phase would be characterized by a series of singularities in the heat capacity and the compressibility, which should be directly observable in ultracold atomic gases [65] as the spin-imbalance.

FIG. 6. Condensate fraction in momentum space for different ratios of majority to minority species fermions at the Fermi surfaces. 37 majority and 7 minority fermions create a $3 : 1$ spin imbalance at the Fermi surfaces and 61 majority and 7 minority fermions create a $4 : 1$ spin imbalance.

FIG. 7. Summary plot of the ratio of communal state indices $N_\uparrow/N_\downarrow$ to the ratio of densities of states at the respective Fermi surfaces, $\nu_\uparrow/\nu_\downarrow$. The red triangles indicate data taken on triangular momentum-space lattices and the blue squares indicate data taken on square momentum-space lattices. The bracketed number pairs indicate particle numbers for selected systems, with the color corresponding to the respective lattice type. The line $N_\uparrow/N_\downarrow = \nu_\uparrow/\nu_\downarrow$ is in green.
is changed. No such phase transitions are expected for the FFLO phase at fixed temperature.

An orthogonal line of questioning that may be of concern in real experiments is on the possible effects a nonzero effective range might have on the obtained results. Previous work [66] suggests that the obtained energy differences from the noninteracting state should increase towards zero, the condensate fraction should be constant over a wide range of scattering lengths, and the momentum density should become more sharply step-like.

Finally, the match with exact-diagonalization studies provides evidence that the elementary excitations above the proposed ground state are well described by the few-fermion analysis [13]. This should have novel consequences, especially concerning Andreev reflection experiments because the strong correlations between a group of fermions held in a communal state should result in multiple retroreflected holes for a single incident fermion, in sharp contrast with the single hole per fermion expected in normal FFLO theory.

Data used for this paper are available online [67].
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APPENDIX: SIMULATION PARAMETERS

It is of essential importance in any numerical study that the underlying distribution sampled from is well behaved to ensure the applicability of statistical measures such as sample variance. Here, histograms of the accumulated energy values from up to $10^7$ samples did not reveal any evidence of non-normal behavior and so the sample error is taken to be a good estimate of the true statistical error. We now explore the robustness of our conclusions against the choice of simulation parameters, specifically the scattering length, the pseudopotential cutoff length, the DMC time step, and the number of DMC walkers. The system was selected to have 61 majority- and 19 minority-spin fermions.

(a) Scattering length. The condensate fraction was found to be robust against large variation of the scattering length and therefore of the interaction strength, with the ratio of the condensate fraction held in the peaks of a given $q$ to that of the total condensate fraction summed over all $q$, $f_c$, holding roughly constant, despite the raw values of the condensate fraction getting larger at higher interaction strengths. This is shown in Fig. 8, where the constant $f_c$ indicates that the region of stability for the states of finite $q$ in 2D is much wider than corresponding region in the three-dimensional (3D) phase diagram [68,69].

(b) Pseudopotential cutoff length. The effect of altering the cutoff length $L_c$ of the UTP on the DMC energy was investigated, with the results shown in Fig. 9. In contrast with theoretical predictions, the DMC energy $E_{DMC}$ is shown to vary considerably with $L_c$, with high $E_{DMC}$ at low $L_c$ and vice versa, with an intermediate plateau. All data were gathered with a trial wave function that had the same number of variational parameters in the pairing orbital and the Jastrow factor, optimized for the specific potentials, with all other simulation parameters constant.

The high $E_{DMC}$ values obtained for low $L_c$ are primarily due to poor fit of wave function; because a low $L_c$ leads to a deep and rapidly varying UTP over a smaller region of space, the trial wave function should also include higher-order terms to reflect the rapid variation of the UTP. Limiting the number of variational parameters in order to make the results more easily comparable thus leads to a poorer fit of the wave function as $L_c$ decreases, resulting in the ground state not being adequately projected by DMC and increasing the energy. In addition, the deep, rapidly varying UTP results in a greater spread of values for the local energy, leading to a higher sample variance. For $L_c = 0.125r_s$ (not in figure), the variation in local energies was wild enough that it eventually led to extinction of all walkers through the DMC branching factor, and as such no data could be gathered under the simulation parameters selected for all other values of $L_c$.

The low $E_{DMC}$ values obtained for high $L_c$, in contrast, are due to higher-order interactions beyond pairing, because the UTP now extends over a large-enough region that the formation of larger correlated structures is possible. These higher-order interactions further decrease the energy and indicate a breakdown of the UTP’s ability to emulate a contact...
interaction, which should only result in pair point interactions for a reasonable fermion density.

It is desirable to have an easily optimized wave function with a low variance and no evidence of three-body effects. Therefore, an intermediate value of \( L_e = r_e \) was chosen for all other tests and simulations.

(c) DMC time step. The DMC algorithm is only exact in the limit of zero time step \( \tau \). However, the computational effort required to achieve a given error bar scales as \( 1/\tau \), so it is not feasible to use infinitesimally small time steps. For sufficiently small \( \tau \), the DMC energy varies linearly with the time step, \( E_{\text{DMC}}(\tau) = E_0 + \kappa \tau \) where \( E_0 \) is the true ground-state energy. Hence, if the linear regime can be identified, it is possible to extrapolate the DMC results down to zero time step, and efficient algorithms have been proposed for this [70–72].

We follow the algorithm in Ref. [71] to extrapolate to zero time step by using the results shown in Fig. 10. Taking the maximum time step of the linear regime to be \( \tau_2 = 0.20 \), we set \( \tau_1 = \tau_2/4 \), and use a total number of steps \( T_1 = 2.5 \times 10^7 \) and \( T_2 = T_1/8 = 3.125 \times 10^6 \), respectively, to obtain an energy of 0.48684(2).

(d) DMC walkers. The DMC algorithm makes use of the drift-diffusion of a regulated number of walkers for a specified amount of time to obtain expectation values of physical observables. The total computation time \( T \) therefore is a function of not only the time-averaged number of DMC walkers, \( W_{\text{DMC}} \), but also of the number of time steps, \( N \), as \( T = W_{\text{DMC}}N \). The effect of varying \( W_{\text{DMC}} \) while keeping \( T \) constant was investigated and the results are shown in Fig. 11. The DMC energy does not vary significantly even as \( W_{\text{DMC}} \) spans several orders of magnitude while the sample variance decreases for \( W_{\text{DMC}} > 2000 \). It is thus preferable to have a high number of walkers propagated a few steps forward in imaginary time than to have a small number of walkers propagate for a long imaginary time.

![Graph of DMC energy \( E_{\text{DMC}} \) against DMC time step \( \tau \). Raw data is presented in red with a best fit line for the linear regime in dashed blue.](image1)

![Graph of DMC energy \( E_{\text{DMC}} \) against the Log of the number of DMC walkers \( W_{\text{DMC}} \) for a fixed product of the number of walkers and number of steps, \( W_{\text{DMC}}N = 2.5 \times 10^7 \).](image2)
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