Signatures of adaptation to a monocot host in the plant-parasitic cyst nematode *Heterodera sacchari*

Somnath S. Pokhare¹², Peter Thorpe³⁴, Pete Hedley¹, Jennifer Morris³, Samer S. Habash¹, Abdelnaser Elashry¹#*, Sebastian Eves-van den Akker⁵, Florian M.W. Grundler¹ & John T. Jones³⁶,*

¹Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation, Department of Molecular Phytomedicine, University of Bonn, 53115 Bonn, Germany.
²Crop Protection Division, ICAR-National Rice Research Institute, Cuttack 753006, Odisha, India.
³The James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee, DD2 5DA, UK
⁴School of Medicine, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews, KY16 9TZ
⁵Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 3EA,
⁶School of Biology, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews, KY16 9TZ

*Corresponding author: john.jones@hutton.ac.uk

Running title: Parasitism by *Heterodera sacchari*

Key words: CLE peptides, Effectors, *Heterodera sacchari*, transcriptomics

#Current address: Strube Research GmbH & Co., Neue Str. 11, 38838 Schlanstedt, Germany
Summary

Interactions between plant-parasitic nematodes and their hosts are mediated by effectors; secreted proteins that manipulate the plant to the benefit of the pathogen. To understand the role of effectors in host adaptation in nematodes we analysed the transcriptome of *Heterodera sacchari*, a cyst nematode parasite of rice and sugarcane. A multi-gene phylogenetic analysis showed that *H. sacchari* and the cereal cyst nematode *Heterodera avenae* share a common evolutionary origin and that they evolved to parasitise monocot plants from a common dicot-parasitic ancestor. We compared the effector repertoires of *H. sacchari* with those of the dicot parasites *H. glycines* and *Globodera rostochiensis* to understand the consequences of this transition. While, in general, effector repertoires are similar between the species, comparing effectors and non-effectors of *H. sacchari* and *G. rostochiensis* shows that effectors have accumulated more mutations than non-effectors. Although most effectors show conserved spatiotemporal expression profiles and likely function, some *H. sacchari* effectors are adapted to monocots. This is exemplified by the plant-peptide hormone mimics, the CLAVATA3/EMBRYO SURROUNDING REGION-like (CLE) effectors. Peptide hormones encoded by *H. sacchari* CLE effectors are more similar to those from rice than those from other plants, or those from other plant-parasitic nematodes. We experimentally validate the functional significance of these observations by demonstrating that CLE peptides encoded by *H. sacchari* induce a short root phenotype in rice, whereas those from a related dicot parasite do not. These data provide a functional example of effector evolution that co-occurred with the transition from dicot-parasitic to a monocot-parasitic lifestyle.
Introduction

Plant-parasitic nematodes cause damage to world agriculture valued at approximately $80 billion each year (Nicol *et al.*, 2011; Jones *et al.*, 2013). However, many growers (particularly in developing nations) are unaware of the damage caused by plant-parasitic nematodes because they are small, soil-dwelling and cause non-specific symptoms. The true extent of the damage caused by plant-parasitic nematodes is therefore likely to be considerably higher than this. The cyst forming nematodes (*Heterodera* and *Globodera* spp.) are one of the most damaging plant-parasitic nematode groups. These sedentary endoparasitic pathogens are obligate biotrophs and induce the formation of a large multinucleate, metabolically active syncytium in the roots of their hosts (reviewed in Perry, Moens & Jones, 2018). The syncytium is formed by progressive fusion of cells from an initial syncytial cell selected by the nematode after invasion of the host (Sobczak & Golinowski, 2011). Syncytium formation is associated with profound changes in host gene expression and modulation of the cell cycle (*e.g.* Siddique & Grundler, 2018).

The interactions between plants and their pathogens, including cyst nematodes, are mediated by effectors; secreted proteins that manipulate the host to the benefit of the pathogen. Effectors from cyst nematodes are primarily produced in the dorsal and subventral pharyngeal gland cells and are secreted into the host via the stylet. The availability of genome and/or transcriptome resources from a range of cyst nematodes (*e.g.* Gao *et al.*, 2003; Cotton *et al.*, 2014; Kumar *et al.*, 2014; Zheng *et al.*, 2015; Eves van den Akker *et al.*, 2016) has facilitated identification of effectors from these species. Strategies for identifying effectors from genome and transcriptome resources include identifying secreted proteins that are upregulated at parasitic stages of the nematode (*e.g.* Thorpe *et al.*, 2014; Espada *et al.*, 2016) or direct sequencing of mRNA extracted from aspirated gland cells (Maier *et al.*, 2013) followed in both cases by *in situ* hybridisation to confirm expression in the pharyngeal gland cells. More recently, it has been shown that promoters associated with genes expressed in the gland cells can be used to identify comprehensive lists of effectors from diverse PPN species (Eves-van den Akker & Birch, 2016; Espada *et al.*, 2018). As a result of these studies, effectors have been identified from a wide range of PPN with subsequent functional studies showing that they have roles in various stages of the plant-nematode interaction including metabolism of the plant cell wall to facilitate invasion and migration, suppression of host defences and initiation of the syncytium (reviewed in Jones & Mitchum, 2018).

The plant cell wall is the first significant barrier that any invading pathogen, including plant-parasitic nematodes, will need to overcome to infect a plant and plant-parasitic nematodes are well equipped with proteins that allow them to metabolise the plant cell wall. The first effector identified from any plant-parasitic nematode was a beta 1,4 endoglucanase (cellulase) from the potato cyst nematode *Globodera rostochiensis* (Smant *et al.*, 1998) and a range of cell wall degrading and modifying proteins...
have subsequently been identified as cyst nematode effectors including pectate lyase (Popeijus et al., 2000), GHF43 Arabinase (Cotton et al., 2014), GH53 Arabinogalactan endo- 1,4 beta galactosidase (Vanholme et al., 2009), expansins (Qin et al., 2004) and proteins encoding carbohydrate binding domains (Hewezi et al., 2008). All of these genes, as well as others encoding chorismate mutase (Jones et al., 2003) and proteins potentially involved in vitamin biosynthesis (Craig et al., 2008), have been acquired by horizontal gene transfer from bacteria (reviewed in Kikuchi et al., 2017). Cyst nematode effectors have also been identified that suppress host defence responses, most notably several members of the SPRYSEC family of effectors (Postma et al., 2012; Mei et al., 2015) and a modified ubiquitin extension protein (Chronis et al., 2013). The details of how cyst nematodes induce the formation of their syncytium in the roots of their hosts are less clear, although effectors that are likely to be important in this process have been characterised. Another novel effector (19C07) has been identified from Heterodera glycines and Heterodera schachtii that interacts with the LAX3 auxin influx transporter (Lee et al., 2011). In addition, all cyst nematodes studied to date produce effectors that include variable numbers of C-terminal repeats encoding peptides similar to CLAVATA3/EMBRYO SURROUNDING REGION-like (CLE) peptides. Functional studies have shown that the nematode peptides can complement mutant Arabidopsis lacking these peptides (Wang et al., 2005). The CLE proteins are modified by plant cell machinery in a manner similar to that of the endogenous proteins and the CLE peptides themselves subsequently interact with the CLAVATA2 receptor protein, which is required for nematode parasitism (Replogle et al., 2011; Replogle et al., 2013). In plants, CLE peptides regulate cell differentiation and thus contribute to the control of meristem maintenance in shoots, roots and vascular tissues. The ability to produce endogenous CLE peptides is likely to be a key factor in the ability to induce a feeding structure in plants.

Many plant species are parasitised by cyst nematodes, including monocots and dicots. However, each individual species of cyst nematode tends to have relatively narrow host range, with some exceptions. Although little is known about the molecular determinants of host range in plant parasitic nematodes, effectors have been shown to have a central role in this process in other pathogens (reviewed by Stam et al., 2014). A view has emerged “non-host resistance” of closely related species is most likely due to recognition of effectors by a resistance gene, while failure to infect a more distantly related species is most likely due to the incompatibility of effectors with their cognate targets (Schulze-Lefert & Panstruga, 2011). For example, comparisons of the genomes of Phytophthora infestans and a closely related species Phytophthora mirabilis, which infects Mirabilis jalapa revealed that 82 of 345 genes which showed signs of positive selection could encode effector sequences (Raffaele et al., 2010). Subsequent work on orthologous effectors that encode protease inhibitor from the two species showed that the protease inhibitor effectors from each of these species interact specifically with
protease targets from their respective host plants (Dong et al., 2014). Host-pathogen co-evolution is therefore reflected in adaptations of effectors for function in the host. 

*Heterodera sacchari* is an increasingly economically important pathogen of several monocot species including rice and sugarcane. Crop losses due to *H. sacchari* can exceed 40%, with more severe damage reported under rain fed upland conditions (Kyndt et al., 2014). Compared to other characterised cyst nematodes, the biology of *H. sacchari* is unusual in that it is restricted to monocots and reproduces mainly by mitotic parthenogenesis (CABI, 2014). Here we sequenced and assembled the transcriptome of *H. sacchari* and use these data to reconstruct the evolutionary history of this and related species. These data suggest that *H. sacchari* and a related monocot parasite *H. avenae* evolved to parasitise monocot plants secondarily, from a dicot-parasitic ancestor. To explore the genetic changes associated with the evolutionary transition from dicot to monocot parasite, we identified homologues of previously identified effectors in *H. sacchari*. We show that in general the effectors have diversified in sequence more than non-effectors when compared to their most similar homologue in a dicot-parasite, and that while some effectors show conserved expression profiles and likely function, specific aspects of the effector repertoire of *H. sacchari* appear to be adapted to monocots.

**Results and Discussion**

**The transcriptome of *H. sacchari***

A total of 17,086,132 paired end reads were obtained from the cDNA extracted from second stage juveniles (J2) and parasitic stage nematodes 15 days after infection. These sequence reads have been submitted to SRA (accession number PRJEB28025). The sequence reads were pooled and assembled into a single reference transcriptome of 44,230 transcripts after filtering with Transrate and removing contaminants. Assemblies are available at [https://zenodo.org/deposit/1324265](https://zenodo.org/deposit/1324265). CEGMA analysis showed that 88% of the core eukaryotic genes were present as full-length transcripts, with a further 6% represented by partial length transcripts. BUSCO analysis using the metazoan data set suggests that the assembly contains 76% complete BUSCO sequences and a further 6.0% fragmented sequences. Seventeen percent of the BUSCO genes were not identified. Comparisons with published gene models from cyst nematode genome sequences, showed that 34,203 (77.3%) and 30,482 (68.9%) of the *H. sacchari* transcripts matched sequences in *G. rostochiensis* and *G. pallida* respectively (evalue $1 \times 10^{-10}$). Taken together, these data suggest that the assembly produced represents a large proportion of *H. sacchari* transcriptome of these life stages.

*H. sacchari* evolved to parasitise monocots from a dicot-parasitic ancestor.

We used a subset of 96 core eukaryotic genes conserved in *H. sacchari* and 18 related species to reconstruct a multi-gene phylogeny (Figure 1). This phylogeny robustly positions *H. sacchari* and the
related cereal cyst nematode *H. avenae* in a mono-phyletic sub-clade of monocot parasites, nested within a clade of related dicot-parasites of the genera *Heterodera* and *Globodera*. The most parsimonious explanation for this is that 1) *H. sacchari* and *H. avenae* share a common, monocot-parasitic, ancestor, and 2) this last common monocot-parasitic ancestor evolved to parasitise a monocot host secondarily, from a dicot-parasitic ancestor. This provides the comparative framework to explore the genes conserved, and the genes diverged, during adaptation to monocot parasitism by nematodes.

**Genes encoding cell wall modifying enzymes acquired via horizontal gene transfer in the *H. sacchari* transcriptome**

The plant cell wall is the first significant barrier to an invading pathogen, and while largely similar between dicots and monocot, there are noticeable differences in composition. Plant-parasitic nematodes in general are well equipped with proteins that allow them to modify and degrade specific components of the plant cell wall. Many of these genes were acquired via horizontal gene transfer from bacteria (Danchin et al., 2010). The transcriptome of *H. sacchari* contains representatives of most previously described cases of horizontal gene transfer in related plant-parasitic nematodes, including a wide range of cell wall degrading enzymes (Table 1) and several other sequences putatively acquired by horizontal gene transfer (e.g. the GH32 invertases and chorismate mutase (Danchin et al., 2016; Jones et al., 2003)). Analysis of the expression profiles of one of the *H. sacchari* GHF5 cellulases and the chorismate mutase showed that, as in other cyst nematodes, expression was restricted to the subventral pharyngeal gland cells in J2s and while the cellulase was upregulated at J2 the chorismate mutase was expressed throughout the life cycle (Figure 2). These sequences may therefore play a similar role in the biology of *H. sacchari* and other cyst nematodes.

Notably however, both the *H. sacchari* and *H. avenae* transcriptomes lack sequences similar to GH53 Arabinogalactan endo-1,4 beta-galactosidase, in spite of the fact that all other cyst nematodes analysed to date, which parasitise dicots, have such proteins. While the absence of evidence in transcriptome datasets is not necessarily evidence of absence in the genome, it nevertheless reflects the host range of these species. Cell walls of commelinoid monocots (which include the main hosts of *H. sacchari* and *H. avenae*) have a different composition compared to those of dicots and contain relatively low amounts of pectic polysaccharides, including the substrate of the GH53 enzymes (Vogel, 2008). Without genomic resources we cannot conclude whether the conspicuous absence in the transcriptomes of the monocot parasites is because these genes have been lost entirely or that they are not expressed under these conditions.
An overview of *H. sacchari* effector-like sequences

Effectors modulate plant process to promote disease, and are often finely tuned to their host. To determine whether the effector repertoire of *H. sacchari* reflects its secondary adaptation to a monocot host, we first identified and characterised effectors in the *H. sacchari* transcriptome by building on a detailed genome wide analysis of cyst nematode effectors performed for *G. rostochiensis* (Eves-van den Akker *et al.*, 2016a). Using *G. rostochiensis* effectors as a starting point for comparative purposes, 185 of the 295 identified a similar sequence in the *H. sacchari* transcriptome (at e value <1e−10). We compared the similarity of *H. sacchari* effector-like sequences and non-effector-like sequences to their most similar homologue in the *G. rostochiensis* genome. This showed that, on average, putative effectors of *H. sacchari* are more different to their closest homologue in *G. rostochiensis* than non-effectors are to their corresponding closest homologue (Figure 3). Taken together, these data suggest that while most effectors are apparently conserved, they have nevertheless accumulated more mutations that than non-effectors since these species diverged. Further analysis of the differences between the variation in effectors and non-effectors was undertaken. 21% of the mutations were classed as nonsynonymous for the putative effectors, versus 22% for other transcripts, however, non-synonymous SNPs in the putative effectors were more often predicted to have a significant effect on the coding sequence compared to other transcripts: 0.93% vs 0.82% were classed as “high impact” for putative effectors and other transcripts respectively. All of the following high impact categories were more common in the putative effectors compared to other transcripts: gain of premature start codon, in frame deletions, frameshift variants, start loss, stop gain. Moreover, the rate of variants (i.e. length of transcript/ number of variants) was significantly higher for the putative effectors versus non-effectors (mean=1754 vs mean=1243 for putative effectors vs other transcripts respectively, Mann Whitney U test: 1.98e-41).

Consistent with this observation, analysis of effectors from a range of pathogens, including PPN, has shown that they are under strong diversifying selection pressure. For example, whole genome resequencing of five pathotypes of *G. rostochiensis* showed that effectors contain significantly more variants and more nonsynonymous variants per gene than do randomly selected non-effector genes (Eves-van den Akker *et al.*, 2016a). On a more detailed scale, the SPRYSEC effector (RBP1) from *G. pallida*, which is recognised by the Gpa2 resistance gene of potato, has been subjected to positive selection at several different residues, including the residue that determines recognition or evasion by Gpa2 (Sacco *et al.*, 2009).

The SPRY domain family is greatly expanded in cyst nematodes with 295 sequences predicted in *G. pallida* of which approximately 30 have a signal peptide (and thus encode SPRYSEC effectors) (Cotton *et al.*, 2014), and 71 sequences in *G. rostochiensis* of which 17 may be SPRYSEC effectors (Eves-van den Akker *et al.*, 2016a). While we find a similar proportion of SPRYs to SPRYSECs in *H. sacchari* (80
SPRY domain encoding transcripts and 6 SPRYSECs), with similar spatial expression in the dorsal pharyngeal gland cell (Figure 4), the temporal expression is unusual. At least two _H. sacchari_ SPRYSECs are upregulated in parasitic stage nematodes (Figure 4) and this contrasts with the _G. pallida_ SPRYSECs which tend to be upregulated in J2s (Mei _et al._, 2015). Several SPRYSECs have been shown to suppress host defence signalling (Postma _et al._, 2012; Mei _et al._, 2015; Mei _et al._, 2018). What role the _H. sacchari_ SPRYSECs play in infection is yet to be determined.

Other notable peculiarities in the effector repertoire of _H. sacchari_ are the HYP effectors. The HYP effectors, first identified in _G. pallida_ and _G. rostochiensis_, are secreted from the gland cells surrounding the main anterior sense organs and show unprecedented variability between individuals (Eves-van den Akker _et al._, 2014). The HYP effectors are strongly upregulated in parasitic stages of _G. pallida_ and can be subdivided into three subfamilies based on the presence and type of subfamily-specific tandem repeats. The transcriptome of _H. sacchari_ contains two full length HYP-like transcripts (primarily represented in the 15 days post infection library) with unusual characteristics. A phylogenetic analysis of the _H. sacchari_ HYP-like sequences with all other known full-length HYP effectors (n = 65) shows that the _H. sacchari_ HYP-like sequences group in a separate subfamily (named Subfamily HYP-0, Figure 5). Comparison of the _H. sacchari_ predicted sequences with those from _G. pallida_ shows that these sequences have both highly conserved regions that flank the variable domain but they are the first to encode a major structural variant outside the hypervariable domain, and the region corresponding to the “hypervariable domain” contains a novel sequence that has a very limited repeat structure (sequence features summarised in Figure 5).

**Specialisation of _H. sacchari_ CLE-like effectors to parasitism of a monocot host**

One class of _H. sacchari_ effectors shows signs of adaptation to a monocot host. The CLAVATA3/EMBRYO SURROUNDING REGION-like (CLE) effectors mimic plant peptide hormones and have been characterised in a number of plant-parasitic nematodes. The transcriptome of _H. sacchari_ contained several partial transcripts that encode proteins with similarity to CLE-like effectors from other plant-parasitic nematodes. Using a novel approach based on identifying reads that map to partial transcripts and carrying out a local assembly, we were able to computationally assemble six unique transcripts to recapitulate the full length open reading frame, resulting in 5 unique polypeptide sequences from methionine to stop. As for other cyst nematodes, the _H. sacchari_ sequences each encoded a signal peptide at the N-terminus followed by an N-terminal domain (Figure S2), which in other cyst nematodes enables translocation into the apoplast after the protein is secreted into the plant cell (Wang _et al._, 2010a). All six of these _H. sacchari_ transcripts encode a single canonical CLE domain at their C terminus. Another transcript (DN37996_c0_g2_i1) encodes a tandemly repeated motif with no clear homology to canonical CLE domains (despite the similarity of the rest of the protein
sequence to CLE-effectors of other cyst nematodes). The six *H. sacchari* CLE-effector-like sequences can be divided into two groups based at least in part on their signal peptide and CLE domains. The CLE domains within each group are identical in protein and nucleic acid sequence (Figure 6A).

Given that CLE peptides vary between plant species in general, and monocots and dicots in particular, we hypothesised that the CLE domains of CLE-like effectors in *H. sacchari* may have specialised prior to/concurrent with the transition from dicot- to monocot-parasite. To test this hypothesis, we analysed a database of 391 CLE peptides from 20 plant species collated from Zhang et al. (2014) and Oelkers et al. (2008). We created an all by all matrix of similarity between plant CLE peptides and *H. sacchari* CLE peptides based on a normalized BLOSUM62 score. We then used this matrix to generate a CLE similarity network (Figure 6B), highlighting the host (*Oryza sativa*) and the nematode (*H. sacchari*) CLEs. Three of the *H. sacchari* CLEs form part of a well-connected cluster containing 14 other CLEs, 8 of which are from rice. On closer inspection, we found that three of these *H. sacchari* CLEs (Hsac_DN37996_c0_g4_i1, Hsac_DN35920_c0_g3_i1, and Hsac_DN35920_c0_g2_i1) were sequence-identical mimics of eight rice CLEs (OS_GEN_Os01g55080_1, OSEST_NP890021_1, OSEST_TC269510_1, OSEST_TM108976_1, OSEST_CA758496_1, OS_GEN_Os01g48230_1, OS_GEN_Os01g48260_1, OSEST_TC271220_1) in the terminal 13 amino acids of its CLE domain. The 6 non-rice plant CLEs within this cluster are from Arabidopsis and *Populus*, but none have direct connections with *H. sacchari* CLEs. Three other *H. sacchari* CLEs (Hsac_DN37996_c0_g1_i1, Hsac_DN49341_c0_g1_i1, Hsac_DN35920_c0_g1_i1) form a second small cluster with one other rice CLE (OS_GEN_Os05g48730_1). *H. sacchari* CLEs are the only nematode CLEs with a connection to a rice CLE and the only connections between *H. sacchari* CLEs and plant CLEs are with rice. Interestingly, there are several other sequence divergent CLE clusters in rice with no corresponding *H. sacchari* CLE, suggesting selective mimicry of a subset of this family. It is known that CLE family members in dicots have diverse roles (*e.g.* Mitchum et al., 2008) and mimicry of a subset of rice CLEs by *H. sacchari* may reflect the need to target the function of a subset of the full rice CLE complement.

The functional significance of the similarity between the *H. sacchari* CLE peptides and those from rice was experimentally investigated by taking advantage of the short root phenotype observed from overexpression or exogenous application of CLEs (*e.g.* Fiers et al., 2004 and Chen et al., 2015). We synthesised a synthetic version of the *H. sacchari* CLE peptide that had the highest connectivity with rice CLEs in the network (sequence identical to the rice CLEs in the 13 terminal amino acids). In order to confirm that this is indeed a true *H. sacchari* sequence we cloned the gene encoding this peptide from *H. sacchari* gDNA (Figure S3). We analysed the effect of the peptide when applied exogenously to rice seedlings on root growth when compared to a randomised version of this CLE, or a CLE from...
the dicot-parasitic *H. glycines* (Wang et al., 2005). This analysis showed that the peptide from *H. sacchari* induced a short root phenotype in rice whereas peptides from *H. glycines* and a shuffled peptide used as a control had no effect (*n*=24 per condition, *p* <0.001, Tukey’s HSD, Figure 7).

**Conclusions**
We use whole transcriptome sequencing to show that *H. sacchari* and the related *H. avenae* evolved to parasitise a monocot host from a last common dicot-parasitic ancestor. We mine these data to identify and characterise the cell wall degrading enzyme and effector complement of *H. sacchari*. Finally, we show that while *H. sacchari* has a similar effector arsenal to the related cyst nematodes that parasitise dicots, the CLE effectors provide a paradigm of functional adaptation for parasitism of a monocot host. These data build a foundation on which to explore novel effectors involved in monocot-parasitism.

**Materials and Methods**

**Biological material**
*Heterodera sacchari* was cultured on rice cv Nipponbare as described in Pokhare et al. (2019). Briefly, plants were grown in a potting mixture of sand, field soil and organic matter (70:29:1) and were infected with second stage juveniles. After 12 weeks, watering of the plants was stopped and the plants were allowed to dry for 2 weeks. Cysts were collected by Cobb’s decanting and sieving method using standard protocols (Cobb, 1918). The cysts were surface sterilised and placed in 3 mM ZnCl$_2$ to initiate hatching. The resulting J2s were collected every 5 days and either frozen in liquid nitrogen or used immediately for infection of new plants grown on pluronic gel (Pokhare et al., 2019). Parasitic stage female nematodes were collected by hand 15 days after infection and frozen in liquid nitrogen until use. The original population of *H. sacchari* was provided by Dr D. Coyne (International Institute for Tropical Agriculture). The population was originally derived from rice in Nigeria and was maintained at The International Institute for Tropical Agriculture on susceptible *Oryza sativa*. Although the original population was derived from a field population of cysts, this nematode reproduces by mitotic parthenogenesis meaning that genetic diversity within the population is likely to be low, facilitating much of the bioinformatic analysis.

**Transcriptome sequencing**
RNA was extracted from second stage juvenile and parasitic stage female nematodes using a Nucleospin RNA XS kit (Macherey Nagel) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The quantity and integrity of RNA were assessed using a Bioanalyser. Library preparation for RNAseq was performed
using the TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2 (Illumina) as recommended by the manufacturer (Illumina; Protocol # 15026495, revision F). Separate libraries were generated from the juvenile (1 µg) and female (500 ng) total RNA samples, using single-end TruSeq Index Adapters AR002 (CGATGT) and AR004 (TGACCA), respectively. Each library was quality checked using a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent) and quantified using a Qubit fluorometer (Thermo Fisher). Equal molarities of each library were combined and run at 12 pM on a MiSeq (Illumina) generating paired-end 2x 250 bp reads, as recommended. A fastq file was generated for each sample using MiSeq Control Software (version 2.6) for downstream QC and analysis.

Transcriptome assembly, quality control, and annotation
Scripts used to analyse the data are available at: https://github.com/peterthorpe5/Hsac_transcriptome. Assemblies are available at https://zenodo.org/deposit/1324265. Raw reads are available under primary accession PRJEB28025 and secondary accession ERP110186.

The 17725370 read pairs (5,636,376 from juveniles and 11,329,919 from females with 759075 undetermined) were first quality control checked using FastQC (Andrews, 2010), then quality trimmed using Trimmomatic version 0.32 (Q15) (Bolger et al., 2014). The resulting 17086132 read pairs were assembled using Trinity version 2.1.1 (kmer length 25) (Haas et al., 2013). The resulting assembly was subjected to quality control filtering using Transrate version 1.0.1 (Smith-Unna et al., 2016). Low quality/scoring transcripts were removed (based on read mapping to the assembly). Coding sequences were predicted using TransDecoder (Using DIAMOND BLASTP versus Swiss prot and HMM search versus Pfam A domain guides). The predicted coding sequences were DIAMOND BLASTP version 0.7.9 (Buchfink et al., 2014) searched against GenBank NR database (May 2017). The results were interrogated for their alien index (AI) score using https://github.com/peterthorpe5/public_scripts/tree/master/Lateral_gene_transfer_prediction_tool, which predicts putative horizontal gene transfer events. Any sequence with an AI greater than 25 and that had a BLAST hit identity greater than 70% to a non-metazoan was flagged as putative contamination. Putative contaminant sequences were removed, and the corresponding transcripts were removed from the assembly. BUSCO version 1.1b (Simão et al., 2015) and CEGMA version 2.4 (Parra et al., 2007) were used to quantify the completeness of the assembly. The resulting coding sequences were annotated using Trinotate (Grabherr et al., 2011), HMMER (Finn et al., 2011), Pfam (Yang & Smith 2013), SignalP 4.0 (Petersen et al., 2011), TMHMM (Krogh et al., 2001), BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990), gene ontology (Ashburner et al., 2000), eggNOG V3.0 (Powell et al., 2012) and RNAmer (Lagesen et al., 2007). SPRYSECs were identified using HMMER, and Phobius (Käll et al., 2007) for those
containing a secretion signal. CAZYme analysis was performed by the CAYZme analysis toolkit using both BLAST and PFAM analysis on the online server (Park et al., 2010). BLAST2GO was used to identify and analyse GO terms (Conesa et al., 2005). The *H. sacchari* transcripts were compared to all genes predicted in the *G. pallida* and *G. rostochiensis* genomes with matches identified using an e value cut off of $10^{-10}$. Similarly, candidate *H. sacchari* effectors were identified by comparisons with effectors from other cyst nematode species (Gao et al., 2003; Thorpe et al., 2014; Eves-van den Akker et al., 2016a) using an e value cut off of $10^{-10}$.

**Phylogenetics**

Ninety six CEGMA genes conserved in the genome and or transcriptome resources of 18 plant-parasitic nematodes species were used for phylogenetic reconstruction. The species used were *H. avenae* (Kumar et al., 2014), *H. glycines* (Masonbrink et al., 2019), *H. schachtii* (S. Eves-van den Akker, pers. comm.), *G. pallida* (Cotton et al., 2014), *G. rostochiensis* (Eves-van den Akker et al., 2016a), *G. ellingtonae* (Phillips et al., 2017), *R. reniformis* (Eves-van den Akker et al., 2016b), *R. similis* (Jacob et al., 2008), *M. arenaria* (Blanc-Mathieu et al., 2017), *M. javanica* (Blanc-Mathieu et al., 2017), *M. incognita* (Blanc-Mathieu et al., 2017), *M. hapla* (Opperman et al., 2008), *P. coffeae* (Burke et al., 2015), *N. aberrans* (Eves-van den Akker et al., 2014), *B. xylophilus* (Kikuchi et al., 2011), *C. elegans* (*C. elegans* sequencing consortium, 2018), *C. briggsae* (Hillier et al., 2007) and *L. elongatus* (Danchin et al., 2017). The protein sequences of CEGMA genes were aligned and refined individually using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004). Individual alignments were concatenated and submitted to the IQtree online server with associated partition file. Model selection was carried out on each partition and a concatenated multi-gene phylogeny generated using the ultra-fast mode and 1000 bootstraps (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016).

**CLE effector identification and network analysis**

Several partial transcripts encoding proteins with similarity to CLE-effectors from various plant-parasitic nematodes were identified in the transcriptome assembly. Partial transcripts were computationally extended using an iterative approach of mapping and overlap assembly using the wrapper script provided with MITObim (Hahn et al., 2013). Only the deduced amino acid sequences of full length CLEs were used for further analyses. The gene encoding the CLE peptide used for functional analyses was cloned and sequenced in order to ensure the validity of the computational approach. To compare *H. sacchari* CLE domains to those of plants a database of CLE peptides was collated from Zhang et al. (2014), and Oelkers et al. (2008). All CLE domains were combined into a fasta file and filtered for those with missing information. Custom python script 1 was used to construct
an all vs all similarity matrix based on the BLOSUM62 scores between amino acids. Custom python script 2 was used to parse the matrix and normalise self-normalise similarity scores. Custom python script 3 was used to convert the matrix to gefx, which was loaded into Gephi to visualise the network (Bastian et al., 2009). Custom python scripts are available under github repository https://github.com/sebastianevda/.

Cloning and characterisation of candidate effector sequences

The complete ORFs of selected genes were amplified from cDNA of pre-parasitic second stage juveniles, or complete genes from gDNA extracted from cysts. PCR products were purified using the Qiagen PCR Purification kit and cloned into the pGEMT Easy or pCR8/TOPO/GW vectors following the manufactures guidelines.

Analysis of expression profiles of candidate effectors

The spatial expression patterns of candidate effectors from *H. sacchari* were investigated using *in situ* hybridisation of digoxigenin labelled probes to juvenile nematodes as previously described (Thorpe et al., 2014). Negative controls for *in situ* hybridisations were carried out using sense probes and gave no signal (Figure S1). The expression of candidate effectors across the *H. sacchari* life cycle (eggs, pre-parasitic juveniles, 15 & 25 days post infective females) was analysed using quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) with gene specific primers. Around 3000 eggs and pre-parasitic juveniles were collected for each replicate. To collect eggs, cysts were crushed under a binocular microscope and eggs were transferred into an Eppendorf tube in sterile water. Juveniles were harvested every 5 days as described above and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. For the other life stages (15 & 25 days post inoculation), approximately 150 parasitic stage nematodes were collected from rice grown in pluronic gel as described above. Nematodes were stored at -80°C and used subsequently for RNA extraction. Total RNA was extracted as above and were processed using Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer system to check the quality and quantity of extracted RNA. The RNA samples with RNA integrity number (RIN) of more than 8 were used for cDNA synthesis using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems) and oligo-dT primer. The cDNA was tested for expression changes during the nematode life stages using the Stepone Plus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) with cycling parameters of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 30 s (40 cycles) for amplification. Each sample well contained 10 μl of Fast SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (Invitrogen), 9 μl of the gene specific primer mixture with a final concentration 1 μM for each primer and 1 μl of cDNA. The data was analysed using the Stepone Plus Real-Time PCR software to create Ct values and relative expression was calculated following Pfaffl (2001). Elongation Factor 1 alpha (EF1 alpha) was used as internal control for all experiments. Three biological replicates
from each stage and three technical replicates for each biological replicate were used for qPCR studies. Details of the primers used in this study are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

**Functional analysis of H. sacchari CLE sequences**

To analyse the *in vivo* function of CLE peptides, we developed a protocol for exogenous application to rice seedlings, similar to that described for *A. thaliana* (Wang *et al.*, 2010). The terminal 13 amino acid CLE domain of nematode CLE-like sequences was synthesised with hydroxy prolines in positions 5 and 8 for *H. sacchari* (H-Lys-Arg-Leu-Ser-Hyp-Gly-Gly-Hyp-Asp-Pro-Gln-His-His-OH), *H. glycines* (H-Lys-Arg-Leu-Ser-Hyp-Ser-Gly-Hyp-Asp-Pro-His-His-His-OH). As a control, a shuffled version of the *H. sacchari* CLE sequence was also synthesised (H-Asp-His-Ser-Hyp-Gly-Gln-His-Arg-Hyp-Lys-Pro-Gly-Leu-OH). Seeds of rice (cv Nipponbare) were surface sterilised and allowed to germinate on sterile, wet filter paper. After 7 days, plants of similar size were transferred to plates containing ½ MS supplemented with 10 µM of the relevant peptide or an equivalent volume of sterile distilled water and left for 10 days at 25 °C in 16h light/8h dark. After this time plants were removed from the plates and the roots were washed to remove any adhering medium. The length of the longest root was measured for 24 biological replicates of each condition.
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Figure Legends:

**Figure 1**: Phylogenetic analysis of 96 CEGMA genes conserved in 19 species of nematode shows that *H. sacchari* and *H. avenae* share a common monocot parasitic ancestor that most likely evolved from a parasite of dicots (indicated by the arrow). The scale bar indicates substitutions per base. Node labels indicate support values for 1000 bootstraps.

**Figure 2**: Analysis of spatio-temporal expression patterns of cellulase (left panels) and chorismate mutase (right panels) identified in the *H. sacchari* transcriptome. *In situ* hybridisation analysis showed that both genes are expressed in the subventral gland cells of second stage juveniles (J2s) of *H. sacchari* (purple staining; upper panels). Analysis using qPCR shows that the cellulase is expressed specifically at the J2 life stage while the chorismate mutase is expressed at all life stages tested (lower panels). Error bars represent standard errors across the biological replicates.

**Figure 3**: Histograms showing distributions of percentage identity between *H. sacchari* effectors (left panel) and non-effectors (right panel) with their homologues in *G. rostochiensis*. This analysis suggests that effector-like transcripts have accumulated more mutations than other transcripts since the divergence of these two species.

**Figure 4**: Analysis of spatio-temporal expression patterns of two putative SPRYSEC-encoding transcripts of *H. sacchari*. *In situ* hybridisation analysis showed that both genes are expressed in the dorsal gland cell of *H. sacchari* (purple staining, arrows; upper panels). Analysis by qPCR shows that both sequences are upregulated in later parasitic stage nematodes (lower panels). Error bars represent standard errors across the biological replicates.

**Figure 5**: Overview of the HYP gene family in cyst nematodes. Left, a schematic representation of the *H. sacchari* HYP-like sequences shows the position of a novel structural variant outside the hyper-variable domain. The faded region of the hyper-variable domain of HYP-1 and -3 represents the region of variable sequence. Right, a midpoint re-rooted phylogenetic construction of HYP sequences from *G. pallida* and *H. sacchari* position those of *H. sacchari* as a distinct sub-family (named here sub-family-0).

**Figure 6**: CLE-like effectors of *H. sacchari*. A) A midpoint re-rooted phylogenetic construction of the six complete CLE-like sequences in the *H. sacchari* transcriptome. The CLE domain containing effectors
form two groups, each of which has a distinct CLE domain (red box). B) A similarity network of CLE domains from plants and *H. sacchari*. CLEs from selected species are highlighted: Rice in green; *H. sacchari* in orange; *H. glycines* in purple; *G. rostochiensis* in red; *H. avenae* in dark blue; *R. reniformis* in light blue. Nodes in the network are scaled by connectivity. *H. sacchari* are the only nematode CLEs with connections to rice CLEs.

**Figure 7:** Functional adaptation of *H. sacchari* CLE effectors to monocot hosts. Exogenous application of synthetic *H. sacchari* CLE peptides causes a short root phenotype in rice when compared to a scrambled version of this peptide, a CLE peptide from the related dicot parasitic *H. glycines*, or no peptide control (n=24 per condition, p <0.001, Tukey’s HSD).

**Figure S1:** Negative control *in situ* hybridisation reactions for chorismate mutase (left panel) and DN36333 (right panel). No staining is seen in these nematodes.

**Figure S2:** Alignment of CLE-effector-like sequences assembled from the *H. sacchari* transcriptome. Highlighted the position of the predicted signal peptide (green), the unknown repeat of DN37996_c0_g2_i1 (not a CLE encoding peptide, purple), and the canonical CLE domain of the other six sequences (red). Arrow indicates polymorphism in CLE domain.

**Figure S3:** Cloned genomic CLE from *H. sacchari*. A) Alignment of the genomic clone and predicted transcript assembled from the transcriptome for the *H. sacchari* CLE-like gene Hsac_DN37996F. Primers used to clone the gene are highlighted with grey bars. CLE domain (spanning an intron) is highlighted in purple. B) Mapping the RNAseq reads back to the genomic clone supports the deduced intron:exon structure, and reveals two potential splice variants (a read through intron in exon 4). The shorter splice variant results in a frame shift and consequently no CLE-like domain. The longer splice variant encodes a canonical CLE domain.

**Table legends**

**Table 1:** *H. sacchari* sequences similar to putative cell wall degrading and modifying proteins

**Table S1:** Primers used in this study.
Table 1: *H. sacchari* sequences similar to putative cell wall degrading and modifying proteins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Cellulose</th>
<th>Xylan</th>
<th>Arabinan</th>
<th>Pectin</th>
<th>Arabinogalactan</th>
<th>Expansins</th>
<th>CBM2 domains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>GHS</td>
<td>GH45</td>
<td>GH30</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>GH28</td>
<td>PL3</td>
<td>GHS3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. sacchari</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. avenae</em></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. schachtii</em></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. rostochiensis</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. pallida</em></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. incognita</em></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nacobbus aberrans</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bursaphelenchus xylophilus</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Cellulose</th>
<th>Xylan</th>
<th>Arabinan</th>
<th>Pectin</th>
<th>Arabinogalactan</th>
<th>Expansins</th>
<th>CBM2 domains</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td>GHS</td>
<td>GH45</td>
<td>GH30</td>
<td>GH43</td>
<td>GH28</td>
<td>PL3</td>
<td>GHS3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. sacchari</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. avenae</em></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. schachtii</em></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. rostochiensis</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. pallida</em></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. incognita</em></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nacobbus aberrans</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bursaphelenchus xylophilus</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for in situ hybridisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac cellulase F (Hsac_DN30579)</td>
<td>CCTTGGCACTCCGATGTATT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac cellulase R (Hsac_DN30579)</td>
<td>ATCAGCTCGTCCAGCATTTT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac Chor Mutase F (Hsac_DN28871)</td>
<td>GCTGCTTTAACTTCCGCAAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac Chor Mutase R (Hsac_DN28871)</td>
<td>CCGTTTCTTGGTGATTTTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN36333 F (SPRYSEC)</td>
<td>TGCTAAAGAGCGAGGGATT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN36333 R (SPRYSEC)</td>
<td>ATGAGGCTTCCACAGTTCCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN43534 F (SPRYSEC)</td>
<td>AATTCAGCTCGTGATCAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN43534 R (SPRYSEC)</td>
<td>GTCGGAAGACAAATCGGTCTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers for qPCR</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_q cellulase F</td>
<td>CTGGACCGACGAAACAGTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_q cellulase R</td>
<td>TCGATCGCTTTGTCCGGAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_q Chor Mutase F</td>
<td>AGCATGACGACTTTGTGCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_q Chor Mutase R</td>
<td>ATTCGTCGTTGGGCTTCTGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN36333 qF (SPRYSEC)</td>
<td>GGGGCATTCTCTGCAAAGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN36333 qR (SPRYSEC)</td>
<td>TGAGGCTTCCACAGTTCCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN43534 qF (SPRYSEC)</td>
<td>AGAAACGTCGACAGTGCCAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN43534 qR (SPRYSEC)</td>
<td>GACAAGCGCAAACAGCTCAGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN34918F Reference gene for qPCR (EIF 1A)</td>
<td>ATACGCTTTGGTGATCGACA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN34918R Reference gene for qPCR (EIF 1A)</td>
<td>CCACGCTCAAATTCTCCGTA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers used for gene cloning</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN36333 CF (SPRYSEC)</td>
<td>ACCATGCGTATTGAGAGAAACAAAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN36333 R (SPRYSEC)</td>
<td>TCAGATCGACTATCGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN43534 CF (SPRYSEC)</td>
<td>ACCATGGTCGGAAGATGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN43534 R (SPRYSEC)</td>
<td>TCAATCACCAAGAAGTGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN37996F (CLE)</td>
<td>TATCAATCATGTGGCCCTTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsac_DN37996R (CLE)</td>
<td>CGTTATCCCTTTTAATTGGTGCTG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S1: Primers used in this study.
Figure 1: Phylogenetic analysis of 101 96 CEGMA genes conserved in 18 19 species of nematode shows that H. sacchari and H. avenae share a common monocot parasitic ancestor that most likely evolved from a parasite of dicots (indicated by the arrow). The scale bar indicates substitutions per base. Node labels indicate support values for 1000 bootstraps.
Figure 2: Analysis of spatio-temporal expression patterns of cellulase (left panels) and chorismate mutase (right panels) identified in the H. sacchari transcriptome. In situ hybridisation analysis showed that both genes are expressed in the subventral gland cells of second stage juveniles (J2s) of H. sacchari (purple staining; upper panels). Analysis using qPCR shows that the cellulase is expressed specifically at the J2 life stage while the chorismate mutase is expressed at all life stages tested (lower panels). Error bars represent standard errors across the biological replicates.
Figure 3: Histograms showing distributions of percentage identity between H. sacchari effectors (left panel) and non-effectors (right panel) with their homologues in G. rostochiensis. This analysis suggests that effector-like transcripts have accumulated more mutations than other transcripts since the divergence of these two species.
Figure 4: Analysis of spatio-temporal expression patterns of two putative SPRYSEC-encoding transcripts of H. sacchari. In situ hybridisation analysis showed that both genes are expressed in the dorsal gland cell of H. sacchari (purple staining, arrows; upper panels). Analysis by qPCR shows that both sequences are upregulated in later parasitic stage nematodes (lower panels). Error bars represent standard errors across the biological replicates.
Figure 5: Overview of the HYP gene family in cyst nematodes. Left, A schematic representation of the H. sacchari HYP-like sequences shows the position of a novel structural variant outside the hyper-variable domain. The faded region of the hyper-variable domain of HYP-1 and -3 represents the region of variable sequence. Right, A midpoint re-rooted phylogenetic construction of HYP sequences from G. pallida and H. sacchari position those of H. sacchari as a distinct sub-family (names named here sub-family-0).
Figure 6: CLE-like effectors of H. sacchari. A) A midpoint re-rooted phylogenetic construction of the seven complete CLE-like sequences in the H. sacchari transcriptome. Six of these sequences encode a canonical CLE domain at the C terminus, while the seventh encodes tandem repeats of an unknown peptide. The CLE domain containing effectors form two groups, each of which has a distinct CLE domain (red box). B) A similarity network of CLE domains from plants and H. sacchari. CLEs from selected species are highlighted: Rice CLEs are highlighted in green; and H. sacchari CLE-like sequences in orange; H. glycines in purple; G. rostochiensis in red; H. avenae in dark blue; R. reniformis in light blue. Nodes in the network are scaled by connectivity. H. sacchari are the only nematode CLEs with connections to rice CLEs.
Figure 7: Functional adaptation of H. sacchari CLE effectors to monocot hosts. Exogenous application of synthetic H. sacchari CLE peptides causes a short root phenotype in rice when compared to a scrambled version of this peptide, a CLE peptide from the related dicot parasitic H. glycines, or no peptide control (n=24 per condition, p < 0.001, Tukey’s HSD).
Figure S1: Negative control in situ hybridisation reactions for chorismate mutase (left panel) and DN36333 (right panel). No staining is seen in these nematodes.
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Figure S21: Alignment of CLE-effector-like sequences assembled from the transcriptome of H. sacchari. Highlighted the position of the predicted signal peptide (green), the unknown repeat of DN37996_c0_g2_i1 (not a CLE encoding peptide, purple), and the canonical CLE domain of the other six sequences (red). Arrow indicates polymorphism in CLE domain.
Figure S3: Cloned genomic CLE from H. sacchari genomic region and cDNA sequences. A) Alignment of the genomic clone and predicted transcript assembled from the transcriptome for the H. sacchari CLE-like gene Hsac_DN37996F. Primers used to clone the gene are highlighted with grey bars. CLE domain (spanning an intron) is highlighted in purple. B) Mapping the RNAseq reads back to the genomic clone supports the deduced intron:exon structure, and reveals two potential splice variants (a read through intron in exon 4). The shorter splice variant results in a frame shift and consequently no CLE-like domain. The longer splice variant encodes a canonical CLE domain.
**Significance statement:** This is the first study that tackles the issue of host adaptation in plant parasitic nematodes. This is a topic of high scientific interest as "host-jumps" (adaptation of a pathogen to a new host) are of fundamental importance to plant sciences in general, and global food security in particular.