

Transcriptomic analysis of insecticide resistance in the lymphatic filariasis vector *Culex quinquefasciatus*

Walter Fabricio Silva Martins^{*,+,1,2}, Craig Stephen Wilding^{+,1,3}, Alison Taylor Isaacs¹, Emily Joy Rippon¹, Karine Megy^{4,5}, Martin James Donnelly^{1,6}

¹ Department of Vector Biology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK, ² *Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Campina Grande, Brasil*, ³ *School of Natural Sciences and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK*, ⁴ *European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI), Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, UK* ⁵ *Current address: Department of Haematology, University of Cambridge & NHS Blood and Transplant, Cambridge, UK*, ⁶ *Malaria Programme, Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, UK.* ⁺These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence to fabricio.martins@lstmed.ac.uk




Figure S1: Standard curve from primers used on real-time PCR for microarray candidate genes validation. Squares correspond to 1×10 serial dilution of cDNA. qPCR efficiency (Eff) and coefficient of determination (Rsquared) were calculated for each primer based two replicated.




Figure S2: Validation of qPCR primers. Dissociation curves of real-time PCR amplification of microarray top candidate genes and endogenous control.




Figure S3: Pairwise comparison of microarray and qPCR data based on gene expression profile for three genes found to be significantly different expressed between Uganda exposed and non-exposed mosquitoes (sympatric control) and TPRI susceptible strain