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Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

- n/a Confirmed
- □ The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- □ A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- □ The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
- □ Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
- □ □ A description of all covariates tested
- □ □ A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- □ □ A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) and variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- □ □ For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
- □ □ For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- □ □ For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- □ □ Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen’s d, Pearson’s r), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code.

Data collection

No data was collected through computer code for this study.

Data analysis

- Trim Galore (version 0.6.5)
- FastQC (version 0.11.9)
- HumanBase (2019)
- String (version 11.0)
- BioVenn (version 2007-2020)
- Degust (2020)
- Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (2019)
- PANNZER2 (version 2)
- BLASTp (2019)

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.
Data

Policy information about availability of data
All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:
- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Please note that the data has been deposited but not yet made publically available (it will be released upon publication). We are happy to provide advanced access to the reviewers, if desired.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

- [x] Life sciences
- [ ] Behavioural & social sciences
- [ ] Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size
Sample size calculations were not performed. Sample sizes were selected based on our previously published work, which provided information on the samples size required for RNA-seq and qPCR to provide statistically significant results. Please note also that given the nature of the sampling, opportunistic sampling at a rehabilitation hospital for endangered sea turtles (i.e. not laboratory model animals), availability of certain tumor types was opportunistic.

Data exclusions
A single RNA-seq sample was excluded from the analysis as the reads did not pass QC criteria.

Replication
Independent samples from independent tumors and individuals were used. While only applicable to the established external tumor cohort, RNA-seq results generally replicated earlier findings from a smaller external tumor cohort (Duffy et al. Comms Bio 2018). For biomarker analyses the top 11 candidates were verified in a completely independent cohort from a different population (see manuscript) from a rehabilitation study in Texas. While it falls outside the scope of this manuscript, non-biomarker related RNA-seq findings were consistent between this Florida cohort and the Texas cohort (full independent Texan study preprint: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.10.29.360834v1).

Randomization
Please note also that interventions to groups of patients were not conducted as part of this study, minimizing the need for randomization. Turtles to be included in the study were naturally randomly selected, we had no input into which FP afflicted turtles stranded and were admitted to our hospital, similarly we had no influence over rehabilitation process or surgical decisions, or decisions to euthanize patients (euthanized turtles provided access for internal sampling). Therefore, all patients included in the study were randomly included by processes we did not control (natural stranding events, state level assignment of turtles to individual rehab hospitals and independent veterinary decisions), with our sampling activities being opportunistic as the opportunities arose.

Blinding
Blinding was not relevant to this study. Groups were not artificially generated by researchers, either a tumor was internal or external, and either an animal was released or died in rehab. For the transcriptomics analyses robust unbiased analysis types were used to investigate differences between tumor groups (e.g. PCA plots and DE analysis).
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Materials & experimental systems

- [x] Antibodies
- [x] Eukaryotic cell lines
- [x] Palaeontology and archaeology
- [x] Animals and other organisms
- [x] Human research participants
- [x] Clinical data
- [x] Dual use research of concern

Methods

- [x] ChIP-seq
- [x] Flow cytometry
- [x] MRI-based neuroimaging
Antibodies

Antibodies used

All antibody details are provided in the methods section of the manuscript.

Validation

The CD3 antibody was previously validated for green sea turtles (validation paper reference provided in the manuscript). The CTNNB1 antibody, to the best of our knowledge, was not previously used in sea turtles, however it is commonly used in humans and rodents and targets a section of the protein that is evolutionarily conserved. For example, our lab (and others) have published extensively on the use of this antibody in marine cnidarians, including Nematostella, which are approx. 650 million years removed from humans.

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals: ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals

N/A

Wild animals

N/A No wild animals were caught, transported or killed as part of this research. We obtained samples from animals undergoing rehabilitation. All husbandry, veterinary care, transport and release of these animals was conducted by the relevant licensed authorities (the sea turtle stranding network and the hospitals conducted such activities under their state permits and the supervision of the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service), not by the researchers. The researchers had no role in any of these aspects of animal care, being permitted to receive samples, not to care for house the animals.

Field-collected samples

N/A
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Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.