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ABSTRACT
Plasmonic self-assembled nanocavities are ideal platforms for extreme light localisation as they deliver mode volumes <50 nm$^3$. Here we show that high-order plasmonic modes within additional μm-scale resonators surrounding each nanocavity can boost light localisation to intensity enhancements >$10^5$. Plasmon interference in these hybrid microresonator-nanocavities produces surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) signals many-fold larger than in the bare plasmonic constructs. These now allow remote access to molecules inside the ultrathin gaps, avoiding direct irradiation and thus preventing molecular damage. Combining sub-nm gaps with μm-scale resonators places high computational demand on simulations, so a generalised Boundary Element Method (BEM) solver is developed which requires 100-fold less computational resource to characterise these systems. Our results on extreme near-field enhancement open new potential for single-molecule photonic circuits, mid-infrared detectors, and remote spectroscopy.
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INTRODUCTION
Localisation of light in ‘hotspots’ far smaller than the incident wavelength is one of the key advantages of metallic cavities over their dielectric counterparts. Using such localisation to guide and confine light at the nanoscale is beneficial for technologies including photovoltaics [1], integrated waveguides [2], photodetectors [3], lasers and amplifiers [4, 5] and biological imaging [6], as well as underpinning nanophotonics research.

Squeezing light into small mode volumes enhances light-matter interactions dramatically, allowing even single-molecule spectroscopies [7-9]. Metal-Insulator-Metal (MIM) nanocavities, formed by nanogaps between self-assembled metal building blocks, comprise relative low Q-factors (~10-30) but extremely small mode volumes $V_m <$50 nm$^3$, resulting in Purcell factors ($Q/V_m$) exceeding ~$10^6$ (for insulating gaps <2 nm). Such plasmonic nanocavities can thus facilitate strong light-matter interactions under ambient conditions, enhanced emission rates, and high radiative quantum efficiency [10-14] (for gaps>0.5nm as used here, quantum spill-out and tunnelling effects have only minor effects). One
type of MIM cavity that has attracted much recent interest is the nanoparticle-on-mirror (NPoM) geometry where a plasmonic nanoparticle is spaced by a single self-assembled molecular monolayer (SAM) from a metallic mirror [15]. This fixes the plasmonic cavity gap width at the sub-nanometre scale and results in field enhancements exceeding $E/E_0 > 200$, which leads to $10^8$-fold intensity enhancement of two photon absorption [16] and optomechanical nonlinearities [17].

Recent work [18-20] suggests the desirability of combining such plasmonic nanocavities with mid-infrared resonators that simultaneously allow access to molecular vibrational absorption as well as the near-infrared plasmon modes for SERS. Both antiStokes Raman and surface-enhanced infrared absorption (SEIRA) become then possible, however few structures yet support both techniques. Here we use a nanoparticle-on-resonator (NPoR) construct where metallic disks supporting infrared resonances [21-24] are coupled to nanoparticles (of much smaller radius) to form NPoM nanocavities. We show that such structures support resonances in the visible regime. However, a subtle interplay of different optical couplings has to be understood to interpret the scattering resonances and SERS spectra on disk diameters $D=1-6 \mu m$. We find that light is coupled into high-order modes on the disk, both via the disk edges and via the nanoparticle, thus allowing additional levels of field enhancement.

In this work we use simulation results to analyse and interpret experimental results for the enhanced SERS observed. Because of the computational demands of simulations which combine sub-nm gaps with $>5 \mu m$ disks (discretisation $>10^{10}$ elements), we use a more generalised Boundary Element Method (BEM) solver. This method uses a potential-based formalism that can model local and hydrodynamic nonlocal responses, and thus is ideal for plasmonic nanoconstructs like the one studied here, as well as waveguides [25, 26]. To confirm its reliability, we compare both finite-difference time-domain simulations (FDTD) with our new BEM solver. In contrast to the FDTD algorithm where the entire simulation volume is discretized, the BEM solver only discretizes the boundary of the nano-scatterers. Consequently, the BEM method demands 100-fold less computational resources and gives tractable computational speeds compared to its FDTD counterpart (as well as finite element methods (FEM), and other electromagnetic computational techniques). This advance is crucial for nanophotonic devices that span wavelengths from 0.5-15 $\mu m$ and sizes from 0.1 nm-10 $\mu m$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We exploit a plasmonic system that is capable of large-scale deployment with robust reliable plasmonic enhancements [21]. We combine bottom-up assembly of nanocavities with top-down photolithography that forms the disk resonator. This is achieved by placing Au nanoparticles (80 nm diameter) on top of a 100 nm-thick disk microresonator ($\mu$-resonator) of variable diameter (Fig. 1a). The nanocavity gap can be controlled at sub-nm scale using a dielectric molecular spacer self-assembled on top of the Au disk (see Methods). At optical frequencies, induced dipoles in the Au nanoparticle couple to their image charges in the underlying disk, delivering tight field confinement similarly to spherical dimers.

We concentrate here on metallic disks of diameter 6 $\mu m$ with array modes around $\lambda=10 \mu m$ (see SI, section S1) and possessing higher-order resonances in the vis/NIR (section S4). Although the disk edges are far away from the NPoM, we find that the $\mu$-resonator amplifies the initial near-field confinement in the NPoM to boost the SERS intensity, $I_{SERS} \propto [E_{\text{tot}}(\lambda_{\text{in}})]^2 [E_{\text{tot}}(\lambda_{\text{out}})]^2$. Specifically, we show how the interplay of the two resonators and their relative position delivers a threefold enhancement of the near-field in the gap, amplifying the SERS signal. In-coupling at the disk edges launches high-order modes into the NPoM gap, and can remotely excite the embedded molecules in the nano-cavity.
Metal-insulator-metal nanoparticle-based cavities give strong scattering resonances which depend on the gap and nanoparticle facet size [15, 27, 28]. The near-field BEM simulations show a threefold enhancement for the NPoR compared to NPoM constructs under plane-wave excitation at optimal incident angle of 52° (Fig. 1b). BEM simulations also show increasing scattering intensity as the diameter of the disk increases from 1 to 6 μm (Fig. 1c), as expected from their relative areas and becoming >100-fold larger than the NPoM (see SI, Fig. S3.2). To decipher the overall scattering response of the NPoR we perform dark-field measurements, exciting and collecting light tightly focussed on different locations (Fig. 1d, insets). These clearly distinguish between the scattering of the bare disk and the overall NPoR structure (Fig. 1d). The (10) nanocavity resonance of the NPoR (red arrow) is absent in the bare disk (dark blue). The (l,m) indices for identifying nanoparticle resonances accord with previous work [27], and label radial and azimuthal near-field symmetries.

To better examine this field enhancement, we apply separate eigenmode analysis for the NPoR and NPoM plasmonic constructs [29]. Both systems support (20) and (10) states (full eigenmode analysis in SI section S3). This analysis is helpful since eigenmodes and eigenvalues are independent of

---

**Figure 1.** Near- and far-field plasmon resonances of nanoparticle-on-resonator (NPoR) construct. a) NPoR configuration with 100 nm Au disk thickness and self-assembled monolayer (SAM) of biphenyl-4,4′-dithiol (BPT) creating dielectric spacer set by molecule length (1.3 nm). Insets show Raman process and plasmonic SERS amplification. b) Simulated near-field maps of NPoR and NPoM for λ=750 nm light incident at 52°. c) BEM simulations of total scattering intensity from NPoR for increasing disk diameters, D=1-6 μm. d) Experimental dark-field spectra of bare disk (dark blue), NPoR (red), and SiO₂ substrate (grey). Inset shows DF images of empty disk and NPoR. Arrow shows (10) nanocavity resonance. Disk diameter is 6 μm.
excitation conditions [30], while the eigenvalue magnitude calibrates the enhancement of each corresponding eigenmode (evaluating Q-factors requires separately solving the natural modes or quasi-modes of the system [31]). Similar eigenvalues for both NPoR and NPoM indicate they support similar resonances (Fig. 2a,b), since for large disk diameters ($D=6 \mu m$) compared to vis-NIR wavelengths, the disk behaves similarly to the infinite mirror in the NPoM. By contrast, the (10) coupling efficiency is 50% higher for NPoRs than NPoMs (orange, Fig. 2a,b) at the resonance. As we show below, this higher coupling efficiency is driven by the high-order modes of the disk resonator. For wavelengths below 550 nm, strong Au absorption attenuates the plasmon disk resonances resulting in similar (20) coupling efficiencies for NPoMs and NPoRs (dashed orange, Fig. 2a,b). To investigate the importance of the high-order disk modes in near-field enhancement, we plot the electric field ($E_z$) at the centre of a bare disk resonator which is the sum of outward and reflected disk plasmons (Fig. 2c) [32]. For increasing disk diameters $D=1-6 \mu m$, more interfering modes appear in the visible regime (see SI section S4), modulating the field under the nanogap. Combining nanocavity resonances with high-order modes of the microdisk thus boosts light localisation in the gap region (Fig. 1b).

Figure 2. Enhanced light localisation in nanocavities driven by an external resonator. a,b) Eigen-analysis of (a) NPoM and (b) NPoR plasmonic constructs. Eigenmodes of NPoM (black) and NPoR (red), coupling efficiency (orange) for (20) (dashed) and (10) (solid) resonances. Insets show each plasmonic system. c) Total electric field $E_z$ from outward and reflected plasmons at the centre of the disk for increasing disk diameters, $D=1-6 \mu m$.

To understand this spatial dependence, we explore the near-field response using SERS from the BPT molecules through systematic measurements on 40 particles at different distances $r$ from the disk centre. These are directly compared to NPoMs prepared under identical conditions (see Methods). A 633 nm laser of 1 mW is tightly focussed with an 0.8 NA objective lens onto each nanoparticle. The average SERS intensity of BPT vibrational peaks on NPoRs is $\sim 200\%$ larger than in NPoMs (comparing background-subtracted peaks), as predicted from the higher local optical field (Fig. 3a). This enhancement varies with the vibration energy (and hence emission wavelength). Even more evident, NPoRs show a much higher SERS background which is known to mostly arise from Electronic Raman Scattering (ERS) when light (in the form of plasmons) penetrates inside the metal [33]. The overall ERS enhancement in NPoRs is $>1000\%$, with extra resonances apparent around 1250 cm$^{-1}$ (687 nm) of spectral width 200 cm$^{-1}$ (9 nm).
Figure 3. SERS amplification in nanocavities embedded in a μ-resonator. a) SERS measurements in NPoR (red) and NPoM (black) geometries, averaged over 20 Au nanoparticles in each case. b) Spatial dependence of SERS, for increasing radial positions (r) of nanoparticles from disk centre (as coloured in inset). c,d) BEM calculations of (c) total scattering intensity and (d) near-field in the nanogap of a nanoparticle placed at increasing radial positions on the disk. Light excitation at 52° onto 80 nm Au NP on 6 μm diameter disk.

Examining the field profiles for the high-order disk modes and NPoM modes shows that SERS originates only from the NPoM gap, while ERS arises both in the gap and from SPP modes propagating to the disk edges. The nanoparticle contribution of enhancement in both SERS and ERS signals from the increased local gap fields are the same, hence the extra ERS arises from the high-order modes of the disk. The visibility of the mode interference fringes vs disk diameter in simulations suggests round trip losses of ~30%. In-coupled light scatters from the NP into high-order modes which bounce off the disk edges and return to the NPoM now containing additional scattered ERS light. We can thus quantify both the NPoM coupling as well as the strength of the returning high-order mode light, which depend on the exact geometry of the nanoparticle facet as well as the shape of the disk edge (see SI, section S4).

To check this dependence, we plot the SERS spectra for nanoparticles positioned in different radial positions from the disk centre (Fig. 3b). Nanoparticles situated near the disk edge show higher SERS ERS background (bright red) in contrast to nanoparticles near the disk centre (dark red). The shape of this ERS background also varies, while the SERS peaks are found to increase to a maximum ~50% larger at about halfway out while at the edge they reduce substantially.

To better quantify these fluctuations, we calculate the total scattering and near-field in the gap for a nanoparticle placed in different radial positions on the disk with the BEM solver. Briefly, the surface integrals equations are based on the Poggio-Miller-Change-Harrington-Wu formalism and discretized...
using Rao-Wilton-Gilson basis functions (see SI section S3) [34-36]. The total scattering is dominated by a disk resonance at 620 nm, and remains unchanged with NP position (Fig. 3c). By contrast, light localisation inside the NP gap shows an oscillatory behaviour with the NP position, resulting from interference of incident and back-scattered high-order modes over the μ-resonator surface (Fig. 3d). In principle, we expect similar trends in the experimental data however we note that a quantitative fit is precluded by our lack of spatial precision due to random nanoparticle positions and the slightly-different shapes of each disk (Fig. 3b). However this data confirms the capability to combine mid-infrared disk resonators with visible/NIR nanocavities possessing enhanced optical field coupling.

The coupling to high-order modes also suggests that remote SERS excitation of NPoMs over several micrometres is possible. This possibility has been suggested for high-resolution SERS imaging [37-40], fluorescence microscopy and catalytic driven reactions [41]. Accessing molecules inside nanocavities remotely can also prevent molecular damage due to high pump intensities and/or heating. To better quantify the delocalised plasmon modes on the surface of the μ-resonator, we perform additional simulations (FDTD, Lumerical). We consider a disk resonator with 6 μm diameter and 80 nm Au particle placed exactly at the centre on a thin dielectric spacer (1.3 nm) to form a nanocavity. We assume 0.8 NA Gaussian excitation onto the disk edge and monitor the field confinement in the gap (Fig. 4a). We see field localisation of >50 for longer visible and near-infrared wavelengths.

To confirm these theoretical findings, we perform a ‘remote SERS’ experiment. A nanoparticle positioned close to the disk centre is first illuminated directly with the 633 nm pump laser. Elastically and inelastically scattered light is collected through the same x100 0.8 NA objective, filtered through two notch filters, and imaged on a CMOS camera (Fig. 4b). The images clearly show that SERS originates mainly from the nanoparticle and disk periphery while all other areas remain dark (Fig. 4c,d). This demonstrates that incoming photons can be efficiently coupled into the nanocavity and SERS harvested from all emerging modes. The high-order modes propagate radially out from a nanoparticle launch point (red arrow) and SERS out-scatters from the disk edge (Fig. 4c). Such a plasmonic system should obey Lorentz reciprocity, so instead launching plasmons at the disk edge (red arrow, Fig. 4d) results in the nanoparticle outcoupling SERS. These SERS intensities differ because of the different in- and out-coupling efficiencies of the nanoparticle and disk edge as well as their different collection efficiencies in the far-field (Figs. 1b,4a). Dark-field images confirm that indeed light is more efficiently scattered from the disk periphery and the nanoparticle, while all other areas remain dark (see inset Fig. 1d).

Figure 4. Remote-excitation of molecules in nanocavities using delocalised plasmon modes in a μ-resonator. a) Simulated electric-field confinement in the gap for 0.8 NA Gaussian excitation onto the disk edge. Red dashed line shows excitation wavelength (633 nm, 2mW/μm²). Insets show total electric field in x-y-plane at the NP.
nanogap centre with 20 nm facet for (10) and (20) modes (scale bar is 10 nm). b) Optical setup for remote excitation of molecules in nanocavities. Laser light is blocked by two notch filters (NFs) placed before CMOS camera. c,d) SERS images from NPoR when laser light excites (c) the nanoparticle directly, or (d) the disk edge. Excitation points marked with red arrows.

We show light confinement of NPoRs with near-fields $E$ up to 3x higher compared to NPoMs, which should boost SERS intensities since $I_{SERS} \propto E^4$. To quantify this, we consider near-field ratios at the excitation wavelength which is detuned from the spectral position of maximum field. For pump wavelength $\lambda = 633$ nm, $E_{NPOR}/E_{NPM} \sim 1.5$ (see SI, section S2) which corresponds to a SERS intensity contrast of 4.7, comparing well to the average experimental ratio of 3.5 (1.8 with background subtraction). One reason this may be lower than expected is that the experimental SERS signal of NPoRs are averaged over 20 nanoparticles randomly positioned on different disks. Field confinement depends strongly on the radial location of the nanoparticle on the $\mu$-resonator (Fig. 3d). Current nanoparticle deposition uses simple drop casting onto BPT-coated resonators, resulting in random positioning. This issue may be addressed by lithography, DNA origami-based assembly, or direct optical printing of colloidal nanoparticles onto the disks [42-45].

We study $\mu$-resonators with mid-infrared resonances (see SI section S1) which also support high-order resonances in the vis/NIR. We note that for the current disk design we expect plasmon-enhanced Stokes SERS since the disk resonances are red-shifted from the pump (dashed line Fig. 4a) [46]. With 80 nm nanoparticles the (10) resonance is redshifted from the 633 nm pump [47] resulting in amplification of Stokes and suppression of antiStokes SERS. Future investigations that target the antiStokes emission can be optimised by using nanoparticle diameters of 40-60 nm as well suitably tuned pump excitation wavelengths.

Thin disk geometries facilitate a plethora of high-order modes on their surface that amplify near-field enhancements. This allows for random positioning of a nanoparticle on the disk without much reduction in SERS from lower near-field enhancements. Further optimisation should explore reduced disk sizes as we find that smaller diameters create more-uniform amplification at frequencies close to the pump (Fig. S4).

CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrate that effective optical coupling of a $\mu$m-scale disk resonator and nm-scale molecular gap cavity lead to enhanced light localisation ($E^2/E_0^2 > 10^5$). This provides new possibilities in plasmon-based spectroscopies with vibrational peaks of molecules giving >200% higher SERS intensity (>10000% predicted under fully optimised conditions) and a 10-fold stronger electronic scattering compared to standard plasmonic constructs used before. The superposition of higher-order modes of the $\mu$-resonator with the optical resonances of self-assembled nanocavities is found to control the near-field resulting in modulation of SERS intensities with nanoparticle location. The launching and detection of plasmons from a point which reflect of the disk edge resembles the SNOM experiments that scatter light into surfaces modes [48, 49]. However here we are able to directly measure the near-field enhancements using the molecular SERS signatures. We also show that nanocavities can be accessed remotely via propagating modes over a few $\mu$m, which can be helpful for preventing molecular damage occurring when molecular monolayers are excited directly.

This dual resonator approach can be extended to higher-Q optical $\mu$-resonators that address specific vibrational modes to Stokes or antiStokes sides. Besides MIM structures, there may also be interest in using metal-insulator-dielectric nanocavities, particularly in the mid-IR. Strong enhancements would thus open access to a wider range of molecules previously ignored due to their low Raman cross-
sections. Such combinations of molecular nanocavities and plasmonic micro-resonators may find beneficial uses for optimum light trapping [50] and low-cost infrared detection [18].

**METHODS**

**Sample preparation: Photolithography and μ-resonator fabrication.** The SiO$_2$ substrates are spin coated with Ti Prime, which acts as an adhesion layer, at 3000 rpm for 20 s and 1000 rpm acceleration. Ti Prime-coated substrates are placed onto a hot plate and baked for 120 s at 120 °C. Next, 1 μm of a positive photoresist (AZ MIR 701 29CP, MicroChemicals) is spin coated (4000 rpm for 30 s and 1000 rpm acceleration) and later soft-baked at 90 °C for 90 s. For photolithography, we used a fully customised laser printer with laser source at a wavelength of 375nm (ProtoLaser LDI, LPKF). 100 × 100 μm$^2$ array is exposed resulting in disk patterns of 6 μm in diameter. We develop the exposed area by immersing the structures into deionized (DI) water and sonicate for 25 s gently. Further, we deposit 100 nm of Au with aid of an E-beam evaporator (at 0.5 nm/s, Kurt J. Lesker). To lift-off the Au-coated resist, we dipped the samples in an acetone solution for 2 h and left to dry resulting in an array of 100 nm thick Au disk μ-resonators on SiO$_2$ substrates.

**Self-assembly nanocavities.** To create nanometre-scale cavities, we use bottom-up molecular nanoassembly. To do so the disk μ-resonators are immersed in 1mM biphenyl-4-thiol (BPT, Sigma Aldrich, 97%) solution in anhydrous ethanol (Sigma Aldrich, <0.003% H$_2$O) for 12 h. BPT forms a SAM of 1.3 ± 0.1 nm directly on the disks through Au-S bond. Further, citrate-capped 80 nm Au NPs (BBI Solutions) are deposited by drop casting on the BPT-coated Au-disks. The deposition time is about 20 s (depends on the NPs density). The excess of NPs is flushed off with DI water and left to dry.

**Spectroscopy.** Elastic (dark-field) and inelastic light scattering (SERS) measurements performed in a modified optical microscope (Olympus BX51) setup similar to Ref. [47]. Briefly, NPoRs are placed on a motorised stage (Prior Scientific H101) which is controlled by an in-house Python code. A halogen lamp is used for dark-field and a spectrally filtered 632.8 nm diode laser (70 mW, Matchbox, Integrated Optics) for SERS measurements with the aid of a long working distance objective lens (×100 0.8 NA). For SERS, the laser light is filtered with a pair of notch filters (633 ± 2nm, Thorlabs) then is focused with aid of tube lens into spectrograph (Andor Shamrock i303) and a Newton EMCCD camera. For dark-field spectroscopy, the reflected light from the sample is collected through the same high NA objective and splitted to an imaging camera (Lumenera Infinity3-1) and a fibre-coupled spectrometer (Ocean Optics QEPRO). For remote SERS experiments, inelastically scattered light from the sample is filtered with a pair of notch filters at 633 nm, expanded and collimated on a high resolution CMOS camera (Prime BSI, Teledyne Photometrics).
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