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Functional analysis of APP

DNA was extracted using standard procedures, and APP rs2154481 was analyzed using a specific Taqman® SNP genotyping assay (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) in the 7900-HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Total RNA was isolated from 60 mg of frozen cerebellum specimens, obtained from the Neurological Tissue Bank (Barcelona). Brain tissue was homogenized in liquid nitrogen and transferred into the TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). The conventional phenol–chloroform protocol was followed by a column-based RNA purification method using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Reverse transcription was performed using the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL).

Expression analyses of APP lncRNA and mRNA were performed by a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) using Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) and 200 nM of each primer. The following primer sequences were used for APP lncRNA and mRNA: Forward 5’-AAGAGAAGACCCGTGCTAACC-3’; Reverse 5’-GCTATTGAGGCTGACATTGAGA-3’; and Forward 5’-CCATTTCAGAAAGCCAAAG-3’, Reverse 5’-TCTTGCCATGTTGTCTCC-3’, respectively. The samples were assayed in duplicate and normalized to GAPDH (Forward 5’-TGCACCACACTGTTAGC-3’; Reverse 5’-GCCATGGACTGTTGTCATGAG-3’).

The expression levels were determined by the 2-ΔΔCt method. The Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc analysis was applied for comparisons among diagnostic groups, and Spearman’s rank coefficient was used for correlation among expression analyses. Adjusted statistical significance was set at α = 0.05. Built-in functions and “ggplot2” (v3.1.1, Wickham 2016) implemented in R statistical software (v3.6.0) were used for plots and statistical analyses.

Neuropathological examination and disease evaluation were performed at the Neurological Tissue Bank of the Biobanc Hospital Clinic–Institut d’Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS) according to standardized protocols and international consensus criteria. The study (IIBSP-DFT-2018-97) was approved by the local ethics committees of both the Neurological Tissue Bank and the Sant Pau Research Institute (Barcelona, Spain).

Functional annotation by FUMA

Annotation of candidate SNPs in genomic risk loci

The functional effects of SNPs on genes were obtained by performing ANNOVAR using Ensembl genes (build 85). Note that SNPs can be annotated to more than one gene in the case of intergenic SNPs, which are annotated to the two closest up- and downstream genes. CADD scores, RegulomeDB scores, and the 15-core chromatin state are annotated to all SNPs in 1000 Genomes phase 3 by matching chromosome, position, reference and alternative alleles.

Positional mapping maps SNPs to genes based on physical distance (within a 10 Kb window) from known protein-coding genes in the human reference assembly (GRCh37/hg19). Two scores of intolerance to functional mutations are annotated: the probability of being loss-of-function intolerant (pLI) and the noncoding residual variation intolerance score (ncRVIS).

eQTL mapping maps significant SNPs and SNPs in LD to genes with which they show a significant eQTL association or where the same allelic variation at the SNP is associated with the expression level of that gene. eQTL mapping uses information from 45 tissue types in 3 data repositories (GTEx v6, Blood eQTL browser, BIOS QTL browser) and is based on cis-eQTLs, which can map SNPs to genes up to 1 Mb apart. We used a false discovery rate of 0.05 to define significant eQTL associations. Chromatin interaction mapping was performed to map SNPs to genes given three-dimensional DNA–DNA interaction between the SNP region and another gene region.

MAGMA for gene analysis and gene set analysis

FUMA uses input GWAS summary statistics to compute gene-based P-values (gene analysis) and gene set P-values (gene set analysis) using the MAGMA tool. For gene analysis, the gene-based P-value is
computed for protein-coding genes by mapping SNPs to genes if the SNPs are located within the genes. For gene set analysis, the gene set P-value is computed using the gene-based P-value for 4,728 curated gene sets (including canonical pathways) and 6,166 GO terms obtained from MsigDB v5.2. For both analyses, the default MAGMA setting (the SNP-wise model for gene analysis and the competitive model for gene set analysis) was used, and the Bonferroni correction (gene) or FDR (gene set) was used to correct for multiple testing.

**Gene expression dataset and gene set enrichment test**

The GENE2FUNC process annotates the prioritized genes in a biological context and visualizes their tissue-specific expression patterns as an interactive heatmap based on GTEx v6 RNA-seq data for each gene. Normalized gene expression data (RPKM or read per kilobase per million) from the GTEx portal v68 for 53 tissue types was processed. To test for the overrepresentation of biological functions, a list of prioritized genes was tested against gene sets obtained from MsigDB and WikiPathways using hypergeometric tests. FUMA reported gene sets with an adjusted P-value of ≤ 0.05, and the number of genes that overlap with the gene set was > 1 by default. Multiple testing correction was performed per data source of the tested gene sets.

**Expression data in public databases**

We use GTEx Portal and BrainSeq eQTL Browser to identify expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) in the genome wide significant loci in this study. For GTEx we download the multi-tissue eQTL comparison (data Source: GTEx. Analysis Release V8 (dbGaP Accession phs000424.v8.p2), see details in https://gtexportal.org/home/.

The BrainSeq is based on the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) polyA+ RNA-seq on 738 subjects spanning the lifespan and three main psychiatric diagnostic groups (Schizophrenia, Major Depression Disorder, and Bipolar Disorder). BrainSeq identified eQTLs in the DLPFC using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) and genotype data. The eQTL modeling tested for additive genetic effects on expression while adjusting for sex, ancestry (multidimensional scaling components), and expression heterogeneity (principal components). Significant eQTLs were those SNP-feature pairs with a false discovery rate (FDR) less than 1%. The “DLPFC - All” database was used. For more details, see http://eqtl.brainseq.org/phase1/eqtl/.

**Follow-up datasets**

We present short descriptions of the 16 cohorts, often including multiple sites or studies that contributed to this manuscript (Supplementary Data 1).

**The European Alzheimer’s Disease DNA Biobank dataset (EADB)** contains 14,546 AD cases and 19,207 controls analyzed mainly from four nodes: EADB-France, EADB-Germany, EADB-Netherlands, and EADB-Australia.

**EADB-France**

In the France node (EADB-nodeFRA), samples were collected from nine countries (39 centers), and after processing the data, we determined 10,374 AD cases and 11,321 controls (Supplementary Data 12): Belgium (552 AD cases and 1,040 controls), the Czech Republic (341 AD cases and 35 controls), Finland (668 AD cases and 1,235 controls), France (2,166 AD cases and 3,749 controls), Greece (536 AD cases and 103 controls), Italy (2,636 AD cases and 1,080 controls), Spain (470 AD cases and 533 controls), Sweden (755 AD cases and 1,454 controls), and the United Kingdom (2,230 AD cases and 2,092 controls).

**Belgium**: The participants were part of a large prospective cohort of Belgian AD patients and healthy elderly control individuals. The patients were ascertained at the memory clinic of Middelheim and Hoge Beuken (Hospital Network Antwerp, Belgium) and at the memory clinic of the University Hospitals of Leuven, Belgium. The control individuals were the partners of the patients or volunteers from the Belgian community. The study protocols were approved by the ethics committees of the Antwerp University Hospital and the participating neurology centers at the different hospitals of the BELNEU consortium and by the University of Antwerp.

**Czech Republic**: The Czech Brain Aging Study (CBAS) is a longitudinal memory-clinic–based study recruiting subjects at risk of dementia (subjects referred for cognitive complaints—SCD, MCI). The CBAS+ study is a cross-sectional study of patients in the early stages of dementia. Both studies were signed by all subjects and were approved by the local ethics committee.
Finland: The ADGEN cohort\textsuperscript{16} is a clinic-based collection of AD patients from Eastern and Northern Finland examined in the Department of Neurology in Kuopio University Hospital and the Department of Neurology in Oulu University Hospital. All the patients were diagnosed with probable AD according to the criteria of the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer’s disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS–ADRSA). The study was approved by the ethics committee of Kuopion University Hospital, Finland (420/2016).

The FINGER study\textsuperscript{17} is a Finnish multi-domain lifestyle RCT enrolling 1,260 older adults with an increased risk of dementia from the general population. The intensive lifestyle intervention lasted for two years, and follow-up extends currently up to seven years. The FINGER study was approved by the coordinating ethics committee of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa (94/13/03/00/2009 and HUS/1204/2017), and all the participants gave written informed consent.

France: The BALTAZAR multicenter (23 memory centers) prospective study\textsuperscript{18} included 1,040 participants from September 2010 to April 2015. They were classified as AD cases (n = 501) according to DSM IV-TR and NINCDS–ADRSA criteria as well as amnestic mild cognitive impairment (MCI) cases (a MCI, n = 417) and non-amnestic MCI cases (na MCI, n = 122) according to Petersen’s criteria. A comprehensive battery of cognitive tests was performed, including MMSE, verbal fluency, and FCSRT. All the participants or their legal guardians gave written informed consent. The study was approved by the Paris ethics committee (CPP Ile de France IV Saint Louis Hospital).

MEMENTO is a clinic-based study\textsuperscript{19} aimed at better understanding the natural history of AD, dementia, and related diseases. Between 2011 and 2014, 2,323 individuals presenting either recently diagnosed MCI or isolated cognitive complaints were enrolled in 26 memory centers in France. This study was performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. The MEMENTO study protocol has been approved by the local ethics committee (Comité de Protection des Personnes Sud-Ouest et Outre Mer III; approval number 2010-A01394-35). All the participants provided written informed consent.

The CNRMAJ-Rouen study\textsuperscript{20} provided early onset AD patients (n = 870). The patients or their legal guardians provided written informed consent. This study was approved by the ethics committee of CPP Ile de France II. The Karolinska Institutet AD cohort participated with AD cases (n = 444). All parts were approved by the ethics committee (Dnr484/02 och 485/02 and 2017/834-31/1).

Italy: The Milan samples were progressively recruited during different study\textsuperscript{21–23} protocols. The cohort is composed of outpatients with AD referred to the geriatric unit of the Fondazione IRCCS Ca’ Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico (Milan, Italy). The control group is constituted by age-matched octogenarians without cognitive decline. An ethical statement was attained from the Fondazione IRCCS Ca’ Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico. All the subjects gave their informed consent to participate in the study. The PoliMi cohort was formed by consecutive patients referred to the Alzheimer Center of the Policlinico Academic Hospital (Milan, Italy).

Spain: The participants of the Sant Pau Initiative on Neurodegeneration\textsuperscript{24} (SPIN) cohort provided informed consent to donate blood and cerebrospinal fluid samples, receive detailed neurological and neuropsychological evaluations, and undergo structural 3T brain MRI scans. The participants are followed annually for a minimum of four years, with repeated cerebrospinal fluid collection and imaging studies performed every other year, and brain donation is encouraged. At the moment of inclusion, the details of the protocol are explained, and verbal and signed informed consent is obtained from all the participants. All the protocols were approved by the ethics committee of the Sant Pau Hospital.

Sweden: Swedish National Study on Aging and Care in Kungsholmen (SNAC-K) data was collected. The original SNAC-K population consisted of 4590 living and eligible persons who lived on the island of Kungsholmen in Central Stockholm, belonged to pre-specified age strata, and were randomly selected to take part in the study. Between 2001 and 2004, 3363 persons participated in the baseline assessment. They belonged to the age cohorts 60, 66, 72, 78, 81, 84, 87, 90, 93, and 96 years and 99 years and older.
The examination consists of three parts: a nurse interview, a medical examination, and a neuropsychological testing session. Altogether, the examination takes about six hours. The participants are reexamined each time they reach the next age cohort. All parts of the SNAC-K project have been approved by the ethical committee at Karolinska Institutet or the regional ethical review board. Informed consent was collected from all the participants or, if the person was severely cognitively impaired, from their next of kin.

**EADB-German**

In the German node, samples were collected from seven countries: Germany, Greece, Switzerland, Portugal, Spain, Belgium, and Bulgaria. To avoid problems of population stratification, each country with AD and controls was analyzed separately.

**EADB-GER** was configured by seven datasets from Germany comprising 1,228 AD cases and 1,799 controls: the VOGEL study, the Heidelberg/Mannheim memory clinic sample, the PAGES study, the Technische Universität München study, the Göttingen Universität study, the German Dementia Competence Network (DCN) cohort, and the German Study on Aging, Cognition, and Dementia (AgeCoDe).

- **The VOGEL study**: The VOGEL study is a prospective, observational, long-term follow-up study with three time points of investigation within 6–8 years. This cohort includes dementia and healthy subjects. Residents of the city of Würzburg born between 1936 and 1941 were recruited. Every participant underwent physical, psychiatric, and laboratory exams and performed intense neuropsychological testing as well as VSEP and NIRS according to the published procedures. A total of 604 subjects were included. The mean age of the participants was 73.9 ± 1.55 years.

- **The Heidelberg/Mannheim memory clinic sample**: This cohort includes 61 subjects from whom 40 MCI patients were recruited and assessed between 2012 and 2016. Some of those patients converted to dementia by AD or other dementias.

- **The PAGES study**: This study includes 301 subjects. AD patients were recruited at the memory clinic of the Department of Psychiatry, University of Munich, Germany. Participants in whom dementia associated with AD was diagnosed fulfilled the criteria for probable AD according to the NINCDS–ADRDA. The control group included participants who were randomly selected from the general population of Munich. Controls who had central nervous system diseases or psychiatric disorders or who had first-degree relatives with psychiatric disorders were excluded.

- **The Technische Universität München study**: This cohort includes 359 healthy, AD, and other dementias patients recruited from the Centre for Cognitive Disorders. All the participants provided written informed consent. A biobank was submitted to the ethics committee of the Technical University of Munich, School of Medicine (Munich, Germany), which raised no objections and approved the biobank (reference number 347-14).

- **The Göttingen Universität study**: This study includes 111 in- and outpatients with a healthy or AD dementia status from the Department of Psychiatry of the University of Göttingen. The study’s ethical statement was provided locally at the Göttingen University Medical Centre.

- **The German Dementia Competence Network (DCN) cohort**: Individuals from the DCN cohort were recruited from 14 university hospital memory clinics across Germany between 2003 and 2005. The study was approved by the respective ethics committees, and written informed consent was obtained from all the participants prior to inclusion.

- **The German Study on Aging, Cognition, and Dementia (AgeCoDe)**: The AgeCoDe study is a general practice (GP) registry-based longitudinal study in elderly individuals that recruited patients aged 75 years and above in six German cities from 2003 to 2004. The study was approved by the respective ethics committees, and written informed consent was obtained from all the participants prior to inclusion.

**EADB-SWI** was configured by two datasets from Switzerland and Austria, totaling 181 AD cases and 401 controls: the Lausanne study and the VITA study.
The Lausanne study: This study includes 137 community-dwelling participants aged 55+ years with cognitive impairment (memory clinic patients with MCI, dementia) or normal cognition (recruited by advertisement, word of mouth). The study’s ethical statement was provided locally at the Department of Psychiatry, Geneva University Centre, Switzerland.

The VITA study: This is a longitudinal study of 606 individuals (Vienna, Austria) who were 75 years old in 2000, followed up every 30–90 months. This cohort includes dementia and healthy subjects. All the participants gave written informed consent. The study conformed to the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethics committee of the City of Vienna, Austria.

**EADB-GRE** was configured by the HELIAD study from Greece, comprising 49 AD cases and 1,150 controls. HELIAD is a population-based, multidisciplinary, collaborative study designed to estimate, in the Greek population over the age of 64 years, the prevalence and incidence of MCI, AD, other forms of dementia, and other neuropsychiatric conditions of aging and to investigate associations between nutrition and cognitive dysfunction or age-related neuropsychiatric diseases. The participants were selected through random sampling from the records of two Greek municipalities, Larissa and Marousi. All the participants signed informed consent in Greek.

**EADB-POR** was configured by the Lisbon study from Portugal, totaling 78 AD cases and 74 controls. This cohort was recruited in 2008–2009 to investigate the connections between oxidative stress and lipid dyshomeostasis in AD. The project includes 190 subjects and was approved by the local ethics committee, and all the participants provided written informed consent. This study includes healthy and dementia-by-AD subjects.

**EADB-F-ACE/BBB** represents the Spanish samples. This sub-cohort configured an extra and independent dataset comprising 1008 AD cases and 1386 controls. A fraction of the AD cases (n = 332) were pathological, confirmed by the Biobanc Hospital Clinic–IDIBAPS7 (Barcelona, Spain; www.clinicbiobanc.org), following the guidelines and approval of the local ethics committee. The remaining cases (n = 676) were diagnosed based on clinical criteria from Fundación ACE. These individuals were recruited following a methodology identical to that previously described for the GR@ACE discovery cohort.

**EADB-Netherlands**

The **EADB-NL** dataset contains 1,895 AD cases and 2,865 controls recruited by three studies: the Amsterdam Dementia Cohort (ADC), the Longitudinal Aging Study of Amsterdam (LASA), and the 100-Plus study. The Medical Ethics Committee (METC) of the VU University Medical Centre approved the three studies. All the participants and/or their legal guardians gave written informed consent for participation in the clinical and genetic studies.

The **100-Plus study**: This study includes Dutch-speaking individuals who (i) can provide official evidence for being aged 100 years or older, (ii) self-report to be cognitively healthy, which is confirmed by a proxy, (iii) consent to the donation of a blood sample, (iv) consent to (at least) two home visits from a researcher, and (v) consent to undergo an interview and neuropsychological test battery28.

The **Longitudinal Aging Study of Amsterdam (LASA)**: This ongoing longitudinal study, initiated in 1991, represents a sample of individuals aged 55–85 years from the Netherlands29,30.

The **Amsterdam Dementia Cohort (ADC)**: This cohort comprises patients who visit the memory clinic of the VU University Medical Centre, the Netherlands. The diagnosis of probable AD is based on the clinical criteria formulated by the NINCDS–ADRDA and based on the NIA–AA31,32.

**EADB-Australia**

The **Sydney MAS study** is a longitudinal study investigating MCI, related syndromes, and age-related cognitive change. Older adults (70–90 years old) were randomly recruited from the community in Sydney, Australia (n = 1,037). An extensive interview was undertaken and questionnaire data collected, including demographics, cognitive performance, and medical history. The majority of participants provided blood samples for genetic analysis. Neuroimaging was performed on a subset of participants. Ethics approval for
The study was provided by the ethics committee of the University of New South Wales and the Illawarra Area Health Service Human Research Ethics Committee. All the participants provided written informed consent to join the study. More information is provided in Sachdev et al.33 In our study, we included 43 cases and 215 controls.

The Alzheimer workgroup initiative of the Psychiatric Genomic Consortium (PGC–ALZ) was configured by three nonpublic datasets with 2,737 AD cases and 14,800 controls (the Norwegian DemGene network, the Swedish Twin Studies of Aging, and TwinGene). Further details can be found in Jansen et al.2.

The Norwegian DemGene Network: This is a Norwegian network of clinical sites collecting cases from memory clinics based on a standardized examination of cognitive, functional, and behavioral measures and data on the progression of most patients. The Norwegian DemGene Network includes 2,224 cases and 1,855 healthy controls from different studies described elsewhere2. The cases were diagnosed according to recommendations from the NIA–AA, the NINCDS–ADRDA criteria, or the ICD-10 research criteria. The controls were screened with a standardized interview and cognitive tests. Individuals from the DemGene study were genotyped using the Human OmniExpress-24 v1.1 (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) at deCODE Genetics (Reykjavik, Iceland).

The Swedish Twin Studies of Aging (STSA): These include three sub-studies of aging within the Swedish Twin Registry (n cases = 398, n controls = 1,079). Informed consent was obtained from all the participants, and the studies were approved by the regional ethics board in Stockholm and the institutional review board at the University of Southern California. DNA was extracted from blood samples and genotyped using Illumina Infinium PsychArray. The AD patients were diagnosed as part of the studies according to the NINCDS–ADRDA criteria.

TwinGene: This is a population-based study of older twins drawn from the Swedish Twin Registry. Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants, and the study was approved by the regional ethics board in Stockholm. DNA was extracted from blood samples and genotyped using Illumina Human OmniExpress.

We also configured the GR@ACE replication, an extra and independent dataset comprising 516 AD cases and 686 controls. This dataset was incorporated only for replication purposes. The GR@ACE dataset was recruited following a methodology identical to that described for the GR@ACE discovery cohort.

The Clinical AD Sweden and the Gothenburg Birth Cohort Studies (GBCS) consist of AD cases recruited at memory clinics in different Swedish cities34. The study was approved by the local ethical commissions at the respective academic centers, and the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki were followed. In our study, the AD and GBCS cohorts contributed to 514 AD cases and 3,467 controls. The GBCS cohort consists of data from four studies that recruited individuals representative of the Swedish population (controls). The sample with genetic data includes individuals from the Prospective Population Study of Women (PPSW), on women who have been followed since 1968 (age at inclusion 38–60)35; individuals born in 1930 and 1944 from the H70 study, which includes longitudinally followed samples recruited at age 7036,37; individuals born in 1923–1924 from the H85 study, which includes longitudinally followed samples recruited at age 8538; and individuals from the 95-Plus study, recruited between 1996 and 2012 and followed until death39. The studies were approved by the regional ethical review board in Gothenburg, and informed consent was obtained from all the participants and/or their relatives in cases of dementia.

The Neocodex–Murcia study (NxC) includes 324 sporadic AD patients and 754 controls of unknown cognitive status from the Spanish general population collected by Neocodex40,41. AD patients were diagnosed as having possible or probable AD in accordance with the NINCDS–ADRDA criteria42.

The AddNeuroMed Study (ADDN) was a public–private partnership for biomarker discovery and replication in AD43–45. It was a multicenter study in Europe, with the first patient enrolled in January 2006 and the last in February 2008. The study protocol was planned for a baseline assessment visit, with follow-ups every three months for the first year and then annual visits that continued through 2013. The study enrolled a total of 258 AD, 257 MCI, and 266 controls, but not all had complete data at each assessment. In our study, we included 450 cases and 187 controls. This dataset was downloaded from Synapse46.
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**Supplementary Figure 1. Genome-wide association study.** a-c, Principal component analysis and QQ-plot for the GR@ACE dataset. d, QQplot Discovery meta-analysis. e, Correlation between the effect estimates from the AD case-control and AD by proxy approach for the significant loci. We compared the results obtained to a second meta-analysis using only the case-control datasets (IGAP Stages 1–2) and GR@ACE datasets as a sensitivity analysis to identify false negative results given possible dilution by the by-proxy approach in the UK Biobank (Supplementary Data 3). The meta-analysis, including the by-proxy summary statistics, identified 11 additional loci reaching genome-wide significance with respect to case-control-only results. The incorporation of by-proxy summary statistics did not show an association in two previously reported AD loci (rs7185636-IQCK and rs386572859-MAPT) by the IGAP consortium and replicated in the GR@ACE dataset (OR = 0.93[0.90–0.95], p = 4.5×10⁻⁸ and OR = 0.81[0.75–0.87], p = 7.9×10⁻⁹, respectively). .................................9

**Supplementary Figure 2. Forest plots for the six novel signals identified in overall meta-analysis.** See sample size in Supplementary Data 1. Data are presented as Odds Ratio (95% CI). .................................10

**Supplementary Figure 3. LocusZoom and forest plots: strengthened evidence of association with AD for three additional genomic loci.** a, HS3ST1 loci. b, IL34 loci and c, PLCG2 loci. See sample size in Supplementary Data 1. Data for the forest plots are presented as Odds Ratio (95% CI). The first was rs4351014 with AD (combined OR = 0.94 [0.92–0.95], p = 9.2×10⁻¹²). This variant is in a gene-poor region but has been previously linked to HS3ST1. The second was a stop codon mutation (rs4985556, Tyr213Ter, MAF = 0.111) in the interleukin 34 (IL34) gene that was previously reported (Marioni et al. 2018) to be associated in a by-proxy approach (combined OR = 1.08 [1.06–1.11], p = 3.9×10⁻¹⁰). The third genomic region contains the PLCG2 gene, which has been associated with AD twice before (the rare missense variant p.P522R in the PLCG2 gene and rs12444183 near the promotor region of PLCG2). After the combination of discovery and follow-up, a third independent association signal emerged in the PLCG2 region (rs3935877, effect allele frequency = 0.868, OR = 0.92 [0.90–0.95], p = 6.9×10⁻⁹). We also strengthened the association of PLCG2-rs12444183 with AD (MAF = 0.407, combined OR = 0.95 [0.93–0.96], p = 6.8×10⁻¹²). Conditional analyses in the PLCG2 region showed that the association signals of all three variants (including the missense variant p.P522R in PLCG2) are independent (Supplementary Data 13). A conditional analysis on the nearby SCIMP locus (333 Kb) (Supplementary Data 14) showed similar effects after adjustment for SCIMP (and vice versa), in line with the fact that the two independent signals are in weak LD (R² = 0.139, D' = 0.446). .................................11

**Supplementary Figure 4. Functional analysis.** a, Diagram of functional interpretation by 4 FUMA strategies. To link the novel variants to specific genes and functional motifs in their genomic regions, we applied different strategies implemented on the FUMA platform. FUMA helps to generate hypotheses that are testable in functional experiments aimed at proving causal relations. The genes APP, IL34, CHRNE, PLCG2, and SHARPIN were the most likely candidate genes in the regions as they were implicated in at least three mapping strategies (Supplementary Data 15–18). b, Differential tissue expression for APP eQTL according to GTEx. Data are presented as Normalized effect size (NES) with 95% CI. c, Differential expression of IncAPP and mRNA in AD cases and controls (n=34 IncAPP and n=31 mRNA biologically independent samples). No significant differences were found between the total expression of the IncAPP (AP001439.2) and
mRNA expression in the brain case/control samples. d, Differential expression of lncAPP and mRNA stratified by genotype. The allelic frequency was as expected (MAF = 0.41), as well as the eQTL effect for mRNA (CC>TC>TT) and lncAPP (CC<TC<TT) according to GTEx. e, Expression of lncAPP and mRNA stratified by rs2154481 allele C carriers or non-carriers in AD cases and controls respectively. Interestingly, we saw an increase in the expression of the lncAPP associated with the T allele that seems more exacerbated in the patients than in the controls. If so, the protective C allele (rs2154481) would also be associated with a decrease in the expression of the lncAPP, thus being able to modify the final expression of APP. In c-e, data are represented as boxplots where the middle line is the median, the lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, the whiskers extend to the hinge to the inter-quartile range (IQR), while data beyond the end of the whiskers are outlying points that are plotted individually according to the manual of ggplot2 package in R.

Supplementary Figure 5. Expression for CHRNE eQTL. a, Differential tissue expression for CHRNE eQTL according to GTEX. Data are presented as Normalized effect size (NES) with 95% CI. b-c, Expression of the CHRNE transcript in the brain according to BrainSeq and GTEx respectively. Data are represented as boxplots where the middle line is the median, the lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, the whiskers extend to the hinge to the inter-quartile range (IQR), while data beyond the end of the whiskers are outlying points that are plotted individually according to the manual of ggplot2 package in R.
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