

Mortality, population and community dynamics of the glass sponge dominated community "The Forest of the Weird" from the Ridge Seamount, Johnston Atoll, Pacific Ocean.

1 Emily Mitchell^{1*}, Simon Harris²

2 ¹Deep-time Ecology Group, Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

3 ² National Geological Repository store, British Geological Survey, Nicker Hill, Keyworth,
4 Nottingham, UK.

5 * Correspondence:

6 Corresponding Author

7 ek338@cam.ac.uk

8 **Keywords: Sponges, benthic ecology, deep-sea ecology, Bayesian Network Inference,**
9 **community ecology, mortality dynamics, spatial point process analyses, ecological network.**

10 Abstract

11 The ecosystem dynamics of benthic communities depend on the relative importance of organism
12 reproductive traits, environmental factors, inter-specific interactions and mortality processes. The
13 fine-scale community ecology of sessile organisms can be investigated using spatial analyses because
14 the position of the specimens on the substrate (their spatial positions) reflects the biological and
15 ecological processes that they were subject to in-life. Consequently, spatial point process analyses
16 (SPPA) and Bayesian network inference (BNI) can be used to reveal key insights into the ecological
17 dynamics of these deep-sea communities. Here we use these analyses to investigate the ecology of
18 deep-sea glass sponge dominated community "The Forest of the Weird" (2442m depth, Ridge
19 Seamount, Johnston Atoll, Pacific Ocean). A 3D reconstruction was made of this community using
20 photogrammetry of video stills taken from high-resolution ROV video. The community was
21 dominated by two genera of Hexactinellids: Farreidae *Aspidoscopulia* sp. and Euplectellidae
22 *Advhena magnifica* with octocorals *Narella bowersi*, *Narella macrocalyx* and *Rhodaniridogorgia*
23 also present in large proportions. SPPA of the dead versus alive organisms revealed a random
24 distribution of dead amongst the living, showing a non-density dependent cause of death for the
25 majority of taxa. However, in the high-density ridge crest region there was non-random aggregation
26 of dead specimens, revealing density-dependent mortality for *Aspidoscopulia*. SPPA showed that the
27 glass sponges and octocorals were each most strongly influenced by different underlying processes,
28 and reacted to the environmental conditions differently. The octocorals responded to higher density
29 areas with increased intra-specific competition, whilst the glass-sponges seemed impervious to a
30 doubling of specimen density. BNI found that mutual habitat associations between different taxa
31 resulted in inter-specific competition at larger (2-4m) spatial scales, with instances of competition at
32 small-spatial scales (<0.75m) in the higher-density ridge crest section. To our knowledge, this study
33 is the first to analyze the mortality, population and community dynamics of a deep-sea sponge
34 community using spatial point process analyses. Our results provide the first insight into the variety
35 of ecological behaviors of these different glass sponges and octocorals, and show how these different
36 organisms have developed diverse responses to the biological and environmental gradients within
37 their habitat.

1 Introduction

Sponge and coral dominated deep-sea communities are complex habitats, providing habitat and refuge for other benthos creating biodiversity hotspots in the deep ocean (Buhl-Mortensen et al., 2010; Hogg, 2010; Maldonado et al., 2017; Rossi et al., 2017). Deep-sea sponges create these biodiversity hotspots by providing biogenic structures which increase vertical habitat complexity, providing substrate and refugia for macroinvertebrates and demersal fish (Dayton, 1972; Dayton et al., 2013; Dunham et al., 2018; Kazanidis et al., 2016; Maldonado et al., 2017; Meyer et al., 2019; Vieira et al., 2020). Sponges also provide a key link between benthic and pelagic systems, by pumping and filtering large quantities of water (Bell, 2008; Reiswig, 1974), and so increase diversity beyond providing a hard substrate (Mitchell, Whittle, et al., 2020). Environmental settings have a strong influence on benthic community composition and density across multiple different scales, from global latitudinal and depth gradients to the kilometer and meter scale. Sponge distributions are influenced by different factors such as depth, surface temperature, silicate levels, salinity, sea-floor characteristics and POC over broad scales (Beazley et al., 2015, 2018; Howell et al., 2016; Murillo et al., 2020). Sponges, corals and other filter feeders often found on elevated features such as seamounts (Clark et al., 2010; Genin et al., 1986; Lundsten et al., 2009), hills (Durden et al., 2015), mounds and ridges (Chu & Leys, 2010), where raised seabed morphology often induces faster currents providing a richer flow and deposition of organic matter (Howell et al., 2016; Lundsten et al., 2009). Seamounts and other elevated seafloor features often have high species richness and diversity (Richer de Forges et al., 2000; Rowden et al., 2010; Samadi et al., 2006), different community composition and structure (McClain & Barry, 2010; McClain et al., 2009; Mitchell, Durden, et al., 2020) with sponges further contributing to this high diversity (Beaulieu, 2001; Hawkes et al., 2019; Kahn et al., 2015).

Ecological analyses of sponge populations and communities have mostly focused on specific ecological processes, such as the importance of episodic recruitment events (Dayton et al., 2016; P. Dayton, 1989; Dayton et al., 2013), distribution patterns (Beazley et al., 2018; Howell et al., 2016; Kenchington et al., 2013; Knudby et al., 2013; Murillo et al., 2020), associations of taxa with the habitat complexity (Robert et al., 2017) or competition and facilitation between sponges (Dayton, 1971; Easson et al., 2014). As such, less is known about the community dynamics in terms of the relative occurrence of multiple different sorts of processes within communities, such as dispersal limitation, habitat associations, facilitation and competition. In this study we infer multiple different types of intra and inter-taxa interactions and associations at the centimeter to meter scale using Spatial Point Process Analyses (SPPA) and Bayesian Network Inference (BNI).

SPPA capitalizes on the spatial position of organisms on the substrate because there are only four different sets of processes which can influence these positions: 1) interactions with environment, 2) dispersal limitation, 3) interactions such as facilitation and competition within and between taxa populations and 4) density-dependent mortality processes (Illian et al., 2008; Wiegand & Moloney, 2013). Therefore, by analyzing the spatial distributions of the sessile community, the most likely underlying processes for the patterns can be inferred using Spatial Point Process Analyses (SPPA). SPPA has been developed extensively for use in forest ecology, but is equally applicable to other sessile organisms such as fungi (Liang et al., 2007) and corals (Muko et al., 2014). Within SPPA each organism is treated as a point and spatial distributions are calculated using distance measures such as pair correlation functions (PCFs) which then describe how the density of points change over different spatial scales (Illian et al., 2008). SPPA has not been widely applied to sessile animal communities, but has been used to investigate coral colony aggregations (Muko et al., 2014), to consider mortality due to adult proximity (Gibbs & Hay, 2015), and has been suggested for

quantifying changes over time (Piazza et al., 2020). Most SPPA studies of benthic communities have focused on disease spread through sponge and coral populations e.g. (Deignan & Pawlik, 2015; Easson et al., 2013; Jolles et al., 2002; Muller & Woesik, 2012; Zvuloni et al., 2009) with limited numbers of analyses using SPPA to investigate population spatial aggregations (Prado et al., 2019). These previous studies on benthic communities mostly focus on describing the spatial patterns found. However, the power of SPPA is the methodological techniques that enable the fitting of multiple different models, which correspond to different underlying processes, to determine the most likely processes within these benthic communities, and thus the driving factors behind their community ecology (cf. Mitchell et al., 2019).

When considering interactions between pairs of taxa, auto-correlation from chains of interactions need to be eliminated to ensure only causal relationships are reported. For example, if taxon A directly interacts with taxon B and B interacts directly with taxon C, we could expect a non-random spatial distribution between A and C. However, this non-random distribution would not be the result of a direct interaction, but would just be a reflection of the two interactions between A and B and B and C. One approach to finding only realized dependencies between taxa is using Bayesian network inference (BNI) to reconstruct the ecological network of the community (Heckerman et al., 1995) and then apply bivariate SPPA analyses to these BNI dependencies (Mitchell & Butterfield, 2018). Bayesian networks are probabilistic models that show causal relationships between variables, whereby different variables are the network nodes; and where a dependency exists between two nodes, this is depicted as an edge (Heckerman, et al., 1995). BNIs can infer network structures and non-linear interactions, and have been used extensively to reveal gene regulatory networks (Yu et al., 2002), neural information flow networks and ecological networks (Milns et al., 2010; Mitchell, Durden, et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2006), palaeontological communities (Mitchell & Butterfield, 2018) and more recently using these networks to infer likely changes for benthic systems (Mitchell, Whittle, et al., 2020). Note that the Bayesian network found reflects the associations caused by co-localizations rather than a specific association or interaction, which is why SPPA is needed to then infer the most likely underlying process.

As part of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration CAPSTONE field campaign, throughout 2015-2017 a series of expeditions was conducted to collect data from the previously unexplored deep-water habitats within the Pacific Remote Islands Marine National Monument (PRIMNM) region in the Pacific Ocean (Kennedy et al., 2019). The 2017 Laulima O Ka Moana Expedition was focused on increasing the knowledge of deep-sea benthic communities within this Johnston Atoll Unit of PRIMNM, in order to support science, management and conservation efforts (Malik et al., 2018). During Dive 11 of cruise EX17-06, Johnston Atoll, a very high density community which was dominated by medium to large hexactinellids was discovered on top of a ridge, informally named “The Forest of the Weird” (Hourigan et al., 2020; Kelley et al., 2018). We used NOAA video data of the sponge community “The Forest of the Weird” (hereafter FW) to create a 3D reconstruction (Robert et al., 2017) from which we then extracted a community map of the organism taxonomic identifications and positions. We used RLA to investigate mortality processes within this community, and univariate SPPA to consider the relative influences of physical and biological processes on each taxon population. BNI was used to find the direct dependencies between taxa, and then bivariate SPPA was used to infer the most likely underlying processes between the taxa pairs found by BNI. These analyses enable us to describe the mortality processes, population and community ecology of the most abundant taxa of the FW community.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Study site

In this study we have focused on the “Forest of the Weird” (FW) community, which was recorded on Dive 11 of Okeanos Explorer expedition EX1706 of the Johnston Atoll Unit in PRIMNM (Kelley et al., 2018, p. 11) (Figure 1). ROV data places the mapped community between 14°28'24.156" N, 170°51'18.231" W and 14°28'24.198" N, 170°51'17.553" W at depths of 2365m to 2370m, which corresponds to the ridge running northwest to south east consisting primarily of pillow lava formation of basalt bedrock with manganese crust, with areas of cemented cobble and basalt boulders (Kelley et al., 2018; Malik et al., 2018). The ridge slopes up to the ridge crest at an angle of 24° to 4.81m taller than the starting point, then slopes down at a 43° angle (Figure 2). The steeper area of the mapped area of the ridge (hereafter named the Ridge Crest area) was notably more dense than the gentler sloped ridge area (hereafter named the Ridge area). The FW community was observed during the dive (Kelley et al., 2018, p. 11) to be dominated by two genera of hexactinellids: *Farreidae* *Aspidoscopulia* sp. and *Euplectellidae* *Advhena magnifica*. with large proportions of the octocorals *Narella bowersi*, *Narella macrocalyx* and *Rhodaniridogorgia* (which was confirmed by our analyses, Table 1). Other octocorals *Coralliidae*, *Isididae* and *Primnoidae* were also present, but not in sufficient numbers for the analyses within this study (Kelley et al., 2018; NOAA Office of Ocean Exploration and Research, n.d.).

2.2 Video processing

With the advent of high-resolution ROV cameras and high-powered computers, it is now possible to reconstruct entire benthic communities using photogrammetry to centimeter or millimeter scale (Baker et al., 2019; Prado et al., 2019; Price et al., 2019; Robert et al., 2017, 2020), so providing greater accuracy and resolution than the meter scale of ROV location data alone (Kennedy et al., 2019). These 3D photogrammetric reconstructions avoid the problems of controlling for altitude that often accompany 2D photo-montages because the triangulation of points using photographs from multiple angles limits the possible errors – if there is not enough photographs to accurately reconstruct the area, then the 3D model will not be generated (see Robert et al. 2017 for further details). However, errors can be introduced due to specimen movement, which occurred for this dataset, so size analyses were not performed.

The video recorded from the ROV was 720p five mega-bit per second resolution (Malik et al., 2018). The 3D reconstruction of the FW community was created using photogrammetry in Agisoft Metashape 1.5.4 (formerly Agisoft Photoscan) following a similar procedure to Robert et al. 2017, with the 2D projections of the reconstruction performed in Geomagic Wrap 2015.

- 1) The start of the FW community was taken to be from the ROV track starting at 14°28'24.156" N, 170°51'18.231" W until 14°28'24.198" N, 170°51'17.553" W.
- 2) The edges of the community were taken to be the edges of the ridge. There were organisms outside this region, but the reconstruction did not have sufficient tie point matches to reconstruct the region accurately.
- 3) This segment of video was sampled at a capture rate of 1 frame/second using ffmpeg – an open source video encoder/decoder.
- 4) Out of focus and shaky frames were removed, as were frames that were close up of individual specimens and/or had other objects obstructing the view in the frame.
- 5) The files were then imported into Agisoft Metashape.
- 6) Chunks of 100 frames were auto-aligned to form a sparse point cloud.
- 7) These chunks were then aligned into the complete community which was formed from 583 out of a total 600 input frames and 131,322 tie points.

Spatial ecology of deep-sea sponges

- 8) A dense cloud (6 million points) and mesh (4.398 million faces) was created from the combined sparse point cloud.
- 9) The frames were matched to the ROV GPS tracks by using the epoch timestamp in the GPS log and matching it (using a “join query” in Access) to the image frames, which had been renamed to match. Distances were checked using photographs where 2 red laser dots (10 cm apart) to correctly scale the reconstruction.
- 10) Individual organisms were marked up and identified on the frames within the 3D reconstruction. Note that the resolution of the videos was less than that of the high-resolution photographs taken which hinders resolution for smaller specimens and also meant that very small ($\sim < 2$ cm) specimens may not have been marked.
- 11) Specimen positions were checked from multiple viewpoints.

Screen shots of the 3D reconstruction show both the strengths and weakness of the reconstruction (Figure 2). Generally the substrate and the attachment to the substrate are well resolved. The video footage focused on the ridge so that areas outside the ridge were not well resolved (and thus not included in the analyses). However, the edge of the ridge is clearly visible, and shows a notable decrease in density. The dark blue areas were the deep far-ground which was not sufficiently well resolved to be accurately reconstructed. The organisms’ tops often appeared disconnected from their base, probably due to movement, but it was straightforward to infer which holdfast they belonged to.

In order to get the 2D map for our analyses, the 3D reconstruction was exported into Geomagic Wrap, and a best-fit plane was fit to the Ridge and Ridge Crest areas respectively. The 2D maps for each area were created by rotating the specimen positions to the best-fit planes for each section separately, which were then exported then rejoined as a single 2D projection (see SI for data).

2.3 Spatial Analyses

Four different types of spatial analyses were performed on the data: 1) Random labelling analyses were used to investigate the mortality dynamics within the community. 2) Univariate spatial point process analyses (SPPA) were used to investigate the population ecology of each taxon. 3) Bayesian network inference (BNI) was used to identify primary dependencies between taxa. 4) Bivariate SPPA was used to determine the most likely underlying processes to the primary dependencies found using BNI.

The simplest scenario within SPPA analyses is that all the points (here different organisms) are randomly distributed within the study area. This random distribution is known as complete spatial randomness (CSR), and can be modelled as an homogeneous Poisson model (Illian et al., 2008). Where CSR is found to best describe the spatial distributions observed, there are no biotic and abiotic processes which significantly effect that population at the spatial scales considered. If the spatial distributions are non-CSR, then they can be aggregated, whereby the organisms are closer together than CSR; or segregated, where the organisms are more spaced out than CSR. Non-CSR distributions could also have aggregation and segregation operating at different spatial scales, which is reflecting different processes operating at different scales. Univariate or single population aggregations can be caused by habitat associations, where the taxon has an environmental preference, such as altitudes for alpine tree species (Wang et al., 2012), and these habitat associations are best modelled by heterogeneous Poisson models (Wiegand, Wiegand, et al., 2007; Wiegand & Moloney, 2013). Habitat associations can also cause univariate and bivariate segregation when the habitat which the taxon/taxa occupy is itself segregated (Mitchell & Kenchington, 2018). Univariate aggregations can also be caused by dispersal processes, whereby offspring surround their parent

(Mitchell et al., 2015), and these are best modelled by Thomas cluster models for a single reproductive event, or double Thomas cluster models for two reproductive events (Illian et al., 2008; Wiegand, Wiegand, et al., 2007). Habitat associations can also result in bivariate (between two taxon) aggregations, which can be best modelled by heterogeneous Poisson models or shared source models (also called shared parent models) where the two sets of taxon aggregate around the same set of mutually exclusive points; i.e., the focus of the taxon clusters are points that are not biological taxa, but some other ‘environmental’ factor (Wiegand, Wiegand, et al., 2007; Wiegand & Moloney, 2013). Bivariate aggregations can also be caused by facilitation whereby one taxon increases another’s chance for survival, and is modelled as a linked Thomas cluster model, with aggregations of the facilitated taxon centered on the facilitating taxon (Dale & Fortin, 2014; Dickie et al., 2005; Getzin et al., 2006). Alongside habitat associations, univariate and bivariate segregation also occurs through competition between organisms for resources (Illian et al., 2008; Wiegand, Gunatilleke, et al., 2007) where it can be modelled by hard-core (where there is no overlap of organisms within a given radius) and soft-core (where organism density is reduced) processes. While untangling processes from these spatial pattern is imprecise (Law et al., 2009; McIntire & Fajardo, 2009), the use of complementary types of SPPA with multiple model fitting and assessment means that the most likely underlying process can be inferred (Illian et al., 2008; Levin, 1992; Waagepetersen, 2009; Wiegand & Moloney, 2004, 2013).

Density-dependent mortality processes are best investigated using a subset of SPPA called Random Labelling Analyses (RLA) (Raventós et al., 2010). While density-dependent mortality processes can be detected through distance measures as described above, RLA is preferable because no assumptions need to be made about the processes underlying the initial spatial distributions, enabling the uncovering of subtle processes that may otherwise be obscured. Instead, the spatial distributions of the dead among the living are investigated in order to investigate whether the dead specimens are aggregated, indicating density-dependent mortality.

2.3.1 Random labelling analyses

To investigate mortality processes, we investigated how a state of an organism (dead or alive in this study) changes within organism locations, using Random Labelling Analyses (RLAs) (Pélissier & Goreaud, 2001; Raventós et al., 2010). We followed methods similar to Mitchell et al. 2018. RLAs are a type of SPPA whereby random models are simulated whilst the positions of the specimens remain the same and a given property, such dead or alive, is repeatedly permuted: the points remain in the same place, but the state allocated to them (representing either dead or alive) is changed. As such, RLAs do not directly measure the aggregation or segregation between two populations, and so do not test the processes that resulted in sponge location, but instead measure the differences in spatial distributions of live/dead state between two populations.

Spatial distributions are commonly described using pair correlation functions (PCFs) which describe how the density of points (i.e. sponge specimens) changes as a function of distance from the average specimen (e.g. Illian et al. 2008). RLAs assess the differences between two characters (dead/alive) of the populations by calculating variations between PCFs by considering the Difference and Quotient tests (Wiegand & Moloney, 2013). The Difference test is the calculation of the distribution of $PCF_{11} - PCF_{22}$, where PCF_{11} is the univariate PCF for group 1 and PCF_{22} is the univariate PCF for group 2. If $PCF_{11} - PCF_{22} = 0$ then both groups are randomly distributed within the locations (i.e. both groups exhibit the same spatial behavior). If $PCF_{11} - PCF_{22} > 0$, then group 1 is more aggregated than group 2; if $PCF_{11} - PCF_{22} < 0$, then group 2 is more aggregated than group 1. To further investigate the differences between the dead and alive populations, the $PCF_{21} - PCF_{22}$ and $PCF_{12} - PCF_{11}$ are calculated. These differences test the relative aggregation (or segregation) of the dead

Spatial ecology of deep-sea sponges

and alive spatial distributions compared to the relative spatial distribution of the dead to alive (and *vice versa*). If $PCF_{12} - PCF_{11} < 0$ then group 1 (alive) are positively correlated with other alive points, and if $PCF_{21} - PCF_{22} < 0$ then group 2 (dead) are positively correlated with other dead specimens. The difference $PCF_{12} - PCF_{21}$ was used to test for edge effects within the study area. If there are excursions outside the Monte Carlo simulations (as is common at larger spatial scales), then edge effects have a significant impact on the analyses, and the scales over which the analyses are done should be reduced.

The Quotient test calculates the bivariate PCF between groups relative to the pattern of both groups taken together (the joined pattern), where PCF_{12} is the bivariate distribution of group 2 relative to group 1 and PCF_{21} is the bivariate distribution of group 1 relative to group 2. For joint patterns, $PCF_{1,1+2}$ is the bivariate distribution of group 1 relative to both groups together, and $PCF_{2,2+1}$ is the bivariate distribution of group 2 relative to the joint pattern. Thus, the Quotient test is the calculation of the distribution: $PCF_{1,1+2} - PCF_{21} / PCF_{2,2+1}$ where $PCF_{12} / PCF_{1,1+2} - PCF_{21} / PCF_{2,2+1} > 0$ indicates that group 2 is mainly located in areas with high density of the joint pattern, and group 1 is in low density areas (i.e. group 2 has more neighbors than group 1). If this quotient is significantly non-zero, then the process underlying the characters is density-dependent; for example, dead specimens occur more commonly in high-density areas, indicating density-dependent mortality.

We test three null hypotheses of mortality spread using RLA of dead/alive state with the organism positions:

1) H_0^{Comm} : The spatial distribution of dead specimens are randomly distributed within the living community of corals and sponges.

2) H_0^{Asp} : The spatial distribution of dead specimens of *Aspidoscopulia* are randomly distributed within the living population of *Aspidoscopulia*.

3) H_0^{Bol} : The spatial distribution of dead specimens of within *Advhena magnifica* population are randomly distributed within the living population of *Advhena magnifica*.

Establishing whether the null hypotheses of the Difference and Quotient Tests should be rejected or not is complicated, because there is a lack of independence of the spatial points (organism positions) and a variety of different point pattern distributions (Illian et al., 2008). Two different methods are commonly used to establish acceptance or rejection of the null hypotheses for ecological data (e.g. (Wiegand & Moloney, 2013) and references therein): 1) Monte Carlo simulations (Illian et al., 2008), and 2) Diggle's goodness-of-fit test p_d , which represents the total squared deviation between the observed pattern and the simulated pattern across the studied distances (Diggle, 2002; Diggle et al., 2005). The two comparisons are used together because: 1) the Monte Carlo simulation envelopes do not necessarily correspond to confidence intervals, and they run the risk of Type I errors if the observed PCF falls near the edge of the simulation envelope (Illian et al., 2008); 2) the p_d does not strictly test whether a model should be accepted or rejected, but rather whether the test calculation for the observed data are within the range of the stochastic realization of the null hypothesis (P. Diggle, 2002); and 3) the p_d depends on the range over which it is calculated, meaning that the model may not fit at very small distances due to the physical occupation of that space by the organisms themselves, but may fit well at larger distances (P. Diggle, 2002; Illian et al., 2008). Thus visual inspection of the PCFs with Monte Carlo simulation envelopes, coupled with p_d , ensures that these errors are minimized. The underlying mathematics is described in detail by (Wiegand et al., 2006; Wiegand & Moloney, 2004, 2013).

The following RLAs were conducted using Programita software across three different areas: All mapped area, the Ridge and the Ridge Crest (Raventós et al., 2010; Wiegand et al., 2006; Wiegand & Moloney, 2004, 2013):

1) To test H_0^{Comm} all living specimens of both coral and sponge taxon were contained in the alive group and, and all brown specimens (including the dead *Aspidoscopulia*, holdfast discs with long stalks and holdfast discs) were grouped as dead. The univariate PCFs of the dead and the alive populations were calculated by creating a distribution map of each dead/alive state according to a 10cm x 10cm grid of surface within which the specimen density was calculated. The Difference tests were then performed between the two groups.

2) To test H_0^{Asp} the white specimens of *Aspidoscopulia* were assumed to be alive, brown specimens dead and those with white and brown patches were excluded from the analyses (7 specimens in total so not sufficient to run separate analyses). Difference and Quotient tests were performed between the dead and alive groups. If the dead and alive specimens did not have significantly different spatial distributions when compared using the Difference test, they are most likely to be subjected to the same biotic and abiotic processes and so are likely to come from the same single population. If the Difference test finds no significant difference between the dead and alive populations, then the Quotient test was to determine whether the dead specimens are randomly distributed within this population.

3) To test H_0^{Bol} the holdfast brown discs with long stalks were assumed to be the dead *Advhena magnifica*, and the yellow/white specimens were assumed to be alive. Testing proceeded as for H_0^{Asp} .

Each hypothesis was tested by running 999 Monte Carlo simulations for each group in order to generate simulation envelopes around the random PCF value (i.e. $\text{PCF}_{11} - \text{PCF}_{22} = 0$). p_d values were calculated using Diggle's goodness-of-fit test (Diggle 2003). 999 simulations were run (instead of 1000, for example) because the p_d value is calculated using the model simulation data (not the theoretical model), and so by using 999 the p_d simulations could be measured in 0.001 increments. If the observed $\text{PCF}_{11} - \text{PCF}_{22}$ fell outside the RLA simulation envelopes and had $p_d < 0.1$, then the distributions were found to be significantly different.

2.3.2 Univariate SPFA

Initial data exploration and data visualization were performed in R (R Core Team, 2017) using the package spatstat (Baddeley et al., 2011; Baddeley & Turner, 2005; Berman, 1986). The software Programita (Loosmore & Ford, 2006; Wiegand et al., 1999, 2006; Wiegand & Moloney, 2004, 2013) was used to calculate the PCF and to perform aggregation model fitting (described in detail in (Berman, 1986; Wiegand & Moloney, 2004, 2013).

The following analyses were performed on each abundant taxon (*Aspidoscopulia*, *Advhena magnifica*, *Rhodaniridogorgia*, *Narella macrocalyx* and *Narella bowersi*) across the entire mapped area (All), the shallower section of the ridge (Ridge) and the steeper area of the ridge (Ridge Crest).

To test whether a taxon PCF exhibited complete spatial randomness (CSR), 999 Monte Carlo simulations were run on a homogeneous background and the simulation envelopes chosen to be the 49th highest and lowest values (Mitchell et al., 2018; Wiegand & Moloney, 2013). The models were simulated around CSR, that is $\text{PCF} = 1$. The fit of the observed data to CSR was tested using Diggle's goodness-of-fit test (Peter Diggle et al., 2005) p_d (where $p_d = 1$ corresponds to CSR, and p_d

349 = 0 corresponds to non-CSR) with PCF deviations outside the simulation envelope combined with a
350 $p_d < 1$ interpreted to indicate significantly non-CSR distributions.

351 If a taxon was not randomly distributed on a homogeneous background, and was aggregated, the
352 random model on a heterogeneous background (HP model) was tested by creating a heterogeneous
353 background from the density map of the taxon under consideration, being defined by a circle of
354 radius R over which the density is averaged throughout the sample area. Density maps were formed
355 using estimators in 0.10m increments over the range of $0.1m < R < 1m$, and the R corresponding to
356 the best-fit model was used. The radius was increased to account for differing granularity of
357 heterogeneity – the $R = 0.1m$ will model granular heterogeneities whereas the $R = 1m$ will be
358 relatively smooth. If excursions outside the simulation envelopes for both homogeneous and
359 heterogeneous Poisson models remained, then Thomas cluster models were fitted to the data as
360 follows:

361 1. The PCF and L functions (Levin, 1992) of the observed data were found. Both measures were
362 calculated to ensure that the best-fit model is not optimized towards only one distance measure, and
363 thus encapsulates all spatial characteristics.

364 2. Best-fit Thomas cluster processes (Besag, 1974) (TC) were fitted to the two functions where
365 $PCF > 1$. The best-fit lines were not fitted to fluctuations around the random line of $PCF = 1$ in order to
366 aid good fit about the actual aggregations, and to limit fitting of the model about random fluctuations.
367 Programita used the minimal contrast method (P. Diggle, 2002; Peter Diggle et al., 2005;
368 Wiegand, Wiegand, et al., 2007) to find the best-fit model.

369 3. If the model did not describe the observed data well, the lines were refitted using just the PCF. If
370 that fit was also poor, then only the L-function was used.

371 4. 999 simulations of this model were generated to create the simulation envelope. The 49th highest
372 and lowest simulation values were chosen in line with previous work to be the limits of the
373 simulation envelopes (Wiegand & Moloney, 2013), and the fit was checked using the O-ring statistic
374 (Wiegand & Moloney, 2004).

375 5. p_d was calculated over the model range.

376 6. If there were no excursions outside the simulation envelope and the p_d -value was high, then a
377 univariate homogeneous Thomas cluster model was interpreted as the best model.

378 7. The best-fit TC model was simulated on the best fit HP model following points 4 – 5 to simulate a
379 Thomas cluster model on a heterogeneous background (ITC).

380 2.3.3 Bayesian Network Inference

381 The Bayesian network inference (BNI) algorithm used in this study requires discrete data which
382 ensures data noise is masked and only the relative densities of each taxon are important (Milns et al.,
383 2010; Jing Yu et al., 2002). Previous work has shown that ecological datasets using three different
384 bins provide a good balance between maintaining the amount of information present in the dataset,
385 statistical power, and greater noise masking (Milns et al., 2010; Mitchell, Durden, et al., 2020; J Yu,
386 2005). The data were split into three intervals: zero counts, low counts and high counts. Zero was
387 treated as a separate entity because the presence of one individual is very different to a zero presence,
388 in contrast to zero gene expression, for example. Low counts consisted of counts below the median

for the species group and high counts were counts over the median. Medians were used rather than means because for some groups the high counts were very high, and would result in a very small number of samples grouped in the highest interval (cf. Milns et al., 2010).

The BNI software used was Banjo v2.0.0, a publicly available Java-based algorithm (Smith et al., 2006). For details of the algorithm please see Smith et al. (2006) and Milns et al. (2010). Pre and post-processing was performed using custom scripts in Haskell (Jones, 2003) (<https://github.com/egmitchell/bootstrap>) and in R (Mitchell, 2011; R Core Team, 2017). The discretized data was input into Banjo which then generated a random network based on the input variables. A ‘greedy search’ was repeated 10 million times for each set of input data and the most probable network was then output. The maximum number of edges leading to a node was set to 3 to limit artefacts (Jing Yu, 2005).

To convert the spatial positions of specimens into discretized data suitable for BNI analyses the following steps were taken (following (Milns et al., 2010):

1. *Node definition.* The nodes were defined by taxa groups (Figure 1).
2. *Quadrat selection.* Abundance data were calculated in terms of specimen density within quadrats (2m x 2m). This size of quadrat was chosen to ensure an even split between the three bins.
3. *Discretization.* For each quadrat, taxon densities were split into three intervals: zero counts, low counts (under the median) and high counts (above the median) to capture the maximum amount of data information while masking noise.
4. *Contingency test filtering.* To exclude false positive dependencies between taxa we used contingency filtering (χ^2 tests, $p > 0.25$).

To minimize bias from outliers, we bootstrapped at 95% level (Magurran, 2013) by randomly selecting 95% of the total number of grids cells for each subsample and then finding the subsample network using Banjo. For each edge calculated, the probability of occurrence was calculated as the proportion of the bootstrapped samples in which the edge appeared. The resultant distributions analyzed to find the number of Gaussian sub-distributions using normal mixture models (Fraleay, et al., 2012). This probability distribution was bimodal for each dataset, which suggests that there were two distributions of edges, those with low probability of occurrence, and those highly probable edges. The final network for each area was taken to be those edges which were highly probable. The threshold for being labelled ‘highly probable’ was 55% for this dataset. The magnitude of the occurrence rate is indicated in the network by the width of the line depicting the edge.

The direction of the edge between nodes in the network indicates which node (taxon) has a dependency on the other node (taxon); this direction is indicated in the network by an arrowhead. For each edge, the directionality was taken to be the direction which occurred in the majority of bootstrapped networks. Where there was no majority (directional edges have a probability between 0.35 and 0.65) an edge was said to have bi-directionality, or mutual dependency was indicated; these are shown without arrows.

The influence score (IS) can be used to gauge the type and strength of the interaction between two nodes. Positive dependencies have an $IS > 0$: that is, a high density of taxon 1 corresponds to a high density of taxon 2, and so the dependency arrow would point from taxon 2 to taxon 1. Negative dependencies have an $IS < 0$: a high density of taxon 1 corresponds to a low density of taxon 2.

Where the dependency is non-monotonic the IS = 0 so that nature of the dependency changes with taxon abundance. The mean IS for each edge was calculated for each site.

2.3.4 Bivariate SPPA

For every dependency found between taxa by BNI, bivariate SPPA were used to infer the most likely underlying processes (cf. Mitchell & Butterfield, 2018).

Bivariate PCFs were calculated from the population density using a grid of 10cm x 10cm. To minimize noise, smoothing was applied to the PCF dependent on specimen abundance. The amount of smoothing required depends on the number of points in each taxon's population, with smaller sample sizes requiring more smoothing to ensure that outliers do not overly change the distribution (Illian et al., 2008; Wiegand & Moloney, 2013): A five cell smoothing over this grid was applied to *Aspidoscopulia* – *N. bowersi*, six cells to *Advhena magnifica* – *N. bowersi*, and seven cells to *N. macrocalyx* – *N. bowersi*, across the entire mapped area (All), the shallow section of ridge (Ridge) and the steeper area of the ridge (Ridge Crest) (Fig 2).

For each taxon pair which displayed aggregation (bivariate PCF > 1), CSR, HP, Linked clusters (LC) and shared parent (SP) models were fitted to the data. For segregated bivariate distributions (bivariate PCF < 1), CSR, HP, hard and soft core models (HC) and hard/soft core on heterogeneous background (HCHP) models were fitted as follows. The magnitude of the PCF reflects the intensity of underlying biotic and abiotic processes: two taxon populations with a PCF = 4, for example, are four times more aggregated than if they exhibited CSR; thus, the relative magnitudes of the PCFs can be used to compare relative strengths of interactions and associations.

If a taxon was aggregated, the random model on a heterogeneous background (HP model) was tested. Creation of the heterogeneous background was as for the univariate distribution, but instead of a single taxon, the density map of the joint distribution of the two taxon was used.

For each taxon pair, two best-fit Linked cluster models (LC) were found. First, Taxon 1 was kept constant and Taxon 2 was modelled as a Thomas Cluster aggregation around Taxon 1 (following the same procedure as fitting univariate TC models). Then Taxon 2 was kept constant and Taxon 1 was modelled as an aggregation. The shared parents models (SP) modelled both taxa distributions as Thomas Cluster models around randomly distributed shared points, with the respective Thomas Cluster models fitted as per the univariate models.

Segregated distributions were modelled on both homogeneous background and on heterogeneous background. Three different models were determined: Segregation around Taxon 1, around Taxon 2 and around both Taxon 1 and Taxon 2. For each of these the radius was increased from 0.10 m to 1.00 m in 0.10m increments. The severity of the segregation was modelled in two ways: hard and soft-core. For hard-core models (corresponds to $HC_p = 0.01$), no points are modelled inside the segregation radius while for a soft-core model the density of points within the segregation radius was reduced by a given probability. Where $HC_p = 1$ the probability of placing points is a linear relationship from the point to the radius edge, and is defined by the formula (Getzin et al., 2014; Wiegand & Moloney, 2013): $p_{hc}(r) = d^{1/p}$.

3 Results

The mapped community consisted of 592 specimens over 100.7m² of substrate. The community was dominated (69.7%) by two genera of Hexactinellids: Farreidae *Aspidoscopulia* sp. and Euplectellidae

Advhena magnifica with octocorals *Narella bowersi*, *Narella macrocalyx* and *Rhodaniridogorgia* also present in large proportions (Table 1). There was significant increase in organism density between the Ridge and Ridge Crest (4.47 vs 9.28 specimens/m²) which were split into two different sub-areas of 71.41 m² for the Ridge area and 29.31 m² for the Ridge Crest area for further analyses (Table 1; Figure 3). Community composition remained similar across both areas, with a < 0.5 % mean change in taxa proportions between the two areas (Table 1).

3.1 Random labelling analyses

Analyses to detect edge effects (Table 3: PCF₁₂- PCF₂₁) found that for the *Aspidoscopulia* population, edge effects occurred over 4.3m. Therefore, the spatial scale was limited to 0 - 4.0m for all plots to enable consistent comparisons.

Within the whole community there was no evidence of significant deviations from zero for any of the RLAs (Fig 3a), that is the dead specimens were randomly distributed within the living communities (all $p_d \gg 0.1$), so we fail to reject H_0^{Comm} . The *Advhena* population and stalks also did not display significant deviations from zero (all $p_d \gg 0.1$; Fig. 3c). Furthermore, the differences of the univariate distribution of *Advhena* with the univariate distribution of stalks had a very good model fit to zero ($p_d = 0.991$), which provides confirmatory evidence that the stalks are likely to be the dead skeletons of *Advhena*.

The RLAs of the *Aspidoscopulia* population found no significant deviations from zero for the difference between the univariate alive and dead *Aspidoscopulia* populations (all PCF₂₂- PCF₁₁ $p_d \gg 0.1$, Fig 3b) nor the bivariate difference (all PCF₁₂- PCF₂₁ $p_d > 0.1$). The difference between the univariate alive *Aspidoscopulia* and the bivariate distributions was also not significantly deviate from zero (all PCF₁₂- PCF₁₁ $p_d > 0.1$). There were significant deviations from zero for the Quotient tests (Fig 3b) for the population across the whole sample area ($p_d = 0.034$), and in the high density Ridge Crest area ($p_d = 0.043$), but notably not in the Ridge area ($p_d = 0.240$). This pattern is further reflected in the Difference tests (PCF₂₁- PCF₂₂) which found that the difference of bivariate distribution with the dead univariate did deviate significantly from zero (Table 1) for the whole area ($p_d = 0.033$), and in the high density Ridge Crest area ($p_d = 0.042$) but not the Ridge area ($p_d = 0.221$). The significant deviations in the whole area are likely to reflect the signal from the Ridge Crest sub-section. Within the Ridge Crest section dead specimens of *Aspidoscopulia* were more likely to be found near each other than near living specimens, and these dead specimens occurred in the higher density areas of the joint distribution of alive and dead specimens. In contrast, the dead specimens did not show significant aggregations to each other or to high density areas within the Ridge sub-section of the mapped area.

3.2 Univariate SPPA

Rhodaniridogorgia was the only taxon to have a good model-fit to the CSR model ($p_d = 0.704$), although the HP model ($p_d = 0.825$) was a better fit (Table 4). The Monte Carlo simulations showed no deviations outside the envelope (Figure 5E), so the *Rhodaniridogorgia* spatial distribution should be described as CSR. Of the four of the abundant taxa that exhibited non-CSR best-fit models, *Advhena magnifica* had the same best-fit model (HP) for both the Ridge ($p_d = 0.817$) and Ridge Crest sections of the mapped area ($p_d = 0.562$), with significant segregations occurring over 1.3m for the Ridge section and over 3 m for the Ridge Crest regions (Figure 5C, Table 4). The *Aspidoscopulia* (Figure 5D, Table 4) had a best-fit model of Thomas cluster models for both Ridge ($p_d = 0.727$) and Ridge Crest sections of the mapped area ($p_d = 0.547$) with a segregation in the Ridge area over 1.2m.

In contrast, the *N. macrocalyx* displayed different spatial distributions in the Ridge and Ridge crest area (Figure 5A, Table 4). The Ridge Crest area was best-modelled by a Thomas Cluster for the aggregations $< 1.1\text{m}$ ($p_d = 0.375$), and showed a strong segregation above 1.1m , whereas the Ridge area was segregated under 0.7m , and the aggregation above 0.7m was best-modelled by a heterogeneous Poisson model ($p_d = 0.848$). The *N. bowersi* also exhibited different spatial distributions between areas (Figure 5B Table 4), with the Ridge Crest area showing strong aggregation best-modelled by a heterogeneous Poisson model $> 1.3\text{m}$ ($p_d = 0.761$) whereas the Ridge area showed strong segregation $< 1.3\text{m}$ ($p_d = 0.729$).

3.3 Bayesian Network Inference

The Bayesian network inference found three significant dependencies between four of the five abundant taxa (Figure 6), with *Rhodaniridogorgia* not connected to the network. *Rhodaniridogorgia* also showed a good CSR model fit for the univariate distributions (Figure 5E Table 4). All three of the dependencies found were positive with a mean interaction strength of $IS = 0.3109$. *Narella bowersi* had a dependency upon *Aspidoscopulia* ($IS = 0.3454$), while both *Advhena* and *N. macrocalyx* had dependencies upon *N. bowersi* ($IS = 0.3139$ and $IS = 0.2735$), reflecting similar levels of dependency for all connected taxa.

3.4 Bivariate SPPA

The bivariate distribution for *N. bowersi* - *Aspidoscopulia* was best-modelled by a heterogeneous Poisson process of their joint densities across both Ridge ($p_d = 0.896$) and Ridge Crest sections of the mapped area ($p_d = 0.817$) which were aggregated at distances over 2m (Figure 7A; Table 5). There was significant segregation for the Ridge Crest area over 2.8m but no significant segregation in the Ridge area. For the *N. bowersi* – *Advhena* distribution, the best-fit model was a linked cluster model (Figure 7B; Table 5), with the *Advhena* clustering around the *N. bowersi* for both the Ridge ($p_d = 0.823$) and Ridge Crest areas ($p_d = 0.631$). The *N. bowersi* – *N. macrocalyx* displayed aggregation best-modelled as heterogeneous Poisson $< 1.0\text{m}$ for the Ridge area ($p_d = 0.912$) and segregation $< 1.2\text{m}$ for the Ridge Crest area ($p_d = 0.841$).

4 Discussion

This study reconstructed the 592 specimens over 100.7m^2 of the benthic community of “The Forest of the Weird” from still frames taken from a single stream of video footage using photogrammetry methods (Figure 3). There were limitations to the data we could extract from the reconstruction. Our methods accurately reconstructed the sea floor, but the reconstruction of some organisms was more variable due to shadowing or movement. Shadowing occurred where the video footage had not captured all the way around an individual, and so the software needed to approximate the missing data. Movement caused a blurring of specimens and/or stalks to be absent. These issues meant that while the position data was likely to be accurate, size data was less so, and so size-based SPPA was not performed on this data. The lack of size data precludes more detailed analyses of community development. The reconstruction was done using the video data which had a lower resolution than the still photographic data and so we were able to use the photographs to compare to the stills extracted from the video. The two consequences of using the lower resolution video were 1) that small white specimens ($< 1\text{cm}$) may not have been distinguished from the substrate and 2) it was hard to consistently identify different taxonomic groups for smaller specimens. We focused on the most abundant taxa, sponges and those corals which could easily be distinguished by distinct morphologies, which limits more detailed analyses of community composition and diversity.

Nonetheless we were able to establish the mortality dynamics and spatial ecology of the five most abundant taxa.

This study has demonstrated how SPPA and BNI provide two new types of insights into the ecology of deep-sea benthic systems. First, SPPA can detect many different types of interactions and associations without any a priori knowledge nor expectations of the system. For example, SPPA was able to detect both the competition between *N. bowersi* – *N. macrocalyx*, the facilitation of the *Advhena magnifica* around the *N. bowersi*, and the habitat associations between *N. bowersi* – *Aspidoscopulia* (Fig. 7 and Table 5). Secondly, SPPA enables the quantification on a continuous scale of interactions and associations from centimeter to meter scale, establishing at what scale the type of interactions may change, such as *N. bowersi* – *Aspidoscopulia* changing from a mutual habitat association to competition around 3 meters (Fig 7B).

4.1 Mortality dynamics

A high proportion (37.5%) of the specimens mapped were dead glass sponge skeletons (Table 1). The majority of dead specimens within the community were either the stalks of *Advhena* or dead *Aspidoscopulia*. Of the two possible causes of sponge death, age-related mortality or a pathogen, our results suggest that a species-specific pathogen is most likely. The univariate spatial distributions of the dead specimens is not statistically significantly different from the alive specimens (Table 3, PCF 22- PCF 11) for either of the sponge species. *Aspidoscopulia* are best-modelled by a Thomas Cluster model (Table 4), which describes reproductive events (Seidler & Plotkin, 2006) so that if these sponges died due to age-related mortality, we would expect the dead specimens to have the spatial distributions corresponding to previous generations (cf. Mitchell et al. 2015). As such, the dead and alive specimens should have different univariate spatial distributions, and because they do not (Table 3), it is more likely their death is due to a pathogen. *Advhena* exhibited a non-dispersal spatial pattern so we can't be sure that they were also killed by this pathogen over age-related mortality. However, the unusually high proportion of dead specimens and the presence of a mass-mortality pathogen for the *Aspidoscopulia* suggests that a pathogen cause is likely. While the remains of holdfast discs (12.9% of the dead specimens mapped) were not taxonomically identifiable, they resembled the *Advhena* and *Aspidoscopulia* holdfasts. The lack of density-dependent mortality (non-random RLA) for the *Advhena magnifica* and the Ridge area *Aspidoscopulia* suggests that the pathogen was likely to be water-borne, since transfer via mobile organisms or physical touching of specimens results in mortality clusters (Jolles et al., 2002).

The *Advhena* mortality was randomly distributed throughout both the Ridge and Ridge Crest areas of the communities (Table 3, Figure 4). However, the mortality dynamics were different for the *Aspidoscopulia*, with the Ridge community showing random mortality, but the Ridge Crest community showing significant aggregations of the dead specimens relative to the living ones (Figure 4, Table 3). *Aspidoscopulia* in the Ridge Crest area had a notably higher density than the Ridge area (4.47 vs 1.92/m²) and a higher proportion of dead to living specimens (77% vs 66%), suggesting that the presumed pathogen was spreading faster in these high density areas, but only for the *Aspidoscopulia*. If this difference was due just to density (i.e. not taxon specific) we would expect the *Advhena* to also have density-dependent mortality, as would the community as a whole, but instead it seems to be *Aspidoscopulia* specific, suggesting a lower resistance to the pathogen in these high density areas.

The Janzen-Cornell hypothesis is an explanation of how high diversity is maintained in tropical forests and coral reefs, whereby species-specific predators or pathogens are attracted to adults and/or

high density areas resulting in high mortality surrounding the adults or in the high-density areas (Connell, 1971; Janzen, 1970). Within sponge communities, species-specific disease prevalence has been suggested as a mechanism to help maintain balance in abundance between species (Wulff, 2007). The species-specific mortality then enables different species to occupy these areas. Most studies testing this hypothesis are focused on shallow-water reefs, and have found no density-dependent mortality for giant barrel sponges (Deignan & Pawlik, 2015), Caribbean sponge *Aplysina cauliformis* (Easson et al., 2013) nor Caribbean corals (Muller & Woesik, 2012), but density-dependent death due to corallivory has been detected in Indo-Pacific corals (Gibbs & Hay, 2015). In our study, we find that species-sensitive density-dependent mortality is likely once a density threshold was reached for *Aspidoscopulia*. The mortality within this community was likely to be a mass-mortality infection rather than a longer-term endemic pathogen because of the high proportion of dead skeletons coupled to relatively few dying specimens (those with brown patches (cf. Luter et al. 2017)). In order for the Janzen-Cornell hypothesis to explain this high density community, we would expect episodic infections, so populations build up through time; thus any effects of this species-specific mortality would only become apparent if there were frequent mortality events. At the broad taxonomic levels used in this study, the two areas (Ridge and Ridge Crest) show significantly different densities, but similar community compositions (Table 1), suggesting that either this mortality event was rare, or if such events were frequent, then it did not lead to increased diversity (at least in terms of the coarse taxonomic identification used here). Further study of this site and other sites with similar community compositions may be able to elucidate whether such pathogens are likely to contribute to Janzen-Cornell effects.

Mass-mortality events and sponge diseases are on the increase (Webster, 2007) but have been primarily reported from shallow water sponge populations (Luter & Webster, 2017). The underlying causes are suggested to be anthropogenic changes, such as increasing temperature, which increase the susceptibility of benthic organisms such as corals and sponges to diseases through either a weakening of the organisms and/or an increase in pathogen virulence or abundance (Luter & Webster, 2017; Muller & Woesik, 2012). The death of slow-growth sponges creates unoccupied patches which are often re-populated by faster-growing species (cf. Dayton, 1989; Dayton et al., 2013; Di Camillo & Cerrano, 2015). Sponges provide many ecosystem services, and while some services, such as providing habitat, can be replicated by other taxa (Buhl-Mortensen et al., 2010), sponges are crucial to oceanic mixing and benthic-pelagic coupling (Bell, 2008; Coppari et al., 2016; Pile & Young, 2006) which may be harder to replicate if they are replaced by organisms which do not pump water through their system. As such, there is potential for these deep-sea sponge diseases to impact the extent of benthic-pelagic coupling.

4.2 Population dynamics

The FW community showed a clear increase in density and change of composition on the Ridge (seen in the 3D reconstruction at the edge of Figure 2, and noted on the ROV dive (Kelley et al., 2018)). Furthermore, the sharper slope of the area after the Ridge Crest showed a large increase in density (Table 1) corresponding to faster water speed, and so probably to greater water-column resources (Malik et al., 2018).

The strength of SPPA lies with describing how the density of different taxa change over the fine scale (here 0 – 4m), and then using model fitting to determine the most likely underlying processes. When spatial models such as heterogeneous Poisson models, heterogeneous Thomas cluster models or hard/soft-core models are the best-fit to observed spatial patterns, this suggests that abiotic or habitat heterogeneities are the strongest influence on the studied populations (Illian et al., 2008; Lin

et al., 2011; Mitchell et al., 2019; Wiegand & Moloney, 2013). In contrast, random models or Thomas cluster models reflect biological patterns (Illian et al. 2008; Wiegand and Moloney 2013; Lin et al. 2011; Mitchell et al. 2015), so that by fitting these different models to the observed data we were able to infer for each taxa whether biotic or abiotic effects had the biggest influence. At these fine-spatial scales we found that abiotic influence of habitat heterogeneities were the strongest driver for the majority of the populations studied. Abiotic heterogeneous Poisson models and hard-core models were the best fit for the observed spatial distributions for the populations of *Advhena*, *N. bowersi* and *Rhodaniridogorgia* (although the small numbers of specimens, and lack of deviations outside the simulations envelope for *Rhodaniridogorgia*, means that this model was not significantly better than the random (biotic) model (Table 4, Figure 5)). For *N. bowersi* the interaction with the local habitat changed between the Ridge and Ridge Crest area, with the Ridge area showing significantly more segregation and thinning of organisms, reflecting a likely resource limitation due to lower water flow. The best-fit heterogeneous Poisson models for the *N. macrocalyx*, *N. bowersi* and *Advhena* populations were over the same spatial scales of 20cm, suggesting the same underlying habitat heterogeneity for all three taxa. While it is not analytically possible to determine what this heterogeneity is using our data, the topological variation of the basalt bedrock is of a similar scale, and so is a likely contender (Figure 1, Figure 2).

In contrast *Aspidoscopulia* showed little sensitivity to these habitat heterogeneities, and instead the population spatial distributions reflected dispersal clusters. *Narella macrocalyx* was unusual in this community because the factors which most influenced its spatial distribution changed between the two community areas. In the Ridge area of the community *N. macrocalyx* was most strongly influenced by abiotic factors (habitat heterogeneity), but in the Ridge Crest area biotic factors (dispersal limitations) dominated. This change in influence is likely to reflect resource limitation in the lower-density Ridge area reducing the survival of juveniles, so that only the ones that settled on the optimal habitat remain. In the Ridge Crest region sufficient resources from increased water-flow result in higher survival rates, and so are a closer reflection of the original dispersal clusters.

The population ecology of the two sponges *Aspidoscopulia* and *Advhena* remained remarkably similar between the Ridge and Ridge Crest areas of the community, despite a doubling of population densities showing a lack of sensitivity to meter-scale variations in ridge topological and water-flow speed. In contrast, the octocorals *N. macrocalyx* and *N. bowersi* both showed significant differences in their spatial distributions, reflecting a higher sensitivity to these abiotic factors. The change for *N. macrocalyx* from an abiotic to biotic influence with increased density demonstrates the interplay between different driving forces and suggests that for at least some populations, different effects drive population under different conditions highlighting the need to record multiple different populations to understand the factors which underlie their dynamics.

4.2.1 Competition dynamics

Evidence for competition between marine sponges leading to elimination of a species are relatively rare, and most commonly involve outcompeting for a limited substrate, overflowing or chemical mediation (Wulff, 2007). This study has found subtle evidence of both intra and inter-specific competition. The large-scale segregation of the *Aspidoscopulia*, *Advhena*, *N. bowersi* and *N. macrocalyx* suggests that a thinning of the populations is associated with increased competition for limited resources as organisms grow – they do not compete as juveniles but as they increase in size, they need more resources, and when they outcompete their neighbors, this leads to a reduction or thinning of the density (Getzin et al., 2006; Lingua et al., 2008). *N. bowersi* also has small-scale segregation reduction of 50%, which is likely to reflect intra-specific competition of juveniles, rather than mature organisms (Getzin et al., 2006, 2014). If the system is stressed, for example through

reduction in the nutrients for which the organisms compete, then the strengths of competition are likely to increase, potentially leading to elimination of the weaker taxa.

4.3 Community dynamics

Community composition for deep-sea sponge and coral communities is strongly driven by abiotic factors over broad scales (~1-100km) (Murillo et al., 2020). High density and very high density Pacific benthic communities show strong associations with temperature and geography (Hourigan et al., 2020). Despite a dramatic difference in community density between the two sections of the community at FW there remains a remarkable lack of differentiation of community composition (Table 1), showing a certain robustness in response to differences in ridge topography. This composition similarity, which is admittedly at a very coarse taxonomic scale, is unlikely to persist over long time scales due to density-dependent death of *Aspidoscopulia* in the Ridge Crest area (in contrast to the Ridge area and the random mortality patterns of *Advhena*). This density-dependent death has resulted in a different distribution of living *Aspidoscopulia*, and has provided a biogenic structure for sponge *Poliopogon sp.* which has grown on the top of the dead specimens (cf. (Beazley et al., 2015)). The BNI analyses showed that four out of the five abundant taxa were interconnected, with *N. bowersi* the most connected taxon (Figure 6). This connectedness means that if one taxon changes abundances, the other abundant taxon will also be affected – the density-dependent mortality of the *Aspidoscopulia* will impact the *N. bowersi*, which will then impact the *Advhena* and the *N. macrocalyx*. As such, we should expect to see a change in composition over time, leading to differentiation between the Ridge and Ridge Crest community compositions due to the linked dependences of the abundant taxa (Figure 6).

The nature of these changes depends on the different biotic and abiotic intra and inter-specific interactions. For this community, the strength of the inter-specific interactions (as calculated by the PCF value (Figure 7)) is of similar magnitude to the intra-specific interactions (Figure 5), with the exception of the univariate *N. macrocalyx* distribution which is significantly more aggregated than the other taxa (Figure 5). The relative strength of the bivariate interactions suggests that the community will be more sensitive to changes in one taxon's population than a community with only weak bivariate interactions. However, this cascade effect will depend on the nature of each interaction. The habitat association *N. bowersi* – *Aspidoscopulia* is a mutual correlation to a third factor, the habitat heterogeneity, and so any community effects may be muted via this mutual factor, making the *N. bowersi* – *Aspidoscopulia* interaction more robust than the other two direct biotic interactions. *Narella bowersi* and *Aspidoscopulia* share a mutual habitat heterogeneity, which in higher density areas leads to spatial segregation over larger spatial scales (Figure 7A), suggesting a level of competition or thinning out between larger specimens (Getzin et al., 2006). Thus, if the density of *Aspidoscopulia* was reduced, the inter-specific competition may also be reduced, potentially leading to less thinning and so higher densities of the *N. bowersi*. Both *Advhena* and *N. macrocalyx* have dependencies on *N. bowersi*, and so these two taxa are likely to be affected by any population changes. The *Advhena*– *N. bowersi* interaction was best-modelled by a linked cluster model (Table 5), whereby the *Advhena* were centered around the *N. bowersi*. This facilitation was likely due to the *Advhena* filling the unoccupied space around the *N. bowersi*, seen in the univariate segregation of the *N. bowersi* in the Ridge area (Table 4, Figure 5), and leading to an aggregation of the *Advhena* around the *N. bowersi* (Figure 7). The same best-fit link cluster described the bivariate distribution in the Ridge Crest area but with a lower goodness-of-fit (Table 5), suggesting that a weaker version of this effect was occurring there. Therefore, if *N. bowersi* increases in density, such as via a reduction in *Aspidoscopulia*, then the effect on *Advhena* is likely to be positive, due to increased intra-specific *N. bowersi* competition leading to increased segregation. An increase in *N.*

bowersi may lead to a decrease of *N. macrocalyx* because an increase in density of *N. macrocalyx* may increase the strength of the competition in the *N. macrocalyx* – *N. bowersi* interaction. The mix of positive and negative biotic interactions coupled to mutual habitat associations is therefore likely to result in a mixture of positive and negative effects on the community in the event of sudden changes and/or mass-mortality events.

5 Conclusions

To our knowledge, this study is the first to analyze the mortality, population and community dynamics of a deep-sea sponge community using SPPA. Our results provide the first insight into the variety of ecological behaviors within this benthic community, and show how these different organisms have developed diverse responses for the biotic and abiotic gradients within their habitat. We have demonstrated how ecological interactions change with substrate topography, while the community composition remains relatively constant. BNI has demonstrated the connectivity of the abundant taxa, identifying *N. bowersi* as the most connected taxon, and coupled with SPPA analyses has enabled us to speculate on the possible consequences, both positive and negative, of changes to the community. By identifying and quantifying the strength of abiotic and biotic interactions, these analyses have the potential to identify how different taxa are likely to be affected by different environmental changes. Identification of the crucial factors for different taxa have the potential to be used in management and conservation efforts to help mitigate anthropogenic changes.

6 Figures

Figure 1 Different taxa identified within this study. Red laser dots are 10cm apart. A) A photograph of the Ridge Crest Area, B) *Advhena magnifica* C) *Narella macrocalyx* D) *Aspidoscopulia* E) *Narella bowersi* and F) *Rhodaniridogorgia*.

Figure 2 Screenshots of the 3D reconstruction. A) Side view showing the two different areas with the Ridge Crest area on the left and Ridge area on the right. B) A closer view of the Ridge Crest community. The dark blue areas above the community and rising to the left and right are artefacts of the photogrammetry where frames included a lot of the farground. The substrate beyond the edge of the ridge to the right and left has good resolution, and so the edge of the community is clearly resolved. Note the badly resolved section at the bottom of the screenshot, where there wasn't sufficient video coverage to reconstruct the substrate (which was sparsely populated).

Figure 3 Spatial maps. A) All specimens identified, B) Density map of the 2D project in square meters and C) the analyzed data. Shaded area of C) in purple is the Ridge area and green is the Ridge Crest area.

Figure 4 Random labelling analyses plots showing the Quotient test. A) Whole community analyses of the dead population relative to the alive population (including all corals and sponges mapped) showing no significant deviations from zero. B) The *Aspidoscopulia* analyses of dead versus alive specimens. Note the whole community and the Ridge Crest sub-section both show excursions outside the simulation envelopes. C) The *Advhena magnifica* analyses of dead versus alive specimens showing no significant deviations from zero.

Figure 5 Univariate PCF for the abundant taxa. A) *Narella macrocalyx* B) *Narella bowersi* C) *Advhena magnifica* D) *Aspidoscopulia* E) *Rhodaniridogorgia*. The grey area is the simulation envelope for 999 Monte Carlo simulations of complete spatial randomness. The x-axis is the inter-point distance between organisms in meters. On the y-axis PCF=1 indicates complete spatial randomness (CSR), <1 indicates segregation, and >1 indicates aggregation.

Figure 6 . Bayesian networks of associations between abundant sponge and corals. Dependencies between taxa are indicated by the lines connecting the two taxa, the width of which indicates the occurrence rate in the bootstrap analyses (wider lines indicate higher occurrence). Arrows indicate non-mutual dependence between two taxa; A->B indicates that B depends on A. Mean interaction strengths of the correlations are indicated; positive interaction strengths indicating aggregation, negative interaction strengths indicating segregation. For more details, see 'Methods'.

Figure 7 Bivariate PCF analyses for the taxa with non-independent spatial distributions. The grey area is the simulation envelope of complete spatial randomness (CSR) for 999 Monte Carlo

800 simulations. The x-axis is the inter-point distance between organisms in meters. On the y-axis,
801 PCF=1 indicates CSR and is indicated by a black line, <1 indicates segregation, and >1 indicates
802 aggregation. A) *N. bowersi* – *Aspidoscopulia*. B) *N. bowersi* – *Advhena magnifica* C) *N. bowersi* – *N.*
803 *macrocalyx*.

804

805

806 7 Tables

Taxon	All			Ridge Crest			Ridge		
	n	Prop.	Int	n	Prop.	Int	n	Prop.	Int
<i>Aspidoscopulia</i> brown	194	0.33	1.73	102	0.38	3.48	91	0.29	1.27
<i>Aspidoscopulia</i> white	68	0.11	0.61	27	0.10	0.92	41	0.13	0.57
<i>Aspidoscopulia</i> white and brown	7	0.01	0.06	2	0.01	0.07	5	0.02	0.07
<i>Advhena magnifica</i>	17	0.03	0.15	5	0.02	0.17	12	0.04	0.17
Holdfast disc	44	0.07	0.39	15	0.06	0.51	29	0.09	0.41
Holdfast disc with stalk	101	0.17	0.90	47	0.17	1.60	54	0.17	0.76
<i>Narella bowersi</i>	33	0.06	0.29	14	0.05	0.48	19	0.06	0.27
<i>Narella macrocalyx</i>	16	0.03	0.14	8	0.03	0.27	7	0.02	0.10
Other corals	18	0.03	0.16	9	0.03	0.31	10	0.03	0.14
Other sponges	8	0.01	0.07	5	0.02	0.17	3	0.01	0.04
<i>Rhodaniridogorgia</i>	23	0.04	0.21	8	0.03	0.27	15	0.05	0.21
Small undetermined.	63	0.11	0.56	30	0.11	1.02	33	0.10	0.46
All <i>Aspidoscopulia</i> (brown, white and mixed)	269	0.45	2.40	131	0.48	4.47	137	0.43	1.92
All <i>Advhena magnifica</i> (<i>Advhena magnifica</i> and stalked discs)	118	0.20	1.05	52	0.19	1.77	66	0.21	0.92

807 **Table 1: Summary table.** n is the number of specimens, Prop. is the proportion of the community,
808 and Int. is the density per meter squared of that taxon.

809

	<i>Aspidoscopulia</i>	<i>Advhena magnifica</i>	<i>Rhodaniridogorgia</i>	<i>Narella macrocalyx</i>	<i>Narella bowersi</i>
Zero	8	10	24	32	20
Low	18	21	13	6	15
High	15	10	4	3	6

810 **Table 2 Discretized data for BNI.** Abundant sponge and coral taxa for the area studied. The
811 boundary between the Low and High groups was defined as the median value for each taxa.

812

813

Hypothesis	Dataset	P_d value for Difference Tests					P_d for Quotient Test <2.0m
		PCF ₂₂ - PCF ₁₁	PCF ₁₂ - PCF ₁₁	PCF ₂₁ - PCF ₂₂	PCF ₁₂ - PCF ₂₁	PCF ₁₂ - PCF ₂₁ Excursions	
H_0^{Adv}	All	0.991	0.915	0.911	0.301	None	0.892
H_0^{Adv}	Ridge Crest	0.751	0.811	0.346	0.870	None	0.205
H_0^{Adv}	Ridge	0.265	0.412	0.387	0.278	None	0.260
H_0^{Comm}	All	0.411	0.436	0.672	0.790	None	0.363
H_0^{Comm}	Ridge Crest	0.833	0.617	0.885	0.208	None	0.822
H_0^{Comm}	Ridge	0.498	0.158	0.726	0.982	None	0.192
H_0^{Asp}	All	0.618	0.873	0.033	0.429	>4.3m	0.034
H_0^{Asp}	Ridge Crest	0.862	0.997	0.042	0.112	>4.3m	0.043
H_0^{Asp}	Ridge	0.546	0.826	0.221	0.755	None	0.240

814 **Table 3: Random labelling analyses.** Each hypothesis was tested using all the area mapped (All),
815 the area leading up to the ridge crest (Ridge) and the high density area over the ridge crest (Ridge
816 Crest). PCF₁₁ describes the spatial distributions of the alive organisms, PCF₂₂ the dead organisms,
817 PCF₂₁ the bivariate distribution of the dead relative to the alive and PCF₁₂ the alive to the dead. p_d
818 indicates the goodness-of-fit of the model (difference or quotient = 0) to the observed values with p_d
819 =1 indicating perfect fit (i.e. no difference) and $p_d = 0$ indicating significant deviations from zero,
820 which is given in bold.

821

822

Dataset	Area	Segregation Present	CSR	HP	TC	ITC	Hard Core	HP Radius (cm)	σ	100 ρ	Number In Clusters	Hard core radius	H _{cp}
<i>Aspidoscopulia</i>	A	No	0.001	0.395	0.727	0.23	NA	20	18.694	0.104	37	NA	NA
<i>Aspidoscopulia</i>	RC	No	0.002	0.227	0.547	0.25	NA	10	19.048	0.042	38	NA	NA
<i>Aspidoscopulia</i>	R	1.2-2.1m	0.001	0.505	0.618	0.158	NA	10	19.048	0.042	38	NA	NA
<i>Rhodaniridogorgia</i>	A	No	0.704	0.825	0.001	0.001	NA	50	2.262	4.798	5	NA	NA
<i>N. macrocalyx</i>	A	No	0.012	0.232	0.651	0.211	NA	20	8.737	0.135	17	NA	NA
<i>N. macrocalyx</i>	RC	>1m	0.004	0.003	0.375	0.245	NA	20	8.737	0.135	17	NA	NA
<i>N. macrocalyx</i>	R	No	0.27	0.848	0.498	0.249	NA	30	8.737	0.135	17	NA	NA
<i>Advhena magnifica</i>	A	No	0.001	0.875	0.253	0.352	NA	20	5.351	0.555	11	NA	NA
<i>Advhena magnifica</i>	RC	>3m	0.021	0.562	0.093	0.102	NA	20	7.174	0.468	14	NA	NA
<i>Advhena magnifica</i>	R	>1.3m	0.007	0.817	0.201	0.154	NA	20	5.351	0.555	11	NA	NA
<i>N. bowersi</i>	A	No	0.032	0.889	0.772	0.43	NA	20	5.499	1.097	11	NA	NA
<i>N. bowersi</i>	RC	No	0.001	0.761	0.632	0.316	NA	20	5.499	1.097	11	NA	NA
<i>N. bowersi</i>	R	<1.5m	0.117	0.117	0.093	0.066	0.729	20	5.499	1.097	11	5	0

Table 4 Summary table of univariate PCF analyses. The sample area is the entire community (A), the Ridge Crest sub-section (RC) or the Ridge sub-section (R). For the inhomogeneous point processes (HP and ITC), the moving window radius is 0.5 m, using the same taxon density as the taxon being modelled. $p_d = 1$ corresponds to a perfect fit of the model to the data, while $p_d = 0$ corresponds to no fit. Where observed data did not fall outside CSR Monte Carlo simulation envelopes, no further analyses were performed, which is indicated by NA. σ : cluster radius, ρ : density of specimens, CSR: Complete spatial randomness, HP: Heterogeneous Poisson model, TC: Thomas cluster model and ITC: inhomogeneous Thomas cluster model. Mean number in cluster refers to the mean number of individuals in a cluster estimated as λ/ρ . Note that if the cluster model is not a good fit, the mean number in cluster and number of clusters will not necessarily be appropriate. The extent to which the segregation is hard-core (no points within the given radius) or soft-core (reduced points) is given by H_{cp} where $H_{cp} = 0$ indicates a hard-core process and $H_{cp} = 1$ indicates a linear increase in point density between points. CSR models were fitted to the whole spatial scale (0 – 4 m) and the aggregations and segregations were fitted only to the aggregations (PCF > 1) or the segregations (PCF < 1), depending on the observed distribution.

838

Taxon 1	Taxon 2	Area	CSR	HP radius (m)	CSR	HP	HCHP	HC	LC1	LC2	SP	σ	100 ρ	Number in clusters	Number of clusters	Taxon 1 radius (m)	Taxon 2 radius (m)	H_{cp}^1	H_{cp}^2	H_{cp}^{12}
<i>Advhena magnifica</i>	<i>N. bowersi</i>	A	0.013	0.2	0.026	0.409	NA	NA	0.105	0.692	0.164	5.217	0.2951	10	50	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>Advhena magnifica</i>	<i>N. bowersi</i>	R	0.128	0.2	0.001	0.688	NA	NA	0.131	0.923	0.319	5.217	0.2951	10	50	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>Advhena magnifica</i>	<i>N. bowersi</i>	RC	0.001	0.2	0.146	0.262	NA	NA	0.244	0.631	0.424	5.217	0.2951	10	50	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>N. bowersi</i>	<i>Aspidoscopulia</i>	A	0.011	0.1	0.001	0.702	NA	NA	0.15	0.031	0.334	10.55	0.1588	21	17	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>N. bowersi</i>	<i>Aspidoscopulia</i>	R	0.117	0.1	0.001	0.896	NA	NA	0.005	0.009	0.206	10.55	0.1588	21	17	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>N. bowersi</i>	<i>Aspidoscopulia</i>	RC	0.001	0.1	0.001	0.817	NA	NA	0.221	0.003	0.459	10.55	0.1588	21	17	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>N. bowersi</i>	<i>N. macrocalyx</i>	A	0.032	0.3	0.495	0.604	0.874	0.066	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NF	0.5	NF	1	1
<i>N. bowersi</i>	<i>N. macrocalyx</i>	R	0.146	0.3	0.377	0.912	0.426	0.017	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NF	0.5	NF	1	1
<i>N. bowersi</i>	<i>N. macrocalyx</i>	RC	0.001	0.1	0.274	0.111	0.164	0.841	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NF	0.3	NF	1	1

Table 5 Summary table of bivariate PCF analyses. The sample area is the entire community (A), the Ridge Crest sub-section (RC) or the Ridge sub-section (R). For the inhomogeneous point processes (HP and HCHP), the moving window radius is given by HP radius, using the joint taxa density. $p_d = 1$ corresponds to a perfect fit of the model to the data, while $p_d = 0$ corresponds to no fit. Where observed data did not fall outside CSR Monte Carlo simulation envelopes, no further analyses were performed, which is indicated by NA. σ : cluster radius, ρ : density of specimens, CSR: Complete spatial randomness, HP: Heterogeneous Poisson model, HC: Hard core segregation model, HPHC: inhomogeneous segregation model, LC1: Linked cluster model of taxon 1 around taxon 2, LC2: Linked cluster model of taxon 2 around taxon 1 and SP: Shared parent model. Mean number in cluster refers to the mean number of individuals in a cluster estimated as λ/ρ . Note that if the cluster model is not a good fit, the mean number in cluster will not necessarily be appropriate. The extent to which the segregation is hard-core (no points within the given radius) or soft-core (reduced points) is given by H_{cp} where $H_{cp} = 0$ indicates a hard-core process and $H_{cp} = 1$ indicates a linear increase in point density between points. CSR models were fitted to the whole spatial scale (0 – 4 m) and the aggregations and segregations were fitted only to the aggregations (PCF > 1) or the segregations (PCF < 1) depending on the observed distribution. Therefore aggregation models will not be fitted to segregated distributions and *vice versa* (denoted NA). NF refers to not fitted parameters in the best-fit model.

8 Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

9 Author Contributions

EGM conceived this study, processed the 3D model to spatial map, analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. SH developed the protocol for creating the 3D model from the video data and reconstructed the 3D model. Both authors discussed the manuscript.

10 Funding

The cruise was supported and conducted by the NOAA Office of Ocean Exploration and Research. Data/imagery courtesy of NOAA's Office of Ocean Exploration and Research Archives. The analyses was covered by a Natural Environment Research Council Independent Research Fellowship NE/S014756/1 to EGM.

11 Acknowledgments

The cruise was supported and conducted by the NOAA Office of Ocean Exploration and Research. Data/imagery courtesy of NOAA's Office of Ocean Exploration and Research Archives. We wish to thank Chris Kelley both as the lead scientist for the cruise and for his help with the data.

12 References

- Baddeley, A., Rubak, E., & Møller, J. (2011). Score, Pseudo-Score and Residual Diagnostics for Spatial Point Process Models. *Statistical Science*, 26(4), 613–646. <https://doi.org/10.1214/11-STS367>
- Baddeley, A., & Turner, R. (2005). Spatstat: A R Package for Analyzing Spatial Point Patterns. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 12(6), 1–42.
- Baker, K., Snelgrove, P. V. R., Fifield, D. A., Edinger, E., Wareham, V., Haedrich, R. L., & Wilkinson, K. D. (2019). Small-Scale Patterns in the Distribution and Condition of Bamboo Coral, *Keratoisis grayi*, in Submarine Canyons on the Grand Banks, Newfoundland. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00374>
- Beaulieu, S. E. (2001). Colonization of habitat islands in the deep sea: Recruitment to glass sponge stalks. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 48(4), 1121–1137. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637\(00\)00055-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(00)00055-8)
- Beazley, L., Kenchington, E., Yashayaev, I., & Murillo, F. J. (2015). Drivers of epibenthic megafaunal composition in the sponge grounds of the Sackville Spur, northwest Atlantic. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 98, 102–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2014.11.016>

- 891 Beazley, L., Wang, Z., Kenchington, E., Yashayaev, I., Rapp, H. T., Xavier, J. R., Murillo, F. J.,
892 Fenton, D., & Fuller, S. (2018). Predicted distribution of the glass sponge *Vazella pourtalesi*
893 on the Scotian Shelf and its persistence in the face of climatic variability. *PLOS ONE*, 13(10),
894 e0205505. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205505>
- 895 Bell, J. J. (2008). The functional roles of marine sponges. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*,
896 79(3), 341–353. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2008.05.002>
- 897 Berman, M. (1986). Testing for Spatial Association between a Point Process and Another Stochastic
898 Process. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 35(1), 54–62.
899 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2347865>
- 900 Besag, J. (1974). Spatial Interaction and the Statistical Analysis of Lattice Systems. *Journal of the*
901 *Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, 36(2), 192–225.
902 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1974.tb00999.x>
- 903 Buhl-Mortensen, L., Vanreusel, A., Gooday, A. J., Levin, L. A., Priede, I. G., Buhl-Mortensen, P.,
904 Gheerardyn, H., King, N. J., & Raes, M. (2010). Biological structures as a source of habitat
905 heterogeneity and biodiversity on the deep ocean margins. *Marine Ecology*, 31(1), 21–50.
906 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0485.2010.00359.x>
- 907 Chu, J. W. F., & Leys, S. P. (2010). High resolution mapping of community structure in three glass
908 sponge reefs (Porifera, Hexactinellida). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 417, 97–113.
909 <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08794>
- 910 Clark, M. R., Rowden, A. A., Schlacher, T., Williams, A., Consalvey, M., Stocks, K. I., Rogers, A.
911 D., O'Hara, T. D., White, M., Shank, T. M., & Hall-Spencer, J. M. (2010). The Ecology of
912 Seamounts: Structure, Function, and Human Impacts. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 2(1),
913 253–278. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120308-081109>

Spatial ecology of deep-sea sponges

- 914 Connell, J. H. (1971). On the role of natural enemies in preventing competitive exclusion in some
915 marine animals and in rain forest trees. In P. J. den Boer & G. R. Gradwell (Eds.), *Dynamics*
916 *of populations: Proceedings of the Advanced Study Institute on Dynamics of numbers in*
917 *populations, Oosterbeek, the Netherlands, 7-18 September 1970*. Pudoc.
- 918 Coppari, M., Gori, A., Viladrich, N., Saponari, L., Canepa, A., Grinyó, J., Olariaga, A., & Rossi, S.
919 (2016). The role of Mediterranean sponges in benthic–pelagic coupling processes: *Aplysina*
920 *aerophoba* and *Axinella polypoides* case studies. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology*
921 *and Ecology*, 477, 57–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2016.01.004>
- 922 Dale, M. R. T., & Fortin, M.-J. (2014). *Spatial Analysis: A Guide For Ecologists*. Cambridge
923 University Press.
- 924 Dayton, P., Jarrell, S., Kim, S., Thrush, S., Hammerstrom, K., Slattery, M., & Parnell, E. (2016).
925 Surprising episodic recruitment and growth of Antarctic sponges: Implications for ecological
926 resilience. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 482, 38–55.
927 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2016.05.001>
- 928 Dayton, P. K. (1971). Competition, Disturbance, and Community Organization: The Provision and
929 Subsequent Utilization of Space in a Rocky Intertidal Community. *Ecological Monographs*,
930 41(4), 351–389. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1948498>
- 931 Dayton, P. K. (1989). Interdecadal Variation in an Antarctic Sponge and Its Predators from
932 Oceanographic Climate Shifts. *Science*, 245(4925), 1484–1486.
933 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.245.4925.1484>
- 934 Dayton, P. K. (1972). Toward an understanding of community resilience and the potential effects of
935 enrichments to the benthos at McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. *Proceedings of the Colloquium on*
936 *Conservation Problems in Antarctica*, 81–96.

- 937 Dayton, P. K., Kim, S., Jarrell, S. C., Oliver, J. S., Hammerstrom, K., Fisher, J. L., O'Connor, K.,
938 Barber, J. S., Robilliard, G., Barry, J., Thurber, A. R., & Conlan, K. (2013). Recruitment,
939 Growth and Mortality of an Antarctic Hexactinellid Sponge, *Anoxycalyx joubini*. *PLOS*
940 *ONE*, 8(2), e56939. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056939>
- 941 Deignan, L. K., & Pawlik, J. R. (2015). Perilous proximity: Does the Janzen–Connell hypothesis
942 explain the distribution of giant barrel sponges on a Florida coral reef? *Coral Reefs*, 34(2),
943 561–567. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-014-1255-x>
- 944 Di Camillo, C. G., & Cerrano, C. (2015). Mass Mortality Events in the NW Adriatic Sea: Phase Shift
945 from Slow- to Fast-Growing Organisms. *PLoS ONE*, 10(5).
946 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0126689>
- 947 Dickie, I. A., Schnitzer, S. A., Reich, P. B., & Hobbie, S. E. (2005). Spatially disjunct effects of co-
948 occurring competition and facilitation. *Ecology Letters*, 8(11), 1191–1200.
949 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00822.x>
- 950 Diggle, P. (2002). *Statistical Analysis of Spatial Point Patterns* (2 edition). Hodder Education.
- 951 Diggle, Peter, Zheng, P., & Durr, P. (2005). Nonparametric estimation of spatial segregation in a
952 multivariate point process: Bovine tuberculosis in Cornwall, UK. *Journal of the Royal*
953 *Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 54(3), 645–658.
954 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9876.2005.05373.x>
- 955 Dunham, A., Archer, S. K., Davies, S. C., Burke, L. A., Mossman, J., Pegg, J. R., & Archer, E.
956 (2018). Assessing condition and ecological role of deep-water biogenic habitats: Glass sponge
957 reefs in the Salish Sea. *Marine Environmental Research*, 141, 88–99.
958 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2018.08.002>

Spatial ecology of deep-sea sponges

- 959 Durden, J. M., Bett, B. J., & Ruhl, H. A. (2015). The hemisessile lifestyle and feeding strategies of
960 *Iosactis vagabunda* (Actiniaria, Iosactiidae), a dominant megafaunal species of the Porcupine
961 Abyssal Plain. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 102, 72–77.
962 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2015.04.010>
- 963 Easson, C. G., Slattery, M., Baker, D. M., & Gochfeld, D. J. (2014). Complex ecological
964 associations: Competition and facilitation in a sponge–algal interaction. *Marine Ecology*
965 *Progress Series*, 507, 153–167. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10852>
- 966 Easson, C. G., Slattery, M., Momm, H. G., Olson, J. B., Thacker, R. W., & Gochfeld, D. J. (2013).
967 Exploring Individual- to Population-Level Impacts of Disease on Coral Reef Sponges: Using
968 Spatial Analysis to Assess the Fate, Dynamics, and Transmission of Aplysina Red Band
969 Syndrome (ARBS). *PLoS ONE*, 8(11). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079976>
- 970 Genin, A., Dayton, P. K., Lonsdale, P. F., & Spiess, F. N. (1986). Corals on seamount peaks provide
971 evidence of current acceleration over deep-sea topography. *Nature*, 322(6074), 59–61.
972 <https://doi.org/10.1038/322059a0>
- 973 Getzin, S., Dean, C., He, F., Trofymow, J. A., Wiegand, K., & Wiegand, T. (2006). Spatial patterns
974 and competition of tree species in a Douglas-fir chronosequence on Vancouver Island.
975 *Ecography*, 29(5), 671–682. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2006.0906-7590.04675.x>
- 976 Getzin, S., Nuske, R. S., & Wiegand, K. (2014). Using Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV) to
977 Quantify Spatial Gap Patterns in Forests. *Remote Sensing*, 6(8), 6988–7004.
978 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs6086988>
- 979 Gibbs, D. A., & Hay, M. E. (2015). Spatial patterns of coral survivorship: Impacts of adult proximity
980 versus other drivers of localized mortality. *PeerJ*, 3, e1440. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1440>

- 981 Hawkes, N., Korabik, M., Beazley, L., Rapp, H. T., Xavier, J. R., & Kenchington, E. (2019). Glass
982 sponge grounds on the Scotian Shelf and their associated biodiversity. *Marine Ecology*
983 *Progress Series*, 614, 91–109. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12903>
- 984 Heckerman, D., Geiger, D., & Chickering, D. M. (1995). Learning Bayesian networks: The
985 combination of knowledge and statistical data. *Machine Learning*, 20(3), 197–243.
986 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00994016>
- 987 Hogg, M. M. (2010). *Deep-sea Sponge Grounds: Reservoirs of Biodiversity*. UNEP.
988 <https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/8579>
- 989 Hourigan, T. F., Kelley, C., McGuinn, R., Bingo, S., Moriwake, V. C., Putts, M., Parke, M. F., &
990 Elliott, K. (2020, February 19). *Forests of the Deep: High-density deep-sea coral and sponge*
991 *communities in the Central and Western Pacific*. Ocean Sciences Meeting 2020.
992 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00480>
- 993 Howell, K.-L., Piechaud, N., Downie, A.-L., & Kenny, A. (2016). The distribution of deep-sea
994 sponge aggregations in the North Atlantic and implications for their effective spatial
995 management. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 115, 309–320.
996 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2016.07.005>
- 997 Illian, J., Penttinen, P. A., Stoyan, D. H., & Stoyan, D. D. (2008). *Statistical Analysis and Modelling*
998 *of Spatial Point Patterns*. Wiley.
999 [https://books.google.com/books/about/Statistical_Analysis_and_Modelling_of_Sp.html?id=_](https://books.google.com/books/about/Statistical_Analysis_and_Modelling_of_Sp.html?id=_U6BER2stYsC)
1000 [U6BER2stYsC](https://books.google.com/books/about/Statistical_Analysis_and_Modelling_of_Sp.html?id=_U6BER2stYsC)
- 1001 Janzen, D. H. (1970). Herbivores and the Number of Tree Species in Tropical Forests. *The American*
1002 *Naturalist*, 104(940), 501–528. <https://doi.org/10.1086/282687>

Spatial ecology of deep-sea sponges

- 1003 Jolles, A. E., Sullivan, P., Alker, A. P., & Harvell, C. D. (2002). Disease Transmission of
1004 Aspergillosis in Sea Fans: Inferring Process from Spatial Pattern. *Ecology*, 83(9), 2373–2378.
1005 [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(2002\)083\[2373:DTOAIS\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2002)083[2373:DTOAIS]2.0.CO;2)
- 1006 Jones, S. P. (2003). *Haskell 98 Language and Libraries: The Revised Report*. Cambridge University
1007 Press.
- 1008 Kahn, A. S., Yahel, G., Chu, J. W. F., Tunnicliffe, V., & Leys, S. P. (2015). Benthic grazing and
1009 carbon sequestration by deep-water glass sponge reefs. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 60(1),
1010 78–88. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10002>
- 1011 Kazanidis, G., Henry, L.-A., Roberts, J. M., & Witte, U. F. M. (2016). Biodiversity of Spongosorites
1012 coralliophaga (Stephens, 1915) on coral rubble at two contrasting cold-water coral reef
1013 settings. *Coral Reefs*, 35(1), 193–208. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-015-1355-2>
- 1014 Kelley, C., Elliott, K., & Malik, M. (2018). *Okeanos Explorer ROV Dive Summary Dive 11* [Dive
1015 Summary]. [https://catalog.data.gov/dataset/ex1706-dive11-ancillary-data-collection-](https://catalog.data.gov/dataset/ex1706-dive11-ancillary-data-collection-including-reports-kmls-spreadsheets-and-data)
1016 [including-reports-kmls-spreadsheets-and-data](https://catalog.data.gov/dataset/ex1706-dive11-ancillary-data-collection-including-reports-kmls-spreadsheets-and-data)
- 1017 Kenchington, E., Power, D., & Koen-Alonso, M. (2013). Associations of demersal fish with sponge
1018 grounds on the continental slopes of the northwest Atlantic. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*,
1019 477, 217–230. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10127>
- 1020 Kennedy, B. R. C., Cantwell, K., Malik, M., Kelley, C., Potter, J., Elliott, K., Lobecker, E., Gray, L.
1021 M., Sowers, D., White, M. P., France, S. C., Auscavitch, S., Mah, C., Moriwake, V., Bingo,
1022 S. R. D., Putts, M., & Rotjan, R. D. (2019). The Unknown and the Unexplored: Insights Into
1023 the Pacific Deep-Sea Following NOAA CAPSTONE Expeditions. *Frontiers in Marine*
1024 *Science*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00480>

- 1025 Knudby, A., Kenchington, E., & Murillo, F. J. (2013). Modeling the Distribution of Geodia Sponges
1026 and Sponge Grounds in the Northwest Atlantic. *PLoS ONE*, 8(12).
1027 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082306>
- 1028 Law, R., Illian, J., Burslem, D. F. R. P., Gratzner, G., Gunatilleke, C. V. S., & Gunatilleke, I. a. U. N.
1029 (2009). Ecological information from spatial patterns of plants: Insights from point process
1030 theory. *Journal of Ecology*, 97(4), 616–628. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01510.x)
1031 [2745.2009.01510.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01510.x)
- 1032 Levin, S. A. (1992). The Problem of Pattern and Scale in Ecology: The Robert H. MacArthur Award
1033 Lecture. *Ecology*, 73(6), 1943–1967.
- 1034 Liang, Y., Guo, L.-D., Du, X.-J., & Ma, K.-P. (2007). Spatial structure and diversity of woody plants
1035 and ectomycorrhizal fungus sporocarps in a natural subtropical forest. *Mycorrhiza*, 17(4),
1036 271–278. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-006-0096-z>
- 1037 Lin, Y.-C., Chang, L.-W., Yang, K.-C., Wang, H.-H., & Sun, I.-F. (2011). Point patterns of tree
1038 distribution determined by habitat heterogeneity and dispersal limitation. *Oecologia*, 165(1),
1039 175–184. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1718-x>
- 1040 Lingua, E., Cherubini, P., Motta, R., & Nola, P. (2008). Spatial structure along an altitudinal gradient
1041 in the Italian central Alps suggests competition and facilitation among coniferous species.
1042 *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 19(3), 425–436. <https://doi.org/10.3170/2008-8-18391>
- 1043 Loosmore, N. B., & Ford, E. D. (2006). Statistical Inference Using the G or K Point Pattern Spatial
1044 Statistics. *Ecology*, 87(8), 1925–1931. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[1925:SIUTGO]2.0.CO;2)
1045 [9658\(2006\)87\[1925:SIUTGO\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[1925:SIUTGO]2.0.CO;2)

Spatial ecology of deep-sea sponges

- 1046 Lundsten, L., Barry, J. P., Cailliet, G. M., Clague, D. A., DeVogelaere, A. P., & Geller, J. B. (2009).
1047 Benthic invertebrate communities on three seamounts off southern and central California,
1048 USA. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 374, 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07745>
- 1049 Luter, H. M., Bannister, R. J., Whalan, S., Kutti, T., Pineda, M.-C., & Webster, N. S. (2017).
1050 Microbiome analysis of a disease affecting the deep-sea sponge *Geodia barretti*. *FEMS*
1051 *Microbiology Ecology*, 93(6). <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix074>
- 1052 Luter, H. M., & Webster, N. S. (2017). Sponge Disease and Climate Change. In J. L. Carballo & J. J.
1053 Bell (Eds.), *Climate Change, Ocean Acidification and Sponges: Impacts Across Multiple*
1054 *Levels of Organization* (pp. 411–428). Springer International Publishing.
1055 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59008-0_9
- 1056 Maldonado, M., Aguilar, R., Bannister, R. J., Bell, Conway, K. W., Dayton, P. K., Díaz, C., Gutt, J.,
1057 Kelly, M., Kenchington, E. L. R., Leys, S. P., Pomponi, S. A., Rapp, H. T., Rützler, K.,
1058 Tendal, O. S., Vacelet, J., & Young, C. M. (2017). *Sponge Grounds as Key Marine Habitats:*
1059 *A Synthetic Review of Types, Structure, Functional Roles, and Conservation Concerns.*
1060 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17001-5_24-1
- 1061 Malik, M., Jerram, K., Wilkins, C., & Baechler, N. (2018). *Mapping data acquisition and processing*
1062 *summary report cruise. EX-17-06: Laulima O Ka Moana Exploring Deep Monument Waters*
1063 *Around Johnston Atoll (ROV/Mapping)*. <https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/21365>
- 1064 McClain, C., & Barry, J. P. (2010). Habitat heterogeneity, disturbance, and productivity work in
1065 concert to regulate biodiversity in deep submarine canyons. *Ecology*, 91(4), 964–976.
- 1066 McClain, C. R., Lundsten, L., Ream, M., Barry, J., & DeVogelaere, A. (2009). Endemicity,
1067 Biogeography, Composition, and Community Structure On a Northeast Pacific Seamount.
1068 *PLOS ONE*, 4(1), e4141. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004141>

- 1069 McIntire, E. J. B., & Fajardo, A. (2009). Beyond description: The active and effective way to infer
1070 processes from spatial patterns. *Ecology*, 90(1), 46–56. <https://doi.org/10.1890/07-2096.1>
- 1071 Meyer, H. K., Roberts, E. M., Rapp, H. T., & Davies, A. J. (2019). Spatial patterns of arctic sponge
1072 ground fauna and demersal fish are detectable in autonomous underwater vehicle (AUV)
1073 imagery. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 153, 103137.
1074 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2019.103137>
- 1075 Milns, I., Beale, C. M., & Smith, V. A. (2010). Revealing ecological networks using Bayesian
1076 network inference algorithms. *Ecology*, 91(7), 1892–1899. <https://doi.org/10.1890/09-0731.1>
- 1077 Mitchell, E. G. (2011). Functional programming through deep time: Modeling the first complex
1078 ecosystems on earth. *ACM SIGPLAN Notices*, 46(9), 28–31.
1079 <https://doi.org/10.1145/2034574.2034779>
- 1080 Mitchell, E. G., & Butterfield, N. J. (2018). Spatial analyses of Ediacaran communities at Mistaken
1081 Point. *Paleobiology*, 44(1), 40–57. <https://doi.org/10.1017/pab.2017.35>
- 1082 Mitchell, E. G., Durden, J. M., & Ruhl, H. A. (2020). First network analysis of interspecific
1083 associations of abyssal benthic megafauna reveals potential vulnerability of abyssal hill
1084 community. *Progress in Oceanography*, 187, 102401.
1085 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2020.102401>
- 1086 Mitchell, E. G., Harris, S., Kenchington, C. G., Vixseboxse, P., Roberts, L., Clark, C., Dennis, A.,
1087 Liu, A. G., & Wilby, P. R. (2019). The importance of neutral over niche processes in
1088 structuring Ediacaran early animal communities. *Ecology Letters*, 22(12), 2028–2038.
1089 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13383>

Spatial ecology of deep-sea sponges

- 1090 Mitchell, E. G., & Kenchington, C. G. (2018). The utility of height for the Ediacaran organisms of
1091 Mistaken Point. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 2(8), 1218–1222.
1092 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0591-6>
- 1093 Mitchell, E. G., Kenchington, C. G., Harris, S., & Wilby, P. R. (2018). Revealing rangeomorph
1094 species characters using spatial analyses. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 55(11), 1262–
1095 1270. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2018-0034>
- 1096 Mitchell, E. G., Kenchington, C. G., Liu, A. G., Matthews, J. J., & Butterfield, N. J. (2015).
1097 Reconstructing the reproductive mode of an Ediacaran macro-organism. *Nature*, 524(7565),
1098 343–346. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14646>
- 1099 Mitchell, E. G., Whittle, R., & Huw Griffiths. (2020). Investigating benthic ecosystem cascade effects
1100 in Antarctica using Bayesian network inference. *Communications Biology*.
- 1101 Muko, S., Shimatani, I. K., & Nozawa, Y. (2014). Spatial analyses for nonoverlapping objects with
1102 size variations and their application to coral communities. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 83(4),
1103 980–990. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12193>
- 1104 Muller, E. M., & Woesik, R. van. (2012). Caribbean coral diseases: Primary transmission or
1105 secondary infection? *Global Change Biology*, 18(12), 3529–3535.
1106 <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12019>
- 1107 Murillo, F. J., Kenchington, E., Koen-Alonso, M., Guijarro, J., Kenchington, T. J., Sacau, M.,
1108 Beazley, L., & Rapp, H. T. (2020). Mapping benthic ecological diversity and interactions
1109 with bottom-contact fishing on the Flemish Cap (northwest Atlantic). *Ecological Indicators*,
1110 112, 106135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106135>

- 1111 NOAA Office of Ocean Exploration and Research. (n.d.). *NOAA Office of Ocean Exploration and*
1112 *Research Benthic Deepwater Animal Identification Guide*. Available from.
1113 http://oceanexplorer.noaa.gov/oceanos/animal_guide/animal_guide.htm
- 1114 Pélissier, R., & Goreaud, F. (2001). A practical approach to the study of spatial structure in simple
1115 cases of heterogeneous vegetation. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 12(1), 99–108.
1116 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2001.tb02621.x>
- 1117 Piazza, P., Gattone, S. A., Guzzi, A., & Schiaparelli, S. (2020). Towards a robust baseline for long-
1118 term monitoring of Antarctic coastal benthos. *Hydrobiologia*, 847(7), 1753–1771.
1119 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-020-04177-2>
- 1120 Pile, A. J., & Young, C. M. (2006). The natural diet of a hexactinellid sponge: Benthic–pelagic
1121 coupling in a deep-sea microbial food web. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic*
1122 *Research Papers*, 53(7), 1148–1156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2006.03.008>
- 1123 Prado, E., Sánchez, F., Rodríguez-Basalo, A., Altuna, Á., & Cobo, A. (2019). Analysis of the
1124 population structure of a gorgonian forest (*Placogorgia* sp.) using a photogrammetric 3D
1125 modeling approach at Le Danois Bank, Cantabrian Sea. *Deep Sea Research Part I:*
1126 *Oceanographic Research Papers*, 153, 103124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2019.103124>
- 1127 Price, D., Robert, K., Callaway, A., Iacono, C. L., Hall, R., & Huvenne, V. A. I. (2019). Using 3D
1128 photogrammetry from ROV video to quantify cold-water coral reef structural complexity and
1129 investigate its influence on biodiversity and community assemblage | SpringerLink. *Coral*
1130 *Reefs*, 38, 1007–1021.
- 1131 R Core Team. (2017). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*.

Spatial ecology of deep-sea sponges

- 1132 Raventós, J., Wiegand, T., & Luis, M. D. (2010). Evidence for the spatial segregation hypothesis: A
1133 test with nine-year survivorship data in a Mediterranean shrubland. *Ecology*, 91(7), 2110–
1134 2120. <https://doi.org/10.1890/09-0385.1>
- 1135 Reiswig, H. M. (1974). Water transport, respiration and energetics of three tropical marine sponges.
1136 *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 14(3), 231–249.
1137 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981\(74\)90005-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(74)90005-7)
- 1138 Richer de Forges, B., Koslow, J. A., & Poore, G. C. B. (2000). Diversity and endemism of the
1139 benthic seamount fauna in the southwest Pacific. *Nature*, 405(6789), 944–947.
1140 <https://doi.org/10.1038/35016066>
- 1141 Robert, K., Huvenne, V. A. I., Georgiopoulou, A., Jones, D. O. B., Marsh, L., D. O. Carter, G., &
1142 Chaumillon, L. (2017). New approaches to high-resolution mapping of marine vertical
1143 structures. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 9005. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09382-z>
- 1144 Robert, K., Jones, D. O. B., Georgiopoulou, A., & Huvenne, V. A. I. (2020). Cold-water coral
1145 assemblages on vertical walls from the Northeast Atlantic. *Diversity and Distributions*, 26(3),
1146 284–298. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13011>
- 1147 Rossi, S., Bramanti, L., Gori, A., & Orejas, C. (2017). An Overview of the Animal Forests of the
1148 World. In S. Rossi, L. Bramanti, A. Gori, & C. Orejas (Eds.), *Marine Animal Forests* (pp. 1–
1149 26). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17001-5_1-1
- 1150 Rowden, A. A., Schlacher, T. A., Williams, A., Clark, M. R., Stewart, R., Althaus, F., Bowden, D.
1151 A., Consalvey, M., Robinson, W., & Dowdney, J. (2010). A test of the seamount oasis
1152 hypothesis: Seamounts support higher epibenthic megafaunal biomass than adjacent slopes.
1153 *Marine Ecology*, 31(s1), 95–106. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0485.2010.00369.x>

- 1154 Samadi, S., Bottan, L., Macpherson, E., De Forges, B. R., & Boisselier, M.-C. (2006). Seamount
1155 endemism questioned by the geographic distribution and population genetic structure of
1156 marine invertebrates. *Marine Biology*, 149(6), 1463–1475. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-006-0306-4)
1157 006-0306-4
- 1158 Seidler, T. G., & Plotkin, J. B. (2006). Seed Dispersal and Spatial Pattern in Tropical Trees. *PLOS*
1159 *Biology*, 4(11), e344. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040344>
- 1160 Smith, V. A., Yu, J., Smulders, T. V., Hartemink, A. J., & Jarvis, E. D. (2006). Computational
1161 Inference of Neural Information Flow Networks. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 2(11), e161.
1162 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.0020161>
- 1163 Vieira, R. P., Bett, B. J., Jones, D. O. B., Durden, J. M., Morris, K. J., Cunha, M. R., Trueman, C. N.,
1164 & Ruhl, H. A. (2020). Deep-sea sponge aggregations (*Pheronema carpenteri*) in the Porcupine
1165 Seabight (NE Atlantic) potentially degraded by demersal fishing. *Progress in Oceanography*,
1166 183, 102189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2019.102189>
- 1167 Waagepetersen. (2009). Two-step estimation for inhomogeneous spatial point processes. *Journal of*
1168 *the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*.
1169 [https://rss.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1467-](https://rss.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2008.00702.x?casa_token=WUGs3zv59zQAAAAA%3Axy8TT04Wpss1OiVweJ-XzL-NEVwWH1OPpF2vJGXA62derDX_QwEmz-zbRZcqtZRS5YhN4LZ9FQfjHjhy)
1170 9868.2008.00702.x?casa_token=WUGs3zv59zQAAAAA%3Axy8TT04Wpss1OiVweJ-XzL-
1171 NEVwWH1OPpF2vJGXA62derDX_QwEmz-zbRZcqtZRS5YhN4LZ9FQfjHjhy
- 1172 Wang, Y., Camarero, J. J., Luo, T., & Liang, E. (2012). Spatial patterns of Smith fir alpine treelines
1173 on the south-eastern Tibetan Plateau support that contingent local conditions drive recent
1174 treeline patterns. *Plant Ecology & Diversity*, 5(3), 311–321.
1175 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17550874.2012.704647>

Spatial ecology of deep-sea sponges

- 1176 Webster, N. S. (2007). Sponge disease: A global threat? *Environmental Microbiology*, 9(6), 1363–
1177 1375. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01303.x>
- 1178 Wiegand, T., Gunatilleke, S., & Gunatilleke, N. (2007). Species Associations in a Heterogeneous Sri
1179 Lankan Dipterocarp Forest. *The American Naturalist*, 170(4), E77–E95.
1180 <https://doi.org/10.1086/521240>
- 1181 Wiegand, T., Kissling, W. D., Cipriotti, P. A., & Aguiar, M. R. (2006). Extending point pattern
1182 analysis for objects of finite size and irregular shape. *Journal of Ecology*, 94(4), 825–837.
1183 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2006.01113.x>
- 1184 Wiegand, T., & Moloney, K. A. (2004). Rings, circles, and null-models for point pattern analysis in
1185 ecology. *Oikos*, 104(2), 209–229. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2004.12497.x>
- 1186 Wiegand, T., & Moloney, K. A. (2013). *Handbook of Spatial Point-Pattern Analysis in Ecology*.
1187 CRC Press.
- 1188 Wiegand, T., Moloney, K. A., Naves, J., & Knauer, F. (1999). Finding the Missing Link between
1189 Landscape Structure and Population Dynamics: A Spatially Explicit Perspective. *The*
1190 *American Naturalist*, 154(6), 605–627. <https://doi.org/10.1086/303272>
- 1191 Wiegand, T., Wiegand, K., & Getzin, S. (2007). Analyzing the Spatial Structure of a Sri Lankan Tree
1192 Species with Multiple Scales of Clustering. *Ecology*, 88(12), 3088–3102.
- 1193 Wulff, J. L. (2007). Disease prevalence and population density over time in three common Caribbean
1194 coral reef sponge species. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United*
1195 *Kingdom*, 87(6), 1715–1720. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002531540705881X>
- 1196 Yu, J. (2005). *Developing bayesian network inference algorithms to predict causal functional*
1197 *pathways in biological systems* [PhD, Duke University].
1198 <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1144979>

- 1199 Yu, Jing. (2005). *Developing bayesian network inference algorithms to predict causal functional*
 1200 *pathways in biological systems* [Phd]. Duke University.
- 1201 Yu, Jing, Smith, V. A., Wang, P. P., Hartemink, E. J., & Jarvis, E. D. (2002). *Using bayesian*
 1202 *network inference algorithms to recover molecular genetic regulatory networks, Prof. Of Int.*
- 1203 Zvuloni, A., Artzy-Randrup, Y., Stone, L., Kramarsky-Winter, E., Barkan, R., & Loya, Y. (2009).
 1204 Spatio-Temporal Transmission Patterns of Black-Band Disease in a Coral Community. *PLoS*
 1205 *ONE*, 4(4). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004993>

1206

1207 **13 Data Availability Statement**

1208 The datasets analyzed for this study can be found in the figshare repository
 1209 <https://figshare.com/s/be3bcb9e3a0d961a0991>.