Solvent Drives Switching between Λ and Δ Metal Center Stereochemistry of M₈L₆ Cubic Cages

Weichao Xue, Tanya K. Ronson, Zifei Lu, Jonathan R. Nitschke*

Yusuf Hamied Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK.

ABSTRACT: An enantiopure ligand with four bidentate metal-binding sites and four (S)-carbon stereocenters self-assembles with octahedral Zn^{II} or Co^{II} to produce O-symmetric M_8L_6 coordination cages. The Λ - or Δ -handedness of the metal centers forming the corners of these cages is determined by the solvent environment: the same (S)-ligand produces one diastereomer, (S)₂₄- Δ ₈-M₈L₆, in acetonitrile, but another with opposite metal-center handedness, (S)₂₄- Δ ₈-M₈L₆, in nitromethane. Van 't Hoff analysis revealed the Δ stereochemical configuration to be entropically favored but enthalpically disfavored, consistent with a loosening of the coordination sphere and an increase in conformational freedom following Λ -to- Δ transition. The binding of 4,4'-dipyridyl naphthalenediimide and tetrapyridyl Zn-porphyrin guests did not interfere with the solvent-driven stereoselectivity of self-assembly, suggesting applications where either a Λ - or Δ -handed framework may enable chiral separations or catalysis.

The chirality of metal-organic cages has enabled novel applications across different areas. For instance, the stereochemistry of cages has been used to recognize and separate enantiomers from racemic mixtures through encapsulation or cocrystallization. Enantiopure cages are also able to mimic the catalytic functions of enzymes, 4 promoting asymmetric transformations by shaping the chirotopic space around reactive intermediates. Recently, chiral cages that emit circularly polarized luminescence (CPL) have also emerged as a novel platform for the modular design of CPL-active materials, which are of potential use in optical information transfer and new display technologies.

Different methods can be used to prepare enantiopure cages. One approach is the resolution of racemic cage mixtures, which can be induced by chiral guests. Alternatively, the direct self-assembly of enantiopure ligands around metal ions can produce stereochemically pure cages. This method involves stereochemical information transfer from ligands to metal centers, which adopt preferentially a Δ or Δ conHighligtedfiguration based upon ligand sterics. As a consequence, coordination cages are formed in a diastereoselective manner. Among these enantiopure architectures are sandwiches, helicates, helicates, cathedra, cathedra, cathedra, cathedra, cathedra, cathedra, cathedra, and other structures.

In cases that have been reported so far, one enantiomer of ligand leads to a single diastereomer of metal-organic assembly, with diastereoselective self-assembly minimally impacted by external factors. 18 Here we demonstrate the self-assembly of a single enantiopure ligand with octahedral metal ions to produce two distinct diastereomers of an $M^{II}{}_8L_6$ cage, having metal centers with either a preferred \varDelta or \varLambda configuration, through a simple change of solvent.

Enantiopure porphyrin-containing C_4 -symmetric ligand **A** (Figure 1a) was synthesized from commercially-available 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl) porphyrin¹⁹ as described in Supporting Information Section S2. The amide-containing chiral directing groups, each bearing a carbon stereocenter with an a (S) configuration, were incorporated near the coordination sites. Based upon Lusby's original work on cages built from pyridyl-triazole-based ligands,²⁰ we envisioned that

this design, together with the high rigidity of the porphyrin skeleton, would influence the metal center configurations during cage formation, resulting in diastereoselective cage formation.

The self-assembly of A (6 equiv) with zinc(II) bis-(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (Zn(NTf₂)₂, 8 equiv) in acetonitrile at 70 °C produced Zn₈L₆ cubic cage 1 as the uniquely-observed product, with the metal centers adopting either all Δ or all Λ handedness (Figure 1a). Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) confirmed formation of a Zn^{II}₈L₆ complex (Figure S18). Two sets of H_b, H_b and H_i signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum indicated that the cage consists of a pair of diastereomers. The well-separated signals allowed determination of the diastereomeric ratio (d.r.) to be 3.8:1 (Figure 1b). Diffusion-ordered spectroscopy (DOSY) NMR experiments confirmed the same diffusion coefficient for both diastereomers (Figure S14). In control experiments, a monomeric pyridyl-triazole ligand bearing the same chiral directing group reacted with Zn(NTf₂)₂ (Figures S77 and S78), forming a ZnL₃ complex with significantly lower diastereoselectivity (d.r. = 1.3:1). These results indicated that the energy difference between the Zn₈L₆ diastereomers emerges as a consequence of higher-order assembly.

To demonstrate the generality of this method, cobalt(II) bis-(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide was also employed in self-assembly (Figures 1c), leading to the formation of $\mathrm{Co^{II}_8L_6}$ cubic cage 2 in equally high yield and with similar diastereoselectivity (d.r. = 3.6:1).

Circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy was used to gauge the diastereoselectivities of formation of zinc and cobalt cages 1 and 2 (Figure S20). Both 1 and 2 displayed clear negative Cotton effects in acetonitrile, with similar intensities in the region from 413 to 440 nm, corresponding to the Soret bands of the Zn-porphyrin walls. In accordance with previous observations 19,21 and the present NMR spectra (Figures 1 and S22), we inferred that the major diastereomer for each cage has all eight metal centers with either Λ or Δ handedness, whereas the minor diastereomer has all metal centers with the opposite stereochemical configuration. Other diastereomers, containing both Δ and Δ metal vertices, were not observed by NMR.




Figure 1. (a) Diastereoselective self-assembly of $Zn^{II}_8L_6$ and $Co^{II}_8L_6$ cages 1 and 2 from chiral porphyrin ligand **A**. The chiral directing group R is highlighted in the gray box. (b) Partial ¹H NMR spectrum of $Zn^{II}_8L_6$ cage 1 (CD₃CN, 500 MHz, 25 °C). (c) Partial wide-sweep ¹H NMR spectrum of $Co^{II}_8L_6$ cage 2 (CD₃CN, 500 MHz, 25 °C).

Purple crystals suitable for analysis by single-crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into acetonitrile solutions of the Zn₈L₆ and Co₈L₆ cages **1** and **2** (Figure 2). The X-ray structures reveal six chiral ligands bridging eight octahedral Zn^{II} or Co^{II} centers in an *O*-symmetric cubic configuration, with all eight stereogenic metal centers adopting a Λ configuration surrounded by three (S)-bidentate chelating moieties. Within (S)₂₄- Λ ₈-**1**, the metal-metal distances are 19.4 Å for Zn^{II} centers forming adjacent vertices and 19.8 Å for the Zn^{II} centers in facing porphyrins. For (S)₂₄- Λ ₈-**2**, the corresponding Co^{II}····Co^{II} and Zn^{II}····Zn^{II} distances are 18.6 Å and 19.7 Å, respectively. The internal cavity volumes of (S)₂₄- Λ ₈-**1** and (S)₂₄- Λ ₈-**2** were calculated to be 2881 Å³ and 2906 Å³ respectively using the MoloVol program (Figure S74).^{22,23}

We then investigated the parameters that can influence diastereocontrol in the self-assembly of cage 1. Concentration appeared not to impact the diastereomeric ratio, as the same d.r. of 3.8:1 was observed when the reaction of ligand $\bf A$ with $Zn(NTf_2)_2$ was carried out at ligand concentrations ranging from 1 to 8 mM (Figures S40 and S41).

We found the diastereoselectivity of self-assembly to be profoundly influenced by the choice of reaction solvent, however. In acetonitrile, we tentatively assign the major diastereomer of cage 1 as $(S)_{24}$ - Λ_8 -1, based on the observation that this diastereomer crystallized from acetonitrile. This diastereomer was obtained with a d.r. of 3.8:1 in acetonitrile, whereas in nitromethane, the diastereomer with opposite metal handedness, $(S)_{24}$ - Δ_{8} -1, was formed predominantly, with a d.r. of 1:6 (Figure 3a). Opposite Cotton effects observed in the CD spectra of cage 1 in acetonitrile and nitromethane also confirmed these divergent stereochemical outcomes (Figure 3c). At different ratios of these two solvents (CD₃CN:CD₃NO₂), the diastereomeric ratio of cage 1 also differed (Figure 3b). Although good diastereoselectivity was observed in nitromethane, attempts at growing crystals of $(S)_{24}$ - Δ_8 -1 in nitromethane suitable for X-ray diffraction were unsuccessful.




Figure 2. (a) Crystal structure of $(S)_{24}$ - Λ_8 -Zn₈L₆ cage 1, highlighting a single (S, S, S)- Λ -Zn center. (b) Crystal structure of $(S)_{24}$ - Λ_8 -Co₈L₆ cage 2, likewise showing a single (S, S, S)- Λ -Co center. Disorder, hydrogen atoms and H₂O bound to the porphyrin Zn^{II} centers are omitted for clarity.




Figure 3. (a) Solvent-dependent self-assembly of Zn_8L_6 cage 1 to form two diastereomers (d.r. = $(S)_{24}$ - A_8 -1: $(S)_{24}$ - A_8 -1). (b) Partial 1H NMR spectra (CD₃CN or CD₃NO₂, 500 MHz, 25 $^{\circ}$ C) of Zn_8L_6 in different solvent ratios: (i) CD₃CN, d.r. = 3.8:1; (ii) CD₃CN:CD₃NO₂ = 7:3, d.r. = 2.2:1; (iii) CD₃CN:CD₃NO₂ = 1:1, d.r. = 1:1.6; (iv) CD₃CN:CD₃NO₂ = 3:7, d.r. = 1:2.6; (v) CD₃NO₂, d.r. = 1:6. (c) CD spectra of Zn_8L_6 in MeCN and MeNO₂ at the same concentrations. A PM3 molecular model of a (S, S, S)-A-Zn center was minimized using the SCIGRESS software package, Z^4 whereas the Z^4 - Z^4 -

In control experiments, ligand **A** displayed no Cotton effects in either solvent (Figure S10). It was also observed that removing acetonitrile through evaporation, and subsequently adding nitromethane, switched the diastereoselectivity from 3.8:1 to 1:6 after 2 h at 70 °C (Figure S30). Removal of nitromethane and re-addition of acetonitrile restored the diastereomeric ratio to 3.8:1 after 10 min at 25 °C. These observations indicated that Δ -Zn \rightleftharpoons Λ -Zn interconversion is reversible, with the equilibrium position governed by the solvent. Λ -Zn centers within **1** were thus favored in acetonitrile, whereas Δ -Zn centers were preferred in nitromethane. ^{25,26} In common with nitromethane, predominantly (S)₂₄- Δ ₈-**1** (d.r. = 1:2.4) was observed to form in acetone (Figure S24).

The impact of reaction temperature on diastereocontrol was also examined. The self-assembly of cage **1** was performed at elevated temperatures from 80 to 120 °C. Immediately after cooling to 25 °C, 1 H NMR spectra were measured. The results showed that the diastereoselectivities remained the same as those of experiments carried out at 70 °C in both acetonitrile (d.r. = 3.8:1) and nitromethane (d.r. = 1:6).

The acetonitrile solution of **1** was stored at 25 °C for six months with no changes observed in the diastereomeric ratio. Variable temperature ¹H NMR experiments indicated minimal temperature-dependent diastereomer interconversion in acetonitrile (Figure S38). In contrast, a temperature-dependent interconversion was observed in the nitromethane solution of **1** (Figure S38). After self-assembly in MeNO₂, a diastereomeric

ratio of $(S)_{24}$ - Λ_8 -**1**: $(S)_{24}$ - Λ_8 -**1** = 1:6 was observed. After 7 days at 25 °C, an equilibrium diastereomeric ratio of $(S)_{24}$ - Λ_8 -**1**: $(S)_{24}$ - Λ_8 -**1** = 1:1.5 was reached; reequilibration back to the original diastereomeric ratio of 1:6 occurred following heating of the diastereomeric mixture of cages.

Variable temperature ¹H NMR experiments enabled the construction of a van 't Hoff plot, which provided thermodynamic insight into the temperature-dependent diastereomer interconversion in nitromethane (Figure S39). The conversion of $(S)_{24}$ - A_8 -1 into $(S)_{24}$ - A_8 -1 in nitromethane was revealed to be an endothermic and entropically favored process, with $\Delta H = 24.6 \pm$ 0.8 kJ mol^{-1} and $\Delta S = 83.1 \pm 2.4 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$. The Δ -Zn centers of $(S)_{24}$ - Δ_8 -1 may thus possess slightly more conformational freedom than in the case of diastereomeric $(S)_{24}$ - Λ_8 -1, which may contain more strongly-bound, but less free, Λ -Zn centers. These effects are likely to be small for each individual vertex, but the effects of the twenty-four pendent stereocenters cooperatively tip the thermodynamic balance from one diastereomeric form to the other. The van 't Hoff analysis also provided possible mechanistic insight into the solvent-controlled Δ -Zn $\neq \Lambda$ -Zn interconversion. Based on the different hydrogen-bond acceptor abilities of acetonitrile ($\beta = 40$) and nitromethane ($\beta = 6$), ²⁷ we inferred that the better hydrogen-bond acceptor acetonitrile may restrict the conformational freedom of metal vertex by accepting hydrogen bonds from the amide group, thus favoring the Λ -Zn configuration.




Figure 4. Simplified representation of the stereoretentive encapsulation of pyridine-containing guests by Zn_8L_6 cage 1. Reaction conditions: encapsulation of G1 in acetonitrile (70 °C, 16 h); encapsulation of G1 in nitromethane (70 °C, 16 h); encapsulation of G2 in acetonitrile (MW, 150 °C, 1.5 h).

We then turned our attention to the exploration of the host-guest properties of cage 1. Although porphyrin-containing metal-organic capsules have exhibited extensive fullerene-binding abilities, ²⁸ cage 1 was not observed to encapsulate C_{60} , C_{70} and their covalent adducts (Figure S73). This observation may be attributable to the large inner cavity (2881 ų) of 1 and the distance between two faces (19.8 Å), which preclude extensive stacking interactions between the host cage and guest fullerenes. ¹⁹

Metal-porphyrin-containing supramolecular architectures have been used to bind pyridine-containing guests, driven by the coordination of pyridine nitrogen donors to porphyrin metal centers. Taking advantage of such coordination-mediated host-guest chemistry, bidentate 4,4'-dipyridyl naphthalenediimide guest G1, with a N···N distance of 15.4 Å, was investigated. The mixture of equimolar amounts of G1 and 1 in acetonitrile led to the formation of host-guest complex G1 \subset 1 (Figure 4, left). This complex (d.r. = 3.5:1) retained the stereochemical configuration of the parent cage 1 (d.r. = 3.8:1) (Figure S42).

Encapsulation of G1 also occurred in nitromethane, furnishing $G1 \subset 1$ with a lower diastereoselectivity (d.r. = 1:3.2) than was observed in the case of the empty cage (d.r. = 1:6) (Figure S51). The encapsulation of G1 by I thus proceeds in a stereoretentive manner, as further confirmed by CD spectroscopy (Figure S50). The guest nonetheless influences the stereochemistry of the host metal vertices, particularly in nitromethane, leading to altered diastereomeric ratios.

Cage 1 was also observed to bind tetrapyridyl Zn-porphyrin G2, which measures 15.3 Å between opposing pyridyl nitrogen atoms, in acetonitrile (Figure 4, right). Host-guest complex G2 \subset 1 was formed with a diastereomeric ratio of G2 \subset (S)₂₄-A₈-1:G2 \subset (S)₂₄-A₈-1 = 3:1 (Figure S58). Although cage 1 was not observed to encapsulate G2 in nitromethane, which we attribute to the insolubility of G2 in nitromethane, dissolution of G2 \subset 1 in this solvent gave G2 \subset (S)₂₄-A₈-1 with a diastereoselectivity (d.r. = 1:2.9) again favoring the opposite metal-center handedness than in acetonitrile (Figure S66).

The encapsulation of **G2** segregated the cavity of cage **1** into two symmetry-equivalent cells, enabling the binding of smaller guests that were previously not competent guests for cage $1.^{29c}$ **G2** \subset **1** was observed to internally bind 4,4'-bipyridine between central and exterior zinc sites (Figure S71).

The coordination-driven self-assembly of an enantiopure tetratopic ligand with either Zn^{II} or Co^{II} thus provided a straightforward strategy for the diastereoselective preparation of M_8L_6 cubic cages, which share the same (S) ligand stereochemistry, but vary in the \varLambda or \varLambda handedness of their metal vertices. This metal-vertex handedness was switched by solvent. Although the diastereoselectivities achieved in the present case are modest, this study may lead to the discovery of new methods by which the handedness of chiral coordination cage frameworks could be switched through a change in the environment, with no need for additional synthesis. The ability to bind pyridine-containing guests to form such chiral coordination architectures as $G1{\subset}1$ and $G2{\subset}1$ diastereoselectively may enable the creation of new caged metalloporphyrin catalysts capable of generating either

enantiomer of a chiral product, $^{3.29}$ which may become practically useful following the further improvements in diastereose-lectivity noted above. Given that the Δ stereochemical configuration is entropically favored, future work to improve diastereoselectivity will focus on the incorporation of more flexible chiral side-chains, which may enhance this entropic preference. This phenomenon of solvent-driven stereochemical switching may be general to cages with pyridyl-triazole ligands 20 bearing chiral side-chains, enabling the design of cages that are capable of selectively binding either enantiomer of a newly-synthesized product, thus enabling new methods of chiral purification. Future studies will explore this solvent-driven phenomenon in cages built from C_2 , C_3 and C_5 symmetric ligands.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Experimental procedures; NMR characterizations; mass spectrometry data; CD spectra; volume calculations; X-ray crystallographic data

CCDC 2115416 for $(S)_{24}$ - Λ_8 -1 CCDC 2115489 for $(S)_{24}$ - Λ_8 -2

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Jonathan R. Nitschke – *Yusuf Hamied Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1EW, United Kingdom;* orcid.org/0000-0002-4060-5122; Email: jrn34@ cam.ac.uk

Authors

Weichao Xue – Yusuf Hamied Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1EW, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0002-8376-9485

Tanya K. Ronson – Yusuf Hamied Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1EW, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0002-6917-3685

Zifei Lu – Yusuf Hamied Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1EW, United Kingdom; orcid.org/0000-0002-6710-2808

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by the European Research Council (695009) and the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC, EP/T031603/1 and EP/P027067/1). W.X. thanks the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for a postdoctoral fellowship. Z.L. acknowledges the Cambridge Trust and the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC) for PhD funding. We thank the Department of Chemistry NMR facility, University of Cambridge, for performing some NMR experiments, and Diamond Light Source (UK) for synchrotron beamtime on I19 (CY21497).

REFERENCES

(1) For comprehensive reviews, see: (a) Seeber, G.; Tiedemann, B. E. F.; Raymond, K. N. Supramolecular Chirality in Coordination Chemistry. In *Supramolecular Chirality*; CregoCalama, M., Reinboudt, D. N., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2006; Vol. 265, pp 147–183. (b) Chen, L.-J.; Yang, H.-B.; Shionoya, M., Chiral Metallosupramolecular Architectures. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2017, 46, 2555–2576. (c) Pan, M.; Wu, K.; Zhang, J.-H.; Su, C.-Y., Chiral Metall-Organic Cages/Containers (MOCs): From Structural and Stereochemical Design to Applications. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2019, 378, 333–349

[2] For selected examples on chiral recognition and separation, see: (a) Fiedler, D.; Leung, D. H.; Bergman, R. G.; Raymond, K. N., Enantioselective Guest Binding and Dynamic Resolution of Cationic Ruthenium Complexes by a Chiral Metal-Ligand Assembly. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3674-3675. (b) Liu, T.; Liu, Y.; Xuan, W.; Cui, Chiral Nanoscale Metal-Organic Tetrahedral Cages: Diastereoselective Self-Assembly and Enantioselective Separation. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4121-4124. (c) Xuan, W.; Zhang, M.; Liu, Y.; Chen, Z.; Cui, Y., A Chiral Quadruple-Stranded Helicate Cage for Enantioselective Recognition and Separation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 6904-6907. (d) Dong, J.; Zhou, Y.; Zhang, F.; Cui, Y., A Highly Fluorescent Metallosalalen-Based Chiral Cage for Enantioselective Recognition and Sensing. Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 6455-6461. (e) Bolliger, J. L.; Belenguer, A. M.; Nitschke, J. R., Enantiopure Water-Soluble [Fe₄L₆] Cages: Host-Guest Chemistry and Catalytic Activity. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7958-7962. (f) Wu, K.; Li, K.; Hou, Y.-J.; Pan, M.; Zhang, L.-Y.; Chen, L.; Su, C.-Y., Homochiral D4-Symmetric Metal-Organic Cages from Stereogenic Ru(II) Metalloligands for Effective Enantioseparation of Atropisomeric Molecules. Nat. Commun. 2016, 7, 10487. (g) Schulte, T. R.; Holstein, J. J.; Clever, G. H., Chiral Self-Discrimination and Guest Recognition in Helicene-Based Coordination Cages. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 5562-5566. (h) Howlader, P.; Zangrando, E.; Mukherjee, P. S., Self-Assembly of Enantiopure Pd₁₂ Tetrahedral Homochiral Nanocages with Tetrazole Linkers and Chiral Recognition. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 9070-9078.

[3] For comprehensive reviews on cage catalysis, see: (a) Brown, C. J.; Toste, F. D.; Bergman, R. G.; Raymond, K. N., Supramolecular Catalysis in Metal–Ligand Cluster Hosts. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 3012–3035. (b) Tan, C.; Chu, D.; Tang, X.; Liu, Y.; Xuan, W.; Cui, Y., Supramolecular Coordination Cages for Asymmetric Catalysis. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 662–672. (c) Wang, K.; Jordan, J. H.; Hu, X.-Y.; Wang, L., Supramolecular Strategies for Controlling Reactivity within Confined Nanospaces. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 13712–13721. (d) Morimoto, M.; Bierschenk, S. M.; Xia, K. T.; Bergman, R. G.; Raymond, K. N.; Toste, F. D., Advances in Supramolecular Host-Mediated Reactivity. *Nat. Catal.* **2020**, *3*, 969–984. (e) Olivo, G.; Capocasa, G.; Del Giudice, D.; Lanzalunga, O.; Di Stefano, S., New Horizons for Catalysis Disclosed by Supramolecular Chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2021**, *50*, 7681–7724.

[4] For selected examples on asymmetric catalysis, see: (a) Nishioka, Y.; Yamaguchi, T.; Kawano, M.; Fujita, M., Asymmetric [2+2] Olefin Cross Photoaddition in a Self-Assembled Host with Remote Chiral Auxiliaries. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8160-8161. (b) Brown, C. J.; Bergman, R. G.; Raymond, K. N., Enantioselective Catalysis of the Aza-Cope Rearrangement by a Chiral Supramolecular Assembly. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17530-17531. (c) Gadzikwa, T.; Bellini, R.; Dekker, H. L.; Reek, J. N. H., Self-Assembly of a Confined Rhodium Catalyst for Asymmetric Hydroformylation of Unfunctionalized Internal Alkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 2860–2863. (d) Wang, Z. J.; Clary, K. N.; Bergman, R. G.; Raymond, K. N.; Toste, F. D., A Supramolecular Approach to Combining Enzymatic and Transition Metal Catalysis. Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 100-103. (e) Zhao, C.; Sun, O.-F.; Hart-Cooper, W. M.; DiPasquale, A. G.; Toste, F. D.; Bergman, R. G.; Raymond, K. N., Chiral Amide Directed Assembly of a Diastereoand Enantiopure Supramolecular Host and its Application to Enantioselective Catalysis of Neutral Substrates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 18802-18805. (f) García-Simón, C.; Gramage-Doria, R.; Raoufmoghaddam, S.; Parella, T.; Costas, M.; Ribas, X.; Reek, J. N. H., Enantioselective Hydroformylation by a Rh-Catalyst Entrapped in a Supramolecular Metallocage. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 2680-2687. (g) Ueda, Y.; Ito, H.; Fujita, D.; Fujita, M., Permeable Self-

Assembled Molecular Containers for Catalyst Isolation Enabling Two-Step Cascade Reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 6090-6093. (h) Guo, J.; Xu, Y.-W.; Li, K.; Xiao, L.-M.; Chen, S.; Wu, K.; Chen, X.-D.; Fan, Y.-Z.; Liu, J.-M.; Su, C.-Y., Regio- and Enantioselective Photodimerization within the Confined Space of a Homochiral Ruthenium/Palladium Heterometallic Coordination Cage. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 3852-3856. (i) Tan, C.; Jiao, J.; Li, Z.; Liu, Y.; Han, X.; Cui, Y., Design and Assembly of a Chiral Metallosalen-Based Octahedral Coordination Cage for Supramolecular Asymmetric Catalysis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 2085-2090. (j) Jiao, J.; Tan, C.; Li, Z.; Liu, Y.; Han, X.; Cui, Y., Design and Assembly of Chiral Coordination Cages for Asymmetric Sequential Reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 2251-2259. (k) Guo, J.; Fan, Y.-Z.; Lu, Y.-L.; Zheng, S.-P.; Su, C.-Y., Visible-Light Photocatalysis of Asymmetric [2+2] Cycloaddition in Cage-Confined Nanospace Merging Chirality with Triplet-State Photosensitization. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 8661-8669.

[5] Mislow, K.; Siegel, J., Stereoisomerism and Local Chirality. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 3319–3328.

[6] For selected examples on CPL-active cages, see: (a) Yeung, C.-T.; Yim, K.-H.; Wong, H.-Y.; Pal, R.; Lo, W.-S.; Yan, S.-C.; Yee-Man Wong, M.; Yufit, D.; Smiles, D. E.; McCormick, L. J.; Teat, S. J.; Shuh, D. K.; Wong, W.-T.; Law, G.-L., Chiral Transcription in Self-Assembled Tetrahedral Eu₄L₆ Chiral Cages Displaying Sizable Circularly Polarized Luminescence. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 1128. (b) Zhou, Y.; Li, H.; Zhu, T.; Gao, T.; Yan, P., A Highly Luminescent Chiral Tetrahedral Eu₄L₄(L')₄ Cage: Chirality Induction, Chirality Memory, and Circularly Polarized Luminescence. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 19634–19643. (c) Tang, X.; Jiang, H.; Si, Y.; Rampal, N.; Gong, W.; Cheng, C.; Kang, X.; Fairen-Jimenez, D.; Cui, Y.; Liu, Y., Endohedral Functionalization of Chiral Metal-Organic Cages for Encapsulating Achiral Dyes to Induce Circularly Polarized Luminescence. *Chem* **2021**, *7*, 190–201.

[7] (a) Yang, Y.; da Costa, R. C.; Fuchter, M. J.; Campbell, A. J., Circularly Polarized Light Detection by a Chiral Organic Semiconductor Transistor. *Nat. Photonics.* **2013**, 7, 634–638. (b) Zhang, X.; Yin, J.; Yoon, J., Recent Advances in Development of Chiral Fluorescent and Colorimetric Sensors. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 4918–4959. (c) Longhi, G.; Castiglioni, E.; Koshoubu, J.; Mazzeo, G.; Abbate, S., Circularly Polarized Luminescence: A Review of Experimental and Theoretical Aspects. *Chirality* **2016**, 28, 696–707. (d) Zhang, D.-W.; Li, M.; Chen, C.-F., Recent Advances in Circularly Polarized Electroluminescence Based on Organic Light-Emitting Diodes. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2020**, 49, 1331–1343.

[8] (a) Bonakdarzadeh, P.; Pan, F.; Kalenius, E.; Jurček, O.; Rissanen, K., Spontaneous Resolution of an Electron-Deficient Tetrahedral Fe $_4$ L $_4$ Cage. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 14890–14893. (b) Fowler, J. M.; Thorp-Greenwood, F. L.; Warriner, S. L.; Willans, C. E.; Hardie, M. J., $M_{12}L_8$ Metallo-Supramolecular Cube with Cyclotriguaiacylene-Type Ligand: Spontaneous Resolution of Cube and its Constituent Host Ligand. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 8699–8702.

[9] (a) Terpin, A. J.; Ziegler, M.; Johnson, D. W.; Raymond, K. N., Resolution and Kinetic Stability of a Chiral Supramolecular Assembly Made of Labile Components. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 157– 160. (b) Ikeda, A.; Udzu, H.; Zhong, Z.; Shinkai, S.; Sakamoto, S.; Yamaguchi, K., A Self-Assembled Homooxacalix[3]arene-based Dimeric Capsule Constructed by a PdII-Pyridine Interaction Which Shows a Novel Chiral Twisting Motion in Response to Guest Inclusion. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3872–3877. (c) Davis, A. V.; Fiedler, D.; Ziegler, M.; Terpin, A.; Raymond, K. N., Resolution of Chiral, Tetrahedral M₄L₆ Metal-Ligand Hosts. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 15354-15363. (d) Wan, S.; Lin, L.-R.; Zeng, L.; Lin, Y.; Zhang, H., Efficient Optical Resolution of Water-Soluble Self-Assembled Tetrahedral M₄L₆ Cages with 1,1'-Bi-2-naphthol. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 15301–15304. (e) Hou, Y.-J.; Wu, K.; Wei, Z.-W.; Li, K.; Lu, Y.-L.; Zhu, C.-Y.; Wang, J.-S.; Pan, M.; Jiang, J.-J.; Li, G.-Q.; Su, C.-Y., Design and Enantioresolution of Homochiral Fe(II)-Pd(II) Coordination Cages from Stereolabile Metalloligands: Stereochemical Stability and Enantioselective Separation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 18183-18191. (f) Zhang, D.; Ronson, T. K.; Greenfield, J. L.; Brotin, T.; Berthault, P.; Léonce, E.; Zhu, J.-L.; Xu, L.; Nitschke, J. R.,

Enantiopure $[Cs^+/Xe \subset Cryptophane] \subset Fe^{II}_4L_4$ Hierarchical Superstructures. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 8339–8345.

[10] (a) Castilla, A. M.; Ramsay, W. J.; Nitschke, J. R., Stereochemistry in Subcomponent Self-Assembly. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 2063–2073. (b) Martir, D. R.; Zysman-Colman, E., Photoactive Supramolecular Cages Incorporating Ru(II) and Ir(III) Metal Complexes. *Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *55*, 139–158.

[11] Rizzuto, F. J.; Pröhm, P.; Plajer, A. J.; Greenfield, J. L.; Nitschke, J. R., Hydrogen-Bond-Assisted Symmetry Breaking in a Network of Chiral Metal-Organic Assemblies. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 1707–1715.

[12] (a) Sun, B.; Nurttila, S. S.; Reek, J. N. H., Synthesis and Characterization of Self-Assembled Chiral Fe^{II}₂L₃ Cages. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 14693–14700. (b) Zou, Y.-Q.; Zhang, D.; Ronson, T. K.; Tarzia, A.; Lu, Z.; Jelfs, K. E.; Nitschke, J. R., Sterics and Hydrogen Bonding Control Stereochemistry and Self-Sorting in BINOL-Based Assemblies. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143*, 9009–9015. (c) Jiao, J.; Dong, J.; Li, Y.; Cui, Y., Fine-Tuning of Chiral Microenvironments within Triple-Stranded Helicates for Enhanced Enantioselectivity. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 16568–16575.

[13] (a) Ren, D.-H.; Qiu, D.; Pang, C.-Y.; Li, Z.; Gu, Z.-G., Chiral Tetrahedral Iron (II) Cages: Diastereoselective Subcomponent Self-Assembly, Structure Interconversion and Spin-Crossover Properties. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 788–791 (b) Yang, Y.; Ronson, T. K.; Lu, Z.; Zheng, J.; Vanthuyne, N.; Martinez, A.; Nitschke, J. R., A Curved Host and Second Guest Cooperatively Inhibit the Dynamic Motion of Corannulene. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 4079.

[14] Chepelin, O.; Ujma, J.; Wu, X.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Pitak, M. B.; Coles, S. J.; Michel, J.; Jones, A. C.; Barran, P. E.; Lusby, P. J., Luminescent, Enantiopure, Phenylatopyridine Iridium-Based Coordination Capsules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 19334–19337.

[15] Yang, Y.; Jia, J.-H.; Pei, X.-L.; Zheng, H.; Nan, Z.-A.; Wang, Q.-M., Diastereoselective Synthesis of *O* Symmetric Heterometallic Cubic Cages. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 3804–3807.

[16] (a) Zhong, J.; Zhang, L.; August, D. P.; Whitehead, G. F. S.; Leigh, D. A., Self-Sorting Assembly of Molecular Trefoil Knots of Single Handedness. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 14249–14256. (b) Carpenter, J. P.; McTernan, C. T.; Greenfield, J. L.; Lavendomme, R.; Ronson, T. K.; Nitschke, J. R., Controlling the Shape and Chirality of an Eight-Crossing Molecular Knot. *Chem* **2021**, *7*, 1534–1543.

[17] Martir, D. R.; Escudero, D.; Jacquemin, D.; Cordes, D. B.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Fruchtl, H. A.; Warriner, S. L.; Zysman-Colman, E., Homochiral Emissive Λ_{8} - and Δ_{8} -[Ir₈Pd₄]¹⁶⁺ Supramolecular Cages. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 14358–14366.

[18] Zhang, D.; Ronson, T. K.; Güryel, S.; Thoburn, J. D.; Wales, D. J.; Nitschke, J. R., Temperature Controls Guest Uptake and Release from Zn₄L₄ Tetrahedra. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 14534-14538.

[19] Brenner, W.; Ronson, T. K.; Nitschke, J. R., Separation and Selective Formation of Fullerene Adducts within an M^{II}₈L₆ Cage. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 75–78.

[20] Symmers, P. R.; Burke, M. J.; August, D. P.; Thomson, P. I. T.; Nichol, G. S.; Warren, M. R.; Campbell, C. J.; Lusby, P. J., Non-Equilibrium Cobalt(III) "Click" Capsules. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 756–760.

[21] Howson, S. E.; Allan, L. E. N.; Chmel, N. P.; Clarkson, G. J.; Deeth, R. J.; Faulkner, A. D.; Simpson, D. H.; Scott, P., Origins of Stereoselectivity in Optically Pure Phenylethaniminopyridine *tris*-Chelates $M(NN')3^{n+}$ (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni and Zn). *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, *40*, 10416–10433.

[22] Maglic, J. B.; Lavendomme, R. MoloVol: An Easy-to-Use Program to Calculate Various Volumes and Surface Areas of Chemical Structures and Identify Cavities. **2021**, dss1j. *ChemRxiv*. DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv-2021-dss1j (accessed 10–26–2021).

[23] The internal cavity volumes were also determined by VOIDOO: 2990 Å³ for $(S)_{24}$ - Λ_8 -1 and 3015 Å³ for $(S)_{24}$ - Λ_8 -2. See Supporting Information Figure S74 for details.

[24] (a) SCIGRESS; Fujitsu Ltd.: Tokyo, Japan, 2013. (b) Stewart, J. J. P. Optimization of Parameters for Semiempirical Methods V: Modification of NDDO Approximations and Application to 70 Elements. *J. Mol. Model.* **2007**, *13*, 1173–1213.

[25] Zn_8L_6 with NTf_2^- as counter anion is highly soluble in both acetonitrile and nitromethane; both solvents have similar polarities.

Hence, the solubility and polarity are unlikely to account for the solvent-dependent diastereoselectivity.

[26] (a) Biscarini, P.; Kuroda, R., Stereoselective Synthesis and Characterization of the Optically Labile Chiral Cr(III) Complex Δ-(+)₅₈₉{Cr[(-)bdtp]₃}. *Inorganica Chim. Acta.* **1988**, *154*, 209–214. (b) Hutin, M.; Nitschke, J. R., Solvent-Tunable Inversion of Chirality Transfer from Carbon to Copper. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**,1724–1726. (c) Martinez, A.; Guy, L.; Dutasta, J.-P., Reversible, Solvent-Induced Chirality Switch in Atrane Structure: Control of the Unidirectional Motion of the Molecular Propeller. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 16733–16734.

[27] Marcus, Y., The Properties of Organic Liquids that are Relevant to Their Use as Solvating Solvents. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1993**, 22, 409–416. [28] (a) García-Simón, C.; Costas, M.; Ribas, X., Metallosupramolecular Receptors for Fullerene Binding and Release. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, 45, 40–62. (b) Fuertes-Espinosa, C.; Pujals, M.; Ribas, X., Supramolecular Purification and Regioselective

Functionalization of Fullerenes and Endohedral Metallofullerenes. *Chem* **2020**, *6*, 3219–3262.

[29] (a) Otte, M.; Kuijpers, P. F.; Troeppner, O.; Ivanović-Burmazović, I.; Reek, J. N. H.; de Bruin, B., Encapsulation of Metalloporphyrins in a Self-Assembled Cubic M₈L₆ Cage: A New Molecular Flask for Cobalt–Porphyrin-Catalysed Radical-Type Reactions. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, 19, 10170–10178. (b) Otte, M.; Kuijpers, P. F.; Troeppner, O.; Ivanović-Burmazović, I.; Reek, J. N. H.; de Bruin, B., Encapsulated Cobalt–Porphyrin as a Catalyst for Size-Selective Radical-type Cyclopropanation Reactions. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2014, 20, 4880–4884. (c) Rizzuto, F. J.; Ramsay, W. J.; Nitschke, J. R. Otherwise Unstable Structures Self-Assemble in the Cavities of Cuboctahedral Coordination Cages. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, 140, 11502–11509. (d) Mouarrawis, V.; Bobylev, E. O.; de Bruin, B.; Reek, J. N. H., A Novel M₈L₆ Cubic Cage That Binds Tetrapyridyl Porphyrins: Cage and Solvent Effects in Cobalt-Porphyrin-Catalyzed Cyclopropanation Reactions. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2021, 27, 8390–8397.

