100

Examples of this can be seen in have no chronological the chapter on the evidence itself. pinnings. In addition to the usual discussion does not flow as easily as of geographical variation there are Dennell's recent work on a similar added case studies for the southern theme and northern provinces for the perspective (Dennell 1983), nor as period 20,000-10,000 BP. studies are essentially faunal and hunter-gatherer behaviour within a economic in nature, being the work static time frame (Binford 1984). of Sturdy and Hahn in Germany, and Bailey, Clark, Freeman and Straus in Cantabria. The reason for this notions in the palaeolithic, the lies clearly in Gamble's dissatisfaction with the lithic evidence, sive, although the section on formed as is within the framework technology and typology is not as of a "peoples and cultures" approach, and his greater under- forward by Lewis Binford attests to standing of faunal matters.

Gamble's approach both relies and needs an economic (i.e. faunal) and regional data set. The record for of the skeletal remains, which are Europe has, as he notes, been so important to any discussion of collected as sites and stones (as this subject. Consequently major for example in H. de Lumley's La debates among palaeolithic archaeo-Préhistoire Francaise Consequently his spatial, social effect of the 'appearance' of Homo and demographic analyses are sapiens sapiens go undiscussed in limited in examples.

There are other present. It seems at times unclear such an enterprise impossible. An whether this is an introduction to excellent bibliography, though, palaeolithic theory, or a presen- does provide a good entry into this tation of the evidence. It ends up literature. being a bit of both, culminating in an illustration of the theory with the European evidence. Within this uneasy sandwich lies the filling of the archaeological record. The main conflict here is the essentially chronological nature of the subject matter (i.e. the changing nature of the adaptations and archaeological record through time) and the treatment of the theory in a synchronous manner. This creates an imbalance between the sections dealing with this historical aspect and those concerned with the identification of palaeolithic behaviour, which

under-The book, therefore, which a chronological These well as Binford's which treats

As an introduction to current book is both clear and comprehensharp as the rest. The glowing this. As a synthesis of the European palaeolithic record it is Herein lies a certain tension. at best an introduction. For instance, there is a conspicuous absence of any detailed treatment [1976]). logists and in particular the any detailed way. The sheer volume of material written about the tensions European evidence, however, makes

> The book does highlight some future problems for palaeolithic archaeologists, as well as some inconsistencies within the current theoretical framework. At a general level the whole relationship between the social and economic aspects of a society, even a 'simple' one, is problematic within the social sciences. Leaving this aside though, the main problem seems to be the attitude towards material culture.

Besides fulfilling a basic

therefore. are. content. Bourdieu (1977) and others, is the palaeolithic of Europe. rarely touched upon. Furthermore, there is little consideration of References how this is likely to be seen in material culture, which after all Binford, L.R. 1984. In Pursuit of makes up the record.

Current studies of style and art Bordes, F. 1968. The Old Stone are particularly vulnerable to such a criticism. To use an example from the book, Gamble interprets the geometric similarity between Venus figurine design across Europe c. 27,000-24,000 BP suggesting that this possibly reflects an information network.

It is an interesting idea but falls foul of the criticism of being simple culture-history with the names of the terms changed around. There is no account of how these items would convey such information, nor of how information would be controlled and organised through these objects. Their geometrical similarity might in fact simply reflect an accurate observation of the geometricality of the human body. Palaeolithic art is known for its representational accuracy. If palaeolithic archaeologists do wish to study social processes a better understanding of the meaning of material culture is a prerequisite. At the moment it seems to play a merely illustrative restricted number of publications role to the theory.

Europe

technical need, material culture is organisationally and theoretically. seen as a medium of information To its great credit the book is exchange. Social relationships and very well illustrated, as such a presumably environmental details graphic approach needs, although a the assumed map of the 'regions' of Europe The impression one within the chapter on the record receives is one in which freedom of would have been helpful. Despite information is almost 'constitut- the problems of its layout, though, ionally' observed. The notion of it is a good place to begin an social strategy, as envisioned by appreciation of current ideas about

- London, Thames and the Past. Hudson.
- London, Weidenfeld and Age. Nicholson.
- Bourdieu, P. 1977. Outline of a Theory of Practice. Cambridge. Cambridge University Press.
- Dennell, R. 1983. European Economic Prehistory. London, Academic Press.
- de Lumlev, H. (ed.) 1976. La Préhistoire Française. Paris, CNRS.

*

P. ALLSWORTH-JONES. The Szeletian and the Transition from Middle to Upper Palaeolithic in Central Europe. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986. 412pp (12 plates, 30 tables, 50 figs. and 9 maps). £55.00 (Hard) ISBN 0-19-813401-0.

Reviewed by Tim Reynolds

*

The Palaeolithic of Central Europe remains one of the least understood areas of Palaeolithic studies as a consequence of the containing significant amounts of information. This is confounded The Palaeolithic Settlement of still further by even fewer could be improved both publications in Western European

languages. student of Palaeolithic archaeology nised (Dennell 1983). This new is usually referred to out-dated dating places some of the chronosynthetic works. Therefore, the logical perspectives on relationpublication of this volume is of ships between sites into a new great interest. It centres on one light and it could be very useful of the main issues of human evol- to re-examine this issue. ution, the changes involved in the volume, in fact, does give a very Middle-Upper Palaeolithic trans- full account of the problems of ition and the possible relation- Pleistocene chronology as they were ships of the culture-material then recognised and, with reference changes with the origins of to Central Europe, remains a most anatomically modern Man in Europe, valuable source. Additionally, the question of possible Solutrean origins is discussed with reference to the evol- the coverage of the historical and ution of leaf-point industries documentary material which will throughout Europe during the last help clarify terminology and will Glacial. Indeed, this volume high- indicate which assemblages, sites lights many of the problems etc. are actually relevant to the associated with the use of type debate for Central Europe. For fossils to trace the contacts and this is an area which has spawned a migrations of different Pleistocene proliferation of site types and populations. The Szeletian and different assemblage nomenclatures Solutrean suffer from this, particularly never become firmly established through possession of bifacially here. flaked foliate pieces which are instantly striking amongst a collection of flake tools, a point whilst it begins with the core area emphasised by himself.

published version of the authors' Palaeolithic of Europe and refers PhD thesis and as such it suffers also to the important Levantine in terms of readability from a sequences. In attempting this, the somewhat 'scholarly' writing style. work is quite successful in drawing Additionally, the thesis was together threads of argument which submitted in 1975 so during the cover wide spans of time and space. time which has since elaosed, further developments have occurred fusing and possibly contradictory and not all of these have been material has had to be allocated incorporated. The reader is referred to Allsworth-Jones' recent volume. conference paper which corrects this (Allsworth-Jones 1986).

updated is the impact of new dating which has extended the timespan of origins of modern Man.

As a result, the double the length previously recog-The

Also of particular importance is so-called 'cultures' because the Bordes typology has

The work is very ambitious for Allsworth-Jones of Hungary, Czechoslovakia and Poland, it then expands out to review both the final Middle This volume is essentially the Palaeolithic and the early Upper However, of necessity, much coninsufficient discussion in this

The outlook on the Palaeolithic sequences in these wider areas also One of the areas not adequately has undergone considerable changes, particularly with reference to the Hence. the early last glacial to almost further reading would be useful to

fully develop the very interesting information on assemblages, site Jones.

the Palaeolithic sequence Central Europe it is also most only aid comparative discussion. useful but this is clearly not the Last but not least, it investigates main intention of the publication. a field of vital importance to our The inclusion of site stratig- understanding of human evolution, raphies for the major sites is very the origins of modern Man in Europe useful and worthwhile but the and the relationships between separation of text from figures hominid type, assemblage type and disrupts a smooth reading. The chronology. maps, also placed at the end of the substantial parallels with the volume, are helpful but would situation of the Chatelperronian in benefit from the addition of a few the modern landmarks -- Central Europe transition in France and suggests not being one of the best known that both industries, the Szeletian topographies of the Continent! The and the Chatelperronian, represent smaller site distribution maps are the response of extant Neanderthal informative. A map placed within populations to the coming of modern the introduction would perhaps have Man with fully Upper Palaeolithic been a good idea for students technology. studying the Palaeolithic as a whole to grasp the region more fully, its placement after the subject to further research but stone tool illustrations and tables making the appreciation geography burdensome. As for the they now stand and for all the illustrations themselves, the stone above reasons this volume should tools are clear but lack scales and become an important reference for the tables are readable. Presen- students of the Palaeolithic. tation of faunal material and absolute dating is extremely useful as is the set of tables giving assemblage tool composition. The plates are clear and give a good idea of the setting of the sites, although the inclusion of Cae Gwyn and Ffynon Beuno amongst them was a surprise.

Overall this volume straddles several different but important roles in studying the Palaeolithic. Firstly, it provides a welcome and necessary up-to-date source for reference in English of an important region and collection of material. Secondly, it presents a large amount of specific, detailed

perspectives drawn by Allsworth- stratigraphies and dating, and furthermore something of the historical background to this material. As a reference work for part of Thirdly, it provides a useful set in of tool illustrations which can The study draws Middle-Upper Palaeolithic

> These issues, of course, will be this volume efficiently describes of the problems and possibilities as

References

Allsworth-Jones, P. 1986. The Szeletian: Main trends, recent results, and problems for resolution. In Day, M. and Foley, R. (eds) The Pleistocene Perspective (Volume 1). Southampton, World Archaeological Congress.

Dennell, R. 1983. A new chronology for the Mousterian. Nature 301, 199-200.