# nature research

Jonatha Seidman. Christine F. Seidman Michela Noseda, Norbert Hubner,

Corresponding author(s): Sarah A. Teichmann

Last updated by author(s): August 21st, 2020

## **Reporting Summary**

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

_				
5	ta	ŤΙ	เร†เ	ics

n/a	Confirmed
	$\square$ The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	🔀 A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided  Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	A description of all covariates tested
	🔀 A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
$\boxtimes$	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
$\boxtimes$	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i> ), indicating how they were calculated

### Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

Software used include: BD FACS Sortware 1.2.0.142 (BD Influx), Summit V5.4.0.16584 (BD XDP), BD FACSDIVA 6.1.3 (Aria), Axiovision 4.9.1 and ZEN 2.3 software (Zeiss), Harmony 4.9 (Perkin Elmer), Chromium Controller Firmware version 5.00 (10X Genomics).

Software used include: R 3.6, Python 3.7, 10X Genomics' Cell Ranger 3.0.2, Harmony 4.9 (Perkin Elmer), Axiovision 4.9.1 and ZEN 2.3 (Zeiss), Data analysis Adobe Illustrator 24.2.3, CorelDraw X4, 10X Genomics' Space Ranger 1.0.0, ImageJ 1.52Q or 1.52P, Scanpy 1.4, bbknn 1.3.11, scGen 6c237d7,

Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

anndata 1.7, pandas 1.0.1, numpy 1.19, scVelo 1d87464.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

#### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The data is made available through the Human Cell Atlas (HCA) Data Coordination Platform (DCP) and can be accessed here: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/ view/ERP123138. The data can also be accessed and explored through the HCA Heart Project website at www.heartcellatlas.org.

<b>—</b> •				٠.				
ыe	ld	-SD	ec	ific	rei	OC	rti	ng
					_			$\circ$

•	terre reporting					
Please select the o	ne below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.					
∑ Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences					
For a reference copy of t	the document with all sections, see <a href="mailto:nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf">nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</a>					
Life scier	nces study design					
All studies must dis	close on these points even when the disclosure is negative.					
Sample size	No sample-size calculation was done due to the nature of this study.  Non-failing hearts were collected from human donors from July 2018 to July 2019 on the basis of availability from CBTM (Cambridge, UK) and The University of Alberta (Canada). Our study explores the cellular composition of the healthy adult human heart and we state that the number of samples is not enough to make generalisations.					
Data exclusions	ata were excluded from the analysis. For the final count matrix, we excluded cells based on pre-established criteria for single-cells: we ded low quality samples and contaminating cells (i.e cells with low number of detected genes and high mitochondria content).					
Replication	We performed single nuclei RNAseq on 14 hearts (4 - 6 regions each) and single cell RNAseq on 7 hearts (4 - 6 regions each), with comparable esults among all the donors. The same samples were used for the validation experiments. The micrographs in Figure 2g, 3c/h and Extended Data Figure 2c (HAMP), 2e (CNN1), 3f, 3k, 4f are repeated with similar results in 2 individual tissue sections. The micrographs in Figure 2h, 3f indextended Data Figure 2c (CNN1), 2e (PCDH7), 4e, 4h, 6d are repeated with similar results in 3 individual tissue sections. The micrographs in Figure 1e, 2d, 3e, and Extended Data Figure 1f, 2c (FHL1) and 4d are repeated with similar results in 4 individual tissue sections. The nicrographs in Figure 2c and Extended Data Figure 2c (PRELID2), 7e, 9a are repeated with similar results in 6 or more individual tissue ections. Positive and negative controls were done once per used samples.					
Randomization	y healthy individuals were considered in our analysis. Randomisation was not relevant due to the study design where non-failing hearts e used on availability.					
Blinding	Only healthy individuals were considered in our analysis. Blinding was not relevant due to the study design where non-failing hearts were us on availability, and the analytical strategy would not benefit from it.					
We require informati	g for specific materials, systems and methods  on from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, ted is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.					
•	perimental systems Methods					
n/a Involved in th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Antibodies						
Eukaryotic						
Palaeontology and archaeology MRI-based neuroimaging						
Animals an	d other organisms					
Human research participants						
Clinical data						
	esearch of concern					
—   —						
Antibodies						
Antibodies used	anti-human CD45 monoclonal antibody-conjugated microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, 130-045-801) in dilution 1:4 (20 ul of antibody-labeled microbeads in 80 ul of cell suspension buffer).					
Validation	Commercially available product, full protocol and validation available at miltenyibiotec.com/_Resources/ Persistent/25cf8ecca93dc183f1d96d5348e58ca0e9a07c40/DS130-045-801.pdf					
Lluman rasa	arch participants					

## Human research participants

Policy information about <u>studies involving human research participants</u>

Population characteristics

Tissues were obtained form 14 individuals, eight (D1-7 and 11) collected in the United Kingdom and six (H2-7) collected in

Population characteristics

North America. The cohort consisted of seven male (D2, D3, D6, D7, H2, H3 and H4) and seven female (D1, D4, D5, D11, H5, H6 and H7) donors, in the range of 40-75 years of age. Six of the donors were classified as DCD (Donation after Circulatory Death, D2, D4-7 and D11) and eight donors were classified as DBD (Donation after Brain Death, D1, D3, H2-7).

Recruitment Cardiovascular history was unremarkable for all donors, and this was the main recruitment criteria used for to include individuals in our study. We believe this method of recruitment does not represent any bias that can impact our results.

Heart tissues (D1-7 and 11) were obtained from deceased transplant organ donors after Research Ethics Committee approval (Ref 15/EE/0152, East of England - Cambridge South Research Ethics Committee) and informed consent from the donor families.

Heart tissues (H2-7) were obtained from deceased organ donors after Human Research Ethics Board approval Pro00011739 (University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada). Informed consent from donor families was acquired via the institutional Human Organ Procurement and Exchange Program (HOPE).

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

## Flow Cytometry

Ethics oversight

#### Plots

Confirm that:

The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).

The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).

All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.

A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

#### Methodology

Sample preparation

As described in the Methods section. Briefly, the single nuclei were isolated by mechanical homogenisation and

washed. The nuclei were stained with commercially available Hoechst 33342 dye (NucBlue , R376050). The samples were

kept on ice and directly loaded onto the FACS-sorter.

Instrument Becton Dickinson (BD) Influx, XDP, or FACSAria

Software Proprietary software of the selected sorter.

Cell population abundance N/A

Gating strategy

Single nuclei were selected for single signal on the SCC and FCC to avoid aggregates. The Hoechst-positive nuclei were

selected without any size limit. The gating strategy is available as Supplementary Figure 1.

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.