nature portfolio

Peer Review File



Open Access This file is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to

the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. In the cases where the authors are anonymous, such as is the case for the reports of anonymous peer reviewers, author attribution should be to 'Anonymous Referee' followed by a clear attribution to the source work. The images or other third party material in this file are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Editorial Note: This manuscript has been previously reviewed at another journal that is not operating a transparent peer review scheme. This document only contains reviewer comments and rebuttal letters for versions considered at *Nature Communications*.

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer #2:

Remarks to the Author:

The authors have addressed my comments with additional data and made their points clearer in the revise version. There are some claims that I still don't fully agree with but the manuscript is now more complete with additional supporting data, and I can recommend publication without further changes (other than some minor typos).

Reviewer #3:

Remarks to the Author:

The authors have addressed all the comments raised by reviewer 1-3 carefully and adequately. They have also added more solid experimental data to support their argument and conclusion. More importantly, they have changed the way of description that cation adsorption indeed contributes to the p doping to enhance the PL, and it is not a totally new enhancement mechanism. The main contribution of this paper is that they find Li-TSFI is a better chemical for PL enhancement (higher enhancement factor, greener), and both cation and counter anion play important roles on the enhancement factor. They have also provide data of many different chemicals on the PL modulation. The reviewer think that this paper provide valuable information for the research community and can be published in Nature Communications without further changes.