Editorial

Seismic imaging at the cross-roads: Active, passive, exploration and solid Earth

N. Rawlinson^a, R. Stephenson^b, R. Carbonell^c

 ^aDepartment of Earth Sciences - Bullard Labs, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB3 0EZ, UK Corresponding author: nrawlinson@abdn.ac.uk
 ^bSchool of Geosciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, Scotland.
 ^cInstitute of Earth Sciences-Jaurne Almera-CSIC, Barcelona, Spain

Keywords:

Seismic imaging, joint inversion, ambient noise, acquisition, continental crust, active source, passive source

1 1. Introduction

Science has grown from our need to understand the world around us. Seis-2 mology as a science is no different, with earthquakes and their destructive 3 effect on society providing the motivation to understand the Earth's seismic 4 wavefield. The question of when seismology as a science really began is an 5 interesting one, but it is unlikely that there will ever be a universally agreed-6 upon date, partly because of the incompleteness of the historical record, and 7 partly because the definition of what constitutes science varies from person 8 to person. For instance, one could regard 1889 as the true birth of seis-9 mology, because that is when the first distant earthquake was detected by 10 an instrument; in this case Ernst von Rebeur-Paschwitz detected an earth-11 quake in Japan using a pendulum in Potsdam, Germany (Ben-Menahem, 12

Preprint submitted to Tectonophysics

June 26, 2017

1995). However, even the birth of instrumental seismology could be con-13 tested; the so-called Zhang Heng directional "seismoscope" (detects ground 14 motion but not as a function of time) was invented in AD 132 (Rui and 15 Yan-xiang, 2006), and is said to have detected a four-hundred mile distant 16 earthquake which was not felt at the location of the instrument (Needham, 17 1959; Dewey and Byerly, 1969). Prior to instrumental seismology, observa-18 tions of earthquakes were not uncommon; for instance, Aristotle provided a 19 classification of earthquakes based on the nature of observed ground motion 20 (Ben-Menahem, 1995). 21

While the origins of seismology as a science can be argued, there is little 22 doubt that *modern* seismology, which combines the detection and recording 23 of earthquake signals with theory, has its origins in the late 19th century 24 with the development of early instruments designed to capture the oscilla-25 tory nature of ground motions associated with seismic waves. These often 26 rudimentary seismometers were the progenitors of the more sophisticated 27 instruments used by luminaries of the discipline including Mohorovičic to 28 discover the Moho in 1909, Gutenberg to determine the depth to the core-29 mantle boundary, and Lehmann to discover the inner core in 1936. While 30 seismology can be regarded as a data-driven science, the development of the-31 ory necessary to explain the observations is obviously equally crucial. In the 32 case of elastic wave theory, much of the developmental work was carried out 33 in other fields prior to the advent of modern seismometers; this is also true 34 of many other tools used by seismologists. This is not to say that the evo-35 lution of seismology involved little fundamental theoretical development; a 36 well-known example is so-called *elastic rebound theory* (Stein and Wysession, 37

³⁸ 2003), which described the gradual accumulation of elastic strain energy on ³⁹ either side of a fault prior to rupture. However, many of the tools used by ⁴⁰ modern seismologists to analyse and understand their data come from the ⁴¹ mathematical and physical sciences, including time series analysis, solution ⁴² of differential equations, inverse theory and many more.

Apart from the introduction of seismometers and recording systems, an-43 other revolution which profoundly influenced modern seismology was the de-44 velopment of the computer. IN addition to allowing vastly more data to be 45 recorded, stored and processed, it enabled far more sophisticated techniques 46 to be applied to extract information. Seismic tomography, which allows the 47 Earth to be imaged in 2-D and 3-D, is an excellent example of the impact 48 that the CPU had on seismology. Prior to 1970, seismic tomography in name 40 or form simply did not exist. However, as computing power began to increase 50 at an exponential rate, it gradually began to emerge in active source (Bois 51 et al., 1971) and passive source imaging (Aki et al., 1977; Dziewonski et al., 52 1977) involving datasets of significant size. In subsequent years, the volume 53 of data used and the sophistication of the forward and inverse solvers applied 54 have kept pace with the growth in computing power. Today, full wave-form 55 inversion, involving numerical solution of the elastic wave equation and large 56 numbers of unknowns (10s-100s of thousands or more) is gradually becoming 57 commonplace (e.g. Fichtner et al., 2013; French, 2015). 58

The main goal of this article is to introduce the special issue associated with the Seismix 2016 symposium on seismic imaging of continents and their margins, which was held in Aviemore, Scotland, from May 15-20 2016. However, it is also an opportunity to briefly discuss some of the latest devel-

opments in the field which were considered at various points throughout the 63 five day symposium. This includes (i) joint inversion of multiple datasets, 64 which may involve purely seismic datasets such as body and surface wave, 65 or a mix of geophysical datasets including seismic gravity, heat flow etc.; (ii) 66 seismic interferometry, which is relevant to both diffuse and deterministic 67 sources, and can be used for imaging purposes; and (iii) acquisition, where 68 improved recording systems can yield far more and higher quality data than 69 before. Some of the latest developments in these three areas are discussed 70 below, after which a brief description of the symposium is given, and the 71 papers contained in this special issue are introduced. 72

73 2. Joint inversion of multiple datasets

In seismic imaging that requires the solution of an inverse problem, it 74 is most common to invert a single data type for a set of directly related 75 unknowns. A classic example in seismic tomography is the inversion of trav-76 eltimes for velocity or slowness structure (Aki and Lee, 1976; Aki et al., 77 1977; Dziewonski et al., 1977; Bishop et al., 1985; Walck, 1988; Bijwaard 78 et al., 1998; Widiyantoro et al., 2002; Burdick et al., 2014); assuming geo-79 metric ray theory, the traveltime is simply the integral of slowness along a 80 path between source and receiver, which means that the inverse problem is 81 straightforward to formulate. In seismic tomography, there are various types 82 of datasets that can be considered, depending on the scale of the problem, 83 the phase type used, and the property of the waveform that is exploited. In 84 the case of teleseismic tomography, structure beneath an array is illuminated 85 by distant earthquakes (Aki et al., 1977; Oncescu et al., 1984; Humphreys 86

and Clayton, 1990; Steck et al., 1998; Ren and Shen, 2008; Rawlinson et al., 87 2014); local earthquake tomography uses data from earthquakes in the neigh-88 bourhood of an array to image crust and upper mantle structure (Aki and 89 Lee, 1976; Eberhart-Phillips, 1990; Graeber and Asch, 1999; Schurr et al., 90 2006); refraction and wide-angle reflection tomography uses active source 91 data to image continuous and discontinuous variations in seismic properties 92 (Kanasewich and Chiu, 1985; Hole, 1992; Zelt and White, 1995; Bleibinhaus 93 and Gebrande, 2006); regional and global tomography tend to use earthquake 94 data to image the whole globe or a significant portion of it (Dziewonski et al., 95 1977; Nataf et al., 1984; Grand et al., 1997; Montelli et al., 2004; Burdick 96 et al., 2014). 97

Apart from the arrival time or travel time of a particular phase, the prop-98 erties of the seismic waveform that can be exploited include dispersion (for 99 surface waves), frequency spectra or the whole waveform, and unknowns can 100 involve one or more seismic properties, including P-wave velocity, S-wave 101 velocity, anisotropy and attenuation. Direct inversion for related proper-102 ties including velocity or attenuation ratio (Walck, 1988), and bulk sound 103 (Gorbatov and Kennett, 2003), are also possible. Surface wave tomography, 104 which formerly was only carried out at regional and global scales, can now 105 span from the metre scale to the global scale thanks to the advent of ambi-106 ent noise tomography (Shapiro et al., 2005; Savgin and Kennett, 2009; Pilia 107 et al., 2015). 108

The idea of jointly inverting multiple seismic datasets for one or more seismic properties has been around for a number of decades. Where such datasets "overlap" there is potential to yield more information than what

can be obtained via separate inversions. In seismic tomography, studies have 112 been done which jointly invert local earthquake and teleseismic data (Roecker 113 et al., 1993; Zhao et al., 1994; Sato et al., 1996; Nunn et al., 2014; Huang 114 et al., 2015), local earthquake and active source data (Parsons and Zoback, 115 1997; Wagner et al., 2007) and teleseismic and active source data (Rawlinson 116 and Urvoy, 2006; Rawlinson et al., 2010). The joint inversion of body wave 117 and surface wave data is also becoming common (West et al., 2004; Obrebski 118 et al., 2011) due to the potential for improving both horizontal and vertical 119 resolution in the upper mantle. On a global scale, joint inversion of multi-120 ple seismic datasets is becoming almost commonplace. For example Li and 121 Romanowicz (1996); Su and Dziewonski (1997); Mégnin and Romanowicz 122 (2000); Antolik et al. (2003); Ritsema et al. (2011) jointly invert surface and 123 body wave data (and in the latter case normal modes) for seismic velocity 124 structure in the mantle. Despite its much greater computational costs, full 125 waveform tomography has also been used for the joint inversion of body and 126 surface waves (French, 2015), which results in improved resolution of the 127 mantle volume. 128

Although the focus in this section is on seismic tomography, there are 129 other seismic imaging methods for which joint inversion is considered. For 130 example, receiver function inversion, which exploits body wave conversions 131 at discontinuities beneath a receiver, is sometimes combined with surface 132 wave dispersion in order to increase the accuracy of absolute velocities (Julià 133 et al., 2000). The non-linearity of the inverse problem and the sensitivity to 134 choice of weighting between the surface wave dispersion and receiver function 135 datasets is one of the main challenges of this technique (and indeed most 136

joint inversion problems in geophysics). Bodin et al. (2012) implement a hierarchical Bayesian transdimensional scheme to tackle the joint inversion of surface wave dispersion and receiver functions. Apart from dealing with the non-linear nature of the inverse problem thanks to the underlying Markov chain Monte Carlo sampler, an arbitrary choice of weighting factors is no longer necessary due to the ability of the method to evaluate the noise content of each dataset.

Joint inversion of multiple seismic datasets has obvious attractions in that 144 the observables are all sensitive to seismic properties. However, if we want 145 to jointly invert data of different type, which are sensitive to very different 146 properties of the medium (e.g. seismic wavespeed and electrical resistivity), 147 then the problem becomes more challenging. In the realm of seismic to-148 mography, joint inversion of seismic and gravity data is perhaps the most 149 common (Lees and VanDecar, 1991; Roy et al., 2005; Maceira and Ammon, 150 2007) no doubt partly because direct parameter relationships (i.e. one prop-151 erty can be expressed as a function of another property) between density 152 and wavespeed are relatively common in the literature (although they are 153 often empirical and only valid in particular circumstances). If no valid di-154 rect parameter relationships exist, then other approaches are required. One 155 of these is the so-called cross-gradient constraint, which achieves coupling 156 between the parameter types by including a term in the objective function 157 which favours structural similarity between models. The coupling between 158 parameter types is looser when compared to direct parameter relationships, 159 but fewer assumptions are made. The relative performance of these two 160 approaches is examined by Moorkamp et al. (2010). Joint inversion of multi-161

ple datasets which employ cross-gradient constraints is particularly favoured in exploration and environmental geophysics, which often have overlapping datasets of different type For example, Gallardo and Meju (2003) jointly invert seismic traveltime data and DC resistivity, and Linde et al. (2008) jointly invert seismic traveltime and radar data from a crosshole experiment.

In global seismic tomography, there have been attempts to incorporate 167 non-seismic data via direct inversion. For example, GyPSuM is a global 3-D 168 model of mantle S-wavespeed, P-wavespeed and density derived from joint in-169 version of body wave traveltimes, global free-air gravity, dynamic topography, 170 plate divergence and anomalous core-mantle boundary ellipticity (Simmons 171 et al., 2010). Scaling relationships, which are essentially equivalent to the 172 direct parameter relationships discussed above, are used to link S-wavespeed, 173 P-wavespeed and density, and a strictly linear inversion approach is adopted, 174 whereby a set of weighting parameters are used to balance the influence of 175 the different datasets. In subsequent inversions, the scaling relationships are 176 permitted to vary such that patterns of density, P-wave and S-wave velocity 177 are not necessarily correlated. 178

Rather than describe the Earth in terms of seismic (e.g. wavepseed), 179 electrical (e.g. resistivity), or some other property that is a direct function 180 of the related observable, another approach is to parameterize the Earth in 181 terms of its primary physical properties, namely composition, pressure and 182 temperature. Given values for these parameters at a point in the Earth, it 183 is then possible to make estimates of derivative properties such as seismic 184 wavespeed. The advantage of this approach is that it has the potential to 185 be thermodynamically and internally consistent, and does not require any 186

direct or indirect coupling between sub-ordinate properties like wavespeed 187 and density. Initial attempts at solving this problem using multiple datasets 188 were 1-D (e.g. Khan et al., 2008) owing to the computational costs of dealing 189 with significant non-linearity and non-uniqueness. In 3D, initial attempts 190 (Shito et al., 2006) inverted velocity and attenuation structure obtained via 191 tomography for temperature, major element geochemistry, water content and 192 degree of partial melting. More recently, Afonso et al. (2013a,b, 2016) in-193 troduced a new "thermochemical tomography method" which allows for the 194 inversion of multiple datasets (P and S traveltimes, Rayleigh wave dispersion 195 curves, geoid height, Bouguer gravity anomalies, gravity gradients, surface 196 heat flow and elevation) for 3-D temperature, pressure and composition (de-197 fined by five parameters). A fully non-linear Bayesian probabilistic approach 198 is used to solve the inverse problem. Application to data from the Colorado 199 Plateau reveals a strong association between recent intraplate baslatic vol-200 canism and underlying zones of high temperature and low MG# (Afonso 201 et al., 2016). 202

3. Seismic interferometry

Seismic interferometry, which refers to the principle of extracting a new signal from the cross-correlation of waveforms recorded by a pair of seismometers, has been a rapidly growing area of seismology for a decade and a half. Although first recognised by Claerbout (1968) in the context of synthesizing a reflection response from the autocorrelation of its transmission response in a layered medium, it wasn't until the early 21st century that it emerged as a major new field of development. In one of the pioneering pa-

pers from the acoustics community, Lobkis and Weaver (2001) demonstrated 211 both theoretically and experimentally via ultrasonic laboratory tests, that 212 the Green's function of a medium can be recovered by cross-correlating the 213 recordings made at two transducers from a diffuse field generated by a third 214 transducer. They also found that with increased stacking and use of multiple 215 sources, the quality of the recovery improves. Subsequent application to seis-216 mic recordings showed that this principle is transferable to the Earth's diffuse 217 seismic wavefield, whether produced by so-called ambient noise or scattered 218 coda waves from large earthquakes (Campillo and Paul, 2003; Shapiro and 219 Campillo, 2004; Snieder, 2004; Wapenaar et al., 2005; Curtis et al., 2006). 220

From a seismic imaging perspective, the ability to recover the Green's 221 function between two receivers, which has an equivalence to the signal that 222 would be recorded at one receiver if the other was a "virtual" impulse source, 223 meant that both new and legacy data recorded by passive seismic arrays could 224 be exploited. The majority of applications exploit Rayleigh wave or Love 225 wave signal extracted via cross-correlation because surface waves tend to be 226 much more emergent than body waves (e.g. Kang and Shin, 2006; Saygin 227 and Kennett, 2009; Arroucau et al., 2010; Young et al., 2011; Pilia et al., 228 2016). However, it has been demonstrated that with careful data processing 229 and large and dense arrays, body waves of sufficient quality can be extracted 230 and used for 3-D refraction tomography (e.g. Nakata et al., 2015). 231

The imaging of structure using diffuse natural (oceanic microseismic, atmospheric disturbances) or anthropogenic (human-induced) noise sources is often referred to as "ambient noise tomography", and has become commonplace in the published literature. One reason for its rapid adoption is that,

apart from the processing required to produce the Green's function response 236 from cross-correlation of data from station pairs, conventional tomography 237 workflows can be applied. In the case of ambient noise surface wave tomog-238 raphy, group and phase dispersion analysis can be undertaken, and phase 239 or group velocity maps produced. To obtain 3-D velocity models, pseudo-240 dispersion curves can be extracted from the group or phase velocity maps on 241 a regular grid, and inverted for local 1-D structure; a composite 3-D model 242 can than be produced from the regular 1-D samples (e.g. Young et al., 2013). 243 For the body wave tomography example of Nakata et al. (2015) cited above, 244 the inversion scheme of Hole (1992) was implemented. As such, new inversion 245 methodologies are not often specifically developed for ambient noise tomog-246 raphy. However, one area where this may be required is in the full wave-247 form inversion of ambient noise signal. The accuracy of the Green's function 248 that is retrieved can be heavily influenced by attenuation and heterogeneous 249 source distribution, resulting in amplitude and phase contamination, the ap-250 pearance of spurious arrivals, and missing phases (e.g. Tsai, 2009; Halliday 251 and Curtis, 2008; Fichtner, 2014). As such, direct inversion of the extracted 252 Green's function may result in the introduction of spurious structure. In the 253 case of Gao and Shen (2014), full waveform inversion is performed only after 254 carrying out ensemble-averaging of cross-correlations and corresponding sen-255 sitivity kernels to help minimise the effects of irregular source distribution. 256 Fichtner et al. (2017) develop a general theory for interferometry, which does 257 not equate interferometry with Green's function retrieval, and accounts for 258 heterogeneous source distribution, processing choices, seemingly unphysical 259 arrivals, and the presence of earthquakes in the continuous data stream. The 260

aim of this theory is to permit the full waveform inversion of waveform crosscorrelations which may or may not be true representations of the interstation
Green's function.

Other than seismic tomography, seismic interferometry has also been ex-264 plotted for more direct imaging methods, including those that attempt to 265 migrate the entire wavefield such as seismic reflection imaging. From an 266 exploration point of view, the use of diffuse noise sources is potentially at-267 tractive, as it may be viable as a low cost and environmentally friendly al-268 ternative to active source imaging, which usually require explosives, air-guns 269 or vibrose trucks. However, there are major challenges to be overcome, in-270 cluding the low amplitude of body waves in cross-correlations and the often 271 limited high frequency content of noise sources. However, developments in 272 this field are rapid, and usable results have been obtained (Dragonov et al., 273 2009; Nakata et al., 2011; Quiros et al., 2016). Interferometric seismic imag-274 ing in exploration is not limited to exploiting only diffuse sources of energy. 275 For example, it can be used with conventional reflection seismic data to im-276 prove migration imaging (Schuster et al., 2004). A natural extension to this 277 kind of interferometric imaging is so-called Marchenko imaging (Wapenaar 278 et al., 2014), which, using only sources and receivers located at the surface, 279 is able to retrieve the Green's function for a subsurface source. Conventional 280 interferometry requires a receiver to be located at the virtual source. Ap-281 plication of Marchenko imaging to reflection data allows the extraction of a 282 reflection response which suppresses spurious arrivals related to a complex 283 overburden (Wapenaar et al., 2014; Sing et al., 2014). 284

285

In passive seismic imaging, autocorrelation of the diffuse wavefield or

teleseismic coda waves is starting to become more popular as a direct imag-286 ing tool. Compared to standard cross-correlation of waveforms at separate 287 stations, autocorrelation of waveforms at a single station has the advantage 288 that the surface wave component is effectively removed (Gorbatov et al., 289 2013), and the remaining response can be related to the reflectivity struc-290 ture beneath the station. Although the majority of studies published so far 291 have attempted to exploit the ambient noise field (Ito et al., 2012; Kennett 292 et al., 2015; Oren and Nowack, 2017; Saygin et al., 2017), a recent study has 293 attempted to tackle the problem using teleseismic coda waves (Pham and 294 Tkalčić, 2017). 295

Finally, seismic interferometry has also been applied to the problem of 296 monitoring temporal changes in the subsurface, which can be of use in natural 297 hazard or buried waste storage monitoring. Snieder et al. (2002) introduce a 298 method for measuring small perturbations in a medium by cross-correlating 290 coda waves from deterministic sources before and after the perturbation. Us-300 ing a laboratory experiment in which a granite sample is gradually heated 301 from 20° C to 90° C, with piezo-electric transducers providing both elastic 302 wave excitation and recording, they demonstrate that coda wave interferom-303 etry is able to detect velocity changes (which are of the order of 0.1% with 304 0.02% error) associated with temperature changes of 5°C. Ambient noise 305 recordings have also been found to be useful for monitoring changes in rock 306 properties. For example, Wegler and Sens-Schönfelder (2007) use autocor-307 relations of ambient noise at a single receiver to detect a -0.6% decrease 308 in seismic velocity associated with a Mw 6.6 earthquake. Brenguier et al. 309 (2008) use 18 months of ambient seismic noise data recorded at the Piton de 310

la Fournalse volcano to demonstrate that velocity perturbations of the order 311 of 0.05% can be detected using interferometry, with a clear link between small 312 velocity changes and pre-eruptive behaviour. Effective time-lapse monitoring 313 over periods of years has also been shown to be possible with seismic inter-314 ferometry. For example, de Ridder et al. (2014) demonstrate that variations 315 in Scholte wave group velocity images derived from ambient noise recordings 316 from an ocean bottom cable array over a period of 6 years are statistically 317 significant. 318

319 4. Acquisition

As mentioned in the Introduction, modern seismology really only came 320 into being in the late 19th century when instruments capable of measuring 321 ground motion were developed. Of all the progenitors of modern seismome-322 ters, the 1895 horizontal pendulum design of John Milne, Alfred Ewing and 323 Thomas Gray is noteworthy because it enabled teleseismic earthquakes to be 324 recorded (Musson, 2013). These early instruments used a rotating drum with 325 a needle on smoked paper to trace out the waveform, although these were 326 eventually superseded by light beams and photographic paper. The Wood-327 Anderson (WA) torsion seismograph (Anderson and Wood, 1925) did not 328 use a pendulum; instead a small copper cylinder was attached to a tungsten 329 wire under tension, and moved in response to ground motion. Damping was 330 achieved by suspending the copper cylinder in a magnetic field and recordings 331 were made by bouncing light from a mirror mounted on the mass onto photo-332 sensitive paper (Sandron et al., 2015). Most famously, the Wood-Anderson 333 seismometer was used by Richter (1935) to define the local magnitude of 334

an earthquake. More recent seismometers generally involve movement of a
mass through a magnetic field, which induces a voltage which can be linked
to ground motion. Modern broadband instruments employ force feedback
in order to stabilise the mass and ultimately improve the accuracy of the
recorded signal, particularly at long periods (Stein and Wysession, 2003).

The idea for a global network of seismic stations to detect earthquakes was 340 first mooted in the 19th century by pioneers of the science including Mallot 341 and Milne (Musson, 2013), and indeed by the early 20th century seismome-342 ters could be found on many continents. However, a truly global network that 343 used standardised instrumentation with accurate timing and an established 344 data exchange procedure did not eventuate until the 1960s with the deploy-345 ment of the World-Wide Standardised Seismograph Network (WWSSN). A 346 total of 127 stations were deployed throughout the world, although by 1978, 347 only 115 were active (Peterson and Hutt, 2014). A photographic recording 348 system was used, in which light was focused on a rotating drum wrapped in 340 photographic paper; these records were changed on a daily basis (Peterson 350 and Hutt, 2014). The WWSSN was eventually superseded by the Global 351 Seismic Network (GSN), which was established in 1986 by the US Geolog-352 ical Survey, National Science Foundation and IRIS (Incorporated Research 353 Institutions for Seismology). It now consists of more than 150 permanent 354 broadband seismometers coupled to digital recorders and features real-time 355 transmission of the recorded signal to the IRIS DMC, which makes all data 356 freely available on the internet. More broadly, the FDSN (Federation of Dig-357 ital Seismograph Networks) includes networks from many different countries 358 that record high fidelity digital seismic data. Data from these stations (many 359

thousand) are also archived by the IRIS DMC.

In terms of global seismology, the GSN already offers a potent tool for 361 earthquake research and Earth imaging, which in many areas of the Earth can 362 be supplemented by national networks. Temporary seismic arrays, which use 363 portable instruments installed for a limited period of time are also valuable 364 for Earthquake analysis and Earth imaging, and data from such experiments 365 are often made available to the global community via the IRIS DMC. Many 366 such temporary arrays are part of short projects, but in recent decades there 367 has been a push for large programs which try to cover significant geographic 368 regions using a so-called transportable array. Perhaps the first example of this 369 was the SKIPPY array in Australia (Zielhuis and van der Hilst, 1996) which 370 used a modest array of digital broadband instruments to achieve coverage 371 of the Australian continent at approximately 400 km separation. This was 372 followed by the WOMBAT array in Eastern Australia, which began in 1998, 373 and to date has resulted in the installation of over 700 instruments as part 374 of 17 array movements (Graeber et al., 2002; Rawlinson et al., 2006, 2014). 375

The largest transportable array experiment to date is USArray, which 376 utilises 400 high quality 3-component seismic instruments in order to achieve 377 complete coverage of the United States at a station spacing of 70 km. The 378 experiment began in 2007, with an array deployment inboard of the west 379 coast, which has been gradually migrated to the east in order to achieve to-380 tal coverage. The bulk of the deployment is now complete, with remnants 381 of the array now in Alaska. All data is freely available on the IRIS DMC, 382 making it one of the largest repositories from a single experiment. To date, 383 a vast number of studies have been carried out which make use of this data, 384

largely in the context of understanding the structure and dynamics of continental lithosphere (e.g. Burdick et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2012; Buehler, 2017).
Although not strictly a transportable array in the mold of USArray, WOMBAT or SKIPPY, the European AlpArray initiative aims to densely cover the
Alps with approximately 260 broadband stations, which complement a preexisting network of permanent stations. To date approximately 45 institutes
from 18 countries are involved in the project.

Another recent development in the field of passive seismic acquisition 392 involves the deployment of very dense arrays in order to record more of 393 the seismic wavefield. As technology improves, it is becoming more feasible 394 to build cheap, highly portable and good quality instruments that can be 395 rapidly deployed. For example, Davenport et al. (2014) deploy an array of 396 201 short-period vertical component seismometers for an aftershock study, 397 which enabled very small earthquakes to be detected and highly accurate 398 hypocenter determination. In the study of Nakata et al. (2015) mentioned 390 previously, ambient noise body waves are extracted from a large 2-D array 400 consisting of 2500 receivers at 100 m spacing. These so-called "large N" 401 arrays are becoming increasingly popular, and tend to make use of compact 402 systems that include a geophone, digitizer, battery, data storage and GPS in 403 single unit that can be rapidly deployed (Brenguier et al., 2015). 404

In active source seismic imaging, the use of very large arrays of receivers has been around for a long time. For example, in 3-D marine seismic reflection surveys, multiple lines of receivers are towed in parallel. In the ultrahigh resolution 3D survey in the Gulf of Mexico described by Brookshire et al. (2015), 18 100 m long streamers were towed. Each streamer contained

receiver groups spaced at 6.25 m, with each receiver group consisting of 12 410 hydrophones. Thus this "transportable" array consisted of 3456 sensors and 411 288 channels, and with shots fired every 12.5m, the volume of data recorded 412 was immense. Large underwater arrays of ocean bottom seismic nodes, which 413 can be used for both active and passive imaging/monitoring is another area 414 of development (Beaudoin and Ross, 2007). Although the idea of deploying 415 cables on the seabed populated with hydrophones has been around for several 416 decades, the introduction of cheap, portable, self-contained and autonomous 417 recording devices which can be readily deployed in their thousands has had 418 a major influence on the acquisition of marine reflection data (Bunting and 419 Moses, 2016). 420

The rapid increase in the size of recorded seismic datasets, both in ex-421 ploration and solid earth applications is only set to continue. In part, this 422 is due to developments in sensor technology, which allows for cheaper and 423 much more portable recording units to be developed. For example, fibre-optic 424 sensors are cost-effective, allow for very dense sampling, and have recently 425 been developed for both land and marine use (Molteni et al., 2016). Con-426 tinuous optical fibre sensors fall under the category of distributed acoustic 427 sensing (DAS), a rapidly developing field which has revolutionized borehole 428 seismic and is in the process of migrating to other areas of seismic acquisition 429 (Mateeva et al., 2013). 430

431 5. The symposium: deep seismic imaging of continents and their 432 margins

"Seismix" is an international symposium on seismic imaging that is held 433 every two years. The first meeting was held at Cornell in 1984 and the 434 series has gone on to establish a truly international profile thanks to subse-435 quent hostings in various parts of the world, including New Zealand, Canada, 436 China, Spain, Australia and Finland. The original motivation for the con-437 ference series was the emergence of coordinated national efforts to apply 438 multi-channel seismic reflection profiling methods to understand the struc-430 ture of continents and their margins. Notable examples include BELCORP 440 in Belgium, Lithoprobe in Canada, Fire in Finland, DEKORP in Germany, 441 ESCI in Spain and BIRPS in the UK. However, since the main goal of the 442 symposium is to apply cutting edge methods to understand structure and 443 processes in the crust and mantle lithosphere beneath continents, there has 444 by necessity been a diversification in the data used and methods applied. 445 Most notably, passive seismic imaging methods have become an integral part 446 of the symposium, with receiver function studies, ambient noise imaging and 447 earthquake tomography now presented alongside deep reflection profiling. 448

Seismix 2016 was held in Aviemore, Scotland between May 15-20, 2016, and represents the 17th gathering of the Seismix community. It was primarily organised by the University of Aberdeen, but received assistance from Imperial College London and the British Geological Survey. The program committee comprised 16 individuals from 14 research institutions around the UK. A total of 150 researchers from the UK and around the world attended the symposium, which included four and a half days of talks and posters and ⁴⁵⁶ a half day field trip. The sessions were divided into the following subject⁴⁵⁷ areas:

- Novel seismic imaging using interferometry
- Joint inversion of multiple datasets
- Advanced seismic imaging and inversion methods
- Innovative seismic acquisition and processing techniques
- Real time monitoring and subsurface imaging
- Shallow subsurface imaging
- Seismic imaging of sedimentary basins
- Continental margins and sedimentary basins
- Oceanic lithosphere and mantle
- The North Atlantic lithosphere and mantle
- Continental lithosphere
- Lithospheric subduction
- Back-arc lithosphere
- Orogenic lithosphere
- Magmatism and hydrothermal processes in the lithosphere

⁴⁷³ During the symposium, there were 81 oral presentations and 89 poster pre-⁴⁷⁴ sentations. The underlying theme of the conference was "seismology at the ⁴⁷⁵ cross-roads", because as the above session list attests, Seismix has the unique ⁴⁷⁶ ability to bring together those from the active and passive source imaging ⁴⁷⁷ community, as well as those who study the Earth from the exploration to the ⁴⁷⁸ continental scale.

One tradition of the Seismix symposia is to publish a special issue which 479 features some of the latest research from conference attendees. Table 1 pro-480 vides a list of all the previous special issues from Seismix, dating back to 481 1984. Below, a brief summary of each contribution to the Seismix 2016 spe-482 cial issue is provided. While these papers by no means span all the subject 483 areas that were covered during the course of the symposium, they do reflect 484 the diversity of presentations that make Seismix such an exciting biennial 485 event. 486

487 6. In this volume

The following papers are based on presentations given at Seismix 2016: 488 Aarseth et al. [this volume] use seismic data from an OBS profile across 489 the western Barents Sea to map crust and upper mantle structure in or-490 der to discriminate between different Caledonian structural trends and rift 491 basin orientations. Refraction and wide-angle reflection P-wave traveltimes 492 are inverted for layered crustal velocity structure, and constraints from grav-493 ity modelling are also considered. Their findings support the existence of 494 Barentsia as an independent microcontinent between Baltica and Laurentia. 495 Calvert [this volume] presents a method analogous to semblance veloc-496

⁴⁹⁷ ity analysis for estimating 3-D reflector orientations along 2-D deep seismic ⁴⁹⁸ reflection profiles. The method is tested on data from the Yilgarn craton ⁴⁹⁹ in Australia, and is found to work except for near linear seismic lines. The ⁵⁰⁰ results suggest that the placement of additional receivers, possibly as cross-⁵⁰¹ recording spreads, will be sufficient to supplement the limited range of az-⁵⁰² imuths from in-line acquisitions.

He et al. [this volume] exploit teleseismic pmP reflections from the Moho underside to examine crustal thickness variations beneath the intermediate seismic zone of the Pamir-Hindu Kush region. The deepest interface is found to be nearly 97 km below the southernmost Pamir, which points to the presence of subducted Asian lower crust in the study area.

Lee et al. [this volume] examine the stress field in the continental margin region of the Korean Peninsula and Japanese Islands using earthquake focal mechanisms. They find that the crustal stress fields in the neighbourhood of subduction zones adjacent to the Japanese islands exhibit depth-dependent orientations. They also find that the regional stress field, which was perturbed by the magnitude 9 Tohoku earthquake in 2011, recovered to its normal state in a few years.

Ishiyama et al. [this volume] image active blind faults in Japan using highresolution 2D seismic reflection profiling. Data is sourced from an 8-km long seismic line which crosses compressionally reactivated normal faults within a back-arc failed rift along the southwestern extension of the Toyoma trough in the Sea of Japan. The new images illuminate previously unrecognised thrustrelated structures beneath the on-shore alluvial plain, and demonstrate the usefulness of high resolution profiling in delineating active faults in regions ⁵²² where basement is buried by sedimentary cover.

Krzywiec et al. [this volume] use seismic reflection data to investigate 523 sedimentary cover on the SW slope of the East European Craton in Poland. 524 They demonstrate that following improved data processing techniques, the 525 structural patterns revealed by the POLCRUST-01 profile may be explained 526 by thin-skinned tectonics; this is in contrast to previous studies which also 527 found evidence for thick-skinned tectonics. They also find evidence to sug-528 gest that most of the south-westward tilt of the cratonic basement is pre-529 Ordovician in age. 530

Roots et al. [this volume] carry out interferometric seismic imaging around the Lalor mine in the Flin Flon greenstone belt, Canada. Here, data from a dense array of 336 receivers, each recording 300 hours of ambient seismic noise, were used to generate virtual shot gathers along three receiver lines. Coherent events in the passive reflection profiles can be associated with geological contacts, which bodes well for future developments of this technique.

Song et al. [this volume] image the Moho beneath south China using teleseismic wavefield construction based on the radial basis function (RBF) technique. They demonstrate that compared to the stacking, the RBF technique exhibits more detail and produces depths which appear to be more consistent with changes in tectonic province.

543 Syracruse et al. [this volume] present a new method for the joint inver-544 sion of body wave, surface wave dispersion and gravity data for 3D P-and 545 S-wave velocity structure. The method is tested on USArray data from Utah 546 to image the crust and upper mantle structure. Results show clear delineations between the three primary tectonic provinces, with synthetic testing
demonstrating that the combined dataset dramatically improves the recovery
of S-wave velocities, whereas the improvements to P-wave structure is more
subtle.

Yelisetti et al. [this volume] migrate seismic reflection data recorded by widely-spaced OBSs in order to image structure beneath the northern Cascadia margin. They employ a mirror-imaging or multiple-migration technique, which is shown to be superior even to coincident multichannel reflection imaging. The resultant images reveal for the first time a dual-vergent structure, which may be a consequence of horizontal compression caused by subduction and low basal shear stress caused by over-pressure.

558 Acknowledgements

The 17th International Symposium on seismic imaging of the continents 559 and their margins (Seismix 2016) was organised by the University of Ab-560 erdeen, with organising committee members also drawn from Imperial Col-561 lege London and the British Geological Survey. The Program Committee was 562 drawn from academia, government and industry from around the UK, and 563 are acknowledged for their efforts in putting together a cohesive and exciting 564 set of talks and posters. Financial sponsorship from the British Geophysical 565 Association, Tectonic Studies Group of the Geological Society of London, In-566 ternational Lithosphere Program, Güralp, European Geosciences Union, Ion 567 and Nanometrics is gratefully acknowledged. 568

569 References

Afonso, J. C., Fullea, J., Griffin, W. L., Yang, Y., Jones, A. G., Connolly, J.
A. D., O'Reilly, S. Y., 2013a. 3D multi-observable probabilistic inversion
for the compositional and thermal structure of the lithosphere and upper
mantle. I: *a priori* petrological information and geophysical observables. J.
Geophys. Res. 118, 2586–2617.

- Afonso, J. C., Fullea, J., Yang, Y., Connolly, J. A. D., Jones, A. G., 2013b. 3D
 multi-observable probabilistic inversion for the compositional and thermal
 structure of the lithosphere and upper mantle. II: General methodology
 and resolution analysis. J. Geophys. Res. 118, 1650–1676.
- Afonso, J. C., Rawlinson, N., Yang, Y., Schutt, D. L., Jones, A. G., Fullea,
 J., Griffin, W. L., 2016. 3-D multiobservable probabilistic inversion for the
 compositional and thermal structure of the lithosphere and upper mantle:
 III. Thermochemical tomography in the Western-Central U.S. J. Geophys.
 Res. 121, 7337–7370.
- Aki, K., Christoffersson, A., Husebye, E. S., 1977. Determination of the
 three-dimensional seismic structure of the lithosphere. J. Geophys. Res.
 82, 277–296.
- Aki, K., Lee, W. H. K., 1976. Determination of the three-dimensional velocity anomalies under a seismic arraynusing first *P* arrival times from
 local earthquakes 1. A homogeneous intial model. J. Geophys. Res. 81,
 4381–4399.

- Anderson, J. A., Wood, H. O., 1925. Description and theory of the torsion
 seismometer. Bull. Seism. Soc. Am. 15, 1–72.
- Antolik, M., Gu, Y. J., Ekstrom, G., Dziewonski, A. M., 2003. A new joint
 model of compressional and shear velocity in the Earth's mantle. Geophys.
 J. Int. 153, 443–466.
- Arroucau, P., Rawlinson, N., Sambridge, M., 2010. New insight into Cainozoic sedimentary basins and Palaeozoic suture zones in southeast Australia from ambient noise surface wave tomography. Geophys. Res. Lett.
 37, L07303, doi:10.1029/2009GL041974.
- Barazangi, M., Brown, L., 1986. Reflection Seismology: A Global Perspective. Am. Geophys. Union, Geodyn. Ser., 13. 311pp.
- Beaudoin, G., Ross, A. A., 2007. Field design and operation of a novel deep water, wide-azimuth node seismic survey. The Leading Edge 26, 494–503.
- Ben-Menahem, A., 1995. A concise history of mainstream seismology: Origins, legacy and perspectives. Bull. Seism. Soc. Am. 85, 1202–1225.
- Bijwaard, H., Spakman, W., Engdahl, E. R., 1998. Closing the gap between
 regional and global travel time tomography. J. Geophys. Res. 103, 30,055–
 30,078.
- Bishop, T. P., Bube, K. P., Cutler, R. T., Langan, R. T., Love, P. L., Resnick,
 J. R., Shuey, R. T., Spindler, D. A., Wyld, H. W., 1985. Tomographic
 determination of velocity and depth in laterally varying media. Geophysics
 50, 903–923.

- ⁶¹³ Bleibinhaus, F., Gebrande, H., 2006. Crustal structure of the Eastern
 ⁶¹⁴ Alps along the TRANSALP profile from wide-angle seismic tomography.
 ⁶¹⁵ Tectonophysics 414, 51–69.
- Bodin, T., Sambridge, M., Tkalcic, H., Arroucau, P., Gallagher, K., Rawlinson, N., 2012. Transdimensional inversion of receiver functions and surface
 wave dispersion. J. Geophys. Res. 117, B02301, doi:10.1029/2011JB008560.
- Bois, P., La Porte, M., Lavergne, M., Thomas, G., 1971. Essai de
 détermination automatique des vitesses sismiques par mesures entre puits.
 Geophysical Prospecting 19, 42–83.
- Brenguier, F., Kowalski, P., Ackerley, N., Nakata, N., Boué, P., Campillo,
 M., Larose, E., Rambaud, S., Pequegnat, C., Lecoq, T., Roux, P., Ferrazzini, V., Villeneuve, N., Shaprio, N. M., Chaput, J., 2015. Toward 4D
 NoiseBased Seismic Probing of Volcanoes: Perspectives from a LargeN Experiment on Piton de la Fournaise Volcano. Seismol. Res. Lett. 87, 15–25.
- Brenguier, F., Shapiro, N. M., Campillo, M., Ferrazzini, V., Dupute, Z.,
 Coutant, O., Nercessian, A., 2008. Towards forecasting volcanic eruptions
 using seismic noise. Nature Geosciences 1, 126–130.
- Brookshire, B. N., Landers, F. P., Stein, J. A., 2015. Applicability of ultrahigh-resolution 3D seismic data for geohazard identification at mid-slope
 depths in the Gulf of Mexico: Initial results. Underwater Technology 32,
 271–278.
- ⁶³⁴ Buehler, J. S., 2017. Uppermost mantle seismic velocity structure beneath
 ⁶³⁵ usarray. J. Geophys. Res. 122, 436–448.

Bunting, T., Moses, J., 2016. The transformation of seabed seismic. First
Break 34, 59–64.

- Burdick, S., Li, C., Martynov, V., Cox, T., Eakins, J., Astiz, L., Vernon,
 F. L., Pavlis, G. L., Van der Hilst, R. D., 2008. Upper mantle heterogeneity beneath North America from travel time tomography with global and
 USArray transportable array data. Seismol. Res. Lett. 79, 384–392.
- Burdick, S., Van der Hilst, R. D., Vernon, F. L., Martynov, V., Cox, T.,
 Eakins, J., Karasu, G. H., Tylell, J., Astiz, L., Pavlis, G. L., 2014. Model
 Update January 2013: Upper mantle heterogeneity beneath North America
 from travel time tomography with global and USArray transportable array
 data. Seismol. Res. Lett. 85, 77–81.
- Campillo, M., Paul, A., 2003. Long-range correlations in the diffuse seismic
 coda. Science 299, 547–549.
- Carbonell, R., Gallart, J., Torne, M., 2000. Deep seismic profiling of the
 continents and their margins selected papers from the 8th International
 Symposium on Deep Seismic Profiling of the Continents and their Margins,
 Barcelona, Spain, 2025 September 1998 preface. Tectonophysics 329, 1–4.
- ⁶⁵³ Carbonell, R., Sallares, V., Ranero, C. R., Booth-Rea, G., 2016. Preface to
 ⁶⁵⁴ the "Deep Seismix-2014" special issue. Tectonophysics 689, 1–3.
- ⁶⁵⁵ Claerbout, J. F., 1968. Synthesis of a layered medium from its acoustic trans ⁶⁵⁶ mission response. Geophysics 33, 264–269.
- ⁶⁵⁷ Clowes, R. M., Green, A. G., 1994. Seismic reflection probing of the conti ⁶⁵⁸ nents and their margins. Tectonophysics 232, VII–IX.

- ⁶⁵⁹ Curtis, A., Gerstoft, P., Sato, H., Snieder, R., Wapenaar, K., 2006. Seismic
 ⁶⁶⁰ interferometry turning signal into noise,. The Leading Edge 25, 1082–
 ⁶⁶¹ 1092.
- Davenport, K. K., Hole, J. A., Quiros, D. A., Brown, L. D., Chapman,
 M. C., Han, L., Mooney, W. D., 2014. Aftershock imaging using a dense
 seismometer array (AIDA) after the 2011 Mineral, Virginia, earthquake.
 GSA Special Papers 509, 273–283.
- Davey, F. J., Jones, L., 2004. Special issue continental lithosphere papers
 presented at the 10th international symposium on deep seismic profiling
 of the continents and their margins taupo, new zealand, 610 january 2003
 introduction. Tectonophysics 388, 1–5.
- de Ridder, S. A. L., Biondi, B. L., Clapp, R. G., 2014. Time-lapse seismic
 noise correlation tomography at Valhall. Geophys. Res. Lett. 41, 6116–
 6122.
- Dewey, J., Byerly, P., 1969. The early hisotry of seismometry (to 1900). Bull.
 Seism. Soc. Am. 59, 183–227.
- Dragonov, D., Campman, X., Thorbecke, J., Verdel, A.and Wapenaar, K.,
 2009. Reflection images from ambient seismic noise. Geophysics 74, 63–67.
- ⁶⁷⁷ Dziewonski, A. M., Hager, B. H., O'Connell, R. J., 1977. Large-scale hetero-⁶⁷⁸ geneities in the lower mantle. J. Geophys. Res. 82, 239–255.
- Eberhart-Phillips, D., 1990. Three-dimensional P and S velocity structure
 in the Coalinga Region, California. J. Geophys. Res. 95, 15,343–15,363.

- Fichtner, A., 2014. Source and processing effects on noise correlations. Geophys. J. Int. 197, 1527–1531.
- Fichtner, A., Stehly, L., Ermert, L., Boehm, C., 2017. Generalized interferometry I: theory for interstation correlations. Geophys. J. Int. 208,
 603–638.
- Fichtner, A., Trampert, J., Cupillard, P., Saygin, E., Taymaz, T., Capdeville,
 Y., Villasenor, A., 2013. Multi-scale full waveform inversion. Geophys. J.
 Int. in press, doi: 10.1093/gji/ggt118.
- ⁶⁸⁹ French, S. W.and Romanowicz, B., 2015. Broad plumes rooted at the base
 ⁶⁹⁰ of the Earth's mantle beneath major hotspots. Nature 525, 95–99.
- Gallardo, L. A., Meju, M. A., 2003. Characterization of heterogeneous nearsurface materials by joint 2D inversion of dc resistivity and seismic data.
 Geophys. Res. Lett. 30, 1658.
- Gao, H., Shen, Y., 2014. Upper mantle structure of the Cascades from fullwave ambient noise tomography: Evidence for 3D mantle upwelling in the
 back-arc. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 390, 222–233.
- Gorbatov, A., Kennett, B. L. N., 2003. Joint bulk-sound and shear tomography for Western Pacific subduction zones. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 210,
 527–543.
- Gorbatov, A., Saygin, E., Kennett, B. L. N., 2013. Crustal properties from
 seismic station autocorrelograms. Geophys. J. Int. 192, 861–870.

- Graeber, F. M., Asch, G., 1999. Three-dimensional models of P wave velocity
 and P-to-S velocity ratio in the southern central Andes by simultaneous
 inversion of local earthquake data. J. Geophys. Res. 104, 20,237–20,256.
- Graeber, F. M., Houseman, G. A., Greenhalgh, S. A., 2002. Regional teleseismic tomography of the western Lachlan Orogen and the Newer Volcanic
 Province, southeast Australia. Geophys. J. Int. 149, 249–266.
- Grand, S. P., van der Hilst, R. D., Widiyantoro, S., 1997. Global seismic
 tomography: A snapshot of convection in the Earth. GSA Today 7, 1–7.
- Halliday, D., Curtis, A., 2008. Seismic interferometry, surface waves and
 source distribution. Geophys. J. Int. 175, 1067–1087.
- Hole, J. A., 1992. Nonlinear high-resolution three-dimensional travel-time
 tomography. J. Geophys. Res. 97, 6553–6562.
- Huang, H.-H., Lin, F.-C., Schmandt, B., Farrell, J., Smith, R. B., Tsai,
 V. C., 2015. The Yellowstone magmatic system from the mantle plume to
 the upper crust. Science 348, 773–776.
- Humphreys, E. D., Clayton, R. W., 1990. Tomographic image of the Southern
 California Mantle. J. Geophys. Res. 95, 19,725–19,746.
- Ito, T., Iwasaki, T., Thybo, H., 2009. Deep seismic profiling of the continents
 and their margins preface. Tectonophysics 472, 1–5.
- Ito, T., Shiomi, K., Nakajima, J., Hino, R., 2012. Autocorrelation analysis
 of ambient noise in northeastern Japan subduction zone. Tectonophysics
 572, 38–46.

- Julià, J., Ammon, C. J., Hermann, R. N., Correig, A. M., 2000. Joint inversion of receiver function and surface wave dispersion observations. Geophys. J. Int. 143, 99–112.
- Kanasewich, E. R., Chiu, S. K. L., 1985. Least-squares inversion of spatial
 seismic refraction data. Bull. Seism. Soc. Am. 75, 865–880.
- Kang, T.-S., Shin, J. S., 2006. Surface-wave tomography from ambient seismic noise of accelerograph networks in southern Korea. Geophys. Res. Lett.
 33, doi:10.1029/2006GL027044.
- Kennett, B. L. N., Saygin, E., Salmon, M., 2015. Stacking autocorrelograms
 to map Moho depth with high spatial resolution in southeastern Australia.
 Geophys. Res. Lett. 42, 7490–7497.
- Khan, A., Connolly, J. A. D., Taylor, S. R., 2008. Inversion of seismic and
 geodetic data for the major element chemistry and temperature of the
 Earth's mantle. J. Geophys. Res. 113, doi:10.1029/2007JB005239,.
- Klemperer, S. L., Mooney, W. D., 1998. Special Issue Deep Seismic Profiling
 of the Continents, I: General Results and New Methods. Tectonophysics
 286, IX–XIV.
- Lees, J. M., VanDecar, J. C., 1991. Seismic tomography constrained by
 Bouguer gravity anomalies: Applications in western Washington. Pageoph
 135, 31–52.
- Leven, J. H., Finlaysson, D. M., Wright, C., Dooley, J. C., Kennett, B. L. N.,
 1990. Seismic probing of continents and their margins. Tectonophysics 173,
 641pp.

- Li, X. D., Romanowicz, B., 1996. Global mantle shear velocity model developed using nonlinear asymptotic coupling theory. J. Geophys. Res. 101,
 22,245–22,272.
- Linde, N., Tryggvason, A., Peterson, J. E., Hubbard, S. S., 2008. Joint inversion of crosshole radar and seismic traveltimes acquired at the South
 Oyster Bacterial Transport Site. Geophysics 73, 29–37.
- Liu, K., Levander, A., Zhai, Y., Porritt, R. W., Allen, R. M., 2012. Asthenospheric flow and lithospheric evolution near the Mendocino Triple
 Junction. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 323, 60–71.
- Lobkis, O. I., Weaver, R. L., 2001. On the emergence of the Green's function
 in the correlations of a diffuse field. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 110, 3011–3017.
- Maceira, M., Ammon, C. J., 2007. Joint inversion of surface wave velocity
 and gravity observations and its application to central Asian basins shear
 velocity structure. J. Geophys. Res. 114, B02314.
- Mateeva, A., Lopez, J., Mestayer, J., Wills, P., Cox, B., Kyashchenko, D.,
 Yang, Z., Berlang, W., Detomo, R., Grandi, S., 2013. Distributed acoustic
 sensing for reservoir monitoring with VSP. The Leading Edge 32, 1278–
 1283.
- Matthews, D. H., Smith, C., 1987. Deep Seismic Reflection Profiling of the
 Continental Lithosphere. Geophys. J. Royal Astr. Soc. 89, 447pp.
- Mégnin, C., Romanowicz, B., 2000. The three-dimensional shear velocity
 structure of the mantle from the inversion of body, surface and highermode waveforms. Geophys. J. Int. 143, 709–728.

- Meissner, R., 1991. Continental Lithosphere: Deep Seismic Reflections. Am.
 Geophys. Union, Geodyn. Ser., 22. 450pp.
- Molteni, D., Hopperstad, J.-F., Hartog, A., 2016. Use of distributed fibreoptic sensing for marine seismic measurements. First Break 34, 53–60.
- Montelli, R., Nolet, G., Dahlen, F. A., Masters, G., Engdahl, E. R., Hung,
 S.-H., 2004. Finite-frequency tomography reveals a variety of plumes in
 the mantle. Science 303, 338–343.
- Moorkamp, M., Heincke, B., Jegen, M., Roberts, A. W., Hobbs, R. W., 2010.
 A framework for 3-D joint inversion of MT, gravity and seismic refraction
 data. Geophys. J. Int. 184, 477–493.
- Musson, R. M. W., 2013. A history of British Seismology. Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering 11, 715–861.
- Nakata, N., Chang, J., Lawrence, J. F., Boué, P., 2015. Body wave extraction
 and tomography at Long Beach, California, with ambient-noise interferometry. J. Geophys. Res. 120, 1159–1173.
- Nakata, N., Snieder, R., Larner, K., Tsuji, T., Matsuoka, T., 2011. Shearwave imaging from traffic noise using seismic interferometry by crosscoherence. SEG Expanded Abstracts 30, doi:10.1190/1.3627505.
- Nataf, H.-C., Nakanishi, I., Anderson, D. L., 1984. Anisotropy and shearvelocity heterogeneities in the upper mantle. Geophys. Res. Lett. 11, 109–
 112.

- Needham, J., 1959. Science and Civilisation in China: Volume 3, Mathematics and the Sciences of the Heavens and the Earth. Cambridge University
 Press, Cambridge.
- Nunn, C., Roecker, S. W., Priestley, K. F., Liang, X., Gilligan, A., 2014.
 Joint inversion of surface waves and teleseismic body waves across the Tibetan collision zone: the fate of subducted Indian lithosphere. Geophysical
 Journal International 198, 1526–1542.
- Obrebski, M., Allen, R. M., Pollitz, F., Hung, S.-H., 2011. Lithosphereasthenosphere interaction beneath the western United States from the joint
 inversion of body-wave traveltimes and surface-wave phase velocities. Geophys. J. Int. 185, 1003–1021.
- Oncescu, M. C., Burlacu, V., Anghel, M., Smalbergher, V., 1984. Threedimensional *P*-wave velocity image under the Carpathian Arc. Tectonophysics 106, 305–319.
- Oren, C., Nowack, R. L., 2017. Seismic body-wave interferometry using noise
 auto-correlations for crustal structure. Geophys. J. Int. 208, 321–332.
- Parsons, T., Zoback, M. L., 1997. Three-dimensional upper crustal velocity
 structure beneath San Francisco Peninsula, California. J. Geophys. Res.
 102, 5473–5490.
- Peterson, J., Hutt, C. R., 2014. World-Wide Standardized Seismograph Network: A Data Users Guide. Open-file report 2014-1218, United States
 Geological Survey.

- Phậm, T.-S., Tkalčić, H., 2017. On the feasibility and use of teleseismic P
 wave coda autocorrelation for mapping shallow seismic discontinuities. J.
 Geophys. Res. 122, 3776–3791.
- Pilia, S., Arroucau, P., Rawlinson, N., Reading, A. M., Cayley, R. A., 2016.
 Inherited crustal deformation along the East Gondwana margin revealed
 by seismic anisotropy tomography. Geophys. Res. Lett. 43, 12082–12090.
- Pilia, S., Rawlinson, N., Cayley, R. A., Musgrave, R., Reading, A. M., Direen, N. G., Young, M. K., 2015. Evidence of micro-continent entrainment during crustal accretion. Scientific Reports 5, doi:10.1038/srep/08218.
- Quiros, D. A., Brown, L. D., Kim, D., 2016. Seismic interferometry of railroad
 induced ground motions: body and surface wave imaging. Geophys. J. Int.
 205, 301–313.
- Rawlinson, N., Goleby, B. R., 2012. Seismic imaging of continents and their
 margins: New research at the confluence of active and passive seismology.
 Tectonophysics 572, 1–6.
- Rawlinson, N., Reading, A. M., Kennett, B. L. N., 2006. Lithospheric structure of Tasmania from a novel form of teleseismic tomography. J. Geophys.
 Res. 111, doi:10.1029/2005JB003803.
- Rawlinson, N., Salmon, M., Kennett, B. L. N., 2014. Transportable seismic
 array tomography in southeast Australia: Illuminating the transition from
 Proterozoic to Phanerozoic lithosphere. Lithos 189, 65–76.

- Rawlinson, N., Tkalčić, H., Reading, A. M., 2010. Structure of the Tasmanian lithosphere from 3-D seismic tomography. Australian Journal of Earth
 Sciences 57, 381–394.
- Rawlinson, N., Urvoy, M., 2006. Simultaneous inversion of active and passive
 source datasets for 3-D seismic structure with application to Tasmania.
 Geophys. Res. Lett. 33, L24313.
- Ren, Y., Shen, Y., 2008. Finite frequency tomography in southeastern Tibet:
 Evidence for the causal relationship between mantle lithosphere delamination and the northsouth trending rifts. J. Geophys. Res. 113, B10316, doi:10.1029/2008JB005615.
- Richter, C. F., 1935. An instrumental earthquake magnitude scale. Bull.
 Seism. Soc. Am. 25, 1–32.
- Ritsema, J., Deuss, A., van Heijst, H. J., Woodhouse, J. H., 2011. S40RTS:
 a degree-40 shear velocity model for the mantle from new Rayleigh wave
 dispersion, teleseismic traveltime and normal-mode splitting function measurements. Geophys. J. Int. 184, 1223–1236.
- Roecker, S., Sabitova, T. M., Vinnik, L. P., Burmakov, Y. A., Golvanov,
 M. I., Mamatkanova, R., Munirova, L., 1993. Three-dimensional elastic
 wave velocity structure of the western and central tien shan. J. Geophys.
 Res. 98, 15779–15795.
- Roy, L., Sen, M. K., McIntosh, K., Stoffa, P. L., Nakamura, Y., 2005. Joint
 inversion of first arrival seismic travel-time and gravity data. J. Geophys.
 Eng. 2, 277–289.

- ⁸⁵⁷ Rui, F., Yan-xiang, Y., 2006. Zhang Heng's seismometer and Longxi earth⁹⁵⁸ quake in AD 134. Acta Seismologica Sinica 19, 704–719.
- Sandron, D., Gentile, G. F., Gentili, S., Saraò, A., REbez, A., Santulin, M.,
- Slejko, D., 2015. The Wood-Anderson of Trieste (northeast Italy): One of
 the last operating torsion seismometers. Seismol. Res. Lett. 86, 1–10.
- Santosh, A., Carbonell, R., Artemieva, I., Badal, J., 2014. Advances in seismic imaging of the Crust and matle: Preface. Tectonophysics 627, 1–3.
- Sato, T., Kosuga, M., Tanaka, K., 1996. Tomographic inversion for P wave
 velocity structure beneath the northeastern Japan arc using local and teleseismic data. J. Geophys. Res. 101, 17,597–17,615.
- Saygin, E., Cummins, P. R., Lumley, D., 2017. Retrieval of the P wave
 reflectivity response from autocorrelation of seismic noise: Jakarta Basin,
 Indonesia. Geophys. Res. Lett. 44, 792–799.
- Saygin, E., Kennett, B., 2009. Ambient seismic noise tomography of Australian continent. Tectonophysics, doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2008.11.013.
- Schurr, B., Rietbrock, A., Asch, G., Kind, R., Oncken, O., 2006. Evidence
 for lithospheric detachment in the central Andes from local earthquake
 tomography. Tectonophysics 415, 203 223.
- Schuster, G. T., Yu, J., Sheng, J., Rickett, J., 2004. Interferometric/daylight
 seismic imaging. Geophys. J. Int. 157, 838–852.
- ⁸⁷⁷ Shapiro, N. M., Campillo, M., 2004. Emergence of broadband Rayleigh waves

- from correlations of the ambient seismic noise. Geophys. Res. Lett. 31,
 doi:10.1029/2004GL019491.
- Shapiro, N. M., Campillo, M., Stehly, L., Ritzwoller, M. H., 2005. Highresolution surface wave tomography from ambient seismic noise. Science
 307, 1615–1618.
- Shito, A., Karato, S.-I., Matsukage, K. N., Nishibara, Y., 2006. Towards
 mapping the three-dimensional distribution of waterin the upper mantle
 from velocity and attenuation tomography. In: Earth's Deep Water Cycle. Vol. Geophysical Monograph Series 168. American Geophysical Union,
 Washington, D.C., pp. 225–236.
- Simmons, N. A., Forte, A. M., Boschi, L., Grand, S. P., 2010. GyPSuM:
 A joint tomographic model of mantle density and seismic wave speeds. J.
 Geophys. Res. 115, B12310.
- Sing, S., Snieder, R., Behura, J., van der Neut, J., Wapenaar, K., Slob, E.,
 2014. Marchenko imaging: Imaging with primaries, internal multiples, and
 free-surface multiples. Geophysics 80, 165–174.
- Snieder, R., 2004. Extracting the Green's function from the corelation of
 coda waves: A derivation based on stationary phase. Physical Review E
 69, DOI:10.1103/PhysRevE.69.046610.
- Snieder, R., Grêt, A., Douma, H., Scales, J., 2002. Coda Wave Interferometry
 for Estimating Nonlinear Behavior in Seismic Velocity. Science 295, 2253–
 2255.

- Snyder, D. B., Eaton, D. W., Hurich, C. A., 2006. Special issue seismic
 probing of continents and their margins introduction. Tectonophysics 420,
 1-4.
- Steck, L. K., Thurber, C. H., Fehler, M., Lutter, W. J., Roberts, P. M.,
 Baldridge, W. S., Stafford, D. G., Sessions, R., 1998. Crust and upper
 mantle P wave velocity structure beneath Valles caldera, New Mexico:
 Results from the Jemez teleseismic tomography experiment. J. Geophys.
 Res. 103, 24,301–24,320.
- Stein, S., Wysession, M., 2003. An introduction to seismology, earthquake
 and earth structure. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford.
- Su, W.-J., Dziewonski, A. M., 1997. Simultaneous inversion for 3-D variations
 in shear and bulk velocity in the mantle. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 100,
 135–156.
- ⁹¹³ Thybo, H., 2002. Deep seismic probing of the continents and their mar⁹¹⁴ gins selected papers from the 9th biennial meeting held in Ulvik, Norway.
 ⁹¹⁵ Tectonophysics 355, 1–5.
- Thybo, H., Heikkinen, P., Kukkonen, I., 2011. Deep seismic probing of continental crust and mantle. Tectonophysics 508, 1–5.
- ⁹¹⁸ Tsai, V. C., 2009. On establishing the accuracy of noise tomography travel-⁹¹⁹ time measurements in a realistic medium. Geophys. J. Int. 178, 1555–1564.
- Wagner, D., Koulakov, I., Rabbel, W., Luehr, B.-G., Wittwer, A., Kopp, H.,
 Bohm, M., Asch, G., 2007. Joint inversion of active and passive seismic
 data in Central Java. Geophys. J. Int. 170, 923–932.

- ⁹²³ Walck, M. C., 1988. Three-dimensional V_p/V_s variations for the Coso region, ⁹²⁴ California. J. Geophys. Res. 93, 2047–2052.
- Wapenaar, K., Fokkema, J., Snieder, R., 2005. Retrieving the Green's function in an open system by cross correlation: A comparison of approaches.
 J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 118, 2783–2786.
- Wapenaar, K., Thorbecke, J., can der Neut, J., Broggini, F., Slob, E.,
 Snieder, R., 2014. Marchenko imaging. Geophysics 79, 39–57.
- Wegler, U., Sens-Schönfelder, C., 2007. Fault zone monitoring with passive
 image interferometry. Geophys. J. Int. 168, 1029–1033.
- West, M., Gao, W., Grand, S., 2004. A simple approach to the joint inversion
 of seismic body and surface waves applied to the southwest U.S. Geophys.
 Res. Lett. 31.
- White, D. J., Ansorge, J., Bodoky, T. J., Hajnal, Z., 1996. Special issue
 seismic reflection probing of the continents and their margins preface.
 Tectonophysics 264, VII–IX.
- Widiyantoro, S., Gorbatov, A., Kennett, B. L. N., Fukao, Y., 2002. Improving global shear wave traveltime tomography using three- dimensional ray
 tracing and iterative inversion. Geophys. J. Int. 141, 747–758.
- Young, M. K., Rawlinson, N., Arroucau, P., Reading, A. M., Tkalčić, H.,
 2011. High-frequency ambient noise tomography of southeast Australia:
 New constraints on Tasmania's tectonic past. Geophys. Res. Lett. 38,
 L13313, doi:10.1029/2011GL047971.

- Young, M. K., Rawlinson, N., Bodin, T., 2013. Transdimensional inversion
 of ambient seismic noise for 3D shear velocity structure of the Tasmanian
 crust. Geophysics 78, doi:10.1190/geo2012-0356.1.
- Zelt, C. A., White, D. J., 1995. Crustal structure and tectonics of the southeastern Canadian Cordillera. J. Geophys. Res. 100, 24,255–24,273.
- Zhao, D., Hasegawa, A., Kanamori, H., 1994. Deep structure of Japan subduction zone as derived from local, regional, and teleseismic events. J.
 Geophys. Res. 99, 22,313–22,329.
- Zielhuis, A., van der Hilst, R. D., 1996. Upper-mantle shear velocity beneath
 eastern Australia from inversion of waveforms from SKIPPY portable arrays. Geophys. J. Int. 127, 1–16.

Symposium $\#$	Year	Location	Country	Special issue
1	1984	Cornell	USA	Barazangi and Brown (1986)
2	1986	Cambridge	UK	Matthews and Smith (1987)
3	1988	Canberra	Australia	Leven et al. (1990)
4	1990	Bayereuth	Germany	Meissner (1991)
5	1992	Banff	Canada	Clowes and Green (1994)
6	1994	Budapest	Hungary	White et al. (1996)
7	1996	Asilomar	USA	Klemperer and Mooney (1998
8	1998	Platja D'Aro	Spain	Carbonell et al. (2000)
9	2000	Ulvik	Norway	Thybo (2002)
10	2003	Taupo	New Zealand	Davey and Jones (2004)
11	2004	Mont-Treblant	Canada	Snyder et al. (2006)
12	2006	Hayama	Japan	Ito et al. (2009)
13	2008	Saariselkä	Finland	Thybo et al. (2011)
14	2010	Cairns	Australia	Rawlinson and Goleby (2012)
15	2012	Beijing	China	Santosh et al. (2014)
16	2014	Barcelona	Spain	Carbonell et al. (2016)

Table 1: A brief history of Seismix and its associated special issues