

Journal of Experimental Botany, Vol. 73, No. 10 pp. 3053–3056, 2022 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erac129 This paper is available online free of all access charges (see https://academic.oup.com/jxb/pages/openaccess for further details)



### eXtra Botany

Special Issue Editorial

# Genetic variation in photosynthesis: many variants make light work

Advances in breeding practices during the 'Green Revolution' in the 1960s have helped to maintain crop yields in the face of population growth. However, finding opportunities for further improvements is increasingly challenging, particularly due to the negative impacts of climate change (Dusenge *et al.*, 2019). Solutions to this challenge must be found in new technologies, including new genetic engineering strategies, high-throughput phenotyping approaches, and greater exploitation of the available genetic variation within crop germplasms. Traditional breeding practices have yet to be exploited efficiently for the production of significant improvements in crop photosynthetic efficiencies. Achieving this in concert with new technologies is now a major goal.

## Natural genetic variation in photosynthesis as a tool to improve understanding

Genetic variation between or within species provides a record of selection pressure over evolutionary time scales. Climatic conditions form an important component of such environmental selection pressures. Although photosynthetic traits can acclimate to prevailing climatic conditions during growth and development, innate 'hard-coded' differences based on genomic sequence diversity can greatly aid species adaptation to a particular environment. Thus, characterizing genetic diversity in photosynthetic traits can help to enhance our understanding of factors involved in adaptation, as well as providing a wealth of resource diversity that can be explored for industrial or agronomic applications.

Cyanobacteria are an evolutionary ancient group of highly diverse and environmentally adaptable microorganisms, also of notable fame for their engulfed group member that formed the evolutionary ancestor of the eukaryotic chloroplasts (Rockwell *et al.*, 2014). Despite high diversity within the cyanobacterial phylum, most of the work to understand their ecology, physiology, metabolism, and regulatory pathways, as well as their suitability as biotechnology chassis, has traditionally focused on a small number of model species. However, as Selão (2022) describes in this issue, substantial efforts to isolate and characterize new strains are now starting to expand the genetic diversity available for basic research and development of biotechnological applications.

An intriguing example of adaptation to suppress photorespiration is the convergent evolution of the  $C_4$  photosynthetic pathway. The C<sub>4</sub> pathway involves a carbon-concentrating C<sub>4</sub> acid shuttle between two specialized photosynthetic cell types, bundle sheath and mesophyll, which are typically organized in two concentric rings around the vascular bundles. The decarboxylation of C<sub>4</sub> acids raises the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration around the site of Rubisco accumulation in the bundle sheath cells, which suppresses Rubisco oxygenase activity and photorespiration. Sage et al. (2011) estimated that the C4 pathway has evolved independently at least 60 times. The global distribution of C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis is often associated with habitats that are conducive to high rates of photorespiration (Ehleringer et al., 1997). However, using geographical distribution data of C<sub>3</sub> and  $C_4$  tree species in the Euphorbiaceae, Young et al. (2022) now show that the unique presence of  $C_4$  photosynthesis in trees from this family does not conform to this general pattern, but may instead have allowed expansion of the ecological niche into higher elevation habitats with cooler temperatures.

The productivity benefits of the  $C_4$  pathway have inspired major bioengineering efforts to install the pathway into  $C_3$ crop species such as rice, progress of which was recently reported by Ermakova *et al.* (2020). Understanding of the genetic mechanisms leading to the formation of  $C_4$  anatomy and biochemistry is paramount to making this feasible. In this issue, Simpson *et al.* (2022) review attempts to make use of hybridization between  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  species, or within species between accessions with contrasting  $C_4$  traits, to study the photosynthetic characteristics of the progeny. They also make a strong case for the use of artificial selection and genome-wide association to speed up discovery of genetic determinants underpinning the  $C_4$  syndrome.

### Leveraging natural genetic variation in photosynthesis to improve crop performance

Genetic variation is the key ingredient for improving crop performance via selective breeding. In some crops, comparisons

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **3054** | Kromdijk and McCormick

between historical cultivars sorted by release date have provided evidence of selection on photosynthesis traits as an inadvertent side effect of plant breeding efforts. For example, Koester *et al.* (2016) reported that more recently released cultivars of soybean tended to show higher daily carbon gain than older cultivars, primarily via enhanced stomatal conductance in periods of high soil water content. In this issue, Li *et al.* (2022) suggest that breeding may also have affected photosynthetic characteristics of *Triticum aestivum* (wheat), based on a comparison of 26 winter wheat cultivars spanning 60 years of wheat breeding in China.

Whereas genetic variation in photosynthetic traits is significant in many major crop species (reviewed by Sharwood et al., 2022 and Sakoda et al., 2022 in this issue), incorporation of photosynthesis in selective breeding programmes is still rare. Theeuwen et al. (2022) discuss how quantitative genetics can be used to discover useful trait variation and design strategies to improve crop photosynthesis, based on readily available crop plant germplasm. Crop breeding strategies require a defined target population of environments (TPE), namely a variable group of future production environments (Crespo-Herrera et al., 2021), under which the breeding programme attempts to enhance crop performance. The importance of clearly defined TPE for research to enhance crop photosynthesis is illustrated by Sales et al. (2022), who present a complete lack of correlation between glasshouse and field evaluation of photosynthetic traits across 80 wheat lines. Their work emphasizes the importance of genotype by environment interactions, especially for complex, highly multigenic traits. In the context of global climate change, the increased occurrence of extreme weather events (IPCC, 2021) means that the stochastic, unpredictable component of TPE is becoming more prominent and selection for enhanced resilience against stress is gaining importance. It should therefore be no surprise that genetic variation in photosynthetic traits in response to abiotic stress is strongly represented in the current issue. Faralli et al. (2022) present variation between stomatal dynamics across a range of Vitis vinifera (grapevine) genotypes, which contributed significantly to differentiation in heat tolerance and water use efficiency. Ortiz and Salas-Fernandez (2022) analyse the genetic control of photosynthesis in response to drought stress in Sorghum bicolor, identifying several genomic regions associated with variation in gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence traits, which might be used to further enhance already substantial drought tolerance of this C4 food, feed, and bioenergy crop. Posch et al. (2022) show that thermal tolerance of PSII in wheat is subject to rapid acclimation in response to short-term supraoptimal temperature conditions, but also varies significantly between a range of genotypes with contrasting high temperature tolerance. Finally, on the suboptimal end of growth season temperature, Burnett and Kromdijk (2022) argue the case for enhancing chilling tolerance of photosynthesis in maize via selective breeding, which

may help to better adapt the global number one grain crop to its increasingly temperate surroundings as its cultivation area spreads further north.

### Tools to assess and utilize natural variation in photosynthesis

Although the germplasm of most crops already appears to show significant variation for photosynthetic traits, genetic diversity within a crop's germplasm is expected to be significantly less than that of its wild progenitors. The negative effect of domestication on genetic diversity is well known, although the often-quoted 'domestication bottleneck' typically blamed for this phenomenon has recently become the subject of renewed scrutiny (Smith et al., 2019). Regardless of the mechanism involved, it is clear that expanding allelic diversity can be a fruitful route to enhance breeding programmes. Sharwood et al. (2022) review the great potential to find novel allelic variation in photosynthetic traits in large germplasm collections for food and fibre crops and their wild relatives, and how this variation could be leveraged to accelerate genetic progress in crop breeding programmes. Research on Oryza glaberrima (African rice) by Cowling et al. (2022) provides a case in point. Whereas African rice is not suitable for commercial rice production due to lodging, shattering, and low yield, it makes an attractive target for gene mining for introgression into O. sativa indica and japonica. Having been domesticated independently from O. sativa, African rice germplasm harbours many traits that help to sustain plant growth under challenging conditions. Indeed, Cowling and colleagues show that under common growth conditions, extensive variation in many steady-state and dynamic photosynthetic traits across a reference panel of 155 accessions clustered in line with the prevailing climate conditions of their collection sites, in particular water availability.

As emphasized by Sharwood et al. (2022), a major challenge still resides in phenotyping of large collections of diverse germplasm, which can quickly become prohibitively expensive. However, methods to enable high-throughput phenotyping for photosynthesis under field conditions have made significant strides driven by advances in sensor technology and statistical techniques, and are starting to make an impact, providing proxies for photosynthetic traits rapidly enough to cover field experiments with several hundred accessions. Fu et al. (2022) review the utility of high-throughput fluorescence and hyperspectral techniques to estimate photosynthetic traits from leaf to canopy and infer six key lessons learned with regards to precision, scalability, standardization, and overall utility. Whereas these techniques are easing the phenotyping bottleneck to some degree, it is difficult to see how phenotyping capacity could ever become sufficient to screen large germplasm collections consisting of tens of thousands of accessions. This is

where Sharwood et al. recommend a 'genome to phenome' approach, using accession-specific sequence information to find haplotypes for key candidate genes in photosynthesis. Models to integrate physiological and accession-specific genetic information are a key ingredient of this approach. Linking of model parameters directly to underlying genetic variation can be very powerful to assess the potential impact of allelic variants across a range of genetic loci on crop performance across the TPE. A striking example of such an approach is provided by seminal work from Messina et al. (2020, Preprint) on model-aided breeding of drought-tolerant maize, which approximately doubled the rate of crop improvement under drought. While the genetic mechanisms that control many parameters in the photosynthesis module of crop models are not yet sufficiently understood to mimic this approach, Yin et al. (2022) take a first step in this direction by reviewing how photosynthetic model parameters have been observed to vary within germplasm of  $C_3$  crop species. Using their GECROS model, they go on to show the relative importance of genetic variation in model parameters describing photosynthetic electron transport, relative to parameters controlling the light-saturated rate of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation, such as maximum Rubisco activity. Their simulations also predict that breeding programmes incorporating selection for traits to improve electron transport, nitrogen uptake, and sink capacity would provide the highest impact on yield. Overall, the new strategies and technologies highlighted in the Special Issue are poised to provide a step change in our capacity to breed new crop varieties with improved photosynthetic traits and greater resilience. Such new tools should provide timely support to an agricultural industry under huge pressure to meet future food security demands.

### Funding

JK was supported by the CAPITALISE project (EU H2020 grant no. 862201), a UK Research and Innovation (UKRI)- Future Leaders Fellowship (MR/T042737/1), and UKRI Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council grant no. BB/T007583/1. AJM acknowl-edges funding from the UKRI Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (grant nos BB/S015531/1 and BB/S020128/1).

**Keywords:**  $C_3$  photosynthesis,  $C_4$  photosynthesis,  $CO_2$  assimilation, genetic variation, plant breeding, biotechnology.

### Johannes Kromdijk<sup>1,2,\*,</sup> and Alistair J. McCormick<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge CB2 3EA, UK

<sup>2</sup> Carl R Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1206 W Gregory drive, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

<sup>3</sup> SynthSys & Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3BF, UK \*Correspondence: jk417@cam.ac.uk or Alistair.McCormick@ ed.ac.uk

#### References

**Burnett AC, Kromdijk J.** 2022. Can we improve the chilling tolerance of maize photosynthesis through breeding? Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3138–3156.

**Cowling SB, Tree-lintong P, Ferguson JN, Soltani H, Mayes S, Swarup R, Murchie E.** 2022. Out of Africa: characterizing the natural variation in dynamic photosynthetic traits in a diverse population of African rice (*Oryza glaberrima*). Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3283–3298.

**Crespo-Herrera LA, Crossa J, Huerta-Espino J, et al.** 2021. Target population of environments for wheat breeding in India: definition, prediction and genetic gains. Frontiers in Plant Science **12**, 638520.

**Dusenge ME, Duarte AG, Way DA.** 2019. Plant carbon metabolism and climate change: elevated  $CO_2$  and temperature impacts on photosynthesis, photorespiration and respiration. New Phytologist **221**, 32–49.

**Ehleringer JR, Cerling TE, Helliker BR.** 1997.  $C_4$  photosynthesis, atmospheric  $CO_2$ , and climate. Oecologia **112**, 285–299.

Ermakova M, Danila FR, Furbank RT, Von Caemmerer S. 2020. On the road to  $C_4$  rice: advances and perspectives. The Plant Journal **101**, 940–950.

Faralli M, Bontempo L, Bianchedi PL, Moser C, Bertamini M, Lawson T, Camin F, Stefanini M, Varotto C. 2022. Natural variation in stomatal dynamics drives divergence in heat stress tolerance and contributes to seasonal intrinsic water-use efficiency in *Vitis vinifera* (subsp. *sativa* and *sylves-tris*). Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3238–3250.

Fu P, Montes, CM, Siebers MH, Gomez-Casanovas N, McGrath JM, Ainsworth LA, Bernacchi CJ. 2022. Advances in field-based high-throughput photosynthetic phenotyping. Journal of Experimental Botany 73, 3157–3172.

**IPCC**. 2021. Climate change 2021: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Geneva: IPCC.

Koester RP, Nohl BM, Diers BW, Ainsworth E. 2016. Has photosynthetic capacity increased with 80 years of soybean breeding? An examination of historical soybean cultivars. Plant, Cell & Environment **39**, 1058–1067.

Li Y-T, Li Y, Song J-M, et al. 2022. Has breeding altered the light environment, photosynthetic apparatus, and photosynthetic capacity of wheat leaves? Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3205–3220.

**Messina CD, Cooper M, Hammer GL, et al.** 2020. Two decades of creating drought tolerant maize and underpinning prediction technologies in the US corn-belt: review and perspectives on the future of crop design. BioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.29.361337. [Preprint].

**Ortiz D, Salas Fernandez MG.** 2022. Dissecting the genetic control of natural variation in sorghum photosynthetic response to drought stress. Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3251–3267.

Posch BC, Hammer J, Atkin OK, Bramley H, Ruan Y-L, Trethowan R, Coast O. 2022. Wheat photosystem II heat tolerance responds dynamically to short- and long-term warming. Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3268–3282.

**Rockwell NC, Lagarias JC, Bhattacharya D.** 2014. Primary endosymbiosis and the evolution of light and oxygen sensing in photosynthetic eukaryotes. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution **2**. doi:10.3389/fevo.2014.00066.

Sage RF, Christin PA, Edwards EJ. 2011. The  $C_4$  plant lineages of planet Earth. Journal of Experimental Botany **62**, 3155–3169.

**Sakoda K, Adachi S, Yamori W, Tanaka Y.** 2022. Towards improved dynamic photosynthesis in  $C_3$  crops by utilizing natural genetic variation. Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3109–3121.

Sales CRG, Molero G, Evans JR, Taylor SH, Joynson R, Furbank RT, Hall A, Carmo-Silva E. 2022. Phenotypic variation in photosynthetic

### 3056 | Kromdijk and McCormick

traits in wheat grown under field versus glasshouse conditions. Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3221–3237.

Sharwood RE, Quick WP, Sargent D, Estavillo GM, Silva-Perez V, Furbank RT. 2022. Mining for allelic gold: finding genetic variation in photosynthetic traits in crops and wild relatives. Journal of Experimental Botany 73, 3085–3108.

Simpson CJC, Reeves G, Tripathi A, Singh P, Hibberd JM. 2022. Using breeding and quantitative genetics to understand the C<sub>4</sub> pathway. Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3072–3084.

Smith O, Nicholson WV, Kistler L, *et al.* 2019. A domestication history of dynamic adaptation and genomic deterioration in *Sorghum*. Nature Plants 5, 369–379.

**Theeuwen TPJM, Logie LL, Harbinson J, Aarts MGM.** 2022. Genetics as a key to improving crop photosynthesis. Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3122–3137.

Selão TT. 2022. Exploring cyanobacterial diversity for sustainable biotechnology. Journal of Experimental Botany 73, 3057–3071.

Yin X, Gu J, Dingkuhn M, Struik PC. 2022. A model-guided holistic review of exploiting natural variation of photosynthesis traits in crop improvement. Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3173–3188.

Young SNR, Dunning LT, Liu H, Stevens CJ, Lundgren MR. 2022.  $C_4$  trees have a broader niche than their close  $C_3$  relatives. Journal of Experimental Botany **73**, 3189–3204.