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#### Abstract

Bacterial endosymbionts are very common in terrestrial arthropods, but infection levels vary widely among populations. Experiments and within-species comparisons suggest that environmental temperature might be important in explaining this variation. To investigate the importance of temperature, at broad geographical and taxonomic scales, we extended a global database of terrestrial arthropods screened for Wolbachia and Cardinium. Our final dataset contained data from $>117,000$ arthropods ( $>2,500$ species) screened for Wolbachia and $>18,000$ arthropods ( $>800$ species) screened for Cardinium, including samples from 137 different countries, with mean temperatures varying from -6.5 to $29.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In insects and relatives, Cardinium infection showed a clear and consistent tendency to increase with temperature. For Wolbachia, a tendency to increase with temperature in temperate climates, is counteracted by reduced prevalence in the tropics, resulting in a weak negative trend overall. We discuss the implications of these results for natural and introduced symbionts, in regions affected by climate change.
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## Introduction

Bacterial endosymbionts can exert profound effects on their hosts, for example by manipulating host reproductive biology to maximise their own vertical transmission ( $1,2,3$ ). Infection with such symbionts is very widespread among arthropods, with the genera Wolbachia and Cardinium, having been estimated to infect, respectively, around $50 \%$ and $12.5 \%$ of all terrestrial arthropod species (3). Nevertheless, symbiont prevalence (i.e., the proportion of infected individuals within a population) varies widely, and the reasons for this remain unknown. Several factors may shape variation in prevalence, including costs of reproductive parasitism (4), benefits of protection against viral pathogens (5), or host dispersal patterns (6). Another putative influence on endosymbiont prevalence is environmental temperature (7). Laboratory studies suggest that endosymbionts are more susceptible to thermal stress than their hosts $(8,9,10)$, and the physiological costs or benefits of endosymbiont carriage or transmission might be temperature-sensitive (7). Positive temperature clines have been observed for Cardinium infection in Culicoides midges in Israel (11), for the global prevalence of Wolbachia infection in Lepidoptera, and across tropical/temperate gradients in Australian Diptera $(12,13,14)$. However, these are isolated results, and the prevalence of Wolbachia in insect populations, for example, remains remarkably constant across broad continental scales (15).

Weinert et al. (3) collated a database of published PCR screens for Cardinium and Wolbachia in wild populations of terrestrial athropods and developed a maximum-likelihood modelling framework that they used to test hypotheses about endosymbiont incidence in different arthropod groups (3). Here, we greatly extended their database, and modified their methods to test whether temperature clines for prevalence of Wolbachia and Cardinium obtain more generally. Wolbachia is of special interest because of its use as a bio-control agent for mosquito disease vectors $(5,6)$. Cardinium provides an interesting contrast because it adopts many of the same transmission strategies as Wolbachia, and is also known to infect both pests $(16)$ and disease vectors $(11,17)$ but is present at a much lower incidence.

## Results

We extended the database of Weinert et al. (3) to yield 135,876 arthropods screened for Wolbachia and Cardinium, drawn from a total of 320 publications. When sampling location was specified, we obtained an estimate of mean temperature between 1970-2000 (18), and assigned each sample to a Köppen climate zone, which summarizes multiple ecologically relevant variables $(19,20)$. The data are diverse taxonomically (with hosts from $>40$ arthropod orders), and geographically (with 27/31 Köppen climates, including all higherlevel zones: tropical, arid, temperate, continental, and polar). The database is available as Supplementary Table S1, and is summarized in Figures 1 and S1-S3.

We first consider Cardinium (Figure 1a-e). This symbiont has very different prevalences in the two major arthropod groups, namely Chelicerata: mites, ticks, spiders and relatives, and Mandibulata: mainly insects, but also, wingless hexapods, crustaceans and myriapods ( 21,3 ), so we consider these two groups separately.

For Cardinium infection in Mandibulata (Figure 1b-c; Table 1a), there is a clear trend for increasing infection with temperature (Figure 1c). This is confirmed by a regression analysis
(Figure 1b; Table 1a). Temperature remained a significant predictor of prevalence when we allowed for an effect of climatic zone, by estimating a typical prevalence level for each of the five higher-level Köppen climates ("K5" in Table 1a), and when we allowed for systematic differences between all finer-grained Köppen climates ("K31" in Table 1a). Furthermore, the effects of temperature increased when we removed the subset of populations with imprecisely specified locations, where the temperature estimates are least certain (see Supplementary Information: Appendix 1 and Table S4a). The improvement in fit due to the Köppen categories, suggest that elements of climate, other than temperature, might predict prevalence. This was confirmed by a permutation test, which showed that much smaller improvements were seen in equally large models, but with the Köppen climate labels permuted randomly among the sampled populations (permutation $p$-value $<10^{-4}$; see Supplementary Appendix 1).

A major caveat to these results is the highly unrepresentative taxonomy in our database (see Supplementary Figure S1 and Supplementary Table S2). For example, over half of the individuals sampled ( $6127 / 11,755$ ), and three quarters of those infected $(1596 / 2070)$ are Hemiptera (true bugs). Nevertheless, the effect of temperature remained when we removed all Hemiptera from the dataset, and when we considered Hemiptera alone (Supplementary Table S2). Furthermore, the same trend (albeit non-significant) is evident in the two bestsampled hemipteran groups, namely sternorrhynca (aphids, whiteflies and relatives), and fulgoromorpha (planthoppers). Together, then, our results suggest that both temperature, and other features of climate have predictable effects on levels of Cardinium infection in the Mandibulata.

For Wolbachia in Mandibulata (Figure 1h-g; Table 1b), the results are quite different. Here, there is a significant tendency for colder climates to harbor higher prevalence infections (Table 1b). However, this effect is very small (an increase in temperature from $0^{\circ}$ to $10^{\circ}$ only decreases expected mean prevalence from $42 \%$ to $40 \%$ ), and not robust to removing populations with imprecisely reported locations (Supplementary Table S4). Nevertheless, similar effects appear in multiple taxonomic groups. As shown in Supplementary Table S2, and Supplementary Figure S4, a negative effect of temperature is found in $4 / 6$ of highlysampled insect orders: Coleoptera, Hymenoptera, Hemiptera and Orthoptera, and in pooled data from the remaining, sparsely-sampled groups. The same trend was also seen in mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae), and in the remainder of the Diptera, though not in the wellsampled Lepidoptera.

As shown in Figure 2, this effect is driven by differences between the major climatic zones, with several groups showing less infection in tropical regions, and more infection in cold, continental regions (see also Figure S9). If we model this effect, assigning a typical prevalence level to each of the five climates ("K5" in Table 1b), then the effect of temperature reverses sign, and it becomes a significantly positive predictor of prevalence. Finer-grained analyses show that this is driven by a strong effect of temperature within the best-sampled "temperate" zones, with no consistent pattern elsewhere (Supplementary Tables S3, S4, and Figure S5). The effect also disappears if we allow for systematic differences between the finer-grained Köppen climates ("K31" in Table 1b); in this case, model fit improves substantially, and more so than when climatic labels are randomly permuted (permutation $p<10^{-4}$ ), but including temperature as an explanatory variable adds little predictive power, and fit is very similar for the two largest models.

Chelicerates are much less well sampled than insects, but this host group showed little evidence of a consistent effect of temperature (Figure 1d-e; and h-i; Table 1c-d). Indeed, for Wolbachia there is a notable lack of variation in the mean prevalence estimates. We find meaningful variation between typical prevalences in the finer-grained climatic zones (permutation $\mathrm{p}<10^{-4}$ ), but the best-fit slope for temperature is close to zero (Table 1d; Supplementary Table S4d), and confidence intervals overlap for most pairs of higher-level climates (see Supplementary Figure S6). This lack of a clear trend holds for the well-sampled Acari (mites, ticks and relatives), which includes many disease vectors, and for the remainder of the chelicerate data (Supplementary Table S2).

## Discussion

Climate and temperature might be associated with symbioses in multiple ways, including direct effects of temperature on the symbiont $(7,8,9)$ or effects on host density or diversity that might affect symbiont transmission $(10,32,33)$. A step towards understanding these processes is to determine the patterns of variation that appear in nature. To this end, we have compiled a global database of arthropod populations screened for the Cardinium and Wolbachia, and tested for an effect of climate, and long-term environmental temperature, on infection prevalence. Our data were extensive, but haphazardly sampled, and so we have focused on patterns we found consistently in different subsets of the data.

A clear and consistent positive relationship between temperature and prevalence was found for Cardinium infection in Mandibulata hosts, particularly in insects. This suggests that the pattern reported for Culicoides midges from Israel (11), obtains much more generally. While the overall incidence of Cardinium in insects is relatively low (3), infection is common in Hemiptera (15), including the rice pest Sogatella furcifera, where Cardinium appears to increase host fitness (33). Our results may therefore be of relevance to pest control efforts.

For infection with Wolbachia - where incidence levels are generally higher - we observed more complex patterns. In Chelicerate hosts, no consistent trends were found, and infection levels are surprisingly constant across very different geographical regions, and host groups. In insects, by contrast, we found a tendency for infection to increase with temperature, but only within temperate climates; and tropical climates, tended to have lower infection levels overall. This last finding contrasts with previous studies of Wolbachia in single species or families of Diptera $(13,14)$, but we observed the trend in multiple host groups, including mosquitoes, the major dipteran disease vectors.

The results for Wolbachia have a special interest, because of efforts to use this symbiont as a biocontrol agent, particularly for mosquito-borne human pathogens. For example, in Aedes aegypti, Wolbachia infection inhibits the replication of dengue, chikungunya and zika viruses, as well as malarial parasites $(5,22,6)$. The use of Wolbachia for biocontrol has focused on tropical regions (in Australia, Brazil, Indonesia and Vietnam), where the problems of mosquito-borne disease are most acute. But the trends we find within temperate zones suggest that changes in temperature can have consistent effects on symbioses in regions where, because of climate change, such diseases are re-emerging $(22,23)$. Experimental studies have shown that increases in temperature can perturb mosquito-Wolbachia symbioses in the laboratory $(24,25)$, and our results suggest these lab results could have a strong ecological relevance.

## Methods

We corrected and extended an existing database of arthropod screens (3), adding data on sampling location. Full details are given in Supplementary Methods 1.

The main statistical analyses used standard Betabinomial modeling $(3,26)$. Here, the number of infected individuals in a given population sample was treated a binomially-distributed random variable, parameterised with the true prevalence for that population (i.e., the proportion of the population infected). We then assumed that the true prevalence for each population was drawn from a Beta distribution, parameterized with an overall mean prevalence, and a correlation parameter, describing how the variation in infection is distributed within versus between populations (3). To test for effects of climatic zone and temperature, the logit transformed mean prevalence was constrained to the linear model. For example, we used

$$
E\left(\log \left(\mu_{i} /\left(1-\mu_{i}\right)\right) \mid t_{i}, K_{i}\right)-\beta_{0}+\beta_{K_{i}}+\beta_{i} t_{i}
$$

where $\mu_{i}$, is the mean prevalence of population $i, t_{i}$ is the temperature estimate for its sampling location, and $K_{i}$ is a categorical variable representing its Köppen climate. We held the correlation parameter of the Beta distribution constant across all populations, although relaxing this assumption had little qualitative effect on results (Supplementary Table S2 and Supplementary Figures S8-S11). This agrees with claims that Beta-binomial models yield robust estimates of the mean prevalence, even if the shape of the distribution is misspecified (3). All models were fit using the $v g l m$ function of the R package VGAM v1.0-3 (27). Bestfit slopes for temperature, $\beta_{i}$, are reported in Table 1 and, the best-fit coefficients for the climatic zones, $\beta_{K}$, in Supplementary Figures S8-S11. Symbiont infection is a highly dynamic process, and so no set of predictors is likely to explain much of the variation (3). Nevertheless, we also calculated a pseudo- $r^{2}\left(1-\ln \hat{L} / \ln \hat{L}_{\text {sul }}\right)(30)$, and the Akaike Information Criterion $(-2 \ln \hat{L}+2 n)$, which is lower for preferred models (31). Our major aim was to test for an effect of temperature, and for this purpose, we used two-tailed $z$-tests, defining significance as $p<0.005$ (28). For the permutation tests, we recalculated the maximized $\log$ likelihood after randomly permutating the Köppen classifications among populations (following permutation procedures described in (3)). The $p$-value is the proportion of 10,000 random permutations where the maximized log-likelihood is at least as high as with the true classifications. Low $p$-values suggest that the climatic zones are adding predictive power, beyond what would be expected from adding additional parameters to the model.

For the illustrative plots (Figure 1c-e and h-i; Supplementary Figure S4), we binned populations by mean temperature, centring the bins on the mean temperatures recorded in our data set for the five higher-level Köppen climates (Supplementary Figure S3). A distinct Beta-binomial model was then fit within each bin, with confidence intervals defined as mean prevalence values that reduce the maximized log likelihood by two units (29). The same approach was taken for estimating prevalence in each higher-level climatic zone (see Figures 3 and Supplementary S6).
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Table 1: The effects of mean temperature and climatic zone on symbiont prevalence

| Data set: |  | KC | $n$ | AIC | pseu-r ${ }^{2}$ | temp: slope ( $p$ val) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) Cardinium Mandibulata |  |  | 2 | 1436.37 |  |  |  |
| \# Populations: | 1,374 |  | 3 | 1385.92 | 0.037 | 0.128 | $\left(7.04 \times 10^{-13 *}\right)$ |
| \# Individuals (infected): <br> \# Species min-max: | 11,755 (2070) | K5 | 6 | 1434.74 | 0.007 |  |  |
|  | 623-814 | K5 | 7 | 1359.13 | 0.061 | 0.218 | $\left(2.34 \times 10^{-17 *}\right)$ |
|  |  | K31 | 20 | 1371.96 | 0.070 |  |  |
|  |  | K31 | 21 | 1339.39 | 0.094 | 0.198 | $\left(3.26 \times 10^{-9 *}\right)$ |
| (b) Wolbachia Mandibulata |  |  | 2 | 22033.92 |  |  |  |
| \# Individuals (infected): <br> \# Species min-max: | 7,986 |  | 3 | 22023.29 | 0.001 | -0.011 | (0.000376*) |
|  | 102,267 (47,734) | K5 | 6 | 21971.81 | 0.003 |  |  |
|  | 2,451-3,686 | K5 | 7 | 21953.93 | 0.004 | 0.024 | $\left(7.79 \times 10^{-6 *}\right)$ |
|  |  | K31 | 27 | 21741.97 | 0.016 |  |  |
|  |  | K31 | 28 | 21743.68 | 0.016 | 0.004 | (0.590) |
| (c) Cardinium Chelicerata |  | - | 2 | 979.57 |  |  |  |
| \# Populations: | 345 |  | 3 | 978.14 | 0.004 | -0.038 | (0.064) |
| \# Individuals (infected): <br> \# Species min-max: | 4,718 (1,212) | K5 | 6 | 981.93 | 0.006 |  |  |
|  | 138-157 | K5 | 7 | 980.95 | 0.009 | -0.042 | (0.083) |
|  |  | K31 | 21 | 980.75 | 0.038 |  |  |
|  |  | K31 | 22 | 982.63 | 0.038 | -0.012 | (0.720) |
| (d) Wolbachia Chelicerata |  | - | 2 | 2005.79 |  |  |  |
| \# Populations: | 638 | - | 3 | 2007.68 | 0.000 | -0.005 | (0.746) |
| \# Individuals (infected): <br> \# Species min-max: | 8,413 (2,147) | K5 | 6 | 1996.68 | 0.009 |  |  |
|  | 323-350 | K5 | 7 | 1998.65 | 0.009 | 0.003 | (0.843) |
|  |  | K31 | 20 | 1949.50 | 0.046 |  |  |
|  |  | K31 | 21 | 1949.49 | 0.047 | 0.035 | (0.147) |

Note: KC: climatic zones included in the model as categorical predictors, either K5 (five higher-level Köppen classifications) or K31 (up to 31 finer-grained climates); $n$ : the total number of parameters in the model fit; pseu- $r^{2}$ : McFadden's pseudo $r$-squared (30); AIC: Akaike Information Criterion (31), with the preferred model shown in bold; temp: the best-fit slope and $p$-value associated with the mean temperature, when this was included in the model. $* p<0.005$. The number of arthropod species in each subset of the data is given as a minimum (counting named species only), and a maximum (including each partially identified taxon as a unique species).

Figure 1: Climatic variation in the prevalence of Cardinium (a)-(e) and Wolbachia (f)-(i) infection in terrestrial arthropods. Top panel: world maps, with colours corresponding to mean temperature over the period 1970-2000. Points indicate population screens, with point size indicating the number of individuals sampled. Middle: regression of mean prevalence (logit transformed) on the mean temperature of sampling location; the best-fit lines correspond to the three-parameter models reported in Table 1. Bottom: illustrative plots, showing the estimated mean prevalence for populations falling within temperature bins, coloured to match the middle panels, and centred on the mean temperature for the five major Köppen zones: Polar (blue); Continental (green); Temperate (yellow), Arid (orange) and Tropical (red). Confidence intervals correspond to two units of log likelihood. Separate results are given for the two major clades of arthropods, namely Mandibulata (mostly insects, but also wingless hexapods, crustaceans and myriapods), and Chelicerata (ticks, mites, spiders and relatives).


Figure 2: Estimated mean prevalence for Wolbachia infection in mosquitoes (Culicidae: Diptera), the best-sampled insect orders, and the remainder of the mandibulate arthropods. In each case, separate estimates are shown for populations from the four best-sampled climatic zones, according to the Köppen system. The main database also contains samples from polar climates, but only a few for each taxonomic group. Confidence intervals correspond to two units of log likelihood.


## Supplementary Information

## Appendix 1:

In this Appendix we detail the sampling strategy for the database of terrestrial arthropod screens. Reference numbers refer to references in the main text.

Our starting point was the collation of PCR screens of terrestrial arthropods, for Cardinium, Rickettsia and Wolbachia from 364 publications prior to 2014, published by Weinert et al (3). From this database, we retained only screens for Wolbachia and Cardinium, from wild populations. Weinert et al. (3) removed screens from areas where host populations were known a priori to harbour infection. Given our different goals, we retained these screens, but removed studies where arthropod individuals were pooled before screening. We re-checked entries for all studies, and reentered data in accordance with the goals of the present study (for example, in several instances, multiple geographically proximate populations that were treated as a single large sample in the previous study, were separated here). This led to several changes in previous entries ( $\sim 0.09 \%$ of the total). We then searched the literature for more recent studies, stopping at March 2018. This yielded data from an additional 125 published papers, increasing the number of Wolbachia screens by about a third. To associate each record with climate data, we first obtained the decimal latitude and longitude of each sampling location, either from the source publications, or by contacting the authors (see acknowledgements), or by finding named locations on Google Maps (2017). Where location information was very coarse-grained (e.g. "Texas" or "Scotland") we used two strategies to calculate approximate coordinates. If other studies had sampled from the same location, we used the median coordinates of the sampled data for our unspecified location. If no other studies sampled from a given location, we used coordinates for the centroid of that location. Together, these approximate methods were used for $\sim 15.6 \%$ of records, as noted in the database. Our results are robust to removing this subset of the data as shown in Supplementary Table S4.

We then used the latitude and longitude information to obtain climatic data. First, we obtained mean temperature estimates over a $2.5-$ minute grid ( $\sim 4.5 \mathrm{~km}$ at the equator) from the WorldClim database (16). We associated each of our sampling locations with its closest grid entry, by Euclidean distance. We also excluded samples from some island or coastal regions, where WorldClim lacks data. Each of our temperature estimates is the mean of weekly maximum and minimum temperatures, averaged over the years 1970-2000. Where sampling dates of the arthropod populations were reported, these were also recorded in our database. But despite the volume of data, there were insufficient records to test directly for temporal change. For similar reasons, we were unable to include other potentially interesting predictors, such as Wolbachia supergroups or co-infection with both Cardinium and Wolbachia in our models. Any discrepancy between sampling dates and temperature estimates should add noise, but not bias to our results. Estimates of temperature variability, and of the maximum and minimum temperatures during 1970-2000, correlate very strongly with the mean temperatures (Supplementary Figure S7), increasing our confidence that our chosen predictor is ecologically meaningful. For the same reason, we used only the mean temperature in our analyses, and did not add additional, highly correlated predictors. We also classified each sampling location according to the Köppen system, again using Euclidean distance, to associate each sampled point with a grid reference (17, data downloaded from 18). These classifications correlate with the mean temperature estimates, and this increases the stringency of our tests, but as shown in Supplementary Figure S3, most climate types
include a wide range of temperatures, and there is overlap between the temperature ranges of different climatic zones.


## Figure S1:

Taxonomic breakdown of the screen database for arthropod groups classified as Mandibulata, i.e., Hexapoda (including insects), Crustacea and Myriapoda. Plots are shown for the number of screens (i.e., the number of populations sampled), and the total number of individuals screened, excluding pooled screens and samples for which temperature and climate data were not available. Ordinal or superordinal taxa are indicated if they comprise $>2 \%$ of the database, on either measure. The full database is available as Supplementary Table S1.


## Figure S2:

Taxonomic breakdown of the screen database for arthropod groups classified as Chelicerata, i.e., Acari (ticks and mites), Araneae (spiders), and relatives. All other details match Figure S1.


Figure S3:
The range of mean monthly temperatures for the period 1970-2010 associated with each of the Köppen climate classifications. These classifications are fit as a categorical predictor, along with mean temperature itself, in our analyses of symbiont prevalence (see Table 1; Supplementary Table S2). Bars show means, and confidence intervals show $95 \%$ quantiles. The upper row (panels (a) and (d)) shows all records in the WorldClim 2 database (Fick and Hijmans 2017). The middle row (panels (b) and (e)) shows the equivalent results for locations in our database of Cardinium screens, and the lower row (panels (c) and (f)) shows results for our database of Wolbachia screens. The left-hand column (panels (a)-(c)), shows results for the five higher-level climate classifications, namely A (tropical), B (arid), C (temperate), D (continental), and E (polar). The right-hand column (panels (d)-(f)): shows results for the 31 finer-grained climates, namely: Af (tropical rainforest), Am (tropical monsoon), Aw (tropical savanna, wet), As (tropical savanna, dry); BWh (hot desert), BWk (cold desert), BSh (hot steppe), BSk (cold steppe), Csa (hot-summer Mediterranean), Csb (warm-summer Mediterranean), Csc (cool-summer Mediterranean), Cwa (Monsoon-influenced humid subtropical), Cwb (subtropical highland or temperate oceanic climate with dry winters), Cwc (cold subtropical highland climate or subpolar oceanic climate with dry winters), Cfa (humid sub-tropical), Cfb (temperate oceanic), Cfc (subpolar oceanic); Dfa (hot-summer humid continental), Dfb (warm-summer humid continental), Dfc (subarctic), Dfd (extremely cold subarctic), Dwa (Monsoon-influenced hot-summer humid continental), Dwb (Monsooninfluenced warm-summer humid continental climate), Dwc (Monsoon-influenced subarctic climate), Dwd (Monsoon-influenced extremely cold subarctic climate); Dsa (hot, drysummer continental), Dsb (warm, dry-summer continental), Dsc (sub-arctic, dry-summer continental), Dsd (extremely cold sub-arctic, dry-summer continental); ET (polar tundra), EF (eternal winter/ice cap). Comparing the rows shows that the some of the finer-grained climates were missing from our population sample (indicated by the gray labels), while others were represented by a biased sample with respect to temperature. For example, there were no population samples from EF (eternal winter/ice cap), while samples from ET (polar tundra) come from the warmer parts of this climatic zone.


Figure S4:
The effects of temperature on Wolbachia prevalence in the major insect orders (panels (b)(g)), and in the remainder of the sampled Mandibulata (panel (h)). The slopes correspond to results for three-parameter models given in Supplementary Table S2. All other details match Figure $2 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$, which is replicated for ease of comparison in panel (a).

Wolbachia screens in different climatic zones and taxonomic groups


Figure S5:
Best-fit slopes for the regression of mean Wolbachia prevalence onto temperature. Each point represents samples from a particular group of mandibulate hosts (one of the major insect orders, or the remainder of the data), and a single higher-level Köppen zone (polar: blue; continental: green, temperate: yellow, arid: orange; and tropical: red). Regressions that were individually significant are indicated as asterisks, and a full description is found in Supplementary Table S3. As expected, data show that the estimates of the slopes become more erratic as sample size decreases, but there is little evidence for a consistent trend towards negative or positive slopes. The apparent trend for Wolbachia infection to increase with temperature is driven by the well-sampled Diptera and Lepidoptera in temperate zones, indicated by the yellow asterisks towards the right-hand side of the plots.


Figure S6:
Best-fit mean prevalence estimates in Chelicerata hosts, for the symbionts Cardinium (lefthand bars) and Wolbachia (right-hand bars). In each case, estimates are shown for the five higher-level Köppen climates: from left-to-right, these are polar (blue), continental (green), temperate (yellow), arid (orange) and tropical (red).


Figure S7:
Estimates of mean temperature show strong correlations with other potential predictors of endosymbiont prevalence. Results are shown for (a) absolute latitude, (b) "seasonality" (defined as the standard deviation in the recorded temperatures over the period 1970-2000), (c) the maximum recorded temperature over this period, and (d) the minimum recorded temperature. All data come from the WorldClim database (Fick and Hijmans 2017).


## Figure S8:

Best-fit mean prevalence values for Cardinium prevalence in Mandibulata hosts, in each of the Köppen climate zones. The upper row of panels ((a), (c) and (e)) shows estimates when only the climatic zones were included in the model, while the lower row (panels (b), (d) and (f)), show estimates after the effects of mean temperature have been removed. The strong difference between these rows suggests that temperature is a strong predictor of mean prevalence in these data. Results are compared for the five higher-level classifications (panels (a)-(d)), and for the 31 finer-grained classifications (panels (e)-(f)), not all of which were represented in our sample of screens (shown by the gray labels). Results are also compared when the model was fit only to mean prevalence (panels (a)-(b)), and when it was also fit the correlation parameter, allowing the shape of the distribution of prevalences to vary between climate zones (panels (c)-(d)). The definitions of all climatic zones, and the range of temperatures that they encompass, are shown in Supplementary Figure S3.


Figure S9
Best-fit mean prevalence values for Wolbachia prevalence in Mandibulata hosts, in each of the Köppen climate zones. The broad similarity between the upper and lower row of panels suggests that mean temperature is not a strong predictor of prevalence for these data. All other details match Supplementary Figure S8.


## Figure S10:

Best-fit mean prevalence values for Cardinium prevalence in Chelicerata hosts, in each of the Köppen climate zones. The broad similarity between the upper and lower row of panels suggests that mean temperature is not a strong predictor of prevalence for these data. All other details match Supplementary Figure S8.


Figure S11:
Best-fit mean prevalence values for Wolbachia prevalence in Chelicerata hosts, in each of the Köppen climate zones. The broad similarity between the upper and lower row of panels suggests that mean temperature is not a strong predictor of prevalence for these data. All other details match Supplementary Figure S8.

## Description of Supplementary Tables:

Supplementary Table S1: The database of screens of wild arthropod populations either Cardinium or Wolbachia.

Supplementary Table S2: Results of Beta-binomial model fitting to symbiont screen data.
Supplementary Table S3: Tests for an effect of mean temperature of Wolbachia prevalence in Mandibulata hosts, applied separately to populations from each of the five higher-level Köppen climates.

Supplementary Table S4: The effects of mean temperature and climatic zone on symbiont prevalence, excluding imprecisely reported locations (as described in Appendix 1).

| Data set: |  | KC | $n p$ | AIC | pseu-r ${ }^{2}$ | temp: slope ( $p$ val) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) Cardinium Mandibulata |  |  | 2 | 1136.43 |  |  |  |
| \# Populations: | 921 | - | 3 | 1028.50 | 0.097 | 0.237 | $\left(5.47 \times 10^{-22 *}\right)$ |
| \# Individuals (infected): | 10,451 (1,985) | K5 | 5 | 1111.23 | 0.028 |  |  |
|  |  | K5 | 6 | 1002.71 | 0.125 | 0.306 | $\left(1.34 \times 10^{-22 *}\right)$ |
|  |  | K31 | 17 | 1014.18 | 0.134 |  |  |
|  |  | K31 | 18 | 983.31 | 0.163 | 0.232 | $\left(5.26 \times 10^{-9 *}\right)$ |
| (b) Wolbachia Mandibulata <br> \# Populations: <br> \# Individuals (infected): | $\begin{aligned} & 6,749 \\ & 89,023(43,890) \end{aligned}$ |  | 2 | 19107.00 |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | 3 | 19109.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.987 |
|  |  | K5 | 6 | 19085.01 | 0.002 |  |  |
|  |  | K5 | 7 | 19056.85 | 0.003 | 0.031 | $\left(3.83 \times 10^{-8 *}\right)$ |
|  |  | K31 | 26 | 18865.14 | 0.015 |  |  |
|  |  | K31 | 27 | 18863.52 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.055 |
| (c) Cardinium Chelicerata <br> \# Populations: <br> \# Individuals (infected): | $\begin{aligned} & 327 \\ & 4,067(1,119) \end{aligned}$ | - | 2 | 929.29 |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | 3 | 924.82 | 0.007 | -0.054 | 0.0119 |
|  |  | K5 | 6 | 931.88 | 0.006 |  |  |
|  |  | K5 | 7 | 928.57 | 0.012 | -0.058 | 0.0223 |
|  |  | K31 | 21 | 931.60 | 0.039 |  |  |
|  |  | K31 | 22 | 932.29 | 0.040 | -0.041 | 0.0240 |
| (d) Wolbachia Chelicerata <br> \# Populations: <br> \# Individuals (infected): | $\begin{aligned} & 459 \\ & 6,378(1,875) \end{aligned}$ | - | 2 | 1586.08 |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | 3 | 1587.49 | 0.000 | -0.012 | 0.439 |
|  |  | K5 | 6 | 1582.68 | 0.007 |  |  |
|  |  | K5 | 7 | 1584.63 | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.825 |
|  |  | K31 | 20 | 1553.69 | 0.043 |  |  |
|  |  | K31 | 21 | 1553.87 | 0.044 | 0.035 | 0.172 |

Note: KC: climatic zones included in the model as categorical predictors, either K5 (five higher-level Köppen classifications) or K31 (up to 31 finer-grained climates); $n p$ : the total number of parameters in the model fit; pseu- $r^{2}$ : McFadden's pseudo $r$-squared (30); AIC: Akaike Information Criterion (31), with the preferred model shown in bold; temp: the best-fit slope and $p$-value associated with the mean temperature, when this was included in the model. $* p<0.005$. The number of arthropod species in each subset of the data is given as a minimum (counting named species only), and a maximum (including each partially identified taxon as a unique species).

