

The Didactic Function of Proverbs 1-9 for the Interpretation of Proverbs 10-31

Arthur J. Keefer
Clare College



A Dissertation submitted to the University of Cambridge
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Date of Submission (March 2018)

Abstract

Proverbs 1-9 has long been called a “prologue” and “introduction” to the book of Proverbs, a label that I attempt to clarify by answering the following question: how does Proverbs 1-9 function with respect to the interpretation of Proverbs 10-31? I argue that, in the detail and holistic context of Proverbs, Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically by supplying interpretive frameworks in literary, rhetorical and theological contexts for representative portions of Proverbs 10-31. Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically by intending to teach interpretive skills, and it functions for the interpretation of Proverbs 10-31 by instilling the competence required to explicate this material. In this way, Proverbs 1-9 provides a didactic introduction for the remainder of the book. The exegetical starting point for this study is Prov 10:1-22:16, a collection of proverbs with hermeneutical challenges that require certain information and skills for interpretation. After exposing the assumptions that underlie these interpretive challenges, I demonstrate how Proverbs 1-9 informs them and hence how it functions didactically, whilst organising the material based on three features of the entire book of Proverbs: character types, educational goals, and the book’s theology. Character types involve the identity and function of certain characters in Proverbs, such as the wise, wicked or diligent man. Educational goals account for the overall aims and values towards which Proverbs guides the reader, as well as highlighting the importance of discerning moral ambiguity. The theological context considers passages representative of those that mention the Lord: human postures towards the Lord, the Lord’s affection and assessment, and his superior wisdom and sovereignty. With established conclusions regarding the relationship of Proverbs 1-9 and 10:1-22:16, the didactic function of Proverbs 1-9 for 22:17-31:31 is also explored, showing the book-wide function of this “introduction.” This study demonstrates the function of Proverbs 1-9 for Proverbs 10-31 in some of the most prominent interpretive contexts of the book and, in the process, advances current key interpretive debates within Proverbs scholarship.

Declaration

This dissertation is the result of my own work and includes nothing which is the outcome of work done in collaboration. It is not substantially the same as any that I have submitted, or, is being concurrently submitted for a degree or diploma or other qualification at any university.

The thesis (including footnotes and references, but excluding prefatory material and bibliography) does not exceed the prescribed length of 80,000 words set by the Faculty of Divinity of the University of Cambridge.

Signed:

Date:

Acknowledgments

This project stems from an offhand comment made during a lecture in graduate school. “That would make an interesting PhD thesis,” said Prof. Jack Collins, referring to the relationship of Proverbs 1-9 and 10:1-22:16. I thank Jack for that comment and his support of me pursuing the idea as a doctoral thesis. Thanks is also due to the entire faculty of Covenant Theological Seminary, who taught me, among other things, how to interpret the Bible. My understanding of languages, cultures, texts, history, theology and the practice of research within particular faith traditions is due so much to the Covenant community. In Cambridge, Dr. Katharine Dell not only agreed to supervise this thesis but also committed to help me produce the best version possible, encouraging at the right times and cautioning me in those moments when humility and prudence were most needed. Dr. Nathan MacDonald read the bulk of this work as a temporary supervisor, and the final product, I hope, shows the marks of his meticulous perusal and charitable attitude towards the academic community. The insightful questions during a European Association of Biblical Studies conference also strengthened my approach to Proverbs. Clare College provided a space where the results of this study, prior to being in print, could benefit students, and also supplied a generous scholarship during two years of my research. I am grateful for the professional and personal direction of friends like David Illman and Dr. Richard Oosterhoff, the community at Tyndale House, Christian Heritage, Cambridge Presbyterian Church and many others unnamed here.

My parents, Brent and Charlotte Keefer, have always supported my studies, not least financially, and continue to see the value of biblical studies for the church and world. My brother, Forrest, checked in on the process more than anyone else, from the start of this thesis to its conclusion. While finishing the thesis in three years did not surprise me, getting married during that time did. Emem, my wife, though no expert in Hebrew or the norms of biblical research, is expert in asking the right questions and encouraging and reprimanding in the right way. Her love and friendship has shaped, more than anything, my character, and I am thankful to her for the sake of this life and the next. I hope that readers of this thesis will feel more confident to approach the book of Proverbs but most of all confident that Proverbs will approach them.

Thanks be to God.

Contents

Abstract.....	i
Declaration.....	ii
Acknowledgments.....	iii
Contents	v
Abbreviations.....	vi
Introduction.....	1
Methodology.....	39
Character Types	55
The Identity of Character Types	61
The Rhetoric of Character Types.....	79
Educational Goals	97
The Aims and Values of Proverbs	99
Discerning Moral Ambiguity.....	131
Theological Context.....	143
Human Postures Towards the Lord.....	145
The Supremacy of the Lord's Wisdom and Sovereignty.....	151
The Lord's Affection and Assessment.....	167
Conclusion	179
Bibliography	191

Abbreviations

AB	Anchor Bible
<i>AEI</i>	Miriam Lichtheim, <i>Ancient Egyptian Literature: A Book of Readings</i> , 3 Vols. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1973-1980.
ANE	Ancient Near East(ern)
<i>ANET</i>	James B. Pritchard, <i>ANE Texts Relating to the Old Testament</i> . Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1950.
<i>BBR</i>	<i>Bulletin for Biblical Research</i>
BKAT	Biblischer Kommentar Altes Testament
BZAW	Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft
<i>CAD</i>	<i>The Assyrian Dictionary of the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago</i> . Chicago: Oriental Institute, 1956-.
<i>CBQ</i>	<i>Catholic Biblical Quarterly</i>
<i>CBR</i>	<i>Currents in Biblical Research</i>
ESV	English Standard Version
GKC	<i>Gesenius' Hebrew Grammar</i> , edited and enlarged by E. Kautzsch, trans. A. E. Cowley, 2 nd ed. Oxford: Clarendon, 1910; repr. with corrections, 1966.
<i>IBHS</i>	Bruce K. Waltke and M. O'Connor, <i>Introduction to Biblical Hebrew Syntax</i> . Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1990.
ICC	International Critical Commentary
<i>JBL</i>	<i>Journal of Biblical Literature</i>
<i>JSCE</i>	<i>Journal of the Society of Christian Ethics</i>
<i>JETS</i>	<i>Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society</i>
<i>JHS</i>	<i>Journal of Hebrew Scriptures</i>
JM	Paul Joüon and T. Muraoka, <i>A Grammar of Biblical Hebrew</i> . 2 nd Edition. Subsidia Biblica 27. Gregorian & Biblical Press, 2011.
<i>JNSL</i>	<i>Journal of Northwest Semitic Languages</i>
JSJ	Supplements to the Journal for the Study of Judaism
<i>JSOT</i>	<i>Journal for the Study of the Old Testament</i>
<i>JTI</i>	<i>Journal of Theological Interpretation</i>
LHBOTS	The Library of Hebrew Bible/Old Testament Studies

LXX	Septuagint
MS(S)	manuscript(s)
MT	Masoretic Text
NASB	New American Standard Bible
NIB	<i>The New Interpreter's Bible: General Articles & Introduction, Commentary, & Reflections for Each Book of the Bible, Including the Apocryphal/Deuterocanonical Books</i> , ed. Richard Clifford, vol. 5. Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1994.
NICOT	The New International Commentary on the Old Testament
NIDOTTE	<i>New International Dictionary of Old Testament Theology and Exegesis</i> , ed. William A. VanGemeren, 5 vols. Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1997.
NIV	New International Version
NRSV	New Revised Standard Version
OBO	Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis
OT	Old Testament
OTE	<i>Old Testament Essays</i>
OTL	Old Testament Library
SOTS	Society for Old Testament Study
TOTC	Tyndale Old Testament Commentaries
TDOT	<i>Theological Dictionary of the Old Testament</i> , ed. G. J. Botterweck et al., trans. D. E. Green et al., 15 vols. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1974-2015.
TWOT	Bruce K. Waltke, R. Laird Harris, and Gleason Archer, <i>Theological Wordbook of the Old Testament</i> . Chicago: Moody, 1980.
VT	<i>Vetus Testamentum</i>
VTSupp	Supplements to Vetus Testamentum
WBC	Word Bible Commentary
ZAW	<i>Zeitschrift für die Alttestamentliche Wissenschaft</i>

1

Introduction

The first nine chapters of the book of Proverbs are often labelled an “introduction” or “prologue” for the remainder of the book, and in this thesis I put that claim to question. Does Proverbs 1-9 indeed function as an introduction, and, if so, how? I contend that few studies have properly articulated the function of Proverbs 1-9, and no study, beyond suggesting a couple of examples, has clearly demonstrated in depth how it functions, especially as it precedes and juxtaposes with Prov 10:1-22:16.¹ This latter portion of text is a collection of proverbs with pithy literary forms that contrasts with the initial chapters of the book, Proverbs 1-9, which in its final form contains lengthy poems, developed and storied scenarios, and predominately second rather than third person address. For a section of biblical material that has been referred to as an “introduction” for so long, it is surprising that so little has been shown regarding its introductory nature, particularly how it may inform the meaning of the proverbs that follow it. The present work aims to determine and demonstrate how Proverbs 1-9 operates for the rest of Proverbs in its final form, providing a potential guidebook for those who wish to treat 1-9 as an “introduction.”

The argument revolves around two aspects of Proverbs, namely, the interpretive challenges posed by Proverbs 10-29 and the interpretive promises made in Prov 1:1-7. The proverbs within chapters 10-29 pose challenges for at least two interrelated reasons: first, their assumptions and second, their brevity. By assumptions, I mean the information or mental faculties that the proverbs require for interpretation, information that is often latent within proverbial sayings due to their brevity. For example, Prov 16:3 baldly commands the reader to “Commit your work to the Lord,” implying that the Lord is worthy of trust. To questions like, “why should I trust the Lord?,” Prov 10:1-22:16 provides a limited set of answers, such as if you trust the Lord then “your plans will be established” (16:3b), or trust the Lord because he is wise and in control (16:1, 9) or because he dispenses hatred, favour and punishment to

¹ Hee Suk Kim (“Proverbs 1-9: A Hermeneutical Introduction to the Book of Proverbs,” [PhD diss., Trinity International University, 2010]) explains Proverbs 1-9 as a “hermeneutical introduction” to the rest of the book but does not demonstrate how it does so with specific examples from Proverbs 10-31. See also Richard Clifford, “Reading Proverbs 10-22,” *Interpretation* 63 (2009): 242-253; Claudia Camp, *Wisdom and the Feminine in the Book of Proverbs* (Decatur, GA: Almond Press, 1985), 191-208; and others discussed below.

humans (16:5; 12:2; 21:3). These texts establish a notably affective and transcendent God yet, outside of his bald power and recompense, they offer little incentive to trust him and furthermore make little explicit connection between human trust in God and a justification for it. While not true of every proverb, many of them deliver severe hermeneutical challenges. Some leave obvious questions unanswered whilst others, like 16:3, call for additional insight, each inviting the reader to seek a fuller sense of meaning.

This is the point in the interpretive quest at which the reader might turn to Prov 1:1-7 for guidance. Proverbs 1:1-7 promises the acquisition of interpretive skill in order that its audience might “understand words of insight . . . a proverb and a saying, the words of the wise and their riddles” (1:2b, 6). As explained in full below, this inaugural promise accounts for the difficulties of Proverbs 10-29 by telling the audience that they will be able to comprehend its enigmatic material, denoted here as “proverbs” and “sayings” (1:6) which, I will argue, refer to the content of Proverbs 10-29 and corroborate its challenging nature. Proverbs 1:1-7 indicates that Proverbs itself will enable the reader to make sense of these materials, that is, “to understand words of insight . . . a proverb and a saying, the words of the wise and their riddles” (1:2b, 6).

I shall argue that both the challenges of Proverbs 10-29 and the promises of 1:1-7 suggest that an interpretive need and its solution reside within the book of Proverbs itself, encouraging the primary question of this study: how does Proverbs 1-9 function for Proverbs 10-31? I argue that Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically by training its audience to understand the material in Proverbs 10-31 with respect to character types, educational goals, and references to the Lord.² Focusing on these three areas, the bulk of my work will articulate and demonstrate the role of Proverbs 1-9 through an extended treatment of examples from Prov 10:1-22:16, since it provides a larger and formally more consistent section than 22:17-31:31. However, I include selected examples from the remaining material for each topic, incorporating the character types of Prov 29:1, the educational goals of 30:1-9, and the theology of 22:19, to extend the hermeneutical reach of Proverbs 1-9 to passages representative of the entire book.

In addition to the text of Proverbs itself, two things prompt my study into the function of Proverbs 1-9: first, the long-voiced proposals of interpreters about the nature of this section and second, a cross-comparison of Proverbs 1-9 with its ANE counterparts. In this chapter, I

² Throughout this study, I use “God” and “the Lord” to refer respectively to אלהים and יהוה, and “wisdom” and “Wisdom” to distinguish between the concept of wisdom and the personified, female figure, often called Lady Wisdom.

account for each of these, tracing the history of labelling Proverbs 1-9 as a prologue, examining the nature of Collections I and II, and assessing the relationship of this “prologue” to examples from the wider ANE world.³

Proverbs 1:1-7 and the Didactic Function of Proverbs 1-9

I mentioned that Prov 1:2 and 1:6 account for the interpretive challenges of Proverbs 10-29 by telling the audience that they will be able to interpret its enigmatic material. Riddled with diachronic debates, the redactional history of these passages and Proverbs as a whole requires attention, which is given in the following chapter. At this point, a closer look at the text’s final form, particularly the language of 1:1-7, will both validate and clarify my central concerns. As the opening verses of Proverbs, 1:1-7 itemises the book’s goals and key concepts, claiming that the audience will know wisdom and instruction (1:2) and receive characteristics of justice (1:3). To these activities, 1:6 adds that the book offers expertise in literary interpretation: “to understand/explicate a proverb and a saying, the words of the wise and their riddles” (להבין משל ומליצה דברי חכמים וחידתם). What I have translated “to understand/explicate” comes from the *hiphil* בִּין that occurs here, as it does also in 1:2, and yet it carries a distinct sense in each verse. The *hiphil* בִּין can resemble the *qal*, meaning “learn,” “understand,” and especially “discern” (Prov 8:5; 14:8; 28:11; 1 Kgs 3:9, 11), which, involving more than heeding or grasping cognitively, elsewhere refers to capturing the true sense of information (Prov 8:9; Neh 8:2-3; Dan 8:23, 27; cf. Ps 119:34). This sense of the *qal* fits with the *hiphil* occurrence in Prov 1:2, as the interpreter will “understand” learned sayings. However, *hiphil* בִּין sometimes carries a causative nuance of “teach” or “explicate,” as in Nehemiah when the priests “explicate” the law to the people (Neh 8:7, 9; cf. 8:8), and in Job when he asks the Lord to teach and to “make him understand” how he erred (Job 6:24; see also Ps 119:130, 144, 169; Isa 28:9). This sense of “explicate”—that is, “to interpret” in the sense of “to explain the meaning of”—suits Prov 1:6, as readers may “explicate a proverb and an epigram, the words of the wise and their riddles.”⁴

In addition to the verbs of 1:2 and 1:6, the literary lexemes of 1:6 in the context of the

³ Proverbs 1-9 might be viewed as a “collection” of poems and instructions. Though preferring standard chapter and verse references, I adopt the accepted language of “Collection I” for Proverbs 1-9 and “Collection II” for Prov 10:1-22:16.

⁴ These senses of the *qal* and *hiphil* are often affirmed, but the *hiphil* occurrence in Prov 1:6 is recognised as “understand” without comment (see, e.g., Ringgren, “בִּין *bîn*” *TDOT* 2:102-103). J. A. Loader (*Proverbs 1-9*, Historical Commentary on the Old Testament [Leuven: Peeters, 2014], 61-62) defends this conclusion.

opening verses of Proverbs suggest that the book aims to inculcate interpretive competence. The lexeme מִשַּׁל (“proverb”) refers at least to the pithy sayings of Collection II (10:1), while דְּבָרֵי חֲכָמִים (“words of the wise”) reappears in 22:17, referring to the text of Proverbs itself: “Incline your ear and hear the words of the wise; apply your heart to my knowledge.” Whilst “proverbs” and “words of the wise” clearly refer to material within Proverbs, the more enigmatic lexemes of 1:6—מְלִיצָה (“[dark] saying”) and חִידָה (“riddles”)—have received more attention in scholarly debate with regard to their meaning.⁵ For מְלִיצָה appears only once outside of Prov 1:6 (Hab 2:6) and there it parallels חִידָה and מִשַּׁל. The lexeme חִידָה appears more frequently than מְלִיצָה and often refers to something that requires interpretation (Judg 14:12-19; Num 12:8; Dan 8:23) and even challenges understanding (1 Kgs 10:1; 2 Chron 9:1).⁶

Aside from the precise meaning of these lexemes and the literary form or content to which they might refer, they all signify enigmatic material that requires interpretation and, taken together, call for hermeneutical application.⁷ Timothy Sandoval hypothesises about the significance of these lexemes for Prov 10:1-22:16 when he writes that they “alert the reader to the interpretive efforts one will need to undertake as one continues reading the book. . . . The one who reads past the prologue should expect to encounter a complex piece of literature and a challenging interpretive process.”⁸ In short, מִשַּׁל, דְּבָרֵי חֲכָמִים, מְלִיצָה and חִידָה refer to the literature of Proverbs itself, enigmatic material that Prov 1:6 claims the reader will be able to unravel.

It seems that Prov 1:6 simply reiterates a point already made in 1:2, which promises the audience that they will understand the wisdom of Proverbs. However, Prov 1:6 does not simply reaffirm this, claiming the reader will understand the enigmatic material, but rather adds a notion of “explicate.” This concept coheres with other statements in 1:1-7, particularly the promise that the interpreter will teach “simpletons” and “youth[s]” (1:4) and acquire

⁵ William McKane, “Functions of Language and Objectives of Discourse according to Proverbs 10-30,” in *La Sagesse de l’Ancien Testament*, ed. Maurice Gilbert (Leuven: Leuven University Press, 1979), 166-185; A. S. Herbert, “The ‘Parable’ (MĀŠĀL) in the Old Testament,” *Scottish Journal of Theology* 7 (1954): 180-196.

⁶ Stuart Weeks (*Instruction and Imagery in Proverbs 1-9* [Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007], 41 n. 17) attributes the least enigmatic meaning to these “riddles” (חִידָה) when he writes that, in Ps 78:2, the term “is used to refer to the recitation of quite unmysterious events in history.” However, he still acknowledges that Prov 1:6 indicates “a recognition that wisdom literature may be obscure and require interpretation.” Michael Fox, *Proverbs 1-9: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary* (New York: Doubleday, 2000), 63-67; cf. W. T. Davison, *The Wisdom-Literature of the Old Testament* (London: Charles H. Kelly, 1900), 124.

⁷ Bruce K. Waltke, *The Book of Proverbs: Chapters 1-15*, NICOT (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2004), 180.

⁸ Timothy Sandoval, “Revisiting the Prologue of Proverbs,” *JBL* 126 (2007): 469, 471.

educational competencies (1:5).⁹ Expecting to teach such people and acquire competency, readers will not only discover the meaning of the sayings for themselves but will interpret their meaning for others too. The Egyptian term *wh'* was used in instructional texts, where the student would “untie” or “explain” learned writings, as *Amenemope* says, “Fill yourself with them, put them in your heart / And become a man who *explains* them / One who *explains* as a teacher” (XXVII.13-15).¹⁰ Likewise, Prov 1:1-6 indicates that its audience will be able to interpret its material in both senses of the word: to understand (1:2) and to explicate (1:6) its contents. In other words, Proverbs suggests that it proffers didactic faculties for interpreting the book itself. By “didactic,” I mean that the book intends to teach, and by “interpret,” I mean “understand,” which stands as a necessary prerequisite for the activity of “explicating,” both of which are instated by 1:2, 6.¹¹ Proverbs instils its readers with faculties that enable them to explicate the material within the book itself.

Proverbs 1:1-7, according to Fox, “regards the sayings in Proverbs as *text* that must be studied and interpreted, not just heard and obeyed . . . [it] regards the interpretation of proverbs and enigmas as a goal in itself or views proverbs as an object of explication . . . wisdom as a text that requires interpretation and that trains the reader in hermeneutical skills.”¹² Fox, it seems, would agree with the train of thought given so far: that Proverbs trains the interpreter, functioning didactically to help those who approach its enigmatic material. However, Fox remarks that nothing in Proverbs “actually tells *how* to penetrate and interpret the writings,” as if a promise is made in Proverbs but the hermeneutical guidance is missing.¹³ I contend that it is Prov 1:2 and 1:6 that tell us how to do this, giving reason to look for a means of interpretive training within the book of Proverbs itself, and I propose that this preparatory instruction occurs in Proverbs 1-9, as it functions didactically. This function will be elucidated by showing that 1-9 supplements the interpretation of 10-31, especially in cases where historical context and appeal to other proverbs do not resolve questions of meaning. I

⁹ See Arthur Keefer, “A Shift in Perspective: The Intended Audience and a Coherent Reading of Proverbs 1:1-7,” *JBL* 136 (2017): 103-116.

¹⁰ Nili Shupak, *Where Can Wisdom Be Found?: The Sage's Language in the Bible and in Ancient Egyptian Literature* (Fribourg: Academic Press Fribourg, 1993), 63-65. See also Fox (*Proverbs 1-9*, 76-78), who claims that the *hiphil* בִּין in 1:6 is not causative, though he overlooks occurrences of the term (listed above) and his later comments support my position.

¹¹ These definitions correspond to the *Oxford English Dictionary's* first two entries for “interpret”: “1.a. To expound the meaning of (something abstruse or mysterious); to render (words, writings, an author, etc.) clear or explicit; to elucidate; to explain. 1.b. To make out the meaning of.”

¹² Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 76.

¹³ Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 78.

therefore demonstrate the significance, and in certain respects the interpretive authority, of Collection I for understanding and illuminating hermeneutical difficulties within the remainder of Proverbs. These ambitions, though, do not operate as if there is one correct reading of a passage over and against an accumulation of misguided interpretations. My approach acknowledges a variety of valid understandings of the biblical material, and, when I do argue for a particular interpretation, it simply represents my best attempt to account for the available evidence and the place of Proverbs 1-9 at the outset of the book rather than an attempt to compel a singular meaning. While the opening verses of Proverbs and the contrasting characteristics of the book's material substantiate my central question, other biblical and ANE texts must be accounted for in the enquiry about the function of Proverbs 1-9.

Prologues in biblical wisdom and in ancient Near Eastern instructional texts

Prologues in Ecclesiastes, Job, and some non-biblical ANE instructional texts support the theory that Proverbs 1-9 functions as a didactic guide for 10-29.¹⁴ A “prologue” (1:1-11) and an “epilogue” (12:9-14) frame Ecclesiastes, illuminating the remaining enigmatic content of the book itself.¹⁵ Fox has given much attention to the function of these passages in Ecclesiastes and concludes that an “Awareness of the frame-narrative gives us a fundamental insight into the proper reading of the book as a whole.”¹⁶ According to Fox, the bookends function in stages, establishing the reality of Qohelet's character type and the interpreter's attitude towards him to create a dialogic ambiguity. The ambiguity arises from the “unorthodox book” that is then paired with “orthodox affirmations” found particularly in 12:9-14. The text's opening verses (1:1-2) introduce readers to Qohelet in the third person,

¹⁴ Sara Milstein (*Tracking the Master Scribe: Revision through Introduction in Biblical and Mesopotamian Literature* [New York: Oxford University Press, 2016]) has discovered what she calls “revision through introduction,” whereby scribes added prologues or frontal material to pre-existing texts which were then transformed in their meaning. These additions sometimes introduced smaller narratives within a text (e.g., Judges 6-9) but in cases such as the Gilgamesh Epic they fronted the entire story. Milstein makes a convincing case for the introductory function of passages in the Gilgamesh Epic (124-136) but her biblical examples do not consider prologues in the MT and omit Job, Ecclesiastes and Proverbs.

¹⁵ Craig G. Bartholomew, *Ecclesiastes*, Baker Commentary on the Old Testament Wisdom and Psalms (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2009), 110.

¹⁶ Michael V. Fox, “Frame-Narrative and Composition in the Book of Qohelet,” *Hebrew Union College Annual* 48 (1977): 105. See also Fox, *Ecclesiastes: The Traditional Hebrew Text with the New JPS Translation* (Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society, 2004), xiii, xv-xvii.

who then speaks in the first starting at 1:12, whilst the remainder of the prologue (1:3-11) includes a thesis (v. 3) and poetic reflection (vv. 4-11) that prefigure much of the book's message. Aside from issues of date, editorial process, and nuances of genre, most agree that the bookends are essential for the interpretation of the whole.¹⁷

The question stated in Eccl 1:3 for example—"What is the gain for man in all his toil at which he toils under the sun?"—creates an inquisitive framework that unifies the various experiences and observations of Qohelet. His introductory monologue about his endeavour, then, can be read as an exposition of this question about "gain" (יתרון) in toil (1:12-18), and his subsequent quest for pleasure in 2:1-11 explicitly falls under the rubric introduced by 1:3, as he discovers that "there is no gain under the sun" (v. 11). The question of 1:3 thematically banners the book of Ecclesiastes and perhaps invites the reader to contemplate the question itself whilst assessing Qohelet's answers to it.¹⁸ The passage represents a single example of how Eccl 1:1-11 functions as a "prologue" for the book.

The book of Job also employs a narrative frame. Job 1-2 and 42:7-17 stand as passages essential for understanding the sections of material in Job 3:1-42:6, producing theological and hermeneutical tensions that, according to some scholars, actually create the message of the book.¹⁹ Norman Habel argues that the "prologue" (Job 1-2) especially integrates with the dialogues (3:1-42:6) and even establishes the literary, rhetorical, and theological contexts of the story.²⁰ For example, Job 1:1-5 introduces the reader to the man Job, his exceptional character, status, and wealth, in order to provide background information for the narrative of 1:6-2:13 and 42:7-17. Job 2:11-13 then closes this narrative by depicting Job's grief and incorporating the other characters who feature in chapters 3-42, in this way preparing the reader for the dialogues that follow. Job's companions see his suffering and engage on an emotional level (2:11-13), setting a relational context that frames the theological advice and

¹⁷ Choon-Leong Seow, *Ecclesiastes: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary*, AB 18C (New York: Doubleday, 1997), 111; Bartholomew, *Ecclesiastes*, 74, 110; Andrew G. Shead, "Ecclesiastes from the Outside In," *Reformed Theological Review* 55 (1996): 24-37, esp. 31; Daniel Fredericks, *Ecclesiastes & the Song of Songs*, AOTC (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2010), 68-69; Leo G. Perdue, *Wisdom Literature: A Theological History* (Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2007), 190, 193.

¹⁸ Bartholomew, *Ecclesiastes*, 107, 110.

¹⁹ Martin A. Shields, "Malevolent or Mysterious? God's Character in the Prologue of Job," *Tyndale Bulletin* 61 (2010): 255-270; see also, Samuel Eugene Balentine, *Job* (Macon, GA: Smyth & Helwys, 2006), 14-15; David Clines, *Job 1-20*, WBC 17 (Dallas, TX: Word Books, 1989), xxxvi-xxxvii; Andrew E. Steinmann, "The Structure and Message of the Book of Job," *VT* 46 (1996): 85-100.

²⁰ Norman Habel, *The Book of Job: A Commentary*, OTL (London: SCM Press, 1985), 25-35, 80-85.

increasingly heated counsel in the dialogues.²¹ Job 1-2, therefore, functions as a sort of introduction by establishing the book's many contexts. In short, Ecclesiastes and Job, different from Proverbs but sharing its interest in wisdom and its "bookended" form, arguably depend upon introductory and concluding passages to create a framework that aids the interpretation of enigmatic materials in each book.²² While not further explored here, these connections warrant further study in light of my conclusions about Proverbs.

Other instructional texts from the ANE include such prologue and epilogue sections. According to Richard Parkinson, the framing passages of the *Instruction of Ptahhotep* connect with each other to establish the setting of the instruction.²³ "The maxims," he observes, "expressing diverse attitudes might seem to be a partly random anthology of sayings for which an assumption of thematic unity is inappropriate."²⁴ But with the context cast by the introduction (1-50), the reader understands that these apparently disparate sayings carry an authoritative antiquity and aim to mature the obedient student in wisdom and devotion (37-42). A lengthy epilogue expands on these aims and forecasts the success that obedience brings: "If you listen to my sayings, All your affairs will go forward."²⁵

The *Instructions of Amenemope* (III.9-IV.2) arguably reflects the form of Proverbs in its inclusion of a self-referential, interpretive introduction. So according to *Amenemope's* first chapter (III.9-IV.2),

Give thy ears, hear what is said,
 Give thy heart to understand them.
 To put them in thy heart is worth while,
 (but) it is damaging to him who neglects them.
 Let them rest in the casket of thy belly,
 That they may be a key in thy heart.
 At a time when there is a whirlwind of words,
 They shall be a mooring-stake for thy tongue.
 If thou spendest thy time while this is in thy heart,
 Thou wilt find it a success;

²¹ The "friends" graduate from explaining Job's situation as divine discipline (5:17) and calling for a humble approach to God (5:8; 6:13-15; 8:3-6, 20), to challenging Job's wisdom and guilt (15:4-6, 9-10), and then to calling him evil (22:5, 21).

²² As to the "bookended" form of Proverbs, see discussion below under "Bookends": Proverbs 1-9 and 30-31.

²³ R. B. Parkinson, *Poetry and Culture in Middle Kingdom Egypt: A Dark Side to Perfection* (London: Continuum, 2002), 258-266.

²⁴ Parkinson, *Poetry*, 260.

²⁵ *AEL* 1:73.

Thou wilt find my words a treasure of life,
And thy body will prosper upon earth.²⁶

The first line refers to “what is said” in *Amenemope*’s instruction itself and bids the interpreter to understand it, evincing, in other words, a self-referential introduction. Parts of this passage appear in Prov 22:17-18, a famous parallel that extends to Prov 23:10 and fortifies the literary similarities in the first chapters of both texts. Later in this study, I suggest that Prov 22:17-21 reiterates the didactic function of Proverbs 1-9, but for now I simply note the conceptual overlap and similar literary location of *Amenemope*’s passage and Proverbs 1-9.²⁷ Certain instructions from the ANE contain introductory and sometimes concluding statements that motivate the audience to listen to and enact the instructions (*Ani* 7.4), enable interpretation by creating a discourse context via an introduction (*Ankhsheshonq* §1-4), and show self-awareness by referring to their own text (*Amenemope* III.9-IV.2).²⁸ These qualities show striking similarities with Proverbs.

Distinctions between these materials, though, ought to be held in mind. To this end, Stuart Weeks has helpfully differentiated Proverbs 1-9 from Egyptian literature, noting that the availability of Egyptian texts, aside from *Amenemope*, to Israelite scribes is unclear and cautions textual comparisons.²⁹ Furthermore, Proverbs 1-9 boasts of a literary complexity not found among other “instructional” material, as it integrates narrative and teaching (e.g., Proverbs 7), speeches within speeches (e.g., Proverbs 4), and varies the identity of the teacher (cf. God, Wisdom, grandfather, father).³⁰ So while these texts share the element of a father

²⁶ *ANET*, 421-422.

²⁷ See Chapter 5: The Supremacy of the Lord’s Wisdom and Sovereignty.

²⁸ Christopher B. Ansberry, *Be Wise, My Son, and Make My Heart Glad: An Exploration of the Courtly Nature of the Book of Proverbs* (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2011), 13-19; Lichtheim (*AEL* 3:160) writes of *Ankhsheshonq*, “the text has an introductory narrative which purports to describe the circumstances that led to the composition of the maxims, and, like its prototypes, the introduction is a literary device and a fiction” (see *ANET* 421-425). For further examples, see *Amenemhet* i.2; *Ptahhotep* i.51-60; *The Teaching of a Man for His Son* 1-3; *Wisdom of Ahiqar* and *Neferti* for narrative contexts; and *Shurrapak* 8-13.

²⁹ E.g., the *Instructions of Hardjedef*, *Ptahhotep* and *Kagemni*. See Weeks, *Instruction*, 4-11, also 12-66. For a helpful overview of scribal culture, see R. N. Whybray, *The Composition of the Book of Proverbs* (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1994), 132-141.

³⁰ Weeks, *Instruction*, 33-66. Berend Gemser (“The Instructions of ‘Onchsheshonqy and Biblical Wisdom Literature,” in *Studies in Ancient Israelite Wisdom*, ed. James Crenshaw [New York: KTAV, 1976], 142-147) argues, especially in light of *Ankhsheshonq* which resembles Proverbs’ atomistic collection of sayings, that the book of Proverbs stands as a more developed form of literature than its ancient counterparts. So Kenneth Kitchen, “Biblical Instructional Wisdom: The Decisive Voice of the Ancient Near East,” in *Boundaries of the*

instructing his son and thereby constitute “instruction,” the distinctive character of Proverbs 1-9 is not unnoticed.

I am not proposing a theory of dependence, in literary or historical terms, but I am suggesting that certain similarities of Proverbs with ANE instruction texts and the biblical books of Job and Ecclesiastes encourage an exploration of how Proverbs 1-9 might function as a “prologue.” At the same time, as noted here, Proverbs stands apart from other texts in aspects of form and content, not to mention the unrivalled length of Proverbs 1-9 considered as an introduction. Such distinction suggests that something more complicated, or as yet unobserved within other texts, is occurring in Proverbs.

The opening chapters of the extra-biblical book of Ben Sira, a later text clearly dependent on the book of Proverbs, reflects the primary concerns of Proverbs 1-9. Although Ben Sira includes an explanatory, prose prologue more reminiscent of Greek historical works, Sirach 1:1-10 contains a hymn to Wisdom like Prov 8:22-31, and Sirach 1:11-30 features the fear of the Lord, a passage that, according to James Crenshaw, “serves as a programmatic statement for the entire book.”³¹ Wisdom and the fear of the Lord are worked out in their particularities throughout Sirach 2:1-4:10, chapters punctuated with language (“my son”; 2:1; 3:12; 4:1) and themes (e.g., humility, filial duty, discipline) found in Proverbs 1-9. After another brief praise of Wisdom (4:11-19) the sentence instructions begin at Sirach 4:20, showing structural similarity with Proverbs. The blatant reflection of the first nine chapters of Proverbs in Ben Sira’s first four chapters suggests that the structural distinction of Proverbs was significant. The interpretive challenges of Proverbs 10-29, the readerly promises of 1:1-7, and the significance of comparable literature warrant an investigation into the didactic

Ancient Near Eastern World: A Tribute to Cyrus H. Gordon, ed. Meir Lubetski, Claire Gottlieb, and Sharon R. Keller (Sheffield, England: Sheffield Academic Press, 1998), 363. While I do not appreciate Kitchen’s rhetoric in this article, particularly against Weeks, I do find his conclusions convincing regarding the uniqueness of Proverbs and autonomy of ANE instruction compilers. The similarities, however, which I account for, should not be overlooked. The resemblance of Collection I with Egyptian and Mesopotamian texts does not discourage these conclusions, as they align in the father-son narrative, instruction framework, and, broadly, content and courtly features. See Christa Kayatz, *Studien zu Proverbien 1-9* (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1966), 26-75; John Thompson, *The Form and Function of Proverbs in Ancient Israel* (Paris: Mouton, 1974), 36-57; cf. Whybray, *Wisdom in Proverbs: The Concept of Wisdom in Proverbs 1-9* (London: SCM Press, 1965), 61-71; Whybray, *Proverbs* (London: Marshall Pickering, 1994), 23-30.

³¹ James Crenshaw, “Sirach” in *NIB*, 5:650, also 642, 647. See also T. J. J. Corley, “An intertextual study of Proverbs and Ben Sira,” in *Intertextual Studies in Ben Sira and Tobit: Essays in Honor of Alexander A. Di Lella, O.F.M.*, The CBQ Monograph Series 38 (Washington D.C.: Catholic Biblical Association of America, 2004), 180-182.

function of Proverbs 1-9, a function explored, unsurprisingly, by exegetes for more than the last three centuries.

Early Interpretations of Proverbs 1-9 as an “Introduction”

Proposals about the significance of Proverbs 1-9 for the rest of Proverbs go back to at least the 17th century AD. What we might perceive as modern commentary appears in 1659, when an English, Anglican theologian, Henry Hammond, claimed that Proverbs 1-9 lays a “foundation and introduction” to the sentences that follow.³² Matthew Poole, forty years later, explained that chapters 1-9 “were only a preface or preparation [to Prov 10:1-22:16], containing a general exhortation to the study and exercise of wisdom, to stir up the minds of men to the greater attention and regard to all its precepts, whereof some here follow.”³³ It is the exhortatory and prefatory role of Proverbs 1-9 that exegetes of the 17th and 18th centuries, like Hammond and Poole, had in view when they labelled Proverbs 1-9 as an “introduction.” Their works represent the limited remarks made over the centuries prior to the 19th about the function of Proverbs 1-9 and the relationship between 1-9 and 10:1-22:16. The situation began to change during the 19th century, when German biblical scholars started to debate and further articulate the idea that Proverbs 1-9 might serve as an introduction.

Early in the 19th century, Hermann Muntinghe and Carl Umbreit remarked that the first collection sits as an “Einleitung” and has a thematic and formal coherence in itself, which led to questions that soon became more acute in debates between Heinrich Ewald and Ernst Bertheau about the precise nature of the first nine chapters of Proverbs.³⁴ In 1837, Ewald argued for the coherence of chapters 1-9 and called it a long, detailed introduction (“Einleitung”) and preparatory work (“Vorbereitung”) for Collection II. In his view, the author had placed Collection I at the outset as a supplement to the older proverbs that follow.

³² Henry Hammond, *A Paraphrase and Annotations Upon the Books of the Psalms* (London: Royston, 1659), 455. For interesting but unclear comments on Prov 1:1-7 by Jewish exegetes in the tenth century AD, see Ilana Sasson, “The Book of Proverbs between Saadia and Yefet,” *Intellectual History of the Islamic World* 1 (2013): 162.

³³ Matthew Poole, *Annotations upon the Holy Bible*, vol. 2 (New York: Robert Carter and Brothers, 1696), 230; similarly, see Matthew Henry’s *Commentary on the Holy Bible*.

³⁴ Hermann Muntinghe, *Die Sprüche Salomo’s* (Frankfurt: Jäger, 1800), xii; Friedrich Wilhelm Carl Umbreit, *Commentar über die Sprüche Salomo’s* (Heidelberg: J. C. B. Mohr, 1826), lxii. Heinrich Ewald, *Die Poetischen Bücher des alten Bundes* (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1837), 39-40; Ernst Bertheau, *Die Sprüche Salomo’s* (Leipzig: Weidmann, 1847), xxi.

Proverbs 1:1, 6 and 10:1 connect the collections by mentioning “proverbs,” and Ewald saw the primary contribution of Collection I as an endorsement of wisdom, calling the audience to cherish it. Bertheau critiqued Ewald’s position in 1847. While acknowledging Collection I’s place as an opening section because it instructs the audience to grasp wisdom, Bertheau denied its coherence and its role as an introduction, arguing that if the author intended Proverbs 1-9 as an introduction then it would explicitly refer to Collection II. Although he acknowledged the introductory role of 1:1-7, Bertheau seemed to overlook the possibility that 1:2 and 1:6 refer to the contents of 10:1-22:16. Ewald noticed this connection and, in doing so, set the trajectory for the theory of a didactic function for Proverbs 1-9.³⁵

For over 150 years, commentators from Britain, Germany and the United States continued to label Proverbs 1-9 an “introduction,” “prologue,” or “Einleitung” for chapters 10 and following, but they rarely elaborated on how it functions as such.³⁶ Wildeboer, for instance, noted linguistic agreements in Proverbs 1-9 and 10:1-22:16 to justify assertions about authorship but not to validate or expound the role of Proverbs 1-9.³⁷ Decades later, Edgar Jones simply states that Prov 1:1-6 “sets forth the essential aim of the book” and calls Collection I a “long prologue” that advocates wisdom as the basis of life.³⁸ For much of the 20th century, as with centuries prior, interpreters continued to label Proverbs 1-9 an “introduction,” and when they did consider the implications of this label for interpretive

³⁵ Ewald also raised substantial arguments about the redaction of Proverbs, which do not seem significant for this particular conflict with Bertheau. See Chapter 2: Diachronic Approaches to Proverbs.

³⁶ Charles Bridges, *An Exposition of the Book of Proverbs* (London: Seeley, 1847), 132; Moses Stuart, *A Commentary on the Book of Proverbs* (New York: M.W. Dodd, 1852), 39; Friedrich Bleek, *An Introduction to the Old Testament*, vol. 2, ed. Johannes Friedrich Bleek and Adolf Kamphausen (London: Bell and Daldy, 1869), 256; Carl Friedrich Keil, George C. M. Douglas, and Friedrich Bleek, *Manual of Historico-Critical Introduction to the Canonical Scriptures of the Old Testament*, vol. 1 (Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1869), 479-480; Carl Cornill, *Einleitung in das Alte Testament* (Freiburg: J. C. B. Mohr, 1892), 222, 227; Davison, *Wisdom-Literature*, 115; A. Cohen, *Proverbs: Hebrew Text and English Translation with Introduction and Commentary* (London: Soncino Press, 1945) 56; Julius Greenstone, *Proverbs with Commentary* (Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society, 1950) xiii, xiv, 97; Helmut Lamparter, *Das Buch der Weisheit - Prediger und Sprüche*, BAT (Stuttgart: Calwer, 1959) 160; Helmer Ringgren, *Sprüche* (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1962), 8; Silvia Schroer, *Wisdom Has Built Her House: Studies on the Figure of Sophia in the Bible* (Collegeville, MN: Liturgical Press, 2000), 27; Roland Murphy, *The Tree of Life: An Exploration of Biblical Wisdom Literature* (New York: Doubleday, 2002), 28-29; Samuel L. Adams, *Wisdom in Transition: Act and Consequence in Second Temple Instructions*, JSJSupp (Leiden: Brill, 2008), 60.

³⁷ G. Wildeboer, *Die Sprüche* (Freiburg: J.C.B. Mohr, 1897), x, xviii.

³⁸ Edgar Jones, *Proverbs and Ecclesiastes: Introduction and Commentary*, Torch Bible Commentaries (London: SCM Press LTD, 1961), 22, 55.

issues, they did so primarily with diachronic interests, as in the case of Wildeboer, who aimed to delineate the authorship of Proverbs. The diachronic debates concerning Proverbs are taken up in my next chapter rather than here. For as the 20th century came to a close, interpreters became most interested in a synchronic perspective on the book. In 1986, Magne Sæbø advanced an innovative challenge for Proverbs scholarship with “the question of how the different units which make up the final shape of the book are related to each other. This question seems to be the most neglected one and has hardly had any substantial impact on the exposition of the book; generally, the units are treated separately, as disintegrated parts.”³⁹ For Sæbø, the relationship of collections in their “final shape” and the exegetical significance of their arrangement had been neglected by interpreters of Proverbs up to that point. Since 1986, and, as we shall see, just prior to that year, interpreters have more closely addressed the relationship between the collections and its significance for understanding Proverbs 1-9.

Current Interpretations of Proverbs 1-9 as an “Introduction”

Having introduced interpreters who called Proverbs 1-9 a “prologue” or “introduction” for the book, I now turn to scholars writing from 1985 to 2017 who affirm such labels and surpass previous remarks about how Proverbs 1-9 might function. While very few of them refer to Sæbø’s article from 1986, these scholars do attend to the relationship of collections in their final forms and argue for notable features in how the collections are arranged, especially as Proverbs 1-9 juxtaposes with 10:1-22:16. I arrange current scholars writing on Proverbs primarily chronologically and focus on how they view the function of Proverbs 1-9, a function that, respective to each individual, centres on one key area of interpretation. These include metaphor (Camp), values and aims (Van Leeuwen; Sandoval), literary forms (Yoder; Heim), theology, and character types (Lyu; Ansberry), as well as the work of commentators who may not systematically demonstrate the introductory function of Proverbs 1-9 but nevertheless treat it as such in their remarks about individual proverbs (e.g., Fox; Longman).⁴⁰ Those who

³⁹ Magne Sæbø, “From Collections to Book - A New Approach to the History of Tradition and Redaction of the Book of Proverbs,” in *Proceedings of the Ninth World Congress of Jewish Studies*, ed. Moshe Goshen-Gottstein and David Assaf (Jerusalem: World Union of Jewish Studies, 1986), 99.

⁴⁰ Many interpreters say Proverbs 1-9 “thematically” introduces the book, with some substantiating this comment more than others: Horst Dietrich Preuss, *Einführung in die alttestamentliche Weisheitsliteratur* (Stuttgart: Kohlhammer, 1987), 32, 60; Daniel J. Estes, *Hear, My Son: Teaching and Learning in Proverbs 1-9*, New Studies in Biblical Theology (Leicester: Apollos, 1997), 17; Magne Sæbø, *Sprüche*, ATD 16 (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2012), 33-34; Markus Saur, “Die Literarische Funktion und die Theologische

view Proverbs 1-9 in its relation to Proverbs 31 (Yoder; Camp; Brown) will also be considered. To conclude this story of scholarly interpretations of Proverbs I account for those who view 1-9 independent of its relation to other portions of the book, scholars who do not so much challenge its introductory function but who prefer to interpret Proverbs 1-9 in its own right and thereby introduce interpretive issues that are potentially unaffected by Proverbs 10-31. The current interpretation of Proverbs 1-9 as an “introduction,” however, begins with a publication just one year before 1986.

Metaphor: C. Camp

Prior to Sæbø’s challenge in 1986, at least one scholar had explored in some depth the neglected question of “how the different units which make up the final shape of the book, are related to each other” by considering Proverbs 1-9 as an interpretive framework for Proverbs 10-29.⁴¹ It was Claudia Camp, who in 1985 published *Wisdom and the Feminine in the Book of Proverbs* and examined many aspects of Proverbs—its imagery, female figures, and socio-historical context—including the relationship between Proverbs 1-9 and 10-29. Now, it should be said that Camp regards Proverbs diachronically as an editorial arrangement, which then serves as a basis for reading Proverbs 1-9 as an introduction. However, despite her diachronic starting point, Camp’s interests in the function of Proverbs 1-9 remain on its role within the book as we now have it, particularly as a literary study of the text’s final form. She argues that certain metaphors in Proverbs 1-9 substantiate the metaphors of Proverbs 10-29 by supplementing its imagery and providing fresh conceptual relations.

The tree of life, for example, features in Collections I and II (3:18; 11:30; 13:12; 15:4) and in all cases stands as a predicated metaphor: in 11:30a “the fruit of the righteous is a tree of life,” and elsewhere the tree is equated to wisdom (3:18a), a “desire fulfilled” (13:12b), and a “gentle tongue” (15:4a). Camp claims that the tree of life metaphor in Collection II seems “lifeless,” a cliché that approaches the “literal” side of the metaphorical spectrum. For her, though, Proverbs 3 “has revitalized the image, rendering it not only visible but also tangible.” In Prov 3:18, wisdom is a tree of life to those who grasp her, and so Camp is suggesting that this association of wisdom with the tree of life “revitalized” the image of the tree for those “lifeless” portrayals in Prov 10:1-22:16. However, instead of 3:18 informing the proverbial

Intention der Weisheitsreden des Sprüchebuches,” *VT* 61 (2011): 457-458; Waltke, *Proverbs*, 14, 74; Whybray, *Proverbs*, 17; Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 323, 325, 346.

⁴¹ Sæbø, “From Collections to Book,” 99.

sayings, Camp proposes the opposite and argues that the tree imagery in Collection II informs the tree imagery of 3:18. Referring to 3:13-18, she writes, “The use of this metaphor in the poem also supplies for Wisdom the ‘system of associated commonplaces’ that have become attached to the ‘tree of life’ by virtue of its use in the proverbs.”⁴² In other words, the concepts connected to the tree of life in Prov 10:1-22:16 inform the interpretation of the tree’s appearance in 3:18, an odd sequence in my view given that Camp sees 1-9 as chronologically later than 10:1-22:16 and deliberately placed before it.

Camp seems to assume the priority of the sayings collection. For her, the direction of influence moves from Collection II to Collection I, so that the “associated commonplaces” of the tree of life, that is, the concepts connected to the tree of life in Collection II—fruit of righteousness, fulfilled desire, and a gentle tongue—now attach to Lady Wisdom in Proverbs 3. In other words, Collection II familiarizes the interpreter with the tree of life and its proximity to certain concepts then made salient for the interpretation of Collection I. However, based on a final form study, as Camp conducts, we would expect the reader’s first encounter with the tree in 3:18 to inform the interpretation of the tree in 10:1-22:16. This flow of thought does seem to direct other examples in her work.

Camp also forwards the imagery of Prov 21:6, which mentions “treasures” and “death,” to argue that the figures of Wisdom and Folly in Proverbs 7-8 come to mind when reading Prov 21:6. Proverbs 21:6 says that “The getting of treasures by a lying tongue is a driven breath, a snare of death.”⁴³ While not an antithetical proverb, Camp draws attention to its mention of “treasures” and “death,” and maintains that this proverb

finds its antithesis spelled out in the Proverbs poems. Wisdom utters truth (8.7); thus, her gifts are not fleeting but rather consist of wealth that is ‘*ateq*’ (8.18) . . . Wisdom is also able to save her lover from the “snare” (here: *pah*) of the strange woman which means death (7.23).⁴⁴

For Camp, Proverbs 7 and 8 supply an antithesis for Prov 21:6, despite the proverb’s non-antithetical statement, since the terms of Prov 21:6 “draw the mind back to the female

⁴² Camp, *Wisdom*, 198.

⁴³ The LXX replaces the MT’s “seekers of death” with the “snares of death,” a phrase common to Proverbs (13:14; 14:27), though מְבַקְשֵׁי שָׁוֶר is not rare (esp. 17:19) and may make grammatical sense. See Bruce Waltke, *The Book of Proverbs: Chapters 15-31*, NICOT (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2005), 160 n. 10.

⁴⁴ Camp, *Wisdom*, 194.

imagery of Proverbs 1-9.”⁴⁵

According to Camp, the images of treasures, death, a snare, and speech in Prov 21:6 are “expanded” in Collection I, and the interpreter’s mind is drawn back there, to its female imagery. Camp’s remarks suggest that Proverbs 1-9 somehow supplements the images of 21:6, but it remains unclear as to how exactly the collections relate to each other aside from substantiating one or the other and “drawing the mind back” to particular passages in Proverbs 1-9. These two examples represent two of the strongest connections that Camp establishes between Collections I and II, and for now I leave the particulars of Camp’s argument aside and instead draw attention to her method. On the one hand, she claims to demonstrate that Proverbs 1-9 substantiates the imagery of 10:1-22:16, but, on the other hand, she also seems to show that proverbs within 10:1-22:16 inform the interpretation of material in Proverbs 1-9. For instance, why would the tree of life in 10:1-22:16 provide insight into the tree in 1-9 if Proverbs 1-9 was deliberately placed at the front of the book and “substantiates” the images in chapters 10 and following? A disjunction arises between what Camp proposes and what she attempts to demonstrate about the relationship of Proverbs 1-9 and 10:1-22:16. Although she observes significant connections in these portions of Proverbs, her study does not maintain a consistent approach to the collections and instead prefers female imagery as the point of consistency.

Camp’s attendance to female figures in Proverbs and the role of Proverbs 1-9 in the book as a whole will arise later in this outline of scholarly interpretations of Proverbs. While this study will not again recall her work on metaphor in 10:1-22:16, I do attend to the female figures of Proverbs, especially their portrayal in Proverbs 7-8, and how the author employs them to persuade his audience towards particular aims and values.⁴⁶ These “educational goals,” as I call them, give rise to an entire chapter in the present thesis.

Aims and Values: R. Van Leeuwen and T. Sandoval

Raymond Van Leeuwen introduces a rhetorical perspective on Proverbs, which focuses on the means and ends of proverbial persuasion, what it persuades towards and how it carries this out. Articulating a theory of how Proverbs 1-9 operates rhetorically for the book as

⁴⁵ Camp, *Wisdom*, 195. So Arndt Meinhold, *Die Sprüche*, Zürcher Bibelkommentare: Altes Testament 16 (Zürich: Theologischer Verlag, 1991), 272.

⁴⁶ I leave metaphors aside due to the extensive attention to theory that this topic requires, which would not leave enough space for the clearer cases of how Proverbs 1-9 functions.

a whole, Van Leeuwen claims that chapters 1-9 and 30-31 create an interpretive “frame” for chapters 10-29.⁴⁷ While he also emphasises the theological context of Proverbs, Van Leeuwen clearly addresses the rhetorical function of Proverbs 1-9, writing, “[Proverbs 1-9] introduce readers to the book’s worldview, to its fundamental framework of meaning. The seemingly random and scattered events of life, so richly described in the tiny saying of chaps. 10-29, are here given an interpretive context.”⁴⁸ This “interpretive context” directs the affections of the audience, pressing them towards wisdom yet revealing its limitations. In other words, Proverbs 1-9 focuses on the motivations and desires of the reader, whom it persuades to approach 10-29 with a particular posture.⁴⁹ Aside from these remarks and clearly intent on the rhetorical function of Proverbs 1-9, Van Leeuwen offers little more insight into how the collections might cooperate. His conceptions of rhetoric and the material’s affective influence arise over a decade later with Timothy Sandoval.

Sandoval calls Proverbs 1-9 a “hermeneutical guide” for the book and begins to demonstrate this in his study on the wealth and poverty language within the whole of Proverbs.⁵⁰ He argues that these concepts are “central to the sages’ project and fundamental to the book’s overall goals or end—the promotion of wisdom’s virtuous way,” which he considers from three perspectives (“discourses”): wisdom’s virtues, social justice, and social observation.⁵¹ Sandoval operates within the rhetorical realm of Proverbs, asking how its wealth and poverty imagery persuades and what it persuades towards. He also operates within the realm of values, as these concepts and rhetorical strategies function “symbolically” for

⁴⁷ Raymond Van Leeuwen, “The Book of Proverbs,” in *NIB*, 5:31; Walther Zimmerli (“Zur Struktur der Alttestamentlichen Weisheit,” *ZAW* 51 [1933]: 177-204, esp. 185-192) claimed that Proverbs 1-9 provides motivational grounds for obedience. While he did offer evidence from 1-9 (e.g., Prov 3:5-9), he mostly appealed to material from 10:1-22:16 to demonstrate his point. See Timothy Sandoval, “Proverbs,” in *The Old Testament and Ethics: A Book-by-Book Survey*, ed. Joel Green (Grand Rapids: Baker, 2013), 101; William P. Brown, *Wisdom’s Wonder: Character, Creation, and Crisis in the Bible’s Wisdom Literature* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2014), 39.

⁴⁸ Van Leeuwen, “Proverbs,” 31.

⁴⁹ Van Leeuwen, “Liminality and Worldview in Proverbs 1-9,” *Semeia* 50 (1990): 113-115.

⁵⁰ Sandoval, *The Discourse of Wealth and Poverty in the Book of Proverbs* (Leiden: Brill, 2006), 56. Whybray (*Wealth and Poverty in the Book of Proverbs*, JSOTSupp [Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1990], 64) analyses the attitudes towards wealth and poverty in Proverbs 10:1-22:16 and 25-29, and refers to the “values” of the speakers. However, he does not synthesise or concentrate on the values with respect to the collection as a whole—except to say that they “leave a general impression of consistency”—or engage with their rhetorical function. Further, he downplays the significance of Proverbs 1-9 for wealth and poverty language, which Sandoval counters.

⁵¹ Sandoval, *Discourse*, 209.

desirable things, i.e. what an audience found valuable. Hence, Sandoval binds the values and the aims of Proverbs, two interests that will occupy my fourth chapter.

Within his study of proverbial teleology, Sandoval finds a place for Proverbs 1-9, which, he says, extols Wisdom by associating her with a host of value symbols, such as honour and jewels. He shows how passages such as 8:10-11 and 8:18-21 use “the rhetoric of wealth and poverty as motivational symbols to get the hearer to recognize generally the *value* of wisdom’s way over that of folly’s.”⁵² Sandoval then turns to Proverbs 10-31 to suggest that “it also uses such rhetoric as motivational symbols to persuade him [the hearer] to accept the particular *values* (i.e., the virtues) of that way and to shun all that belongs to folly’s path.”⁵³ Having observed the persuasive intent of passages throughout Proverbs 1-31, he hints at the notion that 1-9 establishes a “value framework” that then orders the goals of 10-31. A series of passages in 10-31, for example, link right speech with “economic rhetoric” and “can thus be seen as virtues vital to the achievement of what the prologue identifies as an essential feature of the book’s purpose—the realization of social justice and harmony.”⁵⁴ Sandoval considers the “metaphorical sense” of these sayings and their assertions about right speech but then simply places them in a broad framework established by Prov 1:3, which directs the proverbs towards an attainment of social justice without broader reference to the didactic function of either 1:1-7 or 1-9 as a whole.

This move manifests itself throughout the textual examples from Proverbs 10-31, where the interpretive import of Proverbs 1-9 is alluded to or mentioned, and yet undeveloped, and objections to the specific interpretative choices made are overlooked. For example, Prov 22:1 says that “Repute is preferable to great wealth; grace is better than silver and gold,” and Sandoval is concerned to connect the value of “repute” with wisdom virtue.⁵⁵ However, the lexeme for “repute” (רִיבּוּת) can represent the reputation of good people (2 Sam 23:18) or the reputation of evil men (Num 16:2). The lexeme requires contextual or lexical qualification (e.g., 1 Kgs 4:31; Eccl 7:1) to indicate the nature of its “value,” especially if Sandoval wishes to identify it more precisely with the sort of virtues “that would promote the social equity and harmony Proverbs so highly values.”⁵⁶ Sandoval too quickly associates רִיבּוּת with wisdom virtue and does not clearly account for Proverbs 1-9 in this discussion. In order to justify such connections between passages, additional interpretive links must be

⁵² Sandoval, *Discourse*, 118.

⁵³ Sandoval, *Discourse*, 118-119.

⁵⁴ Sandoval, *Discourse*, 124, see 121-124.

⁵⁵ Sandoval, *Discourse*, 133. The translation comes from the NJPS, which Sandoval uses in this case.

⁵⁶ Sandoval, *Discourse*, 133.

considered. Ultimately, Sandoval establishes the coherence of Proverbs 1-9 with 10-31 based on how they associate values with wisdom or Wisdom; he does not demonstrate the function of 1-9. In a later chapter, he does capitalise on the interpretive role of Proverbs 1-9 but only in its union with 10-31 in order to examine another “discourse” in Proverbs. For him, chapters 1-31 as a whole function as a “hermeneutical lens.”⁵⁷

In Chapter 4 of this study, I too consider the aims and values that Proverbs persuades towards and employs. Though less interested in wealth and poverty language or the complex layers of metaphor than Sandoval is, I do consider the teleological and axiological aspects of proverbs in 10-29 and aim to show how Proverbs 1-9 functions in relation to them. Proverbs 22:1, just mentioned, offers an outstanding example of this and will reappear in “Educational Goals.”

Literary Forms: C. Yoder and K. Heim

Two recent interpreters have explored what I call “literary forms” in Proverbs while regarding Proverbs 1-9 as an introduction to the book. The first, Christine Yoder, proposes that Proverbs 1-9 embeds proverbs within some of its poems in order to show the interpreter how to use the proverbial form of communication (i.e. 1:17; 2:21-22; 3:33-35; 9:7-9).⁵⁸ She writes,

The father also models “right” use of proverbs. When he quotes what appear to be reexisting, self-contained two-line proverbs, he nestles them in literary contexts—long didactic poems or instructions—that directly inform their interpretation . . . the father demonstrates for the youth when and how proverbs “make sense.”⁵⁹

The demonstration of proverb use in Proverbs 1-9 complements the interpretive challenge of

⁵⁷ Sandoval, *Discourse*, 155. Sandoval’s conclusions likely arise in part from his fixation on Prov 1:3 and its reference to “righteousness” (קִדְּוָה). He acknowledges the “cue to read wisely” in what he calls Proverbs’ “prologue” (1:2-6[7]) but gives much more interpretive weight to 1:3, which, according to him, affixes wisdom to “virtue,” especially for establishing “social justice,” and then drives his vision of Proverbial values. My view of Proverbial values differs, due to my own emphasis on the “cue” for intelligent reading stated in Prov 1:2 and 1:6.

⁵⁸ See Yoder (*Proverbs*, Abingdon Old Testament Commentaries [Nashville: Abingdon Press, 2009]) at these passages. Instances also include concluding statements of the discourses (4:18-19; 5:21-23; 8:11).

⁵⁹ Yoder, *Proverbs*, 12.

10:1-22:16, a challenge that Yoder recognizes but does not relate to her proposal about Proverbs 1-9. Other scholars have spotted proverbs as a literary form within 1-9 yet, like Yoder, have not explored the interpretive role that this feature might carry for the rest of the book.⁶⁰ I find this idea intriguing and could support my main argument with Yoder's examples, but this lies outside the scope of my thesis.

Knut Heim has recognized the function of Proverbs 1-9 as a "hermeneutical introduction" in his work on "variant repetition," in which he traces the repetition of terms and phrases throughout Proverbs.⁶¹ Of these repetitions, he focuses on the instances that contain some element or elements that vary, labelling these cases of "variant repetition." For instance, "the fear of the Lord" occurs in Prov 1:7; 9:10; and 15:33 but in each case acquires an alternative predicate: "The fear of the Lord is the beginning of knowledge" in 1:7, but in 9:10 the fear of the Lord constitutes "the beginning of wisdom" and in 15:33 it aligns with "instruction in wisdom." Attending to the clearly deliberate cases of repetition and their significance for the editorial aims of the book, Heim investigates the material from a diachronic perspective.⁶² His diachronic conclusions benefit a discussion in the next chapter on methodology, leaving a few observations significant for the matters at hand. Heim details the grammatical similarities and dissimilarities between repeated passages in Proverbs and at times accounts for their interpretive significance. Most importantly, he considers the appearance of variants with respect to different portions of the book, as some variants occur within Proverbs 1-9, some occur only within 10-31, and a few sets of variant repetition include passages from 1-9 and 10:1-22:16.

The strengths of Heim's work reside in the detailed treatment of literary features in Proverbs and his diachronic perspective, which offers a critical alternative to other studies in the same vein. As to the question of how Proverbs 1-9 functions, Heim says that "The editor used variant repetitions to link various subunits of Proverbs 1-9—and thus the entire

⁶⁰ Claus Westermann, *Wurzeln der Weisheit: die ältesten Sprüche Israels und anderer Völker* (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1990), 114; Van Leeuwen, "Proverbs," 31–32; James Crenshaw (*Old Testament Wisdom: An Introduction* [Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2010], 65) also notes Prov 6:27–28; 9:17.

⁶¹ Knut Heim, *Poetic Imagination in Proverbs: Variant Repetitions and the Nature of Poetry* (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2013), e.g., 626–627.

⁶² Heim (*Poetic Imagination*, 8) selects two of Snell's categories: whole verse repeated with spelling variations and half-verse repeated in whole verse with two dissimilar words. See Daniel C. Snell, *Twice-Told Proverbs and the Composition of the Book of Proverbs* (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1993).

collection—with the rest of the book of Proverbs.”⁶³ However, while Heim trenchantly compares the linguistic aspects of Proverbs 1-9 and 10-31, he rarely comments on the interpretive significance of these relationships. In this respect it is evident that an editor “linked various subunits of Proverbs 1-9 with the rest of the book” but it is not evident how Proverbs 1-9 functions, particularly not, in Heim’s words, as a “hermeneutical introduction.” Both Heim and Yoder leave literary features of Proverbs ripe for harvest, and their work ought to be taken up, not least in view of the approach and conclusions proposed throughout my own thesis.

Theology

The “theology” of Proverbs is often grounded on the references to “God” or “the Lord” that appear throughout the book.⁶⁴ Aside from particular debates about the significance of these references to “creation theology,” retribution, and the moral order in proverbs, the theological perspective on the book has attracted much attention of a diachronic sort, especially during the 20th century as the concern to map the development of theological ideas over time gained momentum. For instance, in 1933 Fichtner dated Proverbs 1-9 as earlier than the sentence collections and concluded that the references to the Lord in 10:1-22:16 supplemented the original, human-focused sayings within the collection by providing religious motivation.⁶⁵ On the other hand, William McKane split the sayings into three categories, with the third and latest redactional layer representing those that refer to the Lord.⁶⁶ Aside from the proverbial material, the content of Collection I is covered by this Yahwistic layer and thus shows the section’s relative lateness. Within Collection II, McKane found little structure and preferred an atomistic approach to the proverbs that interprets them

⁶³ Heim, *Poetic Imagination*, 43.

⁶⁴ In addition to the studies mentioned in this section, other interpreters hold unsubstantiated proposals that Proverbs 1-9 functions as a theological framework for the rest of the book. See Brevard S. Childs, *Introduction to the Old Testament as Scripture* (London: SCM Press, 1979), 554-555; John A. Kitchen, *Proverbs: A Mentor Commentary* (Fearn, Ross-shire: Mentor, 2006), 29-30; Michael V. Fox, *Proverbs 10-31: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary*, AB 18B (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2009), 499; Zoltan Schwab, *Toward an Interpretation of the Book of Proverbs: Selfishness and Secularity Reconsidered*, JTI Supplement (Winona Lake, ID: Eisenbrauns, 2013), 84, 95; cf. Lennart Boström, *The God of the Sages: The Portrayal of God in the Book of Proverbs* (Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International, 1990), 236.

⁶⁵ Johannes Fichtner, *Die Altorientalische Weisheit in Ihrer Israelitisch-Jüdischen Ausprägung: Eine Studie zur Nationalisierung der Weisheit in Israel* (Giessen: A. Töpelmann, 1933), esp. 47-50; cf. Kayatz, *Studien*.

⁶⁶ William McKane, *Proverbs: A New Approach*, OTL (London: SCM, 1970), 10, 413-414.

in isolation. Whybray, at first, also interpreted references to the Lord in Collection II as indicative of redactional layers, but later, based on references to the Lord and correspondences between Prov 22:14-15 and Proverbs 1-9, he concluded that “both sections of the book (chapters 1-9 and 10:1-22:16) have been finally edited in the same spirit.”⁶⁷

By the beginning of the 21st century, many interpreters fortified a final form approach to the book of Proverbs by arguing for its literary and theological coherence, particularly in 10:1-22:16. Heim has proposed a comprehensive set of clusters that cohere small groups of sayings through common terms and themes, which leads him to conclude that “the often assumed ‘secular’ background of many sayings, including notions of theological ‘reinterpretation’, should finally be put to rest.”⁶⁸ He does not attend to the theology that derives from Proverbs but does state that the references to the Lord in Collection II influence the surrounding verses that do not mention the Lord, a conclusion related to Heim’s notion of “clusters,” which views the sayings not as atomised maxims but as proverbs situated within a literary context, often comprised of about ten verses of Prov 10:1-22:16 with shared lexemes and concepts.⁶⁹

Katharine Dell partially affirms Heim’s complex set of interconnected sayings, claiming that the proverbs do have significance within small groups of verses whilst also standing independently. Dell foremost makes a number of contributions to the theological perspective on Proverbs and its coherence: instead of proposing a network of “clusters” like Heim, she draws the proverbs together by moving through Collection II chapter by chapter to argue that the Lord is presumed in the sayings even when not mentioned; based on the extensive references to the Lord throughout the collection, these “Yahweh sayings” are not a later reworking and they draw out different aspects of the same God;⁷⁰ and, as she acknowledges some amount of editorial placement, the references to the Lord perform a “framing and revitalizing function” for the material in 10:1-22:16.⁷¹ Dell demonstrates that references to the Lord provide a primary and fruitful ground for study, and that Collection II

⁶⁷ Whybray, *Proverbs*, 322; cf. *Wisdom in Proverbs* (94, 104-107), where Whybray initially thought, also, that Proverbs 1-9 consisted of two redactional layers.

⁶⁸ Heim, *Grapes*, 316.

⁶⁹ Heim, *Grapes*, 316; see also e.g., 112. Whybray (“Yahweh-Sayings and Their Context in Proverbs 10, 1-22,16,” in *Wisdom: The Collected Articles of Norman Whybray*, ed. Dell and M. Barker, SOTS Monograph Series [Aldershot, UK: Ashgate, 2005], 45-57) demonstrates a similar conclusion.

⁷⁰ Katharine Dell, *The Book of Proverbs in Social and Theological Context* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006), 108-109. Cf. Preuss, *Einführung*.

⁷¹ Dell, *Proverbs*, 117. See also Ansberry, *Be Wise*, 117.

coheres rather than diverges in theological vision.

Although Dell's attention remains on Prov 10:1-22:16 as a section separate from 1-9, she does account for 1-9 when she notes similarities between Collections I and II. But this treatment is brief, as she soon moves into the wider debate of wisdom theology, with themes such as creation and authority.⁷² When viewed with my interpretive question in mind, Dell's thorough examination of references to the Lord in Proverbs is representative of two shortcomings in other studies: they either consider the theology of Proverbs across the whole book without distinguishing its literary sections, or they analyse material within separate portions of Proverbs but overlook their relationships. Nevertheless, Dell's case for theological coherence across Prov 1:1-22:16 will not go unnoticed, as I aim to bolster such arguments and most importantly examine the relationship of Proverbs 1-9 to the rest of the book from a theological perspective.

While Heim and Dell have focused on the coherence and theology of Prov 10:1-22:16, a final and recent interpreter has looked closely at these aspects in 1-9 while proposing its introductory function. In his doctoral dissertation, Hee Suk Kim claims that Proverbs 1-9 creates an interpretive framework for 10-29 and conducts a close reading of chapters 1-9 to propose that it establishes a "faith-consequence nexus" that should overcome the interpretive difficulties within chapters 10-29.⁷³ While I affirm Kim's core thesis and much of his exegesis as well as his notion of "interpretive framework," he does not interact closely with texts in Proverbs 10-29 and provides no textual examples to show how 1-9 actually aids interpretation. This omission prompts one of the central efforts of my thesis: to show how Proverbs 1-9 functions for 10-29 by demonstrating its interpretive role with in-depth examples from both portions of material. The need for this demonstration echoes throughout the current studies of Proverbs that proclaim the introductory function of 1-9, and its resolution remains to be seen. As with Camp, Sandoval, and others, theological interpreters provide plausible proposals for how Collection I might function as an introduction but offer limited examples. I intend to demonstrate the role of Proverbs 1-9, not only describing how its chapters might function but disclosing its interpretive insight for Proverbs 10-31. From a theological perspective, this argument occurs over the course of Chapter 5, where I account for all of the references to the Lord in Proverbs to expound a relationship of the collections in their final form.

⁷² Dell, *Proverbs*, 113, 144, 146.

⁷³ Kim, "Proverbs 1-9."

One of the most intriguing and pronounced features of Proverbs is its character types, such as “the righteous” and “the wicked,” and it is with respect to such types that two recent scholars have proposed a function for Proverbs 1-9. Chris Ansberry and Sun Myung Lyu argue that Proverbs 1-9 delineates character terms and a narrative context that make sense of the sentence literature.⁷⁴ These claims arise as part of wider interests for both interpreters, neither of whom intend to delineate character types or the function of Proverbs 1-9 in full. Ansberry primarily explores the “courtly features” of Proverbs from start to finish, and Lyu attends to the nature of “the righteous” in Proverbs. Any critique of their studies, then, lands not on their central theses but on the undeveloped nature of interpretive concerns pertinent to the question of the function of Proverbs 1-9.

Reflecting back on Proverbs 1-9 and then observing the chapters that follow, Ansberry writes of Proverbs 10-15,

The “wise” and the “righteous” continue to serve as positive archetypes who represent the way of life . . . These personages not only bind the collections together through shared vocabulary and imagery, but they also provide a hermeneutical guide for the reader. The prologue describes socio-moral values through a series of characters and root metaphors in order to provide an ethical framework through which to evaluate the sayings in the sentence literature.⁷⁵

Ansberry rapidly mentions the main features of my thesis, such as the commonalities of collections, the notion of “hermeneutical” guidance, interpretive frameworks and how Proverbs 1-9 functions in relation to such aspects. To these, Ansberry adds a certain conception of Proverbial character types, which he identifies as “positive archetypes,” connoting a sense of paradigmatic, ethical exemplars. While Ansberry articulates a cogent theory about the characters and the function of Collection I, he does not address the traditional character types that bind Collections I and II, such as the wise, the righteous, and others who recur within Proverbs 10-29. Instead, he explores the two female figures of Wisdom and Folly, who feature, primarily, in Collection I and, for Ansberry, “punctuate the sapiential material and provide a framework through which to evaluate both the addressee and the

⁷⁴ Sun Myung Lyu, *Righteousness in the Book of Proverbs* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2012), 5-9, 74-75; Ansberry, *Be Wise*, 74-75.

⁷⁵ Ansberry, *Be Wise*, 76.

interaction situation of the material.”⁷⁶ Ansberry interprets the characters as vehicles for “courtly features”—metaphors, images, and other expressions—that clarify the social situation and identity of the addressee. While these characters might plausibly establish the “ethical framework” and “discourse setting” that Ansberry proposes, the traditional characters need to be accounted for in this vein, as I intend to do.

Ansberry also proffers an intriguing conception of the identity of characters in Proverbs, that they “serve as positive archetypes,” a proposal that reveals a significant question about these characters: who are they? In the first half of Chapter 3, a chapter dedicated to character types, I pose the question: who are the characters of Proverbs? What, in other words, is the nature of their identity?

Lyu also looks at the characters within Proverbs to make remarks that imply a second question:

Proverbs’ character of the righteous is to be studied, mimicked, and internalized in the pupil’s life. Proverbs 1-9 gives a structured “theory of learning” and guiding principles for using the raw material in the rest of the book . . . The binary anthropology of Proverbs, coupled with its ardent emphasis on wisdom, is the crystallization of Israelite wisdom that enables moral imagination to bloom into moral character.⁷⁷

Where Ansberry proposes an identity for characters within Proverbs, Lyu proposes a function. In a question, what are the character types of Proverbs meant to do? How do they function? Lyu’s remark implies these questions, which he substantiates with the more vivid characterization of negative people in Proverbs 1-9, such as “the gang and the loose woman,” as well as Prov 4:16-17, but, like Ansberry, he does not support or develop his claims about the role of Proverbs 1-9 in relation to 10:1-22:16.⁷⁸ Instead, Lyu underscores the emotional characteristics of character and then reiterates his comments about how characters within Proverbs might function. Hence, in the second half of my chapter on character types, I construct an argument about their function, building on my initial conclusions about their identity. In so doing, I aim to elaborate on the function of Proverbs 1-9 with detailed examples of characters common to all of Proverbs 10-29.

⁷⁶ See Ansberry, *Be Wise*, 64, see 46-70. He also considers the simpleton.

⁷⁷ Lyu, *Righteousness*, 74-75.

⁷⁸ Lyu, *Righteousness*, 60-75.

Commentators: M. Fox and T. Longman

The story of interpreting Proverbs 1-9 has so far included those who acknowledge its “introductory” role and directly or indirectly interpret features of Proverbs from that perspective. They connect Proverbs 1-9 to themes like metaphor, aims and values, proverbs as literature, references to the Lord, and character types, but none of these interpretations stems from a verse by verse analysis as in a biblical commentary; they rather arrive in the form of a monograph. Commentary writers on the book of Proverbs also pitch 1-9 as a prologue or introduction with hermeneutical implications for the rest of the book and they demonstrate this view not usually with a systematic argument but within their remarks on particular passages. Michael Fox and Tremper Longman, for instance, comment on Prov 10:9 and 10:29, respectively, and exhibit ways in which Proverbs 1-9 might function as an introduction.

For 10:9a, Fox exemplifies the introductory nature of Proverbs 1-9 by appealing to its use of the “way” metaphor to supply an interpretive backcloth for 10:9. He translates 10:9 as “He who goes in innocence goes in confidence, while he who makes his ways crooked will be found out” and comments as follows:

Though the devious man seeks to conceal his nature, his duplicity will be exposed and he will pay the price. This saying pictures behavior in terms of the MANY PATHS metaphor . . . There are many ways to traverse the landscape of life. It is best to stride straight ahead, simply and confidently, in accordance with the precept, “Make level the path you travel, and you’ll walk steady wherever you go” (4:26). Then you will not stumble (3:23) but will live in confidence, unafraid (1:33).⁷⁹

These remarks substantiate what may lie behind the first line of Prov 10:9, what it means, that is, to “go in innocence” and thereby “in confidence.” Complemented by a reference to “ways” in the second line, this saying evokes the pathway imagery that Proverbs 1-9 elaborates and that Fox patches together in his comments and discussion.

Similarly, Longman recalls the path imagery of Proverbs 1-9 with interpretive implications for 10:29. The proverb reads, “The way of the Lord is a stronghold to the blameless, but destruction for those who do iniquity.” Longman notes that the saying picks up

⁷⁹ Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 516; cf. *Proverbs 1-9*, 129-130.

on the path metaphor of Proverbs 1-9, which he outlines earlier in his commentary, and makes the following remarks:

Reading the proverb in the light of previous descriptions of the path, we would have to say that the reason the path of Yahweh is a ruin for those who do evil is that they are not on it. On the other hand, the “innocent,” here used as yet another term to refer to the godly wise, find that they are protected, presumably from life’s problems, including those perpetrated by doers of evil.⁸⁰

Longman’s comments imply the question of why the way of the Lord is a “destruction for those who do iniquity,” as evident in his claim that “the reason the path of Yahweh is a ruin for those who do evil . . .” Proverbs 10:29 however does not provide reasons for why the Lord’s way leads to destruction for evildoers. This is an assumption harboured by the proverb, which leads to questions that it does not directly answer, and it represents an interpretive challenge, which I have been proposing as a fruitful entry point for determining the function of Proverbs 1-9. Longman does not detail the nature of the “way” imagery in Proverbs 1-9 and only says that the Lord’s way causes destruction for evil people because “they are not on it,” that is, they do not walk on the path of the Lord. He also suggests that the blameless experience protection along the Lord’s way and that these people represent a form of “the godly wise.”

Longman, like Fox, makes comments about a particular passage in Proverbs 10 based on an interpretive assumption that is only understood through an appeal to Proverbs 1-9 as it provides insight into the stated assumption. These two examples, Prov 10:9 and 10:29, just happen to deal with the “way” imagery of Proverbs, and they represent the few cases where biblical commentators show, in practice, how Proverbs 1-9 might function for 10-29.⁸¹ On the one hand, the interpreters other than Fox and Longman mentioned above assert bold proposals about the function of Proverbs 1-9 but say very little about how it bears interpretive implications for 10-29. On the other hand, biblical commentators like Fox and Longman treat 1-9 as an introduction within their interpretations of certain passages from 10-29, though they

⁸⁰ Tremper Longman, *Proverbs*, Baker Commentary on the Old Testament (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2006), 243. For his interpretation of Proverbs 1-9 as an “introduction” that calls for a decision from the young reader to choose between Wisdom and Folly, a feature corroborated by the pathway imagery, see 59-61.

⁸¹ See, Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 831-832; Otto Plöger, *Sprüche Salomos (Proverbia)*, BKAT (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1984), 279 (on Prov 24:19); Waltke, *The Book of Proverbs: Chapters 15-31*, NICOT (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2005), 286; Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 819 (28:1); Plöger, *Sprüche*, 276 (23:27); Longman, *Proverbs*, 496-497 (28:26).

do not clarify the function of Proverbs 1-9 and their comments on the proverbs are brief and only suggestive of how this material may be informed by the first nine chapters. I aim to wed the strengths of both approaches by elaborating on theoretical proposals and demonstrating them at length with particular examples from Proverbs 10-29.

“Bookends”: Proverbs 1-9 and 31

Proverbs 1-9 is sometimes viewed as an introduction that is at the same time bound to the final chapter of the final form of Proverbs, chapter 31, which contains a male and female figure that interpreters align with certain figures in Proverbs 1-9. Two scholars advocate the connection but then move on to other interests rather than exploring the interpretive implications of what are called Proverbial “bookends.”⁸² Yoder, for instance, argues that the wife of Prov 31:10-31 parallels Woman Wisdom from Proverbs 1-9, both of which coalesce into one figure, though Yoder is more concerned with discovering the source and controlling form of these images, and makes no case for the direction of influence within Proverbs.⁸³ Camp says that the females function to unify parts of Proverbs into a composition, especially Proverbs 1-9; 23:22-24:4; and 31:1-31, and to “legitimize” female authority.⁸⁴ Yoder moves her study in a direction not pertinent to this one, and Camp makes helpful observations about shared material in the texts but few about their relationship. Neither consider the function of these chapters together for the book, and their connection serves thematic and socio-historical interests rather than interpretive queries that would ponder how the “bookends” relate to Proverbs 10-29.

William Brown also attests to the link of Proverbs 1-9 and 31, pointing to their male and female figures to propose an overall purpose for the book of Proverbs.⁸⁵ The youth in 1-9 matures into a young king in 31:1-9 who must still adhere to authoritative teaching, and the figure of Wisdom (chs. 1-9) concretises into a human woman (31:10-31) who exhibits the array of wisdom’s strengths. The opening and closing chapters of Proverbs, then, form a framework to define the book’s educational purpose: character formation. Brown’s treatment of Proverbs 1-9 in this connection remains brief, but his insight is helpful and will be taken up

⁸² Fox (*Proverbs 10-31*, 915) is rightly sceptical about Proverbs 1-9, based on its length, functioning as a “bracket.”

⁸³ See, e.g., Yoder, *Wisdom as a Woman of Substance: A Socioeconomic Reading of Proverbs 1-9 and 31:10-31*, BZAW 304 (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2001), 91-93; see also 94-101.

⁸⁴ Camp, *Wisdom*, 4, 192, 198-202.

⁸⁵ Brown, *Wisdom’s Wonder*, 29-66.

in Chapter 4 of this study, as I also explore the educational aims of Proverbs and develop Brown's teleological insight on two accounts: I press into the detail of Proverbs 1-9 and account for elements other than the "character formation" that it potentially advocates; I also consider how educational goals do and do not appear in Proverbs 10-31 and how the goals of 1-9 provide a framework for sayings or poems therein.

The diversity of interpretations that consider Proverbs 1-9 have been outlined, yet before wrapping up these observations I mention those interpreters who do not view Proverbs 1-9 as "introductory."

Proverbs 1-9 as an Independent Section

The review of work on Proverbs 1-9 so far may suggest that all studies on these first nine chapters advocate its introductory function, but this would be misleading. A few interpreters examine 1-9 in its own right, either independently of 10-31 or with no interest in its introductory role. Although they do not explicitly object to the notion that Proverbs 1-9 serves a purpose for the book as a whole, these interpreters treat 1-9 with little consideration of its place within the book and thereby offer an important perspective on Proverbs 1-9, exposing the features of this text in a way unclouded by the questions or categories of 10-31. In other words, Proverbs 1-9 develops ideas that may have little to do with the chapters that follow, and such interests must be accounted for if I intend to discover its function within Proverbs. For what it underscores in its own right certainly pertains to its function, which may hold little relevance for Proverbs 10-31.

Jean-Noël Aletti, Stuart Weeks, and Bernd Schipper all work in this mode, interpreting Proverbs 1-9 as an independent entity and taking long strides towards how it functions on its own terms.⁸⁶ Each interpretation will shape this study, one precipitating an entire half chapter and others substantially qualifying my thesis whilst opening promising places for further study. I start with the former.

J. N. Aletti

In 1977, Aletti wrote an article entitled "Seduction et Parole en Proverbs I-IX" in

⁸⁶ Jean-Noël Aletti, "Seduction et Parole en Proverbs I-IX," *VT* 27 (1977): 129-144. Weeks, *Instruction*; Bernd Schipper, *Hermeneutik der Tora: Studien zur Traditionsgeschichte von Prov 2 und zur Komposition von Prov 1-9* (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2012).

which he looked at the means of seduction in Proverbs 1-9 and their remarkable connection to the words spoken by key characters within this collection. Focusing on the “youth” (נער) and “simpleton” (פתי) of Proverbs 1-9, Aletti argues that for the boy “ce ne son pas les choses ou les êtres qui séduisent . . . Le savoir faire est celui de la parole, la séduction s’opère par le dire.”⁸⁷ On the one hand, the speech of Prov 1:22-33 scrambles the values within the son’s moral vision by exhibiting lexical confusion, which is compounded by the sage and the female figures throughout Proverbs 1-9 as they forward invitations with similar language but with disparate results. Folly and Wisdom, for instance, use the very same words to call their invitees to dinner (9:4, 16) but end the evening in very different ways, demonstrating a key point for my study: the moral situation is not simple, for bad things can look good, and, consequently, discerning good from bad requires a solution.

I call this problem “moral ambiguity,” the solution for which Aletti finds in the divinely given wisdom and the advice of the father. Proverbs 1-9, therefore, functions by establishing a seduction-related human problem and by offering a solution that awaits acceptance.⁸⁸ In view of dangerous moral complexity, the pupil must become an apprentice to wisdom that is taught by the father and transmitted by God. Working explicitly with the question of how Proverbs 1-9 functions when interpreted on its own terms, and accounting for much of its material, Aletti adds an unavoidable contribution to my study of 1-9. He elucidates a feature that must be accounted for if its function is to be established responsibly and as robustly as possible. Aletti’s work on Proverbs 1-9 does not, I will argue, undermine my larger aim—to establish the function of 1-9 in its relation with other portions of Proverbs—but instead directs attention to unforeseen interpretive issues within Proverbs 10-29, namely, aspects of moral ambiguity. The moral ambiguity laid out in Proverbs 1-9 appears tersely in many proverbs of 10-29, proffering a relationship that I forge in one section of Chapter 4: Discerning Moral Ambiguity. In that section I further expound Aletti’s contribution and incorporate it into my broader argument.

Aletti’s work includes some shortcomings, such as the failure to fully account for distinctions between the foolish characters (i.e. the youth and simpleton) and the audience itself. However, the danger of this conflation does not override the strengths of Aletti’s case, the importance of which cannot be overlooked. It advances my second methodological mode, which first approaches not the interpretive challenges of Prov 10:1-22:16 but the emphases of

⁸⁷ Aletti, “Seduction,” 129.

⁸⁸ Aletti (“Seduction,” 130) says “Tel sera donc l’objet de la présente étude: analyser, en Prov. i-ix, comment fonctionne et jusqu’où va séduction de la parole humaine pour en mesurer les effets.”

Proverbs 1-9, a direction of study fully explained in the next chapter. Maintaining the present intent to survey the most significant contributions to interpreting Proverbs 1-9 as an independent entity, I turn now to two interpreters who do not propel a new section or chapter in my study of Proverbs but do give shape to its argument.

S. Weeks

Like Aletti, Weeks considers Proverbs 1-9 in its own right and is interested in its coherence more than in its inner differences and diachronic features. Weeks dedicates an entire monograph to these chapters to argue two primary theses: Proverbs 1-9 sits within a Jewish context more than an Egyptian or broadly ANE background, and the material of Proverbs 1-9 forms a coherent whole, with the exception of 6:1-19.⁸⁹ Both theses are supported by the allusions, terms and imagery within Proverbs 1-9 that connect with OT understandings of law, especially Deuteronomy. Yet the contents of Proverbs 1-9 also connect with each other as the various metaphors, for instance, interrelate and produce a united vision for the audience. The coherence of 1-9 encourages my study and certain details of Weeks' work will lend help to later exegesis, but his insistence on the relation of Proverbs 1-9 with OT law leads to a conclusion that may unsettle the central question of my study.

According to Weeks, "If instruction is indeed to be associated with the Law, then wisdom may be the way in which Proverbs 1-9 characterizes not the Law, but the condition achieved by those who have internalized the Law."⁹⁰ Wisdom is not identified with law but is realised by those who receive instruction, that is, "*torah*." Such a conclusion stems, in part, from the following features: lexical connections between Deuteronomy and Proverbs 1-9, namely, Prov 3:12 and Deut 8:5 and their comparison of God with a father; the "instruction of the Lord" in Prov 3:11 and Deut 11:2; the terms תורה and מצות used throughout Proverbs 1-9; and "tablet" in Prov 3:3 and 7:3 which appears in Deut 9:9 and elsewhere, as well as connections throughout the OT between wisdom and instruction.⁹¹ Weeks aligns the receptiveness to parental teaching in Proverbs 1-9 with receptiveness to Deuteronomic law and thereby understands 1-9 as advocating a certain view of law and wisdom. It does not simply champion OT law but casts legal piety in a sapiential mould with special concern for

⁸⁹ Weeks (*Instruction*, 156-158), reasonably, remains unsure about the date of Proverbs 1-9 and suggests no more specific time period than 500-200 BC.

⁹⁰ Weeks, *Instruction*, 113.

⁹¹ Weeks, *Instruction*, 102-105, also 96-127.

internalisation. Proverbs 1-9 functions for the reader in this way, but not, it seems, as an introduction.

As mentioned, Weeks does not entertain Proverbs 1-9 as an introduction to the book of Proverbs, but he does ponder what would happen if we decoupled Deuteronomy from Proverbs 1-9. Once such a background is removed, he says,

We are still left with a work that repeatedly exhorts obedience to a teaching through which compliance with the divine will may be achieved, and personal security thereby assured. No alternative identification of that teaching is offered, and, for all the attempts to isolate “wisdom circles” from other strands of Jewish thought, it strains credulity to believe that a contemporary Jewish reader would not have made some link with the Law . . . It is relatively straightforward to say that Prov. 31: 1-9, for instance, has no interest in the Law, but much harder to ascertain what assumptions form the basis for, say, the righteous-wicked contrasts that dominate the start of 10: 1-22: 16. It does seem apparent, at least, that no other material in Proverbs seeks actively to draw out and identify the nature of wisdom and instruction in the same way.⁹²

By removing Deuteronomy from the picture, Weeks searches for a viable background to Proverbs 1-9 within Proverbs itself and proposes that no material, at least not 10:1-22:16 and 31:1-9, handles wisdom and instruction in a way that explains their relationship in 1-9. Deuteronomy, then, remains the plausible option.

Weeks establishes a function of Proverbs 1-9 that seems unrelated to the rest of the book, and I do not, fundamentally, reject his thesis. However, neither do I find an acceptance of his proposed links between law and Proverbs as detrimental or even alternative to the possibility that Proverbs 1-9 carries a function for 10-29. In the first place, 10:1-22:16 uses the same lexemes that, for Weeks, so firmly relate to Deuteronomy (מצוה and תורה) in Prov 10:8; 13:13-14; 19:16).⁹³ Now, none of these references “seeks actively to draw out and identify the nature of wisdom and instruction,” but such a factor is precisely my starting point for determining how Proverbs 1-9 functions as an introduction: 10:1-22:16 fails to explain certain remarks, remarks that seem substantiated by 1-9. The sapiential legal piety established in Proverbs 1-9 may very well supply a framework for understanding the terse and undeveloped references to מצוה and תורה in 10:1-22:16.

⁹² Weeks, *Instruction*, 172-173.

⁹³ He does say that “The place of conventional Jewish piety elsewhere in Proverbs is too big an issue to discuss here, but there are other points in the book (e.g. 28: 4, 7, 9) where we should probably understand there to be an explicit interest in the Law” (Weeks, *Instruction*, 173 n. 44).

In the second place, we must be careful not to impose an either/or distinction where it is unnecessary, as if connections in Proverbs 1-9 with OT law consequently disconnect it from Proverbs 10-31. The consequence of Weeks' argument is not that Proverbs 1-9 acquires more independence from the book of Proverbs but that if Proverbs 1-9 does relate to the law then we must determine how its view of the law might relate to 10-31. Proverbs 1-9 may advocate a wisdom version of legal piety, resonating with and depending on other OT texts, and at the same time function as an introduction for Proverbs 10-31. For aside from legal concepts, Proverbs 1-9 retains distinctive connections with the book of Proverbs, not least its character types, certain lexemes, references to the Lord, and visions of education. However, in his later *Introduction* to wisdom literature, Weeks is provoked to doubt connections of 1-9 with other portions of Proverbs:

It is often suggested, for example, that chapters 1-9 are supposed to serve as a sort of prologue, though that does little justice, perhaps, to the coherence and self-containment of the section. Ultimately, with the book as a whole, as with many of the sections within it, we are left wondering just how much weight we should place on apparent connections, and just how much deliberation has gone into the creation of Proverbs from its constituent parts.⁹⁴

Weeks exposes valid doubts, and these doubts give way to questions about the amount of weight we should place on connections across Proverbs and the deliberateness behind them. Many of the connective features mentioned above will occupy this study, which instead of encountering obstacles and deepening doubts will reveal places that I hope will advance the integration of Proverbs and the OT. My study will dispel certain apprehensions about the role of Proverbs 1-9 by suggesting that we can place quite a lot of weight on apparent connections and can detect ample deliberation within and across Proverbs while respecting individual integrity and the possible independence of chapters 1-9.

B. Schipper

Schipper has substantiated some of Weeks' views but done so in a largely different mode. Schipper looks at the makeup of Proverbs 2, its place within Proverbs 1-9, and the relationship of Proverbs as a whole to OT law, particularly "*torah*" and Deuteronomy, Psalms 19, 37, and 119, Jeremiah 31 and Isaiah 56-66. For him, Proverbs exhibits a "Hermeneutik der

⁹⁴ Stuart Weeks, *An Introduction to the Study of Wisdom Literature* (London: T&T Clark, 2010), 47.

Tora” by posing different relations of wisdom and law and arranging these alternative views in a deliberate fashion. Schipper operates with a diachronic perspective, wanting to know the sequence and reasons for how Proverbs reached its final form, and in this respect differs entirely from my project. Nonetheless, Schipper’s diachronic mode leads to particular conclusions about the message of the final form of Proverbs, which, contra Weeks, discloses levels of disunity in its presentation of wisdom and law. For example, the allusions to the *Shema* in Proverbs 3, 6 and 7 all portray the wisdom/torah relation differently, whilst Proverbs 2 and 8 add further differences to these, with Proverbs 28 and 30 adding another—what Schipper considers final—position on wisdom and torah.

Again, the details of Schipper’s work, especially on Proverbs 2, supply a helpful resource for exegesis, but his overall theses establish a texture for 1-9 that presents an incoherent relationship between wisdom and torah. My comments about how Weeks’ work does not necessarily hamper my investigation also pertain to Schipper’s, as torah connections in Proverbs 1-9 do not necessarily disassociate it from 10-31. Schipper likewise passes over pertinent evidence in 10-29.⁹⁵ His diachronic vision, though, does result in a proposal for how 10-29 fits within the book. Given that portions of these chapters were composed later than the core sections of 1-9 and that they reduce wisdom’s affinity with torah and seat wisdom within the context of practical living, Proverbs 10-29 blunts the legal interests of other portions of the book. Yet Schipper nevertheless poses the question of how Proverbs 1-9 relates to the rest of Proverbs as a very live enquiry:

Wie ist das Verhältnis zwischen 1-9 und 10-31 zu bestimmen, wenn einzelne Kapitel der ersten Sammlung deutlich an Themen und Formulierungen von 10-31 anknüpfen? Bedeutet dies, dass die Lehrreden von Prov 1-9* von vornherein im Hinblick auf 10-31 komponiert wurden, oder handelt es sich um ein eigenständiges Korpus?⁹⁶

While he concludes that Proverbs 9 transitions from 1-9 to chapters 10 and following, Schipper does not explore the commonalities in these materials other than their conceptions of law, which, according to him, do not really concern 10:1-22:16. Consequently, he to a large extent leaves the question about the function of 1-9 unanswered.⁹⁷

As to the cacophony of wisdom voices in Proverbs, I think certain differences are

⁹⁵ For brief comments, see Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 84-88, 223 n. 11; 247-250.

⁹⁶ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 187.

⁹⁷ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 208-212.

overstated and that Schipper's rigorously diachronic approach would benefit from a synchronic outlook. For the various views of wisdom and law within Proverbs, established diachronically, contribute to an interpretation of the book's final form. For example, Proverbs 2 and 30:1-9, according to Schipper, pose antithetical perspectives on wisdom: the first promises wisdom, understanding, and knowledge of God, but 30:1-9 denies the attainability of these very things.⁹⁸ Once legal elements are noted, 30:1-9 then shows the overshadowing of wisdom by torah, an authoritative tradition that cannot be grasped by human wisdom, in contrast to the wisdom that takes centre stage in Proverbs 2 and receives a "nomistic colouring." Schipper bases his study of these texts on their relation to OT conceptions of law and yet he drives his study based on how the differing views of the Proverbs texts themselves relate to each other, including in the book's final form. Torah grounds the alternatives in Proverbs; the book's final form shows how these differences relate.

The relationship of the synchronic and diachronic perspectives in Schipper's work suggests that the presence of law and inner-biblical connections in Proverbs does not necessarily nullify the connections of Proverbs with portions of itself, as if rival conceptions of torah in Proverbs 2 and 30:1-9 force other points of relation out of the picture. These passages might very well connect based on their visions of education or divine action and not only on torah-wisdom. While I cannot take on a comprehensive project of both diachronic and synchronic interpretation here, about which more is said in the next chapter, I do bring 30:1-9 within the orbit of my study and show how a synchronic approach to the text, with a view towards the introductory function of Proverbs 1-9, might offer an alternative account of supposed differences within Proverbs.

Lastly, highlighting the sophisticated features of the text, both Weeks and Schipper argue that someone with adequate intelligence or an educated community fashioned and read the text, which leads me to clarify what I do not mean by arguing for a "didactic" function of Proverb 1-9. "Didactic" does not, in this study, necessarily correspond to "clear" or "simplified for the purposes of teaching," as if to overlook the sophisticated and at times complex texture of the poetry in this biblical material. Didactic, as I indicated earlier in this chapter, refers to the function of Proverbs 1-9, its deliberate aim to teach and as we will see to teach interpretive skills. My thesis actually corresponds with the high view of poetry argued for by Weeks, as a didactic function reflects a complex attempt to prepare an able audience to further interpret proverbial material.

Aletti, Weeks and Schipper set aside questions about the introductory function of

⁹⁸ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 250-255.

Proverbs 1-9 and present the salient features of these chapters when considered as a standalone unit. Aletti's attention to moral ambiguity and the need for discernment developed by 1-9 inspire a section dedicated to these ideas in Chapter 4, which bolsters my overall argument by accounting for an alternative methodological starting point. Weeks and Schipper, each in their own way, tie Proverbs 1-9 to visions of torah and, rather than silencing the question at hand, show its importance as an independent unit, placing it within a context of ongoing enquiries into how Proverbs relates to the OT and how a synchronic approach relates to diachronic methods. These wider interests are secondary to my primary question and are recalled in my treatment of Prov 30:1-9 in Chapter 4 and the study's conclusion.

Conclusions on Context

I have told a history of how Proverbs 1-9 functions, especially what it might mean for it to be an "introduction" for Proverbs 10-31. The text of Proverbs itself, especially 1:1-7 and 10:1-22:16, suggests that the book contains interpretive challenges and at the same time contains an aid for addressing those challenges. Other "prologues" in OT texts as well as post-biblical and ANE literature endorse this role but also reveal that Proverbs 1-9 may be doing something quite distinctive. From these primary texts, I moved to their interpreters, who, from as early as the 17th century AD, entertained the question of how Proverbs 1-9 or materials therein might function for the rest of the book. The question climaxed in the debate of Heinrich Ewald and Ernst Bertheau, who in 1837 and 1847 respectively proffered contrasting views of Proverbs 1-9. Ewald argued for a coherent introduction, firmly connected to other portions of the book, while Bertheau denied the introductory role of Proverbs 1-9 and attached this role only to 1:1-7.

The debate remained undeveloped until the 1980s when predominantly final form interpretations of Proverbs began to explore the introductory role of Proverbs 1-9. These "current interpretations" include a notable variety of approaches to how 1-9 functions, as each centres this function on one key area of interpretation. These include metaphor (Camp), values and aims (Van Leeuwen; Sandoval), literary forms (Yoder; Heim), theology, and character types (Lyu; Ansberry), as well as the work of commentators (e.g., Fox; Longman) and those who view Proverbs 1-9 in its relation to 31 (Yoder; Camp; Brown). Next to the many stand the few (Aletti, Weeks, Schipper) who interpret 1-9 independently of questions about its role for 10-31, and yet, instead of stalling the years of talk about an "introduction," advance the present thesis and the urgency of its question.

This account of interpretations of the book of Proverbs primarily reveals three points.

First, a near consensus expresses the view that Proverbs 1-9 somehow operates as an introduction in the final form of Proverbs. Second, these interpreters reflect a high diversity of perspectives on how 1-9 functions as an introduction. Third, there is a lack of argument that demonstrates the function of 1-9 with an in-depth treatment of examples from 10:1-22:16. In other words, interpreters affirm the introductory function of Proverbs 1-9 but have not defended or systematically explained it. A defence of this function goes hand in hand with an explanation of it, and that is what I propose to do in the chapters that follow. Before diving into Proverbs, one task remains: to lay the methodological groundwork for this study.

2

Methodology

Much of the current scholarship on Proverbs, as shown in the previous chapter, concentrates on the book's final form, especially among scholars from Britain and the United States. Many biblical interpreters in Germany maintain a diachronic methodology, one that predominated in the 19th century and continues to appear in works on Proverbs 1-9 and 10:1-22:16.⁹⁹ Despite the final form approach taken in my study, diachronic methodology will be addressed in this chapter through an outline of its major features to suggest two ways in which diachronic conclusions inform a final form analysis such as my own: investigated first are the coherency of Proverbs 1-9 and the passages widely regarded as secondary (1:1-7; 6:1-19; 9:7-12); second is the relation of 1-9 to other portions of the book, given views about their compositional sequence.¹⁰⁰ In addition to pertinent diachronic issues, this chapter lays out a more detailed methodology for a final form approach, identifying where the text will be entered and what steps will be taken to determine the function of Proverbs 1-9. In order to account for the material as thoroughly as possible, I approach Proverbs from two directions—primarily starting with 10:1-22:16 and in one instance with 1-9—and draw on passages throughout Proverbs 1-30, preferring depth over breadth and incorporating most of the material in 1-9 to ensure that all potential functions are broached. After a look into diachronic views of Proverbs, the two synchronic methods are explained in full and an outline of the study given.

⁹⁹ E.g., Rolf Schäfer, *Die Poesie der Weisen: Dichotomie als Grundstruktur der Lehr- und Weisheitsgedichte in Proverbien 1-9* (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1999); Andreas Scherer, *Das weise Wort und seine Wirkung: Eine Untersuchung zur Komposition und Redaktion von Proverbia 10,1-22,16* (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1999). Such methodology also features in commentaries (e.g., Sæbø, *Sprüche*).

¹⁰⁰ In contrast to chronological sequence, the actual dates that portions of Proverbs appeared in literary form are largely irrelevant to my question and largely a matter of subjective opinion anyway. The debate centres on an early, including Solmonic-era, time period (Kenneth Kitchen, "Proverbs and Wisdom Books of the Ancient Near East: The Factual History of a Literary Form," *Tyndale Bulletin* 28 [1977]: 69-114; cf. David Carr, *The Formation of the Hebrew Bible: A New Reconstruction* [New York: Oxford University Press, 2011], 403-429, esp. 403, 410) versus a period beginning in the 8th-7th centuries BC and extending into the "Ptolemaic period" (Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 499-505; Leo Perdue, *The Sword and the Stylus: An Introduction to Wisdom in the Age of Empires* [Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2008], 86-89, 99). Ultimately, evidence allows quite little certainty for precise dates for either the oral origins or literary beginnings of Proverbs.

Diachronic Approaches to Proverbs

The 19th Century: H. Ewald and F. Hitzig

The main storyline of the changes in views regarding the redaction of Proverbs can be told via two German scholars working in the middle of the 19th century. Heinrich Ewald published the first edition of his *Die poetischen Bücher des Alten Bundes* in 1837 to propound a thorough argument for the sources and sequence of the composition of Proverbs.¹⁰¹ Based on the “density and content” of 10:1-22:16, as well as the distinctiveness of its language, Ewald identified 10:1-22:16 as the oldest section of the book, then joined by chapters 25-29, which arose later due to new forms of Hebraic speech (e.g., see 26:12 below). To these initial portions, Proverbs 1-9 and 22:17-24:34 were added, sections obviously different from the first two in their language and content. As for 1-9, Ewald says, “The piece, chapters 1-9, is a primordial whole and flowed as out of a cast.”¹⁰² Also described as a “grand whole,” Proverbs 1-9, he argues, displays a unity from beginning to end, unlike 22:17-24:34 which includes a series of individual exhortations and counsels. Ewald seemed unconcerned with what were later considered interpolations in Proverbs 1-9, such as 6:1-19. As a final step, he mooted that Proverbs 30-31 was added to produce the final form of the book, with 31:10-31 as last of all.

The sequence of construction proposed by Ewald is based on the language and content of Proverbs itself and on the relationship of these elements to other portions of the OT, such as agricultural imagery (e.g., trees), conceptions of life in 10:1-22:16, and Genesis 2-3, taken collectively. Hence the order of composition for Ewald largely depends on the relative antiquity and newness of the linguistic and thematic features in Proverbs and of the related OT texts. For example, the use of the verbal perfect in Prov 22:19 and the “new form of speech”—questions derived from common intercourse, like “Do you see?” (רָאִיתָ אִישׁ . . .; e.g., 26:12)—indicate, in Ewald’s view, a later date for such material. Similarities in form and content led Ewald to attribute the age of OT material to the respective portions of Proverbs (e.g., 10th century BC for the oldest parts), and at this point the criterion easily becomes circular, since the age of other materials in the OT was determined largely based on its content and language alone, without external evidence available.¹⁰³ Despite his inclination to

¹⁰¹ Ewald, *Die poetischen Bücher*, 2-44.

¹⁰² Ewald, *Die poetischen Bücher*, 39; “Das stück c.1-9 ist ein ursprüngliches Ganzes, wohl zusammenhangend und wie aus einem gusse geflossen.”

¹⁰³ The project of historical criticism in regards to establishing the redactional history of texts stems from perceived repetitions and elements of incoherence in biblical passages, matters crucial for interpretation but often

differentiate material within Proverbs, Ewald was capable of seeing unity where others did not, as in Proverbs 1-9. Other interpreters drove the divisions of Proverbs further, in some respects, and proposed an alternative account of its composition.¹⁰⁴

Just over 20 years later, in 1858, Ferdinand Hitzig published his commentary on Proverbs and proposed a history of redaction that was rather different from Ewald's.¹⁰⁵ For Hitzig, Proverbs began with 1:7-9:18, growing with 10:1-22:16 and 28:17-29:29, after which chapters 25-27 were added, then 22:17-24:34 and 28:1-16. Finally, chapters 30-31 appeared and, along with 1:2-6, enclosed the book. Like Ewald, Hitzig saw in Proverbs 1-9 a well-ordered whole, but unlike Ewald he noted exceptions to this unity, suggesting that 1:2-6 and 6:1-19 as well as 3:22-26; 8:4-12, 14-16 and 9:7-10 were inserted into the original collection.¹⁰⁶ These proposed insertions, to which I soon return, remained a concern for interpreters and continue to provoke debates, but on the whole Hitzig's plan for the redactional sequence of Proverbs received sharp critique, as scholars like Delitzsch, Zöckler and Toy affirmed versions of Ewald's proposal.¹⁰⁷ In 1898, Zöckler wrote that such a view was taken "by most of our English and American scholars, with some divergences of course, in the details."¹⁰⁸ Zöckler presumably represented the widespread view in Germany. Despite this consensus of historical critical judgments on Proverbs, a few interpreters maintained Solomonic authorship and unity of composition for Proverbs 1-29, finding diachronic proposals of the time either unconvincing or discordant with the spiritual status of scripture.¹⁰⁹ This episode within the redactional history of Proverbs—the overall sequence established by Ewald, the questionable portions of Proverbs 1-9 as noted by Hitzig, and the interest of some to reject these diachronic perspectives—still characterises the story of scholarship today.

subject to the interpreter's judgment as to what constitutes "incoherent" and unnecessary repetition. The discovery of sources in the Pentateuch, used to reconstruct the development of religion, spawned the quest for sources in other areas of OT literature.

¹⁰⁴ In 1858 F. Hitzig (*Die Sprüche Salomo's* [Zürich: Orell, Füssli und Comp., 1858]) identified interpolations in Proverbs 1-9 that Ewald seemed to have no problem with in neither his first (1837) nor second edition (1867) of his commentary.

¹⁰⁵ Hitzig, *Die Sprüche*, XVII-XVIII.

¹⁰⁶ Hitzig, *Die Sprüche*, 3.

¹⁰⁷ Franz Delitzsch, *Biblical Commentary on the Proverbs of Solomon*, trans. M. G. Easton (Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1865), 1:3-4, 21; Otto Zöckler, *The Proverbs of Solomon: Theologically and Homiletically Expounded*, trans. C. A. Aiken (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1898), 28-29; C. H. Toy, *A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Book of Proverbs*, ICC (Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1904), xxx.

¹⁰⁸ Zöckler, *Proverbs*, 27, see also 25-30.

¹⁰⁹ See Zöckler, *Proverbs*, 27-28.

The 21st Century

In his Proverbs commentary from 2012, Magne Sæbø suggests that Prov 10:1-22:16 and 25-29 form the original “beams” that support the rest of the book, which then included 22:17-24:34 and 1:8-9:18, with a final enclosure of 1:1-7 and 31:10-31.¹¹⁰ Sæbø differs from Ewald in placing 30:1-31:9 chronologically after chapter 29 and before 10:1-22:16, yet on the whole he expresses a sequence similar to Toy and others from the 19th and 20th centuries. Such scholars disagreed primarily on how they accounted for the differences within Proverbs, inclining towards either the material’s unity or diversity. A brief summary of the main positions on the redactional history of Proverbs, including the main scholarly responses in brackets, is as follows:

Summary of Redactional Histories of Proverbs

TABLE 2.1

	Earliest			Latest	
Ewald (accepted)	10:1-22:16	25-29	1-9; 22:17-24:34		30-31
Hitzig (rejected)	1:7-9:18	10:1-22:16; 28:17-29:27	25-27	22:17-24:34; 28:1-16	30-31
Toy (standard) ¹¹¹	10:1-22:16	25-29	22:17-24:34	1:8-9:6, 13-18; 6:1-19; 9:7-12	30-31; 1:2-7

Diversity and distinction remain the calling cards for those who pursue diachronic study of the OT, though many have recognised the insufficiency of former assumptions, such as the literary form of Proverbs developing from simple to complex or its content moving from “worldly” to religious. “Trotzdem,” says Sæbø, “bleibt es evident, dass das Spruchgut doch nicht auf einer Ebene liegt, sondern formal und inhaltlich unterschiedlich ist. Das Problematische scheint aber vor allem darin zu liegen, wie sich die Unterschiede sachlich am besten erklären lassen.”¹¹² The question in diachronic and synchronic study remains a question of difference: how can the differences, in form and content, within Proverbs best be explained? Projects in the field, such as Schäfer’s on Proverbs 1-9, Scherer’s on 10:1-22:16,

¹¹⁰ Sæbø, *Sprüche*, 388-389.

¹¹¹ Cf., e.g., Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 499; Sæbø, *Sprüche*, 388-389 (except for 30:1-31:9). For redactional histories of Proverbs 1-9, see Schäfer, *Die Poesie*, 255-269; Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 322-330.

¹¹² Sæbø, *Sprüche*, 22.

and Schipper's on Proverbs and torah tackle these differences head on and produce complex stories of how portions of Proverbs reached their final forms and why.

As for commentators, extreme positions of textual discord have been long held by some, such as Whybray who breaks up Proverbs 1-9 more than most, seeing an original core within chapters 1-9, supplemented by "wisdom additions" and "Yahweh additions."¹¹³ In contrast to such redaction, Bruce Waltke continues to maintain an extreme view of compositional unity, as represented in the 19th century by those mentioned earlier, arguing that Solomon crafted Proverbs 1-24 and a later editor added 25-31.¹¹⁴

The situation recalls Zöckler's remarks, where a consensus of diachronic readings stood among extremes of compositional unity and diversity, testifying that for almost 200 years the story of diachronic scholarship on Proverbs has contained consistent features: some proposing a high amount of unity, others proposing much less; seeing the redactional sequence offered by Ewald as acceptable in its main aspects; and supporting the likely insertion of certain passages into Proverbs 1-9 (1:2-7; 6:1-19; 9:7-12). The efforts of diachronic study have produced valuable insights into the text of Proverbs, even insights noteworthy for those operating with a synchronic mode of interpretation. But despite diachronic endeavours, the bulk of work on Proverbs from the last 30 years displays more interest in the book's final form.

The swathes of studies that consider Proverbs from a synchronic perspective, especially since it is within these studies that 1-9 receives most attention as an introduction, situates my contribution to final form approaches in an advantageous position. Even Sæbø recognizes the priority of the final form:

Dabei wird man - energischer als früher - nach der hermeneutischen Funktion des Redaktionsprozesses auf die Endgestalt hin fragen müssen, zumal sie letzten Endes die Weisheitslehre und Theologie des Buches mit bestimmt, und die Einheit des Buches erst mit seiner Endgestalt gegeben ist.¹¹⁵

¹¹³ Whybray, *Composition*, 11-61.

¹¹⁴ Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 31-37. On the unity of Proverbs 1-9, not necessarily with the compositional conclusions of Waltke, see Achim Müller, *Proverbien 1-9: Der Weisheit Neue Kleider*, BZAW 291 (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2000); Schäfer, *Die Poesie*; Bálint Károly Zabán, *The Pillar Function of the Speeches of Wisdom: Proverbs 1:20-33, 8:1-36, and 9:1-6 in the Structural Framework of Proverbs 1-9* (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2012); Sæbø, *Sprüche*, 144-152. For Prov 10:1-22:16, see Scherer, *Das weise Wort*. For the whole book, Ansberry, *Be Wise*.

¹¹⁵ Sæbø, *Sprüche*, 23.

It is in a synchronic mode that I will continue, and while such a choice requires no further justification, diachronic perspectives will not be entirely dispensed with. By accounting for the primary diachronic conclusions about Proverbs as a whole, and 1-9 in particular, a final form approach will be shown to complement diachronic perspectives. Although the relationship of such methodologies is not the focus of the present project, its central question about the function of Proverbs 1-9 will only be enriched by examining synchronic and diachronic approaches.

The remainder of this section on diachronic perspectives of Proverbs addresses two particular issues pertinent to my interests in this thesis: the possibility of Proverbs 1-9 carrying a unified purpose, and the question of its function in relation to other portions of Proverbs. The first involves a look at the apparent “insertions” in 1-9, since these would question the section’s unity. To this end I discuss 1:1-7; 6:1-19 and 9:7-12. The second issue involves an examination of the redactional sequence of the Proverbial collections as well as their arrangement in the LXX. These two issues will relate diachronic elements to my central question and reveal that a final form approach may very well offer insight into those very passages that are problematic for diachronic interpretation.

Proverbs 1:1-7

Proverbs 1:1-7 stands as a “preface” or “prologue” to Proverbs. Once thought to introduce only parts of the book, such as chapters 1-9 or 10:1-22:16, most interpreters now recognise its role for the entirety of Proverbs. Since its scope of application has depended largely on the sequence of redaction, it is no surprise that many diachronic studies place Prov 1:1-7 at the final stage of editorial manoeuvring. Added with Proverbs 30-31, Prov 1:1-7 encloses the book with an introduction that serves the whole.¹¹⁶ I have argued that in its final form 1:1-7 constitutes a coherent, self-contained passage and would also contend that it corresponds coherently with the whole book.¹¹⁷ As explained earlier, Prov 1:2 and 1:6 notify the reader of interpretive challenges in the literature to come and promise to furnish interpretive insight for these challenges; the “proverbs and riddles,” which plausibly refer to the sayings in Proverbs 10-29, may puzzle the readers, and Proverbs itself will enable the interpreter to “understand” (1:2) and “explicate” (1:6) such material. This does not mean that

¹¹⁶ Sæbø, *Sprüche*, 17-18, 21; Toy, *Proverbs*, 4; cf. Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 325-326. Those who connect the title (1:1) with 1:8-9:18 consider 1:2-7 as the relevant section.

¹¹⁷ Keefer, “A Shift.”

Proverbs 10-29 confronts the interpreter as an interpretively impenetrable fortress unless an aid, such as Proverbs 1-9, joins the attack. It means that a portion of Proverbs offers insight into material that appears in certain ways challenging largely due to its terse proverbial form and tight, poetic content. My suggestion is that Proverbs 1-9 furnishes the insights that 10-29 at times requires and in this way functions didactically.

Such a view accords with the diachronic claims that 1:1-7 was added with both 1:8-9:18 and 10:1-22:16 in view. For an editor could then have accounted for the challenges in 10:1-22:16 and proffered 1:8-9:18 as an interpretive resource, pointing to both of these features with 1:1-7. In other words, even if Prov 1:1-7 was added in the very final stage of redaction as some scholars have held, then it plausibly offers the most comprehensive insight into the whole of Proverbs and thus offers a fitting point of departure for the main issues of my argument, as demonstrated in the previous chapter.

Proverbs 6:1-19

While Prov 1:1-7 coheres with Proverbs 1-9, many argue that 6:1-19 lacks such integration. Hitzig concluded that this passage, with its “alien” concepts, interrupts the theme of female temptation that spans Proverbs 5 and 6:20-35.¹¹⁸ Despite the lexical connections of 6:1-5 with 5:1-23 (e.g., 5:10, 20; 6:1), Prov 6:1-19 constitutes an insertion into a text that originally transitioned from 5:23 to 6:20. Interpreters have maintained the alien nature of 6:1-19 and noticed its resemblance to other portions of Proverbs, like 22:17-24:34 and 16:27-30.¹¹⁹ However, some interpreters, both past and present, see 6:1-19’s dissemblance as no problem and propose that, despite its difference from Proverbs 1-9, it serves to warn the son of figures other than the seductive woman who appears in surrounding chapters, elevating laziness (6:6-11) and worthlessness (6:12-15) to the level of wickedness and punishment entailed in Proverbs 5.¹²⁰

Although Prov 6:1-19 contrasts with much of Proverbs 1-9, I find arguments for its integration and role within 1-9 plausible: it shares lexemes with and contributes to the messages of Proverbs 5 and 6:20-35. However, in view of the passage’s contention I will not use 6:1-19 as evidence for my primary argument. If it was inserted later, 6:1-19 poses a

¹¹⁸ Hitzig, *Die Sprüche*, 46-47.

¹¹⁹ Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 222, 224-225, 227; Weeks, *Instruction*, 224-225.

¹²⁰ Delitzsch, *Proverbs*, 1:134-135; Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 328-329; Loader, *Proverbs 1-9*, 251-252. Cf. Heim, *Poetic Imagination*, 161-166, 174-181.

problem of intention, that is, it would be a text with a purpose potentially alternative to the rest of Proverbs 1-9. With authors or redactors comes authorial or editorial intention, and this is the primary assumption behind the question of how Proverbs 1-9 functions, namely, how was it intended by its author(s) or editor(s) to function for 10-31? If Proverbs contains a passage inserted by another author (i.e. 6:1-19), then such a move instils that passage with a distinct purpose, which may concord with the rest of 1-9's function or differ. Instead of plumbing the depths of this issue and its consequences, I omit 6:1-19 from the chapters that follow and concentrate on the remainder of Proverbs 1-9.

Proverbs 9:7-12

The final thorn in the side of Proverbs 1-9 appears in 9:7-12, which is placed between the invitations of Wisdom and Folly, and includes the effectiveness of rebuke on different characters (9:7-9), as well as a reiteration of the "motto" of Proverbs (9:10; 1:7) and promises of wisdom (9:11-12). Most interpreters who view this as a later insertion time it with 1:1-7 as part of the final editorial stage of the book and attribute it with a variety of functions: it separates Wisdom and Folly, given their antithetical natures; it restores the "status quo" of Proverbial wisdom; or it draws from what precedes and points towards the next collection.¹²¹ The early and continued affirmations of 9:7-12's place within Proverbs 9 attest to its deliberate function therein, and while many argue that it represents a redactional addition, they nevertheless claim that the chapter makes good sense in its final form, most likely functioning as a link between Proverbs 1-9 and 10:1-22:16.¹²² Like 1:1-7, the diachronic placement of 9:7-12 implies an editor who had much, if not all, of Proverbs in view and thereby accounted for at least elements of 1-9 and 10:1-22:16. Therefore, I consult 9:7-12 in a chapter on Proverbial goals, showing that it coheres with the network of aims in Proverbs 1-9 and contributes to the section's introductory function.

Conclusion on the Redaction of Proverbs 1-9

This survey of Prov 1:1-7; 6:1-19 and 9:7-12 has accounted for the most recognised

¹²¹ See, respectively, Toy, *Proverbs*, 192; Hitzig, *Die Sprüche*, 85; Sæbø, *Sprüche*, 140-141. Cf. Fox (*Proverbs 1-9*, 306-307) who sees 9:7-12 as an interpolation with no transitional role.

¹²² Loader, *Proverbs*, 380-381; so Plöger, Van Leeuwen, Waltke. For the integration of this passage with Proverbs 9, see Rick Byargeon, "The Structure and Significance of Prov 9:7-12," *JETS* 40 (1997): 367-372.

editorial additions to Proverbs 1-9 and relates to one of two issues pertinent to my study when viewed from a diachronic perspective, namely, the unity of 1-9. I contend that Proverbs 1-9 presents a largely unified function, which may be more difficult to establish if multiple authors or editors contributed to the piece. According to predominant views of redaction history, 1:1-7 and 9:7-12 appeared in the final stage of the book's editorial history and, with advantageous perspectives on the whole of Proverbs, serve particular functions. Proverbs 1:1-7 introduces Proverbs and notifies the reader of the material that follows, prompting the core question and thesis of this study. Proverbs 9:7-12 likewise accounts for both Proverbs 1-9 and the text that follows and functions as a transition from the first collection to the second, serving a significant role in determining how 1-9 might operate for the book. Proverbs 6:1-19, while possibly integral to Proverbs 1-9, is omitted from this study. While these texts touch on the question of the unity of Proverbs 1-9 and thereby its function, the question of how it functions in relationship to other portions of Proverbs requires a discussion of these other materials and their own redactional backgrounds.

Proverbs 10-31

As the summary of redaction history for Proverbs showed, Proverbs 1-9 is generally agreed to have post-dated the main sections of 10-29 and was composed in view of those chapters, but it remains to be seen how such conclusions inform the function of 1-9.¹²³ Boring down into the detail of the relationship between these two sections, Knut Heim examines the cases of "variant repetition" in Proverbs—texts that repeat each other with slight modifications—and proposes criteria for determining a direction of borrowing.¹²⁴ According to him, the original passage has fewer links with its surrounding context (hence the antiquity of much of the proverbial material), while the borrowing passage adapts the terms or phrase to its surrounding literary context (hence the relatively later variants within the poems of Proverbs 1-9). The variant passages of 10:1-22:16 stand more independently than those in 1-9, which evince close connections with nearby material and suggest that 1-9 borrowed passages from other portions of Proverbs. Having accounted for every example of variant repetition that includes Proverbs 1-9 as well as material from 10-29, I would contend that Heim's work confirms the editorial direction proposed by Schipper and others, wherein Proverbs 1-9 was

¹²³ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*; Wildeboer, *Sprüche*, 1.

¹²⁴ Heim, *Poetic Imagination*, esp. 616. See section on Literary Forms in Chapter 1: Current Interpretations of Proverbs 1-9.

composed with 10-29 in view.¹²⁵ In other words, texts that repeat in Proverbs 1-9 and 10-29, and display slight differences, occur in contexts that suggest the passages in 1-9 modified material original to 10-29. Much of Proverbs 1-9, then, may have been composed in view of Proverbs 10-29.

For my argument, this means that Proverbs 1-9 could have been developed with a function that deliberately accounted for the interpretive challenges of 10-29. The reverse scenario could stifle my project: if Proverbs 10-29 appeared later than 1-9, especially by the hand of a different author, then it would be difficult to demonstrate, on diachronic grounds, that 1-9 functions with interpretive consequences for 10-29. However, past and present Proverbs scholarship on the redaction of its main sections only welcomes the current question. With Prov 10:1-22:16 as such a uniform and, in the eyes of many, antique portion of Proverbs, it remains my primary source of examples from Proverbs 10-31, though I incorporate material from 22:17-29:27 in two cases (22:19; 29:11).

Proverbs 30-31 stems from the final stage of Proverbial history and hence does not seem to be within the compositional purview of Proverbs 1-9. How might 1-9, then, relate to these chapters? Many have proposed 1-9 and 30-31 as complementary “bookends,” as discussed in the previous chapter, and I incorporate one passage from 30-31 to illuminate such a relation. Proverbs 30:1-9 offers an example that shows how Proverbs 1-9 establishes a didactic framework for material on a synchronic level, a perspective that, I hope, complements diachronic approaches to this text and its relation to Proverbs 1-9.¹²⁶

¹²⁵ Heim, *Poetic Imagination*, 629-630. Passages containing strong evidence for Proverbs 1-9 borrowing from 10-29 include sets 1 (1:7a; 9:10a; 15:33a), 12 (3:7b; 16:6b), 15 (3:31a; 24:1a), 18 (6:8a; 10:15), 20 (6:14; 16:28a), 23 (8:35; 12:2a and 8:35; 18:22). Those with weaker evidence for 1-9 borrowing from 10-29 include sets 19 (6:10-11; 24:33-34), 22 (6:19a; 14:5b). Evidence for 10-29 borrowing from 1-9, all of “weak” dependence, include set 21 (6:15a; 24:22a and 6:15b; 29:1b). One set (#24) has an indiscernible relation of dependence (9:1a; 14:1a; 24:3a). Heim briefly proposes that Proverbs 1-9 borrows from Proverbs 10-31 (173-174).

¹²⁶ The Septuagint arranged sections of Prov 24:23-31:31 in a different order than the MT (LXX = MT 1:1-24:22; 30:1-14; 24:23-34; 30:15-33; 31:1-9; 25:1-29:27; 31:10-31). While previously thought to mark these sections as independently-circulated collections, the sequence of 24-29 and 30-31, which is separated in the LXX yet matches that of the MT, suggests otherwise (Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 363). The location of sections in the book of Proverbs tends not to influence views of its redaction, and LXX Proverbs 1-9 shows few substantial differences from the MT (1:7; 3:18; 5:20; 6:6-11; 9:10, 12; the Peshitta and Targum translate even more woodenly). Supported by similar authorial concerns in the Syriac and in medieval commentaries, Waltke’s explanation (*Proverbs 1-15*, 4-5) for the LXX order seems possible: to maintain the impression of Solomonic authorship, given the removal of “Agur” and “Lemuel” in 30:1 and 31:1, and adding “Solomon” to 22:17 and 24:23, supported by interlacing chapters 30-31 throughout “Solomonic” material. Thematic connections may also have

This example returns us to the primary reason for the side-path into diachronic interpretations of Proverbs. My treatment of these topics—the anomalies in Proverbs 1-9 and the overall redactional sequence of the book—does not endorse such an approach or even the consensus positions within it. Nor do I champion a final form reading out of disdain for other methods. Rather, I have attempted to account for how diachronic conclusions shape an enquiry into the function of Proverbs 1-9 and hope to remain conscious of these views while going about a synchronic study. Remarkably, the pertinent aspects of redaction history for Proverbs suit my endeavour quite well, establishing Proverbs 1-9 as a largely unified composition written with much of the book as we know it in view. Chapters 1-9 also contain passages with a bird's eye on the whole (1:1-7; 9:7-12), propelling my question and theory in a favourable direction. Other than the treatment of 30:1-9 in Chapter 4, concerns of diachronic methodology remain beyond this study, which instead focuses on the function of Proverbs 1-9 in the book's final form.

Two Methodological Avenues to Proverbs

Within the final form approach of this study, traditional methods of biblical exegesis are employed to interpret the book of Proverbs, including attention to keywords, socio-cultural context, grammatical features, relevant intertexts, and other sayings within portions of Proverbs. Each of these requires that I first lay out my broader methodologies for determining the function of Proverbs 1-9. For the textual examples found in Chapters 3-5, I primarily approach the material by starting with Prov 10:1-22:16 and its specific interpretive challenges, and only subsequently move to Proverbs 1-9, where I demonstrate that 1-9 supplies interpretive insights for the challenges of individual proverbs. In short, my primary method moves from Prov 10:1-22:16 for problems to 1-9 for solutions and then back to 10:1-22:16 for resolution. However, the work of Aletti, Weeks and Schipper mentioned in the previous chapter indicated that Proverbs 1-9 might have its own interests independent of 10-31 and that the interpretive challenges that vex the sentence literature might distort the main concerns of 1-9. This possibility warrants an alternative methodological approach, so, in what follows, I lay out two methods: the first starts with 1-9 and accounts for works by interpreters like Aletti; the second starts with 10:1-22:16 and represents the primary approach of my study.

motivated the LXX, such as kingship in 30:1-9 and ch. 25 or the wicked man versus the wise woman in ch. 29 and 31:10-31 (for the latter, see Johann Cook, *The Septuagint of Proverbs: Jewish and/or Hellenistic Proverbs? Concerning the Hellenistic Colouring of LXX Proverbs*, VTSupp 69 [Leiden: Brill, 1997], 293-315).

Combining these approaches begets the most robust account of how Proverbs 1-9 functions.

An Alternative Approach: Starting with Proverbs 1-9

In Chapters 3, 5, and part of 4, I use my primary method, approaching Proverbs first at 10:1-22:16 and then proceeding to Proverbs 1-9. In the other portion of Chapter 4, I use a method alternative to this approach, entering Proverbs 1-9 before moving to 10:1-22:16. This alternative point of departure ensures that the primary features of Proverbs 1-9 are accounted for on their own terms, since my main method interprets 1-9 with categories and questions derived from 10:1-22:16. Approaching 1-9 first not only accounts for the material on its own terms, ensuring that aspects are not overlooked, but in doing so qualifies my argument.

On the one hand, it supports the introductory function of Proverbs 1-9 by showing that the autonomous aims of 1-9 disclose correlations with 10-29 that demonstrate its introductory function. As shown in Chapter 4's section, Discerning Moral Ambiguity, when interpreted on its own Proverbs 1-9 depicts the world as morally ambiguous and reiterates this view to the interpreter, also proffering a solution to such ambiguity. Delineating these features from 1-9 first then exposes similar elements of moral ambiguity in 10-29 that in turn glean interpretive insights from 1-9. This alternative method does not represent an alternative argument; it represents an alternative approach to the material in order to make the same argument and so strengthens the overall case of the thesis in a fresh way.

On the other hand, this method also exposes the limitations of my thesis, disclosing certain priorities of Proverbs 1-9 that have little didactic relevance for 10-29. As mentioned in Chapter 1, the links of Proverbs 1-9 with law and Deuteronomy may attest not to its introductory function but to its aim of integrating Proverbs with other portions of the OT. The Deuteronomic ties occur in Proverbs 1-9 and 10-31 and in both are largely assumed conceptions, appearing like icebergs, protruding at particular places and harbouring much more underneath. I have called such formations "assumptions" whereby a little shows atop the text's surface and implies information or a framework that might draw out latent insights. The Deuteronomic allusions often appear in a similar way—as assumptions—not only in 10-31 but also in 1-9. For this reason, Schipper can say that Proverbs 2 portrays an image of wisdom that concludes with the goal of residing in the land (2:21-22), so that, evidently, "der Verfasser von Prov 2 eine bestimmte Texttradition und eine Reflexion über Weisheit bereits voraussetzt," and that "Prov 2 auf Dtn 28 Bezug nimmt und auch Dtn 4 und 8 voraussetzt."¹²⁷

¹²⁷ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 78-79, 153. For a full evaluation of Schipper's interpretation of Proverbs 2, see

Themes such as law in Proverbs must first be understood in light of large portions of other OT texts before they can be convincingly applied to the function of Proverbs 1-9 for the book of Proverbs, an intertextual task that lies beyond the scope of my immediate concerns. Therefore, links with law and Deuteronomy, while clearly significant for Proverbs 1-9 and likely significant for its interpretive function in the book, do not feature in this study. I focus instead on places where 10-31 clearly makes assumptions that 1-9 does not.

A final question remains about this alternative method: why is it the alternative? Why not use it as the primary approach? First, I start with 10:1-22:16 because this crystallises the interpretive question for Proverbs 1-9 and facilitates a clearer argument as to how 1-9 functions. Second, the distinction between these two methods is not as great as it may appear, since I select passages from 10:1-22:16 that share some feature with 1-9, such as character types or theological references common to both sets of material. On a basic level, then, even the primary method that uses 10:1-22:16 as its point of departure accounts for 1-9 from the start. Again, this alternative method appears in one section of Chapter 4 and complements the primary method of this study.

Primary Method: Starting with Proverbs 10:1-22:16

The primary approach goes directly to 10:1-22:16 to identify interpretive challenges that arise in certain passages. These challenges differ based on the topic and text under consideration, but many of them proceed from what I have called “assumptions,” that is, the information or skills that the text requires for interpretation. Put another way, what concepts, background knowledge, or interpretive faculties does this text presuppose?¹²⁸ Answering such questions requires identifying plausible resources to supply insight into the meaning of individual proverbs, and I wish to argue for the didactic function of Proverbs 1-9 as such a resource. If an ANE poem or a biblical psalm seems to trouble that argument or to propel it,

Chapter 4: The Aims and Values of Proverbs.

¹²⁸ Briefly examining the relationship between Proverbs 1-9 and 10-22, Richard Clifford (“Proverbs 10-22,” 244, also 245-246) moves in this direction but in much less detail than I do. Beginning with Proverbs 10-22, he says that “Reading through such a collection is like wandering into a tool shed and wondering what all the instruments hanging on the wall are meant to do.” According to Clifford, Proverbs 10-22 seems to lack a context—perhaps more precisely, an explanatory framework—and thus poses a particular challenge to the interpreter. Clifford argues that Proverbs 1-9 provides just such a context for the sentence literature, and that it does so in three ways: it establishes the narrative context of the book; it clarifies the divine origins of the proverbs; and it reminds the reader of the importance of memory and learning.

then it will be dealt with. For example, if a proverb uses language quite common to the OT, such as “favour from the Lord” (e.g., Prov 18:22), then the uses of that phrase should be accounted for in interpretation before jumping immediately to Proverbs 1-9 to show how it features the Lord’s favour and illuminates the interpretation of said proverb. Proverbs 1-9 may provide significant insight on this topic, but it must be considered along with the additional OT evidence. Because these canon-wide “intertextual” relations complicate my central question, I often choose proverbial texts that contain elements relatively distinctive to Proverbs, like its character types, who appear in a volume and variety unattested by other portions of the OT.

The preceding remarks concern the initial step of my primary methodological approach to Proverbs: to identify the interpretive challenges of particular passages in Prov 10:1-22:16. In summary, I consider challenges relatively distinct to Proverbs and call upon ANE, biblical, and socio-cultural evidence in as much as it bears direct relevance to my main concern: the function of Proverbs 1-9 for the book of Proverbs.

After uncovering the interpretive challenges of a particular proverb in light of relevant evidence, I then read Proverbs 1-9 with these challenges in mind to see if 1-9 proffers any insight, asking, what texts in 1-9 address the issues raised by 10-31, and do these texts illuminate any of the questions? I argue that Proverbs 1-9 frequently offers interpretive insight into issues of meaning that arise in 10-31 and in this way functions didactically. Recalling the example of 16:3 and the question that it implies—why trust the Lord?—say, for instance, that Proverbs 10-31, as well as pertinent evidence elsewhere in the OT, disclosed reasons for trusting the Lord based on his transcendent attributes, such as his power and supreme wisdom. At the same time, say Proverbs 1-9 portrayed the Lord not only as powerful and supremely wise but also as a fatherly figure who loves those who trust him (3:5-12). The perspective of 1-9 would lend quite a bit of insight to the question from Prov 16:3 “why should humans trust the Lord?” According to Proverbs 1-9, humans should trust the Lord not only because he is all wise and powerful but also because he harbours a paternal interest in people, similar to a father who loves his son. Taken up in a later section, this example, in brief, is how Proverbs 1-9 might function for the interpretation of 10-31 within the book’s final form.¹²⁹ In Chapters 3 and 5 and part of Chapter 4 of this thesis, I argue along this avenue, (1) approaching Prov 10:1-22:16 to identify interpretive challenges, (2) unpacking evidence relevant to them, (3) then approaching Proverbs 1-9 with questions derived from those challenges and (4) demonstrating the interpretive insight that 1-9 supplies for them.

¹²⁹ See Chapter 5: Human Postures Towards the Lord.

Outline

Over the course of these first two chapters, I have delineated a problem within interpretations of Proverbs and proposed a theory of how to address it, which entailed a review of historical positions on the topic of Proverbs 1-9 as a “prologue,” as well as those who do not necessarily view it with such a label. A pair of interrelated methodologies have also been detailed, which approach the biblical material from two entry points in order to establish a more reliable analysis of the text. My selection of topics from Proverbs, which dictates the shape of the remaining chapters, also aims at reliable and thorough analysis, as each topic accounts for a predominant feature of Proverbs that is present in chapters 1-9 and 10-31.

Chapter 3 addresses character types, such as the wise person, the fool, the righteous man and the wicked, and these characters are considered from two angles. First, their identities: Who are these figures? Who are they not? Do they correspond to realistic or idealised portrayals, and how do they relate to each other? Such questions occupy the first section of Chapter 3, which determines the identity of character types in Proverbs by exploring their location on a spectrum of real to ideal and their relationships to each other, that is, whether or not each character represents an entirely different personality—e.g., the fool, wicked, and scoffer refer to distinct and unrelated people—or whether they either harbour no distinction, or somehow refer to the same character whilst retaining some distinction. With the identity of the Proverbial characters substantiated in section one, the second half of the chapter asks how these characters are intended to function. What are they meant to do to or for the interpreter? What are their rhetorical implications? In short, what is their function? I examine a set of functions related to how Proverbs intends its interpreters to respond to its characters, including aspects of emulation and self-evaluation, given the characters’ identity established earlier. Although the identity and function of character types entails a study of rhetoric, understood as the persuasive aims of the biblical author, this strategy is considered in full through Chapter 4.

The “Educational Goals” of Chapter 4 incorporate the overall aims of Proverbs, such as nurturing wise character, and the values of Proverbs, like wisdom and honour. The first section tackles both of these concepts, determining the hierarchy of goals assumed and established by the book as well as its related network of values. What Proverbs educates toward will become clear, as will the component parts of this education and their interpretive pay-off for selected passages. One goal is moral discernment, and, as the second part of

Chapter 4 discovers, training in such discernment is a response to the book's more dramatic display of moral ambiguity, a real problem according to Proverbs 1-9. In examining this specific educational goal, this section employs my alternative methodology, starting with the concerns of Proverbs 1-9 and using its categories to uncover interpretive challenges in 10-31. All portions of Chapter 4 focus on the persuasive aims of the book of Proverbs and, of course, do so with a central question in mind: how does Proverbs 1-9 function?

The characters and educational goals of Proverbs only touch on another of its major features: the Lord. In my final main chapter—Chapter 5—I consider every passage that refers to “the Lord” or “God,” categorising these sayings into three groups and examining a representative example from each, in this way accounting for all of the theological material in Proverbs. The categories include human postures toward the Lord, the supremacy of the Lord's wisdom and sovereignty, and the Lord's affection and assessment, each of which constitutes an individual section that moves through my primary method in its entirety. Again, the focus of each chapter remains on how Proverbs 1-9 functions for the rest of the book, and the scope of features examined in Chapters 3-5 aims to establish that function in its most significant ways, expounding the role of Proverbs 1-9 for the characters of Proverbs, the educational goals of the book, and its theology. For each perspective, Proverbs 1-9 produces a framework that lends interpretive insights for 10-31, like an interpretive tutor, a map or blueprint, a sort of exegetical glossary for the terse Proverbial sayings, and in this way functions didactically.

3

Character Types

This chapter begins with one of the most prominent features of Proverbs: its characters. This diverse population most frequently includes the righteous, the wicked, the wise and the fool, and less frequently, the diligent, sluggard, and prudent person, among others. The appearance of these characters in Proverbs, many of whom surface in 1-9 and 10:1-22:16, prompts two interrelated questions: who are they and how are they meant to function for interpreters? It is these two enquiries that I explore in this chapter, addressing the literary identity and relation of Proverbial characters as well as their rhetorical function. In the first section, it will become clear that the presentation of characters in Prov 10:1-22:16 raises questions about where such characters lie on the spectrum of ideal to real. Should the wise and foolish persons, for example, be understood as idealised, extreme portraits of wisdom and folly, or as examples of realistic human beings? In addition to the identity of these people, 10:1-22:16 raises the question of how different characters relate with respect to their characteristic features. Are the wise, righteous and diligent figures, for instance, all examples of the same person or completely distinct people or forms of both? I am not the first interpreter to entertain these questions, and a look at what others have said about the characters of Proverbs will show us where interpretation has come and where it might fruitfully be taken.

A few studies that focused on character types appeared as parts of larger works during the 19th-20th centuries. In 1828, Gramberg offered a brief description of certain wise and foolish types, while Frankenberg later compared a selection of types taken solely from Proverbs 1-9.¹ In the 1960s-70s, interpreters discussed these characters by focusing on their appearance in Proverbs 10-29 and attending to the concentration of righteous/wicked contrasts in chapters 10-11 and wise/fool contrasts in chapters 14-15. Skladny, Schmid, and Hermisson agreed that Proverbs 10-29, especially chapters 10-15, portray firm antitheses between the character types, a polarity referred to as “binary anthropology.” According to them, the characters’ attitudes are emphasised more than their deeds or traits, and when such character attitudes are linked with their corresponding outcomes, the relationship becomes known as the

¹ Karl Gramberg, *Das Buch der Sprüche Salomo's neu übersetzt* (Leipzig: J. A. G. Weigel, 1828), 16-24; W. Frankenberg, “Ueber Abfassungs-Ort und-Zeit, Sowie Art und Inhalt von Prov. I-IX,” *ZAW* 15 (1895): 110-117.

“character-consequence” nexus.² While scholars continue to contest both the similarities and distinctions between the character terms, for Proverbs 10-15 they largely confirm a focus on antithetical character types and on the attitude or character of these types.³

Aside from these points of agreement, three lines of enquiry remain inconclusive or unexplored: what exactly these types are, how they relate, and a comparison of their appearance in Proverbs 1-9 versus 10:1-22:16.⁴ As to the first, McKane moved beyond studies of character types that focused on their lexemes and, whilst still attending to their descriptions, was the first to offer a theory of how these characters function on a literary level.⁵ He comments that 10:1-22:16 gives “the impression of an unreal black and white

² Udo Skladny, *Die ältesten Spruchsammlungen in Israel* (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1962); Hans Heinrich Schmid, *Wesen und Geschichte der Weisheit: Eine Untersuchung zur altorientalischen und israelitischen Weisheitsliteratur* (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1966), 156-168; Hans-Jürgen Hermisson, *Studien zur israelitischen Spruchweisheit* (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag des Erziehungsvereins, 1968), 73-76; Brian W. Kovacs, “Is There a Class-Ethic in Proverbs?” in *Essays in Old Testament Ethics*, ed. John Willis and James Crenshaw (New York: KTAV, 1974), 173-189.

³ They specifically debate whether the terms reflect synonymous types, completely distinct types, or a blend of the two. For these three positions, see respectively, Hermisson, *Studien*, 73-76; R. B. Y. Scott, “Wise and Foolish, Righteous and Wicked,” in *Studies in the Religion of Ancient Israel*, ed. G. W. Anderson, VTSupp 23 (Leiden: Brill, 1972), 146-165; Joachim Conrad, “Die Innere Gliederung der Proverbien,” *ZAW* 79 (1967): 67-76. More recently, cf. Heim, “Coreferentiality, Structure and Context in Proverbs 10:1-5,” *Journal of Translation and Textlinguistics* 6 (1993): 183-209; Ruth Scoralick, *Einzelpruch und Sammlung: Komposition im Buch der Sprichwörter Kapitel 10-15* (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1995), 27-43; Dell, *Proverbs*, 59 n. 5; Lyu, *Righteousness*, 52.

⁴ Discussion also exists regarding the relation of Proverbial character types to ANE characters. As to the origin and development of the character terms, Nili Shupak (*Where Can Wisdom*, 199-212, 231-265, esp. 259-265) has offered the most conclusive study; the debate barely continues. See Weeks, *Early Israelite Wisdom* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1994), 69-70; Lyu, *Righteousness*, 102-107. For a debate about the development (Lichtheim) versus the non-development (Shupak) of the ideal person in Egyptian literature that moved from the wise to the silent man, see Lyu (*Righteousness*, 98-102; cf. Perdue, *Proverbs*, Interpretation [Louisville, KY: John Knox Press, 2000], 39-42). Both Lichtheim and Shupak agree that the character functions as an ideal type. See also Shupak, “Positive and Negative Human Types in the Egyptian Wisdom Literature,” in *Homeland and Exile: Biblical and Ancient Near Eastern Studies in Honour of Bustenay Oded*, ed. Gershon Galil, Mark Geller and Alan Millard, VTSupp 130 (Leiden: Brill, 2009), 245-260.

⁵ Interpreters have widely provided definitions of lexemes that refer to these characters, with descriptions of their contextual and lexical meanings based on different grammatical forms. Among others, see Shupak, *Where Can Wisdom*, 199-212, 231-258; Jutta Hausmann, *Studien zum Menschenbild der älteren Weisheit (Spr 10ff.)* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1995), 9-104 (she focuses exclusively on Proverbs 10-31); Fox, “Words for Wisdom,” *Zeitschrift für Althebraistik* 6 (1993): 149-65; Fox, “Words for Folly,” *Zeitschrift für Althebraistik* 10 (1997): 4-17; Müller, *Proverbien*, 320; Lyu, *Righteousness*, 46-59.

schematism” for its characters, and he offers as a “theory” that “for the *saddiq* this is the best of all possible worlds.”⁶ I understand McKane to mean that the righteous character is portrayed in an ideal existence of flawless actions, attitudes, relationships, and consequences. Others have expanded on this proposal, identifying the righteous or wise person in Proverbs as a “typisches Weisheitsideal,” a possibility that 10:1-22:16 confirms.⁷ Proverbs 19:24 and 22:13, for example, exaggerate people into “caricatures” that cannot possibly represent real figures.⁸ By “caricature” I do not necessarily mean a negative representation or a misrepresentation, as often appears in modern newspapers, but only an extremely exaggerated form, whether negatively or positively portrayed. I will refer to these portraits as “ideals,” meaning that the characters embody their virtues or vices to the uttermost extent. The “simpleton,” for example, acts naively and gullibly to the most thorough and extreme degree. Such thorough embodiment constitutes him as ideal, and his extreme embodiment gives him his caricatured quality. This interpretation is still under debate, as Sæbø, for instance, questions whether the characters of Proverbs 10-15 represent idealised caricatures: “Ob die positiven Eigenschaften darüber hinaus ein ‚Ideal‘ vom Menschen vorstellen wollen, bleibt trotz gelegentlicher Behauptung fraglich.”⁹ The characters of Proverbs may represent something more “real” than “ideal,” as their identity remains open to question. Within these disagreements about what character types are, Proverbs 1-9 has not been adequately incorporated into the discussion, which instead tends to concentrate on 10-29 and especially 10-15. Proverbs 1-9 may very well offer guidance in answering current questions about the identity of Proverbial characters.

A second area of study attends not so much to the real or ideal identity of these characters but more to how they relate to each other. Heim champions the question and argues that the character terms in Prov 10:1-22:16 can be “co-referential” according to their meanings, syntagms, and connotations.¹⁰ He divides the lexemes from Proverbs 10 into

⁶ McKane, *Proverbs*, 16.

⁷ Hausmann, *Studien*, 346; “a (stereo)typical wisdom ideal.” Ansberry, *Be Wise*, 44, 76-78; Lyu, *Righteousness*, 54, 62-63; Kenneth Aitken, *Proverbs* (Philadelphia, PA: Westminster Press, 1986), 142; Clifford, *Proverbs: A Commentary* (Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 1999), 108; Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 109.

⁸ McKane, “Functions,” 173. He notes the same observation in Toy, Ringgren, and Gemser. The passages read: “The sluggard says, ‘A lion is outside! In the middle of the market I shall be slain!’ ” (22:13); “The sluggard buries his hand in the dish, he will not even bring it back to his mouth” (19:24).

⁹ Sæbø, *Sprüche*, 26, see also 27.

¹⁰ Heim, “Coreferentiality.”

semantic fields of morality, intellect, and diligence, such as the righteous/wicked, the wise/fool, and the diligent/slothful. He then shows how terms from each group occur in similar thematic contexts or in direct parallel, and that each contrasting pair represents both a positive and negative type of character. So, the diligent person and the sluggard, a pair of characters defined by their relation to work, are in one case “good” (diligent) and in the other “bad” (sluggard). Likewise, the righteous/wicked refer to moral character, and the wise/fool refer to intellectual, yet each of them also refers to either a “good” or “bad” character type. The specific characters, that is, are not identical but coreferential. Heim also demonstrates that the character types derive not only from specific lexemes but also from their predicates. For example, the “prudent son” is “one who gathers in summer” (Prov 10:5a), and thus this character is not only referred to by *בן מביש* (“prudent son”) but also by the characteristic attributed to him, as the “one who gathers in summer.” Accounting for such predicate descriptions furthermore shows that Heim’s theory at times accounts for all of a verse’s material—its subjects and predicate descriptions—and also reveals the collection’s focus on characters. Thus, 10:1-22:16 focuses on character and attitude, portraying types not only through singular lexemes but also through positive or negative predicated descriptions.

I find Heim’s argument convincing, commended also by its sensitivity to the linguistic concepts of sense and referent, which allow him to distinguish the terms and phrases themselves from the object referred to and to retain distinction between terms whilst also identifying their commonalities. His initial article, described above, also accounts for all of the material in Prov 10:1-5, yielding a focus on character in a passage representative of 10:1-22:16, and thereby providing a starting point from which to approach the sayings material. For, six of the seven characterizations of “diligence” appear in Prov 10:1-5 (10:4-5), plus coreferential terms in the intellectual (e.g., wise/foolish) and moral fields (e.g., righteous/wicked).¹¹ Heim’s work does not, however, account for co-referentiality in Proverbs 1-9, which is where I aim to take the discussion.

Third, how do the characters in Proverbs 1-9 and 10:1-22:16 compare? When scholars do take an interest in the characters of Proverbs 1-9, they claim that it presents a portrait of characters distinct from 10:1-22:16, but a closer look will qualify this conclusion, revealing more similarity than distinction between the materials.¹² More in line with my argument, some interpreters suggest that 1-9 plays a significant role for the interpretation of characters in the sayings material. Ansberry writes that in Proverbs 10-15,

¹¹ Heim, “Coreferentiality,” 199.

¹² Hausmann, *Studien*, 347; Aitken, *Proverbs*, 135.

The “wise” and the “righteous” continue to serve as positive archetypes who represent the way of life . . . These personages not only bind the collections together through shared vocabulary and imagery, but they also provide a hermeneutical guide for the reader. The prologue describes socio-moral values through a series of characters and root metaphors in order to provide an ethical framework through which to evaluate the sayings in the sentence literature.¹³

Ansberry articulates a cogent theory about the characters and the function of Proverbs 1-9 and also attends to the female figures therein. However, he does not address the traditional character types that bind 1:1-22:16, such as the wise and righteous, but instead explores the two female figures of Wisdom and Folly, the foreign woman, and the simpleton, who feature, primarily, in 1-9.¹⁴ The scope of characters examined in Proverbs 1-9 needs to be expanded.

Lyu continues with further proposals about how characters function and on the role of Proverbs 1-9:

Proverbs’ character of the righteous is to be studied, mimicked, and internalized in the pupil’s life. Proverbs 1-9 gives a structured “theory of learning” and guiding principles for using the raw material in the rest of the book . . . The binary anthropology of Proverbs, coupled with its ardent emphasis on wisdom, is the crystallization of Israelite wisdom that enables moral imagination to bloom into moral character.¹⁵

Lyu also mentions the more vivid characterisation of negative types, such as the gang, in Proverbs 1-9, but does not support or develop his claims about the role of 1-9 in relation to 10:1-22:16. While building on the proposals of Ansberry and Lyu, I aim to elaborate where they remain silent or undefended, namely on the function of Proverbs 1-9, with a detailed examination of characters common to both collections.

In summary, scholarship pertaining to character types in Proverbs has proposed a possible explanation for the identities of these characters and how they relate, broaching conceptions of idealised portraits and co-referentiality. However, these studies lack a developed demonstration of such identities and fail to compare character appearances in Proverbs 1-9 versus 10:1-22:16. Consequently, in the first section of this chapter, two overarching questions are posed to 1-9 in order to determine its function for 10:1-22:16: (1)

¹³ Ansberry, *Be Wise*, 76.

¹⁴ See Ansberry, *Be Wise*, 46-69.

¹⁵ Lyu, *Righteousness*, 74-75.

How does Proverbs 1-9 intend to portray its characters, as idealised caricatures or something else? (2) How do the characters relate in view of Heim's coreferentiality theory? A key text from 10:1-22:16 (10:1-5) will now be outlined in its hermeneutical assumptions and debates, which will supplement this pair of primary questions with two additional subquestions.

Having all of these queries in tow, I then consult Proverbs 1-9 and argue that it functions didactically for Prov 10:1-5, especially 10:2 and 10:4. In the second section of this chapter these conclusions about character identity are used to argue that Proverbs 1-9 also operates didactically with respect to the overall function of character types.

The Identity of Character Types

Character Types in Proverbs 10:1-5

As this section examines the identity of Proverbial characters in terms of what or who they are, the significance of Prov 10:1-5 for such a topic should not be underestimated. The “righteous” and “wicked,” two of the most prominent character terms in the book of Proverbs, appear with especially high frequency in 10:1-11:13, which contains 30% of the total occurrences of these terms in 1:1-22:16.¹⁶ Observing this peculiar concentration, John Goldingay argues that Collection II connects to Collection I via the mention of parents, wisdom, and folly in their initial passages (1:7-8; 10:1) and claims that Prov 10:1-11:13 operates as a sort of character kernel, a set of neighbouring verses dense with character referents.¹⁷ The character terms of 10:1-22:16 are dominated by the righteous and wicked, along with the wise and foolish, all four of which appear as contrasting pairs in 10:1b-3. In addition to these types, as mentioned above, 10:4-5 contains coreferential terms in the semantic fields of intelligence and diligence, suggesting that 10:1-5 is quite representative of the character population in Proverbs. Based on its connection with Proverbs 1-9 and its cluster of representative character lexemes, Prov 10:1-5 constitutes a passage well-suited for studying character types in 10:1-22:16.

Proverbs 10:1-5

משלי שלמה פ	10:1	The proverbs of Solomon: ¹⁸
בן חכם ישמח־אב		A wise son makes a father glad
ובן כסיל תוגת אמו		a foolish son is his mother's sorrow ¹⁹

¹⁶ In Collection I, רשע occurs in substantive (7x) and alternative forms (2x), and in Collection II (54x) with alternative forms (5x): Prov 10:1-11:13 (18x); chs. 10-15 (40x); 16:1-22:16 (14x). The lexeme צדיק occurs as follows: Collection I (4x); Collection II (49x); 10:1-11:13 (16x); chs. 10-15 (39x); 16:1-22:16 (10x). Thus, Prov 10:1-11:13 contains 18 of 61 occurrences of רשע in Collections I and II, and for צדיק, 16 of 53. (Statistics are based on Accordance.)

¹⁷ Goldingay, “The Arrangement of Sayings in Proverbs 10-15,” *JSOT* 19 [1994]: 75-83. Proverbs 10:1-5 operates like the theological kernel of Proverbs (15:33-16:9), a starting point that will prove fruitful in Chapter 5.

¹⁸ The LXX and some MSS omit the title, but the LXX's elimination of clearer headings (24:23; 30:1; 31:1) suggests that 10:1a existed in the original.

¹⁹ Or “sorrow of/to his mother” is a mediated genitive. The cola may also render double substantives: e.g., “A son, a wise one” (though cf. Prov 25:12; Eccl 4:13; Job 34:34).

לא־יועילו אוצרות רשע	10:2	Treasures of wickedness ²⁰ do not profit
וצדקה תציל ממות		but righteousness delivers from death
לא־ירעב יהוה נפש צדיק	10:3	The Lord does not let the appetite ²¹ of the righteous be hungry
והות רשעים יהדף		but the desire of the wicked ones he thrusts away
ראש עשה כפ־רמיה	10:4	A lax palm makes a poor man
ויד חרוצים תעשיר		but the hand of the diligent ones makes rich
אגר בקיץ בן משכיל	10:5	The one who gathers in summer is a prudent son;
נרדם בקציר בן מביש		the one who sleeps in harvest is a shameful son ²²

Heim summarises the meaning of the passage as a coherent whole: “Be a wise son and be diligent, because then you will not have to gain money through unrighteous practices, so your parents can be proud of you and the Lord will bless you!”²³ The passage exhibits characters in theological, practical, and moral realms, particularly the “son,” as he bears some positive or negative relationship to the Lord (v. 3), work (v. 4), and ethically-qualified actions (v. 2).²⁴ Since theological topics are dealt with in Chapter 5 of this thesis, I here examine Prov 10:2 and 10:4 as cases of character types in the pragmatic and moral realms, both of which pose interpretive challenges.

Proverbs 10:2 states that “Treasures of wickedness do not profit, but righteousness delivers from death.” It provokes questions regarding character types within the realm of ethics, evident in a series of comments from interpreters. Longman claims that the phrase “treasures of wickedness” surprises the reader, because it indicates that the wicked can gain

²⁰ The phrase is often rendered, “Treasures gained by wickedness.” See, e.g., Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 447; ESV.

²¹ Cf. Prov 13:25; 16:24; 25:25.

²² The *hiphil* is interpreted as causing either his parents or himself shame.

²³ Heim, “Coreferentiality,” 203. Commentators observe and admire the structural soundness of Prov 10:1-5, further testifying to its coherence. See Hans Fuhs, *Sprichwörter* (Würzburg: Echter, 2001), 74-75; Meinhold, *Sprüche*, 163 (based on characters); Plöger, *Sprüche*, 124 (based on a literary contrast); Scoralick, *Einzelsspruch*, 169-174.

²⁴ Independently, interpreters identify a similar three thematic categories within Prov 10:1-5. Arndt Meinhold (*Sprüche*, 165) and John Goldingay (“The Arrangement,” 81, 83) note the sapiential or pragmatic (10:1b, 4-5), the moral (10:2), and the theological (10:3) perspectives. While these divisions only loosely cohere with Heim’s three semantic fields of diligence, intelligence, and morality, Goldingay persuades that they appear throughout Proverbs 10-15 (10:23-27; 12:1-4; 14:1-4; 15:2-7). For my purposes, the pragmatic, moral, and theological perspectives provide categories to direct more specific questions about Prov 10:1-5 and substantiate the two overarching questions posed above.

wealth.²⁵ Waltke more neutrally observes that “The proverb assumes that one can amass a fortune to which wickedness clings.”²⁶ Otto Plöger writes that with respect to all three antithetical pairs, Prov 10:1-5 “jedenfalls weithin irreparabel Züge annimmt.”²⁷ Each of these interpreters identifies presuppositions within Prov 10:2. Longman and Waltke note that the verse assumes that the wicked can become rich, since 10:2 mentions “treasures of wickedness,” and Plöger particularly observes that the “wicked” and “righteous” presuppose some sort of ethical identity, what he calls “irreparable traits.” Accounting for both observations, I forward the following questions: should the interpreter be surprised by the wicked gaining wealth, and more broadly, what are we to make of the assumptions behind these characters’ ethical identities?

The second example is 10:4, in which Whybray underscores a syntactical abnormality in the second line: ראש עשה כפ־רמיה. He notes that the Hebrew word order can mean that poverty leads to idleness or that idleness leads to poverty, either, “a poor man makes a lax palm” or “a lax palm makes a poor man.”²⁸ He resolves the issue through the clear parallel reference (10:4b), where diligence causes wealth and thereby implies that a lax palm would lead to poverty. However, the “diligent” character in colon B implies not only an immediate syntactical solution but signals that a corresponding character may be at play in colon A. In the first place, the verse contrasts a “lax palm” with “the hand of the diligent,” showing that the second line explicitly incorporates character more than the first. Being poor, then, is an outcome rather than a constitutive element of character, as is being rich for the diligent. Second, “the poor,” if it does represent a character type, expectedly appears with “the rich” person, suggesting that the “poor man” is not the primary contrast to the diligent person in 10:4.²⁹ Lastly, ראש עשה may refer less to a “poor man” and more to “poverty” (ESV; NIV) or becoming “poor” (NASB). Collectively, this reasoning warrants the question, to whom, if not the characteristically poor man, does the lax palm of 10:4a belong? The answer seems undisclosed by or perhaps latent within 10:1-5, and the problem leads to a more basic issue about the presentation of character and behaviour in the proverbs. According to Van

²⁵ Longman, *Proverbs*, 230.

²⁶ Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 453.

²⁷ Plöger, *Sprüche*, 123.

²⁸ Whybray, *Proverbs*, 158. Proverbs from 10:1-22:16 that contain predicative participles and display similar ambiguity are, unlike 10:4, most often clarified by the nature of the subject and object (11:18; 12:23; 13:3, 18; 14:10; 15:32; 16:17, 27-28; 17:27-28). In two cases, words occur in an abnormal order unexplainable by an appeal to chiasm (17:17; 22:2).

²⁹ Proverbs 13:7; 14:20; 18:23; 22:2, 7; 28:6; cf. 13:8; 28:3.

Leeuwen, Proverbs 10-15 supposes the concepts of righteousness and wickedness while displaying their behaviour.³⁰ According to Waltke, and in direct contrast, in 10:1-5, “The behaviour of the wise/righteous is often assumed and left undefined.”³¹ So, is it the behaviour or the character concepts that are latent within the proverbs? This question is foundational to the dilemma posed by Whybray’s interpretation of 10:4—how are its character types and their behaviours meant to be related? I propose that we consult Proverbs 1-9 for answers to these concerns, enquiring into the relationship it instils between character types and their behaviour in pragmatic realms, such as work and social relations.

My foray into Prov 10:1-5 lends two additional questions that substantiate the first larger concern:

1. How does Proverbs 1-9 intend to portray the characters? As idealised caricatures, or something else such as realistic personalities?
 - a. How does it inform their ethical identities, specifically in relation to wealth?
 - b. What relationship does it instil between character types and their behaviour in pragmatic realms?
2. How do the characters relate in view of Heim’s theory of coreferentiality?

Character Types in Proverbs 1-9

Many, but not all, of the lexemes in Prov 10:1-22:16 that characterise groups or individuals appear in 1-9. Of the four most prominent antithetical pairs from Collection II, only two occur as pairs within Collection I: the wise (חכם) and the fool (כסיל; אויל), and the righteous (צדיק) and wicked (רשע). The rich (עשיר) and poor (דל; אריון; רש; עני), along with the prudent (ערום), an alternative term for the foolish (נבל), and the diligent (חרוץ) only appear in chapters 10 and following, although the labourer’s worse half—the sluggard (עצל)—does show up in 6:6 and 6:9. Proverbs 1-9 also mentions the scoffer (לץ), simpleton (פתי), the one who lacks sense (חסר לב), the upright (ישר), and the understanding (בין). In addition to these, a number of other characters appear only once in Collection I and rarely in Collection II.³² The

³⁰ Van Leeuwen, “Proverbs,” 105.

³¹ Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 101. So Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 510.

³² The sinner (חטא), godly (חסיד), good (טוב), blameless (תמים), treacherous (בגד), man of violence (איש חמס), devious (נלוז), worthless person (איש בליעל), and wicked man (איש און). Collection II also includes rare characters not found in Collection I. However, in each case, the rare type occurs in parallel with a familiar character type, includes common ethical terms, or reflects a predicate description: godless (11:9 חנף), man of evil devices (12:2; 14:17 איש מזמות), one of twisted mind (12:8 לב נעוה), backslider in heart (14:14 לב סוג), man of short anger (i.e.

character types mentioned above signify characters denoted by one or two lexical items, but some characterisations in Proverbs 1-9 differ from this format and instead provide predicate descriptions of character types, such as “the men who speak perversity” and substantiate the wicked (2:12b, cf. 2:13-15; 6:19), or the אֲשֶׁרִי “blessed” person who embodies wisdom and, as for the Psalmist, equates to the “righteous” (3:13; 8:32-36; cf. Ps 1:1, 6). Other characters contribute to a story or an illustration, in either a fictitious (2:19; 6:29; cf. 9:7) or more realistic fashion (6:1; 8:15-16).

As for additional distinctions between Collections I and II, Kenneth Aitken claims that Collection I portrays the theological dimension of the character types, noting 2:20-22 and 4:10-19, while Collection II describes their social outworking.³³ In the context of character terms, I do not find Aitken convincing. Proverbs 2:7-9 and 4:16-17 describe the social activities of certain characters, and the first mention of the righteous and wicked persons in Collection II puts them in relation to the Lord (10:3), an exact reversal of Aitken’s view. A general distinction like Aitken’s might hold, but the notable distinction with respect to character terms in Proverbs 1-9 and 10:1-22:16 stems not from a theological dimension but from the literary context. In 10:1-22:16, the terms appear in single proverbs or small clusters that elaborate on the character types. In Proverbs 1-9, the terms appear in much richer literary contexts, embedded in illustrative narratives, lengthy speeches, or extended series of instructions. I contend that the author of 1-9 uses these larger and richer contexts to establish the function of the character terms, intending to portray them as idealised types or caricatures, each distinct but ultimately coreferential. By developing the caricatured and coreferential quality of the characters, 1-9 functions didactically by preparing the interpreter to properly understand their function and relationship within 10:1-22:16. The opening lecture from the father (1:10-19) exemplifies the treatment of character types in Proverbs 1-9, so this passage is closely examined, while other key texts that inform the interpretive questions from 10:1-22:16 are then considered.

Proverbs 1:10-19

The Proverbial father’s first lecture (Prov 1:10-19) contributes most to the first

quick temper; 14:17 אִפְּיִם (קָצֵר אִפְּיִם), good of heart (15:15 לֵב טוֹב), man of anger (15:18; cf. 6:34; 16:32 אִישׁ חֲמָה). See also 11:20; 17:20 (cf. 2:15); 12:20 (cf. 3:29; 6:14); 17:4 (cf. 6:17); 19:5, 15; 20:19; 22:14. One of the terms for “poor” (עֲנִי) occurs at Prov 3:34, but in this context it refers to the “humble.”

³³ Aitken, *Proverbs*, 133.

question: how does Proverbs 1-9 intend to portray its characters? Are they idealised caricatures or realistic personalities? The father begins at 1:10 with a call to attention, “my son,” and an introduction to the passage’s primary character type: “if *sinner*s [חַטָּאִים] entice you, do not consent.” He poses a hypothetical speech of temptation towards the son, where the gang, with flagrant talk, invites the boy to kill the innocent (1:11) and partake of the spoils (1:13-14). Some claim the account resembles realistic scenarios from ancient Palestine, where such violence likely occurred due to regular daytime and night time foot travel.³⁴ While group violence and theft was possible, and perhaps common, the plausibility or frequency of such occurrences should not determine the (non)fictional nature of the passage. The language of 1:10-19 itself suggests that the words of the criminals evoke a fictitious and radical tone, as the gang likens itself to death (1:13) and declares its own act as “without cause.” Further, the following speech in Prov 1:20-33 appears fictitious and extreme, where personified wisdom calls out in the city centre and appeals to her audience with dramatic language. Calamity, terror, distress, anguish, whirlwind, and storms threaten those who reject Lady Wisdom, whose personified nature itself suggests that the characters of Proverbs 1 represent less-than realistic figures. The gang, if it is a realistic portrayal, certainly reflects a hyperbolic example of such a reality. Of Prov 1:10-19, Johnny Miles writes that “the father exercises complete control over the speech and description of the criminals as he infuses an element of reality into a hypothetical scenario, which smacks of more than just youthful temptation.”³⁵ Thus, without denying the reality of such violence, the words in 1:11-14 suggest a fictitious and exaggerated account that the author attributes to “sinners.”

This example at the outset of Proverbs places a character term (חַטָּאִים) in the context of an extreme and exaggerated self-description, portraying the “sinners” as a wildly violent and fiercely anti-social character type. Proverbs 2 corroborates this method of ethically identifying literary characters by presenting two basic types of people and addressing the question of character identities. On the one hand, in 2:7-8, the author combines the “upright” (יֹשְׁרִים), those “who walk in integrity” (הֹלְכֵי תֵם), and God’s “saints” (חַסִּיד): “he stores up sound wisdom for the upright; he is a shield for those who walk in integrity, guarding paths of justice and watching over the way of his saints.”³⁶ On the other hand, he presents the “men

³⁴ Van Leeuwen, “Proverbs,” 38; Whybray, *Proverbs*, 42; Philip J. King and Lawrence E. Stager, *Life in Biblical Israel* (Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2001), 186.

³⁵ Johnny E. Miles, *Wise King - Royal Fool: Semiotics, Satire and Proverbs 1-9* (London: T&T Clark, 2004), 49. Also Plöger, *Sprüche*, 15-17, 20; Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 191; Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 86, 93.

³⁶ The plural *Qere* (LXX; Syr) is preferable to the MT’s singular. For the singular only the second person suffix is used (Ps 16:10; Deut 33:8), and 1 Sam 2:9 has similar *Qere/Ketiv* alternatives in a clearly plural context.

who speak perversities” (2:12b), with a series of descriptive actions, such as forsaking right paths, rejoicing in evil, and living deviously (2:13-15), and then presents the forbidden woman, who forsakes her companion and forgets God’s covenant (2:16-19).³⁷ Amidst grouping these people and their behavioural descriptions, the author explicitly labels the characters with ethical terms, in the first place, summarising upright living as כל מעגל טוב “every *good* pathway” (2:9b), and second repeating the term רע (“evil”) three times in the passage about perverse men (2:12a, 14). The chapter’s conclusion (2:20a) echoes an ethical term from 2:9 (טוב), as the son shall walk in the way of good men (טובים), cementing the author’s deliberate effort to ethically evaluate the characters of Proverbs 2.

The final verses of Proverbs 2, like the bulk of the chapter, draw attention not to behaviours as such but to the ethical evaluation of behaviours and their association with character types. So 2:21-22 notes the blessed fate of the “upright” and “those with integrity” in contrast to the “wicked” and “treacherous” who are removed from blessing. For Christine Yoder, the author is naming “*this* wisdom” and “*that* wickedness,” and treating “the wicked” in chapter 2 as “little more than stock figures,” who recall the comprehensive corruption of “sinners” from chapter one.³⁸ Therefore, in Proverbs 2, the author intends primarily not to detail ethical or unethical behaviour but to evaluate the ethical identity of character types, generalising between two polar groups of “good” and “evil” people. Waltke describes the function of a similar passage (4:10-19) with a didactic characterisation that I argue applies to Proverbs 2: “The lecture provides no specific guidance on the right and wrong way. Rather, it serves to prepare the son to receive the specific teachings about right and wrong behaviour, extensively given in the collections that follow Collection I.”³⁹ At least Proverbs 1 and 2, then, anticipate 10:1-22:16 by familiarising the interpreter with character types and portraying or describing them in terms of ethical identities.⁴⁰

The opening verses of Proverbs (1:1-7) raise doubts about this conclusion. Surely, goes the objection, the “wise” and “foolish” persons mentioned in 1:5 and 1:7 precede the didactic induction of 1:10-2:22, assuming from the outset that the interpreter understands how such characters function: “let *the wise* hear and increase in learning” (1:5a). I have argued elsewhere that the “wise” in 1:5 represents an ideal reader, supported by the fact that 1:2-6 characterises his basic functions without qualification: he receives instruction (1:2-3a), with

³⁷ The plural and singular terms (2:15-16) plus the masculine and feminine combination constitute a merism, representing the entirety of the evil population (Yoder, *Proverbs*, 30).

³⁸ Yoder, *Proverbs*, 35. Also McKane, *Proverbs*, 282, 288.

³⁹ Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 285.

⁴⁰ I would argue Proverbs 5 functions in a similar way by serving to characterise the “wicked” (5:22-23).

practical implications (1:3b), passes it on to others (1:4), and learns (1:5-6).⁴¹ On the back of this characterisation, Prov 1:7 portrays the “fool” in his fundamental posture—despising wisdom and instruction—implying a total rejection of wisdom and a complete contrast with the wise person. Therefore, Prov 1:2-7 does not so much assume the identity of its characters like 10:1-22:16 does, it rather didactically develops them. Instead of countering the pattern of character presentation in 1:10-2:22, Prov 1:2-7 immediately inducts the interpreter into Proverbs’ scheme of character types, who await further formation throughout Proverbs 1-9.

Returning to the interpretive questions of this chapter, I move now to the first subquestion, which asks how Proverbs 1-9 informs the identities of character types, specifically with regard to wealth. The topic of wealth features in 1:10-19, wherein, first, the “sinners” appear to have access to resources similar to the positive characters in Proverbs, such as money (1:13, 19a), a social circle (1:14), and the intelligence assumed to successfully accomplish their plot. They do not seem inherently restricted from gaining wealth. Second, the author not only describes what may appear to be unethical behaviours; he explicitly brands the behaviours themselves as unethical. He calls the group “sinners,” claims their feet run to “evil” (1:16a), and labels their gains as “unjust” (1:19a), similar to the predications from Proverbs 2 about the perverse man and forbidden woman. So, with more than a descriptive list of behaviours, the unethical nature of the group is emphasised. For the second subquestion, the nature of behaviour in pragmatic realms, 1:10-19 clearly portrays the gang’s behaviour as an extreme case with the intent to disapprove of such action. Proverbs 4:14-19 arguably aligns with the views of Proverbs 1-2 in its distinction between the “wicked” and “righteous,” as the former move along the path of “evil” (v. 14), do wrong (v. 16a), make others stumble (v. 16b), and drink “violence” (v. 17). The poem portrays actions in extreme and holistic form and attributes them to character types, suggesting authorial intent and agreement within Proverbs 1-9 and contributing most to this question of behaviour in pragmatic contexts.⁴²

The final overarching question for this section addresses the relation of the characters: are they distinct, coreferential, or identical? Proverbs 1:10-19 begins with a particular group lexeme—“if *sinners* (חַטָּאִים) entice you”—yet concludes by universalising these sinful characters as a generalised type of person. Starting at 1:10, the father focuses on the “sinners” as a particular example of temptation and evil, and in 1:18 refers to them when he says: “But *they*, for their own blood they ambush, *they* lurk for their own lives.” While verse 18 refers to the sinners/gang (i.e. “they”), verse 19 expands the interpreter’s purview by universalising the

⁴¹ Keefer, “A Shift,” *passim*.

⁴² See Clifford, *Proverbs*, 73, 76; Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 336, 341-342; Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 219.

gang: “Such are the ways of *everyone greedy for gain*, it takes the life of its possessors.”

Proverbs 1:10-19 substantiates a single type of person for eight verses and then concludes by expanding the particular label to a generalised identity. Such a trend recurs in 2:20-22 and 3:31-35, passages that also inform this final interpretive question.

Again, the final question concerns whether the characters stand in distinct, coreferential, or identical relation. Admittedly, Proverbs 1-9 does retain some distinction between its various types of “negative” characters. The “fool,” for example, appears as one who rejects the fundamental tenets of wisdom, constituting the unteachable caricature (1:7, 22), while the “simpleton,” though equally condemned (1:32), shows a unique level of gullibility (7:7; cf. 7:8-23). Despite these distinctions, Proverbs 1-9 consistently portrays characters as coreferential, through generalised conclusions that slot the character terms into two basic categories: good or evil. Like 1:19, which explodes the gang into “everyone greedy for unjust gain,” Prov 1:33 establishes the identity of “whoever listens” to Lady Wisdom.⁴³ The pattern of summative conclusion recurs in 2:20-22 and 3:31-35, as, on the tail of a lengthy set of commands and warnings (3:21-30), Prov 3:31-32 transitions by naming the “man of violence” (אִישׁ חַמָּס) and “devious one” (נָלוּז) into a summarised set of contrasts that places many of the characters in parallel throughout 3:31-35: עֲנִיִּים/לִצִּים; כְּסִילִים/חֲכָמִים; יִשְׂרָאֵלִים/נָלוּז; צַדִּיקִים/רָשָׁע.⁴⁴ Jean-Noël Aletti argues that characters are distilled into either good or bad persons in the context of clarification, suggesting that the author intends to instil general categories associated with character terms.⁴⁵ I would add that characters, sometimes distinct, appear in direct parallel to each other, such as the singleton, the scoffer, and the fool (1:22; also 4:14; 8:5; 9:7-9), solidifying their common identity. These passages exhibit the basic antithesis of Proverbs 1-9—positive and negative ways of life embodied in character types—and support the claim that the author intends to clarify and instil character types as general portrayals of coreferential ideals.⁴⁶

Summary

In this chapter, I have investigated proposals about what the character types in

⁴³ Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 102; Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 203.

⁴⁴ There is no apparent reason for the singular of רָשָׁע, although נָלוּז follows on from the singular “man of violence.”

⁴⁵ Aletti, “Seduction,” 132, see also 130-133. He concludes this about Proverbs 1.

⁴⁶ Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 168; Van Leeuwen, “Proverbs,” 55; Fuhs (*Sprichwörter*, 34) describes a “Riß” or “Kluft” between populations.

Proverbs are and how they relate to each other. Proverbs 10:1-5 was surveyed as a ground thorny with interpretive challenges to produce questions that were taken to Proverbs 1-9, which, I argued, says quite a bit about character types. It was discovered that Proverbs 1 sets the trajectory for the identity and function of character terms in chapters 1-9, contributing most with its portrayal of the “sinners” as a literary caricature. As seen also in Proverbs 2, the author intends not primarily to detail ethical or unethical behaviour but to evaluate the ethical identity of character types, generalising between two polar groups of “good” and “evil” people. These chapters also contribute to the question of behaviour in pragmatic contexts, portraying actions in extreme and holistic form and attributing them to character types, with the final intention to disapprove of them.⁴⁷ Proverbs 1-9 reveals some distinction between character terms, but overall it aligns them through antitheses, treating the types as coreferential caricatures for either a good or bad character. The immediate introduction and concentration of character types in Proverbs 1, and their consistent appearance in 2-9 within extended literary contexts, suggests that Proverbs 1-9 may didactically prepare its interpreter for the character terms of 10:1-22:16.

Character Types Outside of the Book of Proverbs

Before returning to Prov 10:2 and 10:4 in order to apply the insights of Proverbs 1-9, it will bolster and clarify my case for character types in Proverbs if we explore their use in other texts of the OT and ancient Near East. Character types do not appear in all ancient Egyptian instruction texts. However, they do appear in *Ptahhotep* and *Ankhsheshonq*, finding fullest expression in Papyrus Insinger.⁴⁸ According to Miriam Lichtheim, P. Insinger presents its wise and foolish persons as correlative with its pious and impious men, and also describes the

⁴⁷ Proverbs 6 perhaps contributes most to this point.

⁴⁸ The *Instruction of Ptahhotep*, from P. Prisse, refers primarily to realistic figures (e.g., a disputant [68] or master [250]) and predicate descriptions (e.g., he who hears [548]; a man who leads [265]). It mentions the “wise” and “ignorant” (55), the “silent man” (167), the “hot-bellied” (352), and the “hot-heart” (378), with concluding mentions of the wise person (523-524, 526), followed by a lengthy characterisation of that person being a good listener (531-572) and a juxtaposition with the fool (573-574) who is also then described (575-587). Cf. the “great man” (140-144, 388, 515), and notice that *Ptahhotep* forbids greed without a depiction or mention of the greedy man (298-324).

The *Instruction of Ankhsheshonq* also mentions non-caricatured people (e.g., the thief [13.3]; merchant [16.5]) but seems to assume an understanding of its “wise” and “foolish” persons (e.g., 5.10; 6.3, 13-14; 13.9; 14.8; 26.9). As *Ankhsheshonq* is a much later text than *Ptahhotep*, understandings of these characters may have developed within Egypt, and the influence of a scribal context would inform how they were interpreted.

behaviour of these characters. Ultimately, though, it shows that, not behaviour, but “The totality of a person’s traits constitutes his ‘character’.”⁴⁹ Lichtheim also notes the exemplary nature of such people in this text: “morality and piety have been completely fused and they are exemplified in the character of the ‘wise man’.”⁵⁰ P. Insinger not only supports the coreferentiality of terms in Proverbs, it also reflects the holistic concern for behaviours, their service in emphasising character, and the exemplar conception of character types. Operating outside of Egyptology while assessing its primary texts, biblical interpreters have produced a consensus that the characters function as ideals.⁵¹

Characterising Egyptian characters as ideals, though, is not straightforward. On the one hand, they do seem to portray idealisations, as seen in a number of examples. P. Insinger associates the wise man with perfection: “When a wise man is tested few discover his perfection” (12.23). *Ptahhotep’s* introduction notes exemplar expectations for his son: “May he become a model for the children of the great” (39). And in *The Instructions Addressed to Merikare*, the wise king receives idealistic accolades: “As one wise did he come from the womb, From a million men god singled him out” (115-116).⁵² Against this idealisation, Lichtheim objects that Egyptians “had no idea what an ‘Ideal’ was,” a statement that I find agreeable if it warns against equating an ideal with perfection.⁵³ The wise man in P. Insinger may be harmed because of loving a woman (7.11) or become foolish and inconsiderate in the midst of retaliation (34.12-13), possibilities that make this wise man less than perfect.⁵⁴ The wise person of Proverbs, however, is flawless. He does no wrong and succumbs to no foolishness, supporting the idealised nature of characters in Proverbs and accentuating an important distinction within ANE material. While certain Egyptian texts may not describe ideal characters, they do, nevertheless, portray exemplars.

Literary character types and the portrayal of characters in firm antithesis (i.e. “binary anthropology”) also appear in the book of Psalms, Ecclesiastes, and Job. Commenting on

⁴⁹ Lichtheim, “Observations on Papyrus Insinger,” in *Studien Zu altägyptischen Lebenslehren*, ed. Erik Hornung and Othmar Keel (Freiburg: Universitätsverlag, 1979), 291, see also 290-293.

⁵⁰ *AEL* 3:185.

⁵¹ Lyu, *Righteousness*, 99-102, 34-35; Hausmann, *Studien*, 346; Shupak, *Where Can Wisdom*, 221, 238-239, 259-261; *AEL* 2:147; Perdue, *Proverbs*, 199.

⁵² Quotations are taken from *AEL*; see also, P. Insinger 2.10; 3.2-8, 4.9; 12.4, 23; 14.5; 34.11-12; 35.11-12.

⁵³ Lichtheim, *Moral Values in Ancient Egypt*, OBO 155 (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1997), 88, see also 83. Cf. *AEL* 2.146 where she distinguishes between the ideal man and a perfect man.

⁵⁴ Lichtheim, *Late Egyptian Wisdom Literature in the International Context: A Study of Demotic Instructions*, OBO 52 (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1983), 119, see also 116-127.

Psalm 1, Derek Kidner says that “The tone and themes of the psalm bring to mind the Wisdom writings, especially Proverbs, with their interest in the company a man keeps, in the two ways set before him (cf. e.g. Prov. 2:12ff., 20ff.), and in *moral types*, notably the scoffers.”⁵⁵ So the first verse of the Psalm reads, “Blessed is the man who walks not in the counsel of the wicked, nor stands in the way of sinners, nor sits in the seat of scoffers.”

Psalms 15 and 24 similarly describe the virtuous worshipper in idealistic terms: “Lord, who shall sojourn in your tent; who shall dwell on your holy hill? He who walks blamelessly and does right and speaks truth in his heart” (Ps 15:1-2). Furthermore, Psalms 26 and 37 refer to the wicked and righteous in ways reminiscent of Proverbs: “Do not be angry over the one who prospers in his way, over the man who makes (evil) devices . . . For evildoers shall be cut off; but those who wait for the Lord, they shall inherit the land” (Ps 37:7b-c, 9). The lexeme for “evildoer” (מרע) here refers to an ethical possibility that is then embodied by those who act accordingly, so that in the Psalms, this person stands for “every member of the congregation who might deviate from the right path.”⁵⁶ These Psalms, then, portray character types as extreme and binary people.⁵⁷

In short, certain Egyptian texts seem to portray character terms as exemplars through holistic descriptions of behaviour that champion character, while the book of Psalms and other texts of the OT and Apocrypha at times reflect a binary relationship between character concepts, and employ these terms on a literary level. If such interpretations are plausible, these uses of character types outside of the book of Proverbs may support many of the conclusions derived from Proverbs 1-9. However, on the back of this support comes an

⁵⁵ Derek Kidner, *Psalms 1-72: An Introduction and Commentary on Books I and II of the Psalms*, TOTC (London: Inter-Varsity Press, 1973), 63 (emphasis my own). See also Erhard Gerstenberger, *Psalms: Part I: With an Introduction to Cultic Poetry* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1988), 42.

⁵⁶ Gerstenberger, *Psalms: Part I*, 209. In Ps 50:16a, Theodore Seidl suggests multiple possible concrete referents for the “wicked” (“Who Stands Behind the RSH’ in Psalm 50:16A? The Ethical Testimony of Psalm 50:16-22,” in *Psalmody and Poetry in Old Testament Ethics*, ed. Dirk J. Human [New York: T&T Clark, 2012], 76-92).

⁵⁷ For a thorough argument on the literary and didactic nature of character types in Psalms 15, 24, 34, and 37, see Daniel C. Owens, *Portraits of the Righteous in the Psalms: An Exploration of the Ethics of Book I* (Eugene: Pickwick, 2013). Ben Sira (21:12-26; 22:7-15) renders a coherent point of view on the wise and foolish person, and the book of Job contains examples of exemplar types. Kenneth Hoglund, “The Fool and the Wise in Dialogue: Proverbs 26:4-5,” in *Learning from the Sages: Selected Studies on the Book of Proverbs*, ed. Roy Zuck (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 1995), 339-352, esp. 348-350. Brown (*Wisdom’s Wonder*, 15) says, “much of the literature conveys or models the contours of normative character through literary characterization.” See, e.g., Job 3:17; 9:22; 17:9

objection. For the same evidence may suggest that interpreters of Psalms and P. Insinger understood character terms without an interpretive aid, meaning that ancient interpreters might not need Proverbs 1-9 in order to understand the characters in 10:1-22:16. There are a few reasons to think otherwise. First, the Egyptian texts and the Psalms that employ character terms use them in ways most similar to Proverbs 1-9, not 10:1-22:16, placing them within the context of prolonged poetic descriptions. Second, P. Insinger—the Egyptian text that makes most use of character terms, at times in ways similar to Prov 10:1-22:16—expounds many of its terms early on. For example, “Do not let yourself be called ‘the bad man’ because of merciless evildoing” (3.2; so 3.3-8). This and six parallel statements predicate the nature of their characters and place them within the context of an explicit command. This would clarify the character terms that recur later in the text on a literary and, of importance in my next chapter, rhetorical level.⁵⁸ Finally, Prov 10:1-22:16, and Proverbs as a whole, stands apart in its predominance of character terms, which, when compared with Egyptian texts, other biblical wisdom texts and Psalms, suggests that Collection II warrants more substantial introductory material. Without Proverbs 1-9, information remains latent within 10:1-22:16, interpretive challenges remain unresolved, and the nature of character types open to question. In view of comparative literatures, both the literary distinctiveness and comparability of Proverbs 1-9 supports my view that it functions didactically.

The Function of Proverbs 1-9 for Prov 10:1-5

I have just posited that without Proverbs 1-9, information remains latent within 10:1-22:16, interpretive challenges remain unresolved, and the nature of character types open to question. It remains to be seen how 1-9 might address these issues, and in this section I argue that the conclusions developed from Proverbs 1-9 fashion a framework that provides insights into some of the challenges of 10:2 and 10:4. Methodologically, the challenges within 10:2 and 10:4 must be resolved, as far as possible, without the aid of Proverbs 1-9, calling upon it only after plausible alternatives have been consulted. Having culled resources from the ancient Near East and OT, the local literary context remains the most viable option.

With its remark about “treasures of wickedness,” Prov 10:2 raises at least two interpretive challenges: specifically, should the interpreter be surprised by the wicked gaining wealth, and more broadly, what should we, as interpreters, make of the assumptions about

⁵⁸ Recall that, unlike for Proverbs, we do not possess the initial portions of the text for P. Insinger or *Ptahhotep*.

these characters' ethical identities? "Treasures of wickedness do not profit, but righteousness delivers from death," says the proverb. Longman and Waltke assert that 10:2 surprises the reader, because it indicates that the wicked can gain wealth or presupposes the fact.⁵⁹ If this interpretation is to be evaluated, then the nature of this "wicked" person must first be established based on its local context and then considered in view of 1-9. The first line of 10:2 comments upon "treasures of wickedness" or "treasures gained by wickedness" (ESV), and while 10:2 uses רָשָׁע as "wickedness," Prov 10:3 clarifies that this implicates full-fledged character types. For the Lord "thrusts away" the desire of "the wicked ones" (רָשָׁעִים), a plausible use of character lexemes in view of the wise son (בֶּן חָכָם) and the foolish son (בֶּן כְּסִיל) mentioned in 10:1. The lexical link between 10:2 and 10:3 and the overall context of clearer characters in 10:1-5 suggest that Prov 10:2 involves character types, though such connections do not inform the primary interpretive questions.

With a key term for wickedness and a surrounding context of character types, Prov 10:2 recalls many of the elements in 1:10-19, which, like much of Proverbs 1-9, aims to evaluate the ethical identity of its character types, sorting them into either the good or bad category. Proverbs 1:10-19 explicitly labels its gang as a group of "sinners" and then generalises them into anyone greedy for gain, a pattern repeated in Proverbs 2 and 3. Furthermore, Proverbs 1 associates one type, the "sinners," with money and evil, a group that seems quite capable of obtaining plunder, albeit in an unrighteous manner. When viewed from the perspective of 1-9, Prov 10:2 does not surprise the interpreter. Rather, the mention of treasures and profit in 10:2, plus the term רָשָׁע, calls to mind character types, specifically the scenario from Proverbs 1.

Proverbs 10:2 lacks the extended literary context that informs the interpreter about what it mentions so briefly, namely, wickedness, treasures, and the identity of those involved. Proverbs 1-9 clarifies the ethical identities that substantiate these associated concepts and generates expectations, as it elaborates on wickedness, as well as the ethical and literary identities of character types. Holding 1-9 in mind as an interpretive framework, the interpreter, in the first place, encounters 10:1-22:16 not with surprise but with informed expectations. Wickedness can certainly supply treasures, an assumption that 10:2 relies upon to make its point that such treasures "do not profit." In the second place, while confirmed as possible, what are "treasures of wickedness"? According to 10:1-22:16 such treasures may be had by one with a lying tongue (21:6) who possibly does not fear the Lord (15:16). Other relevant passages (e.g., 15:6) rely upon an understanding of character terms, endorsing the

⁵⁹ See respectively, Longman, *Proverbs*, 230; Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 453.

interpretive challenge of 10:2 and the strength of Proverbs 1-9 as an interpretive resource. The literary brevity of 10:2 begs for substantiation, and Prov 1:10-19 provides such substance. The “sinners” accumulate wealth but they do so through evil means and deceptive tactics, ways possibly attractive to the Proverbial “son” yet ultimately destined to death. This result suits the second line of 10:2, where “righteousness delivers from death,” as the non-wicked type avoids the fate of greedy, wicked people. With Proverbs 1-9, the inner design of 10:2 becomes so much clearer: “Treasures of wickedness do not profit, but righteousness delivers from death.”

In the case of 10:2, parallels between Collection I and II centre around the ethical terms for wickedness and righteousness. While the character lexemes in 10:2 may at first seem like abstract concepts (“wickedness” and “righteousness”), the surrounding context (10:1-5) evokes the character types delineated in Proverbs 1-9, and it is 1-9 that provides a coherent interpretive framework for 10:1-5, presenting characters as types of people defined by good or bad ethical identities. Proverbs 10:2 therefore most plausibly surprises the interpreter who is unfamiliar with Proverbs 1-9, since the proverb depends upon an understanding of character types outlined therein.

The sayings of Prov 10:1-5 largely assume the nature of their character concepts, and 10:4, in particular, broaches a debate about whether 10:1-22:16 assumes character concepts, like “righteous,” and then defines their behaviours, or assumes behaviour and then substantiates the concepts. Proverbs 10:4 reads, “a poor man makes a lax palm” or “a lax palm makes a poor man,” depending on how the apposition is interpreted. Whybray underscores the syntactical abnormality, noting that the Hebrew word order can mean either that poverty leads to idleness or that idleness leads to poverty.⁶⁰ Considering questions about the relationship of characters and their behaviour, I argued that 1:10-19 does detail the behaviours of its characters but seems more concerned with portraying holistic and extreme wickedness in order to disapprove of the characters who embody it. Proverbs 1:19 generalises the “sinners” as “everyone greedy for gain,” a pattern repeated throughout Collection I, while other passages employ detailed behaviours in order to portray a character concept. Consequently, Proverbs 1-9 encourages the interpreter to think in character terms more than in behavioural terms, as if character gives rise to behaviour or perhaps holds ethical authority over human action. As shown in 10:2, the character terms are associated with particular behaviours, such

⁶⁰ Whybray, *Proverbs*, 158; ראש עשה כף-רמיה. These options are also supported by the ancient versions. Cf. the Vulgate’s *egestatem operata est manus remissa* and Septuagint’s *πενία ἄνδρα ταπεινοῖ, χεῖρες δὲ ἀνδρείων πλουτίζουσιν*.

as gaining wealth, but I suggest that it is the character concepts themselves that determine such interpretive conclusions. In short, character concepts outweigh behaviour. Proverbs therefore functions more as a portrait of characters—who then direct the interpreter to behavioural traits and preferences—than a guidebook for how to behave.

When using Proverbs 1-9 as a framework, the interpreter knows that behaviour and consequences stem from character rather than vice versa, so that when confronted with syntactical options in 10:4, he or she may conclude that the idle person begets poverty, rather than poverty begetting idleness. In view of the diligent hand that makes one rich in colon B, the lax palm of 10:4a may make a man poor. But the lax palm, in the context of labour, resembles the sluggard of Proverbs—the antithesis of the diligent person. That is, the “slack hand” in 10:4a represents a type of character, plausibly the “sluggard,” who then falls into poverty.⁶¹ Whybray resolves the syntactical ambiguity on other grounds, but I have shown that, when read as a didactic introduction, Proverbs 1-9 confirms this conclusion.⁶² It instils a framework of characters to associate with 10:1-22:16 and consequently deepens interpretive engagement with it.

The role of 1-9, however, requires more nuance when considering 10:1-22:16 as a whole. Proverbs 10:1-5 sometimes assumes character concepts (e.g., 10:4-5) and sometimes assumes behaviour (10:1-3). As a coherent passage, it in some ways substantiates these assumptions on its own, through the interrelationship of verses as Heim’s summary of 10:1-5 showed. However, we do not need to look far into Collection II to find similar assumptions, which appear in contexts much more difficult to resolve. In some cases, behaviour may presuppose wicked character (e.g., 15:25; 22:28), but many behaviours arise in ethically-neutral language and consequently depend upon character types for interpretation: “work of the righteous” (10:16); “lips of the righteous” (10:21); “desire of the righteous” (10:24). The trend continues throughout 10:1-22:16 and demonstrates its dependence upon an understanding of character types and their literary nature. By evaluating holistic character concepts as good or bad, Proverbs 1-9 ultimately provides a interpretive foundation that 10:1-22:16 builds upon. Proverbs 1-9 does not prompt the interpreter to see an either/or distinction between assumed concepts and assumed behaviours. Instead, it lays a foundation of character types for both, just as 10:1-22:16 assumes and builds upon them.

⁶¹ The character focus is affirmed by the lexical overlap of *ṭ* with the human person (Toy, *Proverbs*, 200), though this sense usually occurs with a preposition (e.g., Gen 16:9; 30:35).

⁶² In line with my comment above about 10:4b, Whybray concludes that “a slack hand causes poverty” due to the line’s parallelism with 10:4b, where “the hand of the diligent makes rich.” He accounts for the alternative word order as a use of chiasm: object – verb – subject (colon A); subject – verb – [implied object] (colon B).

Conclusion

In this chapter, I examined the personalities of Proverbs, such as the “wicked” and “righteous” persons, by questioning who they are, how they relate, and how to account for the distinctive and common aspects of their appearance in Proverbs 1-9 and 10:1-22:16. To summarise, Proverbs 1-9 emphasises the ethical identities of many character types encountered in 10:1-22:16. It evaluates them as good or bad and attributes base-line behaviours, while also portraying character concepts as literary caricatures. Collection II assumes the literary nature of these concepts, and Collection I clarifies that they function on a literary level as idealised types. Finally, Proverbs 1-9 not only matches Heim’s notion of coreferentiality in 10:1-5, it intends to portray character concepts as coreferential. So while the interpreter may deduce from 10:1-22:16 that such terms corefer, Proverbs 1-9 explicitly and firmly relates the terms as coreferential and groups them as either good or bad. The “man of violence” causes harm without remorse; the “scornful” continually holds contempt; and the wicked are never spared of God’s curse. With their own qualities, each of these characters shines a distinct light on the Proverbial bad man. With specific attention to Prov 10:2 and 10:4, it was shown that Proverbs 1-9 intentionally instils faculties that enable the interpreter to interpret the proverbs of 10:1-22:16 on a literary level, a didactic function supported by the simplicity, clarity, and emphasis with which 1-9 treats character concepts. Though not dictating a singular understanding of the material in 10:1-22:16, Proverbs 1-9 does impose a framework and categories that offer interpretive insights into certain passages.

I have shown how Proverbs 1-9 enables the interpreter to interpret character types on a literary level, answering questions that revolve around, what are these character types? The discovery of their identity and literary function prompts a new question: why has the author chosen to portray character concepts in the form of idealised literary types? This relates to the rhetorical function of these caricatures, which I explore in the next section.

The Rhetoric of Character Types

In the previous section on the Identity of Character Types, I examined what is denoted by the character terms and concepts of Proverbs. These “character types,” such as the righteous, wicked, wise, and foolish, incorporate distinct traits but in the end refer to either the good or bad personae of Proverbs. They are idealised or exaggerated embodiments of virtue and vice, what I call “caricatures,” without a necessarily negative connotation. Proverbs 1-9 establishes their identity as idealised, coreferential concepts in both predicate and nominal literary form, enabling the interpreter to see that characters carry a primarily literary identity rather than a “real” identity that would represent a person or people in historical time and space, such as the “wicked” enemies that pursue the psalmist (e.g., Ps 3:8[7]). In Proverbs, the “ sluggard” is far too lazy and the wise man far too smart to be found on Earth; these people instead embody real qualities to an unreal degree. While I addressed the question of what these characters are, I did not answer the question of how they function for the interpreter. In other words, why has the author portrayed these types as idealised literary figures? What effect are they intended to have upon the interpreter? What are they meant to do to or for those who encounter them? As we shall see, these questions relate to the persuasive force of the character types and therefore belong to the rhetorical context of Proverbs. Again, interpreters have considered similar questions, especially about Prov 10:1-22:16, and a look at their answers will aid the direction of these enquiries as they are pursued afresh.

Sun Myung Lyu observes that Prov 10:1-22:16 praises the righteous person (11:11; 14:34) and affirms the pursuit of righteousness (15:9), concluding that

the rhetorical function of the discourse of praising the righteous person is to instill in its readers a desire to emulate the idealized character of the righteous person . . . we can hope to induce desire *by showing what is desirable*.⁶³

I want to consider Lyu’s claim—that Proverbs shows what is desirable in order to persuade interpreters to emulate its characters—as a theory of the characters’ rhetorical function. The shortcoming of this theory is that it proposes too much based on the evidence. For although the texts that “praise the righteous person” do speak of the righteous in an approving way, such sayings, even 10:1-22:16 as a whole, do not warrant the confident conclusion: that Proverbs intends its readers to emulate its characters. In view of 11:11; 14:34 and 15:9, why should the interpreter pursue righteousness? “By the blessing of the upright a city is exalted”

⁶³ Lyu, *Righteousness*, 62 (italics original).

(11:11; NRSV); “righteousness exalts a nation” (14:34; NRSV); the Lord “loves the one who pursues righteousness” (15:9; NRSV). These texts place righteousness in the context of plausibly positive incentives, but they do not adequately establish the function of characters that Lyu proposes, particularly the assertion that it induces the reader’s “desire to emulate the idealized character of the righteous person.” Certain sayings package their characters alongside other, plausibly motivating rhetorical features, such as value-laden consequences or divine approval, yet 10:1-22:16 still employs good and bad character terms in addition to these other rhetorical features. Proverbs 11:11, for example—“By the blessing of the upright a city is exalted”—depends upon an understanding of “the upright” person, regardless of whether the outcome of “exalting” a city attracts the reader or not. Perhaps, as Lyu describes, “the upright” function in a particular rhetorical fashion, namely by evoking the reader to emulate them, but 11:11 and other evidence from 10:1-22:16 do not provide the resources to argue so. As will become clear, many other sayings do not advocate what Lyu contends about rhetoric in a straightforward manner, though it seems that the characters may serve some motivational role in and of themselves, a query I will bring to Proverbs 1-9.

Lyu does observe some key assumptions within the sayings regarding the emotions of these characters.⁶⁴ He notes that Prov 21:15 and 21:10 reveal that a flourishing life requires cultivating the right desires. For “it is a *joy* to the righteous when justice is done but *terror* to evildoers”; and “the soul of the wicked *desires* evil.” Furthermore, Collection II assumes good and bad desires in its dominant character types: the righteous and the wicked. Thus, “by their desire, the treacherous are captured” (11:6b) and “the *desire* of the righteous will be given” (10:24b; cf. 10:3; 11:6; 11:23; 10:24). These observations, which tie emotions to Proverbial characters, support the notion that these characters function in a rhetorical manner by engaging the emotions of the audience. Other than this, Lyu’s notions are, at the moment, only suggestions, lacking the textual corroboration and the consideration of Proverbs 1-9 required for a solid defence. For now, I hold his conclusions regarding the function of character types as a hypothesis.

While not explicitly claiming a rhetorical approach, Kenneth Aitken has also examined the characters of Prov 10:1-22:16 and captures, in his words, their rhetorical function:

the wise intend their proverbs about the fool and his folly to be a series of snap-shots for people to take a very hard look at to see if they can spot themselves—and be warned! . . . we must not forget that these proverbs are

⁶⁴ Lyu, *Righteousness*, 65-68.

connected with the theme of the two ways and have a didactic purpose . . . So if these proverbs on the righteous and the wicked are concerned to assert a moral orderliness, it is to strengthen our resolve to “turn away from evil” (12:26) and to “pursue righteousness” (15:9).⁶⁵

According to Aitken, the author of Proverbs, in portraying these characters, establishes a moral system that serves a didactic purpose. However, Aitken assumes that the interpreter desires to be the righteous person and avoid the wicked, and furthermore that he or she will self-reflect in light of these caricatured figures based on a few suggestive sayings (12:26; 15:9). Even more problematic for Aitken, 12:26 does not assert “turn away from evil”; it says “the way of the wicked leads them astray.” And, as mentioned with Lyu, 15:9 presumes that divine approval will motivate the pursuit of righteousness. In my view the proverbs of 10:1-22:16 reflect on and observe characters more than they explicitly exhort behaviour or emulation. This constitutes an interpretive challenge to their potential didactic, rhetorical function that will be considered shortly.

Aitken and Lyu reveal that Prov 10:1-22:16 suggests a positive and negative desirability between the interpreter and character types. They also propose that the characters function rhetorically by offering models for the interpreter to emulate or mirrors for self-evaluation, options plausible and well articulated but inadequately defended. More clearly, 10:1-22:16 indicates that its characters harbour emotions that match their moral identities, pushing interpretation toward the realm of rhetoric. In summary, both interpreters largely overlook texts from Proverbs 1-9 and no correlation between the collections is explored. Based on the suggestions and assumptions observed above, the interpretive questions for Proverbs 1-9, which may provide insight into the characters of 10-29, stand as follows:

1. What is the rhetorical function of the character types in Proverbs 1-9?
 - a. What is the role of emulation?
 - b. What is the role of self-reflection?
 - c. What affective posture does Proverbs 1-9 instil in the interpreter towards its characters?

Character Types in Proverbs 10:1-22:16

Before exploring Proverbs 1-9, texts need to be selected from 10:1-22:16 so that the most generalizable conclusions can be made. In order to select material most pertinent to the

⁶⁵ Aitken, *Proverbs*, 96, 144.

retorical function of character types, I have categorised all of the material in 10:1-22:16 based on two elements, which, according to interpreters, contribute most to a saying's rhetorical function: character types and consequences. The references break down as follows:

TABLE 3.1

Character only	Character and Consequence	Consequence only
10:3, 6, 18, 20, 23, 26, 32; 11:12, 20; 12:1, 5, 10, 15-17, 23, 28; 13:1, 16; 14:2, 7-9, 15, 19, 21, 29, 33; 15:2, 3, 5, 8, 9, 12, 14, 19, 21, 26, 28; 16:4, 23, 32; 17:4, 7, 10, 12, 15, 16, 18, 24, 26, 27; 18:2, 5, 9; 19:1, 10, 24, 28; 20:26; 21:4, 8, 18, 24, 26, 27; 22:13	10:1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 21, 24, 25, 27, 29-31; 11:2, 3, 5-11, 16, 18, 21, 23, 28-31; 12:3, 6, 7, 12, 13, 18, 20, 21, 24, 26, 27; 13:2, 4-6, 9, 14, 15, 19-22, 25; 14:3, 6, 11, 14, 16-18, 20, 24, 32; 15:6, 7, 20, 24, 31; 16:14, 17, 21, 22, 27-30; 17:11, 20, 21, 23, 25, 27; 18:6-8, 10, 15; 19:8, 13, 15, 25, 29; 20:3-5, 7, 19; 21:5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 22, 25, 29; 22:3, 5, 10, 12	10:2, 9, 12, 17, 19; 11:4, 14, 15, 17, 19, 24-27; 12:2, 8, 9, 11, 14, 19, 25; 13:3, 8, 10, 11, 13, 17, 18, 23; 14:1, 4, 22, 23, 25-27, 30, 34, 35; 15:1, 4, 10, 13, 15, 18, 22, 25, 27, 29, 30, 32, 33; 16:3, 5-7, 15, 18, 20, 24, 31; 17:2, 5, 8, 9, 13, 19, 22; 18:3, 12, 15, 16, 18-22, 24; 19:2, 4-7, 9, 11, 16-20, 23, 26, 27; 20:1, 2, 8, 13, 17, 20-22, 25, 28, 30; 21:6, 13, 14, 16, 17, 21, 23, 28; 22:4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14-16 Possibly Consequence: 10:22; 11:13; 12:4; 13:7, 24; 14:12, 13, 28, 31; 15:23; 16:10, 13, 25; 17:6, 14; 18:4, 11, 17; 19:3, 12, 21; 20:11, 18, 24, 29; 21:1, 31
Neither Character nor Consequence	11:1, 22; 12:22; 13:24; 14:5, 10; 15:11, 16, 17; 16:1, 2, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 19, 26, 33; 17:1, 3, 17; 19:14, 22; 20:6, 9, 10, 12, 14-16, 23, 27; 21:2, 3, 9, 19, 30; 22:1, 2, 7	

It is thought that proverbs in Collection II persuade by means of characters, as the comments of Lyu and Aitken attest, and by means of consequences. Georg Freuling succinctly states the function of consequences in Proverbs: "Ihre Intention ist, wie bereits dargelegt primär eine pädagogische; es geht darum, Zusammenhänge des Lebens darzulegen, um zu einem

entsprechenden Verhalten anzuleiten.”⁶⁶ For example, Prov 20:13 warns, “Do not love sleep, lest you come to poverty.” A consequence (poverty) is portrayed as stemming from a particular action (sleep), plausibly motivating the poverty-fearing reader to adhere to its command: “Do not love sleep.” The outcome in 20:13 serves not only an informative but also a persuasive purpose: the threat of poverty motivates people to sleep less. The cursory treatment of this proverb illustrates the way that many sayings within 10:1-22:16 omit character terms yet function rhetorically, often aiming to motivate, or to justify a certain mode of being or activity, by stating the consequences.

With these didactic and rhetorical features in mind, the 375 sayings of Prov 10:1-22:16 present the following divisions: character only (69); consequence only (101); character and consequence (138); possibly consequence (26); neither character nor consequence (41). At 82 percent, the overwhelming majority of texts include characters and/or consequences. Setting aside consequences, I here select the passages in 10:1-22:16 that incorporate character types without any clear consequence-related elements in order to facilitate the most effective focus on the topic at hand. These character-only passages do not exhibit a clear pattern in terms of content or literary and rhetorical form. Sometimes they present a standard case of the wise or foolish person in nominal form, such as the “fool” without modification: “A fool will not delight in understanding but only in his heart revealing itself” (18:2). Characters often appear in construct form, like the “tongue of the righteous” and the “heart of the wicked” (10:20), for instance. In addition to mixing character domains, such as the moral/intellectual, theological, and wisdom/pragmatic categories noted earlier (e.g., 14:9), 10:1-22:16 packages its character terms in a variety of rhetorical styles, such as the question, imperative, or quotation.

My selection of texts cannot do justice to all of the variety in content, form, and rhetorical style, so I select with an eye towards texts that are not used elsewhere in this study and that represent material across 10:1-22:16, while best demonstrating the didactic function of Proverbs 1-9. These include a proverb with the wise and the foolish person in nominal form (18:2) and the same characters in construct state (15:2).⁶⁷ The full grouping of texts that use character types without consequence orientation include the following:

⁶⁶ Georg Freuling, “*Wer eine Grube gräbt...*”: *Der Tun-Ergehen-Zusammenhang und sein Wandel in der alttestamentlichen Weisheitsliteratur* (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 2004), 104. So Philip Johannes Nel, *The Structure and Ethos of the Wisdom Admonitions in Proverbs* (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1982), 74-76.

⁶⁷ See also different rhetorical styles (14:7; 15:26; 17:7, 26; 18:5; 19:10; 21:27; 22:13; cf. 16:4). For predicate-dominant passages, cf. Prov 14:2, 21, 29; 15:5b; 16:32; 17:27; 18:9.

TABLE 3.2

Moral (wise/fool/prudent/understanding)	Theological (righteous/wicked)	Other (e.g., scoffer)
10:3, 18, 23; 11:12; 12:15-16, 23; 13:16; 14:7-8, 19, 33; 15:2, , 9, 14, 21; 16:23; 17:7, 10, 12, 18, 24; 18:2	10:3, 6, 20, 32; 12:5, 10, 28; 15:8-9, 28; 16:4; 17:26; 18:5; 20:26; 21:4, 18, 26-27	14:15; 15:3, 12; 17:4; 21:24 Sluggard: 10:26; 18:9; 19:24; 22:13
Mix of Domains		13:1; 14:9; 15:5, 19; 19:1, 10, 28

Rather than dealing with the particular questions of these passages at this point, I examine Proverbs 1-9 with the three key rhetorical questions noted above and revisit the texts from 10:1-22:16 in *The Function of Proverbs 1-9* for 15:2 and 18:2, where the particular interpretive issues of 15:2 and 18:2 are expounded and the insights of 1-9 brought to bear upon them.

Character Types in Proverbs 1-9

Christine Yoder has looked at the character types of Proverbs 1-9 from a rhetorical perspective. Proverbs 1-9, she says, speaks of emotions as “constitutive elements of character” and advocates or discourages certain patterns of emotion by means of the wise and foolish person.⁶⁸ When she considers the function of such characters for the interpreter, her approach resembles that of Lyu and Aitken, and although this approach calls for substantiating, her conclusions are based on more convincing textual evidence than the arguments derived solely from 10:1-22:16. Proverbs 1-9 outlines an “emotional geography” by which the interpreter “*maps the world*” and “*maps oneself in the world*,” remarks that touch on the current interpretive questions, that is, emulation, self-evaluation, and affective posture.⁶⁹ According to Yoder, the characters offer an emotional map through which certain

⁶⁸ Yoder, “The Objects of Our Affections: Emotions and the Moral Life in Proverbs 1-9,” in *Shaking Heaven and Earth: Essays in Honor of Walter Brueggemann and Charles B. Cousar*, ed. Kathleen O’Conner, E.

Elizabeth Johnson, Christine Elizabeth Yoder and Stanley Saunders (Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2005), 74. See also Yoder, “Shaping Desire: A Parent’s Attempt: Proverbs 1-9,” *Journal for Preachers* 33 (2010): 54-61.

⁶⁹ Yoder, “Objects,” 76 (*italics original*).

passages guide the interpreter. For the fool, she attends to Prov 1:20-33 and touches on 5:8-14; 8:13, 17, 30-36. For the wise, she examines their overall attitudes of fear towards God and love for wisdom. Her exegesis and language of “mapping” suggests emulation and evaluation for the interpreter, contending that Proverbs 1-9 is concerned with shaping the affections of its audience by means of character types. Although Yoder makes an intriguing case with a relevant passage (1:20-33), as with Lyu and Aitken a thorough explication and evaluation of the rhetorical possibilities of Proverbs 1-9 requires a closer look at 1:20-33.

Proverbs 1:20-33

Prior to their appearance in Prov 1:20-33, Prov 1:1-7 introduces character types and encourages emulation of one (the wise) while portraying the other (the fool) as unattractive. Elsewhere, I have argued that the primary audience of Proverbs is not the “simpleton” or “youth” of 1:4 but “the wise” in 1:5 who “hear and increase in learning.” Proverbs 1:5 “commands and invites the audience to posture themselves not as the fool, singleton, or youth but as the wise character who heeds instruction.”⁷⁰ The wise in this passage operates as an ideal addressee, who always listens and grows in wisdom. He functions as a caricatured, literary type with a rhetorical function intended to inspire emulation and evaluation. Functioning in the same way but with the obverse effect, the fool who “despises wisdom and instruction” (1:7) should repulse the interpreter. While clear, the rhetorical intentions of these characters remain undeveloped in 1:1-7, suggesting emulation or avoidance but little more than that. Proverbs 1:10-19 begins to further address the question of emulation, but reading to the end of Proverbs 1 discloses much more about character rhetoric.

Proverbs 1:20-33 advocates self-evaluation in view of its caricatures. The passage portrays a personified female figure of Wisdom who calls out in the city centre, addressing a collection of negative character types in 1:22—the singleton, scoffers and fools—and consistently attributing them with particular attitudes. She says they love simplicity, delight in scoffing, and hate knowledge (1:22). They refuse Wisdom’s call (1:24), neglect her counsel, and do not consent to her reproof (1:25, 30). They hate knowledge and do not choose the fear of the Lord (1:29), instead turning from Wisdom and remaining complacent (1:32). This passage introduces a representative collection of three character terms and enumerates their attitudes to capture a gamut of negative postures and perhaps to emphasise the holistic corruption of these negative types. The passage clearly sets a context of character types and

⁷⁰ Keefer, “A Shift,” 112.

includes a slew of particular characteristics.

In Prov 1:22-27, Wisdom addresses the characters as “you”:

How long, simple ones, will *you* love [תאהבו] simplicity . . . If *you* turn [תשובו] to my reproof . . . *you* will know my words [אודיעה דברי אתכם] . . . but I call and *you* refuse [ותמאנו] . . . when *your* dread [פחדכם] comes . . . when distress and anguish come upon *you* [עליכם].

After addressing the negative characters directly in 1:22-27 with “you” language, at verse 28 Wisdom shifts grammatical person from “you” to “they.” She claims,

Then *they* will call [יקראני] on me and I will not answer; *they* will seek me diligently [ישחרני] . . . *They* did not consent [לא־אבו] to my counsel . . . So *they* will eat [יאכלו] from the fruit of *their* way [דרכם] (1:28-31).

What is the rhetorical significance of this shift in perspective? I contend that 1:20-33 shows Lady Wisdom shifting grammatical subjects, because the author intends the scene as a lesson for the interpreter. Given the options of the wise and foolish persons, Prov 1:1-7 has already aligned the audience’s identity with the wise and started to detail their traits, but for the fool it implies only his dislike for wisdom and the Lord: “The fear of the Lord is the beginning of knowledge; fools despise wisdom and instruction” (1:7). In 1:20-33 we now see specific features of the foolish population: they neglect, refuse, turn from, hate, and stand complacent towards wisdom. Furthermore, Lady Wisdom draws the interpreter’s attention to the fools, whom she addresses as “you,” and then shifts to third person address to retain a distance from them.⁷¹

The detail of negative attitudes and the concern of Lady Wisdom to talk *about* rather than *to* character types in 1:20-33 suggests a deliberate rhetorical attempt on the part of the author. He wants interpreters to evaluate themselves in light of the characters portrayed, to look at these fools, to look at their actions and attitudes, and to self-reflect in light of them. “The fools’ emotions,” in 1:22, says Yoder “variously reveal postures of hubris, wantonness, and animosity. Wisdom holds them responsible. Her rhetorical question stings, her exasperation aims to invoke their guilt, and her words caution anyone within earshot to think twice before adopting such smug ways of being in the world.”⁷² I am arguing that the text,

⁷¹ Bernhard Lang, *Wisdom and the Book of Proverbs: A Hebrew Goddess Redefined* (New York: Pilgrim Press, 1986), 42.

⁷² Yoder, “Objects,” 77; so Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 98.

without aligning interpreters with its negative character types, encourages the audience to consider these negative characteristics in light of their own. Do I hate knowledge? Do I choose the fear of the Lord? Do I despise reproof? Proverbs 1:20-33 invokes a process of evaluation, whereby the interpreter must consider these negatively caricatured attitudes and assess his or her own affections.⁷³

The passage does not maintain an exclusively negative tone but concludes with an attractive alternative to the fools—the one who listens to wisdom: “But the one who listens to me dwells in security and is at ease from the dread of evil” (1:33). This verse affirms the alignment of the interpreter with the positive characters of Proverbs, even recalling the invitation to hear (שמע) in Prov 1:5 as Wisdom characterises her favoured person as “the one who listens (שמע) to me” (1:33a). Seeing that she wants her audience to listen to her (1:23a, 24b), her final comment in 1:33 certainly refers to the sort of people she is looking for. As to its rhetorical effect, perhaps her call commends the following: be this sort of person, and assess your attitude towards Wisdom and your capacity to heed her. It certainly contrasts with those who reject wisdom and plausibly prompts interpreters to evaluate their own desire to listen. Towards the fools, however, the interpreter’s posture also receives adjustment due to the extended claims of disaster and mockery that befall them in 1:26-28. The negative outcomes for evil characters instil dread, fostering affections of dislike and apprehension, which has addressed the third and final interpretive question of this chapter: what affective posture does Proverbs 1-9 instil in the interpreter towards the characters? In summary, the caricatures of Proverbs portray idealised selves, meant to attract or repel, while offering mirrors of good and evil with which to evaluate our own character. The speech to fools in 1:20-33 particularly shows that character types function not only as models of emulation but as mirrors for self-evaluation. Cohering with Prov 1:1-7 and 1:10-19, these conclusions account for the three rhetorical framework questions and, when accounting for the clarity and force of the text, suggest that these passages function didactically.

The Function of Proverbs 1-9 for 15:2 and 18:2

To determine if the passages from Proverbs 1-9 indeed function didactically, their insights into Proverbial characters must be brought to bear on 10:1-22:16. The textual

⁷³ Yoder (*Proverbs*, 20-21) rightly warns that this is not a Romantic notion of identifying the “true” self in isolation. Rather, the process entails community but most importantly prompts the question, who do I want to be like?

examples enlisted from 10:1-22:16 were chosen for their strength as examples and for their grammatical properties. Proverbs 18:2 presents the “fool” in nominal form, and 15:2 presents a single body part of the wise and foolish persons, respectively, a “tongue” and “mouth.” These proverbs pose interpretive challenges particularly related to their use of character types and thereby test the interpretive function of Proverbs 1-9.

18:2 לא־יִחַפֵּץ כְּסִיל בְּתִבּוּנָה A fool will not delight in understanding
 כִּי אִם־יִבְהַתְּגִלוֹת לִבּוֹ but rather⁷⁴ in his heart’s exposing itself

Proverbs 18:2 says, “A fool will not delight in understanding, but rather in his heart’s exposing itself.” This proverb mentions the fool in nominal form and simply describes what he does and does not delight in. The fool does not delight in understanding but delights only in making himself known, that is, in expressing his thoughts and words. Genesis 9:21 employs the only other occurrence of the verb (*hithpa'el* גִּלָּה) to depict Noah’s drunken self “*uncovered* in his tent” (cf. Prov 27:5), so that the fool might in a sense “uncover” his heart. The כִּי אִם in Prov 18:2, translated “but rather,” emphasises the contrast: the fool delights in not even a bit of understanding but only in self-expression. At face value, the proverb does not motivate. It states what a fool does and does not delight in, and so seems to convey an observation about the fool and his delights. Waltke, however, comments that the saying “warns against having a closed mind and an open mouth,” implying that the proverb includes not only an indicative but a rhetorical force: it warns.⁷⁵ The conclusion might be disregarded by insisting that the proverb simply observes and placing the burden of proof on those who would argue otherwise. Therefore, I will argue otherwise, not to say that Prov 18:2 does not make an observation about the world, valuable in its own right, but to say that the proverb carries additional rhetorical force that depends upon the conception of character types that I have been developing based on Proverbs 1-9.

How, then, does the interpreter discern this rhetorical thrust that is not simply observational? First, we might contrast the fool’s lack of delight in understanding, with the commended value of understanding, as advocated by Proverbs 1-9: “Blessed is the one who finds wisdom” (3:13a), and “My son, be attentive to my wisdom” (5:1a; so Prov 2:2-3, 11). In Prov 18:2, then, the failure to delight in understanding does not cohere with the admonitions

⁷⁴ After a negation, כִּי אִם signals a contrast (JM §172c; cf. Ps 1:2; Gen 32:29), often translated, “but only” (ESV; NRSV).

⁷⁵ Waltke, *Proverbs 15-31*, 69.

elsewhere in Proverbs, so that the interpreter might recognise that when “a fool will not delight in understanding” this saying portrays a bad attitude. Second, the rhetorical nature of the proverb becomes clearer when the character lexeme (“a fool”) is replaced with a generic reference to “a human”—“a human will not delight in understanding.” From this perspective, with the character lexeme omitted, the proverb carries some, though not as much, rhetorical significance, as even a human failing to delight in understanding receives a negative evaluation elsewhere in Proverbs.

I, nevertheless, contend that a figure as ethically neutral as “the human” would not harbour the rhetorical force of the “fool,” that the presence or absence of the character lexeme makes all the interpretive difference for 18:2. Consider the second line: “a human delights in his heart’s exposing itself.” This statement counters much of Proverbs 10-29, which, despite valuing silence (10:8, 19; 18:13; 29:11), advocates human expression, albeit based on “understanding.” For example, “The lips of the wise spread knowledge; not so the heart of fools” (15:7). This passage and others seem to support the “heart’s exposing itself,” as the wise “spread knowledge,” the understanding have wisdom on their lips (10:13), and “the mouth of the righteous brings forth wisdom” (10:31; so 15:2, 28). However, each of these sayings incorporates character lexemes, so that spreading knowledge seems inseparable from the lips of the wise and abnormal for any fool. Although I have been saying these proverbs “value” certain forms of speech, such as spreading knowledge, they, like Prov 18:2, actually state observations about characters, to which forms of speech are bound, and thereby return us to the same issue: what is the rhetorical significance of character types? When Proverbs 1-9 is read as an interpretive framework, it imposes a conception of these characters that shapes the rhetorical pulse of 18:2, causing its “fool” to undergo rhetorical transformation.

Proverbs 1-9 instils a repulsion against the fool, so that the interpreter arrives at 18:2 knowing that he or she does not want, or should not want, to be like that character. This response accounts for emulation: do not be like this fool. As for self-evaluation, Prov 18:2 describes attributes that the interpreter must avoid: disenchantment with understanding, and exclusively sharing his or her own knowledge. When and where, asks the self-evaluative interpreter, do I reflect such qualities? The third question for 1-9 assessed the affective implications of characters, combining the aforementioned emulation and self-evaluation. The fool models a contorted affective posture, delighting in the wrong things or in the wrong way, so that the interpreter informed by Proverbs 1-9 is motivated to modify his or her affective posture based on the fool’s bad example.

In sum, Prov 18:2 makes an observation without direct rhetorical force. While it contains qualitative descriptions, such as not delighting in understanding, on the surface the

passage does not persuade. With an understanding of the rhetorical function of character types from Proverbs 1-9, the interpreter can identify and, more importantly, feel the persuasive intent of 18:2 and similar sayings, enfolding within his interpretive scope not only valuable observations about the world but also volitional implications. Therefore, Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically by instilling a rhetorical framework and interpretive categories that account for a latent rhetorical layer within 10:1-22:16.

15:2 לשון חכמים תיטיב דעת The tongue of the wise makes knowledge good⁷⁶
 ופי כסילים יביע אולת but the mouth of fools pours out folly

A similar situation occurs in Prov 15:2, which contrasts the wise and foolish persons, not in a grammatically absolute form but rather in a construct state that associates the characters with particular bodily organs: the tongue of the wise and the mouth of fools. Like 18:2, Prov 15:2 does not explicitly commend or discourage a course of action. It makes an observation, stating that wise tongues “make good” or improve upon knowledge and that foolish mouths pour folly. Also like 18:2, the author could have omitted the character terms, so that “A tongue makes knowledge good, but a mouth pours out folly.” However, that statement would render a contradiction, or at least a puzzling tension. For if the tongue and mouth refer to the same thing, namely a person’s speech, then according to 15:2 a human expresses both good and folly.⁷⁷ As an observation this proverb would be advantageous, indicating a human practice, even a habit, of speech that plausibly corresponds with life in current and ancient contexts. But the original version of Prov 15:2, though it still states an observation, includes modifiers, and these modifiers are character terms that, again, make all the difference for its interpretation. “The tongue *of the wise* makes knowledge good, but the mouth *of fools* pours out folly.”

Proverbs 1-9 clarified the self-evaluative, rhetorical function of characters, and when viewed with this framework the characters of 15:2 function in the same way. The interpreter sees speech organs associated with character types and respective descriptions, so that with the aid of Proverbs 1-9 the observation becomes a mirror of self-reflection, prompting interpreters to question the wise and foolish qualities of their own speech. Do I improve upon knowledge or pour out folly? Proverbs 1-9 also instills an attraction towards the former and

⁷⁶ The verb (*hiphil* יִטֵּב) is translated “commend” (ESV) or “adorn” (Fox; Waltke), communicating a sense of mastery or improvement (Delitzsch, *Proverbs*, 1:316).

⁷⁷ The Epistle of James (3:9-10a) states this very point.

repulsion against the latter so that we feel drawn towards knowledgeable speech and opposed to foolish speech. We not only assess our own verbal habits; we aim to improve them in accord with the characters of 15:2. In short, Prov 15:2 first relies upon its character terms to ethically qualify different types of talk, and remains indicative rather than imperative until, secondly, Proverbs 1-9 supplies the rhetorical framework necessary for extracting a certain type of applicative force from 15:2.

The Function of Proverbs 1-9 for 29:11

As shown over the course of this chapter, understanding the characters of Proverbs involves two primary questions: what are they and what are they intended to do for the interpreter? I have argued that Proverbs 1-9 provides a framework that lends interpretive insight into some of the challenges that arise from characters in Prov 10:1-22:16, wherein they represent caricatured versions of certain qualities that represent distinct facets of the Proverbial good or bad person and deliver a series of rhetorical functions meant to persuade the interpreter into reflection and affective response. Proverbs 1-9, I will argue here, also offers this interpretive framework for Prov 22:17-29:27, which like 10:1-22:16 often relies upon an understanding of character types.

Proverbs 22:17-29:27 too states observations rather than admonitions about its characters. Proverbs 29:11, for example, says that “The fool brings out all of his spirit, but the wise stills it back.” This saying contributes a valuable observation about fools, wise people, and the relationships they have to their inner lives. The “spirit” (רוח), which is here “brought out” or “stilled back,” may refer to anger (cf. 14:29; 16:32; Judg 8:3; Eccl 10:4) or to the internal thoughts and feelings of a person (Prov 1:23; Job 7:11; Ps 77:7[6]), though in Proverbs it most commonly refers to a person’s “spirit” or “disposition,” which may be crushed (15:13), broken (15:4), trustworthy (11:13), haughty (16:18), lowly (16:19; 29:23) or resilient (18:14a). At this point, for Prov 29:11 no reason to translate רוח as anything other than this sense of “spirit” arises. However, interpreters often assume two things about this proverb, first, that it deals with anger and, second, that it delivers an instruction about how to handle such anger. In the first place, the lexical evidence alone does not justify interpreting רוח in 29:11 as “anger,” though it permits the possibility. Proverbs 29:22 states that “A man of anger [אף] stirs up strife, and the wrathful [חמה] man, much transgression,” and while interpreters such as Sæbø cite this as evidence for similar themes in 29:11, verse 22 actually shows that other lexemes were at the author’s disposal to denote “anger” and were not used in

29:11, such as אף and חמה.⁷⁸ רוח in 29:11 may refer to anger but we would expect an alternative term.

For argument's sake, if Prov 29:11 does refer to anger, then how do interpreters justify the conclusion that the saying delivers an instruction? Plöger, for instance, says that "Auch der Weise ist nicht frei von Emotionen, aber er versteht es, in der rechten Weise mit ihnen umzugehen."⁷⁹ Notice the evaluative and thereby instructive conclusion regarding the proverb's observation: the wise person deals with emotion "in the right way." Plöger and others appeal to the court scene in 29:9 to claim that 29:11 continues the scenario and adds further instructions, not seeming to account for the observational nature of 29:9 and 29:11.⁸⁰ To render imperative force from 29:11, appeal is otherwise made to other proverbs that deal with speech or emotions (e.g., 12:16). While such statements are more directly imperative, they, including 29:9, incorporate character types, which I suggest transform the rhetorical implications of Prov 29:11, since taken without these terms the proverb does not persuade towards certain action or ways of life.⁸¹ If "a human" brings out all of his spirit, but "a human" stills it back, it cannot be known if one or both deals with emotions "in the right way." As it stands, the proverb may simply describe two types of activities: how the fool acts and how the wise person acts—a plausible and not unhelpful interpretation when read within the context of Proverbs 10-29. However, as with examples explored earlier (15:2; 18:2), two interpretive manoeuvres carry significant insights on a rhetorical level for Prov 29:11. First, what happens when the character terms are removed?

If the character terms are replaced with neutral references, then the passage would read, "One person brings out all of his spirit, but another person stills it back." The statement recalls Prov 29:22, where "A man of anger stirs up strife, and the wrathful man, much transgression." Like the modified version of 29:11, Prov 29:22 contains no character lexemes, but unlike 29:11, Prov 29:22 uses unmistakable terms for anger: אף and חמה, not רוח. Furthermore, 29:22 uses other morally qualified language to portray the consequences of anger as bad consequences, for אף instigates "strife" and חמה causes "much transgression." Such morally charged language and consequences do not appear in 29:11, which, without its

⁷⁸ Sæbø (*Sprüche*, 350) connects Prov 29:8, 11, 20, and 22 with anger and the destabilisation of society through speech, but only verses 8 and 22 clearly refer to anger and its socially destabilising consequences. In 29:22, the phrase בעל חמה, woodenly "owner of wrath," uses בעל as a noun of relation to denote a wrathful person (see BDB, 127 [I.5]).

⁷⁹ Plöger, *Sprüche*, 345.

⁸⁰ Waltke, *Proverbs 15-31*, 439.

⁸¹ Fox (*Proverbs 10-31*, 838), for instance, appeals to Prov 12:16.

character terms simply leaves the interpreter with “One person brings out all of his spirit, but another person stills it back.”

The saying remains an observation, but if the statements of 29:11 intend not only to describe but to commend and warn, as interpreters like Plöger assert, then it is not clear which colon should be obeyed and which should be avoided. Maybe the first line reflects good behaviour, for even if we interpret רוח as “anger,” Proverbs does not necessarily prohibit the emotion: it praises those slow to anger (14:29; 15:18) and portrays the Lord himself as angry (22:14; 24:18). Hence, letting out all of one’s angry spirit could be permissible. Likewise, Proverbs associates the “cool spirit” and restraint of words with understanding (17:27), also indicating that anger can lead to transgression (29:22), evidence that supports the characterisation of 29:11b as positive: “another person stills [his spirit] back.” Based on passages in Proverbs 10-29, both lines of 29:11 are justifiably appropriate acts when rid of their character lexemes. But again, according to Plöger, the behaviour in verse 11b deals with emotions in the right way, as restraint of anger is commended and its full release, condemned.⁸² In other words, line A portrays bad behaviour and line B portrays good. Such a conclusion, though, does not follow from other instructions in Proverbs or from Prov 29:11 when stripped of its character terms, and therefore it seems that the use of character lexemes offers the only solid ground for making a qualitative judgment about the activities in 29:11.⁸³ In other words, Prov 29:11 requires its character terms in order to indicate proper conduct. “One person brings out all of his spirit, but another person stills it back” leaves the application of the proverb ambiguous, so that the interpreter does not know what behaviour the proverb advocates. Such language would advocate neither behaviour; it would simply observe.

Having levelled our first query into the rhetoric of Prov 29:11, the second significant question to ask is, what happens when 29:11 is read in light of Proverbs 1-9? Proverbs 1-9 does not simply speak of “humans” but instead portrays a variety of character types, and it is not content with making observations about these people but instead clarifies who they are, how they relate, and how interpreters might interact with them. Proverbs 1-9 inculcates the interpreter with a hatred and avoidance of the foolish person and a love for and desire to emulate the wise person. With this rhetorical framework, the interpreter of 29:11 knows to avoid actions associated with the fool and to replicate the activity of a wise person. In other words, “Do not (or be careful about) bringing out all of your spirit; rather, keep it stilled.” The

⁸² Plöger, *Sprüche*, 345.

⁸³ The main legal material in the Old Testament seldom regulates human emotions, especially anger, which would derive from laws about hatred (Exod 23:5 [cf. 21:20-27]; Lev 19:17-18; Deut 19:11).

interpreter also knows that these two figures represent extreme embodiments of wise and foolish qualities and thus display actions that are either completely right or completely wrong.

At this point, a potential problem arises. With such a positive portrayal of restraining anger in 29:11, how should an interpreter account for other statements in Proverbs 10-29 that, when interpreted within the same Proverbs 1-9 framework, endorse anger rather than condemn it? Proverbs 14:29, for example, attributes “slow anger” to those with understanding—“Whoever is slow to anger has great understanding, but he who has a hasty temper exalts folly” (ESV)—possibly contradicting 29:11.⁸⁴ It can be said that Proverbs views both restrained and slow anger as different perspectives of good ways to handle anger. But Proverbs 1-9 adds a key qualification: such emotion is handled rightly only when handled by those with wisdom and understanding. Linguistically, conceptually and ethically, character makes all the difference. Without its character terms, and even with them, the indicative statements in Prov 29:11 do not deliver clear instructions for how to manage anger. While description only is not necessarily a problem, when the proverb includes character lexemes, and these are interpreted in light of Proverbs 1-9, then the indicative statements take clear rhetorical direction, guiding the affections and behaviours of its readers.

Conclusion

This chapter determined the literary identities of Proverbial character types and then arrayed them with a rhetorical layer, answering not only, what are these characters, but also, how do they function or what are they meant to do? The characters are caricatures, not necessarily negative pictures but extreme versions and idealised portraits of the Proverbial population, each of which represents one perspective on a single good or bad person. Rhetorically, the characters function by prompting interpreters to emulate the positive types and to self-evaluate in light of the particular features that characters display, stemming from and also guiding affective postures. An examination of Prov 1:10-19; 15:2; 18:2; and 29:11 demonstrated that Proverbs 1-9 establishes a framework of rhetorical categories that then produces additional interpretations of Proverbs 10-29. On their own, the proverbs make valuable observations about the world, and when they incorporate character types that are read in conjunction with Proverbs 1-9, their observations also become rhetorically-charged statements that persuade the interpreter towards particular ways of being and behaving. In

⁸⁴ The proverb nicely reads more woodenly: “slow anger is great understanding, but short temper exalts folly” (אֵרֶךְ אַפַּיִם רַב־תְּבוּנָה וְקֹצֵר־רוּחַ מְרִים אוֹלָת).

other words, Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically by offering interpretive faculties that uncover, and at times supplement, the persuasive effects of Proverbs 10-29.⁸⁵

In the last two sections, I have established the literary and rhetorical nature of Proverbial characters but have not explained how these two perspectives relate. It seems that the embellished nature of the character types facilitates emulation. Given that extreme aspect, portraits of good and evil confront the interpreter in a pronounced way. If the gang in 1:10-19, for example, invited the son to join in petty theft—say, stealing a neighbour’s scythe without harming anyone involved—then for the interpreter the father’s warning to “not consent” would likely flag in persuasive effect. It is, plausibly, the radical greed and violence in 1:10-19, the rejection of Wisdom and the incapacity to respond to her in 1:20-33 that so jar the audience into evaluation.

Regarding their identity, I also concluded that the characters relate to each other in a coreferential manner, where each type—such as the fool, the wicked person, and the sluggard—exhibits a different persona of a singular figure, in this case, the evil person. However, the relationship of this coreferentiality to the author’s rhetorical intentions was not made so obvious. How do so many different shades of good and evil contribute to the rhetorical aims of evaluation, self-reflection, and affective posture? The coreferential nature of the characters most plausibly contributes to the author’s hope that interpreters will self-reflect in light of the multifarious collection of personal qualities. The “righteous” prompts self-reflection in the realm of one’s relationship to the Lord; the “wise” in relation to wisdom; the “diligent” in relation to work; and the “upright” in relation to justice. I am not saying that these distinctions are fixed or exclusive categories, but they do remain quite consistent throughout Proverbs and most convincingly explain how the characters’ coreferential identities relate to their rhetorical function.

The increased variety and occurrences of character lexemes in Prov 10:1-22:16, relative to Proverbs 1-9 were also noted. This distribution suggests that 1-9 intends to supply the interpreter with an interpretive framework by teaching what characters are and how they function. It presents a simpler set of character types within a literary context of extended poems where the author can comprehend the nature and function of these types. Proverbs 10:1-22:16 subsequently presents the characters with more variety so that the interpreter can evaluate and self-reflect on himself or herself in life’s many scenarios. In this respect, Waltke captures the function of Proverbial characters precisely: they stand as “exemplars by which to

⁸⁵ Such an argument applies not only for the passages selected here but also, in the vein of 18:2, for Prov 10:32; 15:2; and 21:18.

judge one's life in many situations.”⁸⁶ In these ways, Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically for Proverbs 10-29. The next chapter continues to look at the rhetorical features of Proverbs, though it exchanges character types for the specific aims and goals toward which the material persuades.

⁸⁶ Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 125.

4

Educational Goals

The aims and values explored in this chapter relate directly to the notions of persuasive rhetoric explained in the previous one. In Chapter 3, I argued that the character types of Proverbs serve a rhetorical function, persuading the audience to emulate or avoid their characteristics. Consequently, when a character term appears within a proverb, which would otherwise make an observation about the world without carrying any persuasive force for the reader, that proverb is now imbued with a new level of persuasive power due to the presence of particular character lexemes. While the focus of that argument was understandably on proverbs that contain characters, the focus of the current chapter must account for the remaining material, which will expose additional rhetorical features.

One of those rhetorical features includes consequences, as shown in proverbs that motivate by means of correlating a consequence with a particular command or observation.¹ As in Chapter 3, this material must be set aside in order to concentrate on another rhetorical element: the value statements of Proverbs. What the book values often correlates to the goals that it sets for its pupils; it holds up wisdom, for instance, as both a high value and prime educational achievement, and the same could be said of accepting instruction, as it is valued and aimed for by the Proverbial teacher. By examining such values and interrelated aims, and not focusing on character types and consequences, this chapter explores the larger rhetorical mission of Proverbs to further determine the function of chapters 1-9. In the first section, the didactic role of Proverbs 1-9 is demonstrated with respect to these goals through Prov 22:1 and 30:1-9. The second section then recalls Aletti's understanding of Proverbs 1-9 and its conception of moral discernment as an educational goal, thus also contributing to the focus on the aims and values of Proverbs.

¹ See the statistics and references in Chapter 3: The Rhetoric of Character Types: Character Types in Proverbs 10:1-22:16.

The Aims and Values of Proverbs

I have mentioned the many means that Proverbs employs to persuade its audience, including character types, consequences, and direct commands, among others. A closer look at these features prompts the topic of this section—what Proverbs aims for and what it values—offering a window through which to analyse the relationship of Proverbs 1-9 and 10-31. The aims and values of Proverbs are bound together, and by attempting to structure them within Proverbs 10-31, especially within 10:1-22:16, their interpretive challenges become clear.

Educational Goals in Proverbs 10:1-22:16

When the sayings of 10:1-22:16 that contain consequences are combined with those that use character terms, they total 82% of the whole.² Of the remaining material, a number of sayings make comparative statements, often with language of “better than,” in order to directly commend one object over another, as in Prov 22:1—“A name is to be chosen rather than great riches, favour is better than silver and gold.” A proverb like this, and others that use the language of “better than” (טוב . . . מן-)—e.g., “To acquire wisdom is much better than gold, and to acquire understanding is to be chosen more than silver” (16:16)—imply some system of values. At the very least, they make value judgments: for 22:1, “a name” is more valuable than “great riches,” and “favour” carries more value than “silver and gold.” The axiological statements in 22:1 prompt the question of whether 10:1-22:16 harbours an endorsed system of values. That is, do the “better thans” and comparative values fit within a hierarchy of goods and ends? The question becomes more acute when other value judgments in 10:1-22:16 are considered.

Competing Goals in Prov 10:1-22:16

We might take a closer look at Prov 16:16 and 22:1:

קנה־חכמה מה־טוב מחרוץ 16:16 To acquire wisdom is much better than gold,
 וקנות בינה נבחר מכסף and to acquire understanding is to be chosen more than silver.
 נבחר שם מעשר רב 22:1 A name is to be chosen rather than great riches,

² See Table 3.1 in Chapter 3: The Rhetoric of Character Types.

Both proverbs indicate that something is “better than” precious metals, making statements of value that imply, respectively, that wisdom holds more value than gold (16:16) and that favour holds more value than gold (22:1). These axiological premises form part of a network of values that, within Proverbs, may or may not be coherent. A coherent network of values would be ordered in a hierarchical fashion that attributes certain things with more value and other things with less.³ An incoherent network would contain competing values, without deliberate order, that are simply left in tension. It is worth asking whether a coherent structure appears in Proverbs, that is, whether it organises its values in a particular fashion. In addition to Prov 16:16 and 22:1, three references to the Lord expose the importance of this question:

No wisdom, no understanding, no counsel can avail against the Lord. (ESV; 21:30)

The rich and poor meet; the Lord makes all of them. (22:2)

The consequence of humility and the fear of the Lord is riches, honour and life.⁴ (22:4)

If 16:16 values wisdom more than gold, then 21:30 and 22:2 suggest that the Lord is more valuable than either of these: for no wisdom can avail against him, and he is the creator of people who possess all ranges of wealth. Proverbs 16:16; 21:30 and 22:2 establish a coherent structure of values, from gold to wisdom to the Lord, but this structure is put into question by 22:4, which states, “The consequence of humility and the fear of the Lord is riches, honour and life.” If the fear of the Lord results in riches and honour and life, then might these products carry more value than the Lord himself?⁵ Proverbs 22:4 certainly seems to present them as outcomes of fearing the Lord, perhaps placing a superior level of value upon them and indicating that they may hold an ultimate level of value or desirability. Elsewhere in 10:1-22:16, life, wealth, favour, honour, and even the family’s prosperity and security appear as desirable and attainable goals with little hint as to how they should be organised (e.g., 10:2, 4; 13:15; 14:26; 20:13, 20-21).

³ In contrast to constructing an absolute hierarchy, some things might have equivalent value or variable value depending on the person or situation. For example, good physical fitness would be more valuable for a soldier than for the scribe, who conversely values penmanship above physical fitness.

⁴ On this translation, see Waltke, *Proverbs 15-31*, 193 n. 6; cf. Delitzsch, *Proverbs*, 2:85-86.

⁵ Accepting the alternative translation, that “the reward of humility is the fear of the Lord, riches, honour and life,” simply compounds the problem at hand, namely, the disorder or unclear organisation of ends in Proverbs 10-29.

The cacophony of goals in 10:1-22:16 and the tension that they create in view of a possibly coherent network of values in Proverbs raise the interpretive challenge of whether we should establish such a coherent system or leave the tension in place. Pragmatically, if both wisdom and favour are better than gold, what happens when someone must choose between wisdom and favour? Does Proverbs view one as more valuable than the other, and does it intend for interpreters to know? Likewise, the Lord, on the one hand, appears supremely valuable—for he stands above wisdom (21:30) and riches and poverty (22:2)—but, on the other hand, it is through the Lord that one acquires riches and honour and life (22:4), which at the least leaves interpreters unclear as to what holds or should hold most value.⁶

We might express these questions about value in a simpler way: what are the goals of Proverbs? Proverbs 10:1-22:16 suggests that someone might live in order to acquire wealth, honour, wisdom, “life,” or a certain relationship with the Lord, all of which are presented as goals of its educational paradigm. Unless the text suggests otherwise, I assume that the priority of a goal is inseparable from its value, so that each end to which Proverbs persuades corresponds to its respective worth. Does Proverbs harbour a coherent system of goals that structures its values in a consistent way? Or, lacking such a framework, does it arbitrarily make statements of value that should simply be left to stand in tension? Proverbs 22:1, when compared to 16:16, offers a clear example that provokes such questions: if wisdom is better than gold (16:16) and if favour is better than gold (22:1), then how do we relate wisdom and favour? Do they carry equal value? If forced to choose between them, what would Proverbs commend? As suggested, an answer to this question does not arise from 10:1-22:16 alone, but I contend that the question is addressed by Proverbs 1-9 as it offers a framework of goals with which to structure 10:1-22:16.

The “name” in Proverbs 22:1

Before consulting Proverbs 1-9, consider one other interpretive challenge posed by Prov 22:1, derived from its language of “name”: “A name is to be chosen rather than great riches; favour is better than silver and gold.” The “name” (שֵׁם) in this case occurs in conjunction with “favour,” values that interpreters often equate to a good and full life, as if the

⁶ Zabán (*Pillar Function*, 282-284) assesses the treasure imagery in Proverbs 1-9 and 16:16, and discounts a connection between Proverbs and the reference to silver and gold in Job 28:15-19 based on the maritime context of the latter, a disassociation further supported by the commonality of references to these and similar precious materials in ANE literature, to which Zabán calls attention (e.g., Ps 68:14[13]; Zech 9:3).

goal is “überzeugend und gut zu verhalten” or, as McKane puts it, to be a person of “engaging personal qualities,” or, as the LXX succinctly augments, to choose, not ὄνομα, but ὄνομα καλόν.⁷ To the broad positive qualities associated with a “name” in Prov 22:1, Waltke adds that it aligns with good character that depends on wisdom, supporting such associations with passages from Proverbs 1-9 and 10:1-22:16.⁸ I will examine the material in Proverbs 1-9 closely and suggest that other passages within those chapters also address the challenges of 22:1. For the moment, however, I consider this “name” in view of 10:1-22:16 and other pertinent evidence.

Waltke aligns a “name” with wisdom-dependent good character primarily based on the semantic quality of שֵׁם as well as its use in Prov 10:7; 18:10 and 21:24, since each of these sayings mentions שֵׁם. In his words, “A good name represents a person’s good character and his memory (see 10:7; 18:10; 21:24) and depends on his wisdom (3:1-4) . . . wisdom, a co-referential term for a ‘good name’.”⁹ However, even though these sayings share a lexeme with Prov 22:1, their use of this lexeme, as well as the broader linguistic evidence, differs in ways critical to Waltke’s conclusion. For each of the passages from Collection II—as well as the other relevant uses of שֵׁם in 22:17-31:31 (30:9)—qualifies the lexeme rather than stating it absolutely as 22:1 does. It is the name “of the wicked” (10:7), “of the Lord” (18:10; cf. 30:9), or “his name is scoffer” (21:24) that these passages present instead of “a name” in the abstract, which, I will contend, harbours no inherent qualitative value. In short, the passages from Proverbs 10-31 that use שֵׁם qualify the type of name in question, unlike 22:1 which more simply states that “a name is to be chosen rather than great riches.”

The meaning of “name” may receive substantiation when considered within the OT as a whole, wherein שֵׁם takes a connotation of “fame” or “reputation.” So the warrior Abishai in 2 Sam 23:18 wielded a spear against 300 men and a received “a name,” which, as the following verse makes clear, entailed the honour that he received from others. For he was the most “renowned” (נִכְבָּד) of the thirty men (23:19). The “men of name” in Num 16:2 and 1 Chron 5:24 seem to be in some respect famous, and in other passages “a name” is associated with “a praise,” similar to the honour bestowed on Abishai in 2 Samuel 23 (Zech 3:19-20; Deut 26:19; cf. Jer 13:11). It might seem that שֵׁם’s connotations with fame and reputation in these passages entails an inherently positive quality, as if Abishai had a good name and the

⁷ Meinhold, *Sprüche*, 363; McKane, *Proverbs*, 566; so Toy, *Proverbs*, 413.

⁸ Waltke, *Proverbs 15-31*, 199. According to Plöger (*Sprüche*, 253), Prov 22:1 is not about wisdom or the goods it conveys but simply declares that the popular man and what emanates from him are more impressive than silver and gold.

⁹ Waltke, *Proverbs 15-31*, 199.

“men of name” represented men of good repute. However, such positive connotations are not a given. The “men of name” in Num 16:2 refer to those well-known Israelites who followed Korah and challenged the authority of Moses and Aaron, an act and context that suggest their “name” was not a good one in the eyes of the author. According to Numbers 16, rebels might be famous men but not necessarily good men; they were burned alive (16:35).

Speaking of Solomon, 1 Kings 4:31 records that “his name was in all the surrounding nations,” a name with positive connotations, due not to the use of this lexeme but due to the comments earlier in the verse: “He [Solomon] was wiser than all other men.” 1 Kings 4:31 establishes its own context that then qualifies the sort of “name” in question, in this case, a reputation of astounding wisdom. The inherently unqualified nature of שֵׁם is further exhibited in passages that explicitly modify the term: Nehemiah recounts that his enemies “might give me a bad name [שֵׁם רַע] in order to taunt me” (Neh 6:13); and Qohelet says, “a good name [שֵׁם טוֹב] is better than good oil” (Eccl 7:1). Interpreters most often cite Eccl 7:1 as support for seeing a “good” name in Prov 22:1, but Eccl 7:1 obviously does not find שֵׁם sufficient to make its point and instead clarifies that “a *good* name is better than good oil.”¹⁰ The same trend appears in Sirach 41:11-13 (שֵׁם חָסֵד; שֵׁם טוֹבָה שֵׁם) and *Pirkei Avot* 4.13 (שֵׁם טוֹב). In Akkadian, a cognate of שֵׁם occurs with the lexeme *šumum*, which, like שֵׁם, may refer to “fame” or “reputation”: “the diviner will become renowned” (*bārū šum damiqtim ileqqe*); “the house of Mari is famous” (*bīt Mari šumam išū*).¹¹ However, to convey a qualitative sense of “name,” *šumum* is modified with *ṭābum* or *damqum*, clarifying that such repute is “good.” For instance, “your reputation is good” (*šumka damiq*); “that house will acquire a good reputation” (*bītu MU damiqtī TUK-ši*); “[I know] that your reputation with the king is bad” (*kīma lamin MU-ka ana panī šarri*).¹²

The Akkadian lexical evidence, along with the material in Proverbs and the OT, supports the unqualified nature of שֵׁם in Prov 22:1 and only enhances the interpretive challenge of the saying, namely, what sort of “name” does it have in mind? Interpreters attach a variety of positive characteristics to this lexeme that receive little support from the evidence examined here. With no other indication of the sort of “name” intended by Prov 22:1, the second line of this saying provides one modifier—“favour” (חֵן), which is better than silver and gold—and thereby suggests that a “favourable name” is to be chosen rather than great riches. However, similar to fame, favour might arise from the Lord (Prov 12:2; רֵצוֹן) or from a

¹⁰ See, among others, Ewald, *Die poetischen Bücher*, 199; Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 694.

¹¹ See *CAD* 17/3, 292-294 and references there.

¹² The logogram MU signifies, among other terms, *šumum*.

generous man (Prov 19:6), and, although pleasant, it does not disclose a necessary justification or advisable limitations. A favourable name can simply mean a name favoured by anyone for any reason—like the honour associated with *נֶשֶׁא*—and not necessarily a good reason or, in the sight of the author, productive of the right kind of favour. Hence, Prov 22:1's interpretive challenge remains unresolved, so that the “name” might simply indicate that a reputation, of any sort and based on any grounds, is to be chosen in preference to great riches, or that the name is somehow favourable, though why and to what extent it is not clear.

On the other hand, interpreters who suggest particular positive associations have perhaps flagged an insightful approach to 22:1 by incorporating Proverbs 1-9, although these claims appear largely unsubstantiated. Waltke gets closest in his reference to 3:1-4 and 3:14, a direction that I will continue in conjunction with my broader concerns for the goals of Proverbs and the questions about what sort of value system might lie behind the axiological remarks of 10:1-22:16. The interpretive challenge, then, distils into one question: what are the educational goals of Proverbs that organise its various values? If an answer to this question can be discovered, it will offer insight into the place of Prov 22:1 within what appear to be competing assertions of value in Proverbs 10-31 as well as insight into the challenge of what 22:1 means by “name.” I pose the question of goals to Proverbs 1-9, asking, toward what goal or goals do these chapters persuade?

Educational Goals in Proverbs 1-9

Proverbs 22:1, within the context of Proverbs 10-31, has presented two interpretive challenges: the structure of values in Proverbs and the nature of the “name” mentioned in 22:1. Both issues relate to the educational goals of Proverbs—what it advocates and persuades towards—and while it is reasonable to ask if Proverbs harbours an organised set of values, it may very well be the case that no such order can be found. That is, the goals and values of Proverbs might simply remain in tension and, for all we know, may have been intended to remain so. However, interpreters have suggested Proverbs 1-9 as a fruitful place to look for such a value system, and while not capitalising on these chapters for the interpretive challenges of 10-31, they have posed a question similar to my own.

Interpreters interested in the goals of Proverbs 1-9 often couch their studies in terms of Collection I's pedagogical aims or how it shapes the desires of its audience, using different language to answer the current question: what are the overall rhetorical aims of Proverbs 1-9? Responses, detailed below, typically mention four characteristics, sometimes hierarchically related and at other times simply listed. These include wisdom, the father's instruction, God

or the fear of the Lord, and character formation. While interpreters also use a variety of methods to determine the persuasive *telos* of Proverbs 1-9 and offer a swathe of conclusions, their differences do not so much reveal disagreements as they do alternative points of emphasis. Consider a sampling: Daniel Estes claims that while character formation constitutes one of many pedagogical goals, the greatest goal is to know God, which then allows the audience to assimilate wisdom, the prime virtue of Collection I.¹³ Glenn Pemberton employs Aristotle's categories of logos, pathos, and ethos across Proverbs 1-9 to conclude that it persuades the audience to accept the father's instruction (i.e., wisdom) and avoid the seduction of folly.¹⁴ Michael Fox argues that the author, with his rhetorical tools, intends his audience to strive for wisdom, which equals moral character and prepares them for 10:1-22:16.¹⁵ According to Sun Myung Lyu, Proverbs 1-9 persuades towards wisdom which leads to character formation, and for Bálint Zabán internalised instruction establishes a relationship with wisdom and induces ideal character.¹⁶ In these studies, wisdom, the father's instruction, God or the fear of the Lord, and character formation arise in different forms and fashions, but they arise consistently to create a constellation of concepts seemingly significant for determining the persuasive aims of Proverbs 1-9. R. N. Whybray's comments reveal the preponderance of these concepts when, referring to Collection I, he writes that the interpreter

will see all its teaching as directed towards *the formation of the complete person*, both wise and pious . . . In their present form these chapters serve both to elevate the character of *the wisdom teacher* who, it will be assumed by the reader, is responsible for everything which follows, and, at the same time, in impressive and mysterious, quasi-mythical language, to stress *the intimate relationship of wisdom with God*, its attractiveness to the learner, and the indispensability of its acquisition.¹⁷

¹³ Estes, *Hear*, 45, 63-86, 148.

¹⁴ Pemberton, "The Rhetoric of the Father in Proverbs 1-9," *JSOT* 30 (2005): 63-82.

¹⁵ Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 348-351.

¹⁶ Lyu, *Righteousness*, 5; Zabán, *Pillar Function*, 286-342. See, among others, Fleming, "Some Aspects of the Religion of Proverbs," *JBL* 51 (1932): 31-39; Dave Bland, "Formation of Character in the Book of Proverbs," *Restoration Quarterly* 40 (1998): 221-238; Yoder, "Forming 'Fearers of Yahweh': Repetition and Contradiction as Pedagogy in Proverbs," in *Seeking out the Wisdom of the Ancients: Essays Offered to Honor Michael V. Fox on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday*, ed. Ronald L. Troxel, Kelvin G. Friebel and Dennis R. Magary (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2005), 167-184; Alice M. Sinnott, *The Personification of Wisdom* (Aldershot, UK: Ashgate, 2005), 19-21, 53-87; Maurice Gilbert, *L'Antique Sagesse d'Israël: Études sur Proverbes, Job, Qohélet et Leurs Prolongements*, Etudes Bibliques (Pendé, France: Gabalda, 2015), 38-47, 206.

¹⁷ Whybray, *Proverbs*, 17 (italics added).

When noting the persuasive aims of Proverbs 1-9, Whybray incorporates wisdom, God, the instructor, along with the teacher's instruction specifically, and character formation, those ingredients that seem closely related to wisdom and integral to the persuasive goals of Collection I.¹⁸ In addition to these four emphases, many studies on the rhetoric of Proverbs 1-9 focus on the collection's feminine imagery, given its persuasive pungency.¹⁹

I affirm the palpability of this conceptual constellation and the persuasive force of the females in Proverbs 1-9. Whilst pursuing an answer about the collection's goals, these elements are accounted for in a coherent manner, if Proverbs 1-9 indeed validates such coherence. Aside from 1:20-33, I have given little attention so far to the female figures of Proverbs 1-9, so in this section Proverbs 7-8 is examined and the four elements of persuasive vision accounted for: wisdom, the father's teaching, God, and character. By incorporating another portion of the text, the choice of Proverbs 7-8 further strengthens my case for the didactic function of Proverbs 1-9.²⁰

Proverbs 7-8

Proverbs 7-8 portrays Wisdom and Folly at length and with literary artistry, suggesting a heightened effort to persuade the audience. In brief, Folly appears unattractive and dangerous, while Wisdom presents herself as attractive and beneficial, composing two portraits that, I contend, aim to persuade the audience towards a single but two-sided goal: embrace wisdom and avoid folly. For wisdom is a friend, a lover, a protector and provider. Folly appears friendly, lovely and beneficial but ultimately leads to destruction. Although these twin portraits feature in Proverbs 7-8, both chapters include the four aspects apparently integral to Collection I—wisdom, teaching, the Lord, and character—and it is these aspects

¹⁸ Whybray (*Proverbs*, 4) does remark that, as a whole, the purpose of Proverbs "is to persuade the reader to acquire wisdom."

¹⁹ Margaret Odell Gilchrist, "Proverbs 1-9: Instruction or Riddle," *Proceedings, Eastern Great Lakes & Midwest Bible Society* (1984), esp. 141, 143; Lang, *Wisdom*, 50, 72, 104, 107; Hennie Viviers, "The 'Body' and Lady Wisdom (Proverbs 1-9)," *OTE* 18 (2005): 879-890; Weeks, *Instruction*, 67-155; Pete F. Wilbanks, "Non-Proverb Proverbial Bookends: A Possible Lens for Viewing the Book of Proverbs" (paper presented at the Southwest Regional Meeting of the ETS, New Orleans, LA, 26 March 2000), 1-45; Gale Yee, "I Have Perfumed My Bed with Myrrh: The Foreign Woman ('issâ zarâ) in Proverbs 1-9," *JSOT* 43 (1989): 53-68; Scott C. Jones, "Wisdom's Pedagogy: A Comparison of Proverbs VII and 4Q184," *VT* 53 (2003): 65-80; Mark Sneed, "White Trash Wisdom: Proverbs 9 Deconstructed," *JHS* 7 (2007): 1-10.

²⁰ Proverbs 9 continues the rhetorical point developed by Proverbs 7-8 but adds little for the concerns of this section. I account for Proverbs 9 in the next section, Discerning Moral Ambiguity.

that must be considered under the rubric of 1-9's educational goals. I examine Proverbs 7-8 by capturing their overall thrust rather than exegetically mining particular passages. Such an overarching approach reliably determines the rhetorical effort of the text, while a more detailed attack will be reserved for Proverbs 2, which poses a potential objection to my views of Proverbs 7-8.

Proverbs 7 contains two primary levels of discourse. First, it portrays a youth who wanders the street and falls prey to the seductions of a temptress who looks good, smells good and promises a delightful experience (7:6-23). This scenario of seduction and attraction constitutes the story of chapter seven that is told by the Proverbial father. Around this story, the father structures the second level of discourse, as he forwards commands to his son and adds commentary about the tale, prefacing it with commands to "keep my words" (7:1-3) and concluding with a similar injunction—"listen to me and be attentive to the words of my mouth" (7:24).²¹ By drawing attention to his words, the father not only persuades the son to peruse the story but also persuades the son to hear those very words that immediately follow the father's calls to attention. This noteworthy material appears in 7:4-5 and 7:25-27, which offer complementary positive and negative instructions about wisdom and folly. The first passage, 7:4-5, tells the son to embrace wisdom: "Say to wisdom, 'You are my sister,' and call insight a relative" (7:4). The following verse then gives one reason why the son should befriend wisdom and insight: "to keep you from the alien woman and from the foreigner, her smooth words" (7:5). In Prov 7:4-5, after calling attention to his teaching, the father presents two goals—embrace wisdom and avoid folly—and indicates that wisdom, in some form, is the means to achieving these goals, for it "keeps you from the alien woman."

In Prov 7:24, the father reinforces attention toward his teaching and in verse 25 follows with a warning: "do not stray into [Folly's] paths." Why? "For she has caused many wounded to fall, and all her slain are mighty. Her house is the way to Sheol, going down to chambers of death" (7:26-27). The father wants to persuade his son to avoid folly primarily because she is dangerous, as she captures boys like stags, slaughters them like oxen, and takes advantage of their oblivious flight into her snare.²² Avoid such temptations, says the father, and achieve this goal, he implies, by heeding my instruction which leads to wisdom. As folly's dangers and the father's teaching remain at the forefront of this passage, embracing

²¹ For another perspective on the significance of these scenes and the father's commentary, see the next section in this chapter (Discerning Moral Ambiguity) and Yee, "I Have Perfumed," 53-68.

²² Christl Maier, *Die "fremde Frau" in Proverbien 1-9: Eine exegetische und sozialgeschichtliche Studie* [Freiburg: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1995], 209) says "Ziel der ganzen Rede Prov 7 ist nach Ausweis von v. 25 die eindringliche Warnung, den Weg der 'Fremden' einzuschlagen."

wisdom stays in the background, and 7:4 allows for little distinction between the father's teaching and wisdom as we will come to know her in Proverbs 8. Nevertheless, the persuasive aim of Proverbs 7 places an avoidance of folly as primary and an awareness of the father's teaching as the means of accomplishing this.

In Proverbs 8, and on the tails of the father's stern warnings about the lady of temptation, we meet wisdom (חכמה) and understanding (בינה), remarkably similar to the wisdom (חכמה) and understanding (תבונה) of 7:4, but now raising their voices and calling to those who will listen: "Does not wisdom call, and understanding give her voice?" (8:1). The initial alert and scene setting (8:1-3) move into a direct address by Lady Wisdom, who now contrasts with the woman of Proverbs 7. The persuasive force of chapter eight and perhaps chapter seven now increases since they have been placed together, and their rhetorical features become more prominent in light of the thematic and lexical links. Folly appears quietly in the darkness of the city (7:12-13), while Wisdom stands boldly at its heights (8:2-3). Both women call (7:13-20; 8:3-4) and advertise their possessions to their audiences (7:16-18; 8:10-11), and both texts include terms for "mouth" (7:5, 21; 8:1, 6, 7a) and conclude with a reference to "death" (7:27b; 8:36b). These parallels between Proverbs 7 and 8 suggest not only a conceptual contrast but a rhetorical effort that bolsters the persuasive aims of the author. Avoid folly and embrace wisdom.²³

In Proverbs 8, the father's voice fades and the voice of Wisdom swells, as she makes four points. First, she aligns herself with all things right and knowledgeable, especially her words—"Hear, for I will speak noble things, and from my lips is uprightness" (8:6). She also underscores her role—"by me kings rule and rulers decree rightness" (8:15; so 8:6-9, 12, 14-16, 20). Second, wisdom puts herself in relation to high value goods, on the one hand, saying that she possesses riches, honour and prosperity (8:18) but, on the other hand, labelling herself as more valuable than these things:

קח-מוסרי ואל-כסף	8:10	Take my instruction and not silver,
ודעת מחרוץ נבחר		and knowledge rather than choice gold.
כי-טובה חכמה מפנינים	8:11	For wisdom is better than jewels,
וכל-הפצים לא ישווה		and all desires do not compare with her.
טוב פריי מחרוץ	8:19	My fruit is better than gold, even fine gold,

²³ For Maier (*Die "fremde Frau"*, 214), the comparison of wisdom and folly in Proverbs 7 prepares the way for their contrast in Proverbs 9. However, wisdom, particularly as a personified figure, remains unobtrusive in Proverbs 7 until she is foregrounded in chapter eight.

ומפז ותבואתי מכסף נבחר	and my produce than choice silver.
8:20 בארץ צדקה אהלך	I walk in the way of righteousness
בתוך נתיבות משפט	and in the midst of the paths of justice,
8:21 להנחיל אהבי יש	To give an inheritance to those who love me,
ואצרתיהם אמלא	and fill their treasures.

Wisdom is “better than” jewels, and her productions outshine the most glamorous gold, offering more than silver can buy. Wisdom constructs a system of value and presents herself within it, placing herself and her teaching at the top. Yet rather than condemning less valuable things, such as wealth and honour, she asserts that these things are supplied by her: “to give an inheritance to those who love me, and I will fill their treasures” (8:21).²⁴ In Prov 8:1-21, then, she is more valuable than wealth and honour, and to love her marks the ultimate goal.

After her clarion call in 8:1-21, Wisdom, thirdly, recounts her role in the Lord’s ancient acts of creation, accentuating her age and her proximity to him. She was set up “ages ago” (v. 23) and worked “beside” God as his delight (v. 30).²⁵ In Chapter 5, on the theology of Proverbs, I explore additional aspects of this passage but for now note a conclusion often argued for there: from a rhetorical perspective, Prov 8:22-31 accentuates the attractiveness and status of Wisdom, who commends herself based on her antiquity and intimacy with God.²⁶ From the perspective of theology, and material and immaterial goods, Wisdom rises as the goal that her audience ought to desire and acquire. In the final portion of Proverbs 8, she situates herself next to teaching and another educational goal, distilling her point to a single

²⁴ Sandoval (*Discourse*, 89-101) focuses on the “symbolic” significance of wealth imagery in Prov 8:10-11 and 8:18-21 and argues that this imagery does not refer to the actual goods produced by wisdom but instead to all that is desirable. Although he concludes this with appropriate qualification (100-101), I do not find it convincing that the metaphorical presentation of Wisdom leads to metaphorical conceptions of wealth and possessions in this passage. While the silver mentioned in 8:10 may not be necessary for the wise person, based on Sandoval’s wooden interpretation of “take my instruction and [do] not [take] silver,” this does not undercut the comparative statements made by Wisdom in the following verses.

²⁵ On the phonetic and semantic options for אמן in Prov 8:30 see Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 285-287 and Keefer, “Sound Patterns as Motivation for Rare Words in Proverbs 1-9,” *JNSL* 43 (2017): 46. None of the lexeme’s possible meanings (“master worker/constantly/ward”) take away from my point or the thrust of the passage, that Wisdom bears a close proximity to God and a privileged presence during the time of creation. Although the different meanings adjust how her function is understood, they do not necessarily affect her attractiveness.

²⁶ On the rhetorical significance of Wisdom’s partnership with the Lord, see the discussion on Proverbs 2 below and Chapter 5: The Supremacy of the Lord’s Wisdom and Sovereignty: Wisdom and Sovereignty in Proverbs 1-9.

line: “hear instruction and be wise” (8:33).

Wisdom here clarifies the goals of Proverbs 1-9 and the structure of its values, forwarding instruction as a means to grow in wisdom, that is, to become wise, which seems to be the ultimate outcome of fellowship with her. Becoming wise in 8:33 mirrors the concepts that follow in 8:34-35, namely, the “happiness” of those who listen to wisdom, the life that they find when they find her, and the favour that the Lord bestows: “hear instruction and be wise [v. 33] . . . happy is the one who listens to me [v. 34] . . . For whoever finds me finds life and obtains favour from the Lord [v. 35].” Based on the structure of these concepts, Magne Sæbø concludes that “Den Weisen galt sonst immer das Leben als das vor allem zu sichernde *summum bonum*.”²⁷ Wisdom upholds “life” as the greatest good. Yet the Lord ascends to the same status by granting favour and so seems to accompany becoming wise as a final end for Proverbs 1-9. On this view, wisdom and growth in wise character would enable the interpreter to secure the ultimate end of Proverbial education: “life.”²⁸ However, perhaps “being wise” results not only in life but in life and favour and happiness, as seems evident from the macarisms that surround the injunction to “hear instruction and be wise” (8:33); for those who keep Wisdom’s ways and listen to her are “blessed” (8:32b, 34a). Perhaps “be wise” represents the educational goal of the passage, while the results of obedience, or the effects of wisdom, are presented as motivating ends with a value equal to growing in wise character. Most clearly, the prime value and aim of Prov 8:32-36 is attending to wisdom and becoming wise; the other ends presented boost the attractiveness of this prospect. This interpretation is most convincing given the grammar of verse 33, which contains the imperatives of the passage and repeats the call to “hear” from verse 32, and is endorsed by the clarity that it brings: “be wise.”²⁹ Rhetorically, then, wise character seems to be the aim of the passage, though other ends and values, serving a motivational function in the poem, may stand adjacent to this aim in an absolute sense.

At the conclusion of her speech, Wisdom spreads a constellation of values that centre on a single educational goal: becoming wise. For growth in wisdom is what she commands in verse 33 and from there other benefits accrue, such as happiness, life and divine favour. Therefore, growth in wise character stands as the ultimate educational goal, achieved by

²⁷ Sæbø, *Sprüche*, 125. Sæbø (124) affirms that “be wise” in 8:33 indicates the purpose of listening to wisdom (שמעו מוסר וחכמו).

²⁸ On the meaning of this concept in Proverbs, see Waltke (*Proverbs 1-15*, 104-105) who identifies it with “wisdom’s reward” and binds it to “fellowship with God.”

²⁹ See Schäfer, *Poesie*, 224-225.

listening to instruction and consequently embracing Wisdom, who facilitates such growth.³⁰ A structure of goals begins to emerge: through the text's teachings, love and pursue wisdom in order to grow in wise character.

Proverbs 2

This order of educational goals has been developed based upon Proverbs 7-8, but in order to establish it as representative of Proverbs 1-9, the remaining material ought to be considered, foremost potential objections to the conclusion established so far. Proverbs 2 presents the greatest potential obstacle for the posited educational system as derived from Proverbs 7-8. Well known among interpreters as the "Lehrprogramm" of 1-9, Proverbs 2 constitutes a 22 verse poem from the Proverbial father with a coherent and tightly knit structure.³¹ If the son attends to the father's words and seeks wisdom (2:1-4), then he will understand the fear of the Lord (v. 5), who gives wisdom and protection (vv. 6-8), and will also understand every good path (v. 9), with wisdom entering his heart (vv. 10-11) to deliver the boy from evil (vv. 12-19) in order to walk in the way of good people, who inhabit the land (vv. 20-22). This long chain of reasoning offers multiple possible goals, and if Proverbs 2 functions as an educational blueprint for 1-9, then its educational aims must be compared with those from Proverbs 7-8.

Bernd Schipper has examined Proverbs 2, and its place within Proverbs 1-9 as a whole. Regardless of his diachronic approach, Schipper contributes exegetical insights that bear significance for the question of what goal or goals Proverbs 1-9 promotes. According to Schipper, the reasoning in Proverbs 2 culminates in verses 20-22, which state the final aims of its educational program:

In order that [למען] you might walk in the way of good people,
and keep the paths of the righteous.
For [כי] the upright will dwell in the land,
and the blameless will remain in it.
But the wicked will be cut from the land,
and the treacherous will tear away from it. (2:20-22)

³⁰ For more on the study of character formation in Proverbs, see Anne Stewart, *Poetic Ethics in Proverbs: Wisdom Literature and the Shaping of the Moral Self* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2016); Brown, *Wisdom's Wonder*, 29-66.

³¹ Meinhold, *Sprüche*, 43, 62-64.

Schipper contends that “Dieses Ziel – das Bleiben im Land – ist aber nicht im Sinne eines zukünftig zu erwartenden Handelns zu verstehen, sondern erscheint als logische Folge des gegenwärtigen Agierens.”³² For Schipper, Proverbs 2 works towards the ultimate goal of remaining in the land, which he sees as something not necessarily achievable in the immediate term but a “logical consequence of the present action,” action spelled out especially in verses 12-19. Focus on the land, of course, and other key terms in 2:21-22, broaches debate about the place of Proverbs in the canon and its relation to themes of the covenant.³³ My focus remains on the educational aims of Proverbs 2, what it ultimately persuades the interpreter towards, and how these goals match or disrupt those outlined by Proverbs 7-8.

While Schipper’s treatment of Proverbs 2 has much to commend it, commendations that are capitalised on shortly, his interpretation of 2:20-22 is in discordance with my argument, possibly due to our different interpretive interests. The problem stems from confusing certain consequences in 2:20-22 with the rhetorical purpose of the passage, as if final outcomes must constitute the aim of persuasion. This issue relates to an oversight of the grammatical features in these verses as well as the central concepts of the chapter. In the first place, 2:20 begins with למען, which most likely depends on the entire exordium of 2:1-11 and particularly the resultant protection of wisdom promised in 2:11 (so 2:12, 16), rendering the following: “discretion will watch over you, and understanding will guard you [2:11] . . . in order that you might walk in the way of good people and keep the paths of the righteous [2:20].”³⁴ Proverbs 2:20, therefore, not 2:21-22, expresses the purpose of the educational model outlined in Proverbs 2, further supported by the כִּי that starts verse 21: “For the upright will inhabit the land and those with integrity will remain in it.” The כִּי here grounds the purpose statement of verse 20, and for two verses (21-22) it governs an explanation and, most of all, a motivation for the hope that the pupil will “walk in the way of good people.” Based on these grammatical features, Schäfer rightly calls 2:20 a “Hauptfinalsatz” and verses 21-22 the “Schlußmotivation.”³⁵

³² Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 75. See also Sæbø (*Sprüche*, 59-60), who asserts that the dichotomous people types in v. 20 draw attention to the “land” and the dichotomy in vv. 21-22.

³³ Cf. Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 122-125. Schipper considers intertextual relations in his third chapter, after his treatment of Proverbs 2.

³⁴ See, among others, Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 216-218; Sæbø, *Sprüche*, 58-59; Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 67-71. God may simultaneously function as protector throughout Prov 2:12-19.

³⁵ Schäfer, *Die Poesie*, 64-66. Meinhold (*Sprüche*, 63, 70) calls 2:20 an “abschließenden Zielangabe” with 2:21-22 continuing the conclusion.

The grammar of Proverbs 2 affirms part of Schipper's conclusion about verses 20-22, namely, that verses 21-22 are a "logical consequence" of the present action, but the grammar does not support these consequences as the "Ziel" of the chapter. The purpose arises in verse 20, joined by its motivating consequences, and in this respect "ends," in verses 21-22. This interpretation is supported by the primacy given to character types and moral categories like good and evil in Proverbs 1-9, including Proverbs 2, as Schipper himself observes and my argument from Chapter 3 fortifies.³⁶ Proverbs 2 is concerned less with particular behaviours and more with becoming a particular type of person and bearing a particular relationship towards good and evil. In this vein, Schipper makes an astute observation about 2:1-11 when he says that "Der in Prov 2,1 entfaltete Gedankengang findet in V. 11 ein vorläufiges Ende, indem das, was der Weisheitsschüler als Subjekt tun soll, ihm nun als Objekt widerfährt – er ist gleichsam am Ziel angelangt, nur dass dieses bislang nicht näher konkretisiert wurde."³⁷ The son pursues wisdom (vv. 1-5) but also stands as the object pursued by wisdom (vv. 9-11), a symbiotic educational syllabus that Schipper calls the "erstes Ziel" of Proverbs 2. The description of this first goal sounds much like "character formation," which is facilitated by the son's search for and discovery of wisdom. Wisdom's protection helps to transform the pupil into the sort of person who "walks in the way of good people." Yes, he may consequently inhabit the land, but the whole of Proverbs 2 aims to instil a desire for wisdom in order to accomplish its primary goal: character formation.

In my judgment, the educational program laid out by Proverbs 2 looks much more like the one developed by Proverbs 7-8 than a vision of land residency mentioned in 2:21-22.³⁸ The other elements that Proverbs 2 addresses at length, especially the evil people and forbidden woman from whom Wisdom delivers (vv. 12-15, 16-19), do not counter the educational goals established so far but rather support these goals by elaborating on the guardian role of Wisdom, unpacking, in other words, how Wisdom facilitates growth in wise character. She protects those who know her, so that they might become the sort of people who "walk in the way" of good folk. The chapter depicts the father's teaching as a means to loving and pursuing wisdom, intended to produce growth in wise character.

The remaining element noted by Schipper, but not yet discussed, stems from the theology of Proverbs 2. The father says, that "if you seek [wisdom] like silver and search for

³⁶ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, esp. 67-71, 77-79. He draws attention to the "Vorbilder" (role models) of the chapter.

³⁷ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 53.

³⁸ Dwelling in the land resembles the promise of material and immaterial goods bestowed by Wisdom in Proverbs 8. See Chapter 5: The Supremacy of the Lord's Wisdom and Sovereignty.

it like hidden treasure, then you will understand the fear of the Lord and find the knowledge of God” (vv. 4-5). He continues by saying that the Lord “gives wisdom” and “guards” the way of his saints (vv. 6, 8), indicating that wisdom comes from the Lord, who gives it to those who seek it. This mutual human-divine relationship in the school of wisdom, though not yet made lucid in Proverbs 2, permits Schipper to conclude:

Es dominieren im ersten Teil der Lehre weisheitliche Begriffe, allerdings werden diese durch den Bezug auf JHWH religiös eingefärbt. JHWH ist der Geber von Weisheit, so dass die Lehre, die hier durch den Weisheitslehrer vermittelt wird (V. 1), eine theologische Dimension erhält. Dabei sind sowohl die Unterweisung des Weisheitslehrers, als auch das Lernen des Weisheitsschülers und die Bestimmung der Weisheit von JHWH her aufeinander bezogen.³⁹

However, it is clear that the fear of the Lord, which at the least indicates a relationship with God, marks the starting point of wisdom (1:7) and is encountered by the boy on his search for wisdom (2:4-5), so that to the human instruction that serves as a means to wisdom we might add a relationship with the Lord. The Lord also functions like wisdom in Proverbs 2, by protecting those he knows and thereby enabling them to achieve the same goal as wisdom: growth in wise character.⁴⁰ The Lord saturates the educational process envisioned by Proverbs 2, supplementing the current system of education goals to produce a revised version: through the text’s teaching and the fear of the Lord, love and pursue wisdom in order to grow in wise character.⁴¹

Rather than being undermined by alternative educational goals or value structures, the educational paradigm derived from Proverbs 7-8 is fortified, even supplemented, by Proverbs 2, the “Lehrprogramm” of Proverbs 1-9. The set and sequence of educational goals argued for here answer the question that arose from Prov 22:1, and other material in Proverbs 10-31, concerning the book’s vision of values and aims, and their relationship. The sayings material indicates that such values may stand in tension without a clear structure to order them. However, it also suggests that an organisation of values might be latent within its sayings, as if they assume and partially indicate a network of ordered values. I argued that Proverbs 1-9

³⁹ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 54.

⁴⁰ On the similar functions of Wisdom and the Lord see, Chapter 5: The Supremacy of the Lord’s Wisdom and Sovereignty.

⁴¹ Wisdom, in this formula, subsumes the teaching of the father, which is at times referred to as “wisdom” (Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 359; Sæbø, *Sprüche*, 122, 125).

organises values, by making comparative statements and by presenting the goals of Proverbial education in a consistent and ordered fashion, persuading the interpreter toward these goals and introducing the necessary means to achieve them. I now return to 22:1 to see how the educational vision of Proverbs 1-9 might function didactically for 10-31.

The Function of Proverbs 1-9 for Prov 22:1

Proverbs 22:1 prompted two questions, one dealing with an overall perspective on the material in Proverbs 10-29, which presents a plurality of values that either lie in tension with each other or presume a system of values that would organise them. The other question deals with a particular, though related, issue in 22:1—what sort of “name” does it have in mind, given the unqualified nature of שֵׁם. As argued above, the lexeme שֵׁם connotes neither a good nor a bad name, a neutrality not resolved by appealing to the second line of the proverb to make the name a “favourable” one. I approached the first interpretive challenge from two interrelated perspectives, that of value and that of ends (i.e. goals), and at this point two interpreters will help develop these perspectives and demonstrate the extent of the interpretive dilemma when Proverbs 10-29 is examined apart from 1-9.

Zoltan Schwab considers proverbs that compare values, such as 16:16, and attempts to establish a hierarchy of ends based on material in Proverbs 10-29. He appeals to humility’s association with honour and other comparative statements in the collection, as well as sayings in 16:16’s nearby literary context.⁴² Backed by a detailed outline of the “results” and “effects” of wisdom throughout Proverbs, that is, the ends of wisdom based on occurrences of חכמה, Schwab concludes the following:

Therefore, at least part of the answer to the question regarding why good human character is more valuable than riches is that which I have stated above: because it leads to protection, honour, and riches . . . this is not often stated explicitly in these sentences, rather, it is conjectured by the reader from the context of some of them. Would not we expect more explicit praise of protection and honour in these sentences if their provision is the only reason

⁴² Schwab, *Toward an Interpretation*, 125. Stewart (*Poetic Ethics*, 126) does not explore the collections separately, but in view of Proverbs’ motivating values—wealth, honour, protection and life—she assumes that wisdom is “the *highest* reward.”

for a good character's superiority to riches? There is an intrinsic openness of formulation in these verses that invites more than one interpretation.⁴³

Such a point is quite plausible based on the generous connections that Schwab sees in the text, though this does not resolve the issue at hand about how Prov 16:16 and 22:1 might relate. For when it comes to wisdom, reputation, and the quality of this reputation, Proverbs 10-29 leaves interpretation in the realm of, in Schwab's words, "conjecture" and implicit evidence. Widening the angle on Proverbs, Schwab accounts for every effect of wisdom to find that "Proverbs does not offer an explicit, systematic hierarchy of ends. However, it does provide a plurality of ends. Furthermore, even if these ends are not ordered clearly and explicitly into a strict hierarchy, there are certain hints in the text that point in the direction of such a hierarchy."⁴⁴ The book of Proverbs exhibits a "plurality of ends" with no "explicit, systematic hierarchy" to order them. The "hints" in Proverbs of such a hierarchy entail Schwab's previous remarks based on a perusal of interrelations in Proverbs 10-29, which still obscure a clear system of ends and only accentuate my initial interpretive question: do goals remain in tension or are they organised? Close attention to Proverbs 1-9, and not only a look at its use of חכמה, reveals a consistent and rhetorically hammered set of goals that, I contend, will offer insight into the plurality of ends that populate Proverbs 10-29. Before attending to this proposal, we need to consider the second perspective on our interpretive challenge, which approaches the material not with language of "ends" but with language of "value."

Claus Westermann has also examined proverbs of value judgment ("die Sprüche der Wertung") within Proverbs 10-29, and when interpreting 22:1 and 16:16 he distinguishes such sayings based on the status of the value presented and how the interpreter ought to respond.⁴⁵ According to Westermann, proverbs like 22:1 do not express fixed advice; they provoke the interpreter to contemplate his or her situation and determine what is good and less good based on the saying's value judgment. Proverbs like 16:16 (i.e. 20:15) present wisdom as an "abstraction" that holds "objective value." In his words, "In den Sprüchen der Wertung ist die jeweils empfohlene Entscheidung die weise, die klügere Entscheidung; in den nachgeahmten Sprüchen ist die Weisheit ein abstrakter Begriff und zum objektivierten Selbstwert geworden."⁴⁶ I agree that value statements, like so many proverbs, prompt the interpreter to contemplate action or attitude in his or her particular situation, but I see no reason to view the

⁴³ Schwab, *Toward an Interpretation*, 125.

⁴⁴ Schwab, *Toward an Interpretation*, 158.

⁴⁵ Westermann, *Wurzeln*, 83-88.

⁴⁶ Westermann, *Wurzeln*, 86.

value statements in Proverbs as of two sorts, as if 16:16 means “better than” in a way that differs from the “better than” of 22:1. Both make statements of comparative value, and these two particular sayings leave interpreters with the indeterminate values of “a name” and “wisdom.”

Westermann denies that Proverbs offers a system of values to order such a dilemma: the “vergleichendes Fragen unterscheidet sich grundlegend von einer statischen Wertelehre, in der, was gut und was schlecht ist, ein für allemal festgelegt wird.”⁴⁷ Proverbs 10-29 does not, it seems, offer a completely ordered system of values, and the values that it presents may very well, as Westermann says, prompt a “constant weighing against each other, about what was good and less good,” rather than a fixed hierarchy.⁴⁸ However, once Proverbs 1-9 enters the picture, it transforms the interpretation of 10-29 and its assortment of value judgments. Westermann, like Schwab, makes valid conclusions based on an interpretation of Proverbs that focuses on chapters 10-29, with little consideration of 1-9, fortifying the interpretive challenge that has driven this chapter and pressuring certain conclusions on the part of the interpreter. I would argue not that Westermann and Schwab are wrong in their interpretations but rather that these interpretations stem from a view that removes Proverbs 1-9 from the picture. Once this missing element is relaid, it imposes a framework on Proverbs 10-29 that offers interpretive insight into the challenges that trouble both interpreters.

To summarise the point, Proverbs 1-9 lays out a consistent and clear network of values by persuading the interpreter towards certain goals and establishing the means by which those goals are accomplished. It presents the father’s teaching and the fear of the Lord as means, to direct the interpreter towards and successfully acquire Wisdom, who then facilitates growth in wise character. These elements undoubtedly interrelate, and Proverbs 1-9 presents them in a poetic and highly rhetorical register rather than in a formulaic account of values and ends. Nevertheless, an order appears consistently and constructs a framework that offers a resolution to the apparently competing values of Proverbs 10-29.

According to Proverbs 1-9, wisdom is the greatest value and it helps one to achieve the highest end of these opening chapters: to grow in wise character.⁴⁹ Thus, 16:16 firmly aligns with 1-9 when it states, “To acquire wisdom is much better than gold, and to acquire understanding is to be chosen more than silver.” Proverbs 1-9 affirms this (3:15; 8:10-11) but

⁴⁷ Westermann, *Wurzeln*, 86.

⁴⁸ Westermann, *Wurzeln*, 86; The community assessed values “dass man ständig gegeneinander abwog, was gut und was weniger gut war.”

⁴⁹ Character formation might be the highest value also, but it seems that wisdom and character form an indissoluble union in Proverbs. See more below.

it also gives a reason for such an admonition. For instead of acquiring wisdom for its own sake or due to the many wicked reasons that interpreters might devise, which is quite possible based on 16:16 alone, wisdom is acquired in order to grow in wise character, since wisdom and character, both more valuable than precious metals, stand as the ultimate goals of the Proverbial educational program. In the case of 16:16, the program established in Proverbs 1-9 provides a hierarchy of ends and an axiological organisation.

Proverbs 1-9 also addresses the question of interpreting 22:1 in light of 16:16. Instead of the wisdom extolled by 16:16, Prov 22:1 presents “a name” as more choice-worthy than metals: “A name is to be chosen rather than great riches, favour is better than silver and gold.” When 22:1 and 16:16 are collocated, this “name” (נֶשֶׁךְ), referring at least to fame or reputation, stands next to wisdom in its value. What is “to be chosen,” though, if the choice lies between reputation and wisdom? An interpreter familiar with Proverbs most likely points to wisdom instinctively, since “Proverbs would of course value wisdom above personal fame or reputation.” But, I wonder, where does such an instinct come from? It does not most plausibly come from Proverbs 10-29, which, as seen throughout this chapter, generates more questions than answers about its values. It is by viewing Proverbs 1-9 as an interpretive framework, which presents goals and an organisation of values with rhetorical force and sufficient clarity, that the interpreter of 16:16 and 22:1 would, or should, choose wisdom.

The choice of values seems unquestionable in light of Proverbs 1-9, yet the nature of the reputation mentioned in 22:1 remains at best morally neutral, and its precise relation to wisdom, uncertain. Proverbs 1-9, however, does not simply frame the interpreter’s understanding of values in 10-29, it also informs the understanding of the “name” itself. As argued at the outset of this chapter, 22:1 uses the lexeme נֶשֶׁךְ, and this lexeme does not inherently indicate a moral or value-related quality, such as a “good name,” and at most connotes a name or reputation recognised as honourable. However, such renown may arise from good or bad reasons, like the 250 rebellious men who join Korah yet have a “name” among the people (Num 16:2). Proverbs 10-31 does not clarify the semantic question in 22:1, since נֶשֶׁךְ is otherwise explicitly modified (so Eccl 7:1). In contrast to the resources in 10-31, Proverbs 1-9 offers insight into both the content and sources of “a name” in 22:1.

Placed within the teleological structure of Proverbs 1-9, a name in the book of Proverbs connotes a person’s character, and this name would not include someone with a notoriously foolish character or wicked character, even if such qualities proved that person famous or reputable. The name endorsed by Proverbs 1-9 includes personal character developed by the wisdom that stems from the authoritative teachers of Proverbs and a relationship with the Lord, which indeed stands above the values of silver and gold. In other

words, interpreted within the frame of Proverbs 1-9, Prov 22:1 places value on a person's wise character, acquired through a religious and human-mediated education. This insight also explains why a name and wisdom stand at similar places within the network of value judgments created by 22:1 and 16:16. In the system of values and ends established by Proverbs 1-9, they also stand on similar ground, but their relationship is contextualised: wisdom begets wise character (i.e. a "name"), which itself embodies that wisdom who makes it possible. Again, Prov 22:1 may intend to promote any sort and source of fame over precious metals, but when placed in view of Proverbs 1-9—the proposed introduction to the book—an alternative interpretation arises. Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically for 10-29 by formulating a structured set of values and persuading towards a particular sequence of goals, which have offered insight into certain interpretive challenges of Prov 22:1 and related sayings. The final example of this section moves beyond the bounds of Prov 10:1-22:16 into Proverbs 30 to see if Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically for the words of Agur.⁵⁰

Proverbs 30

The final example for this section on Proverbial goals turns attention away from competing values and visions and towards the question of a goal altogether. I concentrate on part of Proverbs 30-31, what has been called a "bookend" of Proverbs, which complements 1-9 and bears a different relationship to it than 10:1-22:16.⁵¹ While Proverbs 30-31 perhaps builds upon 1-9 and "frames" the book rather than constituting part of its proverbial core, it nonetheless illustrates the didactic role of 1-9. Proverbs 30:1-9 is examined due to its particular interpretive challenge, as it expresses an epistemological dilemma: Agur says he has no knowledge. However, the passage seems to lack a context within which to explain why Agur's problem is a problem. Why does his lack of knowledge vex him so greatly? Before pressing further into 30:1-9, a word should be said about the nature of Proverbs 30-31 as a whole and its relation to the approach taken so far. These final chapters do not contain as many assumptions as Prov 10:1-22:16 or, in my judgement, 22:17-29:27 and are comprised of longer, more coherent poems. In this way 30-31 resembles Proverbs 1-9 and provides, rather than assumes, most of its interpretive context. For example, 31:1-9 depicts a mother speaking to her royal son, maintaining a case for how he should rule his people. The familial and royal

⁵⁰ For a discussion of Prov 22:17-29:27 see Chapter 5: The Supremacy of the Lord's Wisdom and Sovereignty.

⁵¹ See Chapter 1: Current Interpretations of Proverbs 1-9 as an "Introduction."

contexts are established, as are the audience and solution that the king must address. While the poetic form of Proverbs 30-31 eliminates many hermeneutical challenges, it does not clarify every interpretive issue that arises within these chapters.

The Epistemological Problem in Proverbs 30:1-9

One such challenge appears in Prov 30:1-9, a passage that contains “the words of Agur son of Yakeh.” These words form part of a chapter that includes an opening confession and dictum (30:1-9), an assortment of instructions (30:10-17, 20) and numerical sayings (30:18-19, 21-33), all cohered by the concepts of pride and humility.⁵² The chapter starts with the confession of Agur (vv. 1-9), which poses special challenges for translation and interpretation. One such challenge especially demonstrates the role of Proverbs 1-9, namely, the question of why does Agur have a problem?

He says the following:

30:1	דברי אגור בן-יָקֵחַ המִשָּׁא	The words of Agur, son of Yakeh; the oracle. ⁵³
	נָאִם הַגִּבֹּר לֵאחִיָּאֵל	The utterance of the man: I am weary, O God; ⁵⁴
	לֵאחִיָּאֵל וְאָכַל	I am weary, O God, and wasted away.
30:2	כִּי בָעַר אֲנִכִּי מֵאִישׁ	For I am too brutish for a man;
	וְלֹא־בִינַת אָדָם לִי	and I do not have the understanding of a man;
30:3	וְלֹא־לִמְדַתִּי חִכְמָה	And I have not learned wisdom,
	וְדַעַת קְדוּשִׁים אֲדַע	and knowledge of the Holy One I do not know. ⁵⁵
30:4	מִי עָלָה־שָׁמַיִם וַיֵּרֵד	Who has gone up to heaven and come down?

⁵² For the many views on how to structure Proverbs 30, see Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 851; Ansberry, *Be Wise*, 163-164.

⁵³ מִשָּׁא may refer to “the Massahite” but would be its only occurrence.

For “Lemuel, king of Massah [לְמוּאֵל מֶלֶךְ מִשָּׁא]” in Prov 31:1, the term modifies “king” and lacks the article. To render “the Massahite” in 30:1, we expect מִמִּשָּׁא or מִשָּׁא, and “oracle,” widely attested elsewhere, suits the reference to the “words” of Agur and the נָאִם of 30:2.

⁵⁴ For the “utterance,” see Num 24:3, 15; 2 Sam 23:1. The oddity of a repeated “to Ithiel,” and the clause starting with כִּי in v. 2 (Delitzsch, *Proverbs*, 2:269), which supposes a prior statement, favours the majority interpretation presented above. The meaning of אָכַל is unknown, and revocalising it as a form of כָּלָה renders a sensible translation, hence the LXX’s καὶ παύομαι (see Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 850, 853-854).

⁵⁵ See explanation below.

מי אסף־רוח בחפניו	Who has gathered the wind in his fists?
מי צרר־מים בשמלה	Who has wrapped the waters in a garment?
מי הקים כל־אפסי־ארץ	Who has established all the ends of the earth?
מה־שמו ומה־שם־בנו	What is his name, and what is the name of his son?
כי תדע	Surely, you know!
30:5 כל־אמרת אלוה צרופה	Every word of God is refined;
מגן הוא לחסים בו	he is a shield to those who seek refuge in him.
30:6 אל־תוסף על־דבריו	Do not add to his words,
פן־יוכיח בך ונכזבת	lest he reprove you and you be proven a liar.

After Agur bemoans his lack of knowledge and energy (vv. 1-3), he attests to the otherness of God and the strength of God's words: "Who has gone up to heaven and come down? . . . Every word of God is refined; he is a shield to those who seek refuge in him" (vv. 4a, 5). While the puzzlement and theology of 30:4-9 may accentuate the epistemological problem stated in 30:1b-3 or formulate its solution, Agur is nevertheless weary, brutish, and ignorant, and one question remains unanswered. As Delitzsch enquires: "Why this complaint?"⁵⁶ The negative statements of Agur, his weariness, lack of understanding, and failure to learn wisdom offer no assertion about why Agur's problem is a problem. He clearly has one, if not many, but does not situate it in a context that explicates his justification for having such a deep-seated lament. According to André Barucq,

Il n'est pas aisé de rattacher logiquement ce passage au début des « paroles des sages » . . . Ces diverses péripécies font l'effet d'extraits ayant appartenu à un ensemble plus vaste. On les aurait juxtaposés comme en une anthologie sans prendre soin d'en recréer le contexte.⁵⁷

Barucq questions not only the context of Agur's words, he questions how other portions of Proverbs might recreate the context and doubts that they have done so. The significance and difficulty of my question is revealed by the efforts of many interpreters to situate the passage within a background other than Proverbs.

Interpreters offer, deliberately or accidentally, an answer to the question of Agur's problem in one of three ways. First, many explain the significance of this passage by appealing to links with other OT texts, such as Raymond van Leeuwen who says, "Reference

⁵⁶ Delitzsch, *Proverbs*, 2:272.

⁵⁷ André Barucq, *Le Livre des Proverbes* (Paris: J. Gabalda, 1964), 221.

to other passages of Scripture is an essential feature of this passage, and these allusions and quotations have a deliberate theological and canonical function.”⁵⁸ Such intertextual links do provide insights into the interpretation of Prov 30:1-9 but not, I will argue, for one of the primary questions raised by the passage, namely, why does Agur have a problem? Job 38-39 contains many connections with the questions posed in Prov 30:4, but Job does not simply want knowledge, as it seems Agur does; he wants vindication before God.⁵⁹ For instance, in addition to the context of the whole book of Job, the remarks in Job 40:2, 7b-8 show that Job desires to be in the right rather than, primarily, to find knowledge and wisdom. According to the Lord,

Shall a fault-finder dispute with the Almighty?
 He who reproves God, he shall answer it . . .
 I will question you and you make it known to me.
 Will you even frustrate my judgment?
 Will you condemn me that you might be in the right?

The problem that vexes Job stems in part from a lack of knowledge but primarily from the way that he has been treated in light of his prior character and actions. Job pleads for a hearing with God to vindicate his integrity, which leads to humility, whereas Agur admits failure, finds confidence in God’s supremacy, and maintains a humble attitude.

The questions in Prov 30:4 appear elsewhere in the OT and ANE literature, attesting to what Van Leeuwen sees as three “topoi” of such texts.⁶⁰ One pertains to the extension of stature and grasp, especially a god’s; another depicts a hero ascending to heaven and descending to earth or the underworld; and the final, the most relevant to my question, regards

⁵⁸ Van Leeuwen, “Proverbs,” 251. See also Rick D. Moore, “A Home for the Alien: Worldly Wisdom and Covenantal Confession in Proverbs 30,1-9,” *ZAW* 106 (1994): 96-107. His comments on page 104 begin to account for Proverbs 1-9. Outside of the biblical evidence, Papyrus Insinger concludes with declarations of piety (35.2-12; *AEL* 3:213).

⁵⁹ Cf. Barucq, *Proverbes*, 219. In support of the claims in this paragraph: Job defends his innocence (6:8-10, 24-25, 30; 9:21-24; 16:17; 23:11-12; 27:5-6), rebukes his friends (13:4-12; 16:2-4; 17:10; 21:7, 34), rages at God (7:17-21; 9:16) and demands a trial with the Lord (13:1-3; 19:25-27; 31:35-37). I am not saying that a “courtroom narrative” controls the entire book of Job, but Job does perceive his problem within a largely legal framework (cf. Longman, *Job* [Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2012], 34-37; Michael Dick, “The Legal Metaphor in Job 31,” *CBQ* 41 [1979]: 37-50).

⁶⁰ Van Leeuwen, “The Background to Proverbs 30:4aα,” in *Wisdom, You Are My Sister: Studies in Honor of Roland E. Murphy, O.Carm., on the Occasion of His Eightieth Birthday*, ed. Michael L. Barré, CBQ Monograph Series (Washington, DC: Catholic Biblical Association of America, 1997), 102-121.

“the cosmic scope of the god’s *investigative knowledge*, in contrast to other gods or humans who lack such knowledge.”⁶¹ This third topos includes exclusively biblical and apocryphal evidence, notably Job 11 and 28, while no Mesopotamian or Egyptian texts are put forward. The pertinent evidence would suggest that Agur’s problem is a problem because he strove for the “investigative knowledge” of God and has failed to attain it. Job 11:7, for example, asks “Will you find the searches of God? Will you find unto the end of *Shaddai*?” While questioning the extent of human epistemological capacities, the passage specifies the “deep things” (ESV) or “searches” (חקר) of God himself, and verse 6 mentions the “secrets of wisdom,” making it likely that the puzzlement in Job 11 regards not the “wisdom” and “understanding” that Agur lacks but something of a different quality.⁶²

Job 28 also contributes, according to Van Leeuwen, to the background to Prov 30:4, and, as a well-known chapter in the book of Job, recounts the poetically exalted search for wisdom—“where can it be found?” But Job 28 does not so much express the extent of God’s wisdom and the lack of man’s as it does the thirst for God’s wisdom and a human definition of it. The author wonders about wisdom’s source: “but where shall wisdom be found? And where, then, is the place of understanding? . . . God understands its way, and he knows its place” (28:12, 23). The author does not bemoan the inaccessibility of wisdom or the challenge of acquiring it. After all, he concludes with a definition of wisdom, with no suggestion about its remoteness: “Behold, the fear of Adonai, that is wisdom, and to turn from evil is understanding” (28:28b-c). It is possible that Agur’s problem arose due to his failure to find the source of wisdom, as if a successful quest would have manifested in a confession similar to Job 28, but I hope to show that another explanation is more plausible. While Agur and the book of Job state profound questions about wisdom, both human and divine, the connections between these texts do not furnish Prov 30:1-9 with a background that explains one of its key assumptions.

The pleas for knowledge and the recognition of God’s word and refuge in Psalms 73, 92, and 139 are also forwarded to explain Agur’s cry. Yet the psalmist’s remarks too arise from problems that do not cohere with Agur’s situation: vindication before accusers (Ps

⁶¹ Van Leeuwen, “Background,” 107-108 (*italics original*).

⁶² See, among others, Friedrich Horst, *Hiob*, BKAT 16/1 (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener, 1968) 169-170. Job 11:7-11 more closely aligns with Psalm 139, which expresses not the absence of human wisdom but the supremacy and inaccessibility of God’s complete wisdom (for this intertextual relationship, see Will Kynes, *My Psalm Has Turned into Weeping: Job’s Dialogue with the Psalms*, BZAW 437 [Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2012], 112-115).

139:19-24), salvation from enemies (Ps 92), and the psalmist's envy of the wicked (Ps 73).⁶³ To Job and Psalms, Van Leeuwen adds Deuteronomy: "the specific focus of Agur's ignorance appears to be his failure to learn the wisdom that Moses taught to Israel (Deut 4:1, 6) and for which one does not need to ascend to heaven (Deut 30:11-14)."⁶⁴ With Deuteronomy as a third and final OT background for Prov 30:1-9, Van Leeuwen suggests that the link of law and wisdom in Deut 4:1, 6, and the geographical references in 30:11-14 and Prov 30:4, cloak Prov 30:1-9 with a framework of law-keeping that then explains Agur's problem as his failure to obey the Mosaic law. In short, Van Leeuwen sees a coherent relationship between Deuteronomy and Prov 30:1-9. This Deuteronomic framework is also championed by Bernd Schipper, but instead of placing Prov 30:1-9 in a coherent relation to Deuteronomy, he sees Agur's lament as denying what Deuteronomy so confidently proclaims.⁶⁵ In its allusion, Prov 30:1-9 recognises the existence of an "authoritative tradition" in Mosaic torah yet claims that such a tradition cannot be grasped by human wisdom and, moreover, "geht nicht davon aus, dass die göttliche Weisheit vermittelt werden kann."⁶⁶ The "canon-formula" in Prov 30:6, according to Schipper, places Agur at odds with the Deuteronomic view of human wisdom, opposing any torah interpretation and mediation from one generation to the next. Consequently, the common intertextual connections that Van Leeuwen and Schipper appeal to render alternative interpretations of Prov 30:1-9, to which other conflicting versions of biblical echoes could be added.⁶⁷

Interpretations of Prov 30:1-9 are as manifold as the inner-biblical and extra-biblical allusions that appear in the text, which make it difficult to determine the intent of the textual allusions and how they relate. Certainly, law, covenantal themes, and other perspectives on wisdom congregate in Prov 30:1-9, and my aim is neither to refute these nor to offer a comprehensive account of how they might inform Agur's message. Many current treatments of these intertexts, however, seem to champion one element over another, run counter to each other, and offer little grounds for how allusions ought to be established and interpreted within Proverbs. The legal, Deuteronomic interpretation seems the most plausible candidate for offering a framework to answer the interpretive challenge of Prov 30:1-9—why is Agur's problem a problem?—but it has not done justice to the connections of this passage with other portions of Proverbs.

⁶³ See, e.g., Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 854, 862; Van Leeuwen, "Proverbs," 252.

⁶⁴ Van Leeuwen, "Proverbs," 252. Sæbø (*Sprüche*, 366) mentions Deut 8:12-14.

⁶⁵ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 250-255.

⁶⁶ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 256.

⁶⁷ See Ansberry, *Be Wise*, 163-169, among others.

Schipper does not completely side-line inner-Proverbial allusions in preference for inner-biblical allusions, for he identifies linguistic connections in Prov 30:2-3, 5b and 2:1-7. Proverbs 2:1-5 calls for “understanding” (בִּינָה) and turns an ear to “wisdom” (חִכְמָה) to find the fear of the Lord and knowledge of God. The search for wisdom leads to a relationship with the Lord, and such aims are promised in Prov 2:1-5. In 30:2b-3a, Agur denies these very concepts: “I do not have the understanding [בִּינָה] of a man; And I have not learned wisdom [חִכְמָה].” Schipper says this language “wirkt fast wie eine Anspielung auf Prov 2,1-5” and concludes that 30:2-3 denies what 2:1-5 promises.⁶⁸ Likewise, the likening of God to a “shield” in 2:7 is transformed in 30:5b, as such protection comes, in the former (2:7), to those who adhere as upright human beings to the wisdom doctrine and, in the latter (30:5b), to those who subordinate themselves to God’s word “unter Negierung der eigenen Erkenntnis.”⁶⁹ Agur’s words counter the optimism of Proverbs 2, negating and denying the very things that it promises, and ultimately, according to Schipper, displaying the “bankruptcy of wisdom” in favour of the eminence of torah.

Schipper’s interpretation accounts for Proverbs 2, which creates a framework for understanding Agur’s comments and dovetails with Agur’s concerns about wisdom and law. In summary, Agur negates the optimistic assertions of 2:1-7 and favours divine law over human wisdom. The question of why his problem is a problem, though, receives only an implicit answer—perhaps he found wisdom insufficient for life and found a solution in God’s law. Furthermore, the connections with Proverbs 1-9 warrant an additional look. The cluster of lexemes in Prov 30:2b-3—בִּינָה, חִכְמָה, דַּעַת—occurs elsewhere in the OT only in Prov 2:6 and 3:19-20 (both תְּבוּנָה), and Isa 11:2 (cf. Dan 1:4; 2:21), yet the lexical items or their roots also appear in Prov 1:2-7, especially in 1:2, which inaugurates the stated goals of Proverbs—לְדַעַת חִכְמָה וּמוֹסֵר לַהֲבִין אִמְרֵי בִינָה. These lexemes, therefore, relate 30:2b-3 not only with Proverbs 2 but also with 1:1-7, a passage that, I contend, offers a key interpretive context for Agur’s dilemma. According to Prov 1:2, acquiring knowledge, wisdom and understanding constitutes the aim of Proverbs, an aim that I spelled out in more detail earlier in this chapter and established as consistent with Proverbs 2, namely, through the text’s teachings and with the fear of the Lord, love and pursue wisdom in order to grow in wise character. Functioning didactically, Proverbs 1-9 as a whole offers this larger framework within which to view Agur’s claims and gives a firm sense for why his problem is a problem. It is not that human wisdom has gone bankrupt but that Agur himself has struggled with the aims set out in

⁶⁸ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 251.

⁶⁹ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 283.

Proverbs 1-9. He does not deny these aims; he confesses his inability to fully realise them. Agur's lament makes sense within the framework of goals in Proverbs 1-9, as he does not bemoan a failure to understand as such but bemoans a failure to achieve the book's aims. Agur confronts his stupidity, a problem that is a problem because it leaves the educational vision of Proverbs unaccomplished.

The Grammatical Problem in Proverbs 30:1-9

The function of Proverbs 1-9 is further demonstrated when we consider a related interpretive challenge of 30:1-9, this time a grammatical detail in verse 3:

ולא־למדתי חכמה ודעת קדשים אדע

The interpretive question here is how to translate the second colon. This colon (v. 3b) forms part of an explanation, started by כִּי in verse 2 and continued in verse 3a with a *waw*. Consequently, Agur says, “[v. 2] For I am too brutish for a man, and I do not have the understanding of a man; [v. 3a] and I have not learned wisdom.” These statements are followed by the line in question: ודעת קדשים אדע. The sequence of “do not” — “I do not have the understanding of a man, and I have not learned wisdom” — makes a negative in the final line plausible: “[v. 3b] and I [do not] know knowledge of the Holy One.” Negating the verb suits the grammatical context and flow of thought in 30:1-4, also corresponding to the acknowledgment that concludes 30:4 in response to the verse's series of questions: “Surely you know.” This choice finds further support in clear examples where a negative particle in the initial line governs an unmarked second line (Isa 38:18; Ps 9:19[18]; 35:19).⁷⁰

The viable alternative to negating 30:3b by grammatical subordination is to subordinate it semantically, interpreting the line as expressing the intention of verse 3a: “I have not learned wisdom that I may know knowledge of the Holy One.”⁷¹ Lamentations 1:19 uses a similar construction to express such a meaning, and nothing in Prov 30:1-9 opposes it. At the same time, nothing in 30:1-9 facilitates a confident conclusion about the interpretation of 30:3b, which when left on its own or to grammatical analogues in biblical Hebrew offers three plausible interpretations:

⁷⁰ So Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 854-855.

⁷¹ Delitzsch, *Proverbs*, 2:273; Plöger, *Sprüche*, 351.

I have not learned wisdom *but I know* knowledge of the Holy One.

I have not learned wisdom *so that I might know* knowledge of the Holy One.

I have not learned wisdom *and I do not know* knowledge of the Holy One.

The cluster of lexemes just noted and the inclusion of “knowledge of the Holy One” provide firm grounds for consulting Proverbs 1-9 in order to answer this grammatical question. Proverbs 9:10b itself, which uses this phrase—“knowledge of the Holy One”—offers a little clarity on the issue, asserting that “knowledge of the Holy One is insight.” This statement equates knowledge of God with insight to suggest that Prov 30:2-3 would treat them as equals. As I argued earlier, Collection I as a whole indicates that no true wisdom or instruction is had without the fear of the Lord, a relationship with the Lord, even if not further specified, that starts and maintains growth in wisdom. Therefore, unless “knowledge of the Holy One” refers to a special sort of knowledge, in distinction to the fear of the Lord, the second interpretation proposed—“I have not learned wisdom that I may have knowledge of the Holy One”—becomes problematic.⁷² For it implies that wisdom begets knowledge of God, rather than, in harmony with Proverbs 1-9, the knowledge of God being the starting point of wisdom, and thereby in some way begetting it. Agur may negate his wisdom and affirm his knowledge of God; yet Proverbs 1-9 gives no reason to think that such a situation is possible.

If Agur does affirm knowledge of God, he does not, in the view of Proverbs 2, proclaim the bankruptcy of wisdom or the power of torah. Instead, such a theological affirmation within the context of 30:1-9 would imply a failure on God’s part—for in Proverbs 2 those who search for wisdom receive it from God, who, as its source, gives wisdom to those who desire it. Agur’s denial of wisdom coupled with a knowledge of God would mean that God himself has failed to proffer what Proverbs 1-9, not to mention 10-29, promises he will. However, this scenario, that is, a denial of wisdom and an affirmation of God who then fails to deliver wisdom, is inconsistent with the rest of Agur’s remarks. For Agur, in his very confession of ignorance, reflects the posture of wisdom depicted in Proverbs 1-9, where the depths of ignorance are admitted only by those not “wise in their own eyes” (3:5-7).⁷³ As to the place of God in wisdom education, 30:5 seems to make his protective role contingent upon

⁷² Fox (*Proverbs 10-31*, 855-856) sees the wisdom of Prov 30:3 as different from the wisdom of Proverbs 2, but based on the grammar itself rather than the other way around.

⁷³ Longman, *Proverbs*, 520-521.

subordination to his words: “Every word of God is refined; he is a shield to those who seek refuge in him.” But what are the words of the Proverbial father and Wisdom herself in 1-9 if not the words of God? Schipper himself says “die Lehre des Weisheitslehrers in Prov 2 eng mit der Lehre JHWHs verknüpft ist. Die Weisheit, die er mitteilt, ist letztlich die Gabe JHWHs.”⁷⁴ Based on the rest of Agur’s words and theological statements in Proverbs 1-9, it seems that Agur struggles with attaining wisdom but does not declare it bankrupt. All of this is driving towards the grammatical question in 30:3.

The first option for translating 30:3—affirming a knowledge of God without wisdom—produces theological incoherence between Proverbs 1-9 and 30:1-9. The second option relates wisdom as a necessary condition for knowledge of God—“I do not have the understanding of a man *so that I might know* knowledge of the Holy One”—which offers a possible interpretation but again finds discord with the rest of 30:1-9, its reflection of knowledge of God, and the rest of Proverbs. When Proverbs 1-9 enters the picture as an interpretive framework, it places option three as the most likely interpretation: I do not have the understanding of a man *and I do not know* knowledge of the Holy One. Agur’s wholesale denial of wisdom and a knowledge of God reflects a failure of the educational goals outlined by Proverbs 1-9, remains consistent in how it relates those goals, and also receives grammatical support from other biblical passages. Negating the verb in 30:3b, then, seems the most plausible interpretation: “I do not know knowledge of the Holy One.”⁷⁵

The rhetorical style of Agur’s claim now requires consideration. Does he really deny wisdom and knowledge of God absolutely? Such denial does not accord with 30:5-9 and all of its theological affirmations, but neither does it accord with 30:1-4 itself. For 30:1b-c addresses God and expresses weariness: “I am weary, O God; I am weary, O God, and wasted away.” Consequently, many view the subsequent denials in verses 2-3 as hyperbolic statements borne of Agur’s desperate state.⁷⁶ I would add that such desperate calls find a place in the framework of Proverbs 1-9, which indicates the goals of wisdom, the way of wisdom, and the challenges entailed in heading its direction, which reasonably warrant an outburst like Agur’s. Expecting wisdom and striving for understanding, he cries out in exhaustion of what appears to be a failed education. He is weary; he is brutish; and, in his view, he has no understanding.

⁷⁴ Schipper, *Hermeneutik*, 71.

⁷⁵ Whichever option is selected must reason with the apparent discord between 30:1-4 and 30:5-9, which laments a lack of knowledge and, in my view, knowledge of God (vv. 1-4), and joins this with quite certain points of understanding and relationship with the Lord (vv. 5-9).

⁷⁶ Hans-Friedemann Richter, “Hielt Agur sich für den Dümmden aller Menschen? (Zu Prov 30,1-4),” *ZAW* 113 (2001): 419-421; Van Leeuwen, “Proverbs,” 252.

Agur's lament and his confidence in 30:1-9 accord with the framework of goals set out in Proverbs 1-9, which functions didactically by providing a plausible context to explain why Agur's problem is a problem and shed a bit of light on a grammatical issue in 30:3b. With this interpretation, I do not mean to silence the intertextual appeals made by many scholars or to assert the grammatical solution to 30:3b as irrevocable. However, Proverbs 1-9 does offer a cogent account of 30:1-9 and establishes a coherent relationship between both passages, perhaps lending some credibility to the idea that Proverbs 1-9 and 30-31 frame the book in a way significant for its meaning. This synchronic approach thus offers an alternative interpretation to Schipper's diachronic method, which may incline towards seeing views within Proverbs as disparate rather than coherent. Even if such methodological approaches harbour irreconcilable differences and cannot concur on particular exegetical questions, in this case they demonstrate constructive interaction that might advance both methods.

Conclusion

Having arrived at the end of this chapter's first section, we ought to take stock of where the argument has come so far. The chapter started by observing the plurality of ends in Proverbs 10-29 and offering two explanations about their relationship. The ends, or values, seem to remain in tension when viewed within Proverbs 10-29 alone, but they also prompt the question as to whether an organisation of values is latent within Proverbs. Posing the question of what end or ends Proverbs 1-9 persuades toward, I argued that 1-9 guides the interpreter towards particular goals that correlate to its vision of values. It establishes such guidance in Proverbs 7-8, which contrasts Folly and Wisdom to advocate friendship with the latter and avoidance of the former. These chapters likewise establish a preliminary educational program: the father's teaching operates as the educational starting point that directs the interpreter to wisdom who then facilitates growth in wise character. Proverbs 2 presents the most probable objection to this system, especially given its reputation as a Lehrprogramm for Proverbs 1-9.

Developed most recently by Bernd Schipper, work on the educational plan of Proverbs 1-9 draws attention to the goals of Proverbs 2 and the means that accomplish these goals, on the one hand affirming the conclusion derived from Proverbs 7-8, such as the role of the father's teaching and the aims of wisdom and wise character, yet on the other hand presenting a potential alternative to the system established so far. Schipper sees Prov 2:21-22 as the ultimate goal of Proverbs 2—that is, to dwell in the land—a proposal that I argue against on grammatical, conceptual, and literary grounds, maintaining my original educational program. However, Proverbs 2 supplements this educational paradigm by interpolating the Lord into

the values and goals of Proverbial education. The Lord now joins the father's instruction as the starting point of wisdom and the companion who aids in the realisation of the ultimate goal of wise character.

This framework of ends and values offered interpretive insight for Proverbs 10-29, providing an ordered set of ends that structures the apparently unordered values of 16:16 and 22:1—wisdom and fame/reputation (נָשָׂא)—and offering a teleological scheme with which to interpret “a name” in 22:1. In view of 1-9, this name refers to the reputation built by wise character, which is comprised of wisdom and its foundation of Proverbial teaching and a relationship with the Lord. Proverbs 1-9 does not deny other methods of interpreting sayings like 22:1, but when accounted for, it does impose a framework of ends and values that, I suggest, provides insight into interpretive challenges in ways that Proverbs 10-29, without 1-9, does not. Proverbs 30:1-9 also provoked an interpretive challenge derived from the lament of Agur who bemoans his lack of wisdom and then affirms the centrality of a relationship with God, leaving the question of why Agur's problem is a problem unaddressed. Intertextual appeals to Job, Psalms and Deuteronomy do not furnish an answer to this question with as much consistency as the book of Proverbs itself, especially Proverbs 1-9, which again functions didactically by providing a framework that explains why Agur's problem is a problem, setting it within a network of educational goals, and offering insight into a grammatical issue in Prov 30:3. Despite its interpretive fruit and broad scope, this section on the rhetorical aims of Proverbs does not account for every educational goal in Proverbs 1-9. To discover this, Proverbs must be approached from an entryway other than 10:1-22:16, and to this the following section is dedicated.

Discerning Moral Ambiguity

The first half of this chapter explored the, at times disparate, aims and values of Proverbs 10:1-22:16 to discover that Proverbs 1-9 outlines persuasive educational goals, creating a structure of values and a hierarchy of ends that organise the features in 10-29. The scheme produced by Proverbs 1-9 can be summarised as follows: through the father's teaching and fear of the Lord embrace wisdom in order to grow in wise character. This educational framework derives from Proverbs 2 and 7-8, chapters that contain the collection's "Lehrprogramm" and contrasting female figures, which offered interpretive insight for 16:16 and 22:1. It developed out of this study's primary starting point, namely, the interpretive challenges of 10:1-22:16 which then determined the interpretive priorities for Proverbs 1-9. As indicated in Chapter 2, this method does not distort the text, but it does run the risk of overlooking the most salient features of Proverbs 1-9. For instance, while Proverbs 7-8 clearly presents a contrasting pair of persuasive female figures to organise the values and ends of Proverbs, these figures, when read apart from 16:16 and 22:1, might also serve other purposes. Taken on their own account, the females might have a more significant or at least equally important role.

The second half of this chapter considers just such an alternative function by starting not with Prov 10:1-22:16 but instead with Proverbs 1-9. When interpreted independently of the rest of Proverbs, what do the book's first nine chapters emphasise? How do the women, in particular, function within 1-9 when examined on their own terms and without the interpretive priorities of 10:1-22:16? I will argue that they still inform the educational goals of Proverbs but they do so in a way overlooked by the previous section. That is, the women maintain their rhetorical potency but employ it for reasons yet unaccounted for. Accounting for this alternative entry point—Proverbs 1-9—by extracting its additional rhetorical features fortifies my argument, setting it more firmly on exegetical ground and within scholarly discussion on Proverbs.

This section, however, does not remain within Proverbs 1-9. Its chapters serve as a starting point that leads to 10:1-22:16. In short, the emphases of Proverbs 1-9 will prompt questions about material in 10:1-22:16 that have so far been overlooked. Proverbs 1-9 itself determines how it might function as an introduction by prompting interpretive priorities that disclose interpretive challenges in 10:1-22:16. The interpretive priorities of 1-9 come to the fore in an article by J. N. Aletti, who in 1977 argued that the presentation of speech in Proverbs 1-9, especially the words of the women, functions to persuade the interpreter

towards a certain outlook on morality.⁷⁷ Aletti's article on 1-9 will be unpacked to expose two proverbs from 10:1-22:16 that are then examined to demonstrate the function of Proverbs 1-9 (18:8; 14:12).

Moral Ambiguity in Proverbs 1-9

Treating a generous scope of material in Proverbs 1-9, Aletti focuses on three features: first, the linguistic chaos that ensues, especially in 1:22-33, due to the author's selection of lexemes, the tone of urgency, and the multiple substantives that connote panic (e.g., פחד; איד). Second, he concentrates on the means of seducing the simpleton or youth in 1-9 and, third, on the solution to this seduction, that is, the means by which one might avoid the fate of the simpleton. Seduction targets the simpleton or youth of Proverbs 1-9, and Aletti argues that it is not the objects or the beings themselves that seduce, it is rather the words spoken. For example, evil characters and good characters use similar words to persuade their audiences.⁷⁸ The gang of Proverbs 1 tempts the boy with promises that "we shall fill (נמלא) our houses with plunder" (1:13), while Wisdom promises to fill (מלא) the treasures of those who love her (8:21). The proverbial father says that a man should "always be intoxicated in her love (באהבתה)" (5:19), that is, the love of one's wife, while the temptress declares to the youth: "let us delight ourselves with love (באהבים)" (7:18). Lastly, the invitations of Wisdom and Folly in Proverbs 9 include identical phrasing, as both 9:4 and 9:16 state, "Whoever is simple, let him turn here. To the one who lacks sense, she says . . ." In each case, a good and an evil tempter use the same language to persuade their audiences. Gangs and Wisdom promise to fill treasures; a wife and an illicit temptress say they can delight a man with love; and the figures of Wisdom and Folly announce an identical invitation to dine with them. Aletti rightly concludes that in many respects the speakers of Proverbs 1-9 use the same means of temptation: words.

The fact that they use not only words but the same words in different and similar situations leads to Aletti's second insight: the solution to seduction. In the last section, I distinguished two layers of discourse in Proverbs 7, as on the one hand the reader encounters a story about a youth and his temptation, and on the other hand encounters the father's commentary on this story. The previous section focused on the latter, the father's

⁷⁷ Aletti, "Seduction." On the significance of this article for the present study, see Chapter 1: Proverbs 1-9 as an Independent Section, and Chapter 2: Two Methodological Avenues to Proverbs.

⁷⁸ Aletti, "Seduction," 133.

commentary, but Aletti accounts for both, especially the narrated scenario itself. The boy in Proverbs 7 is seduced by the temptress (7:21-23), listening to her words and spiralling to death, apparently unaware of her moral quality and the consequences that she expedites. Aletti observes that within such stories and elsewhere, the author notes the haste of such acts (1:16; 7:22-23; cf. 6:18) and accuses the agents of stupidity (5:22-23; cf. 1:7). Haste and stupidity, accentuated more than the evil or rebellious attitude of the youth, discloses the solution to seduction.

For Aletti, this solution resides in listening to the teacher: “Seule l’observation minutieuse des mots et des choses, ainsi que l’écoute patiente des maîtres (iv 13), permet de déjouer la séduction.”⁷⁹ In light of the chaotic presentation of language and competing sets of appealing words, Wisdom and the teacher endorse the truth of their words (Prov 8:6-7; 4:1-9) and draw attention to them throughout Proverbs 1-9 (e.g., 1:8, 22-24). Their strategy incorporates 4:1-9, a text that Aletti considers briefly but in my study has so far received no attention.⁸⁰ In this text, the father exhorts his son to hear and says, “For I give you good teaching; do not forsake my *torah*” (4:2). Then, appealing to the grandfatherly source of his instruction (4:3-4), he draws attention to seduction’s solution:

Acquire wisdom; acquire insight.

Do not forget and do not turn from the words of my mouth.

Do not forsake her, and she will keep you;

love her and she will protect you. (Prov 4:5-6)

The authority and reliability of the father’s teaching dovetails with the power of wisdom, points that feed into the educational paradigm outlined in the previous section: through the father’s teaching and fear of the Lord embrace wisdom in order to grow in wise character. As Aletti recognises, the solution to seduction also requires a divine helper—the Lord who gives wisdom to humans—leaving my original educational paradigm undisturbed.⁸¹ However, Aletti’s work on Proverbs 1-9 does add a component to the ultimate goal of “growth in wise character,” namely, the faculty of discernment. As mentioned, “Only the meticulous observation of words and matters . . . makes it possible to elude seduction.”⁸² Gaining such discernment requires, Aletti suggests, a long process of training:

⁷⁹ Aletti, “Seduction,” 140.

⁸⁰ Aletti, “Seduction,” 141.

⁸¹ Aletti, “Seduction,” 142-143.

⁸² Aletti, “Seduction,” 140.

de même que la valeur symbolique des êtres et des choses ne peut être découverte qu'à la fin d'un long apprentissage (lorsque le regard s'est exercé au discernement), de même, ce n'est qu'à la fin du livre lui-même, lorsqu'il a pu aiguïser son jugement à la lecture des proverbes, que l'élève sait reconnaître en cette femme de valeur le symbole de la sagesse et qu'il chante sa louange.⁸³

Discernment comes by sharpening one's judgment through the reading of proverbs with the aid of God-given wisdom. It is the means proposed in this formula that is key for determining how Proverbs 1-9 functions: judgment is sharpened by means of reading proverbs ("à la lecture des proverbes"), that is, the proverbs contained in Proverbs 10-29. These proverbs may hone the moral faculties of a reader now prepared by 1-9 for moral ambiguity. Such moral ambiguity appears to be a hermeneutical bridge between Proverbs 1-9 and 10-29, a connection that Aletti addresses with only a brief comment. My question, therefore, is whether 10-29 contains or displays similar moral confusion, and how this material relates to 1-9.

In summary, Aletti discloses a key aim of Proverbs 1-9: it depicts the world as morally ambiguous, a place where, like the words of competing voices, bad things look good and good things may, therefore, look like the bad. The moral landscape is convoluted and those who traverse it need skill to discern good from bad and bad from good. Without the queries of Proverbs 10-29 in mind, Proverbs 1-9 renders the world morally ambiguous and proposes a solution in divine wisdom. If 1-9 functions didactically in this regard, then it ought to relate to some such moral ambiguity in 10-29.

Moral Ambiguity in Proverbs 10-29

In view of the main points of Aletti's argument, which concentrate solely on Proverbs 1-9, it is remarkable to find that 10-29 displays moral ambiguity of a similar shape. Quite a few proverbs portray fools as morally confused: "The wisdom of the prudent is to discern his way, but the folly of fools is deceit" (14:8); "Folly is a joy to the one who lacks sense, but a man of understanding walks straight"⁸⁴ (15:21); "Thus is the way of the adulteress: she eats and wipes her mouth and says, 'I have not done wrong' " (30:20).⁸⁵ Some passages also suggest that bad things look good, or taste good as 20:17 would prefer: "Bread of falsehood is

⁸³ Aletti, "Seduction," 144.

⁸⁴ Delitzsch (*Proverbs*, 1:327) rightly sees לכת as an accusative, as in Prov 30:29 and Micah 6:8.

⁸⁵ Proverbs 10:23; 12:15; 13:19; 14:8, 13; 15:21; 17:4; 20:17; 26:23-26; 30:20; cf. 17:24; 27:7.

sweet to a man, but afterward his mouth will be full of gravel.” Proverbs 10-29 portrays evil characters as morally distorted, with fools taking joy in folly unlike the prudent person who discerns his way. Certain passages imply that the world is a morally ambiguous place, a place where falsehood tastes sweet, and telling right from wrong is not necessarily easy. Two proverbs of this sort will be examined in this section: “The words of a whisperer are like tasty morsels, they go down into the chambers of the belly” (18:8); “There is a way that is straight before a man; but its end is the way of death” (14:12).⁸⁶ These passages disclose concord between Aletti’s interpretation of Proverbs 1-9 and the moral world of 10-29, and will demonstrate the didactic function of 1-9 as it prepares the interpreter not only to expect moral ambiguity but also to find its solution.

Proverbs 18:8

Proverbs 18:8 discloses moral ambiguity in a way slightly different to what has been expressed by other passages from Proverbs 10-29. It says,⁸⁷

<p>דברי נרגן כמתלהמים והם ירדו חדר־בטן</p>	<p>18:8 The words of a whisperer are like tasty morsels, they go down into the chambers of the belly.</p>
--	--

The other texts from Proverbs 10-29 show evil characters as morally distorted, confusing good and bad, such as the one who “lacks sense” and finds “folly a joy” instead of adhering to the good way of the wise man (15:21). This proverb and those like it noted above cohere with the portrait of morally confused people in Proverbs 1-9, wherein evil people, who stray from wisdom’s way, treat evil as if it is good. Proverbs 18:8, however, coheres less with the portrayal of morally-confused, evil characters in Proverbs 1-9 and more with the problem of moral ignorance observed by Aletti. As he remarked,

le seul reproche qu’on puisse faire aux méchants est d’être des in-sensés, des sots, d’une sottise et d’une ignorance coupable . . . L’idiot est justement celui qui ne sait ni ne veut prendre du temps pour discerner, dans le discours

⁸⁶ Other passages of this sort include Prov 11:22; 14:8, 12; 16:21, 24-25; 17:8, 28; 18:16, 24; 20:6; 21:14; 26:22; 29:5; 30:21-23.

⁸⁷ The LXX reads, ὀκνηροὺς καταβάλλει φόβος ψυχὰι δὲ ἀνδρογύνων πεινάσουσιν (“Fear throws down the lazy, and the souls of the effeminate shall hunger”).

d'autrui, le vrai du faux.⁸⁸

The person who lacks sense in Prov 15:21 and calls folly a joy may very well align with the person described here by Aletti. The point is that this character has made a confused decision about something's moral quality, which is a problem distinct from the moral ambiguity of that thing or within the world itself, as is displayed by 18:8. Proverbs 15:21 displays a moral agent gone wrong; Prov 18:8 displays an object in moral ambiguity, akin to what appears in Proverbs 1-9.

Proverbs 18:8 employs distinctive language to describe “the words of a whisperer,” as the four occurrences of נרגן appear only in Proverbs (16:28; 18:8; 26:20, 22) and derivatives of the root in Deut 1:27; Isa 29:24; and Ps 106:25 to describe those who “murmur” as opposed to accepting instruction. The “whisperer” may slander or grumble, and although he seems to speak in a bad way, the evil quality of speech is not as obvious as the one who reviles or scoffs or utters falsehood (19:29; 21:24; 24:9; 30:10). Elsewhere in Proverbs, the whisperer “separates close friends” (16:28) and breeds a quarrel (26:20), the evidence altogether suggesting that נרגן connotes something subtler than blatant wrongdoing.

In 18:8, his words liken to “morsels” (מתלהמים), a *hapax legomenon* (parallel at 26:22) that, based on the Arabic cognate *lahima*, may connote a delicious flavour so that someone is “wolfing down gossip like food.”⁸⁹ Finally, these morsels descend into the חדרי בטן (“inner parts of the body”), a phrase that occurs only in Proverbs (20:27, 30; // 26:22) and stems from the Egyptian “casket of the belly” (*hnw n h. t*).⁹⁰ Both phrases indicate the belly as a “dwelling place for words” and, when joined to the rest of the language from Prov 18:8, display its distinctive nature and connection with Egyptian instructions.⁹¹ Although 18:8 continues to show itself as a fit example for the current topic, it remains to be seen if the other Egyptian and the OT resources resolve the interpretive issue at hand.

Other proverbs that mention the whisperer identify the troublemaking consequences of his words—estranging friends (16:28) and contributing to quarrels (26:20)—and suggest a disapproval of the character in 18:8. Similarly, 18:7 says that “A fool’s mouth is his ruin, and his lips are a snare to his soul,” portraying a speech problem from the speaker’s perspective. In contrast to these passages, 18:8 focuses on the listener, mentioning the attractiveness of the speaker’s words to inform an audience who might devour them. If we consider words from

⁸⁸ Aletti, “Seduction,” 140.

⁸⁹ Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 641. So Whybray, *Proverbs*, 267.

⁹⁰ Shupak, *Where Can Wisdom*, 291-297.

⁹¹ Shupak, *Where Can Wisdom*, 292.

the listener's point of view, then other proverbs in chapters 10-29, such as 16:21, 23-24, compound the moral ambiguity.

The wise of heart is called discerning,
and sweetness of lips increases persuasiveness.⁹²
The heart of the wise makes his mouth prudent
and adds persuasiveness to his lips.
Pleasant speech is honey of the comb,
sweetness to the soul and health to the bone.

According to these statements, a wise mind increases the persuasiveness of speech, and pleasant words are likened to honey that is sweet and gives health to the hearer. Proverbs 16:21 makes a similar point, showing that the speech of a good person tastes good, which suggests that wise words are delicious like the words of the whisperer. How, then, can one tell the difference? In Proverbs 10-29, both wise instruction and murmured gossip taste good to the hearer, constructing a morally ambiguous world where good and bad conflict, leaving the listener at a loss for how to discern what not only tastes good but is good.⁹³

Egyptian texts leave a similar impression. *Ani* 7.9-10 says "A man's belly is wider than a granary, and full of all kinds of answers; Choose the good one and say it, while the bad is shut in your belly."⁹⁴ The speaker contains good and evil, and he must choose the good, yet how so? *Ani* 7.9-10 simply says "choose the good one," but leaves one wondering, how do I discern the good from the bad in order to choose the better part? *Ani* 7.4-5 lends a bit of clarity: "One will do all you say if you are versed in the writings; Study the writings, put them in your heart, then all your words will be effective."⁹⁵ While this passage offers suggestions for the speaker, it is of limited help for our question. For the moral ambiguity in *Ani* stems from the speaker and is not portrayed from the perspective of the listener, who, as Proverbs frames the issue, must discern what is heard.⁹⁶ In view of the relevant evidence in Proverbs 10-29, Prov 18:8 leaves the interpreter with a moral ambiguity, portraying a world where bad words taste good. And since no solution readily arises from plausible interpretive resources to

⁹² For this sense of *ḥḥl*, see Prov 7:21 and Keefer, "A Shift," 113-114.

⁹³ Meinhold (*Sprüche*, 300) finds "an especially close parallel" in Jer 15:16, which recounts the joyful outcome of Jeremiah tasting God's words.

⁹⁴ *AEL* 2:140.

⁹⁵ *AEL* 2:140.

⁹⁶ Other passages about the "belly" also maintain the speaker's perspective (Shupak, *Where Can Wisdom*, 291-297).

resolve the ambiguity, I will suggest that Proverbs 1-9 provides insight into this interpretive challenge, after first consulting another proverb.

Proverbs 14:12

An interpretive situation similar to the one found with Prov 18:8 occurs with 14:12, which will be examined before moving on to Proverbs 1-9.

יש דרך ישר לפני־איש 14:12 There is a way that is straight before a man,
ואחריתה דרכי־מות but its end is the way(s) of death.

The saying describes a moral ambiguity at best and moral confusion at worst. In short, a person sees his way as “straight,” connotative of the right way (12:15; 14:2; 21:8), but he is wrong. What looks good is actually bad and the person in this scenario fails to realise it. The proverb portrays a person morally confused, and it prompts the interpreter to wonder whether he or she views life in this way. Harboured an interpretive challenge so similar to Prov 18:8, yet in different language and from a slightly different perspective, 14:12 will be treated more briefly, adding clarity and strength to the ongoing argument.

Proverbs near to 14:12 suggest that “prudent” (ערום) people understand their ways, in contrast to the simpleton and fool. “The wisdom of the prudent is to discern (*hiphil* בִּין) his way, but the folly of fools is deceit” (14:8). “The simpleton believes every word, but the prudent understands (*qal* בִּין) his steps” (14:15). The prudent person somehow understands and discerns his way, and, it seems, does not believe every word he hears, like the simpleton (14:15a), or associate with deceit in the ways that fools do (14:8b). If these character terms are imported into Prov 14:12, then we return to issues dealt with in Chapter 3, where the identity and function of character terms depend upon Proverbs 1-9 for interpretation.⁹⁷ Since that is not the interest of this chapter, and 14:12 itself does not include character terms, considering this saying from the angle of moral ambiguity as depicted in Proverbs 1-9 remains the focus. In support of treating 14:12 alone, notice its replicate in 16:25, which lacks a literary context analogous to 14:12’s (e.g., 14:8, 15). Proverbs 16:25 says that “the violent man entices his neighbour and leads him in a way not good,” ensuring that bad people may persuade to bad

⁹⁷ For connections between verses in this section of Proverbs 14, see Heim, *Like Grapes of Gold Set in Silver: An Interpretation of Proverbial Clusters in Proverbs 10:1-22:16* (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2001), 178-179.

ends but all the while fortifying the point made in 14:12—a bad way might look good, that is, enticing. The point prompts the question that arose with Prov 18:8—how does someone determine the right path from the apparently right path? Some interpreters, such as Waltke, offer a theological answer to the question:

The house of the wicked is annihilated because it is built on the flimsy foundation of human epistemology, the relative truth accessible to human sight. Only the omniscient, omnipotent God knows the true road that leads to life, reality as it actually is. Truth is beyond the reach of finite humanity; the Lord himself must reveal the right way through his inspired sage, and the disciple must accept that revelation by faith.⁹⁸

Waltke argues that God’s revelation provides insight for the human, and in support he cites Prov 3:7 and 30:1-6. In contrast to such an optimistic proposal, Whybray says

there is no suggestion here of the making of a choice between good and evil or between wisdom and folly. The proverb simply states that life contains hidden snares: the road ahead may seem to lead straight . . . to the desired goal, but there may be . . . hidden and fatal dangers further on.⁹⁹

Whybray too appeals to Proverbs 1-9, yet it seems to bring no interpretive import to 14:12, which stands alone and indicates that “there is a way that is straight before a man, but its end is the way of death,” with “no suggestion here of the making of a choice.”¹⁰⁰ In view of Whybray’s interpretation and its disregard for 1-9, Prov 14:12 contains no warning but only a matter of fact: bad things look good, or at least ישר. I hope to develop these allusions to Proverbs 1-9 by affixing the insights established earlier in this section to the interpretive question from 14:12.

The interpretive challenges and the potential solutions for two sayings in Proverbs 10-29 have been set out, prompted by their correspondence with Proverbs 1-9 and its mission to delineate the moral ambiguity of the world. The connections between Proverbs 1-9 and 10-29 do not simply attest to coherence or disparity, as Whybray suggests, but rather set up a relationship with which to test the long-voiced claim that Proverbs 1-9 functions as an

⁹⁸ Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 592. Also, Wildeboer, *Sprüche*, 42. Plöger (*Sprüche*, 171-172) remarks that the warnings of the wisdom teacher are missing in 14:12 but that the pupil possesses the principles to fight apathy.

⁹⁹ Whybray, *Proverbs*, 215.

¹⁰⁰ Similarly, Sæbø (*Sprüche*, 205) connects Prov 14:11-12 with Eccl 8:8-10[7-9] and 9:2-6, as instances of “unexplainable phenomena” (so Scherer, *Das weise Wort*, 149).

introduction to the rest of Proverbs. This function so far seems “didactic,” providing a framework that lends interpretive insights for particular passages in Proverbs 10-31, and it remains to be seen if the connection of 1-9 with 14:12 and 18:8 bolsters this argument.

The Function of Proverbs 1-9 for Proverbs 18:8 and 14:12

As argued earlier, Proverbs 1-9 displays a world of moral ambiguity similar to what appears in passages from Proverbs 10-29, where good and bad words taste delicious. Likewise, Wisdom and Folly both appear attractive to their audiences, who remain ignorant of the true nature of this persuasion when not tutored by the father. However, although Proverbs 1-9 and 10-29 share this moral vision, the former displays it within the context of prolonged poems and the father’s commentary. These features create a framework for the moral situation unavailable in Proverbs 10-29, an awareness, diagnosis and solution regarding moral ambiguity that shed light on 18:8 and 14:12.

Proverbs 1-9 instills three points that frame the interpretation of Prov 18:8. First, the father draws attention to the superiority of his words by attesting to their source in tradition (4:1-13), labelling his precepts as “good” and locating their origin in family transmission. Second, this teaching leads to Wisdom—for embracing her constitutes the central facet of the father’s teaching (4:7): “The beginning of wisdom—acquire wisdom!” Additionally, Wisdom bestows a bounty (4:8-9) and leads one on the way of life, as opposed to the way of folly, which is followed unto destruction by neglecting wisdom. Such is the point of the “two ways” poems in Prov 4:10-27, wherein the boy should “Keep hold of instruction and do not let go; protect her, for she is your life” (4:13). Third, as Aletti points out, the father roots wisdom’s eminence and his own words in their divine origin (2:6; Proverbs 8): “la parole du sage est une parole *transmise* (iv 1-4). Le discours du sage et celui des insensés (y compris la femme adultère) diffèrent par leur *origine*. Le discours du sage est un don de Yahweh.”¹⁰¹ The divine origin, culminating in Proverbs 8, leaves the solution to moral ambiguity clear: “Tout l’effort rhétorique des chapitres précédents visait à démasquer cette faculté que l’homme a.”¹⁰² Aletti perhaps overstates the significance of “order” in answering the interpretive question of moral ambiguity, an emphasis that, I will argue in the next chapter, should be placed more on a wisdom-mediated relationship with the Lord, but for the present issue, a solution becomes clear.

¹⁰¹ Aletti, “Seduction,” 141.

¹⁰² Aletti, “Seduction,” 143.

This solution regards the problem observed above, where sayings like 16:21 and 18:8 liken speech to tasty food, yet suggest both wise instruction and murmured gossip taste good to the hearer, constructing a morally ambiguous world where good and bad conflict and leaving the listener at a loss for how to discern what not only tastes good but is good. Joining the observations gathered from Proverbs 1-9 with the network of goals from the previous section, the solution to the morally ambiguous world encountered in 10-29 lies in the character of the listener who must embrace wisdom in relationship to the Lord and under the teacher's tutelage, and thereby nurture the skill of discernment to ascertain the true quality of speech. Proverbs 1-9, then, shows that only by discernment, a quality of wise character, can someone resist the tasty morsels of the whisperer (18:8) and devour the instruction of the wise (16:23-24). In this way, Collection I functions didactically.

Like Prov 18:8, Prov 14:12 portrays a morally ambiguous world where bad things look good: "there is a way that is straight before a man, but its end is the way of death." A person sees his way as right and follows it to death, unaware of its true quality. How, then, can the truly right path be determined? Proverbs 14:8 and 14:15 suggest that "prudent" people can discern the right way, but the sayings do not explain how such discernment functions or where it comes from.¹⁰³ I contend that Proverbs 1-9 offers insight into this dilemma: the discernment necessary to know the truly ישר way from the falsely ישר way begins with the father's instruction and the fear of the Lord, leading to the acquisition of wisdom and growth in wise character that she facilitates with the Lord's help. Such human character harbours the moral wherewithal to determine right from wrong, good words from bad, a straight path from an allusion. Proverbs 1-9 supplies an interpretive frame of goals and a solution to moral ambiguity that offer insight into the challenges of sayings like Prov 14:12 and 18:8.

Conclusion and Implications

Aletti provided an alternative interpretation of Proverbs 1-9 that accounts for its rhetorical aims and dovetails neatly with my earlier section on the aims, values, and educational construct of 1-9. Distinctly, this section of my study used 1-9 as its starting point, and, in the case of this chapter as a whole, the two methods of approaching Proverbs enriched each other. Approaching 10:1-22:16 first, extracting its interpretive questions and consulting 1-9 as a resource for those questions proved fruitful; likewise, starting with 1-9 and

¹⁰³ Meinhold (*Sprüche*, 235) remarks that 14:12 "can hardly be squared" with the conception of the two paths in Proverbs 1-9.

determining its own priorities without the concerns of 10:1-22:16 uncovered unforeseen connections with 10:1-22:16 to expose the didactic function of Proverbs 1-9. Both methods treated themes of rhetoric in Proverbs—how and what it persuades towards—and revolved around its educational goals. My initial interests and argument on these topics show concord with Aletti's interpretation of Proverbs 1-9, all of which I have now integrated and extended to the rest of the book of Proverbs.

Four cases in particular demonstrated the didactic function of Proverbs 1-9 in the realm of educational goals. Proverbs 22:1 and 16:16 confront the interpreter with a choice between values and an unqualified concept of "fame/reputation" that are respectively organised and deciphered when interpreted in light of Proverbs 2 and 7-8. If forced to choose between wisdom (16:16) and fame (22:1), pick wisdom. As for the neutral *repute* denoted by *נִשְׁבָּח*, Proverbs 1-9 qualifies the concept as renown derived from wise character. The next interpretive challenge, found in Prov 30:1-9, stems from Agur's lament that he has failed to learn wisdom, but it is not clear why this constitutes a problem. Proverbs 1-9 constructs a frame of educational goals that explains Agur's problem by locating it within an overarching aim of the book. Agur bemoans a lack of understanding, not in and of itself but because it attests to his incomplete education in the school of wisdom, echoing the now unfulfilled objectives of 1:2-7. The final two examples, Prov 18:8 and 14:2, portray the world as morally ambiguous, a characteristic observed first in the poems of Proverbs 1-9, where bad things, especially, can look good. What the sayings in Proverbs 10-30 do not provide, however, is a solution. How do I distinguish the good from the bad when wise instruction and gossip taste delicious (18:8)? And how do I know if the way that, in my eyes, lies "straight" ahead leads to death instead of life (14:2)? Proverbs 1-9 supplies an answer, instructing the interpreter that only discernment—a part of wise character developed through embracing wisdom with the help of the Lord and the father's teaching—will enable the interpreter to rightly evaluate moral ambiguity.

Proverbs 1-9 continues to function didactically by providing a framework of educational goals and a moral vision of the world that provide interpretive insight for material in Proverbs 10-31. This chapter discovered this function by approaching the book of Proverbs from two directions: starting with 10:1-22:16, the primary mode of this study, but also by starting with Proverbs 1-9, methodologies that complement each other and corroborate a consistent relationship for 1-9 and the rest of the book. Moving away from educational goals and moral ambiguity, the next chapter accounts in full for a figure so far mentioned in part: *יהוה*. By examining the references to him throughout Proverbs, the function of Proverbs 1-9 can be determined from a theological perspective.

5

Theological Context

The “Lord” has come up at certain points in this study, but to say that my argument has dealt with the theological context of Proverbs would be an overstatement. In this chapter, I give concentrated attention to the material in Proverbs that mentions the “Lord” or “God” and examine the scope of his activity. The first question that arises about this “theological” material is, how should it be approached? Of the 375 proverbs in Prov 10:1-22:16, fifty seven refer to the Lord or God, and I suggest that they organise quite neatly into three categories: human postures towards the Lord; the supremacy of his wisdom and sovereignty; and the Lord’s affection and assessment.¹

Human Postures towards the Lord

The Fear of the Lord	15:33; 16:6	10:27; 14:2, 26, 27; 15:16; 19:23; 22:4
Positive and Negative Postures	16:3	10:29; 14:31; 16:20; 17:5; 18:10; 19:3, 17; 20:22

Supremacy of the Lord’s Wisdom and Sovereignty

Wisdom	16:1, 9	15:3; 19:21; 20:24, 27; 21:30; 22:12
Sovereignty	16:4	10:3, 22; 15:25; 16:11, 33; 19:14; 20:12; 21:1, 31; 22:2

The Lord’s Affection and Assessment

Abomination of the Lord	16:5	11:1, 20; 12:22; 15:8, 9, 26; 17:15; 20:10, 23
General Affection and Assessment	16:2, 7	12:2; 15:11, 29; 17:3; 18:22; 21:2, 3; 22:14

¹ In linear fashion: 10:3,22, 27, 29; 11:1, 20; 12:2, 22; 14:2, 26, 27, 31; 15:3, 8, 9, 11; 15:16, 25, 26, 29, 33; 16:1-7, 9, 11, 20, 33; 17:3, 5, 15; 18:10, 22; 19:3, 14, 17, 21, 23; 20:10, 12, 22-24, 27; 21:1-3, 30, 31; 22:2, 4, 12, 14. I exclude 21:12, which refers to the “righteous one,” because it may indicate simply a human. If it does refer to the Lord, it would fit in with the Lord’s affection and assessment. To Whybray’s count of 55 references, I add those that mention the “Maker” (עֹשֶׂה) (14:31; 17:5). For those who account for the majority of verses with breakdowns slightly different than my own, see Gramberg, *Das Buch*, 2-16; André Lelièvre, *La sagesse des Proverbes: Une leçon de tolérance* (Genève: Labor et Fides, 1993); John W. Miller, *Proverbs* (Scottsdale, PA: Herald Press, 2004), 206-217.

The first set of passages feature human postures towards the Lord, which include references to the “fear of the Lord,” and the attitudes and actions of humans. Postures may be positive, such as those who commit to him (16:3) or wait for him (20:22), or negative, like those who rage against (19:3) or insult the Lord (14:31; 17:5).² The second group of texts suggests that the Lord’s wisdom and power supersede those of humankind. By wisdom, he keeps omniscient watch over people and situations (15:3; 22:12), and he plans with superior skill and insight (e.g., 16:1, 9; 20:24). With supreme sovereignty, he controls or holds the final say in matters of justice (16:11), war (21:13), and daily life (10:3), also operating as an omnipotent creator (16:4; 22:2). Passages in the third group underscore the Lord’s emotional posture towards humans or his penetrating evaluation of them, in other words, his affection and assessment. This final category represents all references to the Lord’s “abomination,” as well as his favour (12:2; 18:22), acceptance (21:3), anger (22:14), or powers of perception (15:11; 16:2) and its consequences (17:3; 16:2b).

Each of these three categories is represented in a single passage containing the highest concentration of references to the Lord in 10:1-22:16, what Whybray has called a theological “kernel”: Prov 15:33-16:9.³ The Lord appears in every verse of 15:33-16:9, except for verse 8, which, nevertheless, comfortably integrates into the passage’s theological schema. From this kernel I examine three sayings in turn: 16:3 pertains to human postures towards the Lord; 16:9 concerns the supremacy of the Lord’s wisdom and sovereignty, to which 22:19 is added to extend conclusions beyond 10:1-22:16; and 16:2 deals with the Lord’s affection and assessment. As exhibited in previous chapters, the texts of 10:1-22:16 present interpretive challenges and consequently supply interpretive questions that are then posed to Proverbs 1-9. It will be shown that Proverbs 1-9 furnishes interpretive insight for the theological framework of Proverbs 10-29 and in this way functions didactically.

² I include Prov 10:29, because “the way of the Lord” and “refuge” denote the subject’s behaviour and trust in the Lord.

³ Scherer (*Das weise Wort*, 190) notices that the eight occurrences of יהוה in Prov 15:33-16:7 are not only Collection II’s highest concentration of divine references but are “die längste stichwortbedingte Spruchkette der ganzen Sammlung.”

Human Postures Towards the Lord

Human Postures Towards the Lord in Proverbs 16:3

16:3 גל אל־יהוה מעשיך Commit your works to the Lord
 ויכנו מחשבתך and your plans will be established.

Like a number of other passages pertaining to human postures towards the Lord (10:29; 18:10; 20:22), Prov 16:3 baldly commands the interpreter to commit one's works to the Lord. It woodenly reads, "Roll (*qal* גלל) your works to the Lord," using a verb that clearly connotes "trust" (Ps 37:5; cf. 22:8-10) and when negated means "take away" (Josh 5:9).⁴ The verse exhorts one to "roll upon," "entrust," or "commit" works to the Lord. These works probably represent all human actions, since within the local literary context they parallel "plans" and appear with a series of כל in 16:2, 4-5, suggesting a comprehensive scope.⁵ "All the ways of a human are pure in his eyes" (16:2a); "the Lord made *everything* for its answer" (16:4a); "*everyone* haughty in heart is an abomination to the Lord" (16:5a). Proverbs 16:3 quite plausibly implies, "Commit *all* your works to the Lord."⁶ While the verses surrounding 16:3 feature the Lord's supremacy—he seems to make, know and oversee everything—Prov 16:3 itself, especially its initial injunction, emphasises the posture of humans towards the Lord. For they should entrust all matters to him, matters he will indeed establish.

The primary interpretive challenge of this saying stems not from what it denotes—the semantic features come across clearly—but rather from what it connotes, implies, or assumes. By commanding humans to trust the Lord, Prov 16:3 assumes that the Lord is worthy of human trust, a presupposition evident in other references to the Lord in 10:1-22:16, which liken the Lord to a stronghold (10:29; 18:10) or commend interpreters to "wait for the Lord," who will assuredly "help" them (20:22). A number of other explanations for why the Lord might deserve trust also appear in Collection II: the Lord is wise and in control (16:1, 9); he dispenses hatred and favour and punishment to humans (16:5; 12:2; 21:3). These texts establish a notably affective and transcendent God, yet, outside of his bald power and

⁴ The term גלל need not be emended to גלע (Meinhold, *Sprüche*, 266), and the evidence does not permit us to say that גלל means "to make one's plans congruent with God's will" (Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 609).

⁵ Heim, *Grapes*, 209; Delitzsch, *Proverbs*, 1:336. For "plans" and "establish" see Prov 16:9 in this chapter at The Supremacy of the Lord's Wisdom and Sovereignty.

⁶ Scherer (*Das weise Wort*, 194) rightly notes the phonic pattern created by the repeated כל in 16:2-5 to which גל contributes.

recompense, they offer little incentive to trust him. The assumption of God's trustworthiness might be explained by the identity of the text's historical audience, if it includes those of the covenant community who could have drawn upon additional theological knowledge to ground their trust in the Lord. The proverb's ties with other OT texts supports the possibility.

Within the OT and outside of Prov 16:3, the lexeme גלל occurs in the imperative *qal* five times, twice commanding people to "roll" a large stone (Josh 10:18; 1 Sam 14:33) and three times in Psalms, one of which requests that God would "take away from me" (גל מעלי) the scorn and contempt of insolent people (119:22). The two remaining uses of גלל in Psalms resemble its use in Prov 16:3. In Ps 22:9[8] the psalmist recalls the taunts of others who say "Trust (גל) in the Lord; let Him deliver him; let Him rescue him; for He delights in him." The jeers imply that the psalmist might trust the Lord because of the Lord's ability to rescue him and delight in him. One wonders whether these jeers reflect grounds with which the psalmist would agree or grounds misapplied by his enemies. It seems that the jeers most likely represent the psalmist's beliefs, albeit those he currently struggles to believe, which are then deployed by his enemies as ammunition for mockery.⁷ Elsewhere in the psalm, the psalmist appeals to the trust that his ancestors had in the Lord and the consequent salvation that God performed for them (22:5-6[4-5]), while he later bids that the congregation fear and praise the Lord on grounds that the Lord did not hide his face from the psalmist but responded to his cry (22:24-25[23-24]).

The *qal* imperative of גלל also occurs in Ps 37:5, where the psalmist enjoins the congregation to trust the Lord rather than envy wrongdoers. The psalm contains significant lexical links with language prominent in Proverbs: "your way," דרכך (v. 5); the "wicked" and "righteous," רשע/צדיק (v. 12); the righteous speaking "wisdom," חכמה (v. 30); and the "upright," ישר (v. 37).⁸ The grounds for the imperative to "Commit your way to the Lord" in Ps 37:5a seems to be that God will indeed act, as the following line says—"Trust (בטח) in him and he will act." He will also make the vindication of those who trust in him brilliant (v. 6) and, as other parts of the psalm indicate, he knows the days of the blameless (v. 18) and will rescue those who take refuge in him (v. 40).⁹ If posed with the question, "why trust the

⁷ John Goldingay, *Psalms: Volume 1, Psalms 1-41*, Baker Commentary on the Old Testament Wisdom and Psalms (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2006), 330.

⁸ Cf. Ps 37:6, 28-29 and Prov 2:21-22; 4:18.

⁹ For a maximal interpretation of Psalm 37 as a psalm concerned with wisdom, see Simon Cheung (*Wisdom Intoned: A Reappraisal of the Genre 'Wisdom Psalms'* [London; New York: Bloomsbury T&T Clark, 2015], 53-78, esp. 70-71), who reasonably holds that the theme of trusting the Lord features in Ps 37:1-6 and that the clauses in verses 4b and 6 undergird the call to trust him in verse 5.

Lord?”, the author(s) of Psalms 22 and 37 may, in summary, reply, “because he responds with salvation; he hears his people in whom he delights, and he acts for them.”

It would be inappropriate to evaluate the sufficiency of these reasons for trusting the Lord, but it is not out of place to say that they offer an alternative perspective on the transcendent, albeit emotional, God of Prov 10:1-22:16. In Psalms he is powerful, knowledgeable and ready for action. Although the lexical and thematic links suggest some connection between Prov 16:3 and Ps 37:5, it remains questionable if the psalm takes interpretive precedence over other material in Proverbs.¹⁰ Furthermore, to transfer theological principles from Psalm 37, which may have developed from particular historical experiences and been disseminated, to Proverbs entails interpretive obstacles and a method of study that I have chosen to set aside from the current project. On initial evaluation, the lexical and thematic links with Psalm 37 do establish a potentially significant connection for a final form study of intertextuality between Proverbs and the OT. We might move beyond the particular lexical link of לָל and consider the question—why trust the Lord?—based on all lexemes for “trust” or on other pertinent evidence in the OT that offers an answer this question. However, such a broad search would accumulate so many answers that determining the most plausible motives for trust in Prov 16:3 with this method would complicate and distract from, more than inform, the enquiry at hand, namely, how does Proverbs 1-9 function for Proverbs? The interpretive challenge of 16:3 remains, and so I turn now to Proverbs 1-9 to examine its reasons for trusting the Lord.

Human Postures Towards the Lord in Proverbs 1-9

Proverbs 1-9 is averse neither to the concept of God nor to the act of trusting him. Each chapter, except for Proverbs 4 and 7, mentions “the Lord” or “God” at least once, with the references totalling 22.¹¹ A particularly good example arises in Prov 3:1-12, when, in 3:5, the Proverbial father says to his son, “Trust (בָּטַח) in the Lord with all your heart, and on your

¹⁰ Scherer’s (*Das weise Wort*, 194) observation about the phonic connections in Prov 16:2-5, noted earlier, support the possibility that לָל was selected for 16:3 because of its phonic qualities, which, from a diachronic perspective, would possibly lessen its connection with Psalm 37 for those arguing that this portion of Proverbs developed later. However, placing the lexeme after the first use of לָל, instead of in verse 4 or 5 after the pattern establishes itself, weakens the explanation that the lexeme appears for phonological reasons (see Keefer, “Sound Patterns,” 35-49; Thomas McCreesh, *Biblical Sound and Sense: Poetic Sound Patterns in Proverbs 10-29* [Sheffield: JSOT Press, 1991], 34). Cf. another rare lexeme in Ps 37:4 (עָנַג) and Job 22:26; 27:10.

¹¹ Proverbs 1:7, 29; 2:5-6, 17; 3:4-5, 7, 9, 11-12, 19, 26, 32-33; 5:21; 6:16; 8:13, 22, 35; 9:10.

own understanding do not lean.” The command arrives within a lecture about the relationship between the son and the Lord that begins with a call to cherish the father’s instructions (3:1-3) and notice their consequences: “So you will find favour and good success in the eyes of God and humankind” (3:4). This initial mention of God (אלהים) transitions to a series of references to the Lord (יהוה) at 3:5 and follows with seven direct or indirect references to him in 3:6-12. In summary: the son will find favour in the eyes of God (3:4); he should “trust in the Lord” (3:5), acknowledge him (3:6a), and the Lord will make straight his paths (3:6b). The Lord is to be feared (3:7) and honoured (3:8); he disciplines, reproves, loves and delights in the son (3:11-12).

When posed with the question, why trust in the Lord?, Prov 3:5-12 discloses answers that resemble those found in Prov 10:1-22:16.¹² The Lord deserves trust because of his supreme wisdom, since the son should not rely on his own insight (בינה; 3:5b) or be wise in his own eyes (היה חכם) (3:7a) but rather trust the Lord and fear him (3:5a, 7b). The Lord also deserves trust because of his supreme control over situations, since he can straighten the son’s paths (3:6b).¹³ Finally, the Lord deserves trust because of the consequences of relying upon him, since, by implication he heals the son (3:8) and supplies him with abundant resources (3:9-10). The incentives to trust the Lord in 3:5-10 add little to the incentives brought forward by 10:1-22:16, not least 15:33-16:9 itself, which mentions supreme wisdom, superior control, and favourable consequences. However, 3:1-12 supplies something that the other portions of Proverbs and the Psalms mentioned above do not, and it appears in its concluding verses:

מוסר יהוה בני אל־תמאס	3:11	My son, do not reject the Lord’s discipline,
ואל־תקץ בתוכחתו		and do not loathe his reproof.
כי את אשר יאהב יהוה יוכיח	3:12	For the one whom the Lord loves, he reproves,
וכאב את־בן ירצה		like a father the son in whom he delights.

The passage reiterates the address to “my son” (בני; v. 11), which began chapter three (v. 1), and encourages him to not despise the Lord’s reproof. To encourage the boy amidst

¹² Proverbs 3:21-26 mentions security and the Lord. I only briefly note that at the end of the pericope in 3:26a, the emphatic position of the Lord (כי יהוה יהיה בכסלך) indicates that he is the pinnacle of confidence. Due to the positive language of security and contrasting fear of the storm, the only alternative is to trust him. These features corroborate a didactic intent of the theological context in Proverbs 3.

¹³ This is reflected in Proverbs 2, where the reasons to pursue wisdom (2:6) include that the Lord gives wisdom (2:6) and stores it (2:7a); he is a shield (2:7b) and guards both paths and people (2:8), protecting his people from the evil way, evil men and women (2:12, 16).

such discipline, the father underscores the Lord's love and likens it to a father's, "for the one whom the Lord loves, he reproves, like a father the son in whom he delights" (v. 12).¹⁴ The son should trust the Lord, not least during difficulty, because the Lord loves and cares for him, like a father who delights in his child.¹⁵ In Louis Derousseaux's words, this is "la pédagogie divine paternelle."¹⁶ When Prov 3:1-12 is read as a frame for 16:3, it incorporates these paternal incentives to "commit" one's works to the Lord with those supplied by 10:1-22:16, not only cohering with the Lord's supreme wisdom and knowledge and his dispensation of consequences, but adding to these. "Commit your works to the Lord" (16:3a), not only because the Lord is omnipotent and omniscient but also because he cares about those who trust him. Proverbs 1-9 supplements the reasons to trust the Lord found elsewhere by incorporating God's love as a motivator. By implication, the "plans" that "will be established" in 16:3b, most likely by the Lord, include not only the sensible and certain scenarios that flow from a wise and powerful God, but also benevolent plans of the sort that a happy father might envision for his son.

Psalm 16:3 occurs within the theological "kernel" of Prov 10:1-22:16 and instates a bald command to "trust the Lord." The verse's local and wider literary contexts offer certain incentives to trust, reasons based on what might be labelled God's "transcendent" nature, and such reasons are matched by Prov 3:1-12, which contains a high concentration of references to God and also affirms his transcendent nature. However, 3:1-12 also supplies reasons to trust God based on his more "immanent" characteristics, namely, his love and delight for humans. Proverbs 1-9, therefore, gives the interpreter theological categories both coherent with and supplementary to 10:1-22:16, offering a new way of interpreting 16:3 and proverbs like it.

¹⁴ Proverbs 3:1-12 forms a separate section because of the distribution of בן (vv. 1, 11, 12), the concentration of references to the Lord, and the independence of 3:13-18, based on אשר (vv. 13, 18) and its topic of Wisdom. Though occupied with redactional issues, Schäfer (*Die Poesie*, 78-90) sees 3:5-12 as unfolding a theological dimension of the "steadfast love and faithfulness" of 3:3.

¹⁵ Proverbs 3:11-12 recalls Job 5:17, and aside from issues of date or influence, Job's theological point supports the conclusion that even without explicit disobedience to God, his people suffer under his fatherly love. While possibly stated at an inopportune time, Eliphaz tells Job that "blessed is the one whom God corrects" (Job 5:17). Elsewhere as a father, God corrects the king as son, a trying process but not without sustained steadfast love to his people and purpose (2 Sam 7:14-16).

¹⁶ Louis Derousseaux, *La crainte de Dieu dans l'Ancien Testament: royauté, alliance, sagesse dans les royaumes d'Israël et de Juda* (Paris: Éditions du Cerf, 1970), 328.

The Supremacy of the Lord's Wisdom and Sovereignty

The previous section considered a single interpretive question from a single proverb that represents one of three theological categories in Proverbs: human postures towards the Lord. Proverbs 16:3, taken from Collection II's theological "kernel" (15:33-16:9), demonstrated the didactic function of Proverbs 1-9 for this theological category. The current section explores another category of theological sayings in 10:1-22:16 and again uses a representative example—Prov 16:9—for this group of sayings, which pertain to God's wisdom and sovereignty.

Wisdom and Sovereignty in Proverbs 16:9

לב אדם יחשב דרכו 16:9 The heart of man plans his way
ויהוה יכין צעדו but the Lord establishes his steps

Proverbs 16:9 relays the supremacy of God's wisdom and sovereignty, a supremacy, that is, relative to a human's: "The heart of man plans his way, but the Lord establishes his steps." With *piel* חשב, the first line conveys a primarily cognitive notion of human planning that often indicates evil scheming (e.g., Prov 24:8; Nah 1:9; Dan 11:24), though these instances are often grammatically qualified by a preposition to designate that a human schemes "against" someone else. Similarly, Prov 24:8 blatantly states, "Whoever plans to do evil [מחשב להרע], he will be called a schemer" (so Hos 7:15). More broadly, and most likely in Prov 16:9, the verb means "consider/think" or "plan," without a positive or negative connotation, such as when the psalmist "thinks about" his ways (119:59) or "considers the days of old" (Ps 77:6[5]; cf. 73:16; 144:3). The *piel* חשב in Prov 16:9 portrays a human thinking about, possibly planning, his way in life, not necessarily with malicious or autonomous intent, but certainly by exerting the knowledge that he possesses: "the heart of man plans his way."

The second line says that "The Lord establishes his steps." When the Lord "establishes" (כין *hiphil*) something, he arranges and sets it in place (Prov 8:27; Ps 65:6), prepares it (Zeph 1:7; cf. Prov 6:8; 24:27), and sometimes morally fortifies the ways of his followers (Ps 119:133; cf. 10:17). All senses carry a notion of permanence, and the sense of "arrange" probably fits best with the context of human plans in 16:9.¹⁷ So the Lord

¹⁷ See Elmer Martens, "כין," *NIDOTTE* 1:615-617. In Prov 16:9, a man's "way" parallels his "steps,"

permanently arranges someone's steps while the human thinks about or plans them. Although most of the semantics of this proverb are clear, a few observations and interpretive challenges remain. First, Prov 16:9 combines both features of its theological category (wisdom and sovereignty), incorporating human knowledge (i.e. "wisdom") and divine control, a control that, it seems, exceeds the human's and also entails divine knowledge. If the Lord "arranges" the ways of humankind by his supreme power, he surely possesses the cognitive wherewithal to do so. Given the fact that the human in 16:9 "considers" his own way, the proverb presumes that the Lord considers it even more and thereby incorporates divine wisdom and sovereignty.

Second, while Prov 16:9 contains discernable lexemes and exemplifies the Lord's wisdom and sovereignty, it also offers an interpretive challenge, one that arises from the relationship of its lines, manifesting a significant theological question. The question turns on the interpretation of the *waw* that joins the two lines, which may translate as "but" or "and" or both. The *waw* may render, "The heart of man plans his way *but* the Lord establishes his steps," indicating a disjunction between human plans and divine arrangement. Yet the *waw* may render, "The heart of man plans his way *and* the Lord establishes his steps," conveying a complementary relationship between human plans and divine arrangement. The passages surrounding Prov 16:9 offer little clarification on the *waw*'s ambiguity, as some unmistakably contrast lines (15:32), others use the second line to affirm the first (16:3, 6), and many reflect the ambiguity of 16:9 (16:1, 2), though they suggest at least a contrast between God and humans.¹⁸

Claus Westermann discusses many of the sayings that comprise this category, which I have called "The Supremacy of the Lord's Wisdom and Sovereignty," a title he would probably replace with "Gott und sein Wirken als Grenze menschlicher Möglichkeiten," with which he heads his section on these passages.¹⁹ His title summarises his theological point—these proverbs address human limitations in view of God's capabilities—and unsurprisingly

suggesting that the latter is a manner of life or course of action.

¹⁸ Scherer (*Das weise Wort*, 196), for instance, identifying links between 16:9 and 16:1-3, asserts that an antithetic structure is recognizable in 16:1-2 and 16:9. While I find the case more complicated as argued above, Scherer (202-205) rightly argues against Thomas Pola, who proposes a connection between Psalm 132 and Prov 16:1-15 (see Pola, "Die Struktur von Proverbia 16,1-15," *Biblische Notizen* 80 [1995]: 47-72). Meinhold (*Sprüche*, 266) identifies an interpretive issue in Prov 16:3 similar to what I have observed in 16:9.

¹⁹ Westermann, *Wurzeln*, 137.

leads to interpreting the *waw* in 16:9 as a contrast, for at least two reasons.²⁰ First, Westermann seems to assume a negative view of humanity, underscoring not only their “limitations” but also implying a misguided response to those limitations, concluding that “Alle diese Sprüche wurden je in besondere Situationen hinein gesprochen, in denen es notwendig war, dies auszusprechen an die Adresse eines, der gerade diese Grenze zu vergessen oder zu mißachten im Begriff war.”²¹ Such a negative interpretation of human limitations also stems from Westermann’s emphasis on God’s role as creator. Referring to Prov 15:11, he asserts that such statements can be made, “weil Jahwe der Schöpfer des Menschen ebenso wie der Schöpfer des Alls ist.”²² Westermann’s strictly pessimistic view of the contrast in 16:9 arises in part from his assumptions about human limitations and the proverbs that address them, yet also from theological views presumably based on proverbs about God’s role as creator.

I do not question the place of human “limitations” in these proverbs, as my title for this section suggests; the Lord’s supremacy in wisdom and sovereignty implies a limitation in human wisdom and power. However, I do question Westermann’s anthropological conclusion, that these proverbs address “someone who was about to forget this boundary or limitation,” which justifies a contrast (“*but*”) in the lines of 16:9. Might the *waw*’s grammatical ambiguity, which may in fact render the observation that humans plan their ways *and* the Lord establishes their steps, provide an alternative to Westermann’s interpretation? Perhaps Prov 16:9 indicates that humans consider their lives in accordance with God, who thereby arranges and establishes their plans. These possibilities reveal the more fundamental interpretive challenge of 16:9, that is, what is the relationship between human wisdom and power, and divine wisdom and power? The preceding discussion shows that they may be at odds or complement each other, and either option leaves much about the relationship unclear. Other sayings that champion the Lord’s wisdom and sovereignty only compound the issue: in Prov 21:30, “There is no wisdom, no understanding, no counsel against the Lord,” and 20:24

²⁰ Westermann, *Wurzeln*, 137. He says, “einem Tatbestand oder einer Absicht aufseiten des Menschen steht ein „Aber“ Gottes entgegen. Dieses „Aber“ weist auf die Grenzen, die dem Menschen gesetzt sind.”

²¹ Westermann, *Wurzeln*, 138. In this vein, Meinhold (*Sprüche*, 269) cites *Ani* 8.9-10 and *Amenemope* XIX.16-17. Gerhard Von Rad’s (*Wisdom in Israel*, trans. James Martin [London: SCM, 1972], 97-106) interpretation of 16:9 and related sayings entails a similar pessimism but underscores the incomprehensibility of God’s ways rather than what humans do not know, ought to know or could know. Such sayings imply that humans ought to remain “open to the activity of God” (101).

²² Westermann, *Wurzeln*, 138. Proverbs 15:11 says, “Sheol and Abaddon lie open before the Lord; how much more the hearts of the children of man!” (ESV).

nearly states the question for us: “A man’s steps are from the Lord; but a man, how can he understand his way?” What is the relationship of humans and the Lord with respect to the main topics of this section—wisdom and sovereignty? Having uncovered the interpretive challenge of Prov 16:9, and 10:1-22:16 more broadly, I now turn to Proverbs 1-9 to see if it offers any insight into this enquiry, asking the following question: how does 1-9 portray the supremacy of God’s wisdom and sovereignty, and the place of humankind’s?

Wisdom and Sovereignty in Proverbs 1-9

Before examining the Lord’s wisdom and sovereignty in Proverbs 1-9, we should consider if any other types of wisdom, such as human wisdom or “Wisdom’s” wisdom, appear in these chapters and how they relate to the Lord’s. According to Collection I, the Lord possesses wisdom, gives (2:6) and originates it (8:22-31), even, it seems, making “wisdom” exclusively his own: “for the Lord gives wisdom, and, from his mouth, knowledge and understanding” (2:6). The author of this passage does not pit divine wisdom over and against human wisdom but knows only one, a position consistent with the rest of Proverbs 1-9. Proverbs 5:1 mentions “my wisdom” and “my understanding” to refer to the father’s teachings, which are not contrasted to God’s wisdom but equated to it (2:1-2). In Prov 8:9, Wisdom claims her words are “right to him who understands,” implying a knowledge that precedes wisdom and might rival the wisdom of God, but the “one who understands” in 8:9 refers to one already wise and so perceptive of Wisdom’s words.²³ In Proverbs 1-9, therefore, two different forms of wisdom (or understanding) are not distinguished; one type is maintained, and only wickedness and foolishness stand as alternatives.

Proverbs 1-9 does acknowledge limits to human knowledge, namely, normal, creaturely limitations, such as the inability to know what happens during sleep (3:24), but otherwise, these chapters emphasise that humans limit their knowledge due to arrogance. In 3:5b and 3:7a, for example, the father forbids that the son put his own wisdom in place of God’s. The son ought to trust the Lord’s understanding instead of arrogantly trusting his own. Along with arrogance, humans limit their knowledge by inattention and thereby fail to acquire God’s wisdom, as 5:13-14 indicates: “I did not listen to the voice of my teachers or incline my ear to my instructors. I was quickly in all evil, in the midst of the assembly and congregation.”²⁴ To the interpretive question under consideration—how does Proverbs 1-9

²³ Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 190.

²⁴ The lexeme כמעט often means “almost,” but here, as in Pss 2:12 and 81:15[14], it means “quickly/soon”

view the supremacy of the Lord's wisdom and the place of humankind's?—these passages offer the initial answer: God's wisdom constitutes the only wisdom of Proverbs 1-9, and it supersedes the knowledge of humans, who limit their acquisition of it through arrogance and inattention.

Honing an answer to the interpretive question of Prov 16:9, Proverbs 1-9 underscores the Lord's sovereignty in primarily two passages: 3:19-20 and 8:22-31. The first, 3:19-20, presents God's wisdom and sovereignty together to forward its central point: wisdom is an instrument of God's creative and sovereign activity.²⁵

יְהוָה בַּחֲכָמָה יִסְדָּאָרֶץ	3:19 The Lord, by wisdom, founded the earth;
כּוֹנֵן שָׁמַיִם בַּתְּבוּנָה	he established the heavens by understanding.
בְּדַעְתּוֹ תְּהוֹמוֹת נִבְקְעוּ	3:20 By his knowledge the deeps were split;
וּשְׁחָקִים יִרְעַפּוּרֵטֶל	and the clouds dropped dew.

The repetitive *by* (ב) wisdom signals an instrumental sense, where wisdom operates as the means or aid that facilitates God's creative work. As an instrument, wisdom is a point of connection between God and his creation. This portrayal of divine wisdom in 3:19-20 is followed in 3:21-26 with implications for the proverbial son: "keep sound wisdom and discretion" (3:21b).²⁶ The father, in this passage, does not bid the son to trust the Lord or acknowledge him as the source of prosperity like he did in 3:1-12.²⁷ Instead, he bids his boy to heed wisdom and goes on to portray her steadfast advantages, saying that if he will keep wisdom "then you will walk on your way securely, and your foot will not stumble" (3:23). Wisdom will protect the son and keep him safe (3:24). A similar promise of security appears in the next verses, when the father tells his son not to fear sudden trouble (3:25): "For the Lord will be your confidence, and he will keep your foot from capture" (3:26). In this verse, it

(Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 198; Fox also cites Isa 1:9 for support, but "few" seems to better suit the passage unless the *atnach* is moved back to שָׁרִיד).

²⁵ Sæbø (*Sprüche*, 69-71) argues that all four instructions of Proverbs 3 converge on the emphasis of the Lord's sovereignty and primacy, with wisdom and human belief as main themes.

²⁶ Schäfer (*Die Poesie*, 93-96) links Prov 3:19-20 more closely with 3:13-18. While these texts do share themes and lexemes related to creation, Prov 3:13-18 nevertheless corroborates my point for wisdom as a mediator (e.g., Fox [*Proverbs 1-9*, 160] labels vv. 13-18 as the usefulness of wisdom for man, and vv. 19-20 as the usefulness of wisdom for God). I focus on 3:21-26 because of its imperative mood, which aims to instill the preceding reflections didactically, and its climactic nature, as it completes a logical progression from vv. 13-18 and vv. 19-20, especially evident in the verbs of vv. 13, 18, and 21 (see Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 255-256).

²⁷ See the section in this chapter entitled Human Postures Towards the Lord.

is no longer wisdom but the Lord who protects the son, affirming that wisdom and the Lord complement each other with parallel roles.

Objecting to the complementary roles of wisdom and the Lord in Prov 3:21-26, Whybray writes that wisdom will “infallibly protect” the son and that God’s protection marks an unrelated alternative:

These verses [3:25-26] form a kind of appendix to vv. 21-4, but one which is somewhat at variance with their message. In v. 25 panic (*paḥad*) clearly picks up the verb (*pāḥad*) of v. 24a; but since the pupil or reader has already been assured that constant attention to “sound wisdom and discretion” will infallibly preserve him from such fear, this additional admonition is redundant. Then in v. 26 Yahweh is suddenly and belatedly introduced as providing an alternative reason for confidence, with no attempt to indicate that he is in any way connected with the above “sound wisdom”.²⁸

Rather than the incoherence proposed by Whybray, I would argue that the author intends to convey that as the Lord protects, so God-given wisdom constitutes a means of protection. Wisdom cooperates with the Lord, as she did at creation (3:19-20), and serves as the connective tissue for God and humanity.²⁹

This description hints at what some interpreters, as early as the 1920s, have called the “mediating” role of wisdom in Proverbs 1-9, exhibited most clearly through Wisdom’s appearance in Proverbs 8, the second text that addresses the interpretive question under discussion.³⁰ In Prov 8:22-31, Wisdom speaks about God creating the earth and about how she fit into that activity. She accentuates her antiquity (vv. 22-29) and also her affections: she

²⁸ Whybray, *Proverbs*, 71.

²⁹ Étienne-Noël Bassoumboul (*Des sagesse à la sagesse: Étude de l’unité sapientielle en Pr 1-9* [Paris: J. Gabalda, 2008], 166) affirms the instrumental sense of חָכְמָה and the section’s purpose (Prov 3:13-20) to underscore the preferability of wisdom. From a direction different than mine, Bassoumboul argues that 3:11-20 produces a hierarchy of humans, wisdom and the Lord, where the Lord’s correction begets wisdom, which functions as his instrument of creation and as inseparable from human life, so that, in brief, “la sagesse conduit à YHWH” (167; see also 161-167). Cf. Roland E. Murphy (“Wisdom and Creation,” *JBL* 104 [1985]: 9) who follows Von Rad.

³⁰ For a review of the discussion, see Gerlinde Baumann, *Die Weisheitsgestalt in Proverbien 1-9: Traditionsgeschichtliche und Theologische Studien* (Tübingen: J.C.B. Mohr, 1996), 42-43, 50-54, also 291-294. Since Heinrich in the 1920s, the discussion has focused on the literary aspects of Prov 8:22-31 (e.g., Aletti; Yee; see below), the significance of Wisdom’s image for the historical context of Proverbs (Camp, *Wisdom*, 272-282), and notions of creation and social order (Dell, *Proverbs*, 139-146). However, it remains to be seen how Wisdom as mediator might inform the interpretation of the book of Proverbs.

was God's "delight" everyday and "rejoiced" in the world and humankind (vv. 30-31).³¹ This passage garners most attention, especially recently, due to its significance for a "creation order," which interpreters often attempt to align with a moral order.³² Accounting for the ordering activities of God, Aletti nevertheless argues that the passage focuses on wisdom and her role for humankind, concluding that it enforces "la mediation de la sagesse," as she functions as the mediator between God and humans.³³

I set aside notions of order and pick up the concept of mediator observed here, because it seems to better reflect the concerns of the author of Proverbs. The author of Proverbs 8 does not broach the concept of sovereignty to acclaim God as creative orderer but rather to extol wisdom, as Fox writes, "Everything in the chapter serves the rhetorical goal of influencing the reader to desire wisdom . . . The description of creation, which is just an elaboration of v 22, adds no new information. Its purpose is to heighten Wisdom's grandeur by describing the glorious works *to which God gave her precedence*."³⁴ According to Fox, humans ought to desire and attain Wisdom, who is sovereignly and creatively used by God. She is also, I would add, the mediator between humanity and himself. Before and after 8:22-31, Wisdom calls her audience to grasp her, as the supplier of life's good gifts (8:18-21) and again aligned with the Lord.³⁵ Proverbs 8:35 even says that the one who finds her "finds life and obtains favour from the Lord." In other words, come to Wisdom and receive from God; for she mediates divine blessing.

The Function of Proverbs 1-9 for 16:9

I have not been attempting to drive a wedge between God and humanity or to divorce any notion of order from Proverbs 1-9, rather I have been attempting to locate the author's

³¹ Proverbs 8:30a may add that she was God's "workman" (for discussion, see Loader, *Proverbs 1-9*, 356-360).

³² See Boström, *God*, 53; Waltke, *Proverbs 1-15*, 414-415; cf. Weeks, *Introduction*, 112-113.

³³ Aletti, "Proverbes 8, 22-31. Étude de structure," *Biblica* 57 (1976): 25-37; "the mediation of wisdom."

³⁴ Fox, *Proverbs 1-9*, 289, 293. So Weeks, *Instruction*, 101, 123. Cf. Perdue, *Wisdom & Creation: The Theology of Wisdom Literature* (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1994), 84; Gilbert, *L'Antique Sagesse*, 206.

³⁵ The Lord and wisdom also combine under the guise of sovereignty in Proverbs 2, aligned here as protectors. God is "a shield for those who walk in integrity" (2:7b, see vv. 6-8), yet "discretion will watch over you, understanding will guard you" (2:11, see vv. 10-12). Likewise, in Prov 3:19-20 as the Lord protects, so God-given wisdom constitutes a means of protection, and in 3:11-12 when the blessings of wisdom do not appear as they should, humans can rely on the Lord (see Plöger, *Sprüche*, 39-40). Proverbs 2, 3 and 8 incorporate God's sovereignty to esteem wisdom's worth and parallel it with the Lord's role as protector.

primary concerns about the relationship between God and humans within passages that feature the Lord's supreme sovereignty. In these passages, Prov 3:19-26 and 8:22-36, the author intimates that between God and humanity stands wisdom, or, more accurately, that God and humanity share a close proximity but their relationship is facilitated by wisdom. Like God, she protects humankind and bestows blessings, and humans who approach her tend to find the Lord. In summary, Proverbs 1-9 presents God's wisdom as the only wisdom, with folly, arrogance, and inattention as its only alternatives. Consequently, the Lord's sovereignty is abler than humankind's to navigate life, so the greatest responsibility lies on humans to listen to, embrace, and use wisdom while trusting God's superior control and understanding. Wisdom functions in tandem with the Lord to protect humans and guide their way, yet she functions as a divine partner so accessible to human beings, the mediator between God and man.

This discussion of Proverbs 1-9 serves to inform whether and how it might supply interpretive insight into 16:9, which is representative of close to twenty proverbs from 10:1-22:16 that feature the supremacy of the Lord's wisdom and sovereignty but do not expound upon how these qualities relate to their human counterparts. The problem stems from a grammatical ambiguity in 16:9, namely, the *waw* that may render, "The heart of man plans his way *but* the Lord establishes his steps," or "The heart of man plans his way *and* the Lord establishes his steps." Westermann opts for the former, presuming a depraved view of humankind, who tend to overestimate their capabilities. His interpretation requires all proverbs relevant to the subject to be spoken "to someone who was about to forget or disregard this boundary/limit [Grenze]." Does reading Proverbs 1-9 as an interpretive framework cohere with such a conclusion? It does, in part, by suggesting that humans may reject the Lord's wisdom in preference of their own "wisdom" and thereby need to hear 16:9 as a warning: the Lord "establishes man's steps," and he overrides arrogant or ungodly humans who "plan their own ways." However, complementing the need for humility because of God's supreme wisdom and sovereignty, Proverbs 1-9 also portrays a positive relationship between God's governance and his gift of wisdom. Wisdom, as a mediator, enables humans to plan their ways in cooperation with the Lord. Consequently, Prov 16:9 would actually boost confidence for humans who consider their plans with God-given wisdom, plans which the Lord himself may establish. "The heart of man plans his way [with wisdom] *and* the Lord establishes his steps."

Proverbs 1-9 substantiates both interpretations and most importantly "fills the gaps" of proverbs in 10:1-22:16 that state terse observations about humans and God's supreme capabilities. It seems that God's wisdom, rather than soaring over the capacities of human

beings, fills these gaps and facilitates interaction between God and humankind, two agents in Proverbs sometimes joined only by a *waw*.

Summary of Proverbs 16:3 and 16:9

Two passages from Prov 10:1-22:16 representative of its references to the Lord have been interpreted in light of Proverbs 1-9 to demonstrate its didactic function. In the first section, I showed how 16:3 baldly commands the interpreter to commit one's works to the Lord and, like other sayings that portray human postures towards the Lord, thereby assumes that the Lord is trustworthy. Proverbs 3:1-12 reveals that the Lord deserves trust because of his supreme wisdom, his control over situations, and the consequences of relying on him, all factors that appear in 15:33-16:9 not to mention 10:1-22:16 as a whole. Proverbs 3:1-12, however, features something that Collection II does not: the Lord's loving, fatherly character and the offer of reliable wisdom, which humans cannot attain independently. Proverbs 1-9 instils in the interpreter a confidence in the Lord who protects and a confidence in the Lord's wisdom that enables security in life, so that when approaching 16:3 the interpreter has more than omnipotent and omniscient incentives to trust.

Proverbs 16:9 also harbours these assumptions, evident in its stark juxtaposition of human and divine wisdom and control: "The heart of man plans his way and/but the Lord establishes his steps." The proverb, and others like it, leaves a "gap" in the details of how these two sides of wisdom and control relate, but Proverbs 1-9 provides a means of reconciliation. Proverbs 3:19-26 and 8:22-36 portray the Lord's superior sovereignty and within this context align him with wisdom, specifying her as the mediator between God and humans. Wisdom protects like God and bridges the gulf between anthropological striving and planning, and the Lord's supreme knowledge and actions. As mediator, Wisdom may lead humans to adjust their thoughts and plans to God's or may assure them that these plans indeed agree and that their realisation lies within the Lord's security. In short, Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically by providing a framework of reasons to trust the Lord and a conception of wisdom as mediator between God and humans.

The Function of Proverbs 1-9 for Human Postures and the Lord's Supremacy in Proverbs 22:17-21

Having concentrated on the material in Prov 10:1-22:16, it remains to be seen how Proverbs 1-9 functions for 22:17-29:27. Both of the insights established in this chapter—

human postures, and the Lord's wisdom and sovereignty—extend to this remaining material, with 22:19 being a particularly illustrative example. Proverbs 22:17-29:27 directly refers to the “Lord” or “God” 12 times, and these theological references make up 5.5% of the material.³⁶ The contrast in frequency with 10:1-22:16 is immediately noticeable, as over 15% of 10:1-22:16 includes a reference to the Lord or God, with a number of these appearing in the “theological kernel” (15:33-16:9), a dense series of theological proverbs not present in 22:17-29:27. The first reference to the Lord in 22:17-29:27 arises in its third verse, Prov 22:19, which forms part of a five verse section:

הט אזנך ושמע דברי חכמים	22:17	Incline your ear and hear the words of the wise;
ולבך תשית לדעתי		apply your heart to my knowledge
כי־נעים כי־תשמרם בבטנך	22:18	For [it will be] pleasant if you keep them within you,
יכנו יחדו על־שפתך		if, together, they are ready on your lips
להיות ביהוה מבטחך	22:19	That your trust might be in the Lord,
הודעתך היום אף־אתה		I make you know, ³⁷ today, even you.
הלא כתבתי לך [שלשים]	22:20	Have I not written for you thirty [sayings] ³⁸
במועצת ודעת		in counsel and knowledge,
להודיעך קשט אמרי אמת	22:21	to make you know the truest words of truth, ³⁹
להשיב אמרים אמת לשלחך		to give true answers to those who send you?

The passage begins with its primary exhortation to action—“incline your ear and hear the words of the wise; apply your heart to my knowledge” (v. 17)—and follows with reasons—“for [it will be] pleasant if you keep them within you, if, together, they are ready on your lips” (v. 18). To this exhortation and its grounds, the verse under consideration adds a purpose: “that your trust might be in the Lord, I make you know, today, even you” (v. 19). This statement most likely contributes to the motivating reasons to “hear” given in verse 18, so that

³⁶ Proverbs 22:19, 23; 23:17; 24:18, 21; 25:2, 22; 28:5, 25; 29:13, 25-26 (of the 220 verses in 22:17-29:27). These advocate trust in or fear of the Lord, and portray his sovereign and king-like behaviours.

³⁷ The performative perfective, which signals that the action occurs simultaneously with speaking, suits the context (see *IBHS*, 489, P. 30.5.1d).

³⁸ Neither the *Ketiv* (“formerly”; שלשים) nor the *Qere* (“noble things”; שלישים) make sense here. The emendation to שלשים (thirty) is widely accepted based on the reference in *Amenemope*.

³⁹ The superlative rendering finds support in Eccl 12:10: ישר דברי אמת. For the absolute form of קשט see Ps 60:6[4].

listening (v. 17) begets pleasant results (v. 18) and at the same time trust in the Lord (v. 19).⁴⁰ One question arises immediately: how does listening to these instructions lead to trusting God? According to Longman,

It is not made explicit how the teaching will increase trust, and so we are left to speculate. Perhaps the idea is that as the advice works in life, then it breeds confidence in its ultimate author. Or perhaps it is calling on trust in Yahweh as the first step toward implementing the advice found here. As one practices trust by following the advice . . . then one grows in trust as the unexpected consequences come.⁴¹

The challenge distils to one of relating human teaching to trusting the Lord, which is best left for later while I instead consider the second challenge of the passage, a question identical to the one derived from Prov 16:3—why trust the Lord? Although 22:19 views trust from a different perspective—16:3 commends trust in God while 22:19 promises to produce trust in God—the sayings share a fundamental assumption: the Lord is trustworthy. So, again, why trust the Lord? We must consult evidence outside of Proverbs 1-9 for an answer to these questions before turning to 1-9 itself.

Proverbs 22:17-29:27 contains 11 other references to the Lord, and three of these inform the Lord's trustworthiness. Proverbs 28:25 says "A greedy man stirs up strife, but the one who trusts in the Lord is enriched," and Prov 29:25 reads, "Trembling before man lays a snare, but the one who trusts in the Lord is set on high."⁴² Both passages positively portray trust in God and indicate that such trust leads to "enrichment" and security, promises that plausibly motivate trust in the Lord but at the same time leave the content of that motivation vague.⁴³ They imply that the Lord can and will supply protection and enrichment. The third passage (23:17-18) does not use language of "trust," but it does say, "Do not let your heart envy sinners, but rather, continue fear of the Lord all the day. Surely there is a future and your hope will not be cut off." A "future" and "hope," then, supply the grounds for trust given by Prov 23:17-18, while enrichment and protection appear in 28:25 and 29:25. These reasons for trust resemble those of 10:1-22:16, especially the favourable consequences of relying on God.

⁴⁰ Sæbø, *Sprüche*, 280.

⁴¹ Longman, *Proverbs*, 416.

⁴² Often translated "greedy man/Habgieriger" (e.g., ESV; NASB; Meinhold, *Sprüche*, 473), רחב נפש in Prov 28:25 refers to one with a "wide appetite." Being "enriched" may more specifically refer to being "fattened."

⁴³ In Prov 29:25, the phrase "set on high," from *pual* שָׁגַב, connotes security. The phrase "trembling before man" (חרדת אדם) is often translated "fear of man" (ESV; NASB).

Therefore, in its assumptions and interpretive resources, Prov 22:19 differs little from sayings of the same family in 10:1-22:16.

Proverbs 22:19 sits within a part of Proverbs that calls upon an additional resource for its interpretation: the *Instruction of Amenemope*. The Egyptian text contains clear parallels with Prov 22:17-23:11, including thematic and linguistic similarities that indicate that Proverbs likely drew from *Amenemope*, albeit for its own purposes as seen in its arrangement and modifications.⁴⁴ The surrounding verses among which Prov 22:19 appears (22:17-21) all correspond to passages from *Amenemope*, but 22:19 itself discloses no direct attachment to the Egyptian instructions.⁴⁵ Although the proverbial text distinctly includes יהוה, *Amenemope* does include references to Egyptian gods that may offer reasons for trusting them. Fox says that “Although 22:19a is not verbally dependent on *Amenemope*, it is very much in line with the spirit of his teachings, the only real difference being that it uses the name of Israel’s God.”⁴⁶ The passages from *Amenemope* that most directly address the quest for trusting a deity or most closely resemble Prov 22:19 include X.12-15, which reads,

You shall pray to the Aten when he rises,
Saying: “Grant me well-being and health”;
He will give you your needs for this life,
And you will be safe from fear.⁴⁷

These lines appear in *Amenemope*’s seventh chapter (IX.10-X.15). The first two lines (X.12-13) offer what Vincent Laisney calls the “raisons religieuses” for the whole chapter, and the second two (X.14-15) name the promises that stem from heeding the religious exhortations. “Pray to the Aten” and “he will give you your needs for this life, and you will be safe from fear.” According to Laisney, “Ils énumèrent aussi les biens que l’on peut demander et espérer légitimement du dieu.”⁴⁸

Amenemope motivates action in the world and prayers to the Aten based on the

⁴⁴ On the connection of these texts, see below and, among others, Nili Shupak, “The Instruction of Amenemope and Proverbs 22:17-24:22 from the Perspective of Contemporary Research”; Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 753-767.

⁴⁵ Diethard Römheld, *Wege der Weisheit: Die Lehren Amenemopes und Proverbien 22,17-24,22*, BZAW 184 (Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1989), 151, see also 13-26; Vincent Pierre-Michel Laisney, *L’Enseignement d’Aménémopé*, Studia Pohl: Series Maior 19 (Roma: Pontificio Istituto Biblico, 2007), 239-246.

⁴⁶ Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 709.

⁴⁷ *AEL* 2:153.

⁴⁸ Laisney, *L’Enseignement*, 111. *Amenemope* VII.7-10 reflects a perspective similar to X.12-15.

consequences that these behaviours produce, a driver concordant with the proverbs from 22:17-29:27 mentioned earlier that hold out hope, security, and enrichment for those who trust the Lord. The affinities of the Proverbial text and *Amenemope* extend to other teleological aspects, as both identify prosperity as the aim of instruction (III.17-4.2; Prov 22:18). Despite these similarities, *Amenemope* offers no additional grounds for trusting the Lord, and the divine name itself utterly differentiates these texts, as both references to the “Lord” in 22:17-23:11 find no partner in *Amenemope* (22:19, 23).⁴⁹ Furthermore, Prov 22:19 states the aim of instruction with crystal clarity—to trust the Lord—in contrast to the implied notions of trust in *Amenemope*. The Egyptian text, despite its influence on the author of Prov 22:17-23:11 offers no distinctive answer to the theological question produced by Prov 22:19—why trust the Lord? *Amenemope* proffers a reason that resembles those of Prov 22:17-29:27, even 10:1-22:16—namely, trust the Lord because of the beneficial consequences—but offers little more in terms of interpretive resources for 22:19. Hence, the interpretive journey arrives at a place very similar to Prov 16:3, namely, wondering if Proverbs 1-9 may supply grounds for trusting God. Again, there are reasons to think it does.

A number of linguistic connections appear in Prov 22:17-21 and Proverbs 1-9, including “incline your ear” (4:20; 5:1, 13) and “hear” (1:8; 4:1) with additional links in 22:17b-18 and 2:2b; 4:21; 6:21; 7:3.⁵⁰ Proverbs 22:17-21 does not consequently function just like Proverbs 1-9, but its five verses do recall some of the central features of Proverbs 1-9 and warrant an investigation into how these two portions of material relate. As to the question about why to trust the Lord, recall the insights drawn from 3:1-12, where the author outlines incentives for his exhortation to “trust in the Lord” (3:5), including God’s superior wisdom, his supreme control over situations, and, like Prov 22:17-29:27 and *Amenemope*, the beneficial consequences of trusting him. However, Prov 3:11-12 supplemented this set of reasons with the Lord’s fatherly care: “My son, do not reject the Lord’s discipline and do not loathe his reproof. For the one whom the Lord loves, he reproves, like a father the son in whom he delights.” The Lord’s love for the Proverbial pupil, like a father’s love for his son, receives no parallel testimony elsewhere in Proverbs, and these personal, affective grounds offer a distinctive motivation for obeying 22:17—“incline your ear and hear the words of the wise; apply your heart to my knowledge”—and subsequently for trusting the Lord of 22:19.

⁴⁹ Laisney, *L’Enseignement*, 240. Cf. Römheld, *Wege*, 151-152.

⁵⁰ Ansberry, *Be Wise*, 120 n. 169. See also Whybray, *Proverbs*, 326. Plöger (*Sprüche*, 267) says that Prov 22:19 supplies a function (“Zweckbestimmung”) for the collection of proverbs that follow, like 1:2-6 does for the whole book.

Proverbs 3:1-12, therefore, offers an interpretive framework that lends insights into 22:17-29:27 and its references to the Lord, particularly human postures towards him.

This example resembles Prov 16:3, yet it is also similar to another category of theological sayings from Collection II: those that depict the supremacy of the Lord's wisdom and sovereignty. Proverbs 22:19 couples human trust in the Lord with teaching without explaining the nature of the connection. Hearing the words of the wise (22:17) supposedly facilitates trust in the Lord (22:19), but, as mentioned earlier, "It is not made explicit how the teaching will increase trust, and so we are left to speculate."⁵¹ How does heeding the "words of the wise" and the teacher's knowledge lead to trust in the Lord?

Already directing this question towards Proverbs 1-9, Fox has suggested that 22:19 states a purpose that matches 1:1-7 and 2:1-22 (esp. 2:5). According to him,

[22:19] asserts that the purpose of the teachings of Part III is to inculcate trust in God. This is the promise also of the Prologue (1:1-7) and Lecture II (2:1-22), especially 2:5. Yet few of the maxims teach this directly. (Prov 23:4 and 23:17 imply it.) It is an axiom for this author that all wisdom leads to trust in God. When one learns the right modes of behavior and their consequences, in the practical as well as moral realms, one comes to view the world as an orderly, just system and comes to trust its ruler.⁵²

These comments seem to pick up on something that I explicated in the earlier discussion on Prov 16:9—that Proverbs 1-9 portrays wisdom as the mediator between God and humans, and that she particularly functions like the Lord in bestowing blessings on people and protecting them. Proverbs 3:19-26 and much of Proverbs 8 exemplified these characteristics. However, I am not sure that wisdom's motivational role leads to emphasising "the world as an orderly, just system" as described by Fox, and it certainly deters from interpreting her as a dispensable means to God. Proverbs 1-9 portrays the search for wisdom leading to God (2:1-5) who then dispenses wisdom to humans (2:6) and relates to them through her (2:7-11). Consequently, Proverbs 1-9 encourages interpreters to see that behind 22:17-19 lies not a system of order, whether moral or religious, but a dynamic, mediated relationship with the Lord that is propelled by the instructions within 22:17-23:11 and elsewhere in Proverbs. The statements in 22:17 and 22:19 may convey that between listening to instruction and living a wise life lies the Lord, who gives such wisdom and enables humans to live in accordance with her.

With the example of Prov 22:19, I have extended the conclusions of Proverbs 1-9's

⁵¹ Longman, *Proverbs*, 416.

⁵² Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 708.

didactic function beyond 10:1-22:16 and into another section of Proverbs. Interpretive challenges similar to those in 16:3 and 16:9 appear in 22:19, given its reference to the Lord, human posture towards him, and relationship to wisdom, which produces questions about reasons for trusting the Lord and the place of wisdom in that trust. Proverbs 1-9 again offers a framework that other portions of Proverbs and resources of plausible benefit do not dispose. By supplying this theological framework, Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically for the interpretation of Proverbs 10-31.

Conclusion

Before moving on to the final section of this chapter, the conclusions from the first and second should be collected. Proverbs 16:9 and the discussion about God's superior wisdom and sovereignty complements the previous section on human postures towards the Lord. As with 16:3, Prov 16:9 starkly juxtaposes a statement about God and humanity. Humans plan their way; God establishes it; and the relationship receives little more than a *waw* to liaise. God may endorse the life course envisioned by humans or he might override their schemes. However, two passages in Proverbs 1-9 (3:19-26; 8:22-36) emphasise the Lord's sovereignty and so happen to place these remarks within a context that both underscores the role of wisdom for humans and incorporates the activity of the Lord. Wisdom, it seems, functions as a mediator between God and humanity, quite a distinctive role when Proverbs is viewed within the OT as a whole and a role that offers helpful insights on Prov 10:1-22:16. The arguments from the previous two sections—human postures towards the Lord (16:3) and the supremacy of his wisdom and sovereignty (16:9)—apply to Prov 22:19, which discloses interpretive challenges similar to but distinct from the earlier texts. For Prov 22:19 assumes that the Lord is trustworthy and presupposes a relationship between him and humanity. This example solidifies the didactic function of Proverbs 1-9 and extends its domain to passages outside of 10:1-22:16.

The Lord's Affection and Assessment

The previous two sections accounted for passages in Prov 10:1-22:16 that refer to the Lord and portray human postures toward him or feature his wisdom and sovereignty. The final theological category, also based on material in 10:1-22:16 that mentions “the Lord” or “God,” incorporates proverbs that portray the Lord’s affection and assessment, referring to his emotional posture towards people and actions, or his awareness and evaluation of them. Sayings like Prov 16:5, for instance, which predicates a haughty heart as an “abomination to the Lord,” appear in this category, as do sayings about God’s anger, favour, and perceptive powers. In this section, one passage representative of the current theological category is again selected, one that also belongs to the theological “kernel” of Collection II (15:33-16:9): Prov 16:2.

The Lord's Affection and Assessment in Proverbs 16:2

16:2 כל־דרכי־איש זך בעיניו 16:2 All the ways of a man are pure in his eyes,
ותכן רוחות יהוה but the Lord weighs spirits.

Proverbs 16:2 represents the category of theological sayings that address the Lord’s affection and assessment, declaring that “All the ways of a man are pure in his eyes, but the Lord weighs spirits.” The lexeme for “pure” (זך) describes oil and ritual objects as free from impurities (Exod 27:20; 30:34; Lev 24:2, 7) or, in an ethical sense, doctrine (Job 11:4) and prayers (Job 16:17). In Proverbs, זך qualifies “conduct” (פֶּעַל; 20:11; 21:8), conveying a sense of moral uprightness attested also in Job (8:6; 33:9), which suggests that “pure conduct” in this case means purity from iniquity and transgression (33:9).¹ A saying nearly identical to Prov 16:2 alters only this one lexeme (זך) to replace it with יָשָׁר (“upright”; 21:2), confirming that זך most plausibly refers to moral purity in 16:2. The interpretive challenge of 16:2 derives from this lexeme for “pure,” as it prompts the question, “what is impure?” that is, what is the human problem, perhaps in an ethical sense, that is latent, it seems, within Prov 16:2? If “all the ways of a man are pure in his eyes,” then what in particular is he pure from and what could be the problem?

On the surface, it appears that Prov 16:2 holds little interest in a human problem, and

¹ The context of the Job passages implies that Job’s very claim to “pure” status is “impure,” at least according to his friends.

that it rather aims to observe that humans judge their ways as “pure”—we can say “morally right” for now—and that God operates as the ultimate evaluator. P. Mommer states a stark version of this interpretation: Prov 16:2 “declares that what matters is not the private judgment of a human being but the incorruptible and certain judgment of Yahweh . . . he determines whether the individual is acting properly.”² Although it is questionable to say that a human’s private judgment does not matter, Mommer may accurately represent what 16:2 observes, namely, God’s determinative ethical appraisal. However, the language of the saying suggests that it implies more about the human-divine situation than a juxtaposition of moral judgments.

The phrase “in [someone’s] eyes” refers, basically, to their opinion or judgment and in Proverbs characterises how the fool views his own uprightness (12:15) or wisdom (26:5, 12; cf. 28:11): “The way of a fool is right [ישר] in his own eyes” (12:15).³ These sayings indicate that considering one’s ways as “pure” may resemble the opinion of a fool, but none of them offers evidence regarding what is wrong with such an opinion—except that it may be false—or why such an opinion arises. Furthermore, these sayings do not place the Lord, not to mention his affection and assessment, within their sights, which draws attention to the distinctive feature of Prov 16:2.⁴ Not only does it contain a distinct term (יך), it also joins human moral evaluation with the Lord’s, a combination that adds a theological element to my primary question—what is the human problem?—and, after searching Proverbs 10-31, leaves us wanting for an answer.

Other pertinent texts include Ps 119:9 and its use of זכה, where the psalmist wonders “How can a young man make [or keep] his way pure?” and then implies that impurity would entail straying from the Lord’s commands or sinning (119:10-11). In Isa 5:20-21, the prophet accuses those “wise in their own eyes” as confusing good and evil, calling evil good and good evil. The prophet declares that this angers the Lord (5:25), but, like other passages with a connection to Prov 16:2, leaves us wondering about the nature of the human problem therein. Psalm 119:9 and Isa 5:20-21 begin to compile a catalogue of possible but not necessarily plausible resolutions to the problem. To become more convincing, they must account for the second line of Prov 16:2.

The second line of Prov 16:2 offers insight into our question, as it reads, “but the Lord

² P. Mommer, “תכן *tkn*,” *TDOT* 15:662-666 (664).

³ Carl Schultz, “עין (*ayin*),” *TWOT* 1612a. For a helpful compendium of this idiom in the Old Testament, see F. J. Stendebach, “עין *ayin*,” *TDOT* 11:28-44 (36-38).

⁴ Two other proverbs assert that the “eyes of the Lord” are in all places (15:3) and watch knowledge (22:12).

weighs spirits.” The lexeme for “weigh” (תכן), like זך, occurs infrequently in the OT (the root, 13x) and attests to the distinctive nature of Prov 16:2. It does appear in Prov 24:12, where it contributes to a rhetorical question that refers to the Lord: “does not *he who weighs* the heart perceive it?” The rhetorical question addresses the “problem” of this passage, that humans have been ignorant of a local crime or at least used ignorance as an excuse and, after someone receives a death sentence, say, “Behold, we did not know this.” To this statement, the proverbial author responds, does not God weigh and perceive the heart?, implying that God sees their inner world, regardless of the sincerity of their ignorance. Based on 24:12, ignorance might constitute the human problem underlying Prov 16:2. However, another occurrence of תכן (1 Sam 2:3) suggests an additional possibility—arrogant human talk—and, finally, one more proverb ought to weigh in.

Proverbs 20:9 uses the verbal form of זך to ask, “Who can say, ‘I have made my heart pure [זכיתי]; I am clean from my sin [טהרתי מהטאתי]’?” In this passage, purity aligns with a lack of “sin,” pointing towards another lexical resource that might aid the current question. The lexeme הטא refers to “sin” in Prov 10:16; 13:6; 14:34; and 20:9, but in no case occurs with the Lord’s evaluation or in ways that clarify what “sin” denotes: “the gain of the wicked leads to sin” (10:16b); “sin overthrows the wicked” (13:6b); “sin is a disgrace to a people” (14:34b).⁵ These passages offer less insight into the question at hand than many of the passages mentioned earlier, which nevertheless offer suggestions and possibilities but, I contend, little more than that. Evidence from the OT bearing firm lexical or conceptual links with Prov 16:2 appears more like a collage of answers to the current interpretive question than a consistent, convincing response.⁶

⁵ Proverbs 14:12 and 30:12 lend similarly limited insights to the question (cf. Plöger, *Sprüche*, 189). Aside from debates about whose “steadfast love and faithfulness” appear in Prov 16:6, the point that “iniquity is atoned for” (יכפר עון) indicates that the solution to iniquity includes the Lord’s atonement and that this proverb itself harbours assumptions that warrant the help of other biblical material (see, e.g., Mark Boda, *A Severe Mercy: Sin and Its Remedy in the Old Testament*, Siphut 1 [Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 2009], 374-375). A. Negoită and H. Ringgren (“זכה” *zākhāh*,” *TDOT* 4:62-64 [63]) assert that the adjectival use of זכה (i.e. זך) connotes the notion of צדק, which may suit Job 8:6 and Ps 51:6[4] but not necessarily the passages in Proverbs.

⁶ Hartmut Gese (*Lehre und Wirklichkeit in der alten Weisheit: Studien zu den Sprüchen Salomos und zu dem Buche Hiob* [Tübingen: Mohr, 1958], 45-50) differentiates proverbial sayings like 16:9 from ancient Egyptian instructions due to their views on the “determination of hearts.” In Egypt, the determination arises from a more metaphysically-grounded determination; in Israel, the Lord can act independently of human action. Gese contrasts the biblical proverbs that show the Lord acting against human plans (e.g., 16:1) with texts like *Amenemope* XX.3-6 and *Ptahhotep* 115-116, 548-552 (*AEL* 2:158; 1:65, 74). Cf. Erik Hornung, *Conceptions of*

Many of the texts noted here proffer interpretive insight into certain aspects of Prov 16:2. For example, it is safe to say that for the scope of evaluation, “Ausschlaggend sind dabei nicht nur die Taten, sondern auch die ihnen zugrundeliegenden Qualitäten, Motive und Absichten.”⁷ The problem likely entails the whole person, more than just their actions, and the texts above indicate wrongdoing especially towards the Lord. However, the passages in Proverbs itself contribute little, and each text outside of Proverbs leaves us uncertain as to which one pertains most to Prov 16:9, whether sinful uncleanness, intellectual disposition, human autonomy, revolt against God, or blindness to injustice. Without disregarding this material, I would like to consider one final piece of relevant evidence from Proverbs, that is, Prov 5:21-23, which commends itself for three reasons. First, 5:21 depicts the Lord’s assessment of human ways: “For a man’s ways are before the eyes of the Lord, and he observes all his pathways.” Second, 5:22-23 propounds a human problem, using lexemes related to חַטָּא: “His iniquities will ensnare him, and he will be held fast in the cords of his sin” (5:22). Third, 5:21-23 occurs within Proverbs and notably within Proverbs 1-9, which, I have been arguing, stands at a place within the book that warrants the interpreter’s attention and functions in a way that has so far offered an interpretive framework for understanding Prov 10:1-22:16. The question derived from 16:2—what is the nature of the human problem?—is now posed to Proverbs 1-9.

The Lord’s Affection and Assessment in Proverbs 1-9

Proverbs 1-9 presents the Lord’s affection and assessment in three primary perspectives: (1) he assesses all the ways of humankind (5:21); (2) he bestows favour upon certain people (3:4, 34; 8:35); (3) he “hates” or abhors certain people and actions (3:32).⁸ Of these references to God’s affection and assessment, Prov 3:31-32 and 5:21-23 are focused on in this section, as they detail, respectively, ethical instructions and a series of warnings about sexual relations whilst underscoring the affections or assessments of the Lord and humans. Through the combination of these elements, these passages acutely address the interpretive question from 16:2.

The first passage (3:31-32) lies after a list of prohibitions about social relations (3:27-

God in Ancient Egypt: The One and the Many, trans. J. Baines (London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1983), 210-211.

⁷ Meinhold, *Sprüche*, 266.

⁸ As explained in the Introduction, Prov 6:16-19 is omitted from this study, though it would contribute to the current argument.

30), like “Do not devise evil against your neighbour who lives trustingly with you” (v. 29). The prohibitions end in verse 31 with a final order: “Do not envy a man of violence, and do not choose any of his ways.” The imperatives leading up to this verse dictate actions—do not withhold, or say, or plan or quarrel—and, with the exception of “devising evil” against a neighbour (v. 29), the instructions do not explicitly target the emotions of the audience. In other words, the attention of these prohibitions is on personal behaviour rather than personal affections. However, the focus in 3:31 momentarily shifts from behaviour to attitude when it enjoins—“do not envy”—and then forbids the audience from choosing the ways of violence. “Do not envy a man of violence, and do not choose any of his ways.”

Verse 31’s interest in affection continues in verse 32, which states “For the devious person is an abomination to the Lord but with the upright is his counsel.” The “abomination to/of the Lord” crops up throughout Proverbs as a judgment against what the Lord hates, landing primarily upon wicked people and wicked actions. Like the Lord’s abomination, “envy” also reappears in Proverbs in warnings similar to 3:21. Proverbs 24:1, for instance, accentuates an affective focus: “Do not *envy* the wicked or *desire* to be with them.” Envy, in Proverbs 10-31, relates to human emotions, indicates that such emotions are prone to problems, and suggests that Proverbs aims to redirect them. Hence, do not envy or desire to be with the wicked (24:1); “do not let your heart envy sinners but continue in the fear of the Lord” (23:17); a calm heart gives life, but envy rots the bones (14:30). Proverbs 3:31-32, though, incorporates the Lord’s affections into its comments about human emotion. The sharp juxtaposition of human “envy” and divine abhorrence in 3:31-32 suggests that the author intends to facilitate an exchange in emotions. He mirrors someone who might “envy a violent man” with the Lord abhorring such perverse men.⁹ He replaces the affections of envy with affections of hatred and goes on to propound a set of divine affections in verses 33-35, wherein the Lord curses, scorns and disgraces wicked character types, and blesses, favours and honours righteous types. Who would envy such targets of God’s curse?¹⁰

Divine affection and human emotion appear not only in biblical instructional literature. Two passages in the *Instruction of Amenemope* use the Egyptian version of תועבת יהוה

⁹ Though overlooking the significance of the remarks about affections and focusing instead on the ideal social behaviours espoused by Prov 3:21-35, Bassoumboul (*Das sagesses*, 167-170) concludes that “Il souligne que la mesure de cet idéal est YHWH.” He explains that 3:31 intends to keep the son away from imitating “the methods” of the violent man, a purpose of the passage that I contend depends upon certain affections.

¹⁰ Being in God’s “counsel” (סוד; 3:32b) may involve communication between those involved (Ps 25:14; as “council,” see Jer 23:18; 23:22).

(“abomination of the Lord”) as grounds for admonitions against false speech and action:¹¹

Do not speak falsely to a man,
The god abhors it;
Do not sever your heart from your tongue.
That all your strivings may succeed.
You will be weighty before the others,
And secure in the hand of the god.
God hates the falsifier of words,
He greatly abhors the dissembler. (XIII.15-XIV.3)

Do not cheat a man ⟨through⟩ pen on scroll,
The god abhors it. (XV.20-21)

In these passages, perhaps composed during height of “personal piety” in ancient Egypt, the instructions “do not speak falsely” and “do not cheat a man,” plus the reiteration of divine affection in XIV.2-3, resemble the tone of Prov 3:28-32. Hence, “Do not speak falsely to a man; the god abhors it . . . God hates the falsifier of words, He greatly abhors the dissembler” (XIII.15-16; XIV.2-3). However, these instructions do not address human affections, either positively or negatively, or propound the audience with an inventory of divine attitudes towards good and evil as in Prov 3:33-35.¹² Therefore, by juxtaposing prohibitions with the god’s affections, the Egyptian material encourages attention towards the Lord’s affection and assessment in Proverbs, but in Proverbs it nevertheless seems that something innovative is occurring.

The human affective problem and the solution of acquiring divine affections finds support in another passage of the OT that joins language of human desire and the Lord’s abomination: Deut 7:25-26.

The images of their gods you shall burn with fire. You shall not covet the silver or gold that is upon them or take it for yourselves, lest you be ensnared

¹¹ See AEL 2:154-155. Cf. *Amenemope* V.8-9; XVIII.8-XIX.1.

¹² The theological framework that lies below the surface of a text like *Amenemope*, based on the bulk of evidence from ancient Egypt, differs substantially from the image of God portrayed in the Old Testament (see Hornung, *Conceptions*, 186-216, esp. 195-196, 201-202, 212). Though unconvincingly arguing for a monotheistic conception of Egyptian *ntr*, Joseph Vergote (“La Notion de Dieu dans les Livres de Sagesse Égyptiens,” in *Les Sagesses du Proche-Orient Ancien: Colloque de Strasbourg 17-19 mai 1962* [Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1963], 170-186) provides a thorough list of references and quotations for Egyptian instructional texts that mention “god.”

by it, for it is an abomination to the Lord your God. And you shall not bring an abomination into your house and become devoted to destruction [חרם] like it. You shall utterly detest it and utterly abhor it, for it is devoted to destruction.

Notice how the author of the passage prohibits humans from coveting and grounds the prohibition in the Lord's alternative affections—"Do not covet the silver or gold that is on [the images of foreign gods] and take it for yourself . . . for it is an abomination to the Lord your God." Yet the passage moves beyond a juxtaposition and into prescriptive, emotional guidance. For the people "must utterly detest and utterly abhor" the silver and gold. Using forceful language (שקץ וחסקצנו ותעב תתעבנו), Deut 7:26 enjoins its audience to feel how God feels; as he abhors the idol-related substances of foreign nations, so you, Israel, shall abhor them. The behavioural instruction offered in Deut 7:25-26 incorporates emotional obedience, grounded upon the emotions of the Lord who then serves as the model for how his people should feel.

A similar exchange, I contend, occurs in Prov 3:31-32, which condemns misguided human emotions and follows with an alternative, divine emotion that redirects the audience's affections, a strategy absent from Proverbs 10-31.¹³ Proverbs 3:31-32 viewed within 3:28-35 suggests that when Proverbs 1-9 mentions the affections of the Lord, it aims to commend these affections to humans. Inversely, it indicates that the human problem includes the failure to share God's feelings towards wickedness.¹⁴ As we investigate an answer to the question of what constitutes the human problem, the Proverbs 3 passage is only one portion of the evidence in 1-9 regarding the Lord's affection and assessment. As mentioned at the end of the section headed The Lord's Affection and Assessment in Prov 16:2, due to a pair of terms for "sin" and "iniquity," the second passage in Proverbs 1-9 pertinent to the current question

¹³ Proverbs 23:17 offers no instruction for how to feel about wickedness, though it corroborates the point that humans should feel how God does: "Your heart shall not envy sinners but rather be in the fear of the Lord all the day" (see also 10:3; 12:12; 24:1, 19; cf. 1:22; 6:25). On implicit views of desire and desire as such throughout Proverbs, see Stewart, *Poetic Ethics*, 130-169. Following Lyu, Stewart's treatment of desire in Proverbs is bound up with character types and their "poetic" features, which I address in Chapter 3. She also acknowledges that "The patterning of desire in Proverbs is developed to the most elaborate extent in chapters 1-9" (147), which, for her, form character "in the likeness of wisdom" (162). Forming desire in the likeness of the Lord remains unaddressed, and Stewart's examples show how the sayings in Proverbs 10-29 hold no explicit theological aspect (e.g., 12:1; 13:5; 18:2; 21:15; 24:13-14).

¹⁴ Based on a brisk study of sin and its solution in Proverbs 1-9, without focusing on the texts in question, Boda (*Severe Mercy*, 374; see 359-376) concludes that wisdom in Collection I "entails turning away and rejecting evil and turning to and embracing righteousness, both in the affections as well as in practice."

arises in Proverbs 5.

כי נכח עיני יהוה דרכי־איש 5:21 For a man's ways are before the eyes of the Lord,
 וכל־מעגלתיז מפלס and he observes all his paths.

Proverbs 5:21 baldly states “For a man’s ways are before the eyes of the Lord, and he observes all his paths.” This verse inaugurates the conclusion of Proverbs 5 (5:22-23), which summarises an ethical evaluation of the preceding story, wherein the father again commends his teaching (vv. 1-2) only to present an exposé of a temptress (vv. 3-6), the man seduced by her (vv. 7-14), and the proper context of sexual activity (vv. 15-20). The story portrays what happens to men who succumb to the temptations of a dangerous female by outlining the consequences for the man’s honour, time (5:9), possessions, and energy (5:10): he loses all of them. These losses culminate in a realisation of his foolish behaviour and a confession of what went wrong: “How I hated discipline, and my heart despised reproof! I did not listen to the voice of my teacher or incline my ear to my instructors” (5:12-13). He “hated” discipline and “despised” reproof, language that attests to a problem of affection; he harboured the wrong feelings toward pedagogical instruction.

The Lord’s evaluation does not appear in the confession of 5:12-14 but arises instead seven verses later, at the end of the chapter in 5:21. The verse says that the Lord sees and examines all the ways of humans, not least of this man, and proceeds to offer another appraisal of those lured by the Proverbial temptress:

For a man’s ways are before the eyes of the Lord,
 and he observes all his paths.
 His iniquities will ensnare him,
 and he will be held fast in the cords of his sin.
 He will die by a lack of discipline,
 and by his great folly he strays. (5:21-23)

In short, these verses explain the reasons for the man’s behaviour in 5:3-14—iniquity, sin, folly, and a lack of discipline. Based on the lightbulb scenario of 5:11-12, where at the end of his life this man realises the source of his former problems, it seems that ignorance may have been his greatest fault. In his latter days he suddenly realises his former attitude. However, his admission of conscious, negative postures toward instruction, and the explanation in 5:22, particularly the “sin” and “iniquity” that spurred his downfall, indicate that perhaps “ignorance” does not best capture the problem. As Christl Maier concludes, based on the

generalised nature of the terms for wrongdoing in 5:22, “Dabei ist es unerheblich, ob diese wissentlich oder unwissentlich geschehen sind.”¹⁵

What is the problem, then, in Proverbs 5 that, as 5:21 wants us to know, God certainly sees? It may include the broad scope of iniquity and sin conveyed by עון and חטא in 5:22.¹⁶ However, the confession in verses 12-13 suggests an affective problem, one more directly related to the “lack of discipline” and the “folly” mentioned in 5:23. The man hated discipline and reproof and did not desire to listen to his teachers. Might it be accurate to say that he was right “in his own eyes,” at least until old age, and that God sees not only an illicit tryst but also the improper affections of a sinful man? This passage does not, like 3:31-32, suggest that the audience align their affections with the Lord’s, but it does show the nature of the human problem when the Lord is watching. His affection (3:31-32) and assessment (5:21) occur within Proverbs 1-9 in contexts quite concerned with the affections of humans, to show that one way humans go wrong is by failing to feel how God feels.

Before returning to Prov 16:2, consider two more observations about the Lord’s affection and assessment from Proverbs 1-9. In the first place, none of the passages that mention or depict these concepts provides a background or justification for the Lord’s affection; they assume the Lord’s moral standards as categorical.¹⁷ For example, certain things are an abomination to the Lord, full stop. In the second place, Collection I is not interested to explain why the Lord hates such activity but is concerned with clarifying what he hates, that is, the purview of his abomination, such as the characters, actions, and, as explored in this section, the misguided affections of human beings. In summary, the Lord sets the standard for the moral judgment of humans, and Proverbs 1-9 attempts to align the interpreter’s affections and assessment with the Lord’s. The core problem, then, for humans is their failure to approach and respond to good and evil in the way that God does.

The Function of Proverbs 1-9 for Prov 16:2

Having posed the interpretive question of this section about Proverbs’ theology to Proverbs 1-9, I now return to the source of the question: Prov 16:2. Representative of several sayings in 10:1-22:16 about the Lord’s affection and assessment, Prov 16:2 remarks that “All

¹⁵ Maier, *Die “fremde Frau”*, 125.

¹⁶ On the use of these lexemes elsewhere, especially in the book of Psalms, see below. “Transgression” (פִּשְׁעוֹ) in Proverbs seems to refer to wrongdoing against other humans rather than God (e.g., 19:11; 28:24).

¹⁷ Against the possibility that the negative consequences of such behaviour, perhaps generalised as “social disorder,” constitute the grounds for the Lord’s stance, see Boström, *God*, 202.

the ways of a man are pure in his eyes, but the Lord weighs spirits.” The quite distinct language for “pure” (טָהוֹר) refers to moral uprightness and joined with “in his eyes” conveys that humans hold an opinion about their ways, judging them to be morally right. Following this judgment, the second line of 16:2 states that the Lord “weighs spirits” to indicate that he knows and assesses the hearts of those humans who see their ways as pure. The challenge of this proverb comes not so much from its language of the Lord “weighing” hearts or from what exactly it means by being pure “in one’s eyes.” It is the distinctive language of “pure” in 16:2 that prompts an intriguing enquiry into what constitutes “impurity” in the view of this saying and the book of Proverbs. That is, what is the nature of the human problem? Other material in Prov 10:1-22:16, while provoking similar questions, offers limited resources to answer the question, and although other passages from the OT with conceptual or lexical links to Prov 16:2 suggest promising resolutions, none of these combines the anthropological and theological perspectives needed to deliver the most plausible framework for the proverb.¹⁸ I suggested Prov 3:31-32 and 5:21-23 as reliable resources for this interpretive challenge to address human problems in the context of divine affection and assessment.

Proverbs 3:31-32 and the whole of Proverbs 5 show that the Lord sets the standard for the moral outlook of human beings, and that Proverbs 1-9 attempts to align the interpreter’s affections and assessment with this standard. To pose the question of Prov 16:2—what is the human problem?—to Proverbs 1-9, the core problem is the failure to approach and respond to good and evil in the way that God does. Proverbs 1-9 affirms that humans have a penchant for evil, or at least find it attractive, and therefore provides a framework to see that, on the one hand, 16:2 connotes a problematic human ethical judgment. That is, “all the ways of a man are pure in his own eyes,” even though none of his ways actually are pure. Such interpretations find approval from some commentators, such as Waltke, who in 16:2 sees people who “justify ‘all their actions’ ” and enter into conflict with the Lord’s true evaluation.¹⁹ But Proverbs 1-9 supports the voices of those like Fox, who contend that Prov 16:2, on the other hand, “does not mean that all people are inevitably self-righteous. Proverbs nowhere displays such a jaundiced view of humanity.”²⁰ Proverbs 16:2 may connote that “all the ways of a man are pure in his own eyes” and are nevertheless sometimes in line with the

¹⁸ Deuteronomy 7:25-26 informs Prov 5:31-32 more than Prov 16:2 because of its direct links to the former (i.e. “abomination of the Lord”). Had the phrase appeared in Prov 16:2, then that would broach a possibly more significant interpretive relation between Prov 10:1-22:16 and Deuteronomy, one worth exploring for other passages, given the presence of the Lord’s abomination in Proverbs.

¹⁹ Waltke, *Proverbs 15-31*, 10.

²⁰ Fox, *Proverbs 10-31*, 608.

Lord's standard.

These interpretive positions recall the debate that surrounds Prov 16:9 and whether it connotes a positive or negative view of humanity. In the section entitled The Supremacy of the Lord's Wisdom and Sovereignty, Proverbs 1-9 imposed a framework upon these options, not so much to decide between options or to refute an interpretation, but to add a subtlety and nuance often unrecognised. Likewise, for Prov 16:2, Proverbs 1-9 reorients discussions and invites attention to the human imitation of God, in its efforts to portray the human problem as one of failure to feel how God feels, especially about evil, and assess it according to his standards. According to Mommer, "what matters is not the private judgment of a human being but the incorruptible and certain judgment of Yahweh."²¹ According to Proverbs 1-9, the human judgment does matter, not in that it determines good and evil, but in that Proverbs envisions a people with moral judgments that should align with God's. Lastly, how might this alignment be achieved? Proverbs 5 indicates that in order to acquire God's affection and assessment, to the extent that it is possible, humans must listen to the teachings of authorised instructors. The man in Proverbs 5 bemoans his recalcitrance, and it seems the author himself forwards this man as an example to show that God feels and thinks otherwise, and to inspire his audience to follow suit. For, as Proverbs 1-9 makes clear, the Lord sets the moral standard, and, with this insight as well as its conception of affection and assessment, functions didactically by providing an interpretive framework for Prov 10:1-22:16.

Conclusion

Proverbs 16:2 and the discussion about God's affection and assessment completes my deliberate venture into the theological world of Proverbs. Despite selecting only three of the 57 sayings that mention "the Lord" or "God" in Prov 10:1-22:16 (16:2, 3, 9), this selection represents the variety of theological perspectives in the collection and demonstrates how Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically with respect to each. Proverbs 16:3 broaches issues of how humans posture themselves toward God—especially the question of why to trust him—and 3:1-12 discloses that God deserves trust because of his supreme wisdom, superior control, favourable consequences, and most distinctly his love and delight for people. Within the context of the Lord's wisdom and sovereignty, Prov 16:9 starkly juxtaposes a statement about God and humanity. Humans plan their way; God establishes it. For such an ambiguous relation, two passages in Proverbs 1-9 (3:19-26; 8:22-36) demonstrate that divine wisdom

²¹ Mommer, "תכן *tkn*," *TDOT* 15:664.

functions as a mediator between God and humanity, bridging the gap in sayings like Prov 16:9. Gathering the conclusions of the first two sections, I applied these insights to 22:17-21, a passage that also presumes the Lord as worthy of trust and details little about the relation of humans and God. Consequently, Proverbs 1-9 offers insight beyond 10:1-22:16, perhaps functioning as an introduction for 10-31 as a whole. Lastly, Prov 16:2 presents the interpreter with the challenge of discerning the human problem in Proverbs, derived from remarks about human morality and the Lord's affection and assessment. Proverbs 3:31-32 and Proverbs 5 show that the Lord norms the moral world for humans who struggle to align their affections and their appraisal of good and evil with the Lord's. All of these examples show how Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically by supplying an interpretive framework for Proverbs 10-31, not necessarily imposing a single reading of proverbs that reference the Lord, but to nuance and enhance the interpretation of these passages.

6

Conclusion

Summary

Proverbs 1-9 stands at the outset of the final form of the book of Proverbs for which it has long been called a “prologue” or “introduction.” In order to determine the significance of its placement and assess the possibility that it operates as an “introduction,” I have asked and answered a single question: how does Proverbs 1-9 function with respect to the interpretation of Proverbs 10-31? In both the detail and the “big picture” of Proverbs, Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically by supplying interpretive frameworks for 10-31. It teaches interpretive skills that enable the interpreter to understand and explicate material in 10-31, within literary, rhetorical, and theological contexts. By functioning in this didactic way, Proverbs 1-9 fulfils the promises of Prov 1:1-7, which claims that the interpreter will “understand” Proverbs (1:2) and “explicate” its material (1:6). The issues that need explicating arise from the “assumptions” in Prov 10:1-22:16, that is, the information and skills that are required for interpreting the proverbs. As a primary part of its didactic function, Proverbs 1-9 informs the particular interpretive challenges that arise from these assumptions. Specifically, it establishes the literary identity and rhetorical function of the characters of Proverbs; it creates a network of aims and values by setting particular educational goals that organise certain statements in Proverbs 10-31; and it substantiates the role of the Lord and his relationship to humanity for sayings that only briefly mention him. In each of these ways, Proverbs 1-9 forms an interpretive framework to explain much of what is latent and unstated by the proverbial material. Most of my conclusions were established based on the relationship of Proverbs 1-9 and 10:1-22:16 but at times they were extended to portions of 22:17-30:33 (22:17-21; 29:11; 30:1-9) to demonstrate the, albeit limited, function of 1-9 for the whole of Proverbs. All examples fell under three contexts of interpretation—literary, rhetorical and theological—each of which received its own chapter.

Over the course of Chapter 3, I explored one of the most palpable features in the book of Proverbs: its characters. The wise, the foolish, the righteous and the wicked show up in most chapters, especially 10:1-22:16, a collection of sayings that prompted the enquiry into character types. The section in Chapter 3 entitled The Identity of Character Types addressed

the question of what these characters are with respect to their identities, which may be exaggerated or real or somewhere in between. Proverbs 10:1-5 posed two particular interpretive challenges, the first being what to make of “treasures of wickedness” in 10:2, as it has been debated whether gaining treasures by wickedness is even possible or to be expected. Second, the ambiguous syntax of 10:4a renders two possible interpretations of the line: “a poor man makes a lax palm” or “a lax palm makes a poor man.” We may wonder which of these translations is plausible, or whether the syntactical ambiguity is perhaps deliberate. In view of such questions, Proverbs 1-9 was consulted to determine if it might lend insight on these interpretive issues and on character types more broadly. Proverbs 1:10-19, the scenario in which the Proverbial father warns his son against joining a flagrant gang of greedy sinners, displays an extreme portrait of wickedness, names it as such, labels the culprits “sinners,” and then generalises from this particular scenario to reveal, for interpreters, that the characters in Proverbs—like these “sinners”—represent extreme exaggerations of humans rather than the sorts of people that an ancient reader might encounter on a daily basis. Proverbs 1-9 shows that character types are extreme, caricatures of people.

In addition to the identity of these characters, the question of how different character types maintain their identities in relation to each other also arose, quite an important question given the abundance and diversity of character lexemes in Proverbs. Knut Heim has proposed a theory of “coreferentiality” that interprets Proverbial characters as different portrayals of an ultimately good or bad person. They represent, fundamentally, neither distinct figures nor amalgamations of one person, but rather distinct qualities from different realms of life, unified in their overall characteristic of good or evil. Focusing especially on Proverbs 2 and the conclusion of Proverbs 3 (vv. 31-35), I showed that Proverbs 1-9, a section unaccounted for by Heim, affirms and instils this sense of coreferentiality between its characters.

Having discerned interpretive challenges in Prov 10:1-22:16 and then consulted 1-9, the following step determined how 1-9 might resolve the challenges from 10:1-22:16. As mentioned, Prov 10:1-5 produced two interpretive challenges, one related to the phrase “treasures of wickedness” (10:2) and the other to the ambiguous syntax of 10:4, which may render “a poor man makes a lax palm” or “a lax palm makes a poor man.” As an interpretive framework, Proverbs 1-9 provided insights into these challenges, demonstrating its didactic function. According to Proverbs 1, the wicked can gain treasures, so that, for prepared interpreters, this possibility comes as no surprise when encountering 10:2. Proverbs 1 also introduces the interpreter to characters like the “sinners,” suggesting that the “wicked” of 10:2 connotes the wicked character type, an idealised sort of evil person, who accumulates treasure through evil means, deceptive tactics, and possibly tempting partnerships. As for 10:4, the

interpreter fluent in Proverbs 1-9 now knows that behaviour and consequences stem from character rather than vice versa, so that, when confronted with syntactical options in the proverb, he or she may conclude that the idle person begets poverty, rather than poverty begetting idleness.

From the question about the characters' identity follows the question of how they are meant to function for the interpreter. Do Proverbial characters compel some sort of response from the reader? Explored in the second section of Chapter 3—The Rhetoric of Character Types—the question pertains to rhetoric, viewed simply as the aims and means of persuasion. Other interpreters, commenting on Proverbs 10-31, suggest that character types may function as mirrors for emulation and self-evaluation, prompting readers of Proverbs to imitate or steer clear of certain characters and assess themselves in light of them, not least based on affective postures. Holding these suggestions as hypotheses, I consulted Prov 1:20-33 to discover that it presents a set of bad character types—simpletons, scoffers and fools. These people are condemned by Lady Wisdom and distinguished from her primary audience, who, she indicates, should evaluate these characters and use them for self-reflection, ultimately rejecting the simpleton, the scoffer, and the fool in favour of the right sort of person: “the one who listens” to her.

Returning to Prov 10:1-22:16, two sayings were examined—Prov 15:2 and 18:2—which include character lexemes, namely the wise person and the fool, and state observations about them without giving explicit advice. In other words, when read on their own, without accounting for Proverbs 1-9, the characters of 15:2 and 18:2 compel no response from the interpreter. They give an indicative rather than imperative portrait of people. While these passages, when read independently of Proverbs 1-9, offer valuable insights, when they are interpreted with 1-9 in view, it became clear that both 15:2 and 18:2, and later 29:11, harbour rhetorical force because of their character types. As an interpretive framework, Proverbs 1-9 saturates these proverbs with rhetorical implications, prompting interpreters to emulate good, self-evaluate based on character types, and feel particular ways about them. From both literary and rhetorical perspectives, Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically by constructing a framework within which to understand the characters of Proverbs 10-29.

In Chapter 4, I explored the goals and values of Proverbs based on two particular challenges in Prov 22:1—“A name is to be chosen rather than great riches, favour is better than silver and gold.” The first issue appears when 22:1 is interpreted aside Prov 16:16, which states that “To acquire wisdom is much better than gold, and to acquire understanding is to be chosen more than silver.” The juxtaposition of these sayings creates a set of potentially competing values—a name and wisdom—which do not receive an ordered relationship when

viewed within Proverbs 10-29 alone. The two values might remain in tension, both simply championed by individual proverbs with no other guidance as to how an interpreter might choose between a name and wisdom if given the option. However, it is also worth asking if Proverbs harbours some organising principle or structure for its values, since it does propound comparative, axiological statements so often, lending suspicion to the idea that 22:1 and 16:16 ought to remain in apposition.

Proverbs 22:1 also produced a question about its use of the lexeme *šum*, which, based on OT evidence and Akkadian *šumum*, refers to “fame” or “reputation” without further qualification, such as positive connotations. Other uses of *šum* in Proverbs attest to this neutral sense, since the lexeme always occurs with a modifier—such as “the name of the wicked”—except for its absolute appearance in 22:1. These resources, then, do not address the question of what sort of name Prov 22:1 envisions. It may remain unqualified, as if a name of any sort, even a “favourable name” in view of 22:1b, should be chosen rather than great wealth. However, when such questions are posed to Proverbs 1-9, Prov 22:1 receives interpretive insight, and in the remainder of Chapter 4, I show just that.

The two interpretive challenges related to Prov 22:1 led to Proverbs 7-8, chapters quite appropriate for these questions due to this highly rhetorical flavour. They persuade, I argue, towards the goals of avoiding folly and embracing wisdom, goals established by the teaching of the Proverbial father, who portrays these correlative aims whilst drawing attention to his own teaching. Proverbs 7-8 champions wisdom and wise character as the ultimate goals of education and sets prime value on wisdom as a possession and friend, culminating in a scheme of education: through the text’s teachings, acquire wisdom in order to grow in wise character.

This provisional conclusion, though convincingly based on Proverbs 7-8, does not account for the remainder of Proverbs 1-9, with Proverbs 2, the “Lehrprogramm” of 1-9, posing the greatest potential objection to my proposal. With the work of Bernd Schipper, I argued that Proverbs 2 not only affirms the views of Proverbs 7-8 but also supplements them with a theological layer that colours the whole educational model and specifically modifies it to the following: through the text’s teachings and the fear of the Lord, acquire wisdom in order to grow in wise character. When this educational framework is applied to the interpretive challenges of Prov 22:1, it offers interpretive insights into conceptions of value, goals, and lexical developments. The system of goals and values indicates that if faced with a choice, the interpreter should prefer wisdom (16:16) to fame (22:1), and that the “name” to be chosen over great riches in 22:1 refers to the reputation that arises from wise character. Proverbs 22:1 and related sayings fit snugly into the system of values and educational goals

set forth by Proverbs 1-9, revealing its didactic function for the book of Proverbs.

Extending its scope beyond 10:1-22:16, the teleological network established by Proverbs 1-9 operates in a similar way for 30:1-9. In Proverbs 30, Agur expresses a lack of understanding, wisdom, and, it seems, knowledge of God. Although Agur states a problem and a potential solution he does not provide the context necessary to answer the question of why his problem is a problem: why has a lack of wisdom provoked such a response? Many interpreters cite other OT texts that Prov 30:1-9 alludes to, especially Job, Psalms and Deuteronomy, and attempt to explain Agur's statements with one or all of the views found in these other passages. The cacophony of allusions in Prov 30:1-9, though, leaves the intent of these allusions and their interpretive implications unclear. For clarity, I appeal to Proverbs 1-9, which offers a network of goals to suggest that Agur's problem is a problem because he struggles to attain the educational goals of wisdom's schooling. The very lexemes he uses for "understanding" and "wisdom," as well as knowledge of God, appear in Proverbs 1 and 2 where they most directly serve to define the educational scheme of Proverbs. Agur may allude to other OT texts, but he also refers to Proverbs 1-9 and thereby points to a framework that informs one of the central interpretive challenges of Prov 30:1-9. In addition to the teleological context of Agur's remarks, Proverbs 1-9 provides insight into a detailed difficulty of Prov 30:3b, which, based solely on grammatical evidence, may affirm Agur's "knowledge of God" or deny it. Providing more decisive clarity, the educational scheme outlined in Proverbs 1-9 suggests that Agur has not acquired this knowledge, cohering with the hyperbolic register of these statements and the remainder of the passage.

The second section of Chapter 4 executed an alternative methodology that takes its point of departure from Proverbs 1-9 instead of 10:1-22:16 to ensure that the main features of chapters 1-9 were not overlooked. Taking 1-9 on its own terms, Jean-Noël Aletti discloses some of the section's main features, arguing that it portrays the world as a morally chaotic and ambiguous place where bad things often look good, like the invitations of the temptress who sounds very much like Wisdom. This moral ambiguity creates problems for those unable to distinguish good and bad. The youth of Proverbs 7, for example, falls prey to seduction and, in a word, lacks discernment, which the father of Proverbs 1-9 shows is necessary for navigating the Proverbial landscape.

A similar depiction, albeit terse, appears in Proverbs 10-29, where fools operate with moral confusion, and certain scenarios portray bad things as good things. The latter occurs in Prov 14:12 and 18:8 in which the way to death "seems right to a man" and the whisperer's words taste like "delicious morsels." The sayings leave few evaluative clues with which to determine how to respond: should I gobble gossip and enjoy it? Do I simply tread through life

and hope for the best? Or is there some way to assure a livelier outcome? Little more can be said in response to these passages except that they require discernment, which, according to Proverbs 1-9, is the faculty for encountering such moral ambiguity victoriously. Proverbs 1-9 most importantly shows that such discernment is acquired through the scheme of education outlined earlier in Chapter 4, a scheme that begins with the father's instruction and the fear of the Lord, leading to the acquisition of wisdom and growth in wise character that wisdom facilitates with the Lord's help. In this way 1-9 functions didactically and exposes features overlooked when taking 10:1-22:16 as the sole point of departure. Even when interpreted on its own terms, Proverbs 1-9 still provides an interpretive framework for material in 10-31.

Chapter 5 examined the theology of Proverbs by organising the 57 sayings from 10:1-22:16 that refer to “the Lord” or “God” into three categories: human postures toward the Lord; the supremacy of the Lord's wisdom and sovereignty; and the Lord's affection and assessment. Proverbs 15:33-16:9 was found to include material from each of the three categories and to function as a theological “kernel” for 10:1-22:16, with its use of יהוה or אלהים being the highest concentration of any keyword in Prov 10:1-22:16. From this kernel, three sayings, each of which represents one theological category, were selected to serve as examples for extracting an interpretive challenge and determining how Proverbs 1-9 might function for the book's theology.

First, Prov 16:3 represents sayings that refer to the Lord and also portray human postures towards him, such as “fear” or “anger” or, in this case, “trust,” as the proverb baldly commands people to “trust your works to the Lord,” who will establish your plans, and thereby assumes that the Lord deserves trust. But why trust the Lord? After exploring Proverbs 10-31 and other potential interpretive resources (e.g., Prov 12:2; 16:1, 5, 9; 20:22; 21:3), which could motivate trust based on the Lord's supreme wisdom and dispensation of consequences, this question was posed to Proverbs 1-9. The instructions in Prov 3:1-12 that address why and how the Lord is worthy of human trust proffer reasons that cohere with those found elsewhere, especially from 10:1-22:16, but they also add another reason—trust the Lord because he loves you and delights in you, like a father does his son (3:11-12). Proverbs 3:11-12 instils an interpretive framework that lends interpretive insight into an assumption of Prov 16:3, substantiating a response to an interpretive challenge fundamental to the saying.

Proverbs 16:9 represents the second category of theological sayings in Collection II, depicting the superiority of the Lord's wisdom and sovereignty, and often juxtaposing these concepts with human wisdom and control. Proverbs 16:9 observes that humans “plan” their ways in a largely cognitive sense, and that the Lord “establishes” their steps, a remark about God that entails both his knowledge and power. The interpretive challenge of this proverb

turns on the interpretation of the *waw* that joins the two lines, rendering either “The heart of man plans his way *but* the Lord establishes his steps,” or “The heart of man plans his way *and* the Lord establishes his steps.” Interpreters often assert an antithetical relationship between such lines and view humans as primarily depraved operators who plan ways that the Lord then overrides; humans push the envelope of their cognitive and volitional limitations so that proverbs like 16:9 warn against pressing such boundaries. However, by consulting Proverbs 1-9, specifically 3:19-26 and 8:22-31, I argued that wisdom functions as a mediator between God and humans, “bridging the gap” so to speak between the Lord’s prudent governance and human planning, to render them complementary so long as humans heed wisdom. Proverbs 1-9 opens more than one plausible interpretation of Prov 16:9 and contributes innovative insights to its interpretation. In this way, Proverbs 1-9 functions didactically.

Third and finally, Prov 16:2 provided an example of those sayings that portray the Lord’s affection and assessment, how he feels about and appraises humans and the world. It states that all ways are “pure” (טָר) in the eyes of humans and that the Lord weighs—in the sense of examining and measuring—human hearts, thereby assuming a notion of “impurity” and posing the question, what is the human problem in the view of this proverb? Lexical and conceptual links derived from Prov 16:2 led to the discovery of interpretive contributions from Proverbs 10-31 and the *Instruction of Amenemope* but ultimately directed us to Prov 3:31-32 and Proverbs 5, passages that make remarks about human wrongdoing within the context of comments on the affection or assessment of the Lord. These texts indicate that human affections are a key human problem and that these affections ought to align with the Lord’s, in light of his omniscience and omniscience. In Proverbs 1-9, the Lord sets the standard for morality, particularly hates evil, and wishes people to align with his appraisal of good and evil and with the way he feels toward them. And so, Proverbs 1-9 lays a backdrop for 16:2 with which to see a more substantial vision of the human problem, what it means to be “impure.”

In this example, the function of Proverbs 1-9 encounters some of its most obvious limitations. While Proverbs 1-9 offered insight into the interpretive problem of Prov 16:2 and other sayings like it, it at the same time lacked the comprehensive interpretive punch that it delivered for 16:3 and 16:9. It has certainly provided insight and maintained its didactic function, but it encounters limitations, which become clear primarily in view of two lexemes: חַטָּא and עֲוֹן. These terms for “sin” and “iniquity,” associated with 16:2’s notion of “purity,” brand what the man in Proverbs 5 got wrong but they rarely occur in Proverbs and seem to presume quite a bit of conceptual stock. עֲוֹן occurs in the book of Psalms 31 times, and Koch concludes that “Just which transgressions or crimes actually lead to ‘*āwōn* is not explicated,”

citing Ps 89:32-33[31-32] as the exception, which, nevertheless, refers to guidelines as normative for the king rather than the Mosaic law as the norm for all Israel.¹ In Psalms, these lexemes often appear clustered together, as in 32 and 51, and seem to “express the totality and immensity of culpability” rather than indicating the measure of the offence or its precise nature.² Clearly, the lexemes in Prov 5:21 connote wrongdoing against God and fellow humans, but what else might lurk behind this “sin” and “iniquity”? Can we know more about the ethical standard in Proverbs, aside from the premise that God determines it?

These questions dovetail with the remarks of Wildeboer, who, in his comments on Prov 5:21, says that God punishes “wenn nun der Mensch Gottes Gesetz übertritt,” and that Prov 16:2 prompts the audience to adopt God’s law as his moral will and standard.³ The law, for Wildeboer, marks the key to understanding moral wrong in these passages, suggesting that one human problem in Proverbs, one I would consider major based on these texts, is lawlessness. Humans transgress the law of God, and God, who assesses all humans, feels certain ways about it. In short, the challenges of Prov 16:2 have spawned even more puzzles within Proverbs 1-9 itself, suggesting that 1-9 makes certain assumptions and thereby requires its own resources for interpretation. These assumptions do not compromise its didactic role; they reveal its limitations, along with starting points for how Proverbs might integrate with other portions of the OT and its ancient context.

Implications

“While we await the invention of a time machine,” says Stuart Weeks, “the only way to demonstrate basic unity is to show that a reading of the text as a single work produces, on balance, the most satisfactory and coherent result, and to leave the rest to Occam.”⁴ While neither exhaustive nor productive of a time machine, I hope to have proffered a satisfactory and coherent interpretation of the book of Proverbs as we have it, exposing a literary, rhetorical, and theological unity, albeit a unity that accounts for the placement of Proverbs 1-9 at the outset of the book.

My conclusions reveal that a final form reading of Proverbs, which prioritises chapters 1-9 over the rest of the book, produces an interpretation where the whole carries more

¹ K. Koch, “יִצְיָ, ‘āwōn,” *TDOT* 10:546-562 (553).

² Boda, *Severe Mercy*, 446.

³ Wildeboer, *Sprüche*, 16; see also 47-48.

⁴ Weeks, *Instruction*, 66.

interpretive weight than the sum of its parts. While Proverbs 10-30 supplies exegetical fruit on its own, it repeatedly reveals interpretive impasses and presuppositions, and although Proverbs 1-9 says quite a bit that can stand alone, its opening verses (1:1-7) promise competence in “proverbs” and “sayings” (1:6), and by chapter nine the interpreter is left expecting more in this regard. What is all of the commendation of wisdom for? Where and when will the promises of 1:6 be fulfilled? When read together, Proverbs 1-9 and 10-30 do not simply combine and sum; they procreate. Fresh and coherent interpretations of each are supplied when read in light of the other, and due to the presuppositions undergirding Proverbs 10-30 my examples reveal the authoritative role of 1-9 for interpretation. While I hesitate to defend a singular, “correct” interpretation for a proverb, based on this study Proverbs 1-9 at times constrains certain interpretations of Proverbs 10-30 and at other times opens up vistas of interpretation otherwise unknown or speculative. It enriches a proverb or provides substance to exegesis that at times seems meagre. Hermeneutically, Proverbs 1-9 both controls and deposits meaning for 10-30.

The interpretive contexts of Proverbs—literary, rhetorical and theological—and their respective topics—character types, aims/values, and the Lord—likewise integrate and reveal this interdependence. I have so far treated each of the three interpretive contexts independently, making only brief comments when, for instance, a theological example recalls a concept from the literary and rhetorical chapters. Such segregation serves the purposes of presenting research, but I propose that these three contexts ultimately depend upon each other and work in synthesis. Proverbs 15:9, for example, incorporates the “wicked” and “the Lord” and makes an indicative statement: “The way of the wicked is an abomination to the Lord; he loves the one who pursues righteousness.” This saying harbours assumptions about character types, the Lord’s abhorrence, and rhetorical implications, a combination that deserves further consideration and plausibly works in harmony with the insights of Proverbs 1-9. In Prov 15:9, an example that could be replicated throughout Proverbs 10-30, these three contexts work in concert and further establish the coherence of the book of Proverbs.

Suggestions for Further Study

Further study into the didactic function of Proverbs 1-9 might consider its role from the perspective of other contexts, such as the proverb as a performative literary form. In Chapter 1, I mentioned how Christine Yoder has argued that Proverbs 1-9 embeds proverbs within some of its poems in order to show the reader how to use the proverbial form of communication (i.e. 1:17; 2:21-22; 3:33-35; 9:7-9). The didactic role of these embedded

proverbs may appear in how they prepare the reader to handle individual sayings in Proverbs 10-29, as Proverbs 1-9 possibly offers contexts within which maxims can be used, such as warning a gullible youth away from wicked groups (e.g., 1:17). Wider implications for research, which stem beyond the bounds of Proverbs itself, also commend themselves. In order to establish the function of Proverbs 1-9 for Proverbs 10-31, I deliberately limited the scope of this study to the book of Proverbs itself, appealing to other portions of the OT when necessary or when they posed a particular challenge to my argument. Likewise, ancient Egyptian literature was presented only when it bore special relevance for my questions and argument. What should now be done is to place Proverbs 1-9 within its canonical context and determine how a wider net of OT literature informs its assumptions. As mentioned, the concepts of “sin” and “iniquity” in Proverbs 1-9 carry their own assumptions and may reveal a point of connection between Proverbs and OT law. Additionally, the “abomination to the Lord” in Proverbs 1-9 harbours presupposed premises. Given that the phrase appears throughout the OT, how might other texts inform its interpretation in Proverbs?⁵ Such questions, I suspect, may refine how we understand Proverbs’ relation to the cult and covenants of Israel. Likewise, the assumptions and interpretive categories of Proverbs, in the way examined here, should be considered within ancient Egyptian and Mesopotamian literature, further uncovering the similarities and dissimilarities between these traditions.⁶ Beyond the purposive treatment given here, how do Egyptian instructional texts conceive of character types and theological references, what is assumed about these topics, and what resource(s) inform them?

The didactic function in Proverbs 1-9 prompts similar questions for Job and, to a lesser extent, Ecclesiastes, books that also contain “prologues” which supposedly aid the interpretation of the whole. My method, starting with assumptions in the hypothetically dependent text (10:1-22:16) and examining the interpretive role of supposedly introductory material (1-9), might clarify the function of the “prologues” and “epilogues” in Job and Ecclesiastes. The book of Job, for instance, refers to wicked and righteous “types” in its middle sections (3:1-41:34) yet opens with a coherent narrative about a “blameless and upright” man. How might the idealised depiction of Job in chapters 1-2 relate to the interpretation of character types elsewhere? As for Ecclesiastes, aside from its opening and

⁵ See Katharine Dell and Will Kynes, ed., *Reading Proverbs Intertextually*, LHBOTS (London: Bloomsbury, forthcoming 2018).

⁶ For work in this direction within the area of ethics, see Holger Delkurt, *Ethische Einsichten in der Altestamentlichen Spruchweisheit* (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener, 1993).

closing passages, its use of character types and proverbs should be considered within the didactic context of Proverbs, which may supply form and function to the characters of Ecclesiastes, and substantiate Qohelet's proverbs. While such investigations are often classed as "literary" studies, my threefold set of contexts suggests that we integrate other fields of biblical studies. Certainly, these books are texts, but their contents relate on more than a "literary" level of interpretation. The rhetoric and theology of biblical literature should not be excluded.

I have tried to remain faithful to the words of the biblical text that we have while also advancing one step forward in the interpretation of that text. My hope is that this study provides a methodological and topical guidebook on how to account for Proverbs 1-9 when interpreting the detail and the whole of Proverbs. Those opening chapters do indeed function as an introduction for much of the book, except for those aspects where wise sayings retain their mystery, remaining profound but interpretively obscure.

Bibliography

- Adams, Samuel L. *Wisdom in Transition: Act and Consequence in Second Temple Instructions*. Supplements to the Journal for the Study of Judaism. Leiden: Brill, 2008.
- Aitken, Kenneth. *Proverbs*. Philadelphia, PA: Westminster Press, 1986.
- Aletti, Jean-Noël. "Proverbes 8, 22-31. Étude De Structure." *Biblica* (1976): 25-37.
- . "Seduction et Parole en Proverbs I-IX." *Vetus Testamentum* 27 (1977): 129-144.
- Ansberry, Christopher B. *Be Wise, My Son, and Make My Heart Glad: An Exploration of the Courtly Nature of the Book of Proverbs*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2011.
- Balentine, Samuel Eugene. *Job*. Macon, GA: Smyth & Helwys, 2006.
- Bartholomew, Craig G. *Ecclesiastes*. Baker Commentary on the Old Testament Wisdom and Psalms. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2009.
- Barucq, André. *Le Livre des Proverbes*. Paris: J. Gabalda, 1964.
- Bassoumboul, Étienne-Noël. *Des sagesse à la sagesse: Étude de l'unité sapientielle en Pr 1-9*. Paris: J. Gabalda, 2008.
- Baumann, Gerlinde. *Die Weisheitsgestalt in Proverbien 1-9: Traditionsgeschichtliche und Theologische Studien*. Tübingen: J.C.B. Mohr, 1996.
- Bertheau, Ernst. *Die Sprüche Salomo's*. Leipzig: Weidmann, 1847.
- Bland, Dave. "Formation of Character in the Book of Proverbs." *Restoration Quarterly* 40 (1998): 221-238.
- Bleek, Friedrich. *An Introduction to the Old Testament*. Edited by Johannes Friedrich Bleek and Adolf Kamphausen. London: Bell and Daldy, 1869.
- Boda, Mark. *A Severe Mercy: Sin and Its Remedy in the Old Testament*. Siphut: Literature and Theology of the Hebrew Scriptures 1. Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 2009.
- Boström, Lennart. *The God of the Sages: The Portrayal of God in the Book of Proverbs*. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International, 1990.
- Bridges, Charles. *An Exposition of the Book of Proverbs*. London: Seeley, 1847.
- Brown, William P. *Wisdom's Wonder: Character, Creation, and Crisis in the Bible's Wisdom Literature*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2014.
- Byargeon, Rick. "The Structure and Significance of Prov 9:7-12," *The Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society* 40 (1997): 367-372.
- Camp, Claudia V. *Wisdom and the Feminine in the Book of Proverbs*. Decatur, GA: Almond Press, 1985.
- Carr, David McLain. *The Formation of the Hebrew Bible: A New Reconstruction*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2011.
- Cheung, Simon. *Wisdom Intoned: A Reappraisal of the Genre 'Wisdom Psalms'*. London; New York: Bloomsbury T&T Clark, 2015.
- Childs, Brevard S. *Introduction to the Old Testament as Scripture*. London: SCM, 1979.

- Clifford, Richard. *Proverbs: A Commentary*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 1999.
- . “Reading Proverbs 10-22.” *Interpretation* 63 (2009): 242-253.
- Clines, David. *Job 1-20*. Word Bible Commentary. Dallas, TX: Word Books, 1989.
- Cohen, A. *Proverbs: Hebrew Text and English Translation with Introduction and Commentary*. London: Soncino, 1945.
- Conrad, Joachim. “Die Innere Gliederung der Proverbien.” *Zeitschrift für die Alttestamentliche Wissenschaft* 79 (1967): 67-76.
- Cook, Johann. *The Septuagint of Proverbs: Jewish and/or Hellenistic Proverbs? Concerning the Hellenistic Colouring of LXX Proverbs*. Supplements to Vetus Testamentum 69. Leiden: Brill, 1997.
- Corley, T. J. J. “An intertextual study of Proverbs and Ben Sira.” Pages 155-182 in *Intertextual Studies in Ben Sira and Tobit: Essays in Honor of Alexander A. Di Lella, O.F.M.* The CBQ Monograph Series 38. Washington D.C.: Catholic Biblical Association of America, 2004.
- Cornill, Carl. *Einleitung in das Alte Testament*. Freiburg: J. C. B. Mohr, 1892.
- Crenshaw, James. “Sirach.” In *The New Interpreter’s Bible: General Articles & Introduction, Commentary, & Reflections for Each Book of the Bible, Including the Apocryphal/Deuterocanonical Books*. 12 vols. Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1994.
- . *Old Testament Wisdom: An Introduction*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2010.
- Davison, W. T. *The Wisdom-Literature of the Old Testament*. London: Charles H. Kelly, 1900.
- Delkurt, Holger. *Ethische Einsichten in der Alttestamentlichen Spruchweisheit*. Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1993.
- Delitzsch, Franz. *Biblical Commentary on the Proverbs of Solomon*. 2 vols. Translated by M. G. Easton. Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1865.
- Dell, Katharine. *The Book of Proverbs in Social and Theological Context*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- Dell, Katharine and Will Kynes, eds. *Reading Proverbs Intertextually*. The Library of Hebrew Bible/Old Testament Studies. London: Bloomsbury, forthcoming 2018.
- Derousseaux, Louis. *La crainte de Dieu dans l’Ancien Testament: royauté, alliance, sagesse dans les royaumes d’Israël et de Juda*. Paris: Éditions du Cerf, 1970.
- Dick, Michael. “The Legal Metaphor in Job 31.” *Catholic Biblical Quarterly* 41 (1979): 37-50.
- Estes, Daniel J. *Hear, My Son: Teaching and Learning in Proverbs 1-9*. New Studies in Biblical Theology 4. Leicester: Apollos, 1997.
- Ewald, Heinrich. *Die Poetischen Bücher des alten Bundes*. Gottingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1837.
- Fichtner, Johannes. *Die Altorientalische Weisheit in Ihrer Israelitisch-jüdischen Ausprägung: Eine Studie zur Nationalisierung der Weisheit in Israel*. Giessen: A. Töpelmann, 1933.
- Fleming, James. “Some Aspects of the Religion of Proverbs.” *Journal of Biblical Literature* 51 (1932): 31-39.

- Fox, Michael V. "Frame-narrative and Composition in the Book of Qohelet." *Hebrew Union College Annual* (1977): 83-106.
- . "Words for Folly." *Zeitschrift für Althebraistik* 10 (1997): 4-17.
- . "Words for Wisdom." *Zeitschrift für Althebraistik* 6 (1993): 149-165.
- . *Proverbs 1-9: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary*. New York: Doubleday, 2000.
- . *Ecclesiastes: The Traditional Hebrew Text with the New JPS Translation*. Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society, 2004.
- . *Proverbs 10-31: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2009.
- Frankenberg, W. "Ueber Abfassungs-Ort und-Zeit, Sowie Art und Inhalt von Prov. I-IX." *Zeitschrift für die Alttestamentliche Wissenschaft* 15 (1895): 104-132.
- Fredericks, Daniel. *Ecclesiastes & the Song of Songs*. Apollos Old Testament Commentary. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2010.
- Freuling, Georg. "Wer eine Grube gräbt...": *Der Tun-Ergehen-Zusammenhang und sein Wandel in der alttestamentlichen Weisheitsliteratur*. Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 2004.
- Fuhs, Hans. *Sprichwörter*. Würzburg: Echter Verlag, 2001.
- Gemser, Berend. "The Instructions of 'Onchsheshonqy and Biblical Wisdom Literature.'" Pages 134-160 in *Studies in Ancient Israelite Wisdom*. Edited by James Crenshaw. New York: KTAV, 1976.
- Gerstenberger, Erhard. *Psalms: Part I: With an Introduction to Cultic Poetry*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1988.
- Gese, Hartmut. *Lehre und Wirklichkeit in der alten Weisheit: Studien zu den Sprüchen Salomos und zu dem Buche Hiob*. Tübingen: Mohr, 1958.
- Gilbert, Maurice. *L'Antique Sagesse d'Israël: Études sur Proverbes, Job, Qohélet et Leurs Prolongements*. Etudes Bibliques. Pendé, France: Gabalda, 2015.
- Gilchrist, Margaret Odell. "Proverbs 1-9: Instruction or Riddle." *Proceedings, Eastern Great Lakes & Midwest Bible Society* (1984): 131-45.
- Goldingay, John. "The Arrangement of Sayings in Proverbs 10-15." *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 19 (1994): 75-83.
- . *Psalms: Volume 1, Psalms 1-41*. Baker Commentary on the Old Testament Wisdom and Psalms. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2006.
- Gramberg, Karl. *Das Buch der Sprüche Salomo's neu übersetzt*. Leipzig: J. A. G. Weigel, 1828.
- Greenstone, Julius. *Proverbs with Commentary*. Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society, 1950.
- Habel, Norman. *The Book of Job: A Commentary*. Old Testament Library. London: SCM Press, 1985.
- Hammond, Henry. *A Paraphrase and Annotations Upon the Books of the Psalms*. London: Royston, 1659.
- Hausmann, Jutta. *Studien zum Menschenbild der älteren Weisheit (Spr 10ff.)*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1995.

- Heim, Knut M. "Coreferentiality, Structure and Context in Proverbs 10:1-5." *Journal of Translation and Textlinguistics* 6 (1993): 183-209.
- . *Like Grapes of Gold Set in Silver: An Interpretation of Proverbial Clusters in Proverbs 10:1-22:16*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2001.
- . *Poetic Imagination in Proverbs: Variant Repetitions and the Nature of Poetry*. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2013.
- Herbert, A. S. "The 'Parable' (MĀŠĀL) in the Old Testament." *Scottish Journal of Theology* 7 (1954): 180-196.
- Hermisson, Hans-Jürgen. *Studien zur israelitischen Spruchweisheit*. Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag des Erziehungsvereins, 1968.
- Hitzig, F. *Die Sprüche Salomo's*. Zürich: Orell, Füssli und Comp., 1858.
- Hoglund, Kenneth. "The Fool and the Wise in Dialogue: Proverbs 26:4-5." Pages 339-352 in *Learning from the Sages: Selected Studies on the Book of Proverbs*. Edited by Roy Zuck. Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 1995.
- Hornung, Erik. *Conceptions of God in Ancient Egypt: The One and the Many*. Translated by J. Baines. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1983.
- Horst, Friedrich. *Hiob*. Biblischer Kommentar Altes Testament 16/1. Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener, 1968.
- Jones, Edgar. *Proverbs and Ecclesiastes: Introduction and Commentary*. Torch Bible Commentaries. London: SCM Press LTD, 1961.
- Jones, Scott C. "Wisdom's Pedagogy: A Comparison of Proverbs VII and 4Q184." *Vetus Testamentum* 53 (2003): 65-80.
- Kayatz, Christa. *Studien zu Proverbien 1-9*. Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1966.
- Keefer, Arthur. "A Shift in Perspective: The Intended Audience and a Coherent Reading of Proverbs 1:1-7." *Journal of Biblical Literature* 136 (2017): 103-116.
- . "Sound Patterns as Motivation for Rare Words in Proverbs 1-9." *Journal of Northwest Semitic Languages* 43 (2017): 35-49.
- Keil, Carl Friedrich, George C. M. Douglas, and Friedrich Bleek. *Manual of Historico-Critical Introduction to the Canonical Scriptures of the Old Testament*. 2 vols. Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1869.
- Kidner, Derek. *Psalms 1-72: An Introduction and Commentary on Books I and II of the Psalms*. Tyndale Old Testament Commentaries. London: Inter-Varsity Press, 1973.
- Kim, Hee Suk. "Proverbs 1-9: A Hermeneutical Introduction to the Book of Proverbs." PhD Dissertation, Trinity International University, 2010.
- King, Philip J., and Lawrence E. Stager. *Life in Biblical Israel*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2001.
- Kitchen, John A. *Proverbs: A Mentor Commentary*. Fearn, Ross-shire: Mentor, 2006.
- Kitchen, Kenneth A. "Proverbs and Wisdom Books of the Ancient Near East: The Factual History of a Literary Form." *Tyndale Bulletin* 28 (1977): 69-114.
- . "Biblical Instructional Wisdom: The Decisive Voice of the Ancient Near East." Pages 346-363 in *Boundaries of the Ancient Near Eastern World: A Tribute to Cyrus H. Gordon*. Edited by Meir Lubetski, Claire Gottlieb and Sharon R. Keller. Sheffield, England: Sheffield Academic Press, 1998.

- Kovacs, Brian W. "Is There a Class-Ethic in Proverbs?" Pages 173-189 in *Essays in Old Testament Ethics*. Edited by John Willis and James Crenshaw. New York: KTAV, 1974.
- Kynes, Will. *My Psalm Has Turned into Weeping: Job's Dialogue with the Psalms*. Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft 437. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2012.
- Laisney, Vincent Pierre-Michel. *L'Enseignement d'Aménémopé*. Studia Pohl: Series Maior 19. Roma: Pontificio Istituto Biblico, 2007.
- Lamparter, Helmut. *Das Buch der Weisheit - Prediger und Sprüche*. Botschaft des Alten Testaments 16. Stuttgart: Calwer, 1959.
- Lang, Bernhard. *Wisdom and the Book of Proverbs: A Hebrew Goddess Redefined*. New York: Pilgrim Press, 1986.
- Lelièvre, André. *La sagesse des Proverbes: Une leçon de tolérance*. Genève: Labor et Fides, 1993.
- Lichtheim, Miriam. *Ancient Egyptian Literature: A Book of Readings*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1973.
- . "Observations on Papyrus Insinger." Pages 283-306 in *Studien zu altägyptischen Lebenslehren*. Edited by Erik Hornung and Othmar Keel. Freiburg: Universitätsverlag, 1979.
- . *Late Egyptian Wisdom Literature in the International Context: A Study of Demotic Instructions*. Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis 52. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1983.
- . *Moral Values in Ancient Egypt*. Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis 155. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1997.
- Loader, James A. *Proverbs 1-9*. Historical Commentary on the Old Testament. Leuven: Peeters, 2014.
- Longman III, Tremper. *Proverbs*. Baker Commentary on the Old Testament. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2006.
- . *Job*. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2012.
- Lyu, Sun Myung. *Righteousness in the Book of Proverbs*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2012.
- Maier, Christl. *Die "fremde Frau" in Proverbien 1-9: Eine exegetische und sozialgeschichtliche Studie*. Freiburg: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1995.
- McCreesh, Thomas P. *Biblical Sound and Sense: Poetic Sound Patterns in Proverbs 10-29*. Sheffield, UK: JSOT Press, 1991.
- McKane, William. "Functions of Language and Objectives of Discourse According to Proverbs 10-30." *La sagesse de l'Ancien Testament* (1979): 166-185.
- . *Proverbs: A New Approach*. Old Testament Library. London: SCM, 1970.
- Meinhold, Arndt. *Die Sprüche*. Zürcher Bibelkommentare: Altes Testament 16. Zürich: Theologischer Verlag, 1991.
- Miles, Johnny E. *Wise King - Royal Fool: Semiotics, Satire and Proverbs 1-9*. London: T&T Clark, 2004.
- Miller, John W. *Proverbs*. Scottdale, PA: Herald Press, 2004.
- Milstein, Sara J. *Tracking the Master Scribe: Revision through Introduction in Biblical and*

- Mesopotamian Literature*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2016.
- Moore, Rick D. "A Home for the Alien: Worldly Wisdom and Covenantal Confession in Proverbs 30,1-9." *Zeitschrift für die Alttestamentliche Wissenschaft* 106 (1994): 96-107.
- Muntinghe, Hermann. *Die Sprüche Salomo's*. Frankfurt: Jäger, 1800.
- Murphy, Roland E. "Wisdom and Creation." *Journal of Biblical Literature* 104 (1985): 3-11.
- . *The Tree of Life: An Exploration of Biblical Wisdom Literature*. New York: Doubleday, 2002.
- Müller, Achim. *Proverbien 1-9: Der Weisheit Neue Kleider*. Walter de Gruyter, 2000.
- Nel, Philip Johannes. *The Structure and Ethos of the Wisdom Admonitions in Proverbs*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1982.
- Owens, Daniel C. *Portraits of the Righteous in the Psalms: An Exploration of the Ethics of Book 1*. Eugene, OR: Pickwick, 2013.
- Parkinson, R. B. *Poetry and Culture in Middle Kingdom Egypt: A Dark Side to Perfection*. London: Continuum, 2002.
- Pemberton, Glenn D. "The Rhetoric of the Father in Proverbs 1-9." *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 30 (2005): 63-82.
- Perdue, Leo G. *Wisdom & Creation: The Theology of Wisdom Literature*. Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1994.
- . *Proverbs*. Interpretation: A Bible Commentary for Teaching and Preaching. Louisville, KY: John Knox Press, 2000.
- . *Wisdom Literature: A Theological History*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2007.
- . *The Sword and the Stylus: An Introduction to Wisdom in the Age of Empires*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2008.
- Plöger, Otto. *Sprüche Salomos (Proverbia)*. Biblischer Kommentar Altes Testament. Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1984.
- Pola, Thomas. "Die Struktur von Proverbia 16,1-15." *Biblische Notizen* 80 (1995): 47-72.
- Poole, Matthew. *Annotations upon the Holy Bible*. New York: Robert Carter and Brothers, 1696.
- Preuss, Horst Dietrich. *Einführung in die alttestamentliche Weisheitsliteratur*. Stuttgart: W. Kohlhammer, 1987.
- Rad, Gerhard von. *Wisdom in Israel*. London: SCM, 1972.
- Richter, Hans-Friedemann. "Hielt Agur sich für den Dümmden aller Menschen? (Zu Prov 30,1-4)." *Zeitschrift für Alttestamentliche Wissenschaft* 113 (2001): 419-421.
- Ringgren, Helmer. *Sprüche*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1962.
- Römheld, Diethard. *Wege der Weisheit: die Lehren Amenemopes und Proverbien 22,17-24,22*. Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die Alttestamentliche Wissenschaft 184. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1989.
- Sæbø, Magne. "From Collections to Book - A New Approach to the History of Tradition and Redaction of the Book of Proverbs." Pages 99-106 in *Proceedings of the Ninth World Congress of Jewish Studies*. Edited by Moshe Goshen-Gottstein and David Assaf.

- Jerusalem: World Union of Jewish Studies, 1986.
- . *Sprüche*. Das Alte Testament Deutsch 16. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2012.
- Sandoval, Timothy. "Proverbs." Pages 100-104 in *The Old Testament and Ethics: A Book-by-book Survey*. Edited by Joel Green. Grand Rapids: Baker, 2013.
- . *The Discourse of Wealth and Poverty in the Book of Proverbs*. Leiden: Brill, 2006.
- . "Revisiting the Prologue of Proverbs." *Journal of Biblical Literature* 126 (2007): 455-473.
- Sasson, Ilana. "The Book of Proverbs Between Saadia and Yefet." *Intellectual History of the Islamic World* 1 (2013): 159-178.
- Saur, Markus. "Die Literarische Funktion und die Theologische Intention der Weisheitsreden des Sprüchebuches." *Vetus Testamentum* 61, no. 3 (2011): 447-460.
- Schäfer, Rolf. *Die Poesie der Weisen: Dichotomie als Grundstruktur der Lehr- und Weisheitsgedichte in Proverbien 1-9*. Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1999.
- Scherer, Andreas. *Das weise Wort und seine Wirkung: Eine Untersuchung zur Komposition und Redaktion von Proverbia 10,1-22,16*. Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1999.
- Schipper, Bernd. *Hermeneutik der Tora: Studien zur Traditionsgeschichte von Prov 2 und zur Komposition von Prov 1-9*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2012.
- Schmid, Hans Heinrich. *Wesen und Geschichte der Weisheit: Eine Untersuchung zur altorientalischen und israelitischen Weisheitsliteratur*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1966.
- Schroer, Silvia. *Wisdom Has Built Her House: Studies on the Figure of Sophia in the Bible*. Collegeville, MN: Liturgical Press, 2000.
- Schwab, Zoltan. *Toward an Interpretation of the Book of Proverbs: Selfishness and Secularity Reconsidered*. Journal of Theological Interpretation Supplement. Winona Lake, ID: Eisenbrauns, 2013.
- Scoralick, Ruth. *Einzelnspruch und Sammlung: Komposition im Buch der Sprichwörter Kapitel 10-15*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1995.
- Scott, R. B. Y. "Wise and Foolish, Righteous and Wicked." Pages 146-165 in *Studies in the Religion of Ancient Israel*. Edited by G. W. Anderson. Supplements to Vetus Testamentum 23. Leiden: Brill, 1972.
- Seidl, Theodore. "Who Stands Behind the RSH' in Psalm 50:16A? The Ethical Testimony of Psalm 50:16-22." Pages 76-92 in *Psalmody and Poetry in Old Testament Ethics*. Edited by Dirk J. Human. New York: T&T Clark, 2012.
- Seow, Choon-Leong. *Ecclesiastes: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary*. Anchor Bible 18C. New York: Doubleday, 1997.
- Shead, Andrew G. "Ecclesiastes From the Outside in." *Reformed Theological Review* 55 (1996): 24-37.
- Shields, Martin A. "Malevolent or Mysterious? God's Character in the Prologue of Job." *Tyndale Bulletin* 61 (2010): 255-270.
- Shupak, Nili. *Where Can Wisdom Be Found?: The Sage's Language in the Bible and in Ancient Egyptian Literature*. Fribourg: Academic Press Fribourg, 1993.

- . “The Instruction of Amenemope and Proverbs 22:17-24:22 from the Perspective of Contemporary Research.” Pages 203-220 in *Seeking out the Wisdom of the Ancients: Essays Offered to Honor Michael V. Fox on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday*. Edited by Ronald L. Troxel, Kelvin G. Friebel and Dennis R. Magary. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2005.
- . “Positive and Negative Human Types in the Egyptian Wisdom Literature.” Pages 245-260 in *Homeland and Exile: Biblical and Ancient Near Eastern Studies in Honour of Buxenay Oded*. Edited by Gershon Galil, Mark Geller and Alan Millard. Supplements to Vetus Testamentum 130. Leiden: Brill, 2009.
- Sinnott, Alice M. *The Personification of Wisdom*. Aldershot, UK: Ashgate, 2005.
- Skladny, Udo. *Die ältesten Spruchsammlungen in Israel*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1962.
- Sneed, Mark. “White Trash Wisdom: Proverbs 9 Deconstructed.” *Journal of Hebrew Scriptures* 7 (2007): 1-10.
- Snell, Daniel C. *Twice-told Proverbs and the Composition of the Book of Proverbs*. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1993.
- Steinmann, Andrew E. “The Structure and Message of the Book of Job.” *Vetus Testamentum* 46 (1996): 85-100.
- Stewart, Anne. *Poetic Ethics in Proverbs: Wisdom Literature and the Shaping of the Moral Self*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- Stuart, Moses. *A Commentary on the Book of Proverbs*. New York: M.W. Dodd, 1852.
- Thompson, John. *The Form and Function of Proverbs in Ancient Israel*. Paris: Mouton, 1974.
- Toy, C. H. *A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Book of Proverbs*. International Critical Commentary. Edinburgh: T&T Clark, 1904.
- Umbreit, Friedrich Wilhelm Carl. *Commentar über die Sprüche Salomo's*. Heidelberg: J.C.B. Mohr, 1826.
- Van Leeuwen, Raymond C. “Liminality and Worldview in Proverbs 1-9.” *Semeia* 50 (1990): 111-144.
- . “The Book of Proverbs.” In *The New Interpreter's Bible: General Articles & Introduction, Commentary, & Reflections for Each Book of the Bible, Including the Apocryphal/Deuterocanonical Books*. 12 vols. Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1994.
- . “The Background to Proverbs 30:4a.” Pages 102-121 in *Wisdom, You Are My Sister: Studies in Honor of Roland E. Murphy, O.Carm., on the Occasion of His Eightieth Birthday*. Edited by Michael L. Barré. Catholic Biblical Quarterly Monograph Series. Washington, DC: Catholic Biblical Association of America, 1997.
- Vergote, Joseph. “La Notion de Dieu dans les Livres de Sagesse Égyptiens.” Pages 159-190 in *Les Sagesse du Proche-Orient Ancien: Colloque de Strasbourg 17-19 mai 1962*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 1963.
- Viviers, Hennie. “The ‘body’ and Lady Wisdom (Proverbs 1-9).” *Old Testament Essays* 18 (2005): 879-890.
- Waltke, Bruce K. *The Book of Proverbs: Chapters 1-15*. The New International Commentary on the Old Testament. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2004.
- . *The Book of Proverbs: Chapters 15-31*. The New International Commentary on the Old Testament. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2005.

- Weeks, Stuart. *Early Israelite Wisdom*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1994.
- . *Instruction and Imagery in Proverbs 1-9*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- . *An Introduction to the Study of Wisdom Literature*. London: T&T Clark, 2010.
- Westermann, Claus. *Wurzeln der Weisheit: die ältesten Sprüche Israels und anderer Völker*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1990.
- Whybray, R. N. *Wisdom in Proverbs: The Concept of Wisdom in Proverbs 1-9*. London: SCM, 1965.
- . *Wealth and Poverty in the Book of Proverbs*. Journal for the Study of the Old Testament Supplement Series. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1990.
- . *The Composition of the Book of Proverbs*. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1994.
- . *Proverbs*. London: Marshall Pickering, 1994.
- . "Yahweh-sayings and Their Context in Proverbs 10, 1-22,16." Pages 45-57 in *Wisdom: The Collected Articles of Norman Whybray*. Edited by Katharine Dell and M Barker. SOTS Monograph Series. Aldershot, UK: Ashgate, 2005.
- Wilbanks, Pete F. "Non-proverb Proverbial Bookends: A Possible Lens for Viewing the Book of Proverbs." Paper presented at the Southwest Regional Meeting of the ETS. New Orleans, LA, 26 March 2000.
- Wildeboer, G. *Die Sprüche*. Freiburg: J.C.B. Mohr, 1897.
- Yee, Gale. "I Have Perfumed My Bed with Myrrh: The Foreign Woman ('issâ Zarâ) in Proverbs 1-9." *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 43 (1989): 53-68.
- Yoder, Christine. *Wisdom as a Woman of Substance: A Socioeconomic Reading of Proverbs 1-9 and 31:10-31*. Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft 304. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2001.
- . "The Objects of Our Affections: Emotions and the Moral Life in Proverbs 1-9." Pages 73-88 in *Shaking Heaven and Earth: Essays in Honor of Walter Brueggemann and Charles B. Cousar*. Edited by Kathleen O'Conner, E. Elizabeth Johnson, Christine Elizabeth Yoder and Stanley Saunders. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2005.
- . "Forming 'Fearers of Yahweh': Repetition and Contradiction as Pedagogy in Proverbs." Pages 167-183 in *Seeking out the Wisdom of the Ancients: Essays Offered to Honor Michael V. Fox on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday*. Edited by Ronald L. Troxel, Kelvin G. Friebe and Dennis R. Magary. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2005.
- . *Proverbs*. Abingdon Old Testament Commentaries. Nashville: Abingdon Press, 2009.
- . "Shaping Desire: A Parent's Attempt: Proverbs 1-9." *Journal for Preachers* 33 (2010): 54-61.
- Zabán, Bálint Károly. *The Pillar Function of the Speeches of Wisdom: Proverbs 1:20-33, 8:1-36, and 9:1-6 in the Structural Framework of Proverbs 1-9*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2012.
- Zimmerli, Walther. "Zur Struktur der Alttestamentlichen Weisheit." *Zeitschrift für die Alttestamentliche Wissenschaft* 51 (1933): 177-204.

Zöckler, Otto. *The Proverbs of Solomon: Theologically and Homiletically Expounded*.
Translated by C. A. Aiken. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1898.