# Information flow, cell types and stereotypy in a full olfactory connectome

Philipp Schlegel<sup>1,2†</sup>, Alexander S. Bates<sup>1†</sup>, Tomke Stürner<sup>2‡</sup>, Sridhar R. Jagannathan<sup>2‡</sup>, Nikolas Drummond<sup>2</sup>, Joseph Hsu<sup>2,3</sup>, Laia Serratosa Capdevila<sup>2</sup>, Alexandre Javier<sup>2</sup>, Elizabeth C. Marin<sup>2</sup>, Asa Barth-Maron<sup>4</sup>, Imaan F. M. Tamimi<sup>2</sup>, Feng Li<sup>3</sup>, Gerald M. Rubin<sup>3</sup>, Stephen M. Plaza<sup>3</sup>, Marta Costa<sup>2</sup>, Gregory S.X.E. Jefferis<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Neurobiology Division, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cambridge CB2 0QH, UK; <sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, UK; <sup>3</sup>Janelia Research Campus, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Ashburn, United States; <sup>4</sup>Department of Neurobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, United States

**Abstract** The *hemibrain* connectome provides large scale connectivity and morphology information for the majority of the central brain of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Using this data set, we provide a complete description of the *Drosophila* olfactory system, covering all first, second and lateral horn-associated third-order neurons. We develop a generally applicable strategy to extract information flow and layered organisation from connectome graphs, mapping olfactory input to descending interneurons. This identifies a range of motifs including highly lateralised circuits in the antennal lobe and patterns of convergence downstream of the mushroom body and lateral horn. Leveraging a second data set we provide a first quantitative assessment of inter – versus intra-individual stereotypy. Comparing neurons across two brains (three hemispheres) reveals striking similarity in neuronal morphology across brains. Connectivity correlates with morphology and neurons of the same morphological type show similar connection variability within the same brain as across two brains.

### 1 Introduction

- <sup>2</sup> By providing a full account of neurons and networks at synaptic resolution, connectomics can form
- and inform testable hypotheses for nervous system function. This approach is most powerful
- when applied at a whole-brain scale. However, until very recently, the handful of whole-brain con-
- <sup>5</sup> nectomics data sets have either been restricted to complete nervous systems of a few hundred
- neurons (i.e. nematode worm [White et al. (1986)] and Ciona tadpole [Ryan et al. (2016)]) or to
- the sparse tracing of specific circuits, as in larval and adult *Drosophila* (*Zheng et al., 2018*; *Ohyama et al., 2015*).
- Now, for the first time, it has become possible to analyse complete connectomes at the scale
   of the adult vinegar fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*. The 'hemibrain' EM data set (*Scheffer et al., 2020*)
   provides a step-change in both scale and accessibility: dense reconstruction of roughly 25,000
   neurons and 20M synapses comprising approximately half of the central brain of the adult fly. The
   challenge now lies in extracting meaning from this vast amount of data. In this work, we develop
- <sup>14</sup> new software, analytical tools and integration strategies, and apply them to annotate and analyse

### \*For correspondence: jefferis@mrc-Imb.cam.ac.uk (GJ)

<sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work <sup>‡</sup>These authors also contributed equally to this work

### a full sensory connectome.



**Figure 1. Graphical olfactory neuroanatomy glossary.** Top left, schematic of the *D. melanogaster* olfactory system showing all its major neuron classes. The 'order' of each neuropil is given in a grey circle, its average layers in a grey lozenge. Inset, the fly brain with a scale bar and early olfactory neuropils shown. Red path is the major feedforward course of olfactory information through the brain. Middle left, a neuron with its compartments is shown. Bottom left, the two EM data sets that feature in this work, the partial dense connectome, the hemibrain, and a sparsely reconstructed data set, FAFB. Neuroanatomical data can be moved between the two spaces using a bridging registration (*Bogovic et al., 2020; Bates et al., 2020a*). Right, major neuron class acronyms are defined. Other neuroanatomical terms are also defined. Coloured dots indicate the colour used to signal these terms in the following figures.

**Figure 1-video 1.** Video of neurons typed in this study grouped by broad class. Colours correspond to cell type (ALRNs), lineage (ALRNs) or are random (ALPNs, TOONs).

The fly olfactory system is the largest central brain system that spans first-order sensory neu-16 rons to descending premotor neurons; it is a powerful model for the study of sensory processing, 17 learning and memory, and circuit development (Amin and Lin, 2019; Groschner and Miesenböck, 18 2019). In this study we take a principled approach to identify both large scale information flow and 19 discrete connectivity motifs using the densely reconstructed hemibrain data set. In addition, we 20 compare and validate results using a second EM data set, the full adult fly brain (FAFB, Zheng et al. 21 (2018)), which has been used until now for sparse manual circuit tracing (e.g. Dolan et al. (2018, 22 2019); Sayin et al. (2019); Felsenberg et al. (2018); Huoviala et al. (2018); Zheng et al. (2020); Marin 23 et al. (2020); Bates et al. (2020b); Otto et al. (2020); Coates et al. (2020)). 24 We catalogue first-order receptor neurons innervating the antennal lobe, second-order neu-25 rons including all local interneurons, and a full survey of third-order olfactory neurons (excepting 26 the mushroom body, MB, see *Li et al.* (2020)). This classification defines cell types and associates 27 all olfactory neurons with extant functional knowledge in the literature, including the molecular 28

- identity of the olfactory information they receive. To further aid human investigation and reason ing in the data set, we develop a computational strategy to classify all olfactory neurons into layers
- <sup>31</sup> based on their distance from the sensory periphery. We apply this across the full data set, for ex-
- <sup>32</sup> ample identifying those descending neurons (connecting the brain to the ventral nerve cord) that
- <sup>33</sup> are particularly early targets of the olfactory system.

- <sup>34</sup> We also carry out focused analysis at different levels, including the antennal lobe, crucial for
- initial sensory processing (Wilson, 2013), where we reveal highly lateralised microcircuits. After the
- <sup>36</sup> antennal lobe, information diverges onto two higher olfactory centres, the MB (required for learn-
- ing) and the lateral horn (LH) (Vosshall and Stocker, 2007; Heisenberg, 2003; Grabe and Sachse,
- **2018**). We analyse reconvergence downstream of these divergent projections as recent evidence
- suggests that this is crucial to the expression of learned behaviour (Dolan et al., 2018, 2019; Bates
- 40 et al., 2020b; Eschbach et al., 2020; Kadow, 2019).
- Finally, building on our recent analysis of second-order olfactory projection neurons in the FAFB
- data set (Bates et al., 2020b), we investigate the stereotypy of cell types and connectivity both
- <sup>43</sup> within and across brains for select circuits. We show that in two separate cases, variability across
- different brains is similar to variability across the two hemispheres of the same brain. This has
- <sup>45</sup> important practical implications for the interpretation of connectomics data but also represents a
- <sup>46</sup> first quantitative effort to understand the individuality of brain connectomes at this scale.

### 47 Results

### 48 Neurons of the olfactory system

The Janelia hemibrain data set comprises most of the right hemisphere of the central brain of 49 an adult female fly and contains ~25,000 largely complete neurons: neurons were automatically 50 segmented and then proofread by humans recovering on average ~39% of their synaptic connec-51 tivity (Scheffer et al., 2020). Here we process this data into a graph encompassing 12.6M chemical 52 synapses across 1.7M edges (connections) between 24.6k neurons (see Methods). Leveraging this 53 enormous amount of data represents a major challenge. One way to start understanding these 54 data is to group neurons into broad classes and discrete cell types; this enables summaries of 55 large scale connectivity patterns as well as linking neurons to extant anatomical, physiological and 56 behavioural data. 57 As a first step, we carried out a comprehensive annotation of all first, second and third-order 58

- olfactory neurons as well as many higher-order neurons. In particular, we annotate antennal lobe olfactory and thermo/hygrosensory receptor neurons (ALRNs), uni – and multiglomerular projection neurons (uPNs, mPNs), antennal lobe local neurons (ALLNs), lateral horn neurons (LHNs) and lateral horn centrifugal neurons (LHCENT). Defining cell type annotations depended on a range of computational tools as well as expert review and curation. Broadly, we used NBLAST (*Costa et al.,* **2016**) to cluster neurons into morphological groups and cross-reference them with existing lightlevel data and in many cases confirmed typing by comparison with the FAFB EM data set (*Zheng*
- 66 et al., 2018; Dorkenwald et al., 2020).

Our annotation efforts - – amounting to 4732 cells and 966 types - – were coordinated with those of Kei Ito, Masayoshi Ito and Shin-ya Takemura, who carried out cell typing across the entire hemibrain EM data set (*Scheffer et al., 2020*). Other typing efforts are reported in detail elsewhere (see e.g. *Li et al. (2020*) for Kenyon cells, KCs; mushroom body output neurons, MBONs; dopaminergic neurons, DANs; *Hulse et al. (2020*) for neurons of the central complex; CXN) (*Figure 2*A,B). All cell type annotations agreed upon by this consortium have already been made available through the hemibrain v1.1 data release at neuprint.janelia.org in May 2020 (*Scheffer et al., 2020*; *Clements et al., 2020*).

- Owing to the truncated nature of the hemibrain EM volume, descending neurons (DNs) are particularly hard to identify with certainty. By careful review and comparison with other data sets including the full brain FAFB data set, we identified 236 additional DNs beyond the 109 reported
- <sup>78</sup> in the hemibrain v1.1 release (see Methods and Supplemental Data).

# <sup>79</sup> Layers in the olfactory system

- <sup>80</sup> Having defined cell types of the olfactory system, a second approach to obtain a system wide un-
- <sup>81</sup> derstanding of olfactory organisation is to characterise the connectome graph with respect to an



**Figure 2. Identification of layers in the olfactory system. A** Schematic of the fly's olfactory system. Colours reused in subsequent panels. **B** The Janelia Research Campus FlyEM hemibrain connectome. Principal olfactory neuropils as overlay; full brain plotted for reference. **C** Graph traversal model used to assign layers to individual neurons. **D** Neurons found in the first six layers. **E** Mean layer of individual neurons. Black line represents mean across a given neuron class. **F** Composition of each layer. **G** Connections between layers. Abbreviations: AL, antennal lobe; CA, calyx; LH, lateral horn; MB, mushroom body; WEDPN, wedge; ALPN, antennal lobe projection neuron; uPN/mPN, uni-/multiglomerular ALPN.

**Figure 2-video 1.** Video of neurons of the first 5 olfactory layers. Colours correspond to neuron types (e.g. ALRNs, ALPNs, etc) also used elsewhere.

Figure 2-Figure supplement 1. Graph traversal model extended data.

Figure 2-Figure supplement 2. Olfactory vs thermo/hygrosensory layers.

inferred sensory-integrative-motor hierarchy. While this cannot model all aspects of brain function

it provides a human-intelligible summary of information flow.

The basic organisation of the early fly olfactory system is well documented and can be sum-84 marised as follows: first order receptor neurons (ALRNs) in the antennae project to the brain where 85 they terminate in the antennal lobes (AL) and connect to second-order local (ALLNs) and projection 86 neurons (ALPNs). Information is then relayed to third-order olfactory neurons mainly in the mush-87 room body (MB) and the lateral horn (LH) (Figure 2A) (Wilson, 2013; Bates et al., 2020b). This coarse 88 ordering of first, second and third-order neurons is helpful for neuroscientists, but is an oversim-89 plification that has not yet been derived from quantitative analysis. The recent hemibrain dense 90 connectome covers nearly all (known) olfactory neurons; we can therefore for the first time take 91 a systematic approach to layering in this sensory system (Figure 2B) (Scheffer et al., 2020). Here, 92

<sup>93</sup> we employ a simple probabilistic graph traversal model to "step" through the olfactory system and

record the position at which a given neuron is encountered. We call the positions established by

<sup>95</sup> this procedure "layers" to disambiguate them from the well-established term "orders" used above.

<sup>96</sup> Conceptually, layers correspond to the mean path length from the sensory periphery to any neuron <sup>97</sup> in our graph while taking account of connection strengths: a corresponding quantitative definition

of "orders" would be the shortest path length (which would not consider connection strengths).

In brief, we use the  $\sim$ 2600 ALRNs whose axons terminate in the right antennal lobe as a seed 99 pool (see next section and Methods for details of ALRN identification). The model then traverses 100 to neurons downstream of those in the seed pool in a probabilistic manner: the likelihood of a 101 given neuron being visited increases with the fraction of inputs it receives from neurons in the 102 pool and caps at 30%. For example, a neuron that receives 30%/10%/2% of its synaptic inputs 103 from an ALRN has a 100%/33.3%/0.06% chance to be traversed in the first round. When a neuron 104 is successfully traversed it is added to the pool and the process is repeated until the entire graph 105 has been traversed. For each neuron, we keep track of at which step it was traversed and use the 106 mean over 10,000 runs to calculate its layer (Figure 2C). The probability of traversal is the only free 107 parameter in the model and was tuned empirically using well-known cell types such as uPNs and 108 KCs. While absolute layers depended strongly on this parameterisation, relative layers (e.g. layers 109 of uPNs vs mPNs) were stable (see Methods and *Figure Supplement 1*A.B for details). 110

Running this model on the hemibrain graph set enabled us to assign a layer to ~25,000 neurons 111 (Figure 2D). While forgoing many of the complexities of real neural networks such as the sign (i.e. 112 excitation vs inhibition) or types (e.g., axo-dendritic vs axo-axonic) of connections, it represents 113 a useful simplification to quantitatively define olfactory information flow across the brain, even 114 in deep layers far from the sensory periphery. Practically, these layers also provided a means to 115 validate and refine the naturally iterative process of neuron classification. Early neuron classes 116 are assigned to layers that are intuitively 'correct': for example, most ALPNs and ALLNs appear 117 as expected in the second layer. However close inspection revealed marked differences, some of 118 which we analyse in-depth in subsequent sections. Initial observations include the fact that mPNs 119 appear, on average, slightly later than their uniglomerular counterparts (*Figure 2*E, F). This is likely 120 due to mPNs receiving significant input from other second-order neurons (i.e. uPNs and ALLNs) in 121 addition to their direct input from receptor neurons 122

Neurons traditionally seen as third-order components of the two arms of the olfactory system (Kenyon cells, KCs, in the MB calyx and lateral horn neurons, LHNs) actually span two layers (3 and 4) due to lateral connections. Among the KCs, those with primarily visual inputs (KC- $\alpha\beta$ p and KC $\gamma$ -d) appear later than those with primarily olfactory input.

Descending neurons (DNs) are few (~350-600/hemisphere) and represent the principal connection to the motor centres in the ventral nervous system (*Hsu and Bhandawat, 2016; Namiki et al.,* **2018**). We find that the majority of DNs are distant from olfactory inputs (6th layer). However, a small subset appear as third or fourth-layer neurons. These may represent shortcuts between the olfactory and motor systems used for behaviours that are hard-wired or require fast responses.

In layers 1 through 3, neurons talk primarily to others in the next higher layer (*Figure 2*G). Layers
4 to 7 then show increased intra-layer connectivity. At layer 6 the directionality begins to reverse:
layers start connecting more strongly to neurons in the same layer and eventually the previous
one(s). This may indicate that the flow of information inverts at this point and that layers 6-7 represents the "deepest" point of the olfactory system.

The above analysis combines olfactory and thermo – and hygrosensory ALRNs (see *Figure 2– Figure Supplement 2* for a separate break down). We will use these layers as we proceed through the olfactory system, classifying neurons in detail and extracting connectivity motifs.

### 140 Antennal lobe receptor neurons

ALRNs that express the same receptor project to the same globular compartments, glomeruli, of

the olfactory bulb in vertebrates (Su et al., 2009), or the antennal lobe in insects (Couto et al., 2005;

143 Fishilevich and Vosshall, 2005; Vosshall et al., 2000). In Drosophila, ALRNs are either unilateral or

(more commonly) bilateral and connect with ALLNs and ALPNs (*Figure 3*A). We identified ~2600 ALRNs in the hemibrain data set as projecting to one of 58 glomeruli of the right antennal lobe by manually curating a list of candidate neurons (*Figure 3*B, see Methods for details). Notably, we renamed 3 glomeruli to resolve conflicting information in past literature: VC5  $\rightarrow$  VM6, VC3m  $\rightarrow$  VC5, VC3I  $\rightarrow$  VC3 (see Methods for details). These changes will appear in version 1.3 of the hemibrain dataset and have been coordinated with other research groups working on these glomeruli (*Task et al., 2020*; *Vulpe et al., 2021*)

19 glomeruli are either medially or anteriorly truncated in the hemibrain volume, while an ad-151 ditional 8 glomeruli are intact but have very fragmented ALRNs. This affects our recovery and iden-152 tification of ALRNs (Figure 3-Figure Supplement 1A.B) and we estimate our coverage per glomeru-153 lus to be on average around 70% compared to previously published counts (**Rybak et al., 2016**) 154 Tobin et al., 2017: Horne et al., 2018: Stocker, 2001: Grabe et al., 2016) (Figure 3-Figure Supple-155 ment 1C). In subsequent analysis, ALRNs of truncated glomeruli are not included. The 31 fully intact 156 glomeruli include all the thermo – and hygrosensory ones (n=7) and 24 olfactory ones (Figure 3C) 157 (Marin et al., 2020; Bates et al., 2020b). Thermo – and hygrosensory AI RNs (TRN/HRNs) are mostly 158 unilateral (6/7) with 8 ALRNs per type on average, while olfactory ALRNs (ORNs) are predominantly 159 bilateral (22/24) with 27 AI RNs per type (Figure 3-Figure Supplement 1A). 160

Building on our comprehensive analysis of ALRNs, we have now found that ALRNs of the VM6 161 glomerulus consist of three anatomically distinct sub-populations (VM6v, VM6m and VM6l) con-162 necting to the same postsynaptic PNs: these populations differ in their receptor expression and 163 their origin in peripheral sense organs (Task et al., 2020; Vulpe et al., 2021). These findings helped 164 to explain previous uncertainties about this part of the antennal lobe, which have resulted in 165 many nomenclature discrepancies in the prior literature. Having an almost full set of ALRNs in 166 the hemibrain, we asked whether any other glomerulus showed a similar subdivision. Based on 167 morphological clustering, we can confirm the VM6 subpartition but also conclude that none of the 168 other glomeruli exhibit a similar potential for further partitioning (Figure 3-Figure Supplement 2A-169 B) Moreover, we find that the VM6 ALRN subpopulations, while morphologically distinct, appear 170 to converge onto the same downstream targets. None of the uniglomerular ALPNs show a clear 171 preference towards any individual VM6 ALRN subtype. Likewise, a clustering of VM6 ALRNs based 172 on their downstream connectivity does not align with the morphology based clustering (Figure 3-173 Figure Supplement 2C). 174

Besides providing the first large scale quantification of synaptic connectivity in the adult an-175 tennal lobe, we focused on two specific aspects: first, connection differences between the olfac-176 tory and thermo/hygrosensory ALRNs: second, wiring differences between ALRNs originating from 177 the ipsilateral and contralateral antennae. Most of the output from ALRNs is to ALLNs (43% and 178 48% from ORNs and TRN/HRNs, respectively), followed by ALPNs (34% and 41% from ORNs and 170 TRNs/HRNs, respectively). The remainder is either accounted for by ALRN-ALRN connectivity or 180 other targets that are not ALRNs. ALPNs, or ALLNs. This connectivity profile is similar to what has 181 been reported for the larva (Berck et al., 2016) even though the number of neurons and types has 182 increased significantly (Scheffer et al., 2020; Bates et al., 2020b). They are also consistent with two 183 previous studies of single glomeruli in the adult fly (Horne et al., 2018: Tobin et al., 2017). 184

We find that compared to ORNs. TRN/HRNs spend more of their output budget on connec-185 tions to ALPNs (41% vs 34%) and this difference seems to be mostly accounted for by the very 186 low level of axo-axonic TRN/HRN to ALRN connectivity (*Figure 3D*). Type specificity is also clearly 187 apparent, however, with individual ALRN types showing different presynaptic densities (Figure 3-188 Figure Supplement 1D) as well as particular profiles of ALLN and ALPN output (Figure 3D-F). Two 180 pheromone-sensitive ORN types. DA1 and VA1y, output the most to other neurons. Their main 190 target are the AL-AST1 neurons which arborise in and receive input from a subset of antennal 191 lobe glomeruli and output mostly in the antennal lobe and the saddle, a region that includes the 192 antennal mechanosensory and motor centre (AMMC) (Scheffer et al., 2020: Tanaka et al., 2012a). 193 The majority of input onto ALRNs is from ALLNs and other ALRNs, and can vary widely – in 194

- <sup>195</sup> particular across the different ORN types (*Figure 3*F). Connections between ALRNs occur almost
- exclusively between neurons of the same type, e.g. DA1→DA1 but not DA1→DA2 (data not shown).
- <sup>197</sup> This is consistent with previous reports of connections between axon terminals of gustatory or
- mechanosensory neurons in larval and adult Drosophila (Hampel et al., 2020; Miroschnikow et al.,
- 2018). The functional relevance of these connections is unclear. In contrast, ALLNs have been
- shown to regulate and coordinate activity across glomeruli via lateral inhibition (see for example
- *Mohamed et al.* (2019); *Wilson and Laurent* (2005)) and ALLN→ALRN connections likely play a role.
- $_{202}$  We find that pheromone-sensitive ORNs (targeting DA1, DL3 and VA1v) are amongst those with
- the least ALLN input onto their terminals, suggesting that they might be less strongly modulated by other channels. As expected from analysis of output connectivity. TRNs and HRNs mostly receive
- <sup>205</sup> input from ALLNs.
- Breaking down bilateral ORN connectivity by laterality highlights a distinct behaviour of ALLNs: on average, contralateral ORNs provide more information to, and receive more information from, ALLNs than ipsilateral ORNs (*Figure 3*E, G and *Figure 3–Figure Supplement 1*E). This is in contrast to ALPNs, whose behaviour is consistent with previous reports (*Gaudry et al., 2013: Agarwal and*
- **Isacoff. 2011**). This bias could help the animal to respond to lateralised odour sources.

### 211 Antennal lobe local neurons

Light microscopy studies have estimated ~200 antennal lobe local neurons (ALLNs) (Chou et al., 212 2010). ALLNs have complex inhibitory or excitatory synaptic interactions with all other neuron 213 types in the antennal lobe, i.e. the dendrites of outgoing ALPNs, the axons of incoming ALRNs and 214 other ALLNs. In particular, ALLN-ALLN connections are thought to facilitate communication across 215 glomeruli, implementing gain control for fine-tuning of olfactory behaviour (Root et al., 2008: Olsen 216 and Wilson, 2008). ALLNs are diverse in morphology, connectivity, firing patterns and neurotrans-217 mitter profiles and critically, in the adult fly brain, they do not appear to be completely stereotyped 218 between individuals (Seki et al., 2010: Okada et al., 2009: Chou et al., 2010: Berck et al., 2016). Pre-219 viously, six types of ALLNs (LN1-LN6) had been defined mainly based on the expression of specific 220 GAL4 lines (Tanaka et al. 2012a) The hemibrain data set now provides us with the first opportunity 221 to identify and analyse a complete set of ALLNs at single-cell resolution. 222

We find 196 ALLNs in the right hemisphere which we assign to 5 lineages, 4 morphological classes, 25 anatomical groups and 74 cell types (Figure 4A-D and Figure 5-Figure Supplement 1). 224 ALLNs derive from three main neuroblast clones: the lateral neuroblast lineage ("|" and "|2" from 225 ALL1) the ventral neuroblast lineage ("v" from ALv1) and the ventral ALLN specific lineage ("v2" 226 from Al v2) (Sen et al., 2014). Their cell bodies cluster dorsolateral, ventromedial or ventrolateral 227 to the antennal lobe or in the gnathal ganglion (referred to as il3 (Bates et al., 2020b: Shang et al., 228 2007: Tanaka et al., 2012a). Around 40% (78) of the ALLNs are bilateral and also project to the left 220 antennal lobe; most of these (49) originate from the v2 lineage. Correspondingly, we identified 230 fragments of 88 ALLNs that originate in the left and project to the right antennal lobe (*Figure 4*A). 231 The morphological classification of ALLNs is based on their glomerular innervation patterns 232 reported by Chou et al. 2010: "broad" ALLNs innervate all or most of the AL: "patchy" ALLNs exhibit 233 characteristic discontinuous innervation: "regional" ALLNs innervate large continuous regions of 234 the AL, and "sparse" ALLNs innervate only a small area of the antennal lobe (*Figure 4*B). These 235 differences in innervation patterns can be quantified: for each ALLN we ranked glomeruli by the 236 number of synapses placed inside (descending) and further normalised them per ALLN. Finally 237 we summed those numbers up cumulatively per ALLN. Sparse ALLNs place their synapses in a 238 select few glomeruli (typically <10), while broad ALLNs distribute their synapses evenly across the 230 majority of glomeruli (typically >30) (*Figure 4*E). Anatomical groups are then defined as sets of cell 240 types with similar morphological features. 241

Previous research has shown that while most ALLNs exhibit input and outputs in all innervated glomeruli, some show signs of polarisation (*Chou et al., 2010*). Indeed, regional and sparse ALLNs can mostly be split into an axonic and a dendritic compartment, while broad and patchy ALLNs tend



**Figure 3. Antennal lobe receptor neurons mostly target projection and local neurons. A** Summary schematic of antennal lobe ALRN classification and the major cell types present in the antennal lobe that interact with them. ALLN: antennal lobe local neuron; ALPN: projection neuron. **B** Ipsilateral and contralateral VL2p olfactory ALRNs (ORNs) in the right antennal lobe. The somas are not visible as they are cut off from the volume. Output synapses in red, input ones in blue. **C** Antennal lobe glomerular meshes (generated from ALRNs) showing which glomeruli are truncated and by how much (qualitative assessment). ALRN types in whole glomeruli but with fragmented ALRNs, which prevents assignment of soma side, are also shown. **D** Fraction of ALRN output per type. The left-most bar is the mean for olfactory or thermo/hygrosensory ALRNs, with number of synapses on top. **E** Fraction of ipsilateral (ipsi) or contralateral (contra) ORN output to ALLNs, ALPNs and ALRNs. Means were compared using Wilcoxon two-sample tests. **F** Fraction of ALRN input per type. The left-most bar is the mean for Synapses on top. **G** Fraction of ipsilateral (ipsi) or contralateral (contra) ORN input from ALLNs, with number of synapses on top. **G** Fraction of ipsilateral (ipsi) or contralateral (contra) ORN input from ALLNs, and ALRNs. Means were compared using Wilcoxon two-sample tests. F = 0.001; \*\*\*\*: p <= 0.001; \*\*\*\*: p <= 0.001.

**Figure 3-Figure supplement 1.** Annotation of ALRN bodies and connectivity features. **Figure 3-Figure supplement 2.** ALRN clustering and subdivision of the VM6 glomerulus.

to be less polarised (Figure 4F). Axon-dendrite segregation may facilitate specific inter-glomerular 245 interactions. In particular, looking at the most polarised ALLNs (score >0.1), differential dendritic 246 input and axonic output are apparent with respect to pairs of thermo/hygrosensory glomeruli of 247 opposing valences (Figure 4-Figure Supplement 1G). Significantly, v2LN49 neurons receive den-248 dritic input in the 'heating' glomerulus VP2 (Ni et al., 2013), and have axonic outputs in the 'cooling' 249 glomerulus VP3 (Gallio et al., 2011; Budelli et al., 2019), while I2LN20 and I2LN21 perform the 250 opposite operation. An interesting odour example is ILN17 which receives dendritic inputs from 251 pheromone glomerulus DA1 and has axonic output to another pheromone glomerulus, VA1v (Kur-252 tovic et al., 2007; Dweck et al., 2015). Such interactions might help regulate female receptivity. 253 ALLNs principally connect to ALRNs, ALPNs and other ALLNs. Connectivity differs greatly be-254



**Figure 4. Cell typing, morphological classification and polarity of antennal lobe local neurons. A** ALLNs classified by hemilineage and contralateral ALLNs (contra ALLN), along with the antennal lobe mesh in the background. Soma locations (circles) and primary neurite tracts are illustrated in multicolours. **B** Morphological classes of ALLNs. A representative example of each category is shown. **C** Number of ALLNs per hemilineage and morphological class. **D** Representative examples illustrating criteria used for typing: unilateral and bilateral neurites, lineage identity, area innervated by ALLN neurites and their density. Arrow heads point towards dense innervation and bilateral projection. **E** Synapse score per morphological class. Cumulative number of synapses is computed per ranked glomerulus (by number of synapses) and plotted against its rank. Envelopes represent standard error of the mean. **F** Polarisation of neurites per morphological class. Segregation index is a metric for how polarised a neuron is; the higher the score the more polarised the neuron (*Schneider-Mizell et al., 2016*). Left inset shows a sparse ALLN, l2LN21, as an example of a highly polarised ALLN. Significance values: \*: p <= 0.05; \*\*: p <= 0.01; \*\*\*: p <= 0.001; \*\*\*\*: p <= 0.0001; pairwise Tukey-HSD post-hoc test.

Figure 4-Figure supplement 1. ALLN glomerular innervation patterns.

tween ALLN cell types, even within groups (Figure 5A,B). Smaller ALLNs (sparse, regional) tend to 255 receive a greater fraction of direct ALRN input than larger ALLNs (broad, patchy) and are therefore 256 assigned to earlier layers (Figure 5A). Strong ALLN-ALLN connectivity arises mostly from the broad 257 ALLNs of the lateral lineage (Figure 5C). They may act as master regulators of the ALLN network. 258 Breaking down the input onto ALLNs, we see that some have very high specificity for specific 259 glomeruli: for example, vLN24 receives 67% of its ALRN input from the CO<sub>2</sub> responsive V glomeru-260 lus ORNs (Figure 5B,F). Importantly, we also observe substantial differences in the degree of ipsi 261 - versus contralateral ALRN input across the ALLN population (Figure 5B). At one end of the spec-262 trum, regional vLNs receive more than 10 times as much input from ipsilateral versus contralateral 263 ORNs; in contrast broad il3LNs receive fivefold more contralateral ORN input. These broad il3LNs, 264 a single pair of bilateral neurons likely analogous to the larval Keystone ALLNs (Coates et al., 2020; 265 Berck et al., 2016), interact strongly with broad ILNs while also providing strong presynaptic inhi-266



**Figure 5. Antennal lobe local neuron connectivity and example circuit motifs. A** Layers of ALLNs. Vertical lines indicate group mean.**B** Fraction of ALLN input (left) and output (right) for different ALLN groups. Number of neurons per category is shown at the top of each bar. Where possible ORNs are split into 'ipsi-' and 'contra'-lateral ('unknown' ORNs mostly correspond to those that are fragmented or belonging to truncated glomeruli). **C** Diagram illustrating ALLN-ALLN connectivity. ALLN groups are coloured by morphological class. **D** Diagram illustrating the most prominent ALLN connections to thermo/hygrosensory ALRNs and ALPNs. **E-G** Examples of ALLN connectivity. **E** A pair of broad ALLNs, il3LN6, cross-matched to the FAFB Keystone ALLNs. **F** An example of type regional ALLNs, vLN24, that receives specialised input in the V glomerulus. **G** A bilateral medial bundle neuron, AL-MBDL1, that integrates LHN and MBON input and outputs to two specific types of ALLNs, broad ILN2F\_b and patchy ILN2P.

Figure 5-Figure supplement 1. Antennal lobe local neuron groups.

bition onto ORN terminals (*Figure 5*B,C,E). This may represent a major mechanism by which con tralateral odour information influences the ipsilateral ALLN-ALLN network.

Curiously, sparse ALLN cell types receive a large proportion of their input from TRNs/HRNs 269 (sparse I2LNs: 21%, sparse v2LN: 15%, sparse vLNs: 8%). Other cell types receive at most 5%. In-270 deed, comparing antennal lobe innervation patterns against a random null model suggests that 271 sparse ALLNs are more likely to co-innervate thermo/hygrosensory glomeruli (Figure 4-Figure Sup-272 *plement 1*H). Similarly, when we examine ALPN connectivity, we see that sparse ALLN cell types 273 send a large proportion of their output to THPNs (sparse I2LNs: 30%, sparse v2LNs: 26%, sparse 274 vLNs: 19%). Other cell types receive at most 5%. This indicates that sparse ALLNs may be modulat-275 ing very specific thermo/hygrosensory information among the circuitry within the AL. In combina-276 tion, this suggests the existence of a local network made of sparse ALLNs that encompasses only 277 the non-olfactory, thermo/hygrosensory glomeruli. 278

Regional ALLNs, on the other hand, co-innervate combinations with the DP1m (responds to e.g. 3-hexanone, apple cider vinegar) or DP1I (acetic acid) glomeruli, which may be key foododours detecting glomeruli and are some of the largest in the antennal lobe. The patchy ALLNs' co-innervation does not differ from the null model, which agrees with observations from light level data (*Chou et al., 2010*).

About half of the ALLNs also feedback strongly onto ALRN axons. Interestingly, ALLNs of lineages v2 and v send very little output to the ALRNs (regional v2LNs: 1.8%, sparse vLNs: 1.7%, sparse v2LNs: 5.2%, sparse l2LNs: 5%, regional vLNs: 1.2%) compared with other ALLNs, which spend >16% of their outputs on ALRN axons. The ALLNs that modulate ALRN axons likely execute circuit functions distinct from those that do not, perhaps operating to quickly adapt and stabilise ALRN responses. We also observe centrifugal feedback from higher olfactory areas, into the antennal lobe. The antennal lobe-associated median bundle neuron (AL-MBDL1) is a centrifugal modulatory neuron that integrates input from the MB and the LH (*Tanaka et al., 2012a*) (*Figure 5*G). It arborises widely in the antennal lobe and outputs onto two specific sets of ALLNs: the 14 patchy ILN2P and a pair of broad ILN2F\_b neurons (*Figure 5*F). This means that the superior brain regions may be able to exercise control over the ALLN-ALLN network through AL-MBDL1 activity.



# <sup>296</sup> Stereotypy in olfactory projection neurons

Figure 6. Numerical and morphological across – and within-animal stereotypy. A Antennal lobe projection neurons (ALPNs) reconstructed in the hemibrain and from the left and right hemispheres of the FAFB EM volume. **B** Overall ALPN counts are almost identical across hemispheres as well as across animals. **C** 17/56 uPN types show variations in numbers. Numbers in triangle count instances of variation in numbers. **D** Across-dataset NBLAST similarity scores are much the same. All scores on the left, only pairwise top scores on the right. Top lines represent means. **E** Clustering approach based on best across-dataset matches. **F** Total number of across-dataset clusters by composition. **G** Quantification of discrepancies between hemibrain v1.1 types and the across-dataset clusters. See also *Figure 6-Figure Supplement 1***F**. **H** Example where two hemibrain types merge into one across-dataset cluster (2). One of the hemibrain neurons takes the "wrong" antennal lobe tract (arrows) and has therefore been incorrectly given a separate type. See *Figure 6-Figure Supplement 1***G**-J for more examples.

Figure 6-Figure supplement 1. Comparison of ALPNs across three hemispheres.

Glomeruli are innervated by principal cells, mitral and tufted cells in vertebrates and projection

neurons (ALPNs) in insects, which convey odour, temperature and humidity information to third-

<sup>299</sup> order neurons in higher brain regions (*Figure 6*A). These neurons may be excitatory or inhibitory, <sup>300</sup> and either uniglomerular (uPNs) or multiglomerular (mPNs), i.e. sampling from a single glomerulus

<sup>301</sup> or multiple glomeruli, respectively (*Bates et al., 2020b; Tanaka et al., 2012a*).

Most uPNs are well studied and have been shown to be highly stereotyped (*lefferis et al.*, 2007) 302 which makes cross-matching these cell types relatively straight-forward. In particular, the "canoni-303 cal" uPN types that have been extensively studied in the past (Yu et al., 2010; Ito et al., 2013; Tanaka 304 et al., 2012a: Grabe et al., 2016) are easily and unambiguously identifiable in the hemibrain. The 305 situation is less clear for mPNs, for which there is as yet no conclusive cell typing, mPN types were 306 therefore determined by the aforementioned consortium using a combination of within-dataset 307 morphological and connectivity clustering under the assumption that these types would be further 308 refined in future releases. In combination, hemibrain v1.1 features 188 ALPN types. 300

We previously described the morphology of 164 uPNs (forming 81 different types) and 181 mPNs (untyped) in the right hemisphere in the FAFB (full adult fly brain) EM volume (*Bates et al.,* 2020b). Here, we add a third ALPN dataset from the left hemisphere of FAFB. Together, these data allow us to assess numerical and morphological stereotypy within (FAFB right vs left) and across animals (hemibrain vs FAFB left/right) (*Figure 6*A).

First, we find that the total number of ALPNs is largely consistent across brains as well as across hemispheres of the same brain (*Figure 6*B). For uPN types, we find similar variations in ALPN numbers within and across animals (*Figure 6*C and *Figure 6–Figure Supplement 1*A). Interestingly, variation only occurs in larval-born 'secondary' neurons but not with embryonic 'primary' neurons, and is more obvious for later-born neurons (*Figure 6–Figure Supplement 1*A).

To obtain a quantitative assessment of morphological stereotypy, we first transformed all 320 ALPNs into the same template brain space (IRC2018F. Bogovic et al. (2020)) and mirrored the left 321 FAFB ALPNs onto the right (see **Bates et al. (2020a**) and Methods for details). Next, we used NBLAST 322 (Costa et al., 2016) to generate pairwise morphological similarity scores across the three sets of 323 AI PNs (*Figure 6*D). Due to the large number of data points (~23k per comparison), the distributions 324 of within – and across-animal scores are statistically different (p < 0.05. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) 325 however the effect size is extremely small. Importantly, the top within-animal scores are on aver-326 age not higher than those from the across-animal comparisons. This suggests that neurons are 327 as stereotyped within one brain (i.e. across left/right brain hemispheres) as they are between two 328 brains 320

An open question is whether individual cells and cell types can be recovered across animals. For neurons like the canonical uPNs this is has already been shown but it is less clear for e.g. the mPNs. First, for nearly all hemibrain ALPN we find a match in FAFB and for most neurons the top NBLAST hit is already a decent match (data not shown). The few cases without an obvious match are likely due to truncation in the hemibrain or developmental abnormalities of the neuron.

Next, we sought to reproduce hemibrain cell types across datasets. Biological variability might
 well produce a partition in one animal that is not present in another, and *vice versa* (*Figure 6*E). To
 address this, we used the top across-dataset NBLAST scores to generate 197 clusters of morpho logically similar neurons across the three populations of PNs (*Figure 6*D-F; see Methods for details).
 This is slightly more than the 188 PN types listed for hemibrain v1.1 and might indicate that our
 approach over-segments the data. Indeed, the majority of our clusters represent 1:1:N matches
 (*Figure 6-Figure Supplement 1*B).

In general, the correspondence between hemibrain types and the across-dataset clusters is good: ~74% of hemibrain types map to either one single cluster or split into separate clusters that contain only this cell type (a consequence of the over-segmentation) (*Figure 6*G). 35 (19%) hemibrain types merge into larger clusters. For example, M\_iIPNm90 and M\_iIPN8t91 were assigned separate types because of differences in the axonal tract. In comparison with FAFB ALPNs it becomes apparent that M\_iIPNm90's tract is an exception and they indeed belong to the same type

- (Figure 6H), Only 14 (~7%) hemibrain types are shuffled into different clusters. We also note a few 348
- instances of discrepancies between classifications of co-clustered neurons which will be solved in 349
- future hemibrain/FAFB releases 350

In summary, these results are encouraging with respect to matching neurons (types) across 351 data sets while simultaneously illustrating potential pitfalls of cell typing based on a single dataset. 352

#### Connectivity of olfactory projection neurons 353

Within the antennal lobe, ALPN dendrites connect with ALRN axons and ALLNs (Figure 7A.B). As 354 expected, olfactory mPNs and uPNs exhibit quite different connectivity profiles; mPNs receive both 355 less overall dendritic input and also a smaller proportion of direct input from ALRNs than uPNs 356 (30% vs 50% comes from ALRNs). As a consequence of these connectivity profiles, uPNs show up 357 earlier than mPNs in the layered olfactory system (*Figure 2*E.F.). In contrast, the connectivity profile 358 of thermo/hygrosensory ALPNs, of which 1/3 are biglomerular, is quite similar across ALPN classes. 350 and falls in between the olfactory uPNs and mPNs (*Figure 7*C). 360 When uPNs are broken down by type, we see a range of ALRN inputs (16% to 71%), the majority 361 of them from ipsilateral ALRNs (for those with bilateral ALRNs) as well as from ALLNs (15% to 70%) 362 (Figure 7D). In those glomeruli with more than one uPN type, the second uPN is usually from the 363 GABAergic vPN lineage, and receives significant input from the first, likely cholinergic uPN, vPNs 364 (which include various multiglomerular PNs) provide feed-forward inhibition to a range of targets 365 in the lateral horn (Bates et al., 2020b) and are thought to increase the fly's ability to discriminate 366 (food) odors and gate between qualitatively different olfactory stimuli (Liang et al., 2013: Parnas 367 et al., 2013). Curiously, the cholinergic V glomerulus uPN from the I2PN lineage (Bates et al., 2020b) 368 resembles a vPN, both in terms of its output profile and total input fraction (*Figure 7D.E*). 360

Although highly polarised, olfactory uPNs have hundreds of presynapses and thou-370 sands of outgoing connections from their dendrites while mPNs make far fewer connec-371 tions. Thermo/hygrosensory ALPNs have very similar output profiles to each other, although 372 thermo/hygrosensory mPNs, as with olfactory mPNs, provide much less output in the antennal 373 lobe. The majority of these connections are onto ALLNs (56% to 75%), with the remaining being 374 onto the dendrites of other ALPNs (*Figure 7*F). 37

#### Higher-order olfactory neurons 376

39!

The ALPN combinatorial odour code is read out by two downstream systems in very different ways 377 In general, the mushroom body (MB) is necessary for the formation, consolidation and retrieval of olfactory memories, while other superior neuropils support innate olfactory processing (Dubnau 379 et al., 2001: Heimbeck et al., 2001: Krashes et al., 2007: McGuire et al., 2001: Parnas et al., 2013: 380 Bates et al., 2020b). This dichotomy is by no means absolute (Dolan et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2019; 381 Yu et al., 2004: Séjourné et al., 2011: Savin et al., 2019: Bräcker et al., 2013) and indeed we find nu-382 merous examples of direct interactions between these brain areas (see also Li et al. (2020)). Never-383 theless, it remains a helpful simplification when investigating the logic innate vs learned pathways. 384 Historically third order olfactory neurons (TOONs) have often been defined by overlap with the 385 axons of ALPNs. Using the hemibrain connectome we can now re-examine non-MB, third-order 386 olfactory neuron morphology exhaustively. We translated this into a connectomics definition of 387 TOONs as "neurons that receive either at least 1% (or 10 postsynapses in total) of their inputs from a 388 single ALPN, or 10% of their inputs from any combination of ALPNs outside of the MB". This revealed 380 a total of ~2.383 non-MB TOONs which means that both classic olfactory pathways – learned and 300 innate – exhibit very similar convergence-divergence ratios: 2581:137:2035 ORN:PN:KC for the MB 301 path and 2581:330:2383 ORN:PN:TOON for the non-MB path. 392 In the past, we focused on the lateral horn (LH) when examining TOONs in the context of in-303 nate behaviour guidance (Dolan et al., 2019: Frechter et al., 2019), because the lateral horn is the 394 brain neuropil most heavily innervated by ALPNs (Bates et al., 2020b). Based on light-level data.



**Figure 7. Antennal lobe projection neuron connectivity in the right antennal lobe. A** Summary schematic of ALPN classification and the major cell types present in the antennal lobe that interact with them. uPN: uniglomerular ALPN; mPN: multiglomerular ALPN. **B** DL4 uniglomerular PN showing inputs (cyan) and outputs (red). **C** Number of input synapses onto olfactory or thermo/hygrosensory uPNs and mPNs. Number of neurons in each category shown at the top of the bar. **D** Fraction of uPN input, grouped by type and lineage. The left group shows glomeruli that have only one uPN type, or one of the types for those with more than one. The right group shows the second uPN type for those glomeruli with more than one. ALRN soma side indicated as 'ipsi' (ipsilateral), 'contra' (contralateral) or 'unk' (unknown, mostly corresponding to those glomeruli with fragmented ALRNs). Thermo/hygrosensory uPNs with SEZ innervation are indicated by 'Z' following the glomerulus. **E** Percentage of input onto uPN types relative to total connectivity (input + output), per lineage. Some of the outlier uPN types are labelled. Comparisons to categories with less than 4 data points were not done. Means per lineage were compared using Wilcoxon two sample tests. Significance values: ns: p > 0.05; \*: p <= 0.05; \*: p <= 0.01; \*\*\*: p <= 0.001; \*\*\*\*: p <= 0.0001. **F** Number of output synapses from olfactory or thermo/hygrosensory uPNs and mPNs. Number of neurons in each category shown at the top of the bar.

we previously estimated ~1,400 third order lateral horn neuron (LHNs) forming >264 cell types 396 (Frechter et al., 2019). The cell count estimate appears to have been accurate: of the hemibrain 397 TOONs, ~60% (1,428) have dendrites in the LH (Figure 8A,B) making the LH the largest target for 398 olfactory information beyond the antennal lobe (Bates et al., 2020b). With the higher resolution of 399 the connectome, we were able to divide these LHNs into 496 near-isomorphic cell types (Figure 8-400 Figure Supplement 1A, see Methods), many of which (~35%) could be matched to light-level data 401 from the literature (Frechter et al., 2019). KCs on the other hand fall into only 15 types (Li et al., 402 2020). Therefore, in terms of cell types, the LH path exhibits far greater expansion than the MB 403 path (Caron et al., 2013). 404 The distinction between LHNs and other TOONs remains useful in that it distinguishes a subset 405 of TOONs that are part of the densely ALPN-innervated hub that is the lateral horn. LHN cell types 406



Figure 8. The targets of antennal lobe projection neuron axons. A Starburst chart breakdown of the 2,383 targets of ALPN axons, outside of the mushroom body, by various properties. We term these neurons 'third-order olfactory neurons', or 'TOONs' (see text for definition). From the inside out, neurons are grouped by: broad neuron class, layer according to the traversal model and their putative neurotransmitter. Most TOONs receive the majority of this input at their dendrites: green, lateral horn neurons (LHNs); dark blue, wedge projection neurons (WEDPNs); orange, dopaminergic neurons of the mushroom body (DANs); brown, descending neurons to the ventral nervous system (DNs); pink, lateral horn centrifugal neurons (LHCENTs). The starburst plot also includes some neurons connected only or mainly at their axons, including a small number of: light blue, visual projection neurons; yellow, severed contralateral axons; dark green, putative gustatory projection neurons from the gnathal ganglia; yellow, putative axons ascending from the ventral nervous system. B Schematic illustrating the definitions used to group neurons into broad classes. For details see Methods. **C** litter plot showing olfactory layers of TOONs broken down by predicted transmitter (if known) and broad class (LHONs, LH output neuron; LHLN, LH local neuron) (Frechter et al., 2019). D The percentage of input supplied onto third-order neurons by different classes of input neuron. Upper, inputs onto third-order neurons' dendrite, lower, fourth-order neurons dendrites. Insets, input onto axons. E Normalised synaptic input to layer three and four neurons, as well as LH centrifugal neurons whose dendrites lie outside the LH but whose axons innervate it. Synaptic input is normalised by the total number of input synapses to the neuron's predicted axon or dendrite.

Figure 8-Figure supplement 1. Defining cell types for third-order olfactory neurons.
Figure 8-Figure supplement 2. Split-GAL4 lines for excitatory lateral horn output neurons.
Figure 8-Figure supplement 3. Split-GAL4 lines for inhibitory lateral horn output neurons.
Figure 8-Figure supplement 4. Split-GAL4 lines for lateral horn local neurons.
Figure 8-Figure supplement 5. Split-GAL4 lines for lateral horn input neurons.

<sup>407</sup> currently have more extant data in the literature e.g. allowing sparse genetic driver lines to be <sup>408</sup> identified, or assignment of developmental identities and putative transmitter expression.

With the benefit of a full, high-resolution LHN inventory from the hemibrain, we re-assessed 409 sparse genetic driver lines we previously generated to help experimentally target specific LHN 410 cell types (Figure 8-Figure Supplement 2 Figure 8-Figure Supplement 3 Figure 8-Figure Supple-411 ment 4. Figure 8-Figure Supplement 5). We then grouped neurons into developmentally related 412 'hemilineages' and assigned all members of a given hemilineage the same 'transmitter identity' if 413 we knew that at least one member of that hemilineage to express acetylcholine. GABA or gluta-414 mate based on immunohistochemical work (Dolan et al., 2019). Our assignments (Figure 8C and 415 Figure 8-Figure Supplement 1B) are based on an assumption that neurons of a hemilineage share 416 the same transmitter expression, as has been demonstrated for the ventral nervous system (Lacin 417 et al., 2019). This is a useful proxy that gives an impression of fast-acting neurotransmitter ex-418 pression diversity throughout the pool of TOONs, but it is far from definitive. We anticipate that 419 machine learning methods will assist in automatic transmitter type classification for synapses in 420 data sets such as the hemibrain in the near future (*Eckstein et al.*, 2020). I HNs are very diverse 421 in terms of their hemilineage origins: ~30% of known hemilineages in the midbrain contribute to 422 1 HNs, with some more biased to layer 3 or layer 41 HNs (*Figure 8D*). This is in contrast to KCs, that 423 arise from a set of only four neuroblasts (Truman and Bate, 1988). 424

All the LHNs we consider are direct targets of olfactory ALPNs and would therefore historically be considered third-order olfactory neurons. In absence of connectivity data this is a necessary and useful simplification. Using the layers (*Figure 2*C), we can now for the first time take a more quantitative look at their putative position within the olfactory system. This shows that LHNs populate different layers of the olfactory system because the fraction of direct ALPN input can vary widely (*Figure 8*C,E).

LHNs in layer 3 are mainly putative GABAergic or glutamatergic neurons based on their developmental origins and therefore likely inhibitory, while layer 4 LHNs are more commonly cholinergic and therefore excitatory (*Figure 8*A). It is important to note that the layer 4 LHNs are still direct synaptic partners of ALPNs; their designation as layer 4 is a result of weaker direct connectivity from ALPNs and slightly greater local input from layer 3 and 4 neurons (*Figure 8*D).

Matching hemibrain neurons to light-level data and partial tracings for neurons from FAFB shows that most 'anatomically' local neurons have a layer closer 3, and output neurons a layer closer to 4 (*Figure 8*C). The uPNs contribute most strongly and directly to the input budgets of layer 3 and 4 LHNs; in contrast, mPNs could be said to short-circuit the olfactory system, connecting to LHNs of layers 3-6 as well as other TOONs of the superior protocerebrum (*Figure 8* and *Figure 10*).

Individual TOON cell types can sample from a variety of ALPNs (*Figure 9*), and each type ex-112 hibits a relatively unique 'fingerprint' of input connectivity. Comparing the cosine similarity in 443 ALPN→target connectivity between ALPN cell types reveals that uPNs and mPNs have very differ-444 ent connectivity profiles (Figure 9-Figure Supplement 1). While a certain amount of structure is 445 present, there is no clear subgrouping of ALPN into subsets that serve as preferred inputs onto 446 distinct target subsets. Thermo/hygrosensory ALPN cell types often exhibit similar connectivity 447 with one another, and their uPNs clusters away from purely olfactory uPNs, however, their targets 448 also commonly receive olfactory input from mPN cell types. 449

By breaking TOONs and their identified inputs into large classes (*Figure 8*B,E and *Figure 10– Figure Supplement 1*), we can see that while direct uPN input to TOONs decreases from layers 3 through 5, mPN innervation remains constant and occurs onto both TOON dendrites and axons. Layer 3 TOONs heavily feedback onto ALPNs by making GABAergic axo-axonic contacts, while layer 4 TOONs feedback to layer 3 by both axo-dendritic and dendro-dendritic contacts.

4 TOONs feedback to layer 3 by both axo-dendritic and dendro-dendritic contacts.
 If we think of obvious outputs of the olfactory system, we might consider dopaminergic neurons
 of the mushroom body (DANs) or putative pre-motor descending neurons (DNs) that project to
 the ventral nervous system, help to inform the writing of olfactory memory and the control of



**Figure 9. Antennal lobe projection neuron connectivity onto downstream targets.** Annotated heatmap showing the ALPN cell types (188, rows)  $\rightarrow$  target (column) connection strengths. These connection strengths have been max normalised per column (target). ALPNs known to be glutamatergic or GABAergic have been given negative connection strengths, those that are unknown or cholinergic, positive. Each target column represents an entire connectivity types' dendrites or axons (964 connectivity types' dendrites, 534 connectivity type's axons), in which each neuron has to have at least a 10 synapse or 1% postsynapse-normalised connection from an ALPN. Annotation bars indicate axons versus dendrites, as well as other metadata. Row and column clusters based on cosine similarity between connection strengths, see *Figure 9-Figure Supplement 1*. Where 'modality' is left white, the cell type in question combines information from multiple antennal lobe glomeruli. Clustering based on Ward's distance, ALPNs grouped into 10 blocks for visualisation.

**Figure 9-Figure supplement 1.** Neurons at the ALPN axon  $\rightarrow$  target connection, clustered by connection similarity

- olfactory-related motor output, respectively. Strong output onto DANs and DNs first occurs with
- layer 4 TOONs and gets stronger with layer 5 TOONs, these contacts mostly being cholinergic axo-
- dendritic ones.
- 461 Higher TOON layers receive strong connections from memory-reading output neurons of the
- 462 MB (MBONs) while lower ones receive greater, putatively inhibitory centrifugal feedback from neu-
- rons downstream of MBONs (LHCENTs) (*Figure 8*E and *Figure 10*). Using a neurotransmitter pre-
- diction pipeline based on applying machine learning to raw EM data of presynapses in the FAFB data set, LHCENT1-3, LHCENT5-6 and LHCENT9 appear to be GABAergic (*Eckstein et al., 2020*), LH-
- data set, LHCEN11-3, LHCEN15-6 and LHCEN19 appear to be GABAergic (*Eckstein et al., 2020*). LH-CENT4 is predicted to be glutamatergic. LHCENT4 also differs from the others in that it is upstream
- 466 CENT4 is predicted to be glutamatergic. LHCENT4 also differs from the others in that it is upstream 467 of most other LHCENT5. LHCENT7 is predicted to be dopaminergic and has also been described as
- 467 of most other LHCENTS. LHCENT/ is predicted to be dopaminergic and has also been described as 468 PPL202, a dopaminergic neuron that can sensitise KCs for associative learning (*Boto et al., 2019*).



**Figure 10. Neuron class-level network diagram of higher olfactory layers.** A circuit schematic of third-order olfactory neurons, showing the average connection strength between different classes of neurons (mean percentage of input synapses), broken into their layers, as well as the ALPN, LHCENT and MBON inputs to this system and DAN and DN outputs. The percentage in grey, within coloured lozenges, indicates the mean input that class provides to its own members. The threshold for a connection to be reported here is 5%, and >2% for a line to be shown.

**Figure 10-Figure supplement 1.** Neuron class-level network diagrams of higher olfactory layers, broken down by neuron compartments and putative transmitters.

### 469 Stereotypy in superior brain olfactory neurons

Are these ~500 LHN types reproducible units? To address this guestion, we looked at the similarity 470 in connectivity among members of the same cell type in the hemibrain data set (*Figure 11*). We 471 also cross-compared hemibrain neurons with neurons in an EM volume of a different brain (FAFB) 472 (Figure 12A-C) (Zheng et al., 2018). We find that 'sister' uPN - i.e. those that have their dendrites 473 in the same glomerulus and come from the same hemilineage – typically make similar numbers 474 of connections onto common downstream targets. This is especially obvious when targets are 475 grouped by their cell type rather than each considered as individual neurons (Figure 11A-C). Nev-476 ertheless, the consistency of these connections differ by sister uPN type, with some (e.g. DM4 477 vPNs, mean cosine similarity 0.50) being less similar to one another than a few non-sister compar-478 isons (e.g. VC1 IPN and VM5v adPN, 0.63) (*Figure 11*A). For TOON cell types, comparing both up 470 and downstream connectivity to the axon or dendrite also yields a cosine similarity measure of 480 ~0.75 (Figure 11-Figure Supplement 1A,B), with only a small difference between inputs/outputs and 481

axon/dendrites (Figure 11-Figure Supplement 1D.E). The more similar the inputs to a cell type's dendrites, the more similar its axonic outputs (*Figure 11-Figure Supplement 1C*). Both also correlate 483 with the morphological similarity between TOONs of a cell type (Figure 11-Figure Supplement 1E). 484 For comparisons with FAFB, we picked 10 larval-born 'secondary' hemilineages in the hemibrain 485 data set and coarsely reconstructed all neurons of the same hemilineages in the FAFB volume (see 486 Methods). We show that the morphologies can be matched between the two data sets and that. visually, these matches can be striking (Figure 12A and Figure 12-Figure Supplement 4A) Every I HN 488 and wedge projection neuron (*Bates et al., 2020b*) hemibrain cell type in these 10 hemilineages 180 can be matched to one in FAFB (172 cell types), with some small variability in cell number per brain 490 (Figure 12B, Figure 12-Figure Supplement 1). We also examined a set of 'primary' embryonic-born 101 neurons, the LH centrifugal neurons LHCENT1-11, and could match them up well between the two 492 data sets. In some cases, putative cell types that appear isomorphic 'at light-level' can be broken 403 down into several connectivity sub-types. 494

In several cases, we see that each of these subtypes have small but consistent morphological deviations between the two data sets (*Figure 12–Figure Supplement 2A*). To account for this, we broke our 569 morphological cell types into 642 connectivity types (*Scheffer et al., 2020*). In general, the closer the two neurons' morphology, the more similar their connectivity. However, similar morphologies can also have different connectivity (*Figure 12–Figure Supplement 4*B), perhaps due to non-uniform under-recovery of synapses during the automatic segmentation of neurons and their connections in the hemibrain (*Scheffer et al., 2020*).

It is difficult to directly compare synapse numbers between the two data sets, as the methods of reconstruction were very different (see Methods). In FAFB, each human-annotated polyadic synapse has a mean of 11 postsynapses, whereas in the hemibrain machine-annotation has resulted in ~8 (for the same, cross-matched neurons) (*Figure 12-Figure Supplement 4D*). This is likely because different reconstruction methodologies have resulted in different biases for synaptic annotation. Nevertheless, we aimed to see whether ALPN→LHN connections in FAFB were also present in the hemibrain data set.

We previously reconstructed all members of selected cell types in FAFB (*Bates et al., 2020b*). 509 Here, we manually reviewed the same types in the hemibrain data set (an average of 3 neurons per 510 type) so that they are far more complete than the average hemibrain LHN (Scheffer et al., 2020) (see 511 Methods). We also examined other cell types for which we have only subsets in FAFB (*Figure 12*-512 Figure Supplement 4A). Normalised connections strengths (normalised by total input synapses) 513 from ALPNs to LHNs are, on average, stronger in the hemibrain than in FAFB. In the hemibrain 514 a larger total number of input synapses have been assigned per neuron but fewer ALPN $\rightarrow$ LHN 515 connections, perhaps an artefact of the different reconstruction methods employed (Figure 12-516 *Figure Supplement 4C*). Nevertheless, by comparing our FAFB reconstructions with their cognates 617 in the hemibrain for 12 connectivity types, using a cosine measure for connection similarity, we 518 see that the variability in ALPN $\rightarrow$ LHN connections between data sets is no greater than within the 519 same data set (Figure 12C and Figure 12-Figure Supplement 2B). 520

This suggests that morphological cell types may be as consistent between animals as within an 521 animal. We also compare the hemibrain connectivity to a data set describing functional connec-522 tivity between antennal lobe glomeruli and LHNs (*legnne et gl., 2018*). For some LHNs these func-523 tional connections are well recapitulated in the hemibrain's cognate uPN $\rightarrow$ LHN synaptic connectiv-524 ity. For many other pairs, however, the connectivity similarity is no greater than that to other neu-525 rons in the data set (Figure 12D and Figure 12-Figure Supplement 3): some functional connections 526 are not present as direct synaptic connections in the connectome and vice versa. Similarly, there is 527 no clear correlation between the strength of a functional connection and the synaptic strength of 528 corresponding hemibrain ALPN $\rightarrow$ LHN connections (*Figure 12–Figure Supplement 3*D,E). This could 529 be due to the action of local processing in the LH as well as connections from mPNs, which have 530 impacted feed-forward transmission more for some I HN cell types than for others. For example, 531 LHAV4a4 neurons have very similar structural and functional connectivity, while LHAV6a1 neurons 532



**Figure 11. Within-data set connectivity similarity for key olfactory cell types. A** The synaptic targets of uPNs (left) and uPN cell types (right) can be thought of as both individual downstream cells (lower) as well as cell types (upper). **B** For each pair of uPNs, the cosine similarity for their outputs onto downstream cell types is compared against their morphological similarity. The uPN-uPN pairs where both neurons are from the same cell type, 'sisters', shown in dark grey, otherwise in light grey. **C** The cosine similarity in the downstream target pool for sister and non-sister uPN pairs is compared. Targets can either be considered as separate cells (light grey, leftmost boxplots) or pooled by cell type (dark grey, middle boxplots). Shuffled data, for which cell type labels were shuffled for neurons downstream of each uPN to produce random small out-of-cell-type groupings of cells, shown in mid grey (rightmost box plots). Non-sister TOONs are shuffled pairs of TOONs from different cell types. There are 113 different sister PN-PN comparisons, and 9157 non-sister PN-PN comparisons, from our pool of 136 uniglomerular PNs. **D** The cosine similarity between connections to downstream cell. Left, all reconstructed LHNs types, for uPN-uPN pairs. Pairs shown are from the same cell type (left) or different cell types, where at least one comparison has a similarity of above >0.6. Significance values, Wilcoxon test: **\*\***: **p** <= 0.001.

Figure 11-Figure supplement 1. Similarity in connectivity up and downstream of olfactory neurons.

- do not, though both their structural and functional connectivity seem stereotyped even if they are
- different from one another (Jeanne et al., 2018; Fişek and Wilson, 2014). In addition, functional

535 connection strength integrates inhibitory and excitatory inputs from different ALPN classes, which

might also confound our results. Indeed, the glomeruli for which we have some of the largest

deviations from the hemibrain structural data are those with GABAergic uPNs (Figure 12-Figure

38 Supplement 3B).

### <sup>539</sup> Integration of innate and learned olfactory pathways

With the hemibrain data set, we can look at the extent to which MBONs directly connect to LHNs. 540 We see that while most olfactory ALPN input is onto LHN dendrites, most MBON input is onto 541 their axons (Figure 13A.C.D.). We quantify this using an ALPN-MBON axon-dendrite compartment 542 separation score (see Methods) and find high compartmental segregation of inputs, with MBONs 543 inputting onto LHN axons (though many cells have a score at or near zero as they receive little 544 MBON innervation) (Figure 13-Figure Supplement 4). Many of those with negative scores are either 545 neurons tangential to the LH or LH centrifugal neurons, whose MBON innervation is known to 546 target their dendrites (Bates et al., 2020b). More than 20% of laver four LHN axons are targeted by a 547 range of MBONs (*Figure 13*C): both cholinergic and GABAergic, and including MBONs implicated in 548 both aversive and appetitive learning (Aso et al., 2014b). MBON connectivity to LHNs is sparse and 549 only a few LHNs receive inputs from multiple MBONs (*Figure 13*E,F), With MBON $\rightarrow$ LHN connections 550 being axo-axonic, there is the potential of them being reciprocal. However, there is very little output 551 from LHNs onto MBON axons (Figure 13B), suggesting that MBONs might gate LHN activity, but not 552 vice versa 553 Next, we asked whether MBONs target the axons of I HNs that pool particular kinds of olfactory 554 information. To examine this question, we performed a matrix multiplication between connectiv-555 556

ity matrices for ALPN $\rightarrow$ LHN dendrite innervation and MBON $\rightarrow$ LHN axon innervation normalised by the LHN compartment's input synapse count, to generate a 'co-connectivity' score (Figure 13-557 Figure Supplement 1C-D). From this, three coarse groups emerge: some MBON types seem to 558 preferentially target 'putative food related' LHNs. These LHNs receive input from ALPNs that re-559 spond to mostly yeasty, fruity, plant matter and alcoholic fermentation-related odours. Another group preferably targets a separate set of LHNs, that themselves receive input from ALPNs in-561 volved in thermosensation, ethanol, CO<sub>2</sub>, aversive fruity odours and pheromones. The third pool of 562 MBONs wire with neurons from both pools of ALPNs. About half the uPNs did not have a strong co-563 connectivity score with MBONs. To try and assess whether certain MBONs might play a role in the processing of particular odours, we multiplied the co-connectivity matrix by odour response data 565 from a recent study (Badel et al., 2016). We did not see a striking separation, though all MBONs con-566 verge on TOONs that get appetitive fruity odours (e.g. ethyl butyrate) information from PNs. largely 567 because these odours are well represented on the PN level, and less so highly specific odours that 568 are less broadly encoded (Figure 13-Figure Supplement 1A), such as the bacterial odour geosmin. 560

In examining neurons downstream of MBONs, we found a cell type of 12 neurons which 570 receives an unusually high proportion, up to  $\sim$ 37%, of their input connections from MBONs: 571 LHAD1b2, cholinergic LH output neurons whose activation generates approach behaviour (Dolan 572 et al., 2019: Frechter et al., 2019). Electrophysiological recording of these cells has shown them to 573 act as a categoriser for 'rotting', amine-type odours (*Frechter et al., 2019*). Consistent with connec-574 tivity observed in FAFB (Bates et al., 2020b), we find now the full suite of excitatory, naively aversive 575 and inhibitory appetitive MBONs that target LHAD1b2 axons, and the naively appetitive MBONs 576 and specific ALPNs that target their dendrites (Figure 13-Figure Supplement 2A.B). We also ob-577 serve I HAD1b2 connections onto the dendrites of PAM DANs involved in appetitive learning again 578 consistent with work in FAFB (Otto et al., 2020) (Figure 13-Figure Supplement 2C). Together, this 579 builds a model whereby naively appetitive information from the LH signals the presence of rotting



Figure 12. Stereotypy in morphology and connectivity between lateral horn neurons in the hemibrain, FAFB and functional data sets. A Cell types and individual neurons that have been cross-matched between data sets. Examples from the hemilineages LHd2 (i.e. the dorsal most cell body group in the LHd2 lineage clone, otherwise known as DPLm2 dorsal) and DL2 dorsal (otherwise known as CP3 dorsal). **B** We were able to cross-match >600 neurons across 10 hemilineages between the hemibrain and FAFB. **C** For neurons that had been fully synaptically reconstructed in FAFB, we calculate the cosine similarity for their ALPN→LHN connectivity vectors to hemibrain neurons, both out-of-cell-type (left) and within-cell-type (right), as well as between the two data sets. In pink, same-cell-type between data set comparisons are made for only our 'best' morphological matches; matches for which the two neurons look so similar they could be the 'same cell'. **D** Within-cell-type cosine similarity for ALPN→LHN connectivity for within the hemibrain data set, within the Jeanne et al. (2018) functional connectivity data set, and between members of the same cell type across data sets. Significance values, Student's T-test: ns: p > 0.05; \*: p <= 0.05; \*\*: p <= 0.01; \*\*\*: p <= 0.001; \*\*\*\*: p <= 0.001

**Figure 12-Figure supplement 1.** Stereotypy in morphology between lateral horn neurons in the hemibrain and FAFB data sets.

**Figure 12-Figure supplement 2.** Stereotypy in connectivity between lateral horn neurons in the hemibrain and FAFB.

**Figure 12-Figure supplement 3.** Stereotypy in connectivity between lateral horn neurons in the hemibrain and a functional data set.

Figure 12-Figure supplement 4. Matching synaptically complete neurons between two EM data sets.



**Figure 13. MBON innervation of lateral horn neurons. A** Olfactory projection neurons and MBONs seem to target different ends of lateral horn output neurons. **B** The percentage of TOONs (2383 neurons in total) that receive a 'strong' connection from an MBON type (71 neurons in total) (>1% of their dendrite's/axon's input synapses). **C** Percentages are broken down by MBON cell type. **D** The percentage of TOONs that receive a 'strong' connection from a uPN type (136 neurons in total), broken down by type (>1% of their dendrite's/axon's input synapses). **E** A heatmap showing the normalised input of different MBONs onto TOONs' axons. **F** A histogram showing the number of downstream TOONs that receive input from different numbers of MBONs. A threshold of >1% the input synapse count is used, axons and dendrites treated separately.

**Figure 13–Figure supplement 1.** Propagating known odour information to third-order olfactory neurons and mushroom body output neurons.

**Figure 13–Figure supplement 2.** An exemplar convergence cell type of the lateral horn and mushroom body. **Figure 13–Figure supplement 3.** Convergence neurons of the lateral horn and mushroom body.

Figure 13-Figure supplement 4. A class-compartment separation score.

fruit (Mansourian and Stensmyr, 2015). This activity is then bidirectionally gated by MBON input:

expression of an aversive memory reduces the cholinergic drive to the axon, while an appetitive

memory reduces glutamatergic inhibition, thereby potentiating the cell type's effect on its down-

stream targets. If the cell type fires, it could excite PAM DANs that feedback to create a long-term

The next level at which 'innate' information from the non-MB arm of the olfactory system and

The next level at which 'innate' information from the non-MB arm of the olfactory system and 'learned' information from the MB arm can converge, is in 'convergence' neurons (CN2) downstream of both of these neuropils. By looking at LHN cell types known to evoke either aversive

or appetitive behaviour (Figure 13-Figure Supplement 3A) (Dolan et al., 2019), we see that down

stream partners of appetitive LHNs are more likely to be innervated by MBONs than those of

aversive LHNs (Figure 13-Figure Supplement 3C). CN2 neurons that receive at least 1% of their

<sup>592</sup> synaptic inputs from LHNs or from MBONs tend to get cholinergic input from naively appetitive <sup>593</sup> MBONs and LHNs, and inhibitory input from naively aversive MBONs and LHNs (*Figure 13–Figure* 

594 Supplement 3B,D).

# **595** Connections to the motor system

Motor systems ultimately responsible for generating behaviour are located in the ventral nervous 596 system and the suboesophageal zone (SE7) and can, to some extent, function independently of 597 the rest of brain (Berni et al., 2012: Hückesfeld et al., 2015: Egeth, 2011: Hampel et al., 2017). 598 How olfactory circuits connect to and modulate these motor systems remains an open question. In general higher brain circuits exert control over motor systems via descending neurons (DNs) (Lemon, 2008). In Drosophila, a recent light-level study identified ~700 DNs (~350 per side of the 601 brain) that connect the brain to the ventral nervous system (*Namiki et al.*, 2018). We used existing 602 neuPrint annotations and complemented them with DNs identified in the "FlyWire" segmentation 603 of FAFB to compile a list of 345 confirmed DNs in the hemibrain data set (see supplemental files) 604 (Dorkenwald et al., 2020). Due to the truncation, the hemibrain volume does not contain many 605 of the DNs in the SEZ ("DNg" in Namiki et al., 2018) and most of the DNs present descend from 606 higher brain regions. Even without knowing their exact targets in the ventral nervous system, such 607 DNs represent a common outlet for all higher brain circuits. We find only 11 DNs that appear to be 608 "early" (i.e. layer 3 or 4) with respect to the olfactory system (*Figure 14*A,B). These early DNs typically 609 receive diverse inputs including from ALPNs and lateral horn neurons (LHNs) (*Figure 14C*). We next 610 asked whether individual DNs exhibit preferences with respect to which types of antennal lobe 611 receptor neurons (ALRNs) they receive direct or indirect input from. To answer this, we re-ran the 612 graph traversal model using only the ALRNs of a given type/glomerulus as seeds. This produced. 613 for each DN. a vector describing the distances to 49 different ALRN types (we excluded some of the 614 more severely truncated glomeruli). Using those vectors to calculate the lifetime kurtosis, we find 615 both broad and sparse early DNs (*Figure 14D*). By contrast, DNs in layer 5 and above are generally 616 broadly tuned and no longer exhibit a preference for specific ALRNs (data not shown). There do 617 appear to be "shortcuts" between the thermo/hygrosensory and the motor system via early DNs 618 that connect most directly to VP1-5 ALRNs. One might expect similar connections for biologically 619 highly relevant odours such as the wasp pheromone Iridomyrmecin (Or49a/Or85f, DL4), Geosmin 620 (Or56a, DA2) or the sex pherhomone cVA (Or67d, DA1) (Mansourian and Stensmyr, 2015: Stensmyr 621 et al. 2012: Kurtovic et al. 2007: Kohl et al. 2013: Ebrahim et al. 2015) However ORNs appear 622 to only converge onto broadly tuned early DNs that show no clear preferences for specific odour 623 scenes (Figure 14F and Figure 14-Figure Supplement 1). This suggests that thermo/hygrosensation 624 employs labeled-line shortcuts whereas olfaction uses (higher-order) population coding to effect 625 motor output. 626

# 627 Discussion

One of the most significant practical outcomes of our work are classifications for thousands of ol-

factory system neurons across the hemibrain data set, comprising a full inventory for a single brain



**Figure 14. Connections between the olfactory system and descending neurons. A** Summary of olfactory circuits organised by layers. Box heights and widths correspond to the number and layer of neurons represented, respectively; arrow widths correspond to fraction of the targets' inputs. See also legend in lower left. **B** The number of "early" (layer 3 and 4) descending neurons (DNs) is low. **C** Inputs to early DNs are diverse. Labels represent names in neuPrint. **D** Sparseness (lifetime kurtosis, LTK) of early DNs with respect to individual receptor neuron (ALRN) types. Most early DNs receive indirect inputs from a broad range of ALRNs. **E** Exemplary DNs and their connectivity to individual ALRN types. A low distance indicates a more direct connection between an ORNs or TRN/HRN type and the DN. Only the top 25 ALRN types shown. Hemibrain DNs are shown in black and their homologs in the FlyWire dataset as reference in grey. Heatmap shows glomeruli odour scenes.

Figure 14-Figure supplement 1. Extended data for Figure 14E.

- hemisphere (see Supplemental Material). This includes the first full survey of antennal lobe local
- neurons (ALLNs), third-order olfactory neurons (TOONs) and lateral horn centrifugal neurons (LH-
- 632 CENTs), and complements a recent inventory of antennal lobe projection neurons (ALPNs) (Bates
- et al., 2020b) (Figure 1). We explore this data with a model that breaks down the olfactory system
- into layers. Layering had not previously been computable for higher-order neurons, and this analy-
- sis reveals interesting features even within the first three layers. Additionally, we have investigated
- <sup>636</sup> high-level connectivity motifs between the neuron classes and cell types that we have defined and
- examined how stable our classifications are by asking whether we can find the same neurons, and

in some cases the same connections, in a second connectomic data set.

### 639 Cell type annotations across the first three orders of the olfactory system

We have built open-source neuroinformatic tools in R and Python (see Methods) to read and sum-640 marise neuron data from the hemibrain data set efficiently. We have used these with morpho-641 logical clustering tools, namely NBLAST (*Costa et al., 2016*), to break neurons into groups that we can validate against other neuron data, both from light microscopy (Chigng et al. 2011) and another EM data set (*Zheng et al., 2018*). In so doing, for the right hemisphere, we have classified all 2644 receptor neurons (ALRN, olfactory and thermo/hygrosensory) in all 58 antennal lobe (AL) 645 glomeruli, as well as the 338 second-order projection neurons (uPNs and mPNs) and 196 anten-646 nal lobe local neurons (ALLNs), and 2300 third-order neurons outside of the mushroom body. We 647 connect these olfactory neurons to known cell types, and for ALLNs (Figure *Figure 6*E) and lateral 648 horn neurons (LHNs) we have expanded extant naming systems to cover hundreds of new mor-640 phologies (Figure Figure 8A). For the whole hemibrain data set of ~25,000 neurons we assign a 650 putative olfactory layer (Figure Figure 2). We find that for layers 1-3. information is mostly propa-651 gated forward, for lavers 4-6 there is much intra-laver cross-talk, and from 7 onwards information 652 tends to propagate back to lower layers (Figure *Figure 2*G). In light of this new data, we have also 653 re-evaluated the neurons targeted by recently published lateral horn split-GAL4 lines (Dolan et al., 65/ 2019) (Figure 8-Figure Supplement 2, Figure 8-Figure Supplement 3, Figure 8-Figure Supplement 4, 655

656 Figure 8-Figure Supplement 5).

### **Class-level connection motifs in the olfactory system**

We have found that connectivity with respect to first-order olfactory inputs, the ALRNs, differs de-658 pending on whether the axon enters the antennal lobe from the ipsi – or contralateral side of 650 the brain (*Figure 3*). Although there have been functional indications of asymmetric information 660 processing (Gaudry et al., 2013) no connectomic signature had been observed in adult Drosophila 661 before, while in larva ORNs are unilateral. We identify a general principle that insilateral sensory 662 input has stronger feedforward connections to the ALPNs that convey information to higher cen-663 tres, while contralateral ALRNs are biased to form connections with antennal lobe local neurons. We also show specific connectivity motifs such as the extreme bias for contralateral sensory input 665 of the broadly innervating bilateral il31 N6 neurons, which appear to be the adult analogue of the larval 'Keystone' (*Berck et al. 2016*) ALLNs (Figure Figure 5B E). We see that many sparse ALLNs 667 innervating a small number of glomeruli interact specifically with thermo/hygrosensory circuits: although this is consistent with a model in which these 7 glomeruli form a specialised subsystem. 669 there are local interactions with other glomeruli so they are not completely isolated. Furthermore, 670 some ALLN cell types are segregated into axon and dendrite, which facilitates reciprocal interac-671 tions between, for example, the 'heating' glomerulus VP2 and the 'cooling' glomerulus VP3. The 672 antennal lobe also receives feedback from superior brain regions and this primarily targets the 673 ALLN network, as opposed to ALPN dendrites or ORN axons (Figure *Figure 5*G). 674 Amongst AI PNs, we see a second general rule: while uPNs mostly receive feedforward input. 675 multiglomerular mPNs get a higher proportion of their input from lateral ALPN-ALPN connections 676 and from ALLNs, meaning that in our analysis many emerge as layer 3 neurons (Figure Figure 2E.F.) 677 The uPNs provide most of the feedforward drive to the third-order olfactory neurons (TOONs). 678 However, they provide decreasing levels of input to TOONs from layer 3 to layer 5. They receive 670 feedback to their axons from largely glutamatergic or GABAergic layer 3 TOONs (cells we once 680 classed as LH local neurons) and LH centrifugal neurons. We expect these connections to inhibit 681

- <sup>682</sup> uPN axons. The mPNs can short-circuit this progression, and provide roughly consistent amounts <sup>683</sup> of input to all groups of TOONs, both at their dendrite and axons. Comparison with our recent
- of input to all groups of TOONs, both at their dendrite and axons. Comparison with our recent work reveals that we had previously thought of laver 3 TOONs as 'local' neurons and laver 4+ LHNs
- as 'output' neurons (Figure *Figure 8*E). As olfactory information filters through to layer 5+ TOONs,

- stronger connections are made to 'outputs' of the olfactory system, including dopaminergic neu-
- rons that can inform memory and descending neurons that contact premotor circuits (Figure Fig-
- 688 ure 10-Figure Supplement 1).

These output neurons can get strong but sparse input from a diversity of MBONs to their ax-689 ons, acting as 'convergence level 1' (CN1) neurons that re-connect the non-MB and MB arms of the olfactory system (Figure Figure 13A-F). This MBON innervation is biased towards TOONs that 691 receive input from certain ALPN groups, including those that encode food-like odours (Figure *Fig*ure 13G). Neurons downstream of TOONs can also receive MBON input: these are 'convergence 693 level 2' (CN2) neurons. There are more CN2 neurons downstream of known appetitive TOONs 694 than aversive ones (Figure Figure 13-Figure Supplement 1F). In general, CN2 neurons tend to get 605 inhibitory inputs from naively aversive MBONs and TOONs, and excitatory input from naively ap-696 petitive MBONs and TOONs (Figure 13-Figure Supplement 3). Analogous innate-learned integra-607 tion has been studied in the larva, also in connectome-informed experimentation (Eschbach et al., 600 2020). The authors investigated a CN2 cell type and found it to be excited by appetitive LHNs and 699 MBONs and inhibited by aversive MBONs. Naive MBON activity is likely to be relatively stereotyped 700 between animals (*Mittal et al., 2020*). The hypothesis is that in naive animals, opposing MBON 701 drive balances to produce a stereotyped 'innate' outcome: learning then shifts this balance to bias 702 behaviour. 703

### 704 Between-animal stereotypy in olfactory system neurons

One of the most pressing questions for the field now is how stereotyped the fly brain actually is.
 This is critical for interpreting connectomes, but also a fundamental issue of biology across species
 all the way to mammals. We do not expect two fly connectomes to be exactly the same. However
 there is a palpable expectation that one would identify the same strong partners for a neuron of
 experimental interest or reveal a shared architecture of some circuit because many small cell types
 are faithfully reproduced between animals (*Bates et al., 2019*).
 Here, we have found that all ALPN cell types from a complete survey in FAFB could be found in

the hemibrain, with small variations in cell number that complete survey in PAPB could be round in Figure 6-Figure Supplement 1A). More variation occurs in the number of larval-born secondary neurons than the primary neurons born in the embryo. There are several possible reasons for these differences, including the fact that in the larva, each of 21 olfactory glomeruli is defined by a single ORN and ALPN. Since missing one neuron would therefore eliminate a whole olfactory channel, there might be a strong drive to ensure numerical consistency.

Assessing cell type stereotypy of mPNs and ALLNs between hemibrain and FAFB is somewhat compromised by truncation of glomeruli in the hemibrain data set. However, examining morphologically far more diverse LHNs, we could find the same cell types across 10 hemilineages in similar numbers (Figure *Figure 12–Figure Supplement 1*).

Because LHNs also have reasonably stereotyped dendritic projections (Dolan et al., 2019). func-722 tional connections from ALPNs (Jeanne et al., 2018) and responses to odorants (Frechter et al., 723 2019), it is likely that ALPN-I HN contacts have intrinsic relevance to the animal. Conversely, ol-724 factory ALPN-KC contacts have minimal intrinsic meaning and exhibit near-random wiring (*Eichler* 725 et al., 2017: Zheng et al., 2020: Caron et al., 2013) although connection biases may enable asso-726 ciative memory to focus on certain parts of olfactory space (Zheng et al., 2020). ALPN connectivity 727 onto third-order neurons in the 'non-MB' path through the olfactory system appears to be reason-728 ably stereotyped, as suggested by the strong morphological stereotypy among these higher-order 720 neurons (Figure *Figure 12*). Structural connectivity from the hemibrain does not necessarily cap-730 ture functional connections assaved by physiology. Encouragingly, however, recent work with a 731 retrograde genetic system for finding neurons that input onto genetically targetable cells found 732 6/7 glomerular connections to LHPD2a1/b1 neurons of above 10 synapses in FAFB, and 8/9 for 733 LHAV1a1 (Cachero et al., 2020). 734

#### Conclusion 735

Our study (together with the work of *Li et al. (2020*) on the mushroom body) provides an anno-736 tated guide to the complete olfactory system of the adult fly. We believe that it will be invaluable 737 in driving future work in this important model system for development, information processing 738 and behaviour. Our microcircuit analysis already raised specific hypotheses about brain functions 730 including stereo processing of odours, higher order feedback controlling sensory processing and 740 the logic of integration downstream of the two main higher olfactory centres. 741 The tools and analytic strategies that we have developed should enable many future analyses 742 of the hemibrain dataset as well as in progress and planned datasets for the male and female cen-743 tral pervous system. For example the layer analysis could usefully be carried out across sensory 744 modalities to quantify multisensory integration. They also provide a quantitative basis for com-745 parative connectomics studies across datasets, for which we provide initial comparisons at two 746 different levels of the olfactory system. Finally, these strategies and the circuit principles that they 747 uncover provide a platform for connectomics approaches to larger brains that will surely follow 748 (Abbott et al., 2020). 749

#### Acknowledgments 750

This work was supported by a Wellcome Trust Collaborative Award (203261/Z/16/Z) to G.S.X.E.L. 751 and G.M.R.; an FRC Consolidator grant (649111) and core support from the MRC (MC-U105188491) 752 to G.S.X.E.I.: NIH BRAIN Initiative grant 1RF1MH120679-01 to Davi Bock and G.S.X.E.I.: a Boehringer 753 Ingelheim Fonds PhD Fellowship and a Herchel Smith Studentship to A.S.B.: NIH R01DC008174 754 (to Rachel Wilson) and an F31 fellowship (DC016196) to A.B.-M: and by the Howard Hughes Med-755 ical Institute. We thank the ElvEM team and their collaborators for pre-publication access to the 756 hemibrain data set and reconstructions. Development and administration of the FAFB tracing envi-75 ronment, analysis tools and G.S.X.E.I. T.S. and P.S. were funded in part by NIH BRAIN Initiative grant 1RE1MH120679-01 to Davi Bock and G S X E L, with software development effort and administra-759 tive support provided by Tom Kazimiers (Kazmos GmbH) and Fric Perlman (Yikes LLC). We are also 760 grateful to the Seung and Murthy labs for access to the flywire ai reconstruction community. We thank Kei Ito. Masavoshi Ito and Shin-ya Takemura for the examination and discussion of neuron 762 types and names in the antennal lobe and lateral horn, and Rachel Wilson for those in the antennal 763 lobe. We also thank Romain Franconville for his contributions to our R package *neuprintr*. We thank 76/ Karen Menuz, Darva Task, Veit Grabe, Chris Potter and Silke Sachse for discussions on posterior 765 antennal lobe glomeruli and receptor neuron identity. Finally, we thank Ligun Luo, Kei Ito, Rachel 766 Wilson, Thomas Riemensperger and Andrew Lin for comments on the manuscript. 767 **Methods** 

### 768

#### Data and tool availability 769

Hemibrain version 1.1 and 1.2 data is available via neuPrint (https://neuprint.janelja.org/) (Clements 770 et al., 2020: Scheffer et al., 2020). New FAFB tracing data presented in this study will be made 771 available through the public CATMAID instance hosted by Virtual Fly Brain (https://fafb.catmaid 772 virtualflybrain.org/) upon publication. Previously published FAFB data is already available on the 773 site. 774

Analyses were performed in R and in Python using open source packages. As part of this paper 775 we have developed various new packages to fetch, process and analyse hemibrain data and inte-776 grated them with existing neuroanatomy libraries (Bates et al., 2020a), Table 1 gives an overview 777 of the main software resources used. The packages used for specific analyses will be identified in 778 each section of our methods. 779

Where appropriate, we have added short tutorials to the documentation of above packages 780 demonstrating some of the analyses performed in this paper. We also provide example code snip-781 pets directly related to the analyses in this paper at https://github.com/flyconnectome/2020hemibrain 782

28 of 49

	Language	Name	Github repository	Description
by the authors	R	neuprintr	natverse/neuprintr	Query data from neuPrint
	R	hemibrainr	natverse/hemibrainr	Analyse hemibrain data and metadata
	R	catmaid	natverse/rcatmaid	Query CATMAID data (e.g. for FAFB)
	R	nat.jrcbrain	snatverse/nat.jrcbrains	Map between brain templates (inc hemibrain & FAFB)
	R	nat.nblast	natverse/nat.nblast	Morphological comparison
	Python	navis	schlegelp/navis	Query and process neuron data
	Python	navis- flybrains	schlegelp/navis-flybrains	Map between brain templates (inc hemibrain & FAFB)
	Python	pymaid	schlegelp/pymaid	Query CATMAID data (e.g. for FAFB)
	Python	fafbseg	flyconnectome/fafbseg-py	Work with autosegmented FAFB data (e.g. FlyWire)
third party	Python	neuprint- python	connectome- neuprint/neuprint-python	Query data from neuPrint, developed by Stuart Berg (Janelia Research Campus)

Table 1. R and Python packages used and developed in this study.

### 783 examples.

### 784 Neuronal reconstructions in the hemibrain data set

The hemibrain connectome (Scheffer et al., 2020) has been largely automatically reconstructed us-785 ing flood-filling networks (*Januszewski et al.*, 2018) from data acquired by focused ion-beam milling 786 scanning EM (FIB-SEM) (Knott et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2017), followed by manual proofreading. Pre 787 - (T-bars) and postsynapses were identified completely automatically. Significantly, the dense la-788 belling allows estimating completion status as fraction of postsynapses successfully mapped to 789 a neuron. For this first iteration of the hemibrain data set, the completion rate varies between 790 85% and 16% across neuropils. Notably, the lateral horn currently has one of the lowest comple-791 tion rates with only  $\sim 18\%$  of postsynapses connected mapped to a neuron. We have therefore 792 employed focused semi-manual review of identified neurons in the hemibrain for higher-fidelity 793 connectivity comparison (no manual assessment of synapses). The data can be accessed via the 794 neuPrint connectome analysis service (https://neuprint.janelia.org/) (Clements et al., 2020). We built 795 additional software tools to pull, process and analyse these data for R (as part of the natverse 796 ecosystem) (Bates et al., 2020a) and Python (see table above). Neurons can be read from neuPrint 797 and processed (e.g. split into axon and dendrite) with the package hemibrainr using the function 798 hemibrain\_read\_neurons. 799

### **Neuronal reconstructions in the FAFB data set**

Unlike the hemibrain, the FAFB image volume comprises an entire female fly brain (*Zheng et al.*, **2018**). Two public segmentations of FAFB exist from Google (*Li et al.*, **2019**) and the Seung lab (https://flywire.ai/) (*Dorkenwald et al.*, **2020**). However, unlike for the hemibrain data set, these segmentations have not yet been proof-read by humans (at least not at scale). To date, most of the neuronal reconstruction in FAFB has been manual, using CATMAID (*Saalfeld et al.*, **2009**; *Schneider-Mizell et al.*, **2016**). We estimate that ~7% of the brain's total neuronal cable, and <1%

- of its connectivity, has been reconstructed in FAFB by a consortium of 27 laboratories worldwide
- using CATMAID. For data presented in this work, we have combined coarse morphologies extracted
- and proof-read from the FlyWire and Google segmentation with detailed manual reconstructions
- and synapse annotation. We have built software tools to pull, process and analyse these data from
- CATMAID and FlyWire in R (part of the *natverse* ecosystem) and Python.

### 812 Processing of neuron skeletons and synapse data

Raw skeleton and predicted synapse information from the hemibrain project may have a number 813 of associated issues. Synapses, for example, are sometimes assigned to a neuron's soma or cell 814 body fibre: these are incorrect automatic synapse detections. Autapses are often seen, but the 815 majority of these cases are false-positives (the neuPrint web interface filters those by default). A 816 single neuron may also have multiple skeletons associated with it that need to be connected. In 817 addition, these skeletons are typically not rooted to their base – i.e. the soma if available or, in 818 case of truncated neurons without a soma, the severed cell body fibre. A correctly rooted skeleton 819 is important for some forms of analysis, including axon-dendrite splitting (Schneider-Mizell et al., 820 2016). 821

We wrote custom code to deal with these issues, as well as split neurons into their axon 822 and dendrite. The correct root of a neuron was identified using an interactive pipeline and 823 expert review (hemibrain somas). We re-rooted all neurons in the data set (hemibrain reroot). 824 removed incorrect synapses at somata, along cell body fibres and along primary dendrites 825 (hemibrain remove bad synapses), healed split skeletons, employed a graph-theoretic algorithm 826 to split neurons into axon and dendrites (hemibrain flow centrality) and implemented in-827 teractive pipelines for users to correct erroneous splits and soma placements. This has en-828 abled us to build putative connectivity edge lists including neuron compartment information 829 (hemibrain extract synapses). We have made our code and manipulated data available in our 830 R package hemibrainr. 831

### 832 Matching neurons between data sets

Hemibrain neurons were matched to those from FAFB, as well as light level reconstructions (e.g.
hemilineage models, see *Wong et al.* (2013); *Lovick et al.* (2013), stochastic labelling data (*Dolan et al.*, 2019) and images of neuron clones (*Yu et al.*, 2013; *Ito et al.*, 2013) by bridging these data
into the same brain space (*Bogovic et al.*, 2020; *Bates et al.*, 2020a) and then using NBLAST (*Costa et al.*, 2016) to calculate neuron-neuron morphology similarity scores.

Neurons were bridged using the R *nat.ircbrains* package (https://github.com/natverse/ 838 natircbrains) and nat.templatebrains::xform brain function or the Python package navis 839 (navis, xform, brain) in combination with *navis-flybrains* (https://github.com/schlegelp/navis-flybrains). 840 both of which wrap light-EM bridging registrations reported in *Bogovic et al. (2020*). Prior to 841 NBI AST (using *nat.nblast* or *navis*). FM skeletons were scaled to units of microns, arbour was re-842 sampled to  $1\mu m$  step size and then converted to vector cloud dotprops format with k=5 neigh-843 bours. To ensure that skeletons from the two EM data sets could be fairly compared, we per-844 formed certain post-processing steps such as pruning away terminal twigs of less than  $2 - 5\mu m$ 045 (nat::prune\_twigs/navis.prune\_twigs) or restricting the arbour for all neurons to the hemibrain 846 volume (hemibrainr::hemibrain\_cut) (even if tracing existed outside of this volume for FAFB neu-847 rons). 848

For TOON matching, human experts then visually compared potential matches (with function hemibrain\_matching) and qualitatively assessed them as 'good', a near-exact match between the two data sets; 'medium', match definitely represents neurons of the same cell type; and 'poor', neurons are probably the same cell type but under-tracing, registration issues or biological variability made the expert uncertain. We have made our matching pipeline code and matches available in our R package hemibrainr. Matches are available in the package hemibrain\_matches.

### **Neurotransmitter assignment**

- $_{\tt 856}$   $\,$  We know the transmitter expression of a few hundred olfactory system neurons based mainly
- on immunohistochemistry results from the literature (*Tanaka et al., 2012b*; *Wilson and Laurent*,
- 2005; Liang et al., 2013; Lai et al., 2008; Dolan et al., 2019; Aso et al., 2014a; Okada et al., 2009;
   Tanaka et al., 2012a). To guess at the transmitter expression of related neurons, we hypothesised
- that if brain neurons share a hemilineage they will share their fast-acting transmitter expression.
- as has been seen in the adult ventral nerve cord (*Lacin et al.*, 2019). If neuron 1 belongs to the
- same hemilineage as neuron 2, for which there is data to suggest its neurotransmitter expression.
- neuron 1 is assumed to express the same neurotransmitter.

### 864 Antennal lobe glomeruli

- The antennal lobe is composed of 58 neuropils called glomeruli. Each glomerulus is a region where a specific type of olfactory or thermo/hygrosensory receptor neurons (ALRNs) synapses onto local and projection neurons. ALLNs and ALPNs. respectively. There are 7 identified
- thermo/hygrosensory glomeruli: VP1d, VP1l, VP1m (*Marin et al., 2020*), VP2, VP3 (*Stocker et al.,*
- <sup>869</sup> **1990**), VP4 (*Silbering et al., 2011; Frank et al., 2017; Knecht et al., 2017*) and 51 olfactory glomeruli (*Bates et al., 2020a*)
- Truncated glomeruli
- Based on a qualitative assessment, a number of glomeruli (DA4I, DA4m, DM5, VA2, VC5, VM1, VM2,
- <sup>873</sup> VM3) are substantially (>25%) and 11 (D. DA2, DA3, VA1d, VA6, VA7m, VM6, VM4, VM5d, VM5v,
- VM7d) are partially (<25%) truncated in the hemibrain. The truncation is due to the proximity of
- these glomeruli to the 'hot-knife' sections and to the boundary line in the imaging sample (medial
- and anterior antennal lobe regions).

### 877 Renaming posterior AL glomeruli

- Our glomerular identification in *Bates et al.* (2020b) was principally based on previously reported
- projection neurons (ALPNs) associated with a single glomerulus (i.e. "uniglomerular PNs"). Using
- PNs provides more points of reference (position of dendrites, lineage, axonal projections) than the
- relative positions of sensory receptor neuron (ALRN) axon terminals. While this approach works
- <sup>882</sup> for most glomeruli, some of the posterior glomeruli have had conflicting reports in the literature:
- VC3 has been treated as a single glomerulus (e.g. *Yu et al.* (2010)) as well as two separate glomeruli, VC3m and VC3I (e.g. *Tanaka et al.* (2012a); *Laissue et al.* (1999))
- the VM6 PN has been referred to as VC5 (*Tanaka et al., 2012a*) and VM6+VP1 (*Yu et al., 2010*)

In collaboration with Karen Menuz (University of Connecticut), Darya Task and Chris Potter (John
 Hopkins University), Veit Grabe and Silke Sachse (Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology), we
 now consolidate these accounts with extant literature on sensory receptors (see also below table).
 As a result of this, three glomeruli were renamed compared with hemibrain v1.1/v1.2 and *Bates et al.* (2020b):

- VC3I  $\rightarrow$  VC3
- VC3m → VC5
- VC5  $\rightarrow$  VM6

ALRNs of the VM6 glomerulus further split into three distinct subpopulations – VM6v, VM6m and VM6l – with different receptors and origins ((*Task et al., 2020*)) (*Figure 3–Figure Supplement 2B*). This is likely part of the reason for confusion in the past. Because these subpopulations appear to be indiscernible from the perspective of the downstream network (*Figure 3–Figure Supplement 2*B,C), we decided to refer to the PNs that cover the combination of VM6v, VM6m and VM6l as "VM6" uPNs. Following this reasoning, we still refer to the antennal lobe as containing 58 glomeruli. Please see *Table 2* for a summary and supporting references.

glomerulus	hemibrain v1.1+1.2	Bates et al. (2020)	Tanaka et al. (2012	Yu et al. (2010)	receptor	supporting references
VC5	VC3m	VC3m	VC3m	-	lr41a	Silbering et al. (2011); Task et al. (2020); Hussain et al. (2016); Min et al. (2013); Chai et al. (2019)
VC3	VC3I	VC3I	VC3I	VC3	Or35a	Couto et al. (2005); Grabe et al. (2016); Silbering et al. (2011); Task et al. (2020); Min et al. (2013)
VM6 (v+m+l)	VC5	VC5	VC5	VM6+VP1	Rh50/Amt	Endo et al. (2007); Li et al. (2016); Chai et al. (2019); Vulpe et al. (2021); Task et al. (2020)

**Table 2.** Names of posterior glomeruli across datasets and publications, and supporting reference for names used in this study.

These corrections affect names ("instances") and types of ALRNs and ALPNs. Changes will be merged into the hemibrain with the release of version 1.3. All neurons can still be unambiguously identified and tracked across versions of the dataset via their body IDs.

MAL glomeruli meshes

The boundary between glomeruli can be defined either using presynapses of ALRNs or the corresponding postsynapses of uniglomerular ALPNs (uPNs). Hence we generated both ALRN - and ALPN-based glomeruli meshes. These are available in the package hemibrainr as hemibrain\_al.surf and in the supplemental data.

In brief, we used the location of synapses (either dendritic postsynapses of identified uPNs or axonal presynapses of ALRNs) to produce a Gaussian kernel density estimate (KDE) for each 910 glomerulus. We then divided the entire AL into isotropic 480nm voxels and used the KDEs' point 911 density functions (pdf) to assign each voxel to its most likely glomerulus. Voxels with a below-912 threshold probability to belong to any glomerulus (e.g. tracts) were discarded. The voxel data 913 was postprocessed (binary erosion, fill holes) before being converted to meshes using a marching 914 cubes algorithm. All above steps were performed in Python using scipy (https://www.scipy.org) and 915 scikit-learn (https://scikit-learn.org). Sample code can be found at https://github.com/flyconnectome/ 916 2020hemibrain examples. Finally, the meshes were inspected and manually fixed if required using 917 Blender3d (https://www.blender.org). For the ALPN-based glomeruli meshes, we used the lo-918 cation of dendritic postsynapses of all the uniglomerular projection neurons (uPNs) - except for 919 glomeruli VP3, VP5, VP1d, VP1l which do not have clear-cut uPNs and where we used the presv-920 naptic locations of corresponding ALRNs. For the ALRN-based meshes we used locations of ALRN 921 presynapses. Here, VM2 was excluded because of too few RNs identified for this glomerulus. Also 922 note that for the ALRN-based meshes we used the VM6 ORN subtypes to generate separate meshes 923

for VM6v, VM6m and VM6l.

### 925 Cell type annotation

- Annotations are available via neuPrint and as part of our R package hemibrainr. These are available
- <sup>927</sup> in the package hemibrainr with the function hemibrain\_get\_meta.

### 928 Antennal lobe receptor neurons

929 Antennal lobe receptor neurons (ALRNs, 2643) were identified by morphology and by connectivity

to projection neurons. Types were named by the glomerulus they innervate. Soma side was as-

signed to each ALRN from non-truncated glomeruli whenever possible, based on visual inspection

of the path of the neurite towards the nerve entry point.

The number of ALRNs in the 39 whole glomeruli is 1680. For 8 types (DC3, VA1v, VA3, VA4, VA5, VA5, VA7I, VC2, VC4), although the glomeruli are whole, the majority of ALRNs are fragmented.

preventing the assignment of a soma side. For VM6 ALRNs, the glomeruli truncation prevented us

from assigning every VM6 ALRN to one of the 3 populations (12 unassigned). For that reason, in certain instances, we still refer to VM6 ALRNs as one group.

Particularly in truncated glomeruli and glomeruli with fragmented ALRNs, there are many smaller, and fragmented bodies for which it is not possible to say if they represent a unique ALRN, or if they will merge to another body. Although we have tried to identify these fragments we cannot be sure that the total number of ALRN bodies is an accurate representation of the number of ALRNs.

In addition to the 2644 ALRNs that we were able to classify, there were 10 that presented issues. Two could be identified as ALRNs but their glomerular arborisation was missing, therefore a type could not be assigned (ids 2197880387, 1852093746, not listed in Supplementary File). Three typed ORNs were excluded because they were pending fixes that altered their connectivity (ids 1951059936, 2071974816, 5812995304). We also found 5 outlier ORNs with axon terminals not confined to one glomerulus (either 2 glomeruli in one hemisphere, different glomeruli between

hemispheres or innervating the antennal lobe hub (ids 1760080402, 1855835989, 2229278366, 2041285497, 5813071357).

To assess potential subdivisions of ALRN populations within each glomerulus (*Figure 3–Figure Supplement 2*), we used a modified version of the synapse-based morphological clustering in *Schlegel et al. (2016*) coined syNBLAST (implemented in our Python library navis).

### Antennal lobe local neurons

Candidate neurons (4973) were first identified as any neuron that had at least 5% of its pre – or

post-synapses in the AL. From these we excluded the already typed ALPNs (338) and ALRNs (2653),

resulting in a candidate list (307) of antennal lobe local neurons (ALLNs). Among these only 197

could be typed in accordance with their lineage, morphology and connectivity. The remaining 110

ALLNs are too fragmented to classify and were not used further. Only the ALLNs from the right

hemisphere (196) were included in the analysis.

Lineages were identified on the basis of soma and cell body fibre location, partially shared with ALPNs. Next, major groups were assigned in accordance with the previously described neurite morphologies (*Chou et al., 2010*). Due to truncated glomeruli in the data set, we decided to not distinguish between ALLNs innervating all but a few glomeruli vs most glomeruli; thus both groups are classified as broad ALLNs. The 74 cell types were assigned based on the major morphology class, presence/absence of a bilateral projection, glomerular innervation patterns and neurite density. The ALLN types were named by concatenating lineage, ID number/capital letter combination

and a small letter, in case of strong connectivity differences. The first 6 ID numbers match the

previously identified ALLN types in Tanaka et al. 2012, and the following are newly identified types,

in decreasing order of arbour size.

- 971 Antennal lobe projection neurons
- 972 Uniglomerular ALPNs (uPNs) were identified by morphology and classified according to our re-
- <sup>973</sup> cent complete inventory from the FAFB data set by matching neurons with the help of NBLAST
- (Bates et al., 2020b). Multiglomerular ALPNs (mPNs) not been comprehensively typed in past
- studies. Therefore, mPNs types for hemibrain v1.1/v1.2 were determined in coordination with
- <sup>976</sup> Kei Ito, Masayoshi Ito and Shin-ya Takemura using a combination of morphological and connectiv-
- ity clustering. These v1.1/v1.2 mPN types were deliberately very fine-grained to facilitate potential
- changes (e.g. merges) future releases. See also the paragraph on ALPN analyses below.

### 979 Non-MB olfactory third-order neurons

- 980 Non-MB olfactory third-order olfactory neurons (TOONs) were defined as neurons downstream
- of ALPN axons outside of the MB calyx. They must receive 1% of their synaptic input (or else 10
- <sup>982</sup> connections) from an olfactory ALPN, or otherwise 10% of their input (or else 100 connections) from
- any combination of olfactory ALPNs. This search yields 2383 identifiable, and mainly complete,
- neuron morphologies. TOONs comprise a range of neuron classes, including a small number of second and third-order neurons of the gustatory, mechanosensory and visual systems, as well as
- second and third-order neurons of the gustatory, mechanosensory and visual systems, as well as dopaminergic neurons of the mushroom body, descending neurons to the ventral nervous system
- 986 dopaminergic neurons of the mushroom body, descending neurons to the ventral nervous sy and most prominently neurons of the lateral horn

### 🐝 Lateral horn neurons

Lateral horn neurons (LHNs) were defined as a subset of TOONs that have at least 10 pre – or postsynapses in the LH volume (as defined in the hemibrain). We named these cells by extending the HN naming scheme from Frechter et al. (2019), except for cell types with more prominent names already in use in the literature. Neurons were first divided into their hemilineages, indicated by the 992 path of their cell body fibres, e.g. DPI m2 (Lovick et al., 2013). Hemilineage matches were made to 993 both FAFB and light-level data in order to verify their composition. To simplify the naming of neu-994 rons, hemilineages and primary neurons (those cells born in the embryo, which do not fasciculate 005 strongly with secondary hemilineages in the adult brain) were grouped into similar-looking groups. 996 e.g. PV5 (posterior-ventral to the LH, 5). Next, neurons within each hemilineage were grouped into 997 coarse morphological sets, termed 'anatomy groups', e.g. PV5a, Within each anatomy group, LHNs 998 were broken into morphological cell types using NBLAST, followed by manual curation, e.g. PV5a1. 000 Partial reconstructions in FAFB, concatenated using automatically reconstructed neuron fragments 1000 (Li et al., 2019) were used to help resolve edge cases, i.e. by examining which morphological vari-1001 ations appeared consistent between data sets. Neurons were further subdivided into connectivity 1002 types (i.e. 'cell type letter') using CBLAST (*Scheffer et al., 2020*), e.g. LHPV5a1 a. With so many new 1003 types being added, our expansion of the Frechter et al. (2019) LH naming system incurred some 1004 changes. We have tried to keep names used in main sequence figures in our previous publications 1005 (Dolan et al., 2019: Frechter et al., 2019: Bates et al., 2020b) but some have changed as, for ex-1006 ample, the hemibrain data has revealed that neurons originate from a different hemilineage or 1007 neurons we had once considered to be of the same cell type have different connectivity profiles 1008 Code for these analyses can be found in our R data package, Ihns and hemibrainr. 1009

# 1010 Descending neurons

The hemibrain v1 1/v1 2 data set includes cell type information for 109 descending neurons (DNs) 1011 (Namiki et al., 2018), 88 with somata on the right hand side of the brain. Given that the hemibrain 1012 volume does not include the neck connective, ambiguous or previously unknown DNs are difficult 1013 to identify. We sought to identify as many DNs as possible without explicitly defining the cell types 1014 (many of which are not previously reported in the literature). We used several data sources to help 1015 identify DNs including manual and automated tracing in FAFB (Zheng et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019) and 1016 the neuronbridge search tool (https://neuronbridge.janelia.org/, https://github.com/JaneliaSciComp/ 1017 neuronbridge, (Meissner et al., 2020: Otsung et al., 2018), also see our R package neuronbridger). 1018

The single most comprehensive source of information is the recent FlyWire segmentation of the FAFB volume (https://flywire.ai/) (*Dorkenwald et al., 2020*) where we reconstructed neurons that descend from the brain through the neck connective. These FAFB DNs were cross-matched against all hemibrain neurons using NBLAST and subsequent manual curation. This enabled us to identify an additional 236 hemibrain hemibrain neurons as DNs (see Supplementary Files). A detailed cell typing of these DNs based on combining both data sets will be presented in a future manuscript.

### 1025 Graph traversal model

To sort hemibrain neurons into layers with respect to the olfactory system we employ a simple 1026 probabilistic graph traversal model. The model starts with a given pool of neurons - receptor 1027 neurons (ALRNs) in our case – as seeds. It then pulls in neurons directly downstream of those 1028 neurons already in the pool. This process is repeated until all neurons in the graph have been 1029 "traversed" and we keep track of at which step each neuron was visited. Here, the probability of a 1030 not-vet-traversed neuron to be added to the pool depends on the fraction of the inputs it receives 1031 from neurons already in the pool. We use a linear function to determine the probability  $P_{i}$  of a 1032 traversal from neuron *i* to *j*: 1033

$$P_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{w_{ij}}{(\sum_k w_{kj}) * 0.3} & \text{if } P_{ij} \le 1\\ 1 & \text{if } P_{ij} > 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $w_{ij}$  is the number of synaptic connections from *i* to *j*. In simple terms: if the connection from neuron *i* makes up 30% or more of neuron *j*'s inputs, there is a 100% chance of it being traversed. Each connection from a neuron already in the pool to a neuron outside the pool has an independent chance to be traversed. The threshold of 30% was determined empirically such that known neuron classes like ALLNs and ALPNs are assigned to the intuitively "correct" layer.

The graph traversal was repeated 10,000 times for the global models (*Figure 2* and *Figure 2*-*Figure Supplement 1*) and 5,000 per type for the by-RN-type analysis (*Figure 14*). Layers were then produced from the mean across all runs. The code for the traversal model is part of *navis* (https: //github.com/schlegelp/navis).

To generate the graph, we used all hemibrain v1.2 neurons with either a type annotation or status label "Traced" or "Roughly traced". We then took the edges between those neurons and removed (a) single-synapse connections to reduce noise and (b) connections between Kenyon cells which are considered false positives (*Li et al., 2020*). This produced a graph encompassing 12.6M chemical synapses across 1.7M edges between 24.6k neurons. Outputs of the model as used in this paper are available in the package hemibrain as hemibrain\_olfactory\_layers.

### <sup>1049</sup> Class-compartment separation score

This score is inspired by the synapse segregation index used in (*Schneider-Mizell et al., 2016*). ALPN innervation of a dendrite is first normalised by the total amount of innervation by ALPNs (*d.pn*) and MBONs (*d.mbon*):

$$d.total = d.mbon + d.pn$$

D = d.pn/d.total

A dendrite segregation index is then calculated as:

1053

$$d.si = -(D * log_{10}(D) - (1 - D) * log_{10}(1 - D))$$

Where D is the proportion of dendritic innervation by ALPNs, divided by the total dendritic innervation by MBONs and ALPNs. The axon segregation index (*a.si*) is calculated for the axon of the same neuron. Then the entropy is taken as:

$$e = (1/(d.total + a.total) * ((a.si * a.total) + (d.si * d.total))$$

$$PN = (d.pn + a.pn)/(d.total + a.total)$$

$$c = -(PN * log_{10}(PN) + (1 - PN))$$

segregation.score = 
$$1 - (e/c)$$

### 1061 Antennal lobe receptor neuron analyses

1058

ALRN analysis included only those ALRNs for which a glomerular type has been assigned and it excluded glomeruli that are truncated (see 'Antennal lobe glomeruli'). Additionally, any analysis that relied on soma side excluded the 8 types that have whole glomeruli but have truncated ALRNs (DC3, VA1v, VA3, VA4, VA5, VA7I, VC2, VC4). Only bilateral ORNs were used for laterality comparisons, as only 1 of 7 TRN/HRN types is bilateral.

In connectivity plots, the category 'other' includes any neuron that has been identified, but is
 not an ALRN, ALPN or ALLN. 'Unknown' refers to un-annotated bodies; this might include potential
 ALRN fragments that cannot be identified.

<sup>1070</sup> ALRN presynaptic density was calculated using skeletons and presynapses subsetted to the <sup>1071</sup> relevant ALRN-based glomerulus mesh.

### 1072 Antennal lobe projection neuron analyses

<sup>1073</sup> Across-dataset morphological clustering

For clustering ALPNs across data sets (hemibrain vs FAFB right vs FAFB left) we first transformed 1074 their skeletons from their respective template brains to the IRC2018F space. FAFB left ALPNs were 1075 additionally mirrored to the right (Bogovic et al., 2020; Bates et al., 2020a). We then used NBLAST to 1076 produce morphological similarity scores between ALPNs of the same (hemi-)lineage (Costa et al., 1077 2016) For NBLASTS between hemibrain and FAFB ALPNs the FAFB ALPNs were first pruned to 1078 the hemibrain volume such that they were similarly truncated. The pairwise NBI AST scores were 1079 generated from the minimum between the forward (query  $\rightarrow$  target) and reverse (query  $\leftarrow$  target) 1080 scores. 1081

Next, we used the NBI AST scores to – for each ALPN – find the best matches among the ALPNs 1082 in the other two data sets. Conceptually, unique ALPNs should exhibit a clear 1:1:1 matching where 1083 the best across-dataset match is always reciprocal. For ALPN types with multiple representatives 1084 we expect that individuals can not be tracked across dataset because matches are not necessarily 108 reciprocal. We used a graph representation of this network of top matches to produce clusters (Figure 6-Figure Supplement 1B). These initial clusters still contained incorrect merges due to a 1087 small number of "pathological" ALPNs (e.g. from developmental aberrations) which introduce in-1088 correct edges to the graph. To compensate for such cases, we used all pairwise scores (not just the 1089 top NBLAST scores) to refine the clusters by finding the minimal cut(s) required to break clusters 1090 such that the worst within-cluster score was  $\geq 0.4$  (*Figure 6-Figure Supplement 1*C). This value 1091 was determined empirically using the known uPN types as landmarks. Without additional manual 1092 intervention, this approach correctly reproduced all "canonical" (i.e. repeatedly described across 1093 multiple studies) uPN types. We note though that in some cases this unsupervised clustering still 1094 requires manual curation. We point out some exemplary cases in Figure 6-Figure Supplement 1F-1095 I. For example, M adPNm4's exhibit features of uniglomerular VC3 adPNs and as a result are in-1096 correctly co-clustered with them. Likewise, a single VC5 lvPN invades the VM4 glomerulus and is 1097 therefore co-clustered with the already rather similar looking VM4 lvPNs. In such cases, connectiv-1098 ity information could potentially be used to inform the refinement of the initial clusters. 1090
# 1100 Connectivity

Analyses of ALPN connectivity excluded glomeruli that are truncated (see 'Antennal lobe glomeruli').
 Additionally, any analysis that relied on ALRN soma side (i.e. ipsilateral ALRNs versus contralateral)
 excluded the 8 glomeruli that are whole but have truncated ALRNs (DC3, VA1v, VA3, VA4, VA5, VA7l,
 VC2, VC4). In connectivity plots, the category 'other' includes any neuron that has been identified,
 but is not an ALRN, ALPN or ALLN. 'Unknown' refers to un-annotated bodies; this might include
 potential RN fragments that cannot be identified.

## 1107 Antennal lobe local neuron analyses

The main theme of the ALLN analysis is to quantify the differences across ALLN types (based on morphology) in innervation (synapses across glomeruli, co-innervation, intra-glomerular morphology) and connectivity motifs. For all of the ALLN analysis, glomerular meshes based on the ALPNbased glomeruli were used.

# <sup>1112</sup> Synaptic distribution across glomeruli

The main goal of this analysis was to understand how synapses are distributed across the glomeruli. 1113 for the ALLN types. First, for each morphological type, we constructed a matrix with columns rep-1114 resenting neurons and rows representing glomeruli. Each element in this matrix has the num-1115 ber of synapses of the specific neuron in the corresponding glomerulus. Synapses per neuron 1116 were fetched using the *neuprint-python* package (Python, https://github.com/connectome-neuprint/ 1117 neuprint-python). Second, for each neuron, glomerular identities were collapsed and sorted by de-1118 scending order. Third, each column (neuron) was normalised from a range of 0 to 1 using the 1119 minmax scaler from the scikit-learn (Python, https://scikit-learn.org/) package. Fourth, the cumula-1120 tive sum per column was computed. The resulting matrix is composed of each column (neuron) 1121 where synaptic score is ordered in a cumulative way. 1122

### 1123 Glomerular co-innervation

The main goal of this analysis was to identify pairs of glomeruli that are strongly co-innervated by 1124 different ALLN types. For defining co-innervation, the number of synapses in the specific glomeruli 1125 from the specific neuron would be used. First, for each morphological type, we constructed a ma-1126 trix where columns represented neurons and rows represented glomeruli. Each element in this 1127 matrix reflected the number of synapses of that neuron in that specific glomerulus. Synapses per 1128 neuron were fetched using the *neuprint-python* package. Second, the possible combinations of 1129 pairs of glomeruli (that are un-cut) was computed:  $39C_2$  or 741 total pairs. Third, for each com-1130 bination pair the synapses that are co-occurring within a neuron were calculated, resulting in a 1131 matrix of dimensions combination pairs (741) by number of neurons of specific ALLN type. Fourth. 1132 co-occurring synapses per pair were summed, resulting in a vector of length combinations. This 1133 represented the ground truth of co-occurring synapses. Fifth, after computing the matrix from step 1134 3, we shuffled every row independently (i.e. choosing a neuron and shuffling across the pairs of 1135 glomeruli). Sixth, we then performed step 4 with this shuffled matrix and repeated steps 5 and 6 for 1136 20k times. This output represented the shuffled synapses. Seventh, for each pair of glomeruli, we 1137 computed the proportion of shuffled synapses (within a specific pair of glomeruli) that are higher 1138 than the ground truth: this conveys the likelihood of the ground truth being non-random and hence 1139 it is the uncorrected p-value. Lastly, we corrected the p-value for multiple comparisons using the 1140 package statsmodels (Python, https://www.statsmodels.org/), using the holm-sidak procedure with a 1141 family wise error rate of 0.05. The pairs with significant p-values following the correction represent 1142 the pairs of glomeruli that are strongly co-innervated by the specific ALLN type. 1143

## 1144 Connectivity

The main goal of this analysis was to identify how different ALLN types are connected to olfactory ALRNs, uPNs, mPNs, and thermo/hygrohygrosensory ALPNs. The input and output synapses between ALLNs and other categories were fetched using the *neuprint-python* package. ALLNs were categorised into a combination of morphological type (sparse, etc) and lineage type (v, etc).

### 1149 Intra-glomerular morphology

The main goal of this analysis was to identify how intra-glomerular innervation patterns vary across 1150 different ALLN types. First, taking each whole glomerulus in turn, we pruned the arbors for each 1151 ALLN within that glomerulus using the navis package (Python, https://github.com/schlegelp/navis/). 1152 From the pruned ALLNs we excluded any with less than 80 micrometres of cable length. Second, we 1153 calculated the distance between all pairs of ALLNs within that specific glomerulus. This was done as 1154 follows: first, for each ALLN pair, for each node we took the 5 nearest nodes in the opposite ALLN 1155 using the KDTree from the scipy package (Python, https://www.scipy.org/) and further computed 1156 the mean distance. Second, the same procedure was then repeated for all nodes on both sets of 1157 ALLNs, producing mean distances per node per ALLN, Lastly, we collapsed the ids of the neurons 1158 and computed the mean of the top 10% (largest) of the mean distances. This was considered to 1159 be the mean intraglomerular distance between the ALLNs for that specific glomerulus. 1160

### 1161 Input-Output segregation

The main goals of this analysis were 1) to identify how different ALLN morphological classes vary 1162 in the amount of synaptic input and output across different glomeruli and 2) to compare the same 1163 with uPNs and ALRNs. First, for each type, we constructed a presynaptic matrix where columns rep-1164 resented neurons and rows represented glomeruli. Each element in this matrix reflected the num-1165 ber of presynaptic connectors of that neuron in that specific glomerulus. Connectors per neuron 1166 were fetched using the *neuprint-python* package. Similarly, we constructed a postsynaptic matrix. 1167 where each element reflected the number of postsynapses of that neuron in that specific glomeru-1168 lus. Second, we performed postprocessing on both the presynaptic and postsynaptic matrix. For 1169 each neuron, we ranked glomeruli in descending order by synapse number and then removed 1170 those glomeruli accounting for the bottom 5% of the synapses. Third, we computed the difference 1171 (Input-Output segregation) by subtracting presynaptic connectors from the postsynapses per neu-1172 ron. Here we ignored glomeruli where both presynaptic connectors and postsynapses are zero. 1173 Fourth, we collapsed the glomerular identities and sorted all neurons by the difference (Input-1174 Output segregation). Finally, we computed the mean across the neurons. We gave positive ranks 1175 to values above 0 (more input) and negative ranks to values below 0 (more output). 1176

Clustering of ALLNs by the ratio of their axonal output or dendritic input per glomerulus 1177 The main goal of this analysis (Figure 4-Figure Supplement 1G) was to identify how different ALLN 1178 types are polarised across different glomeruli (axon-dendrite split developed using the algorithm 1179 from Schneider-Mizell et al. (2016)). First, we selected only those ALLNS (76) that have a axo-1180 dendritic segregation index of >0.1, i.e. they are polarised. Second, for each ALLN we computed 1181 the axon and dendritic compartment using the flow-centrality algorithm developed in Schneider-1182 Mizell et al. (2016) Third for each glomerulus and for each ALLN we computed the fraction of 1183 dendritic inputs (input synapses located in the dendritic compartment inside the specific glomeru-1184 lus) to the total dendritic inputs (input synapses located in the dendritic compartment across all 1185 glomeruli) and fraction of axonic outputs (output synapses located in the axonic compartment in-1186 side the specific glomerulus) to the total axonic outputs (output synapses located in the axonic 1187 compartment across all glomeruli). Fourth, we computed a score defined by the fraction of axonic 1188 output – the fraction of dendritic input. The higher the score, the greater the ALLN's bias for axon-1180 ically outputting in a glomerulus, over receiving dendritic input. Fifth, we computed the mean of 1190 these scores for different ALLN types across the different glomeruli. Finally, we applied the cluster-1191 ing algorithm (using hierarchical clustering based on Ward's distance using functions from base R) 1192 to these scores. 1103

- 1194 Supplemental data
- <sup>1195</sup> We have made our code, with examples, and detailed data available in our R package hemibrainr.
- Here we provide core data. Please see *Table 3* for a description of the meta data contained in the
- 1197 supplemental files.

# 1198 Supplemental file 1

Layers assigned by the probabilistic graph traversal model. **bodyId** refers to neurons' unique ID in neuPrint. layer mean contains the mean layer after 10,000 iterations of the main model (*Figure 2*).

- neuPrint. layer\_mean contains the mean layer after 10,000 iterations of the main model (*Figure 2*).
- ORNs and THN/HRNs, respectively (*Figure 2–Figure Supplement 2*).
- 1203 S1\_hemibrain\_neuron\_layers.csv

## 1204 Supplemental file 2

Sensory meta-information related to each glomerulus. Columns: glomerulus (canonical name for 1205 one of the 51 olfactory + 7 thermo/hygrosensory antennal lobe glomeruli). laterality (whether the 1206 glomerulus receives bilateral or only unilateral innervation from ALRNs). expected cit (a citation 1207 that describes the expected number of RNs in this glomerulus), expected RN female 1h (number 1208 of expected RNs in one hemisphere), expected RN female SD (standard deviation in the expected 1209 number of RNs), missing (qualitative assessment of glomeruli truncation), RN frag (if the RNs in 1210 that glomerulus are fragmented), receptor (the OR or IR expressed by cognate ALRNs, (Bates et al., 1211 2020b: Task et al., 2020)), odour scenes (the general 'odour scene(s)' which this glomerulus may 1212 help signal, (Mansourian and Stensmyr, 2015; Bates et al., 2020b)), key ligand (the ligand that 1213 excites the cognate ALRN or receptor the most, based on pooled data from multiple studies. *Münch* 1214 and Galizia (2016)), valence (the presumed valence of this odour channel, Badel et al. (2016)), Exists 1215 as hemibrain glomeruli summary in our R package hemibrainr. 1216

1217 S2\_hemibrain\_olfactory\_information.csv

## 1218 Supplemental file 3

File listing all identified antennal lobe receptor neurons (ALRNs) in the hemibrain, including information shown in neuPrint. See above for column explanations. Exists as rn.info in our R package hemibrainr.

1222 S3\_hemibrain\_ALRN\_meta.csv

### 1223 Supplemental file 4

All the hemibrain neurons we have classed as antennal lobe local neurons (ALLNs). See above for column explanations. Exists as alln.info in our R package hemibrainr.

1226 S4\_hemibrain\_ALLN\_meta.csv

## 1227 Supplemental file 5

All the hemibrain neurons we have classed as antennal lobe projection neurons (ALPNs). See above for column explanations. In addition, across dataset cluster refers to the clustering with left and

right FAFB PNs; is\_canonical indicates whether that ALPN is one of the well studied "canonical"

uPNs. Exists as pn.info in our R package hemibrainr.

1232 S5\_hemibrain\_ALPN\_meta.csv

#### Supplemental file 6 1233

All the hemibrain neurons we have classed as third-order olfactory neurons (TOONs) including lat-1234 eral horn neurons (LHNs), as well as wedge projection neurons (WEDPNs), lateral horn centrifugal 1235

neurons (LHCENT) and other projection neuron classes (Figure 1). See above for column explana-1236 tions. Exists as ton.info in our R package hemibrainr. 1237

S6 hemibrain TOON meta.csv 1238

### **Supplemental file 7** 1239

All the hemibrain neurons we have classed as neurons that descend to the ventral nervous system 1240

(DNs). See above for column explanations. Exists as dn.info in our R package hemibrainr. 1241

S8\_hemibrain\_DN\_meta.csv 1242

#### Supplemental file 8 1243

The root point in hemibrain voxel space, for each hemibrain neuron. This is either the location of 1244 the soma, or the tip of a severed cell body fibre tract, where possible. Exists as hemibrain somas 1245

in our R package hemibrainr. 1246

S8\_hemibrain\_root\_points.csv 1247

### **Supplemental file 9** 1248

The start points for different neuron compartments. Nodes downstream of this position in 1249 the 3D structure of the neuron indicated with bodyid, belong to the compartment type des-1250 ignated by Label. A product of running flow centrality on hemibrain neurons, exists as 1251 hemibrain\_splitpoints in our R package hemibrainr. 1252

S9 hemibrain compartment startpoints.csv 1253

#### Supplemental file 10 1254

1256

3D triangle mesh for the hemibrain surface as a .obj file. This mesh was generated by first merging 1255 individual ROI meshes from neuPrint and then filling the gaps in between in a semi-manual process.

It also exists as hemibrain.surf in our R package hemibrainr. 1257

S10\_hemibrain\_raw.obj 1258

#### Supplemental file 11 1259

3D meshes of 51 olfactory + 7 thermo/hygrosensory antennal lobe glomeruli for the hemibrain 1260 volume, generated from ALRN presynapses. These meshes follow the subdivision of VM6 and 1261 hence contain 60 meshes in total. 1262

Note that hemibrain coordinate system has the anterior-posterior axis aligned with the Y axis 1263 (rather than the Z axis, which is more commonly observed). 1264

S11\_hemibrain\_AL\_glomeruli\_meshes\_RN-based.zip 1265

### Supplemental file 12 1266

3D meshes of 51 olfactory + 7 thermo/hygrosensory antennal lobe glomeruli for the hemibrain 1267 volume, generated from ALPN postsynapses. 1268

Note that hemibrain coordinate system has the anterior-posterior axis aligned with the Y axis 1269 (rather than the Z axis, which is more commonly observed). 1270

These meshes are also available as hemibrain al.surf in our R package hemibrainr. 1271

1272 S12\_hemibrain\_AL\_glomeruli\_meshes\_PN-based.zip

1273 **References** 

Abbott LF, Bock DD, Callaway EM, Denk W, Dulac C, Fairhall AL, Fiete I, Harris KM, Helmstaedter M, Jain V, Kasthuri N, LeCun Y, Lichtman JW, Littlewood PB, Luo L, Maunsell JHR, Reid RC, Rosen BR, Rubin GM, Sejnowski

1276 TJ, et al. The Mind of a Mouse. Cell. 2020 9; 182(6):1372–1376. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.08.010.

Agarwal G, Isacoff E. Specializations of a pheromonal glomerulus in the Drosophila olfactory system. J Neurophysiol. 2011 4; 105:1711–21. doi: 10.1152/jn.00591.2010.

Amin H, Lin AC. Neuronal mechanisms underlying innate and learned olfactory processing in Drosophila. Curr
 Opin Insect Sci. 2019 6; 36:9–17. doi: 10.1016/j.cois.2019.06.003.

Aso Y, Hattori D, Yu Y, M JR, Iyer NA, Ngo TTB, Heather D, Abbott LF, Axel R, Tanimoto H, Rubin GM. The neuronal architecture of the mushroom body provides a logic for associative learning. eLife. 2014 12; 3:e04577. doi: 10.7554/eLife.04577.

Aso Y, Sitaraman D, Ichinose T, Kaun KR, Vogt K, Belliart-Guérin G, Plaçais PY, Robie AA, Nobuhiro Y, Schnaitmann C, Rowell WJ, Johnston RM, Ngo TTB, Chen N, Wyatt K, Nitabach MN, Heberlein U, Thomas P, Branson KM, Tanimoto H, et al. Mushroom body output neurons encode valence and guide memory-based action

selection in Drosophila. eLife. 2014 12; 3:e04580. doi: 10.7554/eLife.04580.

Badel L, Ohta K, Tsuchimoto Y, Kazama H. Decoding of Context-Dependent Olfactory Behavior in Drosophila.
 Neuron. 2016 7; 91:155–67. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2016.05.022.

Bates AS, Janssens J, SXE JG, Aerts S. Neuronal cell types in the fly: single-cell anatomy meets single-cell genomics. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2019 6; 56:125–134. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2018.12.012.

**Bates A**, Manton J, Jagannathan S, Costa M, Schlegel P, Rohlfing T, Jefferis G. The natverse, a versatile toolbox for combining and analysing neuroanatomical data. eLife. 2020 4; 9:e53350. doi: 10.7554/eLife.53350.

Bates A, Schlegel P, Roberts R, Drummond N, Tamimi I, Turnbull R, Zhao X, Marin E, Popovici P, Dhawan S,
 Jamasb A, Javier A, Serratosa CL, Li F, Rubin G, Waddell S, Bock D, Costa M, Jefferis G. Complete Connectomic
 Reconstruction of Olfactory Projection Neurons in the Fly Brain. Curr Biol. 2020 8; 30:3183–3199.e6. doi:
 10.1016/j.cub.2020.06.042.

Berck ME, Khandelwal A, Claus L, Hernandez-Nunez L, Si G, Tabone CJ, Li F, Truman JW, Fetter RD, Matthieu L,
 Samuel ADT, Cardona A. The wiring diagram of a glomerular olfactory system. eLife. 2016 5; 5:e14859. doi:
 10.7554/eLife.14859.

Berni J, Pulver SR, Griffith LC, Bate M. Autonomous Circuitry for Substrate Exploration in Freely Moving
 Drosophila Larvae. Curr Biol. 2012 10; 22(20):1861–1870. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.07.048.

Bogovic JA, Otsuna H, Heinrich L, Ito M, Jeter J, Meissner G, Nern A, Colonell J, Malkesman O, Ito K, Saalfeld S. An
 unbiased template of the Drosophila brain and ventral nerve cord. PLOS ONE. 2020 Dec; 15(12):e0236495.
 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0236495.

Boto T, Stahl A, Zhang X, Louis T, Tomchik S. Independent Contributions of Discrete Dopaminergic Circuits
 to Cellular Plasticity, Memory Strength, and Valence in Drosophila. Cell Rep. 2019 5; 27:2014–2021.e2. doi:
 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.04.069.

Bräcker LB, Siju KP, Varela N, Aso Y, Zhang M, Hein I, Vasconcelos ML, Kadow ICG. Essential Role of the Mush room Body in Context-Dependent CO2 Avoidance in Drosophila. Curr Biol. 2013 7; 23(13):1228–1234. doi:
 10.1016/j.cub.2013.05.029.

Budelli G, Ni L, Berciu C, van GL, Knecht Z, Chang E, Kaminski B, Silbering A, Samuel A, Klein M, Benton R, Nicastro D, Garrity P. Ionotropic Receptors Specify the Morphogenesis of Phasic Sensors Controlling Rapid
 Thermal Preference in Drosophila. Neuron. 2019 2; 101:738–747.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2018.12.022.

Cachero S, Gkantia M, Bates AS, Frechter S, Blackie L, McCarthy A, Sutcliffe B, Strano A, Aso Y, Jefferis GSXE. BAc Trace, a tool for retrograde tracing of neuronal circuits in Drosophila. Nat Methods. 2020 Nov; 17(12):1254–
 1261. doi: 10.1038/s41592-020-00989-1.

Caron SJC, Ruta V, Abbott LF, Richard A. Random convergence of olfactory inputs in the Drosophila mushroom
 body. Nature. 2013 5; 497(7447):113–117. doi: 10.1038/nature12063.

**Chai PC**, Cruchet S, Wigger L, Benton R. Sensory neuron lineage mapping and manipulation in the Drosophila
 olfactory system. Nat Commun. 2019 2; 10(1):643. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-08345-4.

- 1322 Chiang AS, Lin CY, Chuang CC, Chang HM, Hsieh CH, Yeh CW, Shih CT, Wu JJ, Wang GT, Yung-Chang C, Wu
- CC, Chen GY, Ching YT, Lee PC, Lin CY, Lin HH, Chia-Chou W, Hsu HW, Huang YA, Chen JY, et al. Three dimensional reconstruction of brain-wide wiring networks in Drosophila at single-cell resolution. Curr Biol.
   2011 1; 21(1):1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2010.11.056.
- **Chou YH**, Spletter ML, Yaksi E, S LJC, Wilson RI, Luo L. Diversity and wiring variability of olfactory local interneuros in the Drosophila antennal lobe. Nat Neurosci. 2010 4; 13(4):439–449. doi: 10.1038/nn.2489.

Clements J, Dolafi T, Umayam L, Neubarth NL, Berg S, Scheffer LK, Plaza SM. neuPrint: Analysis Tools for EM
 Connectomics. bioRxiv. 2020 1; doi: 10.1101/2020.01.16.909465.

Coates K, Calle-Schuler S, Helmick L, Knotts V, Martik B, Salman F, Warner L, Valla S, Bock D, Dacks A. The Wiring
 Logic of an Identified Serotonergic Neuron That Spans Sensory Networks. J Neurosci. 2020 8; 40:6309–6327.
 doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0552-20.2020.

Costa M, Manton J, Ostrovsky A, Prohaska S, Jefferis G. NBLAST: Rapid, Sensitive Comparison of Neuronal Structure and Construction of Neuron Family Databases. Neuron. 2016 7; 91:293–311. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.012.

Couto A, Alenius M, Dickson BJ. Molecular, anatomical, and functional organization of the Drosophila olfactory
 system. Curr Biol. 2005 9; 15(17):1535–1547. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2005.07.034.

Dolan MJ, Belliart-Guérin G, Shakeel BA, Frechter S, Aurélie LSA, Aso Y, Roberts RJV, Schlegel P, Wong A, Hammad
 A, Davi B, Rubin GM, Preat T, Pierre-Yves P, Jefferis GSXE. Communication from Learned to Innate Olfactory
 Processing Centers Is Required for Memory Retrieval in Drosophila. Neuron. 2018 9; 100(3):651–668.e8. doi:
 10.1016/i.neuron.2018.08.037.

Dolan MJ, Frechter S, Bates AS, Dan C, Huoviala P, Jv RR, Schlegel P, Dhawan S, Tabano R, Dionne H, Christoforou
 C, Close K, Sutcliffe B, Giuliani B, Li F, Costa M, Ihrke G, Meissner GW, Bock DD, Aso Y, et al. Neurogenetic dis section of the Drosophila lateral horn reveals major outputs, diverse behavioural functions, and interactions

with the mushroom body. eLife. 2019 5; 8:e43079. doi: 10.7554/eLife.43079.

Dorkenwald S, McKellar C, Macrina T, Kemnitz N, Lee K, Lu R, Wu J, Popovych S, Mitchell E, Nehoran B, Jia Z,
 Bae JA, Mu S, Ih D, Castro M, Ogedengbe O, Halageri A, Ashwood Z, Zung J, Brittain D, et al. FlyWire: Online
 community for whole-brain connectomics. bioRxiv. 2020 8; doi: 10.1101/2020.08.30.274225.

Dubnau J, Grady L, Kitamoto T, Tully T. Disruption of neurotransmission in Drosophila mushroom body blocks
 retrieval but not acquisition of memory. Nature. 2001 5; 411(6836):476–480. doi: 10.1038/35078077.

1351 Dweck HKM, Ebrahim SAM, Thoma M, Mohamed AAM, Keesey IW, Trona F, Lavista-Llanos S, Svatoš A, Sachse

S, Knaden M, Hansson BS. Pheromones mediating copulation and attraction in Drosophila. Proceedings of

the National Academy of Sciences. 2015 May; 112(21):E2829–E2835. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1504527112.

Ebrahim SAM, Dweck HKM, Stökl J, Hofferberth JE, Trona F, Weniger K, Rybak J, Seki Y, Stensmyr MC, Sachse
 S, Hansson BS, Knaden M. Drosophila Avoids Parasitoids by Sensing Their Semiochemicals via a Dedicated
 Olfactory Circuit. PLoS Biol. 2015 12: 13(12):e1002318. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002318.

**Eckstein N**, Bates AS, Du M, Hartenstein V, Jefferis GSXE, Funke J. Neurotransmitter Classification from Electron Microscopy Images at Synaptic Sites in Drosophila. bioRxiv. 2020 6; doi: 10.1101/2020.06.12.148775.

Egeth M. Behavioral Responses to Light by Headless Anesthetized Drosophila Melanogaster. Perception. 2011
 1; 40(2):247–248. doi: 10.1068/p6850.

1361 Eichler K, Li F, Litwin-Kumar A, Youngser P, Andrade I, Schneider-Mizell CM, Saumweber T, Huser A, Eschbach

C, Gerber B, Fetter RD, Truman JW, Priebe CE, Abbott LF, Thum AS, Marta Z, Cardona A. The complete connectome of a learning and memory centre in an insect brain. Nature. 2017 8; 548(7666):175–182. doi: 10.1038/nature23455.

**Endo K**, Aoki T, Yoda Y, Kimura KI, Hama C. Notch signal organizes the Drosophila olfactory circuitry by diversifying the sensory neuronal lineages. Nat Neurosci. 2007 2; 10(2):153–160. doi: 10.1038/nn1832.

**Eschbach C**, Fushiki A, Winding M, Afonso B, Andrade IV, Cocanougher BT, Eichler K, Gepner R, Si G, Valdes-Aleman J, Gershow M, Jefferis GS, Truman JW, Fetter RD, Samuel A, Cardona A, Zlatic M, Circuits for integrating

learnt and innate valences in the fly brain. bioRxiv. 2020 4; doi: doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.23.058339.

- 1370 Felsenberg J, Jacob PF, Walker T, Barnstedt O, Edmondson-Stait AJ, W PM, Otto N, Schlegel P, Sharifi N, Perisse E,
- 1371 Smith CS, Lauritzen JS, Costa M, Jefferis GSXE, Bock DD, Waddell S. Integration of Parallel Opposing Memories
- Underlies Memory Extinction. Cell. 2018 10; 175(3):709–722.e15. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.08.021.
- Fişek M, Wilson RI. Stereotyped connectivity and computations in higher-order olfactory neurons. Nat Neurosci.
   2014 2; 17(2):280–288. doi: 10.1038/nn.3613.
- **Fishilevich E**, Vosshall LB. Genetic and functional subdivision of the Drosophila antennal lobe. Curr Biol. 2005 9; 15(17):1548–1553. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2005.07.066.
- Frank DD, Enjin A, Jouandet GC, Zaharieva EE, Para A, Stensmyr MC, Gallio M. Early Integration of Temperature and Humidity Stimuli in the Drosophila Brain. Curr Biol. 2017 8; 27(15):2381–2388.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.06.077.
- **Frechter S**, Bates AS, Tootoonian S, Dolan MJ, Manton J, Jamasb AR, Kohl J, Bock D, Jefferis G. Functional and anatomical specificity in a higher olfactory centre. eLife. 2019 5; 8:e44590. doi: 10.7554/eLife.44590.
- Gallio M, Ofstad T, Macpherson L, Wang J, Zuker C. The coding of temperature in the Drosophila brain. Cell.
   2011 2; 144:614–24. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.01.028.
- **Gaudry Q**, Hong E, Kain J, de BB, Wilson R. Asymmetric neurotransmitter release enables rapid odour lateralization in Drosophila. Nature. 2013 1; 493:424–428. doi: 10.1038/nature11747.
- Grabe V, Baschwitz A, Dweck HKM, Lavista-Llanos S, Hansson BS, Sachse S. Elucidating the Neuronal Archi tecture of Olfactory Glomeruli in the Drosophila Antennal Lobe. Cell Rep. 2016 9; 16(12):3401–3413. doi:
   10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.063.
- **Grabe V**, Sachse S. Fundamental principles of the olfactory code. Biosystems. 2018 2; 164:94–101. doi: 10.1016/j.biosystems.2017.10.010.
- Groschner LN, Miesenböck G. Mechanisms of Sensory Discrimination: Insights from Drosophila Olfaction.
   Annu Rev Biophys. 2019 5; 48:209–229. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biophys-052118-115655.
- Hampel S, McKellar C, Simpson J, Seeds A. Simultaneous activation of parallel sensory pathways promotes a
   grooming sequence in Drosophila. eLife. 2017 9; 6:e28804. doi: 10.7554/eLife.28804.
- Hampel S, Eichler K, Yamada D, Bock DD, Kamikouchi A, Seeds AM. Distinct subpopulations of mechanosen sory chordotonal organ neurons elicit grooming of the fruit fly antennae. eLife. 2020 10; 9:e59976. doi:
   10.7554/elife.59976.
- 1398 Heimbeck G, Bugnon V, Gendre N, Keller A, Stocker RF. A central neural circuit for experience-independent ol-
- factory and courtship behavior in Drosophila melanogaster. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001 12; 98(26):15336– 15341. doi: 10.1073/pnas.011314898.
- Heisenberg M. Mushroom body memoir: from maps to models. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2003 4; 4:266–75. doi:
   10.1038/nrn1074.
- Horne J, Langille C, McLin S, Wiederman M, Lu Z, Xu C, Plaza S, Scheffer L, Hess H, Meinertzhagen I. A resource
- for the Drosophila antennal lobe provided by the connectome of glomerulus VA1v. eLife. 2018 11; 7:e37550.
   doi: 10.7554/eLife.37550.
- Hsu C, Bhandawat V. Organization of descending neurons in Drosophila melanogaster. Sci Rep. 2016 2; 6:20259.
   toi: 10.1038/srep20259.
- Hulse BK, Haberkern H, Franconville R, Turner-Evans DB, Takemura S, Wolff T, Noorman M, Dreher M, Dan
   C, Parekh R, Hermundstad AM, Rubin GM, Jayaraman V. A connectome of the Drosophila central complex
   reveals network motifs suitable for flexible navigation and context-dependent action selection. bioRxiv. 2020
- 1411 12; doi: 10.1101/2020.12.08.413955.
- Huoviala P, Dolan MJ, Love FM, Frechter S, Roberts RJV, Mitrevica Z, Schlegel P, Bates AS, Yoshinori A, Rodrigues T, Cornwall H, Marcus S, Bock D, Rubin GM, Costa M, Gregory S X. Neural circuit basis of aversive odour processing in Drosophila from sensory input to descending output. bioRxiv. 2018 8; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/394403.
- Hussain A, Zhang M, Üçpunar HK, Svensson T, Quillery E, Gompel N, Ignell R, Grunwald Kadow IC. Ionotropic
   Chemosensory Receptors Mediate the Taste and Smell of Polyamines. PLoS Biol. 2016 5; 14(5):e1002454.
   doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002454.

Hückesfeld S, Schoofs A, Schlegel P, Miroschnikow A, Pankratz M. Localization of Motor Neurons and Central 1419 Pattern Generators for Motor Patterns Underlying Feeding Behavior in Drosophila Larvae. PLoS One. 2015; 1420 10:e0135011. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0135011.

1421

Ito M, Masuda N, Shinomiya K, Endo K, Ito K. Systematic analysis of neural projections reveals clonal composi-1422 tion of the Drosophila brain. Curr Biol. 2013 4: 23(8):644–655. doi: 10.1016/i.cub.2013.03.015. 1423

Januszewski M, Kornfeld J, Li P, Pope A, Blakely T, Lindsey L, Maitin-Shepard J, Tyka M, Denk W, Jain V, High-1424 precision automated reconstruction of neurons with flood-filling networks. Nat Methods, 2018 8: 15:605–610. 1425 doi: 10.1038/s41592-018-0049-4. 1426

- Jeanne J, Fişek M, Wilson R. The Organization of Projections from Olfactory Glomeruli onto Higher-Order Neu-1427 rons. Neuron. 2018 6: 98:1198-1213.e6. doi: 10.1016/i.neuron.2018.05.011. 1428
- lefferis GSXE, Potter CI, Chan AM, Marin EC, Rohlfing T, Maurer CR, Luo L, Comprehensive Maps of Drosophila Higher Olfactory Centers: Spatially Segregated Fruit and Pheromone Representation. Cell. 2007 1430 3: 128(6):1187–1203. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.01.040. 1431
- Kadow ICG. State-dependent plasticity of inpate behavior in fruit flies. Curr Opin Neurob. 2019 2: 54:60–65. 1432 doi: 10.1016/i.conb.2018.08.014. 1433
- Knecht Z. Silbering A. Cruz I. Yang L. Croset V. Benton R. Garrity P. Jonotropic Receptor-dependent moist and 1434 dry cells control hygrosensation in Drosophila, eLife, 2017 6; 6:e26654, doi: 10.7554/eLife.26654, 1435
- Knott G. Marchman H. Wall D. Lich B. Serial section scanning electron microscopy of adult brain tissue using 1436 focused ion beam milling. I Neurosci, 2008 3; 28:2959-64, doi: 10.1523/INEUROSCI.3189-07.2008. 1437
- Kohl I. Ostrovsky AD. Frechter S. lefferis GSXE. A Bidirectional Circuit Switch Reroutes Pheromone Signals in 1438 Male and Female Brains. Cell. 2013 12: 155(7):160–23. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.11.025. 1439
- Krashes M. Keene A. Leung B. Armstrong I. Waddell S. Sequential use of mushroom body neuron subsets during 1440 Drosophila odor memory processing, Neuron, 2007 1: 53:103–15, doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2006.11.021. 1441
- Kurtovic A. Widmer A. Dickson BI. A single class of olfactory neurons mediates behavioural responses to a 1442 Drosophila sex pheromone, Nature, 2007 Mar; 446(7135):542–546, doi: 10.1038/nature05672. 1443
- Lacin H, Chen H, Long X, Singer R, Lee T, Truman J. Neurotransmitter identity is acquired in a lineage-restricted 1444 manner in the Drosophila CNS. eLife. 2019 3: 8:e43701. doi: 10.7554/eLife.43701. 1445
- Lai SL, Awasaki T, Ito K, Lee T, Clonal analysis of Drosophila antennal lobe neurons: diverse neuronal architec-1446 tures in the lateral neuroblast lineage. Development. 2008 9; 135(17):2883-2893. doi: 10.1242/dev.024380. 1447
- Laissue PP. Reiter C. Hiesinger PR. Halter S. Fischbach KF. Stocker RF. Three-dimensional reconstruction of the 1448 antennal lobe in Drosophila melanogaster. | Comp Neurol. 1999 3; 405(4):543-552. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1096-1449 9861(19990322)405:4\%3C543::AID-CNE7\%3E3.0.CO:2-A 1450
- Lemon RN. Descending Pathways in Motor Control, Annu Rev Neurosci, 2008 7: 31(1):195–218. doi: 10.1146/an-1451 nurev.neuro.31.060407.125547. 1452
- Li F. Lindsey J. Marin E. Otto N. Dreher M. Dempsey G. Stark J. Bates A. Pleiizier M. Schlegel P. Nern A. Take-1453 mura S. Eckstein N. Yang T. Francis A. Braun A. Parekh R. Costa M. Scheffer L. Aso Y. et al. The connectome 1454 of the adult Drosophila mushroom body provides insights into function. eLife, 2020 12: 9:e62576, doi: 1455 10.7554/eLife.62576. 1456
- Li PH, Lindsey LF, Januszewski M, Zheng Z, Bates AS, Taisz J, Tyka M, Nichols M, Li F, Perlman E, Maitin-Shepard J, 1457
- Blakely T, Leavitt L, lefferis GSXE, Bock D, Jain V, Automated Reconstruction of a Serial-Section EM Drosophila 1458 Brain with Flood-Filling Networks and Local Realignment, bioRxiv, 2019 4: doi: 10.1101/605634.
- 1459
- Li O, Barish S, Okuwa S, Maciejewski A, Brandt AT, Reinhold D, Jones CD, Volkan PC. A Functionally Con-1460 served Gene Regulatory Network Module Governing Olfactory Neuron Diversity. PLOS Genetics. 2016 1: 1461 12(1):e1005780. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005780. 1462
- Liang L, Li Y, Potter C, Yizhar O, Deisseroth K, Tsien R, Luo L. GABAergic projection neurons route selective olfac-1463 tory inputs to specific higher-order neurons. Neuron. 2013 9; 79:917-31. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.06.014. 1464
- Lovick J. Ngo K. Omoto J. Wong D. Nguyen J. Hartenstein V. Postembryonic lineages of the Drosophila 1465 brain: I. Development of the lineage-associated fiber tracts. Dev Biol. 2013 12: 384:228-57. doi: 1466 10.1016/j.ydbio.2013.07.008. 1467

- Mansourian S, Stensmyr MC. The chemical ecology of the fly. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2015 10; 34:95–102. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2015.02.006.
- Marin EC, Büld L, Theiss M, Sarkissian T, Roberts RJV, Turnbull R, Tamimi IFM, Pleijzier MW, Laursen WJ, Drummond N, Schlegel P, Bates AS, Li F, Landgraf M, Costa M, Bock DD, Garrity PA, Jefferis GSXE. Connectomics analvsis reveals first, second, and third order thermosensory and hygrosensory neurons in the adult Drosophila
- ysis reveals first, second, and third order thermosensory and hygrosensory neu
   brain, Curr Biol. 2020; 30(16):3167–3182.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2020.06.028.

McGuire SE, Le PT, Davis RL. The role of Drosophila mushroom body signaling in olfactory memory. Science.
 2001 8: 293(5533):1330–1333. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008963.

Meissner GW, Dorman Z, Nern A, Forster K, Gibney T, Jeter J, Johnson L, He Y, Lee K, Melton B, Yarbrough B,
 Clements J, Goina C, Otsuna H, Rokicki K, Svirskas RR, Aso Y, Card GM, Dickson BJ, Ehrhardt E, et al. An image
 resource of subdivided Drosophila GAL4-driver expression patterns for neuron-level searches. bioRxiv. 2020

1479 5; doi: 10.1101/2020.05.29.080473.

Min S, Ai M, Shin Sa, Suh GSB. Dedicated olfactory neurons mediating attraction behavior to ammonia and
 amines in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013; 110(14):E1321–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1215680110.

Miroschnikow A, Schlegel P, Schoofs A, Hueckesfeld S, Li F, Schneider-Mizell CM, Fetter RD, Truman JW, Car dona A, Pankratz MJ. Convergence of monosynaptic and polysynaptic sensory paths onto common motor
 outputs in a Drosophila feeding connectome. eLife. 2018 12; 7:e40247. doi: 10.7554/elife.40247.

**Mittal A**, Gupta D, Singh A, Lin A, Gupta N. Multiple network properties overcome random connectivity to enable stereotypic sensory responses. Nat Commun. 2020 2; 11:1023. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-14836-6.

**Mohamed AAM**, Retzke T, Das Chakraborty S, Fabian B, Hansson BS, Knaden M, Sachse S. Odor mixtures of opposing valence unveil inter-glomerular crosstalk in the Drosophila antennal lobe. Nat Commun. 2019 3;

1489 10(1):1201. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09069-1.

Münch D, Galizia C. DoOR 2.0–Comprehensive Mapping of Drosophila melanogaster Odorant Responses. Sci Rep. 2016 2; 6:21841. doi: 10.1038/srep21841.

**Namiki S**, Dickinson MH, Wong AM, Korff W, Card GM. The functional organization of descending sensorymotor pathways in Drosophila. eLife. 2018 6; 7:e34272. doi: 10.7554/elife.34272.

Nern A, Pfeiffer B, Rubin G. Optimized tools for multicolor stochastic labeling reveal diverse stereotyped
 cell arrangements in the fly visual system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2015 6; 112:E2967–76. doi:
 10.1073/pnas.1506763112.

Ni L, Bronk P, Chang E, Lowell A, Flam J, Panzano V, Theobald D, Griffith L, Garrity P. A gustatory receptor
 paralogue controls rapid warmth avoidance in Drosophila. Nature. 2013 8; 500:580–4. doi: 10.1038/na ture12390.

Ohyama T, Schneider-Mizell CM, Fetter RD, Aleman JV, Franconville R, Rivera-Alba M, Mensh BD, Branson KM,
 Simpson JH, Truman JW, Cardona A, Zlatic M. A multilevel multimodal circuit enhances action selection in
 Drosophila. Nature. 2015; 520(7549):633–639. doi: 10.1038/nature14297.

**Okada R**, Awasaki T, Ito K. Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-mediated neural connections in the Drosophila antennal lobe. J Comp Neurol. 2009 5; 514:74–91. doi: 10.1002/cne.21971.

**Olsen SR**, Wilson RI. Cracking neural circuits in a tiny brain: new approaches for understanding the neural circuitry of Drosophila. Trends Neurosci. 2008 10; 31(10):512–520. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2008.07.006.

**Otsuna H**, Ito M, Kawase T. Color depth MIP mask search: a new tool to expedite Split-GAL4 creation. bioRxiv. 2018 5; doi: 10.1101/318006.

1509 Otto N, Pleijzier M, Morgan I, Edmondson-Stait A, Heinz K, Stark I, Dempsey G, Ito M, Kapoor I, Hsu J, Schlegel

P, Bates A, Feng L, Costa M, Ito K, Bock D, Rubin G, Jefferis G, Waddell S. Input Connectivity Reveals Additional Heterogeneity of Dopaminergic Reinforcement in Drosophila. Curr Biol. 2020 8; 30:3200–3211.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2020.05.077.

Parnas M, Lin AC, Huetteroth W, Miesenböck G. Odor discrimination in Drosophila: from neural population
 codes to behavior. Neuron. 2013 9; 79(5):932–944. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.08.006.

Root CM, Masuyama K, Green DS, Enell LE, Nässel DR, Lee CH, Wang JW. A Presynaptic Gain Control Mechanism
 Fine-Tunes Olfactory Behavior. Neuron. 2008 7; 59(2):311–321. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2008.07.003.

- **Ryan K**, Lu Z, Meinertzhagen I. The CNS connectome of a tadpole larva of Ciona intestinalis (L.) highlights sidedness in the brain of a chordate sibling. eLife. 2016 12; 5:e16962. doi: 10.7554/eLife.16962.
- **Rybak J**, Talarico G, Ruiz S, Arnold C, Cantera R, Hansson B. Synaptic circuitry of identified neurons in the antennal lobe of Drosophila melanogaster. J Comp Neurol. 2016 6; 524:1920–56. doi: 10.1002/cne.23966.
- **Saalfeld S**, Cardona A, Hartenstein V, Tomancak P. CATMAID: collaborative annotation toolkit for massive amounts of image data. Bioinformatics. 2009 8; 25:1984–6. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp266.

Sayin S, De BJ, Siju K, Wosniack M, Lewis L, Frisch L, Gansen B, Schlegel P, Edmondson-Stait A, Sharifi N, Fisher
 C, Calle-Schuler S, Lauritzen J, Bock D, Costa M, Jefferis G, Gjorgjieva J, Grunwald KI. A Neural Circuit Arbitrates between Persistence and Withdrawal in Hungry Drosophila. Neuron. 2019 11: 104:544–558.e6. doi:

1526 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.07.028.

Scheffer LK, Xu CS, Januszewski M, Lu Z, Takemura Sy, Hayworth KJ, Huang GB, Shinomiya K, Maitlin-Shepard
 J, Berg S, Clements J, Hubbard PM, Katz WT, Umayam L, Zhao T, Ackerman D, Blakely T, Bogovic J, Dolafi T,
 Kainmueller D, et al. A connectome and analysis of the adult *Drosophila* central brain. eLife. 2020 9; p. e57443.

utical doi: 10.7554/eLife.57443.

Schlegel P, Texada MJ, Miroschnikow A, Schoofs A, Hückesfeld S, Marc P, Schneider-Mizell CM, Lacin H, Li F,
 Fetter RD, Truman JW, Cardona A, Pankratz MJ. Synaptic transmission parallels neuromodulation in a central
 food-intake circuit. eLife. 2016 11; 5:e16799. doi: 10.7554/eLife.16799.

Schneider-Mizell CM, Gerhard S, Longair M, Kazimiers T, Li F, Zwart MF, Champion A, Midgley FM, Fetter RD,
 Saalfeld S, Cardona A. Quantitative neuroanatomy for connectomics in Drosophila. eLife. 2016 3; 5:e12059.
 doi: 10.7554/eLife.12059.

Séjourné J, Plaçais PY, Yoshinori A, Siwanowicz I, Trannoy S, Thoma V, Tedjakumala SR, Rubin GM, Tchénio P,
 Ito K, Isabel G, Tanimoto H, Preat T. Mushroom body efferent neurons responsible for aversive olfactory
 memory retrieval in Drosophila. Nat Neurosci. 2011; 14(7):903–910. doi: 10.1038/nn.2846.

Seki Y, Rybak J, Wicher D, Sachse S, Hansson BS. Physiological and Morphological Characterization of
 Local Interneurons in the Drosophila Antennal Lobe. J Neurophys. 2010 8; 104(2):1007–1019. doi:
 10.1152/jn.00249.2010.

 Sen S, Biagini S, Reichert H, VijayRaghavan K. Orthodenticle is required for the development of olfactory projection neurons and local interneurons in Drosophila. Biology Open. 2014 7; 3(8):711–717. doi: 10.1242/bio.20148524.

Shang Y, Claridge-Chang A, Sjulson L, Pypaert M, Miesenböck G. Excitatory Local Circuits and Their
 Implications for Olfactory Processing in the Fly Antennal Lobe. Cell. 2007 2; 128(3):601–612. doi:
 10.1016/j.cell.2006.12.034.

Silbering aF, Rytz R, Grosjean Y, Abuin L, Ramdya P, Jefferis GSXE, Benton R. Complementary Function and Integrated Wiring of the Evolutionarily Distinct Drosophila Olfactory Subsystems. J Neurosci. 2011; 31(38):13357–13375. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2360-11.2011.

Stensmyr MC, Dweck HKM, Farhan A, Ibba I, Strutz A, Mukunda L, Linz J, Grabe V, Steck K, Lavista-Llanos S,
 Wicher D, Sachse S, Knaden M, Becher PG, Seki Y, Hansson BS. A Conserved Dedicated Olfactory Circuit for
 Detecting Harmful Microbes in Drosophila. Cell. 2012 Dec: 151(6):1345–1357. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.09.046.

**Stocker RF**, Lienhard MC, Borst A, Fischbach KF. Neuronal architecture of the antennal lobe in Drosophila melanogaster. Cell Tissue Res. 1990 10; 262(1):9–34. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.063.

Stocker RF. Drosophila as a focus in olfactory research: Mapping of olfactory sensilla by fine structure odor
 specificity, odorant receptor expression, and central connectivity. Microsc Res and Tech. 2001; 55(5):284–296.
 doi: 10.1002/jemt.1178.

Su CY, Menuz K, Carlson JR. Olfactory perception: receptors, cells, and circuits. Cell. 2009 10; 139(1):45–59. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.09.015.

Tanaka N, Endo K, Ito K. Organization of antennal lobe-associated neurons in adult Drosophila melanogaster
 brain. | Comp Neurol. 2012 12; 520:4067–130. doi: 10.1002/cne.23142.

Tanaka NK, Suzuki E, Dye L, Ejima A, Stopfer M. Dye fills reveal additional olfactory tracts in the protocerebrum
 of wild-type Drosophila. J Comp Neurol. 2012 12; 520(18):4131–4140. doi: 10.1002/cne.23149.

- **Task D**, Lin CC, Afify A, Li H, Vulpe A, Menuz K, Potter CJ. Widespread Polymodal Chemosensory Receptor Expression in Drosophila Olfactory Neurons. bioRxiv. 2020; doi: 10.1101/2020.11.07.355651.
- **Tobin WF**, Wilson RI, Lee WCA. Wiring variations that enable and constrain neural computation in a sensory microcircuit. eLife. 2017 5; 6:e24838. doi: 10.7554/eLife.24838.
- **Truman JW**, Bate M. Spatial and temporal patterns of neurogenesis in the central nervous system of Drosophila melanogaster. Dev Biol. 1988; 125(1):145–157. doi: 10.1016/0012-1606(88)90067-x.
- Vosshall LB, Wong AM, Axel R. An olfactory sensory map in the fly brain. Cell. 2000 7; 102(2):147–159. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)00021-0.
- Vosshall LB, Stocker RF. Molecular Architecture of Smell and Taste in Drosophila. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2007 Jul;
   30(1):505–533. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.30.051606.094306.
- Vulpe A, Kim HS, Ballou S, Wu ST, Grabe V, Gonzales CN, Sachse S, Jeanne JM, Su CY, Menuz K. An ammonium transporter is a non-canonical olfactory receptor for ammonia. bioRxiv. 2021 3; doi: 10.1101/2021.03.31.437861.
- **White J**, Southgate E, Thomson J, Brenner S. The structure of the nervous system of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 1986 11; 314:1–340. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1986.0056.
- Wilson RI. Early olfactory processing in Drosophila: mechanisms and principles. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2013;
   36:217–241. doi: 10.1146/annurev-neuro-062111-150533.
- **Wilson R**, Laurent G. Role of GABAergic inhibition in shaping odor-evoked spatiotemporal patterns in the Drosophila antennal lobe. J Neurosci. 2005 10; 25:9069–79. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2070-05.2005.
- Wong DC, Lovick JK, Ngo KT, Borisuthirattana W, Omoto JJ, Hartenstein V. Postembryonic lineages of the Drosophila brain: II. Identification of lineage projection patterns based on MARCM clones. Developmental Biology. 2013 Dec; 384(2):258–289. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2013.07.009.
- Xu C, Hayworth K, Lu Z, Grob P, Hassan A, García-Cerdán J, Niyogi K, Nogales E, Weinberg R, Hess H. Enhanced
   FIB-SEM systems for large-volume 3D imaging. eLife. 2017 5; 6:e25916. doi: 10.7554/eLife.25916.
- Yu D, Ponomarev A, Davis RL. Altered Representation of the Spatial Code for Odors after Olfactory Classical
   Conditioning. Neuron. 2004 5; 42(3):437–449. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(04)00217-x.
- Yu HH, Awasaki T, Schroeder MD, Long F, Yang JS, He Y, Ding P, Kao JC, Wu GYY, Peng H, Myers G, Lee T. Clonal
   Development and Organization of the Adult Drosophila Central Brain. Curr Biol. 2013 4; 23(8):633–643. doi:
   10.1016/j.cub.2013.02.057.
- **Yu HH**, Kao CF, He Y, Ding P, Kao JC, Lee T. A complete developmental sequence of a Drosophila neuronal lineage as revealed by twin-spot MARCM. PLoS Biol. 2010 8; 8(8):e1000461. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000461.
- **Zhao B**, Sun J, Zhang X, Mo H, Niu Y, Li Q, Wang L, Zhong Y. Long-term memory is formed immediately without
   the need for protein synthesis-dependent consolidation in Drosophila. Nat Commun. 2019 10; 10(1):4550.
   doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12436-7.
- **Zheng Z**, Lauritzen JS, Perlman E, Saalfeld S, Fetter RD, D BCD. A Complete Electron Microscopy Volume of the Brain of Adult Drosophila melanogaster. Cell. 2018; 174:1–14. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.019.
- **Zheng Z**, Li F, Fisher C, Ali IJ, Sharifi N, Calle-Schuler S, Hsu J, Masoodpanah N, Kmecova L, Kazimiers T, Perlman E, Nichols M, Li PH, Jain V, Bock DD. Structured sampling of olfactory input by the fly mushroom body. bioRxiv.
- 2020: doi: 10.1101/2020.04.17.047167.

**Table 3.** Description of neuron metadata listed in supplemental files.

bodyid a unique identifier for a single hemibrain neuron	
pre the number of presynapses (outputs) a neuron contains, each of these is polyadic	
post the number of postsynapses (inputs) to the neuron	
upstream the number of incoming connections to a neuron	
downstream the number of outgoing connections from a neuron	
voxels neuron size in voxels	
soma whether the neuron has a soma in the hemibrain volume	
name the name of this neuron, as read from neuPrint	
side which brain hemisphere contains the neuron's soma	
connectivity.type a subset of neurons within a cell type that share similar connectivity, a connectivity type	s dis-
tinguished from a cell type by an ending _letter unless there is only one connectivity type for	r the
cell type, defined using CBLAST (Scheffer et al., 2020)	
cell.type neurons of a shared morphology that take the same cell body fibre tract and come from	n the
same hemilineage (Bates et al., 2019)	
class the greater anatomical group to which a neuron belongs, see Figure 1	
cellBodyFiber the cell body fibre for a neuron, as read from neuPrint (Scheffer et al., 2020)	
ItoLee_Hemilineage the hemilineage that we reckon this cell type belongs to, based on expert review of light	level
data from the K. Ito and T. Lee groups (Yu et al., 2013, Ito et al., 2013)	
Hartenstein_Hemilineage the hemilineage that we reckon this cell type belongs to, based on expert review of light	level
data from the V. Hartenstein group (Wong et al., 2013, Lovick et al., 2013)	
putative.classic.transmitter putative neurotransmitter based on what neurons in the hemilineage in question have	been
shown to express, out of acetylcholine, GABA and/or glutamate	
putative.other.transmitter potential second neurotransmitter	
FAFB.match the ID of the manual match from the FAFB data set, ID indicates a neuron reconstru	ucted
in FAFBv14 CATMAID, many of these neurons will be available through Virtual Fly	Brain,
https://v2.virtualflybrain.org/	
FAFB.match.quality the matcher makers' qualitative assessment of how good this match is: a poor match cou	ld be
a neuron from a very similar cell type or a highly untraced neuron that may be the correc	t cell
type; an okay match should be a neuron that looks to be from the same morphological cel	type
but there may be some discrepancies in its arbour; a good match is a neuron that corresp	onds
well between FAFB and the hemibrain data	
layer probabilistic mean path length to neuron from ALRNs, depends on connection strengths	
layer.ct the mean layer for cell type, rounded to the nearest whole number	
axon.outputs number of outgoing connections from the neuron's predicted axon	
dend.outputs number of outgoing connections from the neuron's predicted dendrite	
axon.inputs number of incoming connections from the neuron's predicted axon	
dend.inputs number of incoming connections from the neuron's predicted dendrite	
total.length total cable length of the neuron in micrometres	
axon.length total axon cable length of the neuron in micrometres	
dend.length total dendrite cable length of the neuron in micrometres	
pd.length total cable length of the primary dendrite 'linker' between axon and dendrite	
segregation_index a quantification of how polarised a neuron is, in terms of its segregation of inputs onto it	s pre-
dicted dendrite and outputs onto its axon, where 0 is no-polarisation and 1 is totally pola	rised
(Schneider-Mizell et al., 2016)	
notes other notes from annotators	



**Figure 2-Figure supplement 1. Graph traversal model extended data. A** Model parameterization: relative positions are stable across parameter space (with the exception of WEDPNs). Grey bar indicates threshold used for final model (0.3). Error bars represent S.E.M. **B** Final threshold was chosen using known neuron classes as landmarks. **C** Mean layer by neuropil. Each neuron is assigned a "primary" neuropil based on where it receives most of its inputs.



**Figure 2-Figure supplement 2. Olfactory vs thermo/hygrosensory layers. A** Separate models with olfactory receptor neurons (ORNs) or thermo/hygro-receptor neurons (TRNs/HRNs) as seeds were run to assign layers with respect to the olfactory or thermo/hygrosensory system. **B**, **C** Comparison of olfactory vs thermo/hygrosensory layer. Early on there are neurons that appear dedicated to either olfactory (yellow circle) or thermo/hygrosensory (blue circle) sensory information. This separation vanishes in higher layers. Error bars in C represent S.E.M. **D** Olfactory vs thermo/hygrosensory layer by neuron class.



**Figure 3-Figure supplement 1. Annotation of ALRN bodies and connectivity features. A** Number of unique bodies classified as ALRNs per type and per soma side. Truncated glomeruli (0, <25%, >25%), fragmented ALRN types in whole glomeruli and unilateral ALRN types are indicated. **B** Relationship between the number of unique ALRN bodies (including fragments) and whole ALRN bodies (excluding fragments). **C** Comparison between the number of observed ALRNs (whole) and the expected number per type, in one hemisphere. In A, B and C VM6 ALRNs are plotted as one population, as not every body could be assigned to one of the 3 subpopulations because of the glomerulus truncation.**D** Presynaptic density for ipsilateral and contralateral ALRNs, per type. Types are ordered by mean ipsilateral density. **E** Laterality index for ORN and ALLN connectivity (ORN output and ORN input): fraction of contralateral ORN connectivity / fraction of ipsilateral ORN connectivity. Each ORN type is coloured by its functional relevance. Mean comparisons made by Wilcoxon two-sample tests. ns: p > 0.05; \*: p <= 0.05; \*\*: p <= 0.01; \*\*\*: p <= 0.001; \*\*\*\*: p <= 0.001



**Figure 3-Figure supplement 2. ALRN clustering and subdivision of the VM6 glomerulus. A** Synapse-based hierarchical clustering (syNBLAST) of all ALLRNs. **B** Zoom-in on VM6 ALRNs captures the partition into 3 sub-populations: VM6v, VM6m and VM6l. Heatmap shows connections of VM6 ALRNs onto uniglomerular VM6 ALPNs. C Clustering of VM6 ALRNs based on their downstream connectivity. Color bar at the bottom correspond to syNBLAST clusters in B. The connectivity-based clustering do not align with the subpopulations which suggests that information from the different types of VM6 ALRNs is co-processed by the downstream networks.



Figure 4-Figure supplement 1. ALLN glomerular innervation patterns. A Sparseness of different ALLNs by morphological lifetime kurtosis class based on glomerular innervation (number of synapses) to calculate the lifetime kurtosis. **B** Example of two patchy ALLNs that are restricted to different areas of the V glomerulus. C Distances between ALLNs of the same morphology within the V glomerulus. **D** Distances between ALLNs of the same morphology in all glomeruli. **E** Inputoutput segregation by ALLN types. For each morphological class input and output synapses per glomerulus are plotted in rank order. The inset shows that regional and sparse ALLNs asymptote faster to 0 compared with broad and patchy ALLNs consistent with the selective nature of their inputs. The green line indicates glomerular rank at which at least two of the ALLN types asymptote to 0. F Some ALLNs are polarised. The segregation index is a measure of how well they can be split into an axon and a dendrite; the higher the score, the more polarised the neuron. Images show splits for exemplary ALLNs across a range of segregation indices. G Heatmap showing, for all ALLN types with a segregation index above 0.1, their glomerular innervation. For each neuron, for each glomerulus, the proportion of dendritic input synapses is subtracted from the proportion of axonic output synapses in that glomerulus. Negative scores indicate dendritic input, positive ones axonic output. H Glomerular co-innervation per morphological class. Glomeruli that are frequently co-innervated are compared to the random distribution of synapses (in red). The blue dotted line represents the 95th percentile of the distribution of shuffled synapses. Co-innervation of significant pairs of glomeruli for sparse (left) and regional (right) ALLNs.



**Figure 5-Figure supplement 1. Antennal lobe local neuron groups.** ALLN types can be grouped into 25 anatomical groups that differ in their lineage, morphology, area of innervation and density of innervation. One neuron is plotted in colour as an example, the remaining are in grey. For groups with more than one type, the type of the coloured neuron is in bold. The group v2LN34A-F, 35 includes regional and sparse types. Note that each of the ILN2T\_d extends several neurites towards the midline. bil = neurons project bilaterally.



**Figure 6-Figure supplement 1. Comparison of ALPNs across three hemispheres. A** Counts for 56 uPN types across the three hemispheres. If known, order corresponds to order of birth. **B** Expanded explanation for across-dataset clustering. **C** Illustration of refinement of initial clusters to deal with incorrect "pathological" (red outline) across-dataset matches. **D** Cluster composition as number of neurons from the three datasets. **E** Number of clusters per ALPN lineage. **F** Flow diagram for hemibrain v1.1 types that are merged or shuffled in the across-dataset clusters. Across-dataset merges identified as wrong are highlighted in red (see I for example). **G-J** Illustrative examples. **G** Single mPN that can be tracked 1:1:1 across datasets. **H** Truncated (arrow) hemibrain mPNs matched to FAFB ALPNs. **I** mPN without a match in FAFB(L) caused an incorrect merge into cluster 194. **J** M\_adPNm8 mPNs are split into across-dataset clusters 25 and 26.



Figure 8-Figure supplement 1. Defining cell types for third-order olfactory neurons. The scheme by which we have named LHNs derives from the system we implemented in *Frechter et al.* (2019). A Similar-looking hemilineages are grouped together, neurons of similar coarse morphology are grouped together into 'anatomy groups' and each anatomy group is broken down into approximately isomorphic cell type (*Bates et al., 2019*). B The number of LHN cell types contributed by different hemilineages, which approximate cell body fibre tracts (*Wong et al., 2013; Lovick et al., 2013*). Names from the scheme by the K. Ito and T. Lee groups (*Yu et al., 2013; Ito et al., 2013*). Colours give a breakdown by their layer. Putative transmitter indicated by coloured circles.



1613

**Figure 8-Figure supplement 2. Split-GAL4 lines for excitatory lateral horn output neurons.** Putative excitatory output neurons of the lateral horn for which there are targeted genetic reagents as well as EM reconstructions (*Dolan et al., 2019; Bates et al., 2020b; Scheffer et al., 2020*). Expression of split-GAL4 lines are visualised using UAS-csChrimson::mVenus in attP18 (green), with nc82 as a neuropil stain (magenta) (*Dolan et al., 2019*). Off-target expression in the brain for non-ideal lines labelled with a yellow arrow. See www.janelia.org/split-gal4 for image data.



**Figure 8-Figure supplement 3. Split-GAL4 lines for inhibitory lateral horn output neurons.** Putative inhibitory output neurons of the lateral horn for which there are targeted genetic reagents as well as EM reconstructions (*Dolan et al., 2019; Scheffer et al., 2020; Bates et al., 2020b*). See www.janelia.org/split-gal4 for image data.

1614





1615

GABAergic

glutamatergic



**Figure 8-Figure supplement 5. Split-GAL4 lines for lateral horn input neurons.** Putative non-olfactory input neurons to the lateral horn for which there are targeted genetic reagents as well as EM reconstructions (*Dolan et al., 2019; Scheffer et al., 2020; Bates et al., 2020b*). See www.janelia.org/split-gal4 for image data.



Figure 9-Figure supplement 1. Neurons at the ALPN axon  $\rightarrow$  target connection, clustered by connection similarity. A Cosine similarity calculated between ALPN cell types, based on ALPN $\rightarrow$ targets connection strengths, see Figure 9. B Cosine similarity calculated between ALPN' target connectivity types, broken into axon and dendrite and based on ALPN $\rightarrow$ targets connection strengths. Clustering by Ward's method, method 'ward.D2' with the base R function hclust.



**Figure 10-Figure supplement 1. Neuron class-level network diagrams of higher olfactory layers, broken down by neuron compartments and putative transmitters. A** A circuit schematic of third-order olfactory neurons, showing the average connection strength between different classes of neurons (mean percentage of input synapses), broken into their layers, as well as the ALPN, LHCENT and MBON inputs to this system and DAN and DN outputs. The percentage in grey, within coloured lozenges, indicates the mean input that class provides to its own members. The threshold for a connection to be reported here is 5%, and >2% for a line to be shown. Subsequent plots just show a subset of this connectivity, i.e. **B** axo-dendritic connections, **C** axo-axonic connections, **D** dendro-dendritic connections, **E** dendro-axonic connections, **F** putative cholinergic connections, **G** putative GABAergic connections and **H** putative glutamatergic connections.



Figure 11-Figure supplement 1. Similarity in connectivity up and downstream of olfactory neurons. A Neuron can give and receive output from both their axons and their dendrites. **B** Density plots, showing cosine similarity scores for the cell types downstream of TOON-TOON pairs, where both members of the pair are from the same cell type. Upper, cosine similarity between the two populations upstream and downstream of the TOONs' axons. Lower, cosine similarity between the two populations upstream and downstream of the TOONs' dendrites. **C** Correlation between the mean cosine similarity between members of a TOON cell type's dendritic input populations (x-axis) and axonic target populations (y-axis). **D** Cosine similarity between connections from/onto TOON axons/dendrites, for TOON-TOON pairs of the same cell type. **E** Correlations between morphological similarity and connectivity similarity shown, for both out-of-cell-type comparisons (top) and within-cell-type comparisons (bottom). Significance values: ns: p > 0.05; \*: p <= 0.05; \*\*: p <= 0.01; \*\*\*: p <= 0.001; \*\*\*: p <= 0.001;



**Figure 12-Figure supplement 1. A** Tallies for the number of matches made from hemibrain  $\rightarrow$  FAFB neurons (right) and hemibrain  $\rightarrow$  FAFB neurons, and hemibrain  $\rightarrow$  FAFB neurons (left), in both sets of 'secondary' hemilineages, plus LH centrifugal neurons, most of which are 'primary'. 'Striking' indicates that the two neurons look so similar they could be the 'same cell', 'strong' means that these cells look to belong to the same cell type, 'cell type' means that the two cells most likely belong to at least the same cell type. **B** Hemibrain image shows all reconstructed LHNs from both hemilineages are plotted together in the same brain space (hemibrain, grey) after a bridging registration had been applied (*Bates et al., 2020a*). Right, counts for neurons per identified LHN cell type, in each hemilineage in each data set. **C** Comparing the number of neurons in matched hemibrain-FAFB cell types. Red unity line.



Figure 12–Figure supplement 2. Stereotypy in connectivity between lateral horn neurons in the hemibrain and FAFB. A An example of a cell type that looked cohesive at light-level resolution (*Frechter et al., 2019*), which actually breaks down into several connectivity sub-types on examination of the hemibrain data (*Scheffer et al., 2020*). Only uniglomerular ALPN (uPN) inputs are considered for the cross-correlation plot. **B** Cosine similarity scores for uPN -> LHN inputs. The cell types shown have been 'completely' synaptically reconstructed in both data sets (total of 34 FAFB reconstructions), and the cosine similarity score calculated for every pairing within each data set (FAFB, blue; hemibrain, orange), between the two data sets (green) and between all 'strongly' cross-data set matched pairs (pink). Each completed FAFB cell type comprises a mean of  $3.4 \pm 1.1$  s.d. neurons. Out-of-cell type comparisons also made (leftmost), as well as for other neurons completed in FAFB, where not all members of the cell type have been completed (rightmost, 48 FAFB reconstructions) (*Bates et al., 2020b*).



Figure 12-Figure supplement 3. Stereotypy in connectivity between lateral horn neurons in the hemibrain and a functional data set. A We matched light-level neuron skeletons from Jeanne et al. (2018) to hemibrain reconstructions; these light-level skeletons are associated with functional glomeruli  $\rightarrow$  LHN connections ascertained by electrophysiology (*Jeanne et al., 2018*). **B** We calculate the number of equivalent connections, present by any degree, between both data sets. **C** the cosine similarity score for ALPN  $\rightarrow$  LHN connections. Horizontal bars, mean of the cosine comparison of each Jeanne et al. (2018) cell type against all other cells in the Jeanne et al. (2018) data set; dark green is one standard deviation from the mean, mid-green is two standard deviations, light green is three. Grey, comparison to matched hemibrain cell type, each point is one neuron-neuron comparison. **D** Scatter plot showing the strength of the recorded functional connections, for the corresponding uPN $\rightarrow$ LHN contact. **E** The number of putative ALPN $\rightarrow$ LHN connections from a study on functional connectivity (*Jeanne et al., 2018*), that can be found in the hemibrain data.



Figure 12-Figure supplement 4. Matching synaptically complete neurons between two EM data sets. A Each full hemibrain LHN cell type is compared with as many of its cognates in FAFB as possible, i.e. from those neurons reconstructed in *Bates et al.* (2020b). Each point represents the normalised connection strength of a single uPN type onto the target cell type in question (total connecting synapses / number of postsynapses in the target cell type). B Scatter plot showing the cosine similarity in uPN→LHN connectivity for LHN-LHN pairs, and LHN-LHN NBLAST scores. Every hemibrain neuron in A is compared with every FAFB neuron in A. Neurons of the same cell type are shown in red. C For each uPN cell type, the mean normalised connection strength to each hemibrain cell type is taken as in A, and the normalised connection strength to its cognate FAFB cell type is subtracted. Each point represents a different cell type comparison. D Inset, insect synapses are polyadic meaning that one presynaptic site connects with multiple postsynaptic sites. We previously manually marked up presynapse-postsynapse connections for dozens of presynapses over a limited number of cell types in FAFB (green) (*Bates et al., 2020b*). The number of automatically detected postsynapses for each presynapse is also given for those same cell types in the hemibrain data set.







Figure 13-Figure supplement 2. An exemplar convergence cell type of the lateral horn and mushroom body. A Heatmap showing the normalised connectivity (weight / total number of LHN inputs) of ALPN and MBON input (rows) onto 15 LHAD1b2 neurons, axons (right) and dendrites (left). Clustering by Ward's method on dendrite data, cut at Euclidean linkage distance 0.2. MBON-dendrite connects can happen on distinct sub-branches, see (*Dolan et al., 2019*). **B** Visualisation of the two connectivity clusters split into their dendrite-axon compartments (*Schneider-Mizell et al., 2016; Bates et al., 2020b*), which also correspond to small deviations in morphology. The other cluster is shown in grey in each panel. **C** An LHAD1b2 specific schematic for an emerging circuit motif integrating LH and MB output, based on the available labelled LHN data. MBONs coloured by naive valence, ALPNs by class.



**Figure 13-Figure supplement 3. Convergence neurons of the lateral horn and mushroom body. A** Matches were made between hemibrain reconstructions and LHN morphologies of electrophysiologically recorded cells (*Frechter et al., 2019*) and MultiColor FlpOut (*Nern et al., 2015*) data from LHN split-GAL4 lines used in behavioural studies (*Dolan et al., 2019*). A neuron is 'appetitive' if its optogenetic activation causes attraction to the stimulating light, and aversive if the opposite behaviour is significant (*Dolan et al., 2019*; *Aso et al., 2014b*). **B** Connections onto downstream targets (rows) by MBONs and LHNs, grouped by putative valence or odour coding. Note that LHN valence and odour coding categories are not mutually exclusive. Connections have been binarised: if the upstream neuron class accounts for greater than 1% of inputs onto a given target, the connection is shown. Putative excitatory connections in red (i.e. cholinergic) and inhibitory in blue (i.e. GABAergic or glutamatergic). **C** The proportion of downstream targets from putatively aversive and appetitive LHNs, that also receive direct MBON input. **D** A general schematic for an emerging circuit motif integrating LH and MB output, based on the available labelled LHN data.



**Figure 13-Figure supplement 4. A class-compartment separation score.** The more positive the score, the more polarised the neuron such that ALPN innervation is seen at the dendrite and MBON innervation at the axon. Negative scores show the opposite segregation. See Methods.


**Figure 14–Figure supplement 1. Extended data for Figure 14E.** ALRN→DN distances for DNs not shown in main figure. A low distance indicates a more direct connection between an ALRN type and given DN. Only the top 25 ALRN types shown. Heatmap shows glomeruli odour scenes.