1 Title

2 An update on salicylic acid biosynthesis, its induction and 3 potential exploitation by plant viruses

- 4
- 5 Authors

6 Alex M. Murphy¹*, Tao Zhou²* and John P. Carr¹

- 7
- 8 Addresses
- 9
 9
 1. Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing St., Cambridge
 10
 CB2 3EA
- **2.** State Key Laboratory for Agro-Biotechnology and Key Laboratory for Pest
- 12 Monitoring and Green Management-Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs,
- 13 Department of Plant Pathology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100193, China
- 14
- 15 * Authors for correspondence
- 16 Authors' e-mails
- 17 <u>amm1013@cam.ac.uk</u>
- 18 taozhoucau@cau.edu.cn
- 19 jpc1005@hermes.cam.ac.uk
- 20 Words 2668 out 2000-2700 words
- 21 Three figures.
- 22
- 23 Keywords: isochorismate synthase; isochorismate-9-glutamate; phenylalanine
- ammonia-lyase; shikimate pathway; pathogenesis-related protein; RNA-dependent
- 25 RNA polymerase 1; alternative oxidase; systemic acquired resistance; meristem
- 26 exclusion.

27 Abstract (111 words)

Salicylic acid (SA) is a plant hormone essential for effective resistance to viral and 28 29 non-viral pathogens. SA biosynthesis increases rapidly in resistant hosts when a 30 dominant host resistance gene product recognizes a pathogen. SA stimulates 31 resistance to viral replication, intercellular spread and systemic movement. However, 32 certain viruses stimulate SA biosynthesis in susceptible hosts. This paradoxical effect limits virus titer and prevents excessive host damage, suggesting that these 33 34 viruses exploit SA-induced resistance to optimize their accumulation. Recent work 35 showed that SA production in plants does not simply recapitulate bacterial SA 36 biosynthetic mechanisms, and that the relative contributions of the shikimate and 37 phenylpropanoid pathways to the SA pool differ markedly between plant species.

38

39 Article Highlights

40	٠	Salicylic acid (SA) stimulates plants to resist viral replication, cell-to-cell
41		movement and systemic movement
42	•	Recent work indicates that SA also contributes to meristem exclusion of
43		viruses and symptom amelioration
44	•	Certain viruses induce SA biosynthesis as they spread through susceptible
45		hosts, suggesting they exploit SA-induced resistance to prevent over-
46		accumulation and to moderate host damage
47	•	Plant SA biosynthesis from isochorismate is completed in the cytosol, not in
48		the plastid, and the relative importance of the shikimate versus
49		phenylpropanoid pathways in SA biosynthesis varies between plants

Murphy et al. Salicylic Acid Biosynthesis

50 Introduction: Salicylic acid has a central but ambiguous role in defense

51 against viruses and other pathogens

52 In a groundbreaking paper, White [1**] showed that applying aspirin (acetylsalicylic 53 acid), benzoic acid (BA) or salicylic acid (SA) solutions enhanced virus resistance and induced pathogenesis-related (PR) protein accumulation in plants of three 54 55 tobacco mosaic virus (TMV)-resistant tobacco cultivars. PR proteins are known to 56 effect resistance against certain cellular phytopathogens but at that time were 57 suspected to be antiviral [2]. White's discoveries led to the realization that SA is a phytohormone required for induction of systemic acquired resistance (SAR: a 58 59 pathogen-induced or stress-induced plant-wide enhancement of resistance to 60 secondary infection by a variety of phytopathogens), for localization of pathogens to 61 the infection site during hypersensitive responses (HRs) induced by resistance (R) 62 gene-mediated effector-triggered immunity, and for maintenance of basal resistance 63 [3,4,5,6].

64 Initial studies suggested that pathogen-induced SA biosynthesis was associated with 65 necrosis occurring during the HR or caused by infection with necrotrophic pathogens 66 such as Colletotrichum lagenarium [7,8]. However, subsequent work showed that certain viruses that spread systemically in hosts without causing necrosis can also 67 68 induce SA accumulation [9,10,11,12]. Viruses that induce SA biosynthesis express 69 factors that subvert SA-induced virus resistance, which explains how they can still 70 replicate and spread. However, this provides no clarity as to whether SA 71 accumulation is an incidental effect of infection, if it is somehow advantageous to the 72 virus, or if it represents a delayed or ineffective resistance response. In this article, 73 we review recent advances in the understanding of plant SA biosynthesis and how 74 some viruses may exploit its induction to optimize their accumulation.

75 Plant salicylic acid biosynthetic pathways are distinct from those in bacteria

76 Soon after SA was shown to be an endogenous defensive signal, rapid progress 77 was made in tracing its biosynthesis from intermediates in the phenylpropanoid 78 pathway (Figure 1). In early work with tobacco and it was found that effective HR-79 type resistance to TMV, which is dependent upon SA, is inhibited in transgenic plants with decreased expression of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), which 80 catalyzes the initial step of the phenylpropanoid pathway [13]. SA can be 81 82 synthesized by hydroxylation of the phenylpropanoid pathway product BA by a cytochrome P450 oxygenase, BA 2-hydroxylase [14,15] or, as later work suggested, 83 84 from *ortho*-coumarate [16]. During this early research it was also found that SA is metabolized to methyl-SA, a volatile resistance inducer, and to biologically inactive 85 forms (SA- β -D-glucoside or to a lesser extent to SA-glucose ester) that serve as 86 87 vacuole-localized SA reserves [17,18] (Figure 1). Recent work indicated that the glycosylation status of di-hydroxylated SA metabolites helps regulate HR-related cell 88 89 death [19**,20].

90 In 2001 SA biosynthesis research re-focused almost exclusively to the shikimate 91 pathway as a source of SA precursors. This was stimulated by Wildermuth and 92 colleagues' [21] discovery that plants of the SA-deficient Arabidopsis mutant line SA induction-deficient 2 (sid2) were depleted in isochorismate synthase (ICS) activity. 93 94 ICS catalyzes conversion of the shikimate pathway product chorismate to 95 isochorismate (Figure 1). Arabidopsis chloroplasts contain two enzymatically active 96 ICS isozymes with similar catalytic properties: ICS1, encoded by the wild-type SID2 97 gene, and ICS2 [21,22]. ICS1 is translated from an inducible mRNA, transcription of which is stimulated by pathogen attack and auto-regulated by SA, whereas ICS2 is 98

produced constitutively at low levels [22,23]. ICS1 but not ICS2 is indispensable for
effective pathogen resistance in Arabidopsis [21].

101 Bacteria use ICS in the first step of conversion of chorismate to SA, which they use 102 in synthesis of iron-scavenging molecules called siderophores [24,25]. The second 103 step of bacterial SA synthesis is conversion of isochorismate to SA, catalyzed by 104 isochorismate pyruvate-lyase (IPL). Certain bacteria. including Yersinia 105 enterocolitica, produce SA synthases: bifunctional proteins with ICS and IPL 106 activities. Others (e.g. Pseudomonas aeruginosa) produce separate ICS and IPL 107 enzyme molecules [24,25] (Figure 1). Several groups showed that plant ICS 108 enzymes lack IPL activity (and are therefore not SA synthases) but attempts to 109 identify *IPL*-like sequences in plant genomes proved unsuccessful [22]. A putative 110 Arabidopsis IPL gene, encoding a protein with a sequence characteristic of a 111 peroxidase (PRXR1), was detected by screening an Arabidopsis cDNA library using 112 SA-responsive bacterial biosensors [26]. However, no work has been reported on 113 SA biosynthesis in *prxr1* mutants, or if PRXR1 converts isochorismate to SA *in vitro*. 114 Thus, PRXR1's conjectured IPL activity remains unconfirmed.

115 A recent exciting paper by Rekhter and colleagues [27**] indicates that in 116 Arabidopsis complete synthesis of SA from chorismate does not require an IPL. 117 Previous work had established that the protein ENHANCED DISEASE 118 SUSCEPTIBILITY 5 (EDS5) transports SA across chloroplast envelopes [28]. The 119 new paper reported that EDS5 also extrudes isochorismate from the chloroplast into 120 the cytoplasm where it encounters an amidotransferase, avrPphB SUSCEPTIBLE 3 121 (PBS3) [27**]. PBS3 belongs to the Gretchen Hagen 3 group of proteins that 122 catalyze formation of several phytohormone-amino acid conjugates. PBS3 is 123 required for normal levels of SA accumulation [29,30,31] and can bind isochorismate or chorismate [27**,32]. Rekhter et al. [27**] demonstrated that PBS3 catalyzes a condensation reaction between isochorismate and glutamate to produce isochorismate-9-glutamate, a conjugate that decomposes to SA and 2-hydroxyacryloyl-N-glutamate (Figure 1). Thus, it now appears that plant biosynthesis of SA from chorismate is completed in the cytosol and is distinct from the bacterial IPLdependent mechanism

130 At this time it appears that plants synthesize SA using carbon skeletons abstracted 131 either from the shikimate or phenylpropanoid pathways. However, the proportion of 132 total SA derived from each pathway differs between plant species. For instance, in 133 Arabidopsis most SA is produced from chorismate via isochorismate and 134 additional <10% isochorismate-9-glutamate, with an arising from the 135 phenylpropanoid pathway [27**,33]. But in some dicots, such as tobacco and 136 *Prunus*, SA arises predominantly from phenylpropanoid pathway activity 137 [13,14,15,34]. Similar variation occurs in the grasses. For example, most SA in 138 barley is synthesized from chorismate [35] whereas SA biosynthesis in maize is 139 largely dependent upon PAL activity [36**]. Soybean is a particularly interesting 140 case in that the shikimate and phenylpropanoid pathways are equally important in 141 providing the carbon skeletons needed to generate sufficient SA to support defense 142 against pathogens [37**].

Variation between plants that are mostly dependent upon ICS activity versus those dependent upon PAL activity for SA production may reflect specific metabolic needs or limitations in each plant, or the nature of external challenges (including viruses and other pathogens), or the degree of metabolic flexibility required to rise to various challenges. Chorismate is essential for production of several vital metabolites synthesized in chloroplasts, including aromatic amino acids, folate and phylloquinone [18]. Some plants may not have sufficient metabolic flexibility to be
able to maintain synthesis of these compounds while drawing on what might be a
limited chorismate pool to synthesize SA.

152 Salicylic acid-induced resistance to viruses: Still not fully understood

We recently reviewed the topic of SA-induced resistance to viruses and how it connects with resistance mechanisms regulated by signals such as jasmonic acid, abscisic acid, azelaic acid, glycerol-3-phosphate, nitric oxide, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and pipecolic acid [2]. Therefore, the mechanisms suspected to be involved in SA-induced resistance will only be summarized here (Figure 2).

158 For the most part SA influences virus resistance by acting as a signal over various 159 ranges to stimulate genetic and physiological changes in the plant. An exception to 160 this occurs in the case of the viral replicase complex of tomato bushy stunt virus. 161 where SA binds directly to a host factor, a glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate 162 dehydrogenase (GAPDH) isoform, required for regulating the ratio of viral genomic 163 (plus-sense) to viral minus-strand synthesis [38*]. In SA-treated tobacco the relative 164 proportions of minus and plus strands of TMV RNA and of sub-genomic mRNAs 165 synthesized were also altered. But in that plant-virus combination the effect of SA on 166 viral RNA synthesis was indirect and mediated by defensive signaling modulated by 167 the mitochondrial respiratory enzyme, alternative oxidase (AOX) [39] (Figure 2).

AOX and AOX-like enzymes occur in mitochondria of plants, certain fungi, invertebrates and proteobacteria, but not in mitochondria of higher vertebrates [40*]. Plant AOX is an accessory respiratory chain component that prevents over-reduction of ubiquinone, neutralizes excess reducing power from photosynthesis, and moderates mitochondrial ROS accumulation [40*]. AOX uses ubiquinol to catalyze reduction of oxygen to water, without concomitant generation of ATP [40*]. There
are multiple examples of virus-plant interactions in which AOX is a factor in SAinduced virus resistance (reviewed in [2]).

176 Modulation of mitochondrial ROS by AOX is theorized to affect nuclear gene 177 expression via retrograde signaling. This probably involves signaling transduced via reversible oxidation of sulfhydryl groups and reduction of disulfide bridges on 178 179 mitochondrial sensor proteins [41]. SA stimulates mitochondrial ROS production by 180 interactions with α -ketoglutarate dehydrogenase and/or inhibition of electron 181 transport [2,42*]. Increased mitochondrial ROS levels activate AOX activity and a 182 transient increase in AOX gene expression to counteract further ROS production 183 [2,42*]. Consistent with this idea, altering glutathione levels can compensate for 184 decreased SA accumulation in induction of virus resistance [43]. However, AOX is 185 not always a factor in SA-induced virus resistance. While SA-induced resistance is 186 modulated by AOX in Arabidopsis, tobacco and N. benthamiana, it is AOX-187 independent in squash [2,44,45] (Figure 2).

188 SA-induced virus resistance is not dependent on any known PR protein and in most 189 cases is not dependent on NPR1 ('Non-Expresser of PR proteins 1'), a regulator of 190 *PR* gene expression (reviewed in [2]) (Figure 2). However, NPR1 is implicated in 191 two examples of virus resistance. One is virus localization during the HR [46]. The 192 second is the suggested role of NPR1 in resistance induced by the SA analog 193 benzothiadiazole against plantago asiatica mosaic virus in Arabidopsis [47]. SA can 194 induce resistance to viral replication, cell-to-cell movement, and systemic movement. 195 But which step of the infection cycle is inhibited depends upon the virus-host 196 combination [2,6,45,47].

SA treatment can limit access of viruses to tissues adjacent to the meristem; the 197 198 growing tip where most cell division and differentiation occurs [48]. The extent of 199 viral invasion of meristematic tissue correlates with symptom severity [48,49]. Until 200 recently, meristem access was thought to be controlled predominantly by RNA 201 silencing mediated by RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RDR) 6 (which is not SA-202 regulated) and RDR1, which SA induces at the transcriptional level and activates at 203 the enzymatic level [48,49]. Although RNA silencing and its reinforcement by SA 204 explains exclusion of TMV and potato virus X from meristems and symptom 205 amelioration [48,49], Medzihradszky and colleagues [50**] contend that for 206 tombusviruses, such as cymbidium ringspot virus, virus-induced changes in host 207 gene expression are more important for exclusion. Most significantly, they point to 208 decreased gene expression for GAPDH, which, as previously noted, is not only a 209 host factor required for efficient tombusvirus replication but is also a target for SA 210 [38*,50**] (Figure 2).

211 RDR1 is an ancillary RNA silencing component that maintains basal resistance 212 against several viruses and SA enhances its expression in an NPR1-dependent 213 fashion [23,48,51]. However, neither RDR1, nor core RNA silencing components 214 such as the endonucleases Dicer-like (DCL) 2, 3, or 4 are essential for resistance 215 induced by SA or its functional analogs [47,52]. Thus, SA-induced virus resistance 216 is not dependent upon RNA silencing. However, RDR1 enhances expression of 217 RDR6, AOX and of a suspected antiviral factor (Inhibitor of Viral Replication [51]). Taken together with data showing that *RDR1* expression, but not *AOX* expression, is 218 219 regulated by NPR1 [23], it seems that a complex but incompletely elucidated 220 regulatory network coordinates SA-induced resistance with other aspects of SAR 221 and with RNA silencing (Figure 2).

222 Balancing act: Salicylic acid as a pro-viral factor

223 Treatment of susceptible plants with exogenous SA, synthetic resistance inducers or 224 induction of endogenous SA biosynthesis prior to inoculation inhibits infection by 225 most viruses, although it is not as effective as ETI in completely preventing infection 226 [2,6]. Paradoxically, some of the viruses that would be inhibited in some aspect of 227 their infection cycle in plants pre-treated with SA can induce SA biosynthesis. 228 although this does not prevent infection (Figure 3). Examples include potyviruses, 229 cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) and cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV), which induce 230 SA biosynthesis during compatible interactions with plants [9,10,11,36**,53].

231 Probably the best-studied viral factors that enable viruses to overcome at least some 232 aspects of SA-induced resistance include the CMV 2b protein [54,55], the potyviral 233 HC-Pro protein [56,57*] and the P6 protein of CaMV [11]. Interestingly, these viral 234 gene products also enable their respective viruses to overcome RNA silencing, and 235 provoke disease symptoms through interference with small RNA pathways as well 236 as via other mechanisms [58,59]. Two amino acid sequences within P6 condition 237 suppression of SA-mediated signaling by CaMV [60]. For the 2b protein, the N- and 238 C-terminal domains are required for evasion of SA-induced resistance to local virus 239 accumulation. These domains, plus the region containing superimposed nuclear 240 localization and RNA binding sequences, and the central gly-ser-glu-leu sequence 241 contribute to priming of SA biosynthesis, which is induced by another, unidentified 242 CMV gene product. The phosphorylation (nucleus-cytoplasm shuttling) domain negatively regulates SA biosynthesis [10,55,61]. For potyviruses, HC-Pro both 243 244 induces SA biosynthesis and allows potyviruses to evade the antiviral effects of SA, with inhibition of downstream signaling caused by interaction with SA-binding protein 245 246 3 [57*,62].

247 Recently, it was found that the tobacco rattle virus (TRV) 16K protein induces SA 248 biosynthesis and expression of *RDR1* and other SA-regulated genes in systemically 249 infected *N. benthamiana* plants [63**]. Mechanistically, the process hinges on 250 interaction of the 16K protein with the host protein coilin, leading to coilin's relocation 251 from the intra-nuclear Cajal bodies to the nucleoli, which triggers SA-induced 252 resistance to further TRV accumulation [63**]. Once invoked, this process prevents 253 significant accumulation of TRV in young, developing tissues, which display no discernable symptoms: a recovery phenotype. When TRV-induced SA accumulation 254 255 was hindered by transgenic expression of the SA-degrading enzyme SA hydroxylase, 256 knockdown of coilin expression, or infection with a TRV 16K-deletion mutant, 257 infected plants exhibited aggravated symptoms culminating in necrosis [63**].

258 Shaw and colleagues [63**] showed that recovery, previously attributed solely to 259 RNA silencing (critically reviewed in [64]), is SA-dependent and that, rather than 260 being a pure resistance phenomenon, may represent viral manipulation of host 261 resistance to optimize virus accumulation, whilst limiting damage to the host. Other 262 evidence for viral self-limitation and symptom amelioration by inducing SA 263 biosynthesis is provided by studies where transgenic expression of SA hydroxylase 264 led to increased pathogenicity in potato virus Y-infected potato plants [53], and in 265 PAL-depleted maize plants infected with sugarcane mosaic virus [36**]. SA might be 266 considered to be pro-viral where it facilitates limitation of virus accumulation to avoid 267 excessive host damage such as necrosis, which would inactivate virus particles in 268 dying tissues or might render hosts unattractive to vectors (Figure 3).

269 Concluding comments: Future studies of SA-induced resistance

270 Although SA-induced virus resistance occurs independently of RNA silencing, it 271 appears that these two phenomena reinforce each other [48,56] and are linked. 272 perhaps through the action of RDR1 [51]. It is plausible that SA accumulation in virus 273 infected plants primes RNA silencing. This is suggested by observations that in 274 transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing the CMV 2b protein AGO2 expression 275 becomes SA-inducible [10], and that AGO2 provides a second line of defense 276 against CMV [65]. Priming of RNA silencing by SA, whether though induction and 277 activation of RDR1, or by increasing core components of silencing such as AGO2 278 would strengthen SA-induced resistance (Figure 3a) but may also be exploitable by 279 viruses to control their own accumulation (Figure 3b). Further research on the SA -280 RNA silencing linkage is likely to yield important new insights into plant-virus 281 relationships.

282 Work on the tobacco-TMV pathosystem suggested that in general SA accumulation 283 is not induced during infection of susceptible plants [7]. However, virus-induced SA 284 accumulation has now been observed in many susceptible hosts, which suggest that 285 this may be the rule, and that the TMV-tobacco system might be an exception. 286 Further research in this area may reveal additional functions for virus-induced SA 287 accumulation in infected plants beyond modulation of virus titer. Aquilar and 288 colleagues have shown that SA is needed to establish virus-induced drought 289 resistance [66] and that virus-induced SA accumulation protects plants against 290 secondary infection by bacteria [67**]. Both effects have mutual benefits for host and 291 virus and it is conceivable that SA will prove to be a key factor in facilitating quasi-292 mutualistic 'pay-backs' between viruses and their hosts.

Murphy et al. Salicylic Acid Biosynthesis

293 **Conflicts of interest**

All authors confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

297 Author declaration

All authors reviewed the final draft. The corresponding author had final responsibility for the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

300 Acknowledgements

301 Due to word limit constraints and the specific focus of the article, we have not been 302 able to cite all recently published works in this fast moving field. We apologize for 303 this. Work by AMM and JPC was supported by grants from UK Biotechnological and 304 Biological Sciences Research Council (SCPRID grant number BB/J011762/1, and 305 GCRF grant number BB/P023223/1). Work by TZ was supported by grants from the 306 Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs of China (2018YFD020062, 2016ZX08010-307 001), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31371912) and a grant from 308 the Ministry of Education of China (the 111 Project B13006).

309

311 References and Recommended Reading

- Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have beenhighlighted as:
- 314 * of special interest
- 315 ** of outstanding interest
- 316
- 3171.** White RF: Acetylsalicylic acid (Aspirin) induces resistance to tobacco318mosaic virus in tobacco. Virology 1979, 99:410-412. DOI: 10.1016/0042-3196822(79)90019-9
- Although published forty years ago, this paper is still worth reading and marks the beginning of
 modern studies of defensive signaling in plants and shows that salicylic as well as its synthetic
 derivative aspirin are powerful resistance inducers.
- Carr JP, Murphy AM, Tungadi T, Yoon JY: Plant defense signals: Players
 and pawns in plant-virus-vector interactions. *Plant Science* 2019, 279:87 DOI:10.1016/j.plantsci.2018.04.011
- Delaney TP, Uknes S, Vernooij B, Friedrich L, Weymann K, Negrotto D,
 Gaffney T, Gut-Rella M, Kessmann H, Ward E, Ryals J: A central role of
 salicylic acid in plant disease resistance. Science 1994, 266:1247–1250.
 DOI:10.1126/science.266.5188.1247
- 3304.Moffett P: Transfer and modification of NLR proteins for virus resistance331in plants. Current Opinion in Virology 2017, 26:43-48. DOI:33210.1016/j.coviro.2017.07.008
- Mur LAJ, Bi Y, Darby RM, Firek S, Draper J: Compromising early salicylic
 acid accumulation delays the hypersensitive response and increases
 viral dispersal during lesion establishment in tobacco mosaic virus–
 infected tobacco. *Plant Journal* 1997, **12**:1113–1126. DOI:10.1046/j.1365313X.1997.12051113.x
- Balukaitis P, Yoon JY, Choi SK, Carr JP: Manipulation of induced
 resistance to viruses. Current Opinion in Virology 2017, 26:141–148. doi:
 10.1016/j.coviro.2017.08.001
- 3417.Malamy J, Carr JP, Klessig DF, Raskin I:Salicylic acid A likely342endogenous signal in the resistance response of tobacco to viral343infection.Science1990,250:1002-1004.DOI:34410.1126/science.250.4983.1002
- Métraux JP, Signer H, Ryals J, Ward E, Wyss-Benz M, Gaudin J, Raschdorf
 K, Schmid E, Blum W, Inverardi B: Increase in salicylic acid at the onset of
 systemic acquired resistance in cucumber. Science 1990, 250:1004-1006.
 DOI: 10.1126/science.250.4983.1004
- 3499.Krečič-Stres H, Vucak C, Ravnikar M, Kovač M: Systemic Potato virus Y350infection and levels of salicylic and gentisic acids in different potato

351genotypes. Plant Pathology 2005, 54:441-447. DOI:10.1111/j.1365-3523059.2005.01209.x

- Lewsey MG, Murphy AM, MacLean D, Dalchau N, Westwood JH, Macaulay K,
 Bennett MH, Moulin M, Hanke DE, Powell G, Smith AG, Carr JP: Disruption
 of two defensive signaling pathways by a viral RNA silencing suppressor. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2010, 23:835-845. DOI: 10.1094/MPMI23-7-0835
- Love AJ, Geri C, Laird J, Carr C, Yun B-W, Loake GJ, Tada Y, Sadanandom
 A, Milner JJ: *Cauliflower mosaic virus* protein P6 inhibits signaling
 responses to salicylic acid and regulates innate immunity. *PLoS ONE*2012, 7(10):e47535. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0047535
- Xu P, Chen F, Mannas JP, Feldman T, Sumner LW, Roossinck MJ: Virus
 infection improves drought tolerance. New Phytologist 2008, 180:911–921.
 DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02627.x
- Pallas JA, Paiva NL, Lamb C, Dixon RA: Tobacco plants epigenetically
 suppressed in phenylalanine ammonia lyase expression do not develop
 systemic acquired resistance in response to infection by tobacco
 mosaic virus. *Plant Journal* 1996, **10**: 281-293. doi.org/10.1046/j.1365313X.1996.10020281.x
- 370 14. León J, Shulaev V, Lawton MA, Raskin I: Benzoic acid 2-hydroxylase, a 371 soluble oxygenase from tobacco, catalyzes salicylic acid biosynthesis. 372 Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1995, **92**:10413–10417. doi: 373 10.1073/pnas.92.22.10413
- Yalpani N, Leon J, Lawton MA, Raskin I: Pathway of salicylic acid
 biosynthesis in healthy and virus-inoculated tobacco. *Plant Physiology* 1993, 103:315-321. DOI: 10.1104/pp.103.2.315
- Malinowski J, Krzymowska M, Godon K, Hennig J, Podstolski A: A new catalytic activity from tobacco converting 2-coumaric acid to salicylic aldehyde. *Physiologia Plantarum* 2007, 129:461–471. DOI: 10.1111/j.1399-380 3054.2006.00837.x
- 17. Lee HI, Leon J, Raskin I: Biosynthesis and metabolism of salicylic acid.
 382 Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1995, 92:4076–4079. DOI:10.1073/pnas.92.10.4076
- 38318.Widhalm JR, Dudareva N: A familiar ring to it: Biosynthesis of plant384benzoic acids.Molecular Plant2015,8:83–9738510.1016/j.molp.2014.12.001
- 19. ** Huang X, Zhu G, Liu Q, Chen L, Li Y, Hou B: Modulation of plant salicylic acid-associated immune responses via glycosylation of dihydroxybenzoic acids. *Plant Physiology* 2018, 176:3103-3119.
 DOI:10.1104/pp.17.01530

The work in this paper indicates that glucosylated and hydroxylated derivatives of salicylic acid are neither merely SA metabolites nor storage compounds but play a dynamic role in resistance and cell death induction in the hypersensitive response.

- Zhang Y, Zhao L, Zhao J, Li Y, Wang J, Guo R, Gan S, Liu C, Zhang K:
 S5H/DMR6 encodes a salicylic acid 5-hydroxylase that fine-tunes
 salicylic acid homeostasis. Plant Physiology 2017, 175:1082–1093. DOI:
 10.1104/pp.17.00695
- Wildermuth MC, Dewdney J, Wu G, Ausubel FM: Isochorismate synthase is
 required to synthesize salicylic acid for plant defence. *Nature* 2001,
 414:562–565 doi:10.1038/35107108
- 400 22. Macaulay KM, Heath GA, Ciulli A, Murphy AM, Abell C, Carr JP, Smith AG:
 401 The biochemical properties of the two Arabidopsis thaliana
 402 isochorismate synthases. Biochemical Journal 2017, 474:1579-1590. DOI:
 403 10.1042/BCJ20161069
- 404 23. Hunter LJR, Westwood JH, Heath G, Macaulay K, Smith AG, MacFarlane SA,
 405 Palukaitis P, Carr JP: Regulation of *RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 1*406 and *Isochorismate Synthase* gene expression in Arabidopsis. *PLoS ONE*407 2013, 8(6): e66530. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0066530
- 408 24. Kerbarh O, Ciulli A, Howard NI, Abell C: Salicylate biosynthesis:
 409 overexpression, purification and characterization of Irp9, a bifunctional
 410 salicylate synthase from Yersinia enterocolitica. Journal of Bacteriology
 411 2005, 187:5061–5066 DOI:10.1128/JB.187.15.5061-5066.2005
- 412 25. Serino L, Reimmann C, Baur H, Beyeler M, Visca P, Haas D: Structural
 413 genes for salicylate biosynthesis from chorismate in *Pseudomonas* 414 aeruginosa. Mol Gen Genet 1995, 249:217–228 doi:10.1007/BF00290369
- Zhou Y, Memelink J, Linthorst HJM: An *E. coli* biosensor for screening
 of cDNA libraries for isochorismate pyruvate lyase-encoding cDNAs. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics* 2018, 293:1181–1190. DOI:
 10.1007/s00438-018-1450-5
- 419 27. ** Rekhter D, Lüdke D, Ding Y, Feussner K, Zienkiewicz K, Lipka V, Wiermer
 420 M, Zhang Y, Feussner I: Isochorismate-derived biosynthesis of the plant
 421 stress hormone salicylic acid. Science 2019, 365:498–502. Doi:
 422 10.1126/science.aaw1720
- This important paper overthrows the paradigm that the plant biosynthetic route from chorismate to salicylic acid recapitulates bacterial salicylic acid biosynthesis and reveals a novel mechanism for completion of biosynthesis occurring in the cytosol that is independent of isochorismate pyruvatelyase activity.
- Serrano M, Wang B, Aryal B, Garcion C, Abou-Mansour E, Heck S, Geisler M,
 Mauch F, Nawrath C, Métraux JP: Export of salicylic acid from the
 chloroplast requires the multidrug and toxin extrusion-like transporter
 EDS5. Plant Physiology 2013, 165:1815-1821 doi:10.1104/pp.113.218156.
- 431 29. Jagadeeswaran G, Raina S, Acharya BR, Maqbool SB, Mosher SL, Appel
 432 HM, Schultz JC, Klessig DF, Raina R: Arabidopsis *GH3-like defense gene*433 *1* is required for accumulation of salicylic acid, activation of defense
 434 responses and resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae*. *Plant Journal* 2007,
 435 51:234–246. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03130.x

- 30. Nobuta K, Okrent RA, Stoutemyer M, Rodibaugh N, Kempema L, Wildermuth
 MC, Innes RW: The GH3 acyl adenylase family member PBS3 regulates
 salicylic acid-dependent defense responses in Arabidopsis. Plant
 Physiology 2007, 144:1144–1156. DOI:10.1104/pp.107.097691
- 440 31. Okrent RA, Brooks MD, Wildermuth MC: Arabidopsis GH3.12 (PBS3)
 441 conjugates amino acids to 4-substituted benzoates and is inhibited by
 442 salicylate. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2009, 284:9742–9754. DOI:
 443 10.1074/jbc.M806662200
- Holland CK, Westfall CS, Schaffer JE, De Santiago A, Zubieta C, Alvarez S,
 Jez JM: *Brassicaceae*-specific Gretchen Hagen 3 acyl acid amido
 synthetases conjugate amino acids to chorismate, a precursor of
 aromatic amino acids and salicylic acid. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*2019, 294(45): 16855–16864. DOI:10.1074/jbc.RA119.009949
- Huang J, Gu M, Lai Z, Fan B, Shi K, Zhou Y, Yu J, Chen Z: Functional analysis of the *Arabidopsis PAL* gene family in plant growth, development, and response to environmental stress. *Plant Physiology* 2010, **153**:1526–1538. DOI: 10.1104/pp.110.157370.
- 453 34. Diaz-Vivancos, P., Bernal-Vicente, A., Cantabella, D., Petri, C. and
 454 Hernandez JA: Metabolomics and biochemical approaches link salicylic
 455 acid biosynthesis to cyanogenesis in peach plants. *Plant Cell Physiology*456 2017, 58:2057–2066. DOI: 10.1093/pcp/pcx135
- 457 35. Hao QQ, Wang WQ Han XL, Wu JZ, Lyu B, Chen FJ, Caplan A, Li CX, Wu JJ,
 458 Wang W, Xu Q, Fu DL: Isochorismate459 based salicylic acid biosynthesis confers basal resistance to *Fusarium*460 *graminearum* in barley. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 2018, 19:1995-2010.
 461 DOI: 10.1111/mpp.12675
- 36. ** Yuan W, Jiang T, Du K, Chen H, Cao Y, Xie J, Li M, Carr JP, Wu B, Fan Z,
 Zhou T: Maize phenylalanine ammonia-lyases contribute to resistance to *Sugarcane mosaic virus* infection, most likely through positive
 regulation of salicylic acid accumulation. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 2019
 DOI: 10.1111/mpp.12817
- The paper shows that in the important crop maize phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity is essential for salicylic acid synthesis, rather than isochorismate synthase as in barley [35], showing there is variation between grasses. The paper also shows that a virus can manipulate phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity and salicylic acid biosynthesis to moderate its titer.
- 37. ** Shine MB, Yang JW, El-Habbak M, Nagyabhuru P, Fu DQ, Navarre D,
 Ghabrial S, Kachroo P, Kachroo A: Cooperative functioning between
 phenylalanine ammonia lyase and isochorismate synthase activities
 contributes to salicylic acid biosynthesis in soybean. New Phytologist
 2016, 212:627–636 doi:10.1111/nph.14078
- 476 An important paper that shows how one plant, soybean, can utilize both plant biosynthetic routes for 477 salicylic acid; dependent on phenylalanine ammonia-lyase versus isochorismate synthase.
- 478 38. * Tian M, Sasvari Z, Gonzalez PA, Friso G, Rowland E, Liu XM, van Wijk KJ,
 479 Nagy PD, Klessig DF: Salicylic acid inhibits the replication of tomato

480bushy stunt virus by directly targeting a host component in the481replication complex. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 2015, 28:379-482386. DOI: 10.1094/MPMI-09-14-0259-R

This is the only documented example where salicylic acid appears to have a direct influence on the replication of a virus. In this case through its interaction with a host factor in the tombusvirus replication complex.

- 486 39. Chivasa S, Murphy AM, Naylor M, Carr JP: Salicylic acid interferes with
 487 tobacco mosaic virus replication via a novel, salicylhydroxamic acid-
- 488 sensitive mechanism. *Plant Cell* 1997, **9**:547-557. DOI: 10.1105/tpc.9.4.547

489 40. * Rogov AG, Sukhanova EI, Uralskaya LA, Aliverdieva DA, Zvyagilskaya RA:
490 Alternative oxidase: Distribution, induction, properties, structure,
491 regulation, and functions. *Biochemistry* (Moscow) 2014, 79: 1615-1634.
492 DOI: 10.1134/S0006297914130112

- 493 A very fine review article on the mode of action of alternative oxidases and their occurrence in plants,494 fungi and lower animals.
- 495 41. Singh DP, Moore CA, Gilliland A, Carr JP: Activation of multiple anti-viral
 496 defence mechanisms by salicylic acid. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 2004,
 497 5:57-63. DOI: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2004.00203.x
- 498 42. * Klessig DF, Tian M, Choi HW: Multiple targets of salicylic acid and its
 499 derivatives in plants and animals. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 2016 7:206.
 500 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00206
- 501 An excellent review article on salicylic acid, its potential modes of action in plants and a discussion of 502 its still incompletely understood pharmacological effects in animals.
- Künstler A, Király L, Kátay G, Enyedi AJ, Gullner G: Glutathione can compensate for salicylic acid deficiency in tobacco to maintain resistance to tobacco mosaic virus. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2019, 10:1115. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01115
- Lee WS, Fu SF, Verchot-Lubicz J, Carr JP: Genetic modification of alternative respiration in *Nicotiana benthamiana* affects basal and salicylic acid-induced resistance to potato virus X. *BMC Plant Biol* 2011, 11:41. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2229-11-41
- 45. Mayers CN, Lee KC, Moore CA, Wong SK, Carr JP: Salicylic acid-induced
 resistance to *Cucumber mosaic virus* in squash and *Arabidopsis thaliana*: Contrasting mechanisms of induction and antiviral action.
 Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 2005, 18:428-434. DOI:10.1094/MPMI18-0428
- Liu YL, Schiff M, Marathe R, Dinesh-Kumar SP: Tobacco Rar1, EDS1 and NPR1/NIM1 like genes are required for N-mediated resistance to tobacco mosaic virus. Plant Journal 2002, 30:415-429. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2002.01297.x
- 520 47. Matsuo Y, Novianti F, Takehara M, Fukuhara T, Arie T, Komatsu K: 521 **Acibenzolar-S-methyl restricts infection of** *Nicotiana benthamiana* by

- 522 plantago asiatica mosaic virus at two distinct stages. *Molecular Plant-*523 *Microbe Interactions* 2019, **32**:1475-1486. DOI: 10.1094/MPMI-03-19-0087-R
- 524 48. Lee WS, Fu SF, Li Z, Murphy AM, Dobson EA, Garland L, Chaluvadi SR, Lewsey MG, Nelson RS, Carr JP: Salicylic acid treatment and expression 525 of an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 1 transgene inhibits lethal 526 527 symptoms and meristem invasion during tobacco mosaic virus infection 528 Nicotiana benthamiana. BMC Plant Biol 2016, **16**:15 in DOL 529 10.1186/s12870-016-0705-8.
- Schwach F, Vaistij FE, Jones L, Baulcombe DC: An RNA-dependent RNA
 polymerase prevents meristem invasion by potato virus X and is
 required for the activity but not the production of a systemic silencing
 signal. Plant Physiol 2005, 138:1842–52. doi: 10.1104/pp.105.063537
- ** Medzihradszky A, Gyula P, Sós-Hegedűs A, Szittya G, Burgyán J: 534 50. 535 Transcriptome reprogramming in the shoot apical meristem of CymRSVinfected Nicotiana benthamiana plants associates with viral exclusion 536 537 the lack of recovery. Molecular Plant Pathology and 2019. 538 DOI:10.1111/mpp.12875
- 539 This study describes another mechanism (in addition to RNA silencing) for prevention of viral invasion 540 of the plant meristematic tissue and symptom amelioration. For tombusviruses this may involve the 541 same antiviral mechanism elucidated in reference [38*].
- 542 51. Rakhshandehroo F, Takeshita M, Squires J, Palukaitis P: The influence of
 543 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 1 on *Potato virus* Y infection and on
 544 other antiviral response genes. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 2009,
 545 22:1312-1318. DOI:10.1094 /MPMI -22-10-1312.
- 54652.Lewsey MG, Carr JP: Effects of DICER-like proteins 2, 3 and 4 on547cucumber mosaic virus and tobacco mosaic virus infections in salicylic548acid-treated plants. Journal of General Virology 2009, 90:3010–3014. DOI54910.1099/vir.0.014555-0
- 53. Baebler Š, Stare K, Kovač M, Blejec A, Prezelj N, Stare T, Kogovšek P,
 Pompe-Novak M, Rosahl S, Ravnikar M, Gruden K: Dynamics of responses
 in compatible potato *Potato virus Y* interaction are modulated by
 salicylic acid. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6(12):e29009.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029009
- Ji LH, Ding SW: The suppressor of transgene RNA silencing encoded by
 Cucumber mosaic virus interferes with salicylic acid-mediated virus
 resistance. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 2001, 14:715–724. DOI:
 10.1094/MPMI.2001.14.6.715
- 559 55. Zhou T, Murphy AM, Lewsey MG, Westwood JH, Zhang H, González I, Canto
 560 T, Carr JP: Domains of the cucumber mosaic virus 2b silencing
 561 suppressor protein affecting inhibition of salicylic acid-induced
 562 resistance and priming of salicylic acid accumulation during infection.
 563 Journal of General Virology 2014, 95:1408-1413. DOI: 10.1099/vir.0.063461564 0

- 565 56. Alamillo JM, Saénz P, García JA: Salicylic acid-mediated and RNA566 silencing defense mechanisms cooperate in the restriction of systemic
 567 spread of plum pox virus in tobacco. *Plant Journal* 2006, 48:217–227.
 568 DOI:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02861.x
- 569 57. * Poque S, Wu HW, Huang CH, Cheng HW, Hu WC, Yang JY, Wang D, Yeh
 570 SD: Potyviral gene-silencing suppressor HCPro interacts with salicylic
 571 acid (SA)-binding protein 3 to weaken SA-mediated defense responses.
 572 Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 2018, 31:86-100. doi:10.1094/MPMI-06573 17-0128-FI
- 574 This paper provides a mechanistic explanation of how one of the most multi-functional of viral proteins, 575 the potyviral HC-Pro protein interferes with induction of pathogen resistance by salicylic acid.
- 576 58. Du Z, Chen A, Chen W, Liao Q, Zhang H, Bao Y, Roossinck MJ, Carr JP:
 577 Nuclear-cytoplasmic partitioning of the *Cucumber mosaic virus* 2b
 578 protein determines the balance between its roles as a virulence
 579 determinant and RNA silencing suppressor. *Journal of Virology* 2014,
 580 88:5228–5241. DOI: 10.1128/JVI.00284-14
- 581 59. Mlotshwa S, Pruss GJ, MacArthur JL, Reed JW, Vance V: Developmental
 582 defects mediated by the P1/HC-Pro potyviral silencing suppressor are
 583 not due to misregulation of AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR 8. Plant
 584 Physiology 2016, 172:1853–1861. DOI:10.1104/pp.16.01030
- Laird J, McInally C, Carr C, Doddiah S, Yates G, Chrysanthou E, Khattab A, Love AJ, Geri C, Sadanandom A, Smith BO, Kobayashi K, Milner JJ:
 Identification of the domains of cauliflower mosaic virus protein P6 responsible for suppression of RNA silencing and salicylic acid signalling. *Journal of General Virology* 2013, 94:2777–2789. DOI: 10.1099/vir.0.057729-0
- 591
 61.
 Carr JP, Murphy AM: Suppression of Plant Defense. In Cucumber Mosaic

 592
 Virus. 2019. Eds. Palukaitis P, García-Arenal F. APS Press St. Paul MN

 593
 ISBN: 978-0-89054-610-9, pp. 47–58. DOI:10.1094/9780890546109.007
- 594 62. Yang LP, Xu YN, Liu YQ, Meng DW, Jin TC, Zhou XF: HC-Pro viral suppressor from tobacco vein banding mosaic virus interferes with DNA methylation and activates the salicylic acid pathway. *Virology* 2016, 497:244-250. DOI: 10.1016/j.virol.2016.07.024
- 598 63. ** Shaw J, Yu C, Makhotenko AV, Makarova SS, Love AJ, Kalinina NO,
 599 MacFarlane S, Chen J, Taliansky ME: Interaction of a plant virus protein
 600 with the signature Cajal body protein coilin facilitates salicylic acid601 mediated plant defence responses. New Phytologist 2019, 224:439–453.
 602 doi: 10.1111/nph.15994
- This study reveals how interactions between the tobacco rattle virus 16K protein and a nuclear protein,
 coilin, triggers salicylic acid biosynthesis and antiviral resistance and prevents excessive virus induced damage to the host plant.
- 606 64. Ghoshal B, Sanfaçon H: Symptom recovery in virus-infected plants:
 607 Revisiting the role of RNA silencing mechanisms. *Virology* 2015, 479–
 608 480:167–179. DOI: 10.1016/j.virol.2015.01.008

- 609 65. Harvey JJW, Lewsey MG, Patel K, Westwood J, Heimstädt S, Carr JP,
 610 Baulcombe DC: An antiviral defence role of AGO2 in plants. *PLoS One*611 2011, 6(1): e14639. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0014639
- 612 66. Aguilar E, Cutrona C, del Toro FJ, Vallarino JG, Osorio S, Pérez-Bueno ML,
 613 Barón M, Chung BN, Canto T, Tenllado F: Virulence determines beneficial
 614 trade-offs in the response of virus-infected plants to drought via
 615 induction of salicylic acid. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 2017, 40:2909–2930
 616 DOI: 10.1111/pce.13028
- 617 67. ** Aguilar E, del Toro FJ, Figueira-Galán D, Hou W, Canto T, Tenllado F:
 618 Virus infection induces resistance to *Pseudomonas syringae* and to
 619 drought in both compatible and incompatible bacteria-host interactions,
 620 which are compromised under conditions of elevated temperature and
 621 CO₂ levels. *Journal of General Virology* 2020, 101:122-135. DOI:
 622 10.1099/jgv.0.001353
- Following on from their study [66] showing that virally induced salicylic acid biosynthesis provides protection against drought, the authors show that this also decreases susceptibility to secondary infection by a bacterial pathogen. This may represent another manifestation of a virus-to-host 'payback'.
- 627 68. Torrens-Spence MP, Bobokalonova A, Carballo V, Glinkerman CM, Pluskal T,
 628 Shen A, Weng JK: PBS3 and EPS1 complete salicylic acid biosynthesis
 629 from isochorismate in Arabidopsis. *Molecular Plant* 2019, 12:1577–1586.
 630 DOI: 10.1016/j.molp.2019.11.005

632 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Biosynthetic Pathways for Production of Salicylic Acid in Plants and 633 634 Bacteria. (a) In plants SA biosynthesis can utilize carbon skeletons derived from 635 either or both of the shikimate or phenylpropanoid pathways. The relative importance 636 of each of these pathways varies between plant species. SA derived from the 637 phenylpropanoid pathway and dependent upon the conversion of phenylalanine to trans-cinnamic acid by PAL and subsequent conversion by either of two CoA-638 639 dependent routes or a CoA-independent route to BA, which is converted to SA by 640 the action of a cytochrome P450 enzyme, BA2H, using molecular oxygen. SA 641 produced from carbon skeletons provided by the shikimate pathway is derived from 642 isochorismate produced in the plastid. Isochorismate is translocated into the cytosol 643 by EDS5 and conjugated to glutamate by PBS3. The resulting compound, 644 isochorismate-9-glutamate, decomposes to release SA and 2-hydroxy-acryloyl-N-645 glutamate (1). Alternatively, but only in Brassicaceae, EPS can catalyze 646 decomposition of isochorismate-9-glutamate to N-pyruvoyl-L-glutamate (an 2-647 hydroxy-acryloyl-N-glutamate isomer) and SA [68] (2). A large proportion of SA is 648 glucosylated to SA-β-D-glucoside (labelled SA-glucose) and a smaller proportion to 649 the glucose-SA ester and both of these biologically inactive molecules accumulate in 650 the vacuole and may act as stores or reserves of SA. SA can also be metabolized to 651 various dihydroxybenzoates, which can also be glycosylated (omitted here for 652 simplicity). Methyl-SA is volatile and can act as a resistance inducer and also 653 influences plant-insect interactions. (b) In bacteria SA, which is typically utilized for the synthesis of siderophores, is derived from the shikimate pathway. In some 654 bacteria (e.g. Pseudomonas aeruginosa), chorismate is converted to SA via 655 656 isochorismate by two enzymes, ICS and IPL (i). In others (e.g. Yersinia 657 enterocolitica) an SA synthase, i.e. a bifunctional enzyme with both ICS and IPL 658 activity, converts chorismate directly to SA (shown with isochorismate as a transient 659 intermediate) (ii). Abbreviations: AO4, aldehyde oxidase 4; BA, benzoic acid; BA2H, 660 BA 2-hydroxylase; BSMT, BA/SA carboxyl methyltransferase; 4-CL, 4-661 coumarate:CoA ligase; EDS5, Enhanced Disease Susceptibility 5 (isochorismate 662 transporter); EPS1, a member of the BAHD acyltransferase protein family; ICS, 663 isochorismate synthase; IPL, isochorismate pyruvate-lyase; PAL, phenylalanine 664 ammonia-lyase; PBS3, avrPphB SUSCEPTIBLE 3 (an amidotransferase), and SA, 665 salicylic acid. Based on references [17,18,24,25,27**,68].

667 Figure 2. Salicylic acid sits at the center of a complex network regulating 668 resistance to viruses and other pathogens. The diagram depicts in simplified form 669 some of the SA-dependent resistance phenomena described in this article (blue-670 outlined boxes). SA can have direct effects on antiviral defense (pale blue arrows) through its effects on ROS generation in mitochondria or its inhibitory effect on 671 672 GAPDH (a component of tombusviral replicase complexes). SA-induced ROS 673 increases in the mitochondria result in increased resistance to viruses and AOX 674 activity and glutathione levels modulate this form of signaling. SA can also stimulate 675 resistance to viral intercellular movement via a less well-characterized AOX-676 independent signaling system (dark blue arrow). Working through the master 677 regulatory factor NPR1 (and its partners NPR3 and 4 and TGA transcription factors, 678 which are omitted for simplicity) SA stimulates the transcription of PR mRNAs, 679 contributing to defense against non-viral pathogens. SA-stimulated increases in 680 RDR1 transcription (and possibly SA-stimulated increases in RDR1 activity) are also 681 dependent on NPR1. RDR1 also influences transcription of RDR6 and AOX 682 (indicated by asterisks). Abbreviations: AOX, alternative oxidase; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; NPR1, Non-Expresser of PR proteins 683 684 1; PR, pathogenesis-related protein; RDR, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, and 685 ROS, reactive oxygen species. Based on references [2,23,38*,41,44,45,49,50**,51].

686

688 Figure 3. Salicylic acid as anti-viral or pro-viral factor. (a) In plants possessing a dominant virus-specific resistance (R) gene, recognition of virus (depicted in this 689 690 cartoon by an icosahedron) triggers a hypersensitive reaction (HR), a resistance 691 response in which localization of the invading virus to the vicinity of the inoculation 692 site is dependent in part upon rapid production of salicylic acid by the host (SA). In 693 susceptible plants that have been treated with exogenous SA, the spread of virus out 694 of the inoculation zone is inhibited but not always completely halted. (b) Certain 695 viruses (e.g. potyviruses, cauliflower mosaic virus, cucumber mosaic virus, and 696 tobacco rattle virus) stimulate endogenous SA biosynthesis as they spread 697 systemically through susceptible hosts, which limits virus accumulation and 698 ameliorates disease symptoms. (c) In plants depleted in SA (by transgenic 699 expression of SA-hydroxylase, or in mutant plants lacking SA biosynthetic capacity) 700 virus accumulation is enhance but this may lead to severe stunting of plants (and an 701 overall decrease in virus yield per plant) or symptoms may be exacerbated leading 702 to necrosis (likely leading to inactivation of virus particles present in the necrotizing 703 tissue). Thus, in scenario (b), the virus is exploiting SA as a pro-viral factor by 704 ensuring that virus accumulation is optimized. Based on references 705 [1,2,4,5,35,53,63*].

706





(a) Resistant host or susceptible host pre-treated with SA



(c) Susceptible host compromised in SA accumulation or biosynthesis



720