Brief title: Coronary artery disease genome-wide polygenic score in South Asians

Minxian Wang, PHD,^a Ramesh Menon, PHD,^b, Sanghamitra Mishra, PHD,^b, Aniruddh P. Patel, MD^{a,c,d}, Mark Chaffin, MSc,^a, Tanneeru Deepak, M.Tech,^b, Manjari Deshmukh, MSc,^b, Oshin Mathew, MSc,^b, Sanika Apte, MSc^b, Christina S Devanboo, MSc^b, Sumathi Sundaram, BSc^b, Praveena L Samson, MSc^b, Sakthivel Murugan,PHD^b, Krishna Kumar Sharma, PHD^e, Karthikeyan R, BPT^f, Sam Santhosh, B.Tech, MBA^b, Thachathodiyl Rajesh, MBBS, MD^g, Hisham Ahamed, MD^g, Aniketh Vijay Balegadde, MBBS, MD^g, Thomas Alexander, MD^h, Krishnan Swaminathan, MD^h, Rajeev Gupta, MD, PHD^e, Ajit S Mullasari, MBBS, MDⁱ, Alben Sigamani, MBBS, MD^f, Muralidhar Kanchi, MBBS, MD, MBA^f, Andrew S. Peterson, PHD^f, Adam S Butterworth, PHD,^{k,l} John Danesh, DPHIL,^{k,l,m,n,o,p}, Emanuele Di Angelantonio,MD,PHD,^{k,l}, Aliya Naheed,MBBS,MPH,PHD,^q, Michael Inouye, PHD^{r,s,t,u,v}, Rajiv Chowdhury, MPH,PHD,^{k,w}, Ramprasad Vedam L, PHD,^b, Sekar Kathiresan, MD^{d,x}, Ravi Gupta, PHD,^b, Amit V. Khera, MD, MSc^{a,c,d}

- a. Cardiovascular Disease Initiative, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA.
- b. MedGenome Labs Ltd., Bengaluru, India
- c. Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.
- d. Cardiology Division, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.
- e. Eternal Heart Care Centre, Jaipur, India
- f. Narayana Health, Bengaluru, India
- g. Amrita Institute Medical Sciences, Kochi, India
- h. Kovai Medical Center and Hospital Research Foundation, Coimbatore, India
- i. Madras Medical Mission, Chennai, India
- j. MedGenome Inc., Foster City, CA USA
- k. British Heart Foundation Cardiovascular Epidemiology Unit, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
- l. National Institute for Health Research Blood and Transplant Research Unit in Donor Health and Genomics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
- m. British Heart Foundation Centre of Research Excellence, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
- n. National Institute for Health Research Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, University of Cambridge and Cambridge University Hospitals, Cambridge, UK
- o. Health Data Research UK Cambridge, Wellcome Genome Campus and University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
- p. Department of Human Genetics, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, UK
- q. International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Dhaka, Bangladesh
- r. Cambridge Baker Systems Genomics Initiative, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, and Cambridge, United Kingdom;
- s. Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

- t. Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom;
- u. Department of Clinical Pathology and School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia;
- v. The Alan Turing Institute, London, United Kingdom;
- w. Centre for Non-Communicable Disease Research, Dhaka, Bangladesh
- x. Verve Therapeutics, Cambridge, MA, USA

4

5

6

Sources of Funding

- Dr. Patel is supported by grant T32HL007208 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood
- 7 Institute; Dr. Kathiresan is supported by the Ofer and Shelly Nemirovsky Research Scholar
- 8 Award from Massachusetts General Hospital and the National Human Genome Research
- 9 Institute under award number 5UM1HG008895; Dr. Khera is supported by an institutional grant
- from the Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard (BroadIgnite), award numbers 1K08HG010155 and
- 5UM1HG008895 from the National Human Genome Research Institute, a Hassenfeld Scholar
- 12 Award from Massachusetts General Hospital, and a sponsored research agreement from IBM
- 13 Research.

14 Disclosures

Dr. Kathiresan is an employee of Verve Therapeutics; holds equity in Verve Therapeutics, Maze Therapeutics, Catabasis, and San Therapeutics; has served on scientific advisory boards for Regeneron Genetics Center and Corvidia Therapeutics; has served as a consultant for Acceleron, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Merck, Novo Nordisk, Novo Ventures, Ionis, Alnylam, Aegerion, Haug Partners, Noble Insights, Leerink Partners, Bayer Healthcare, Illumina, Color Genomics, MedGenome, Quest, Pfizer, and Medscape; and has patents related to a method of identifying and treating a person having a pre-disposition to or afflicted with cardiometabolic disease (20180010185) and a genetics risk predictor (20190017119). Dr. Khera has served as a consultant to or received honoraria from Color Genomics, Illumina, Novartis, Maze Therapeutics, and Navitor Pharmaceuticals; has received grant support from the Novartis Institute for Biomedical Research; and has a patent related to a genetic risk predictor (20190017119). Dr. Menon, Dr. Mishra, Dr. Deepak, Dr. Deshmukh, Dr. Mathew, Dr. Apte, Dr. Devanboo, Dr. Sundaram, Dr. Samson, Dr. Murugan, Dr. Santhosh, Dr. Vedam L, Dr. Gupta are employees of MedGenome (Bangalore, India). All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Please address correspondence to:

Amit V. Khera, MD, MSc Center for Genomic Medicine Massachusetts General Hospital 185 Cambridge Street | CPZN 6.256 Boston, MA 02114

Tel: 617-643-3388 Fax: 8779915996

E-mail: avkhera@mgh.harvard.edu

15

16

Twitter @amitvkhera

17 18 19	Development, testing, and implementation of an approach to assess a genome-wide polygenic score for coronary artery disease in South Asian populations.
20	Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge members of the Broad Institute's Pattern data visualization team —
 Mary O'Reilly and Andrew Tang — for assistance in graphic and visual design assistance. We
 thank the participants who contributed their data in the UK Biobank study, the Bangladesh Risk

of Acute Vascular Events (BRAVE) Study and the MedGenome study.

26 ABSTRACT

- 27 **Background:** Genome-wide polygenic scores (GPS) integrate information from many common
- 28 DNA variants into a single number. Because rates of coronary artery disease (CAD) are
- 29 substantially higher among South Asians, a GPS to identify high-risk individuals may be
- 30 particularly useful in this population.
- 31 **Objectives:** We used summary statistics from a prior genome-wide association study to derive a
- 32 new GPS_{CAD} for South Asians.
- 33 **Methods:** We validated this GPS_{CAD} in 7,244 South Asian UK Biobank participants and tested it
- in 491 individuals from a case-control study in Bangladesh. Next, we built a static ancestry and
- 35 GPS_{CAD} reference distribution using whole genome sequencing from 1,522 Indian individuals,
- and tested a framework for projecting individuals onto this static ancestry and GPS_{CAD} reference
- distribution using 1,800 CAD cases and 1,163 controls newly recruited in India.
- 38 **Results:** The GPS_{CAD}, containing 6,630,150 common DNA variants, had odds ratio per standard
- deviation (OR/SD) of 1.58 in South Asian UK Biobank participants and 1.60 in the Bangladeshi
- study (p < 0.001 for each). We next projected individuals of the Indian case-control study onto
- static reference distributions, observing an OR/SD of 1.66 (p < 0.001). Compared to the middle
- 42 quintile, risk for CAD was most pronounced for those in the top 5% of the GPS_{CAD} distribution –
- ORs of 4.16, 2.46, and 3.22 in the South Asian UK Biobank, Bangladeshi, and Indian studies,
- 44 respectively (p < 0.05 for each).
- 45 Conclusions: We developed and tested a new GPS_{CAD} using three distinct South Asian studies,
- and provide a generalizable framework for ancestry-specific GPS assessment.

Condensed abstract

Genome-wide polygenic scores are a new approach to quantify inherited risk for a given disease using information from many common sites of DNA variation. The predictive capacity of a polygenic score for coronary artery disease in South Asians – a population that suffers from coronary artery disease at significantly higher rates – is largely unknown. Here, we build a polygenic score consisting of over 6.6 million common DNA variants and a workflow for ancestry-corrected risk quantification. Results confirm striking and consistent relationships with coronary artery disease in South Asian populations from the United Kingdom, Bangladesh, and India.

57 58 **I**

Keywords:

Coronary artery disease, polygenic score, South Asian, genomic medicine

59 60 61

47 48

49

50 51

52

53

54

55

56

Abbreviations

- 62 GPS: Genome-wide polygenic score
- 63 CAD: coronary artery disease
- 64 AUC: area under the receiver-operator curve
- 65 CI: Confidence interval
- 66 OR/SD: Odds ratios per standard deviation
- 67 PCs: principal components

68

Introduction

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

Individuals of South Asian ancestry represent 23% of the global population corresponding to 1.8 billion people — and suffer from substantially increased risk of coronary artery disease (CAD) compared to most other ethnicities (1). Practice guidelines in the U.S. now recognize South Asian ancestry as an important 'risk-enhancing' factor for CAD (2, 3). Because CAD has a significant inherited component (4, 5), genetic analyses to understand and predict CAD among South Asian populations are of particular interest. The inherited risk for CAD can — for about 0.4% of the population — be driven by rare monogenic variants such as those related to familial hypercholesterolemia (6–10). However, the vast majority of individuals afflicted by CAD do not harbor any known monogenic mutation (7, 8, 10). A second mechanism of increased genetic risk for CAD is via a 'polygenic' model (11– 13). Here, the risk is driven not by any one variant, but rather the cumulative effect of many common DNA variants scattered across the genome (11–13). We recently developed a genomewide polygenic score for CAD (GPS_{CAD}) that integrates information from over 6 million sites in the genome (11). Using this approach, we demonstrated that up to 8% of individuals of European ancestry are at more than triple the normal risk for CAD on the basis of a high GPS — a prevalence 20 times greater than familial hypercholesterolemia variants that confer similar risk (11).Whether a GPS_{CAD} can predict disease in a South Asian population is uncertain for three key reasons. First, prior genome-wide association studies — needed as input to GPS derivation to weight a given variant's contribution to the risk of CAD — have been performed primarily in individuals of European ancestry (14). Second, a GPS derived in individuals of European ancestry may have attenuated effect when applied to other ethnicities (15, 16), given that variant

frequency and correlation patterns vary across ancestral groups (15, 17). A recent study for a range of traits suggested that GPS derived from Europeans displayed somewhat lower predictive power when applied to South Asians (16). Third, cultural and environmental factors unique to South Asian populations may modulate the importance of genetic variation on the risk of CAD (1). A GPS specifically tuned to a South Asian population may thus have enhanced predictive capacity as compared to previously described scored validated in individuals of European ancestry, but this has not been adequately explored to date.

Beyond confirmation that a GPS is associated with disease, accurate and consistent GPS calculation in a clinical workflow poses unique challenges when compared to other risk biomarkers (18). First, statistical imputation is needed to ensure that – beyond the variants included on a genotyping array – an identical set of genetic variants is captured in each individual. Second, an individuals' raw GPS scores needs to be interpreted within the context of their genetic ancestry, typically performed by projecting them into static 'principal components of ancestry' space. Third, a reference distribution is needed to determine whether a given individual's GPS is high or low versus others with a similar ancestral background. Overcoming these issues is critically important prior to clinical deployment of GPS disclosure.

Here, we aim to address these areas of uncertainty by developing a new GPS_{CAD} tuned to individuals of South Asian ancestry, confirming robust associations of the new GPS_{CAD} with CAD in 7,244 South Asian participants of the UK Biobank and 491 participants of an independent case-control study in Bangladesh, **Figure 1**. Next, we build a new framework to support GPS_{CAD} calculation by developing an ancestry-specific reference distribution from 1,522 individuals recruited in India and validate this in 2,963 newly recruited participants of a CAD case-control study in India, **Central illustration**.

Methods

Study populations and quality control

UK Biobank.

The UK Biobank recruited over 500,000 participants aged 40-69 years between 2006 and 2010 (19, 20). In the present analysis, we focused on 8,025 South Asian participants based on self-report of being Pakistani, Indian, or Bangladeshi (19, 20). Self-reported race designations were highly concordant with quantitative estimates of genetic ancestry, as quantified by principal components (Online Figure 1A, 1B and 2A). UK Biobank participants underwent genotyping using an array and subsequent imputation as previously reported (20). After application of genotyping and relatedness quality control parameters (Online Methods), 7,244 individuals remained for analysis. These South Asian individuals were not included in our prior report based on UK Biobank individuals, which was restricted to those of European ancestry (11). CAD ascertainment was based on a composite of myocardial infarction or coronary revascularization present at time of enrolment based on self-report, hospital admission diagnosis codes, or procedure codes coronary revascularization as described previously (Online Methods) (11).

Bangladesh Risk of Acute Vascular Events study.

We next performed whole-genome sequencing in 500 individuals recruited in Dhaka, Bangladesh, as part of the Bangladesh Risk of Acute Vascular Events (BRAVE) study, a case-control study of first-onset acute myocardial infarction (21). This analysis of newly-generated whole-genome sequencing data has not been included in any prior studies. After application of the participant, variant, and ancestry quality control filters (Online Methods), 247 CAD cases and 244 controls were available for analysis (Online Figure 1A, 1C and 2B).

Polygenic score derivation, calculation, and testing

To derive a new GPS_{CAD}, we started with summary association statistics from a prior GWAS from the CARDIoGRAMplusC4D Consortium, consisting of 60,801 cases and 123,504 controls (22). Importantly, the majority of the participants in this study were of European ancestry (77%) with a subset of individuals from South Asian ancestry (13%) (22). There was no overlap of participants in this previous GWAS with individuals assessed in the subsequent derivation and testing of the polygenic score involved in the present analysis.

To integrate information from the summary association statistics into a GPS_{CAD}, we applied the LDpred computational algorithm, a Bayesian method that calculates the posterior mean independent effect size of each variant based on the variant's prior joint effect size estimated from GWAS and the correlation pattern between variants (23). A linkage disequilibrium (LD) reference panel – used to compute the correlations between genetic variants – included 503 European individuals from the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 (24). Previous analyses have suggested that this LD reference panel mimic the primary ancestral background of the original GWAS, rather than the target population (23). Consistent with this recommendation, we observed slightly decreased performance when we instead used 489 South Asian samples from the 1000 Genomes Project as the LD reference panel (Online Tables 1, 2 and 3).

The LDpred computational algorithm includes a tuning parameter ρ , which represents the fraction of variants with non-zero effect size (23), with an optimal value determined by the disease genetic architecture and the sample size used in the GWAS study. Because the parameter ρ is unknown, we tested a range of values for ρ as previously recommended (23).

Polygenic scores were calculated in each individual using the plink2 software package, multiplying the effective allele dosage with its LDpred algorithm adjusted effect size and then summing across all of the variants in each individual (25).

To account for variations in allele frequency according to genetic ancestry, the polygenic score was adjusted according to the first 5 principal components of ancestry using a linear regression model (12), the residuals from the regression model were used as the ancestry-adjusted GPS_{CAD} and normalized to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 to facilitate interpretation as performed previously (11), **Central illustration**. The best ρ parameter was chosen based on maximal area-under-the-curve (AUC) of the GPS_{CAD} evaluated in a logistic regression model with age, sex, top 5 principal components of ancestry and ancestry adjusted GPS as covariates as performed previously (Online Tables 1 and 2)(11).

Development and testing of an ancestry-specific framework to polygenic score assessment

To build a static and ancestry-specific reference distribution for the GPS_{CAD}, we analyzed high-coverage whole genome sequencing on 1733 individuals from a population-based study in India, recruited without consideration of CAD status as part of phase 2 of the GenomeAsia 100K project (26 and A.S.P., unpublished), **Central illustration**. 1,522 individuals remaining following application of quality control criteria.

To provide a set of individuals to test the framework for GPS_{CAD} assessment, a second set of individuals –1,826 CAD cases and 1,209 controls – were recruited from outpatient clinics and hospitals at 5 cities in India -- Kochi, Jaipur, Coimbatore, Chennai and Bangalore. Participants underwent genotyping using the Illumina Global Screening Array Platform, of whom 1,800 CAD cases and 1,163 controls remained after quality control (Online Methods).

Clinical-grade GPS_{CAD} assessment requires that an identical set of variants are assessed in individuals in both the reference distribution and newly-recruited individuals. We identified 575,778 genetic variants reliably ascertained in both the reference distribution whole-genome sequencing data and the test dataset genotyping array data, and jointly imputed them using the

GenomeAsia Pilot (GAsP) project reference panel from the GenomeAsia 100K project (26, 27). This joint imputation with the reference distribution is important in preventing batch effects or artifacts from mixing samples genotyped with sequencing or genotyping array technology.

A static genetic ancestry reference distribution was produced using principal components analysis of the 1,522 individuals using FlashPCA software (28) based on independent genetic markers identified using the plink2 software package with parameters: --indep-pairwise 1000 50 0.2 --maf 0.01 --hwe 1e-10 --geno 0.05 (25, 29). The static polygenic score reference distribution was produced by adjusting the raw polygenic score values for the first 5 principal components of ancestry using a linear regression model as described previously (12), **Central illustration**.

Statistical analysis and study approval

Statistical analysis and test were performed using R software, version 3.6.1 (R Project for Statistical Computing). AUC was calculated by the "pROC" R package(30). Category-free net reclassification improvement (31) was estimated by the "nricens" R package (https://cran.fiocruz.br/web/packages/nricens/index.html).

This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 7089. Analysis of the UK Biobank as analysis of UK Biobank and BRAVE data was approved by the Partners HealthCare institutional review board (protocol 2013P001840). Analysis of MedGenome case-control study was approved by institutional review boards at each of the recruitment sites.

Results

Derivation of a genome-wide polygenic score for South Asians

We first generated 8 candidate GPSs for CAD for testing in a South Asian population, combining association statistics from a previously published genome-wide association study (22)

and the LDpred computational algorithm (23) (**Figure 1**). The 8 scores varied in the tuning parameter (ρ) for the reflection of the proportion of variants assumed to be causal (11, 23).

In order to choose among the 8 candidate GPSs, the discriminative capacity of each GPS was tested in 7,244 South Asian participants of the UK Biobank (398 CAD cases and 6,846 controls; Online Figure 1A, 1B, 2A and Online Table 4). Each of the scores was associated with CAD, with area under the receiver-operator curve (AUC) values for a logistic regression model including GPS_{CAD}, age, sex and top 5 principal components of ancestry as covariates ranging from 0.796 to 0.805, and odds ratios per standard deviation (OR/SD) increment in the GPS_{CAD} ranging from 1.38 to 1.58, Online Tables 1 and 2. The maximally performing score – with the ρ value of 0.003 – was taken forward into subsequent analyses.

This newly developed GPS_{CAD} had improved performance compared to a score our group previously published based on validation and testing in individuals of European ancestry (11), which had OR/SD 1.53 and AUC 0.802 when applied to the UK Biobank South Asian participants (Online Table 5).

When using our new South Asian GPS_{CAD} as a predictor of CAD in South Asian UK Biobank participants, the median GPS_{CAD} was in the 66th percentile for CAD cases and in the 49th percentile for controls, OR/SD was 1.58 (95% CI 1.42 – 1.76), and a 3.22-fold increase in disease risk was noted in comparing the top versus bottom GPS quintiles (95% CI 2.25 – 4.70), **Figure 2A-B** and Online Figure 3A.

In order to assess the clinical importance of a high GPS_{CAD} , we next compared the risk of progressively more extreme cut-points of the polygenic score distribution versus those with a polygenic score in the middle quintile. Those in the top quintile of the GPS_{CAD} distribution had 2.16 (95% CI 1.56 – 3.03) increased odds of CAD versus those in the middle quintile, with a risk

estimate that continued to increase when modeled as the top 5% (OR 4.16, 95% CI 2.75 - 6.28) or the top 2.5% (OR 5.56, 95% CI 3.40 - 8.98), **Figure 3**.

As in previous studies, the risk conferred by a high GPS_{CAD} was largely independent of traditional risk factors(11, 32–34). Within the UK Biobank South Asian dataset, a modest decrement in OR/SD from 1.58 to 1.46 (95% CI 1.29 – 1.65) was noted after additional adjustment for diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, family history of heart disease, current smoking, BMI and use of lipid-lowering therapy (Online Table 4). Similarly, odds ratio for the top 5% of the GPS distribution versus the middle quintile decreased from 4.16 to 3.68 (95% CI 2.28 – 5.94) after additional adjustment for these risk factors (Online Table 6). Additional of the GPS_{CAD} to logistic regression models with or without clinical risk factors included was associated with improvements in category-free net reclassification of 38.0% and 33.5% respectively (P < 0.001 for each; **Table 1**).

Testing the South Asian genome-wide polygenic score in a Bangladeshi study

To test this score in an independent dataset, we studied the performance of the South Asian GPS in 247 cases and 244 controls of the BRAVE study of first-ever myocardial infarction in Bangladesh (Online Figure 1A, 1C and 2B). Cases had median age of 34 years, reflective of selection based on premature disease onset, and 91% were male. Controls similarly had median age of 33 years and 90% were male (Online Table 7). The GPS was associated with an OR/SD increment of 1.60 (95% CI 1.32 – 1.94), evaluated in a logistic regression model adjusted for age, sex, and top 5 PCs. Moreover, the median GPS was in the 58th percentile among CAD cases and in the 42nd percentile in controls, and a 3.90-fold increase in disease risk was noted in comparing the top versus bottom GPS quintiles (95% CI 2.14 – 7.26), **Figure 2C-D** and Online Figure 3B. As in prior studies, the risk was substantially increased for those in the extreme tails

of the GPS distribution, OR 2.46 (95% CI 1.15 - 5.48; P = 0.02) for those in the top 5% compared to those in the middle quintile, **Figure 3**. Additional adjustment for diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, family history of heart disease, current smoking, and family history of myocardial infarction led to a modest decrement in OR/SD from 1.60 to 1.51 (95% CI 1.22 - 1.88). Consistent with our observations in the UK Biobank study, the GPS_{CAD} led to an improvement in net reclassification of 35.5% and 32.7% for models with and without clinical risk factors respectively, **Table 1**.

A scalable framework for GPS assessment in South Asian individuals

Encouraged by the strength of association with CAD, we next developed a scalable framework to operationalize GPS assessment. We first analyzed whole-genome sequencing data of 1,522 India individuals from Phase 2 of the GenomeAsia 100K project (26). These data were used in two ways: first, to generate a static ancestry-specific genetic ancestry space; and second, to generate a fixed GPS reference distribution for subsequently recruited individuals seeking GPS_{CAD}, **Central illustration**.

To generate a static genetic ancestry panel, we quantified the PCs of ancestry in each of the 1,522 individuals and saved the variant loading coefficients. This allows subsequently recruited participants to be 'projected' onto this fixed ancestral space. To generate a fixed GPS_{CAD} reference distribution, we computed the South Asian GPS_{CAD} in each of the 1,522 individuals and adjusted the raw GPS_{CAD} values by the first five PCs of ancestry (**Central illustration**).

Testing of the bioinformatics framework in newly-recruited participants in India

Using the newly-developed GPS_{CAD} and bioinformatics framework, we next studied 1,800 CAD cases and 1,163 control individuals newly-recruited in India as part of a MedGenome

study. Median age of cases and controls was 54 and 55 years, and 90% and 76% were male, respectively (**Figure 4**, Online Figure 1A, 1D and Online Table 8). By projecting each of the CAD cases and controls onto the principal components of ancestry derived from the reference population, we confirmed nearly superimposable distributions of the fixed reference population individuals and the newly-recruited CAD cases and controls, **Figure 4**.

We next computed the GPS_{CAD} in each of the participants of the MedGenome case-control study. Consistent with our expectation, median GPS percentile in the controls – who remained free of CAD into middle age – was minimally reduced compared to the reference distribution, in the 48th percentile, **Central illustration C**. By contrast, the CAD cases had a median GPS_{CAD} in the 64th percentile, **Central illustration C** and Online Figure 3C.

We studied the relationship of the GPS_{CAD} with CAD in this cohort, noting an OR/SD increment of 1.66 (95% CI 1.53 - 1.81) and 3.91-fold (95% CI 3.04 to 5.04; $P = 2.96^{-10}$) increase in disease risk comparing the top versus bottom GPS quintiles, **Central illustration D**. Using the top 5% threshold described above, we observe a 3.22-fold (95% CI 2.23 - 4.74) increased risk when compared to those in the middle quintile, **Figure 5**. Additional adjustment for diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, and body mass index led to minimal effect attenuation, OR/SD decreased from 1.66 to 1.58 (95% CI 1.42 - 1.75) (Online Table 8). The GPS_{CAD} led to an improvement in net reclassification of 35.4% and 32.2% for models with and without clinical risk factors respectively, **Table 1**.

Discussion

After deriving a GPS_{CAD} tuned to individuals of South Asian ancestry, our series of analyses confirmed robust associations of this score with CAD in South Asian individuals involved in the UK Biobank and in a separate case-control study based in Bangladesh.

Furthermore, we validated a generalizable framework to assess polygenic scores – including the use of an ancestry-specific imputation panel and a static reference distribution – and validated this framework by confirming robust associations of GPS_{CAD} with CAD in an independent study of South Asians based in India.

These results indicate that the cumulative impact of common DNA variants – now possible to quantify using a GPS – is an important driver of risk for CAD, even among individuals of South Asian ancestry. By optimizing a polygenic score for CAD in South Asians, we note a 3.22- to 3.91- fold increase in risk when comparing the highest to lowest quintiles across three independent study samples. Moreover, the pattern of disease associations was strikingly concordant across individuals of South Asian ancestry living in the United Kingdom, Bangladesh, and India, with OR/SD increment ranging from 1.58 to 1.66 across the three studies. These results suggest feasibility for the transfer of polygenic scores across varying environmental exposures.

We note robust associations with CAD in South Asians, despite using summary statistics from a genome-wide association study conducted primarily in individuals of European ancestry – 77% European ancestry and only 13% South Asian ancestry (22). This results observed in our South Asian datasets were broadly comparable but somewhat attenuated when compared to our previous analysis of participants of European ancestry in the UK Biobank, where OR/SD increment was 1.72 as compared to 1.58 to 1.66 observed in the present analysis of South Asian datasets (11). Although we confirm that the newly-derived score outperformed – albeit modestly – our previously published score based on tuning in individuals of European ancestry individuals(11) in all three studies (Online Table 5), the performance of a GPS_{CAD} is likely to

improve further if summary statistics from a large genome-wide association study performed specifically in South Asians becomes available for use as input to future GPSs (15, 16, 35).

Beyond validation that the GPS_{CAD} associated with disease in South Asians, we describe a new and generalizable framework necessary for deployment of polygenic score assessment within a clinical workflow. We used high-coverage whole-genome sequencing of 1,522 Indian individuals from the Phase 2 of the GenomeAsia 100K project (26) to generate a fixed and ancestry-matched reference distribution for the GPS_{CAD}. We next recruited an additional 1,800 CAD cases and 1,163 controls and projected them onto the genetic ancestry and GPS_{CAD} reference distribution, confirming expected associations with CAD. Ongoing efforts to generate whole genome sequencing data needed to enhance imputation and genotyping array data needed to develop and validate polygenic in diverse individuals are likely to enable use of this framework in additional ancestry groups in future studies(17, 36–38).

The utility of GPS_{CAD} assessment is likely to be most pronounced among those with extremely high GPS_{CAD}, such as the ~5% of the Indian population cohort that inherited about triple the normal risk on the basis of polygenic variation. These individuals cannot be reliably identified from the remainder of the population without direct access to genotyping data (Online Table 6) (11, 32–34), and is associated with significant improvements in net reclassification indices across all three studies (**Table 1**). We and others have previously demonstrated that individuals with high polygenic scores derive the greatest benefit from both adherence to a healthy lifestyle as well as pharmacologic interventions – including both statins and PCSK9 inhibitors (39–42). Previous work has suggested that knowledge of a high polygenic score may enhance motivation to initiate or adhere to risk-reducing interventions (43). Successful generalization of this result to South Asians may thus represent an important public health

opportunity, particularly given the increased rates of a sedentary lifestyle and reluctance to take medicines frequently encountered in South Asian individuals(1).

These results should be interpreted in the context of several limitations. First, the casecontrol study design used in the Bangladeshi and Indian studies we analyzed enabled
confirmation of relative risk associations but did not allow for calculation of absolute risk of
future CAD events. Second, our current efforts focused on CAD. Although this specific disease
has particular importance for South Asian individuals, future efforts may allow for an extension
of these findings to additional important diseases for this population, including diabetes or
central adiposity. Third, additional evidence is needed to confirm that polygenic score disclosure

– when integrated into clinical practice in a South Asian population – can improve adherence to a
healthy lifestyle or more efficient use of preventive medications. Fourth, our analysis was based
on overall genetic ancestry as assessed by principal components. Although this is the current
standard, future studies that account for local ancestry – which can vary across chromosomes
even in individuals with similar overall genetic ancestry – using new local ancestry inference
based approaches may prove useful, especially in populations with recent admixture such as
African American or Hispanic individuals (44).

In conclusion, we confirm that a newly-derived GPS_{CAD} for South Asians – which can be calculated from the time of birth – enables striking stratification of disease risk in middle-age. Second, we validate a scalable polygenic score framework in India, laying the scientific and operational foundation for clinical implementation.

Competency in medical knowledge: A genome-wide polygenic score for coronary artery disease integrates information from millions of sites of common DNA variation into a single metric – available from birth – of inherited risk.

Competency in medical knowledge: Because genetic variants vary substantially across racial and ethnic groups, rigorous ancestry-adjustment is needed when computing genome-wide polygenic scores that ideally implements data from ancestry-matched individuals.

Translational outlook: Additional research is needed to further improve transferability of genome-wide polygenic scores across racial and ethnic groups, and understand how best to

integrate such scores into routine clinical practice.

374 References

- 1. Volgman AS, Palaniappan LS, Aggarwal NT, et al. Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease in
- 376 South Asians in the United States: Epidemiology, Risk Factors, and Treatments: A Scientific
- 377 Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation 2018;138. Available at:
- 378 https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIR.000000000000580. Accessed January 26, 2020.
- 2. Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Primary
- 380 Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease A Report of the American College of
- Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. 2019:17.
- 382 3. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018 Guideline on the Management of Blood
- 383 Cholesterol. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2019;73:e285–e350.
- 4. Gertler MM. YOUNG CANDIDATES FOR CORONARY HEART DISEASE. J. Am. Med.
- 385 Assoc. 1951;147:621.
- 5. Marenberg ME, Risch N, Berkman LF, Floderus B, Faire U de. Genetic Susceptibility to
- Death from Coronary Heart Disease in a Study of Twins. N. Engl. J. Med. 1994;330:1041–1046.
- 388 6. Nordestgaard BG, Chapman MJ, Humphries SE, et al. Familial hypercholesterolaemia is
- underdiagnosed and undertreated in the general population: guidance for clinicians to prevent
- 390 coronary heart disease: Consensus Statement of the European Atherosclerosis Society. Eur.
- 391 Heart J. 2013;34:3478–3490.
- 7. Khera AV, Won H-H, Peloso GM, et al. Diagnostic Yield and Clinical Utility of Sequencing
- 393 Familial Hypercholesterolemia Genes in Patients With Severe Hypercholesterolemia. J. Am.
- 394 Coll. Cardiol. 2016;67:2578–2589.

- 8. Abul-Husn NS, Manickam K, Jones LK, et al. Genetic identification of familial
- 396 hypercholesterolemia within a single U.S. health care system. Science 2016;354:aaf7000.
- 9. Benn M, Watts GF, Tybjærg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG. Mutations causative of familial
- 398 hypercholesterolaemia: screening of 98 098 individuals from the Copenhagen General
- Population Study estimated a prevalence of 1 in 217. Eur. Heart J. 2016;37:1384–1394.
- 400 10. Patel AP, Wang M, Fahed AC, et al. Association of Rare Pathogenic DNA Variants for
- 401 Familial Hypercholesterolemia, Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer Syndrome, and Lynch
- 402 Syndrome With Disease Risk in Adults According to Family History. JAMA Netw. Open
- 403 2020;3:e203959.
- 404 11. Khera AV, Chaffin M, Aragam KG, et al. Genome-wide polygenic scores for common
- diseases identify individuals with risk equivalent to monogenic mutations. Nat. Genet.
- 406 2018;50:1219–1224.
- 407 12. Khera AV, Chaffin M, Zekavat SM, et al. Whole-Genome Sequencing to Characterize
- 408 Monogenic and Polygenic Contributions in Patients Hospitalized With Early-Onset Myocardial
- 409 Infarction. Circulation 2019;139:1593–1602.
- 410 13. Inouye M, Abraham G, Nelson CP, et al. Genomic Risk Prediction of Coronary Artery
- 411 Disease in 480,000 Adults. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2018;72:1883–1893.
- 412 14. Sirugo G, Williams SM, Tishkoff SA. The Missing Diversity in Human Genetic Studies. Cell
- 413 2019;177:26–31.

- 414 15. Martin AR, Gignoux CR, Walters RK, et al. Human Demographic History Impacts Genetic
- 415 Risk Prediction across Diverse Populations. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 2017;100:635–649.
- 416 16. Martin AR, Kanai M, Kamatani Y, Okada Y, Neale BM, Daly MJ. Clinical use of current
- polygenic risk scores may exacerbate health disparities. Nat. Genet. 2019;51:584–591.
- 418 17. Wojcik GL, Graff M, Nishimura KK, et al. Genetic analyses of diverse populations improves
- discovery for complex traits. Nature 2019;570:514–518.
- 420 18. Homburger JR, Neben CL, Mishne G, Zhou AY, Kathiresan S, Khera AV. Low coverage
- 421 whole genome sequencing enables accurate assessment of common variants and calculation of
- 422 genome-wide polygenic scores. Genome Med. 2019;11. Available at:
- 423 https://genomemedicine.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13073-019-0682-2. Accessed
- 424 January 27, 2020.
- 425 19. Sudlow C, Gallacher J, Allen N, et al. UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for
- 426 Identifying the Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. PLOS
- 427 Med. 2015;12:e1001779.
- 428 20. Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping
- 429 and genomic data. Nature 2018;562:203–209.
- 430 21. Cardiology Research Group, Chowdhury R, Alam DS, et al. The Bangladesh Risk of Acute
- Vascular Events (BRAVE) Study: objectives and design. Eur. J. Epidemiol. 2015;30:577–587.

- 432 22. the CARDIoGRAMplusC4D Consortium, Nikpay M, Goel A, et al. A comprehensive 1000
- 433 Genomes—based genome-wide association meta-analysis of coronary artery disease. Nat. Genet.
- 434 2015;47:1121–1130.
- 435 23. Vilhjálmsson BJ, Yang J, Finucane HK, et al. Modeling Linkage Disequilibrium Increases
- 436 Accuracy of Polygenic Risk Scores. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 2015;97:576–592.
- 437 24. The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. A global reference for human genetic variation.
- 438 Nature 2015;526:68–74.
- 439 25. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation PLINK:
- rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience 2015;4:7.
- 26. GenomeAsia100K Consortium. The GenomeAsia 100K Project enables genetic discoveries
- 442 across Asia. Nature 2019;576:106–111.
- 27. Browning BL, Zhou Y, Browning SR. A One-Penny Imputed Genome from Next-Generation
- 444 Reference Panels. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 2018;103:338–348.
- 28. Abraham G, Qiu Y, Inouye M. FlashPCA2: principal component analysis of Biobank-scale
- genotype datasets Stegle O, editor. Bioinformatics 2017;33:2776–2778.
- 29. the Haplotype Reference Consortium. A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype
- 448 imputation. Nat. Genet. 2016;48:1279–1283.
- 30. Robin X, Turck N, Hainard A, et al. pROC: an open-source package for R and S+ to analyze
- and compare ROC curves. BMC Bioinformatics 2011;12. Available at:

- 451 https://bmcbioinformatics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2105-12-77. Accessed May
- 452 21, 2020.
- 453 31. Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB, Steyerberg EW. Extensions of net reclassification improvement
- 454 calculations to measure usefulness of new biomarkers. Stat. Med. 2011;30:11–21.
- 455 32. Pereira A, Mendonca MI, Borges S, et al. Additional value of a combined genetic risk score
- 456 to standard cardiovascular stratification. Genet. Mol. Biol. 2018;41:766–774.
- 457 33. Natarajan P. Polygenic Risk Scoring for Coronary Heart Disease. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.
- 458 2018;72:1894–1897.
- 459 34. Torkamani A, Wineinger NE, Topol EJ. The personal and clinical utility of polygenic risk
- 460 scores. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2018;19:581–590.
- 461 35. Duncan L, Shen H, Gelaye B, et al. Analysis of polygenic risk score usage and performance
- in diverse human populations. Nat. Commun. 2019;10:3328.
- 36. Gurdasani D, Carstensen T, Fatumo S, et al. Uganda Genome Resource Enables Insights into
- 464 Population History and Genomic Discovery in Africa. Cell 2019;179:984-1002.e36.
- 465 37. Kowalski MH, Qian H, Hou Z, et al. Use of >100,000 NHLBI Trans-Omics for Precision
- 466 Medicine (TOPMed) Consortium whole genome sequences improves imputation quality and
- detection of rare variant associations in admixed African and Hispanic/Latino populations Barsh
- 468 GS, editor. PLOS Genet. 2019;15:e1008500.

- 38. Taliun D, Harris DN, Kessler MD, et al. Sequencing of 53,831 diverse genomes from the
- 470 NHLBI TOPMed Program. Genomics; 2019. Available at:
- 471 http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/563866. Accessed May 24, 2020.
- 39. Khera AV, Emdin CA, Drake I, et al. Genetic Risk, Adherence to a Healthy Lifestyle, and
- 473 Coronary Disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 2016;375:2349–2358.
- 474 40. Natarajan P, Young R, Stitziel NO, et al. Polygenic Risk Score Identifies Subgroup With
- 475 Higher Burden of Atherosclerosis and Greater Relative Benefit From Statin Therapy in the
- 476 Primary Prevention Setting. Circulation 2017;135:2091–2101.
- 41. Damask A, Steg PG, Schwartz GG, et al. Patients with High Genome-Wide Polygenic Risk
- 478 Scores for Coronary Artery Disease May Receive Greater Clinical Benefit from Alirocumab
- Treatment in the Odyssey Outcomes Trial. Circulation 2019:CIRCULATIONAHA.119.044434.
- 480 42. Marston NA, Kamanu FK, Nordio F, et al. Predicting Benefit From Evolocumab Therapy in
- Patients With Atherosclerotic Disease Using a Genetic Risk Score: Results From the FOURIER
- 482 Trial. Circulation 2019:CIRCULATIONAHA.119.043805.
- 483 43. Kullo IJ, Jouni H, Austin EE, et al. Incorporating a Genetic Risk Score Into Coronary Heart
- 484 Disease Risk Estimates: Effect on Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Levels (the MI-GENES
- 485 Clinical Trial). Circulation 2016;133:1181–1188.
- 486 44. Marnetto D, Pärna K, Läll K, et al. Ancestry deconvolution and partial polygenic score can
- improve susceptibility predictions in recently admixed individuals. Nat. Commun. 2020;11.
- 488 Available at: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-020-15464-w. Accessed April 7, 2020.

489 **Figures** 490 Figure 1. Genome-wide polygenic score for individuals of South Asian ancestry – derivation, validation, and testing workflow. 491 492 Candidate genome-wide polygenic scores for coronary artery disease (GPS_{CAD}) were generated 493 using summary association statistics from a large GWAS study – CARDIoGRAMplusC4D 494 [Coronary ARtery DIsease Genome wide Replication and Meta-analysis (CARDIoGRAM) plus 495 The Coronary Artery Disease (C4D) Genetics] – and a linkage disequilibrium reference panel of 496 503 Europeans from the 1000 Genomes Project (22, 24). Eight candidate GPSs were generated 497 using the LDpred computational algorithm, a Bayesian approach to calculate a posterior mean 498 effect for all variants based on a prior (effect size in the previous GWAS) and subsequent 499 shrinkage based on linkage disequilibrium (23). The scores varied with respect to the tuning 500 parameter ρ (that is, the proportion of variants assumed to be causal), as previously 501 recommended. Of the 8 candidate GPSs, the best performing GPS_{CAD} was chosen in a validation 502 dataset of South Asian participants of the UK Biobank. Next, we tested this score in a newly 503 recruited CAD case-control study – the Bangladesh Risk of Acute Vascular Events (BRAVE) 504 Study (21). 505

Figure 2. Genome-wide polygenic risk scores in coronary artery disease cases and controls.

506

507

508

509

510

511

Polygenic risk score percentile distributions in each cohort stratified by CAD case and control status (A, C). Disease risk across GPS_{CAD} quintiles, as assessed in a logistic regression model (B, D). The quintile bin boundary was estimated from the distribution of control samples within each

Figure 3. Risk associated with high genome-wide polygenic risk scores for coronary artery disease according to various cutoffs in the UK Biobank and BRAVE studies

cohort (B, D). BRAVE, the Bangladesh Risk of Acute Vascular Events study.

The GPS_{CAD} percentile cut-off was estimated from the score distribution of control samples within each cohort. The number of cases and controls in the top bin was compared to the number of the middle quintile bin. A logistic regression model was used to estimate the odds ratio between GPS subgroups, with age, sex, and genetic ancestry as covariates. Figure 4. Principal components of ancestry for individuals recruited as part of the MedGenome study Principal components of ancestry were estimated in 1,522 individuals from Phase 2 of the GenomeAsia project, unascertained for disease status, who underwent whole genome sequencing and served as a static genetic ancestry reference distribution. Subsequently, 1,800 CAD cases and 1,163 controls of the MedGenome cohort were projected onto these static principal components of ancestry space. The first two principal components of ancestry are plotted, with Panel A including all individuals, Panel B only participants of the MedGenome CAD casecontrol study, and Panel C only the participants of the reference distribution. Figure 5. Evaluating the performance of the framework for calculating genome-wide polygenic scores. The risk associated with high genome-wide polygenic scores for coronary artery disease according to various cutoffs in the MedGenome evaluation data set, a comparison between samples in the top percentiles to the middle quantile. Central illustration. Development and implementation of a framework for calculating genome-wide polygenic scores in South Asian individuals. A) We performed whole-genome sequencing in 1,522 individuals from a population-based study in India, recruited without consideration of CAD status (26), to: first, compute quantitative genetic ancestry as assessed by principal components, and second, to derive an ancestry-adjusted

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

genome-wide polygenic score (GPS) reference distribution. With these static reference resources available, subsequently recruited individuals can be projected on to the quantitative ancestry space and an ancestry-adjusted GPS calculated. The ancestry-adjusted GPS was the raw GPS adjusted by the first 5 principal components of ancestry in a linear regression model. This ancestry-adjusted GPS is converted into a percentile rank based on cutoffs derived from the reference distribution. B, C and D) The evaluation of the disease stratification performance of the proposed pipeline by 1,800 cases and 1,163 controls, C) polygenic risk score percentile distribution stratified by coronary artery disease case and control status. D) disease risk across genome-wide polygenic score quintiles, as assessed in a logistic regression model.

Table 1. Net reclassification parameters based on the addition of the genome-wide polygenic score.

	Baseline Model								
Study	Age, sex, principal components of ancestry				Age, sex, principal components of ancestry, and clinical risk factors				
DATA	NRI	NRI+	NRI-	Pvalue	NRI	NRI+	NRI-	Pvalue	
GPS validation datasets									
UK Biobank South Asians	0.3804	0.1759	0.2045	4.59E-11	0.3345	0.1383	0.1962	2.76E-06	
GPS Testing datasets									
BRAVE	0.3546	0.1579	0.1967	5.75E-05	0.3271	0.1404	0.1867	3.93E-03	
MedGenome	0.3539	0.1862	0.1677	1.48E-22	0.3218	0.166	0.1558	7.42E-12	

The category-free net reclassification improvement was calculated by additionally adding genome-wide polygenic score to a baseline logistic regression model of age, sex and top 5 principal components of ancestry as predictors or age, sex, top 5 principal components of ancestry and clinical risk factors as predictors. The risk factors adjusted were listed in Online Table 4, 7 and 8.











