(a)



(b)



Figure A: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E6A for fast and slow ageing with weak temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure B: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E6A for fast and slow ageing with strong temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure C: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E5B for fast and slow ageing with weak temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure D: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E5B for fast and slow ageing with strong temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure E: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E5A for fast and slow ageing with weak temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure F: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E5A for fast and slow ageing with strong temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure G: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E4A for fast and slow ageing with weak temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure H: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E4A for fast and slow ageing with strong temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure I: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E3C for fast and slow ageing with weak temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure J: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E3C for fast and slow ageing with strong temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure K: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E3B for fast and slow ageing with weak temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure L: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E3B for fast and slow ageing with strong temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure M: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E3A for fast and slow ageing with weak temperature dependency.

(a)



(b)



Figure N: Evolution of (a) effective deposit thermal conductivity and (b) thermo-hydraulic performance in Case Study exchanger E3A for fast and slow ageing with strong temperature dependency.